Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: I-680 Alameda Creek Bridge Scour Repair Project

Lead Agency: Caltrans, District 4

Contact Name: Juliane Smith

Email: Juliane.Smith@dot.ca.gov Phone Number: (510) 926-0426

Project Location: Near the town of Sunol, Alameda County
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes scour repair at the Alameda Creek Bridge (Br. No. 33-0047) on Interstate (I-) 680 in Alameda County, near the town of Sunol, from Post Mile (PM) R10.15 to R10.16. The project also proposes to reconstruct the median barrier on the approach slab, rehabilitate the bridge deck with polyester concrete in both directions, and reconstruct bridge joint seals.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The project has potential impacts to California red-legged frog, California tiger salamander, and central California coast steelhead. With implementation of the following mitigation measures, impacts will be reduced:

Mitigation Measure 1: Caltrans will compensate for impacts to California red-legged frog habitat through on-site restoration of temporarily affected areas at a 1:1 ratio.

Mitigation Measure 2: To mitigate for the elimination of potential breeding habitat within the filled scour hole, a low-elevation depression will be created in the new creek alignment between piers 8 and 9. The location and depth of the pool will be determined during the design phase.

Mitigation Measure 3: Caltrans will compensate for impacts to California tiger salamander habitat through on-site restoration of temporarily affected areas (at a 1:1 ratio). To meet the "fully mitigated" requirements of the CESA, Caltrans will purchase mitigation credits at a 1:1 ratio for temporary impacts to CTS and CRLF habitat. Multi-species credits may be purchased at an agency-approved conservation bank.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

There are no known controversies in the project area.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES:
California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Region 3; San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 2; California Transportation Commission

TRUSTEE AGENCIES:
California Air Resources Board; California Native American Heritage Commission; Office of Historic Preservation; California Department of Parks & Recreation; California Public Utilities Commission; California Resources Agency; California State Lands Commission; California State Water Resource Control Board; California Department of Water Resources

FEDERAL PERMITTING AGENCIES:
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; National Marine Fisheries Service