

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: I Street Bridge Deck Conversion for Active Transportation

Lead Agency: City of West Sacramento

Contact Name: Jason McCoy

Email: mccoyj@cityofwestsacramento.org

Phone Number: 916-617-4832

Project Location: City of West Sacramento/Sacramento
City

Yolo / Sacramento County
County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The I Street Bridge Deck Conversion for Active Transportation Project is located at the existing I Street Bridge located across the Sacramento River between the cities of Sacramento (Sacramento County) and West Sacramento (Yolo County). The project involves constructing four ADA-compliant bicycle/pedestrian ramps. Two ramps would be constructed in West Sacramento and two in Sacramento. In West Sacramento, one ramp would be constructed north of the existing I Street Bridge, and the other to the south of the existing bridge. In Sacramento, one ramp would be constructed north of the existing bridge, the other would be to the south and would be designed to accommodate maintenance vehicles to allow Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) to access the bridge for their routine maintenance activities and to provide City maintenance or emergency vehicle access, when required. The new ramps would provide user connectivity to existing pathways and travel routes for bicyclists and pedestrians (commuting and recreational use). Stairways may be incorporated into one ramp on each side of the river.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Aesthetics - The removal of trees that are aesthetic resources protected by city ordinance is a potentially significant impact. Implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-15, Compensate for Loss of Protected Trees (refer to Section IV, Biological Resources), would ensure compliance with city ordinance. This impact is considered less than significant with mitigation incorporated. **Air Quality - Construction Emissions** - Implementation of Mitigation Measure AIR-1 would reduce potentially significant construction-related PM emissions to less-than-significant levels. This impact is considered less than significant with mitigation incorporated. Implementation of control measures for construction emissions, fugitive dust will be incorporated. Biological Resources could be potentially significant impacts. Implementation of Mitigation Measures would help to prevent impacts on these species. These impacts are considered less than significant with mitigation incorporated. Implementation of Mitigation Measure GEO-1 would reduce the potential impact to paleontological resources to a less-than-significant level. Ground disturbance of any kind could expose toxic contaminants endangering human health or release hazardous materials into the environment. This would be a potentially significant impact. Implementation of Mitigation Measures HAZ-1 and HAZ-3 would reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level because they would ensure worker safety and require testing and remediation (if needed) for metals, TPHs, and PCB impacts for all construction activities that would result in soil excavation. Tribal Cultural Resources will be mitigated for in the unlikely chance that significant resources are identified during ground disturbing activities. Mitigation measures were agreed upon through tribal consultation. Mandatory Findings of Significance identifies that impacts will be considered less than significant with mitigation incorporated as identified in MND.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No known controversy pertaining to this widely-supported project.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Responsible Agency - City of Sacramento

Reviewing Agencies are identified in the NOC and include:

- Air Resources Board
- Boating & Waterways, Department of
- Caltrans District # 3
- Central Valley Flood Protection Board
- Conservation, Department of
- Fish & Game Region # 2
- Native American Heritage Commission
- Office of Historic Preservation
- Parks & Recreation, Department of
- Public Utilities Commission
- Regional WQCB #5S
- Resources Agency
- State Lands Commission
- SQRCB: Water Quality
- Toxic Substances Control, Department of
- Water Resources, Department of