

# **Replenish Big Bear Program**

# **GREENHOUSE GAS ANALYSIS**

**BIG BEAR AREA REGIONAL WASTEWATER AGENCY** 

PREPARED BY:

Haseeb Qureshi hqureshi@urbanxroads.com

Ali Dadabhoy adadabhoy@urbanxroads.com

**SEPTEMBER 7, 2023** 

15309-04 GHG Report

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		F CONTENTS	
		CES	
		XHIBITS	
		ABLES	
		ABBREVIATED TERMS	
EX	ECUTI	VE SUMMARY	1
	ES.1	Summary of Findings	1
	ES.2	Project Requirements	1
1	IN	RODUCTION	
	1.1	Site Location	
	1.2	Project Description	
2		MATE CHANGE SETTING	
_		Introduction to Global Climate Change (GCC)	
	2.1	Global Climate Change Defined	
	2.2	Greenhouse Gases	
	2.3	Global Warming Potential	
	2.4	Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventories	
	2.6	Effects of Climate Change in California	
	2.7	Regulatory Setting	
3		OJECT GREENHOUSE GAS IMPACT	
	3.1	Introduction	42
	3.2	Standards of Significance	
	3.3	California Emissions Estimator Model™ Employed To Analyze GHG Emissions	42
	3.4	Construction Life-Cycle Analysis Not Required	42
	3.5	Construction Emissions	43
	3.6	Operational Emissions	43
	3.7	Emissions Summary	43
	3.8	Greenhouse Gas Emissions Findings and Recommendations	44
4	RE	FERENCES	46
5	CEI	RTIFICATIONS	50



# **APPENDICES**

APPENDIX 3.1: CALEEMOD REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 1 UNMITIGATED EMISSIONS MODEL
OUTPUTS
APPENDIX 3.2: CALEEMOD REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 2 UNMITIGATED EMISSIONS MODEL
OUTPUTS
APPENDIX 3.3: CALEEMOD REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 3 UNMITIGATED EMISSIONS MODEL
OUTPUTS
APPENDIX 3.4: CALEEMOD REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 4 UNMITIGATED EMISSIONS MODEL
OUTPUTS
APPENDIX 3.5: CALEEMOD REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 5 UNMITIGATED EMISSIONS MODEL
OUTPUTS
LIST OF EXHIBITS
EXHIBIT 1-A: PROJECT LOCATION MAP6
EXHIBIT 2-A: SUMMARY OF PROJECTED GLOBAL WARMING IMPACT, 2070-2099 (AS COMPARED WITH
1961-1990)
LIST OF TABLES



# **LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS**

% Percent

°C Degrees Celsius
°F Degrees Fahrenheit

(1) Reference AB Assembly Bill

AB 32 Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006

AB 1493 Pavley Fuel Efficiency Standards

AB 1181 California Water Conservation Landscaping Act of 2006

ACE Affordable Clean Energy
Annex I Industrialized Nations

APA Administrative Procedure Act
AQIA Air Quality Impact Analysis

BAU Business-As-Usual  $C_2F_6$  Hexafluoroethane

C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> Ethane

 $C_2H_2F_4$  Tetrafluroethane  $C_2H_4F_2$  Ethylidene Fluoride CAA Federal Clean Air Act

CalEEMod California Emissions Estimator Model

CalEPA California Environmental Protection Agency

CALGAPS California LBNL GHG Analysis of Policies Spreadsheet

CALGreen California Green Building Standards Code

CAPCOA California Air Pollution Control Officers Association

CARB California Air Resource Board

CBSC California Building Standards Commission

CEC California Energy Commission
CCR California Code of Regulations

CEQA California Environmental Quality Act

CDFA California Department of Food and Agriculture

CFC Tetrafluoromethane
CFC Chlorofluorocarbons

CH<sub>4</sub> Methane CHF<sub>3</sub> Fluoroform

CH<sub>2</sub>FCF 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane

CH<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>2</sub> 1,1-difluoroethane

CNRA California Natural Resources Agency



CNRA 2009 2009 California Climate Adaptation Strategy

CO<sub>2</sub> Carbon Dioxide

CO<sub>2</sub>e Carbon Dioxide Equivalent

Convention United Nation's Framework Convention on Climate Change

COP Conference of the Parties

CPUC California Public Utilities Commission
EPA Environmental Protection Agency

GCC Global Climate Change

Gg Gigagram

GHGA Greenhouse Gas Analysis
GWP Global Warming Potential

H<sub>2</sub>O Water

HFC Hydrofluorocarbons

IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

ISO Independent System Operator

ITE Institute of Transportation Engineers

kWh Kilowatt Hours

lbs Pounds

LBNL Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LCA Life-Cycle Analysis
LCD Liquid Crystal Display

LCFS Low Carbon Fuel Standard or Executive Order S-01-07

LEV III Low-Emission Vehicle

LULUCF Land-Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry

MMR Mandatory Reporting Rule

MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e Million Metric Ton of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent

MPG Miles Per Gallon

MPOs Metropolitan Planning Organizations

MT/vr Metric Tons Per Year

MTCO<sub>2</sub>e Metric Ton of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent

MTCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr Metric Ton of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Per Year

MW Megawatts

MWh Megawatts Per Hour

MWELO California Department of Water Resources' Model Water

Efficient

N<sub>2</sub>O Nitrous Oxide

NDC Nationally Determined Contributions

NF<sub>3</sub> Nitrogen Trifluoride



NHTSA National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

NIOSH National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

Non-Annex I Developing Nations

OAL Office of Administrative Law
OPR Office of Planning and Research

PFC Perfluorocarbons
ppb Parts Per Billion
ppm Parts Per Million
ppt Parts Per Trillion

Project Replenish Big Bear Program
RPS Renewable Portfolio Standards

RTP/SCS Regional Transportation Plan/ Sustainable Communities

Strategy

SAR Second Assessment Report

SB Senate Bill

SB 32 California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006

SB 375 Regional GHG Emissions Reduction Targets/Sustainable

**Communities Strategies** 

SB 1078 Renewable Portfolio Standards

SB 1368 Statewide Retail Provider Emissions Performance

Standards

SCAB South Coast Air Basin

SCAG Southern California Association of Governments
SCAQMD South Coast Air Quality Management District

Scoping Plan California Air Resources Board Climate Change Scoping Plan

SF<sub>6</sub> Sulfur Hexaflouride

SLPS Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Strategy

SP Service Population

Title 20 Appliance Energy Efficiency Standards

Title 24 California Building Code

U.N. United Nations
U.S. United States

UNFCCC United Nations' Framework Convention on Climate Change

URBEMIS Urban Emissions

WCI Western Climate Initiative
WRI World Resources Institute
ZE/NZE Zero and Near-Zero Emissions

ZEV Zero-Emissions Vehicles



This page intentionally left blank



# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## **ES.1** SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The results of this *Replenish Big Bear Program Greenhouse Gas Analysis* (GHGA) is summarized below based on the significance criteria in Section 3 of this report consistent with Appendix G of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines (1). Table ES-1 shows the findings of significance for potential greenhouse gas (GHG) impacts under CEQA.

**TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS** 

Analysis	Report Section	Significance Findings	
Analysis		Unmitigated	Mitigated
GHG Impact #1: The Project would not generate direct or indirect GHG emission that would result in a significant impact on the environment.	3.8	Less Than Significant	n/a
GHG Impact #2: The Project would not conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs.	3.8	Less Than Significant	n/a

# **ES.2** PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

The Project would be required to comply with regulations imposed by the State of California and the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) aimed at the reduction of air pollutant emissions. Those that are directly and indirectly applicable to the Project and that would assist in the reduction of GHG emissions include:

- Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (Assembly Bill (AB) 32) (2).
- Regional GHG Emissions Reduction Targets/Sustainable Communities Strategies (Senate Bill (SB) 375) (3).
- Pavley Fuel Efficiency Standards (AB 1493). Establishes fuel efficiency ratings for new vehicles (4).
- California Building Code (Title 24 California Code of Regulations (CCR)). Establishes energy efficiency requirements for new construction (5).
- Appliance Energy Efficiency Standards (Title 20 CCR). Establishes energy efficiency requirements for appliances (6).
- Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS). Requires carbon content of fuel sold in California to be 10 percent (%) less by 2020 (7).
- California Water Conservation in Landscaping Act of 2006 (AB 1881). Requires local agencies to
  adopt the Department of Water Resources updated Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance or
  equivalent by January 1, 2010 to ensure efficient landscapes in new development and reduced
  water waste in existing landscapes (8).



- Statewide Retail Provider Emissions Performance Standards (SB 1368). Requires energy generators to achieve performance standards for GHG emissions (9).
- Renewable Portfolio Standards (SB 1078 also referred to as RPS). Requires electric corporations
  to increase the amount of energy obtained from eligible renewable energy resources to 20 % by
  2010 and 33% by 2020 (10).
- California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (SB 32). Requires the state to reduce statewide GHG emissions to 40% below 1990 levels by 2030, a reduction target that was first introduced in Executive Order B-30-15 (11).

Promulgated regulations that will affect the Project's emissions are accounted for in the Project's GHG calculations provided in this report. In particular, AB 1493, LCFS, and RPS, and therefore are accounted for in the Project's emission calculations.



This page intentionally left blank



# 1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the Greenhouse Gas Analysis (GHGA) prepared by Urban Crossroads, Inc., for the proposed Replenish Big Bear Program (Project). The purpose of this GHGA is to evaluate Project-related construction and operational emissions and determine the level of GHG impacts as a result of constructing and operating the proposed Project.

# 1.1 SITE LOCATION

The proposed Project site is located within the Big Bear Valley Groundwater Management Zone (GMZ or Basin). Big Bear Lake and Baldwin Lake are located in the middle of this Basin. The overall project area consists of the Valley in the County of San Bernardino, as shown on Exhibit 1-A.

# 1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed Project includes upgrades and additions to Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency's (BBARWA) wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) to produce purified water through full advanced treatment to protect the receiving waters and their beneficial uses. The Replenish Big Bear Program would upgrade BBARWA's WWTP to produce full advanced treated water that would be retained within the Big Bear Valley watershed to be used to increase the sustainability of local water supplies, consequently, wastewater currently delivered to Lucerne Valley will be modified. The proposed Project consists of construction and operation of the various facilities which are separated into five project categories: 1) Replenish Big Bear Component 1: Lake Discharge Pipeline Alignment; 2) Replenish Big Bear Component 2: Shay Pond; 3) Replenish Big Bear Component 3: Evaporation Pond; 4) Replenish Big Bear Component 4: BBARWA WWTP Upgrades; and 5) Replenish Big Bear Component 5: Sand Canyon.

#### REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 1: BBARWA WWTP UPGRADES

This Replenish Big Bear Component includes upgrades to the BBARWA WWTP, to include 2.2 MGD of full advanced treatment, producing up to 2,210 AFY of purified water. The upgrades include the construction of a 40,000 SF building which would provide the following upgrades and new construction in order of process flow:

- Upgrades to the Oxidation Ditches
- New Denitrification Filter
- New UF and RO filtration membranes
- New UV Disinfection
- New AOP
- New Pellet Reactor: 0.22 MGD

The BBARWA WWTP Treatment Upgrades also includes the installation of about 1,350 LF of brine pipeline anticipated to be sized between 8" to 10" from the pellet reactor to the solar evaporation ponds. Additionally, the BBARWA WWTP Treatment Upgrades also includes installation of a 50 gpm brine pump station and a 1,520 gpm pump station at the BBARWA WWTP to pump purified water to Shay Pond and Stanfield Marsh.



#### REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 2: LAKE DISCHARGE PIPELINE ALIGNMENT

The Replenish Big Bear Program would ultimately install a pipeline utilizing one of three alignments from the WWTP to Stanfield Marsh in the amount of about 19,940 LF sized at 12" in diameter.

## REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 3: SHAY POND CONVEYANCE PIPELINE

The Replenish Big Bear Program would ultimately install about 710 LF of 4" pipeline to reach Shay Pond from either an existing pipeline or a new 6" pipeline that would be 5,600 LF. As such, this Replenish Big Bear Component includes the installation of up to 6,310 LF of conveyance pipeline.

## REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 4: EVAPORATION POND

The Replenish Big Bear Program would include between 23 and 57 acres of evaporation ponds at the BBARWA WWTP site. The ponds would be segmented into different storage basins to allow for evaporation of the brine stream in a cycle of filling with brine, allowing the brine to evaporate, and then removing remaining brine. This Replenish Big Bear Component includes the installation of up to 2 monitoring wells.

#### REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 5: SAND CANYON

The Sand Canyon groundwater recharge project involves extracting Project water stored in the Lake to a temporary storage pond using existing infrastructure owned by a local resort. The Project water will then be pumped and conveyed to the Sand Canyon recharge area using a new pump station and pipeline.

As part of the Replenish Big Bear Program, the following will be constructed:

- A new 471 gpm pump station near the snowmaking pond, at the BBLDWP Sand Canyon Well site, to convey water to Sand Canyon.
- A new 8-inch pipeline that will discharge into Sand Canyon and will be approximately 7,200 feet in length.
- Two monitoring wells for groundwater recharge at Sand Canyon, as required by the future discharge permit.
- Installation of erosion control using rip rap or similar erosion control methods, at Sand Canyon.



JOHNSON VI HOLCOMB VALLEY Site SAN BERNAREINO Souices: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esti Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap cantalputors, and the GIS

**EXHIBIT 1-A: PROJECT LOCATION MAP** 



This page intentionally left blank



# 2 CLIMATE CHANGE SETTING

# 2.1 Introduction to Global Climate Change (GCC)

GCC is defined as the change in average meteorological conditions on the earth with respect to temperature, precipitation, and storms. The majority of scientists believe that the climate shift taking place since the Industrial Revolution is occurring at a quicker rate and magnitude than in the past. Scientific evidence suggests that GCC is the result of increased concentrations of GHGs in the earth's atmosphere, including carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), methane ( $CH_4$ ), nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ), and fluorinated gases. The majority of scientists believe that this increased rate of climate change is the result of GHGs resulting from human activity and industrialization over the past 200 years.

An individual project like the proposed Project evaluated in this GHGA cannot generate enough GHG emissions to affect a discernible change in global climate. However, the proposed Project may participate in the potential for GCC by its incremental contribution of GHGs combined with the cumulative increase of all other sources of GHGs, which when taken together constitute potential influences on GCC. Because these changes may have serious environmental consequences, Section 3.0 will evaluate the potential for the proposed Project to have a significant effect upon the environment as a result of its potential contribution to the greenhouse effect.

# 2.2 GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE DEFINED

GCC refers to the change in average meteorological conditions on the earth with respect to temperature, wind patterns, precipitation and storms. Global temperatures are regulated by naturally occurring atmospheric gases such as water vapor,  $CO_2$ ,  $N_2O$ ,  $CH_4$ , hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>). These particular gases are important due to their residence time (duration they stay) in the atmosphere, which ranges from 10 years to more than 100 years. These gases allow solar radiation into the earth's atmosphere, but prevent radioactive heat from escaping, thus warming the earth's atmosphere. GCC can occur naturally as it has in the past with the previous ice ages.

Gases that trap heat in the atmosphere are often referred to as GHGs. GHGs are released into the atmosphere by both natural and anthropogenic activity. Without the natural GHG effect, the earth's average temperature would be approximately 61 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) cooler than it is currently. The cumulative accumulation of these gases in the earth's atmosphere is considered to be the cause for the observed increase in the earth's temperature.

# 2.3 GREENHOUSE GASES

# 2.3.1 GHGs and Health Effects

GHGs trap heat in the atmosphere, creating a GHG effect that results in global warming and climate change. Many gases demonstrate these properties and as discussed in Table 2-1. For the purposes of this analysis, emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O were evaluated (see Table 3-1 later in this report) because these gases are the primary contributors to GCC from development projects.



Although there are other substances such as fluorinated gases that also contribute to GCC, these fluorinated gases were not evaluated as their sources are not well-defined and do not contain accepted emissions factors or methodology to accurately calculate these gases.

**TABLE 2-1: GREENHOUSE GASES** 

<b>Greenhouse Gases</b>	Description	Sources	Health Effects
Greenhouse Gases Water	Water is the most abundant, important, and variable GHG in the atmosphere. Water vapor is not considered a pollutant; in the atmosphere it maintains a climate necessary for life. Changes in its concentration are primarily considered to be a result of climate feedbacks related to the warming of the atmosphere rather than a direct result of industrialization. A climate feedback is an indirect, or secondary, change, either positive or negative, that occurs within the climate system in response to a forcing mechanism. The feedback loop in which water is involved is critically important to projecting future climate change.  As the temperature of the atmosphere rises, more water is evaporated from ground storage (rivers, oceans, reservoirs, soil). Because the air is warmer, the relative humidity can be higher (in essence, the air is able to 'hold' more water when it is warmer), leading to more water vapor in the atmosphere. As a GHG, the higher concentration of water vapor is then able to absorb more thermal indirect energy radiated from the Earth, thus further warming the atmosphere can then hold more water vapor and so on and so on. This is referred to as a "positive feedback loop." The extent to which this positive feedback loop will continue is	The main source of water vapor is evaporation from the oceans (approximately 85%). Other sources include evaporation from other water bodies, sublimation (change from solid to gas) from sea ice and snow, and transpiration from plant leaves.	Health Effects  There are no known direct health effects related to water vapor at this time. It should be noted however that when some pollutants react with water vapor, the reaction forms a transport mechanism for some of these pollutants to enter the human body through water vapor.



<b>Greenhouse Gases</b>	Description	Sources	Health Effects
	unknown as there are also dynamics that hold the positive feedback loop in check. As an example, when water vapor increases in the atmosphere, more of it will eventually condense into clouds, which are more able to reflect incoming solar radiation (thus allowing less energy to reach the earth's surface and heat it up) (12).		
CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub> is an odorless and colorless GHG. Since the industrial revolution began in the mid-1700s, the sort of human activity that increases GHG emissions has increased dramatically in scale and distribution. Data from the past 50 years suggests a corollary increase in levels and concentrations. As an example, prior to the industrial revolution, CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations were fairly stable at 280 parts per million (ppm). Today, they are around 370 ppm, an increase of more than 30%. Left unchecked, the concentration of CO <sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere is projected to increase to a minimum of 540 ppm by 2100 as a direct result of anthropogenic sources (13).	CO <sub>2</sub> is emitted from natural and manmade sources. Natural sources include: the decomposition of dead organic matter; respiration of bacteria, plants, animals and fungus; evaporation from oceans; and volcanic outgassing. Anthropogenic sources include: the burning of coal, oil, natural gas, and wood. CO <sub>2</sub> is naturally removed from the air by photosynthesis, dissolution into ocean water, transfer to soils and ice caps, and chemical weathering of carbonate rocks (14).	Outdoor levels of CO <sub>2</sub> are not high enough to result in negative health effects.  According to the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) high concentrations of CO <sub>2</sub> can result in health effects such as: headaches, dizziness, restlessness, difficulty breathing, sweating, increased heart rate, increased cardiac output, increased blood pressure, coma, asphyxia, and/or convulsions. It should be noted that current concentrations of CO <sub>2</sub> in the earth's atmosphere are estimated to be approximately 370 ppm, the actual reference exposure level (level at which adverse health effects typically occur) is at exposure levels of 5,000 ppm averaged over 10 hours in a 40-hour workweek and short-term reference exposure levels of 30,000 ppm averaged over a 15 minute period (15).



<b>Greenhouse Gases</b>	Description	Sources	Health Effects
CH <sub>4</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub> is an extremely effective absorber of radiation, although its atmospheric concentration is less than CO <sub>2</sub> and its lifetime in the atmosphere is brief (10-12 years), compared to other GHGs.	CH <sub>4</sub> has both natural and anthropogenic sources. It is released as part of the biological processes in low oxygen environments, such as in swamplands or in rice production (at the roots of the plants). Over the last 50 years, human activities such as growing rice, raising cattle, using natural gas, and mining coal have added to the atmospheric concentration of CH <sub>4</sub> . Other anthropocentric sources include fossil-fuel combustion and biomass burning (16).	CH <sub>4</sub> is extremely reactive with oxidizers, halogens, and other halogen-containing compounds. Exposure to high levels of CH <sub>4</sub> can cause asphyxiation, loss of consciousness, headache and dizziness, nausea and vomiting, weakness, loss of coordination, and an increased breathing rate.
N <sub>2</sub> O	N <sub>2</sub> O, also known as laughing gas, is a colorless GHG. Concentrations of N <sub>2</sub> O also began to rise at the beginning of the industrial revolution. In 1998, the global concentration was 314 parts per billion (ppb).	N <sub>2</sub> O is produced by microbial processes in soil and water, including those reactions which occur in fertilizer containing nitrogen. In addition to agricultural sources, some industrial processes (fossil fuel-fired power plants, nylon production, nitric acid production, and vehicle emissions) also contribute to its atmospheric load. It is used as an aerosol spray propellant, i.e., in whipped cream bottles. It is also	N <sub>2</sub> O can cause dizziness, euphoria, and sometimes slight hallucinations. In small doses, it is considered harmless. However, in some cases, heavy and extended use can cause Olney's Lesions (brain damage) (17).



<b>Greenhouse Gases</b>	Description	Sources	Health Effects
		used in potato chip bags to keep chips fresh. It is used in rocket engines and in race cars. N₂O can be transported into the stratosphere, be deposited on the earth's surface, and be converted to other compounds by chemical reaction (17).	
Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs)	CFCs are gases formed synthetically by replacing all hydrogen atoms in CH <sub>4</sub> or ethane (C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> ) with chlorine and/or fluorine atoms. CFCs are nontoxic, nonflammable, insoluble and chemically unreactive in the troposphere (the level of air at the earth's surface).	CFCs have no natural source but were first synthesized in 1928. They were used for refrigerants, aerosol propellants and cleaning solvents. Due to the discovery that they are able to destroy stratospheric ozone, a global effort to halt their production was undertaken and was extremely successful, so much so that levels of the major CFCs are now remaining steady or declining. However, their long atmospheric lifetimes mean that some of the CFCs will remain in the atmosphere for over 100 years (18).	In confined indoor locations, working with CFC-113 or other CFCs is thought to result in death by cardiac arrhythmia (heart frequency too high or too low) or asphyxiation.




<b>Greenhouse Gases</b>	Description	Sources	Health Effects
HFCs	HFCs are synthetic, man-made chemicals that are used as a substitute for CFCs. Out of all the GHGs, they are one of three groups with the highest global warming potential (GWP). The HFCs with the largest measured atmospheric abundances are (in order), fluoroform (CHF <sub>3</sub> ), 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane (CH <sub>2</sub> FCF), and 1,1-difluoroethane (CH <sub>3</sub> CF <sub>2</sub> ). Prior to 1990, the only significant emissions were of CHF <sub>3</sub> . CH <sub>2</sub> FCF emissions are increasing due to its use as a refrigerant.	HFCs are manmade for applications such as automobile air conditioners and refrigerants.	No health effects are known to result from exposure to HFCs.
PFCs	PFCs have stable molecular structures and do not break down through chemical processes in the lower atmosphere. High-energy ultraviolet rays, which occur about 60 kilometers above earth's surface, are able to destroy the compounds. Because of this, PFCs have very long lifetimes, between 10,000 and 50,000 years. Two common PFCs are tetrafluoromethane (CF <sub>4</sub> ) and hexafluoroethane (C <sub>2</sub> F <sub>6</sub> ). The EPA estimates that concentrations of CF <sub>4</sub> in the atmosphere are over 70 parts per trillion (ppt).	The two main sources of PFCs are primary aluminum production and semiconductor manufacture.	No health effects are known to result from exposure to PFCs.
SF <sub>6</sub>	SF <sub>6</sub> is an inorganic, odorless, colorless, nontoxic, nonflammable gas. It also has the highest GWP of any gas evaluated (23,900) (19). The EPA indicates that concentrations in the 1990s were about 4 ppt.	SF <sub>6</sub> is used for insulation in electric power transmission and distribution equipment, in the magnesium industry, in semiconductor manufacturing, and as a tracer gas for leak detection.	In high concentrations in confined areas, the gas presents the hazard of suffocation because it displaces the oxygen needed for breathing.



<b>Greenhouse Gases</b>	Description	Sources	Health Effects
Nitrogen Trifluoride (NF <sub>3</sub> )	NF <sub>3</sub> is a colorless gas with a distinctly moldy odor. The World Resources Institute (WRI) indicates that NF <sub>3</sub> has a 100-year GWP of 17,200 (20).	NF <sub>3</sub> is used in industrial processes and is produced in the manufacturing of semiconductors, Liquid Crystal Display (LCD) panels, types of solar panels, and chemical lasers.	Long-term or repeated exposure may affect the liver and kidneys and may cause fluorosis (21).

The potential health effects related directly to the emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O as they relate to development projects such as the proposed Project are still being debated in the scientific community. Their cumulative effects to GCC have the potential to cause adverse effects to human health. Increases in Earth's ambient temperatures would result in more intense heat waves, causing more heat-related deaths. Scientists also purport that higher ambient temperatures would increase disease survival rates and result in more widespread disease. Climate change will likely cause shifts in weather patterns, potentially resulting in devastating droughts and food shortages in some areas (22). Exhibit 2-A presents the potential impacts of global warming (23).

EXHIBIT 2-A: SUMMARY OF PROJECTED GLOBAL WARMING IMPACT, 2070-2099 (AS COMPARED WITH 1961-1990)



Source: Barbara H. Allen-Diaz. "Climate change affects us all." University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2009.



# 2.4 GLOBAL WARMING POTENTIAL

GHGs have varying GWP values. GWP of a GHG indicates the amount of warming a gas cause over a given period of time and represents the potential of a gas to trap heat in the atmosphere.  $CO_2$  is utilized as the reference gas for GWP, and thus has a GWP of 1.  $CO_2$  equivalent ( $CO_2$ e) is a term used for describing the difference GHGs in a common unit.  $CO_2$ e signifies the amount of  $CO_2$  which would have the equivalent GWP.

The atmospheric lifetime and GWP of selected GHGs are summarized at Table 2-2. As shown in the table below, GWP for the  $6^{th}$  Assessment Report, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)'s scientific and socio-economic assessment on climate change, range from 1 for  $CO_2$  to 25,200 for  $SF_6$  (24).

TABLE 2-2: GWP AND ATMOSPHERIC LIFETIME OF SELECT GHGS

Coo	Atmospheric Lifetime	GWP (100-year time horizon)	
Gas	(years)	6 <sup>th</sup> Assessment Report	
CO <sub>2</sub>	Multiple	1	
CH <sub>4</sub>	12 .4	28	
N <sub>2</sub> O	121	273	
HFC-23	222	14,600	
HFC-134a	13.4	1,526	
HFC-152a	1.5	164	
SF <sub>6</sub>	3,200	25,200	

Source: IPCC Second Assessment Report, 1995 and IPCC Sixth Assessment Report, 2022

# 2.5 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventories

# **2.5.1 GLOBAL**

Worldwide anthropogenic GHG emissions are tracked by the IPCC for industrialized nations (referred to as Annex I) and developing nations (referred to as Non-Annex I). Human GHG emissions data for Annex I nations are available through 2020. Based on the latest available data, the sum of these emissions totaled approximately 28,026,643 gigagram (Gg) CO<sub>2</sub>e<sup>1</sup> (25) (26) as summarized on Table 2-3.

( URBAN

15309-04 GHG Report

15

The global emissions are the sum of Annex I and non-Annex I countries, without counting Land-Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF). For countries without 2020 data, the United Nations' Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) data for the most recent year were used U.N. Framework Convention on Climate Change, "Annex I Parties – GHG total without LULUCF," The most recent GHG emissions for China and India are from 2014 and 2016, respectively.

#### 2.5.2 UNITED STATES

As noted in Table 2-3, the United States, as a single country, was the number two producer of GHG emissions in 2020.

TABLE 2-3: TOP GHG PRODUCING COUNTRIES AND THE EUROPEAN UNION 2

Emitting Countries	GHG Emissions (Gg CO₂e)
China	12,300,200
United States	5,981,354
European Union (27-member countries)	3,706,110
India	2,839,420
Russian Federation	2,051,437
Japan	1,148,122
Total	28,026,643

#### 2.5.3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

California has significantly slowed the rate of growth of GHG emissions due to the implementation of energy efficiency programs as well as adoption of strict emission controls but is still a substantial contributor to the United States (U.S.) emissions inventory total (27). The California Air Resource Board (CARB) compiles GHG inventories for the State of California. Based upon the 2022 GHG inventory data (i.e., the latest year for which data are available) for the 2000-2020 GHG emissions period, California emitted an average 369.2 million metric tons of  $CO_2e$  per year (MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr) or 369,200 Gg  $CO_2e$  (6.17% of the total United States GHG emissions) (28).

# 2.6 EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE IN CALIFORNIA

# 2.6.1 PUBLIC HEALTH

Higher temperatures may increase the frequency, duration, and intensity of conditions conducive to air pollution formation. For example, days with weather conducive to ozone formation could increase from 25 to 35% under the lower warming range to 75 to 85% under the medium warming range. In addition, if global background ozone levels increase as predicted in some scenarios, it may become impossible to meet local air quality standards. Air quality could be further compromised by increases in wildfires, which emit fine particulate matter that can travel long distances, depending on wind conditions. Based on *Our Changing Climate Assessing the Risks to California by the California Climate Change Center*, large wildfires could become up to 55% more frequent if GHG emissions are not significantly reduced (29).

In addition, under the higher warming range scenario, there could be up to 100 more days per year with temperatures above 90°F in Los Angeles and 95°F in Sacramento by 2100. This is a large increase over historical patterns and approximately twice the increase projected if temperatures

\_



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Used <a href="http://unfccc.int">https://unfccc.int</a> data for Annex I countries. Consulted the CAIT Climate Data Explorer in <a href="https://www.climatewatchdata.org">https://www.climatewatchdata.org</a> site to reference Non-Annex I countries of China and India.

rempain within or below the lower warming range. Rising temperatures could increase the risk of death from dehydration, heat stroke/exhaustion, heart attack, stroke, and respiratory distress caused by extreme heat.

# 2.6.2 WATER RESOURCES

A vast network of man-made reservoirs and aqueducts captures and transports water throughout the state from northern California rivers and the Colorado River. The current distribution system relies on Sierra Nevada snowpack to supply water during the dry spring and summer months. Rising temperatures, potentially compounded by decreases in precipitation, could severely reduce spring snowpack, increasing the risk of summer water shortages.

If temperatures continue to increase, more precipitation could fall as rain instead of snow, and the snow that does fall could melt earlier, reducing the Sierra Nevada spring snowpack by as much as 70 to 90%. Under the lower warming range scenario, snowpack losses could be only half as large as those possible if temperatures were to rise to the higher warming range. How much snowpack could be lost depends in part on future precipitation patterns, the projections for which remain uncertain. However, even under the wetter climate projections, the loss of snowpack could pose challenges to water managers and hamper hydropower generation. It could also adversely affect winter tourism. Under the lower warming range, the ski season at lower elevations could be reduced by as much as a month. If temperatures reach the higher warming range and precipitation declines, there might be many years with insufficient snow for skiing and snowboarding.

The State's water supplies are also at risk from rising sea levels. An influx of saltwater could degrade California's estuaries, wetlands, and groundwater aquifers. Saltwater intrusion caused by rising sea levels is a major threat to the quality and reliability of water within the southern edge of the Sacramento/San Joaquin River Delta – a major fresh water supply.

#### 2.6.3 AGRICULTURE

Increased temperatures could cause widespread changes to the agriculture industry reducing the quantity and quality of agricultural products statewide. First, California farmers could possibly lose as much as 25% of the water supply needed. Although higher CO<sub>2</sub> levels can stimulate plant production and increase plant water-use efficiency, California's farmers could face greater water demand for crops and a less reliable water supply as temperatures rise. Crop growth and development could change, as could the intensity and frequency of pest and disease outbreaks. Rising temperatures could aggravate ozone pollution, which makes plants more susceptible to disease and pests and interferes with plant growth.

Plant growth tends to be slow at low temperatures, increasing with rising temperatures up to a threshold. However, faster growth can result in less-than-optimal development for many crops, so rising temperatures could worsen the quantity and quality of yield for a number of California's agricultural products. Products likely to be most affected include wine grapes, fruits and nuts.

In addition, continued GCC could shift the ranges of existing invasive plants and weeds and alter competition patterns with native plants. Range expansion could occur in many species while



range contractions may be less likely in rapidly evolving species with significant populations already established. Should range contractions occur, new or different weed species could fill the emerging gaps. Continued GCC could alter the abundance and types of many pests, lengthen pests' breeding season, and increase pathogen growth rates.

#### 2.6.4 FORESTS AND LANDSCAPES

GCC has the potential to intensify the current threat to forests and landscapes by increasing the risk of wildfire and altering the distribution and character of natural vegetation. If temperatures rise into the medium warming range, the risk of large wildfires in California could increase by as much as 55%, which is almost twice the increase expected if temperatures stay in the lower warming range. However, since wildfire risk is determined by a combination of factors, including precipitation, winds, temperature, and landscape and vegetation conditions, future risks will not be uniform throughout the state. In contrast, wildfires in northern California could increase by up to 90% due to decreased precipitation.

Moreover, continued GCC has the potential to alter natural ecosystems and biological diversity within the state. For example, alpine and subalpine ecosystems could decline by as much as 60 to 80% by the end of the century as a result of increasing temperatures. The productivity of the state's forests has the potential to decrease as a result of GCC.

## 2.6.5 RISING SEA LEVELS

Rising sea levels, more intense coastal storms, and warmer water temperatures could increasingly threaten the state's coastal regions. Under the higher warming range scenario, sea level is anticipated to rise 22 to 35 inches by 2100. Elevations of this magnitude would inundate low-lying coastal areas with saltwater, accelerate coastal erosion, threaten vital levees and inland water systems, and disrupt wetlands and natural habitats. Under the lower warming range scenario, sea level could rise 12-14 inches.

## 2.7 REGULATORY SETTING

## 2.7.1 International

Climate change is a global issue involving GHG emissions from all around the world; therefore, countries such as the ones discussed below have made an effort to reduce GHGs.

# **IPCC**

In 1988, the United Nations (U.N.) and the World Meteorological Organization established the IPCC to assess the scientific, technical and socioeconomic information relevant to understanding the scientific basis of risk of human-induced climate change, its potential impacts, and options for adaptation and mitigation.

# United Nation's Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)

On March 21, 1994, the U.S. joined a number of countries around the world in signing the Convention. Under the Convention, governments gather and share information on GHG



emissions, national policies, and best practices; launch national strategies for addressing GHG emissions and adapting to expected impacts, including the provision of financial and technological support to developing countries; and cooperate in preparing for adaptation to the impacts of climate change.

#### **INTERNATIONAL CLIMATE CHANGE TREATIES**

The Kyoto Protocol is an international agreement linked to the Convention. The major feature of the Kyoto Protocol is that it sets binding targets for 37 industrialized countries and the European community for reducing GHG emissions at an average of 5% against 1990 levels over the five-year period 2008–2012. The Convention (as discussed above) encouraged industrialized countries to stabilize emissions; however, the Protocol commits them to do so. Developed countries have contributed more emissions over the last 150 years; therefore, the Protocol places a heavier burden on developed nations under the principle of "common but differentiated responsibilities."

In 2001, President George W. Bush indicated that he would not submit the treaty to the U.S. Senate for ratification, which effectively ended American involvement in the Kyoto Protocol. In December 2009, international leaders met in Copenhagen to address the future of international climate change commitments post-Kyoto. No binding agreement was reached in Copenhagen; however, the Committee identified the long-term goal of limiting the maximum global average temperature increase to no more than 2 degrees Celsius (°C) above pre-industrial levels, subject to a review in 2015. The UN Climate Change Committee held additional meetings in Durban, South Africa in November 2011; Doha, Qatar in November 2012; and Warsaw, Poland in November 2013. The meetings are gradually gaining consensus among participants on individual climate change issues.

On September 23, 2014 more than 100 Heads of State and Government and leaders from the private sector and civil society met at the Climate Summit in New York hosted by the U.N. At the Summit, heads of government, business and civil society announced actions in areas that would have the greatest impact on reducing emissions, including climate finance, energy, transport, industry, agriculture, cities, forests, and building resilience.

Parties to the U.N. Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) reached a landmark agreement on December 12, 2015 in Paris, charting a fundamentally new course in the two-decade-old global climate effort. Culminating a four-year negotiating round, the new treaty ends the strict differentiation between developed and developing countries that characterized earlier efforts, replacing it with a common framework that commits all countries to put forward their best efforts and to strengthen them in the years ahead. This includes, for the first time, requirements that all parties report regularly on their emissions and implementation efforts and undergo international review.

The agreement and a companion decision by parties were the key outcomes of the conference, known as the 21<sup>st</sup> session of the UNFCCC Conference of the Parties (COP) 21. Together, the Paris Agreement and the accompanying COP decision:



- Reaffirm the goal of limiting global temperature increase well below 2°C, while urging efforts to limit the increase to 1.5 degrees;
- Establish binding commitments by all parties to make "nationally determined contributions" (NDCs), and to pursue domestic measures aimed at achieving them;
- Commit all countries to report regularly on their emissions and "progress made in implementing and achieving" their NDCs, and to undergo international review;
- Commit all countries to submit new NDCs every five years, with the clear expectation that they will "represent a progression" beyond previous ones;
- Reaffirm the binding obligations of developed countries under the UNFCCC to support the
  efforts of developing countries, while for the first time encouraging voluntary contributions
  by developing countries too;
- Extend the current goal of mobilizing \$100 billion a year in support by 2020 through 2025, with a new, higher goal to be set for the period after 2025;
- Extend a mechanism to address "loss and damage" resulting from climate change, which explicitly will not "involve or provide a basis for any liability or compensation;"
- Require parties engaging in international emissions trading to avoid "double counting;" and
- Call for a new mechanism, similar to the Clean Development Mechanism under the Kyoto Protocol, enabling emission reductions in one country to be counted toward another country's NDC (C2ES 2015a) (30).

Following President Biden's day one executive order, the United States officially rejoined the landmark Paris Agreement on February 19, 2021, positioning the country to once again be part of the global climate solution. Meanwhile, city, state, business, and civic leaders across the country and around the world have been ramping up efforts to drive the clean energy advances needed to meet the goals of the agreement and put the brakes on dangerous climate change.

# 2.7.2 NATIONAL

Prior to the last decade, there have been no concrete federal regulations of GHGs or major planning for climate change adaptation. The following are actions regarding the federal government, GHGs, and fuel efficiency.

#### **GHG** ENDANGERMENT

In Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency 549 U.S. 497 (2007), decided on April 2, 2007, the United States Supreme Court (U.S. Court) found that four GHGs, including CO<sub>2</sub>, are air pollutants subject to regulation under Section 202(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). The Court held that the EPA Administrator must determine whether emissions of GHGs from new motor vehicles cause or contribute to air pollution, which may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare, or whether the science is too uncertain to make a reasoned decision. On December 7, 2009, the EPA Administrator signed two distinct findings regarding GHGs under section 202(a) of the CAA:



- Endangerment Finding: The Administrator finds that the current and projected concentrations of the six key well-mixed GHGs— CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, HFCs, PFCs, and SF<sub>6</sub>—in the atmosphere threaten the public health and welfare of current and future generations.
- Cause or Contribute Finding: The Administrator finds that the combined emissions of these well-mixed GHGs from new motor vehicles and new motor vehicle engines contribute to the GHG pollution, which threatens public health and welfare.

These findings do not impose requirements on industry or other entities. However, this was a prerequisite for implementing GHG emissions standards for vehicles, as discussed in the section "Clean Vehicles" below. After a lengthy legal challenge, the U.S. Court declined to review an Appeals Court ruling that upheld the EPA Administrator's findings (31).

#### **CLEAN VEHICLES**

Congress first passed the Corporate Average Fuel Economy law in 1975 to increase the fuel economy of cars and light duty trucks. The law has become more stringent over time. On May 19, 2009, President Obama put in motion a new national policy to increase fuel economy for all new cars and trucks sold in the U.S. On April 1, 2010, the EPA and the Department of Transportation's National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) announced a joint final rule establishing a national program that would reduce GHG emissions and improve fuel economy for new cars and trucks sold in the U.S.

The first phase of the national program applies to passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty (MD) passenger vehicles, covering model years 2012 through 2016. They require these vehicles to meet an estimated combined average emissions level of 250 grams of CO<sub>2</sub> per mile, equivalent to 35.5 miles per gallon (mpg) if the automobile industry were to meet this CO<sub>2</sub> level solely through fuel economy improvements. Together, these standards would cut CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by an estimated 960 million metric tons and 1.8 billion barrels of oil over the lifetime of the vehicles sold under the program (model years 2012–2016). The EPA and the NHTSA issued final rules on a second-phase joint rulemaking establishing national standards for light-duty vehicles for model years 2017 through 2025 in August 2012. The new standards for model years 2017 through 2025 apply to passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and MD passenger vehicles. The final standards are projected to result in an average industry fleetwide level of 163 grams/mile of CO<sub>2</sub> in model year 2025, which is equivalent to 54.5 mpg if achieved exclusively through fuel economy improvements.

The EPA and the U.S. Department of Transportation issued final rules for the first national standards to reduce GHG emissions and improve fuel efficiency of heavy-duty trucks (HDT) and buses on September 15, 2011, effective November 14, 2011. For combination tractors, the agencies are proposing engine and vehicle standards that begin in the 2014 model year and achieve up to a 20% reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and fuel consumption by the 2018 model year. For HDT and vans, the agencies are proposing separate gasoline and diesel truck standards, which phase in starting in the 2014 model year and achieve up to a 10% reduction for gasoline vehicles and a 15% reduction for diesel vehicles by the 2018 model year (12 and 17% respectively if accounting for air conditioning leakage). Lastly, for vocational vehicles, the engine and vehicle



standards would achieve up to a 10% reduction in fuel consumption and  $CO_2$  emissions from the 2014 to 2018 model years.

On April 2, 2018, the EPA signed the Mid-term Evaluation Final Determination, which declared that the MY 2022-2025 GHG standards are not appropriate and should be revised (32). This Final Determination serves to initiate a notice to further consider appropriate standards for MY 2022-2025 light-duty vehicles. On August 2, 2018, the NHTSA in conjunction with the EPA, released a notice of proposed rulemaking, the Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021-2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks (SAFE Vehicles Rule). The SAFE Vehicles Rule was proposed to amend existing Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) and tailpipe CO<sub>2</sub> standards for passenger cars and light trucks and to establish new standards covering model years 2021 through 2026. As of March 31, 2020, the NHTSA and EPA finalized the SAFE Vehicle Rule which increased stringency of CAFE and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions standards by 1.5% each year through model year 2026 (33). On December 21, 2021, after reviewing all the public comments submitted on NHTSA's April 2021 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, NHTSA finalizes the CAFE Preemption rulemaking to withdraw its portions of the so-called SAFE I Rule. The final rule concludes that the SAFE I Rule overstepped the agency's legal authority and established overly broad prohibitions that did not account for a variety of important state and local interests. The final rule ensures that the SAFE I Rule will no longer form an improper barrier to states exploring creative solutions to address their local communities' environmental and public health challenges (34).

On March 31, 2022, NHTSA finalized CAFE standards for MY 2024-2026. The standards for passenger cars and light trucks for MYs 2024-2025 were increased at a rate of 8% per year and then increased at a rate of 10% per year for MY 2026 vehicles. NHTSA currently projects that the revised standards would require an industry fleet-wide average of roughly 49 mpg in MY 2026 and would reduce average fuel outlays over the lifetimes of affected vehicles that provide consumers hundreds of dollars in net savings. These standards are directly responsive to the agency's statutory mandate to improve energy conservation and reduce the nation's energy dependence on foreign sources (35).

## MANDATORY REPORTING OF GHGS

The Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2008, passed in December 2007, requires the establishment of mandatory GHG reporting requirements. On September 22, 2009, the EPA issued the Final Mandatory Reporting of GHGs Rule, which became effective January 1, 2010. The rule requires reporting of GHG emissions from large sources and suppliers in the U.S. and is intended to collect accurate and timely emissions data to inform future policy decisions. Under the rule, suppliers of fossil fuels or industrial GHGs, manufacturers of vehicles and engines, and facilities that emit 25,000 metric tons per year (MT/yr) or more of GHG emissions are required to submit annual reports to the EPA.

#### **NEW SOURCE REVIEW**

The EPA issued a final rule on May 13, 2010, that establishes thresholds for GHGs that define when permits under the New Source Review Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V Operating Permit programs are required for new and existing industrial facilities. This final rule



"tailors" the requirements of these CAA permitting programs to limit which facilities will be required to obtain Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V permits. In the preamble to the revisions to the Federal Code of Regulations, the EPA states:

"This rulemaking is necessary because without it the Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V requirements would apply, as of January 2, 2011, at the 100 or 250 tons per year levels provided under the CAA, greatly increasing the number of required permits, imposing undue costs on small sources, overwhelming the resources of permitting authorities, and severely impairing the functioning of the programs. EPA is relieving these resource burdens by phasing in the applicability of these programs to GHG sources, starting with the largest GHG emitters. This rule establishes two initial steps of the phase-in. The rule also commits the agency to take certain actions on future steps addressing smaller sources but excludes certain smaller sources from Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V permitting for GHG emissions until at least April 30, 2016."

The EPA estimates that facilities responsible for nearly 70% of the national GHG emissions from stationary sources will be subject to permitting requirements under this rule. This includes the nation's largest GHG emitters—power plants, refineries, and cement production facilities.

# STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE FOR GHG EMISSIONS FOR NEW STATIONARY SOURCES: ELECTRIC UTILITY GENERATING UNITS

As required by a settlement agreement, the EPA proposed new performance standards for emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> for new, affected, fossil fuel-fired electric utility generating units on March 27, 2012. New sources greater than 25 megawatts (MW) would be required to meet an output-based standard of 1,000 pounds (lbs) of CO<sub>2</sub> per MW-hour (MWh), based on the performance of widely used natural gas combined cycle technology. It should be noted that on February 9, 2016, the Supreme Court issued a stay of this regulation pending litigation. Additionally, the current EPA Administrator has also signed a measure to repeal the Clean Power Plan, including the CO<sub>2</sub> standards. The Clean Power Plan was officially repealed on June 19, 2019, when the EPA issued the final Affordable Clean Energy rule (ACE). Under ACE, new state-specific emission guidelines were established that provided existing coal-fired electric utility generating units with achievable standards.

On January 19, 2021, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that the EPA's ACE Rule for GHG emissions from power plants rested on an erroneous interpretation of the CAA that barred EPA from considering measures beyond those that apply at and to an individual source. The court therefore vacated and remanded the ACE Rule and adopted a replacement rule which regulates  $CO_2$  emissions from existing power plants, potentially again considering generation shifting and other measures to more aggressively target power sector emissions.

# **CAP-AND-TRADE**

Cap-and-trade refers to a policy tool where emissions are limited to a certain amount and can be traded or provides flexibility on how the emitter can comply. Successful examples in the U.S.



include the Acid Rain Program and the  $N_2O$  Budget Trading Program and Clean Air Interstate Rule in the northeast. There is no federal GHG cap-and-trade program currently; however, some states have joined to create initiatives to provide a mechanism for cap-and-trade.

The Regional GHG Initiative is an effort to reduce GHGs among the states of Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont. Each state caps  $CO_2$  emissions from power plants, auctions  $CO_2$  emission allowances, and invests the proceeds in strategic energy programs that further reduce emissions, save consumers money, create jobs, and build a clean energy economy. The Initiative began in 2008 and in 2020 has retained all participating states.

The Western Climate Initiative (WCI) partner jurisdictions have developed a comprehensive initiative to reduce regional GHG emissions to 15% below 2005 levels by 2020. The partners were originally California, British Columbia, Manitoba, Ontario, and Quebec. However, Manitoba and Ontario are not currently participating. California linked with Quebec's cap-and-trade system January 1, 2014, and joint offset auctions took place in 2015. While the WCI has yet to publish whether it has successfully reached the 2020 emissions goal initiative set in 2007, SB 32, requires that California, a major partner in the WCI, adopt the goal of reducing statewide GHG emissions to 40% below the 1990 level by 2030.

## **SMARTWAY PROGRAM**

The SmartWay Program is a public-private initiative between the EPA, large and small trucking companies, rail carriers, logistics companies, commercial manufacturers, retailers, and other federal and state agencies. Its purpose is to improve fuel efficiency and the environmental performance (reduction of both GHG emissions and air pollution) of the goods movement supply chains. SmartWay is comprised of four components (36):

- 1. SmartWay Transport Partnership: A partnership in which freight carriers and shippers commit to benchmark operations, track fuel consumption, and improve performance annually.
- 2. SmartWay Technology Program: A testing, verification, and designation program to help freight companies identify equipment, technologies, and strategies that save fuel and lower emissions.
- 3. SmartWay Vehicles: A program that ranks light-duty cars and small trucks and identifies superior environmental performers with the SmartWay logo.
- 4. SmartWay International Interests: Guidance and resources for countries seeking to develop freight sustainability programs modeled after SmartWay.

SmartWay effectively refers to requirements geared towards reducing fuel consumption. Most large trucking fleets driving newer vehicles are compliant with SmartWay design requirements. Moreover, over time, all HDTs will have to comply with the CARB GHG Regulation that is designed with the SmartWay Program in mind, to reduce GHG emissions by making them more fuel-efficient. For instance, in 2015, 53 foot or longer dry vans or refrigerated trailers equipped with a combination of SmartWay-verified low-rolling resistance tires and SmartWay-verified aerodynamic devices would obtain a total of 10% or more fuel savings over traditional trailers.



Through the SmartWay Technology Program, the EPA has evaluated the fuel saving benefits of various devices through grants, cooperative agreements, emissions and fuel economy testing, demonstration projects and technical literature review. As a result, the EPA has determined the following types of technologies provide fuel saving and/or emission reducing benefits when used properly in their designed applications, and has verified certain products:

- Idle reduction technologies less idling of the engine when it is not needed would reduce fuel consumption.
- Aerodynamic technologies minimize drag and improve airflow over the entire tractor-trailer vehicle. Aerodynamic technologies include gap fairings that reduce turbulence between the tractor and trailer, side skirts that minimize wind under the trailer, and rear fairings that reduce turbulence and pressure drop at the rear of the trailer.
- Low rolling resistance tires can roll longer without slowing down, thereby reducing the amount of fuel used. Rolling resistance (or rolling friction or rolling drag) is the force resisting the motion when a tire rolls on a surface. The wheel will eventually slow down because of this resistance.
- Retrofit technologies include things such as diesel particulate filters, emissions upgrades (to a higher tier), etc., which would reduce emissions.
- Federal excise tax exemptions.

#### **EXECUTIVE ORDER 13990**

On January 20, 2021, Federal agencies were directed to immediately review, and take action to address, Federal regulations promulgated and other actions taken during the last 4 years that conflict with national objectives to improve public health and the environment; ensure access to clean air and water; limit exposure to dangerous chemicals and pesticides; hold polluters accountable, including those who disproportionately harm communities of color and low-income communities; reduce GHG emissions; bolster resilience to the impacts of climate change; restore and expand our national treasures and monuments; and prioritize both environmental justice and employment.

#### 2.7.3 CALIFORNIA

#### **LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS TO REDUCE GHGS**

The State of California legislature has enacted a series of bills that constitute the most aggressive program to reduce GHGs of any state in the nation. Some legislation such as the landmark AB 32 was specifically enacted to address GHG emissions. Other legislation such as Title 24 and Title 20 energy standards were originally adopted for other purposes such as energy and water conservation, but also provide GHG reductions. This section describes the major provisions of the legislation.



#### **AB 32**

The California State Legislature enacted AB 32, which required that GHGs emitted in California be reduced to 1990 levels by the year 2020 (this goal has been met<sup>3</sup>). GHGs as defined under AB 32 include  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $N_2O$ , HFCs, PFCs, and  $SF_6$ . Since AB 32 was enacted, a seventh chemical,  $NF_3$ , has also been added to the list of GHGs. CARB is the state agency charged with monitoring and regulating sources of GHGs. Pursuant to AB 32, CARB adopted regulations to achieve the maximum technologically feasible and cost-effective GHG emission reductions. AB 32 states the following:

"Global warming poses a serious threat to the economic well-being, public health, natural resources, and the environment of California. The potential adverse impacts of global warming include the exacerbation of air quality problems, a reduction in the quality and supply of water to the state from the Sierra snowpack, a rise in sea levels resulting in the displacement of thousands of coastal businesses and residences, damage to marine ecosystems and the natural environment, and an increase in the incidences of infectious diseases, asthma, and other human health-related problems."

#### **SB 32**

On September 8, 2016, Governor Jerry Brown signed the SB 32 and its companion bill, AB 197. SB 32 requires the state to reduce statewide GHG emissions to 40% below 1990 levels by 2030, a reduction target that was first introduced in Executive Order B-30-15. The new legislation builds upon the AB 32 goal and provides an intermediate goal to achieving S-3-05, which sets a statewide GHG reduction target of 80% below 1990 levels by 2050. AB 197 creates a legislative committee to oversee regulators to ensure that CARB not only responds to the Governor, but also the Legislature (11).

## **2017 CARB SCOPING PLAN**

In November 2017, CARB released the *Final 2017 Scoping Plan Update*, which identifies the State's post-2020 reduction strategy. The *Final 2017 Scoping Plan Update* reflects the 2030 target of a 40% reduction below 1990 levels, set by Executive Order B-30-15 and codified by SB 32. Key programs that the proposed Second Update builds upon include the Cap-and-Trade Regulation, the LCFS, and much cleaner cars, trucks and freight movement, utilizing cleaner, renewable energy, and strategies to reduce CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from agricultural and other wastes.

The *Final 2017 Scoping Plan Update* establishes a new emissions limit of 260 MMTCO₂e for the year 2030, which corresponds to a 40% decrease in 1990 levels by 2030 (37).

California's climate strategy will require contributions from all sectors of the economy, including the land base, and will include enhanced focus on zero- and near-zero-emission (ZE/NZE) vehicle technologies; continued investment in renewables, including solar roofs, wind, and other

\_



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Based upon the 2019 GHG inventory data (i.e., the latest year for which data are available) for the 2000-2017 GHG emissions period, California emitted an average 424.1 MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e **Invalid source specified.**. This is less than the 2020 emissions target of 431 MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e.

distributed generation; greater use of low carbon fuels; integrated land conservation and development strategies; coordinated efforts to reduce emissions of short-lived climate pollutants (CH<sub>4</sub>, black carbon, and fluorinated gases); and an increased focus on integrated land use planning to support livable, transit-connected communities and conservation of agricultural and other lands. Requirements for direct GHG reductions at refineries will further support air quality co-benefits in neighborhoods, including in disadvantaged communities historically located adjacent to these large stationary sources, as well as efforts with California's local air pollution control and air quality management districts (air districts) to tighten emission limits on a broad spectrum of industrial sources. Major elements of the *Final 2017 Scoping Plan Update* framework include:

- Implementing and/or increasing the standards of the Mobile Source Strategy, which include increasing ZEV buses and trucks.
- LCFS, with an increased stringency (18% by 2030).
- Implementing SB 350, which expands the RPS to 50% RPS and doubles energy efficiency savings by 2030.
- California Sustainable Freight Action Plan, which improves freight system efficiency, utilizes near-zero emissions technology, and deployment of zero-emission vehicles (ZEV) trucks.
- Implementing the proposed Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Strategy (SLPS), which focuses on reducing CH<sub>4</sub> and hydroflurocarbon emissions by 40% and anthropogenic black carbon emissions by 50% by year 2030.
- Continued implementation of SB 375.
- Post-2020 Cap-and-Trade Program that includes declining caps.
- 20% reduction in GHG emissions from refineries by 2030.
- Development of a Natural and Working Lands Action Plan to secure California's land base as a net carbon sink.

Note, however, that the Final 2017 Scoping Plan Update acknowledges that:

"[a]chieving net zero increases in GHG emissions, resulting in no contribution to GHG impacts, may not be feasible or appropriate for every project, however, and the inability of a project to mitigate its GHG emissions to net zero does not imply the project results in a substantial contribution to the cumulatively significant environmental impact of climate change under CEQA."

In addition to the statewide strategies listed above, the *Final 2017 Scoping Plan Update* also identifies local governments as essential partners in achieving the State's long-term GHG reduction goals and identifies local actions to reduce GHG emissions. As part of the recommended actions, CARB recommends that local governments achieve a community-wide goal to achieve emissions of no more than 6 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e (MTCO<sub>2</sub>e) or less per capita by 2030 and 2 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e or less per capita by 2050. For CEQA projects, CARB states that lead agencies may develop evidenced-based bright-line numeric thresholds—consistent with the Scoping Plan and the State's long-term GHG goals—and projects with emissions over that amount may be required to incorporate on-site design features and mitigation measures that avoid or minimize



project emissions to the degree feasible; or, a performance-based metric using a CAP or other plan to reduce GHG emissions is appropriate.

According to research conducted by the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) and supported by CARB, California, under its existing and proposed GHG reduction policies, could achieve the 2030 goals under SB 32. The research utilized a new, validated model known as the California LBNL GHG Analysis of Policies Spreadsheet (CALGAPS), which simulates GHG and criteria pollutant emissions in California from 2010 to 2050 in accordance to existing and future GHG-reducing policies. The CALGAPS model showed that by 2030, emissions could range from 211 to 428 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e per year (MTCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr), indicating that "even if all modeled policies are not implemented, reductions could be sufficient to reduce emissions 40% below the 1990 level [of SB 32]." CALGAPS analyzed emissions through 2050 even though it did not generally account for policies that might be put in place after 2030. Although the research indicated that the emissions would not meet the State's 80% reduction goal by 2050, various combinations of policies could allow California's cumulative emissions to remain very low through 2050 (38) (39).

#### **2022 CARB Scoping Plan**

On December 15, 2022, CARB adopted the 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality (2022 Scoping Plan) (40). The 2022 Scoping Plan builds on the 2017 Scoping Plan as well as the requirements set forth by AB 1279, which directs the state to become carbon neutral no later than 2045. To achieve this statutory objective, the 2022 Scoping Plan lays out how California can reduce GHG emissions by 85% below 1990 levels and achieve carbon neutrality by 2045. The Scoping Plan scenario to do this is to "deploy a broad portfolio of existing and emerging fossil fuel alternatives and clean technologies, and align with statutes, Executive Orders, Board direction, and direction from the governor." The 2022 Scoping Plan sets one of the most aggressive approaches to reach carbon neutrality in the world. Unlike the 2017 Scoping Plan, CARB no longer includes a numeric per capita threshold and instead advocates for compliance with a local GHG reduction strategy (CAP) consistent with CEQA Guidelines section 15183.5.

The key elements of the 2022 CARB Scoping Plan focus on transportation - the regulations that will impact this sector are adopted and enforced by CARB on vehicle manufacturers and outside the jurisdiction and control of local governments. As stated in the Plan's executive summary:

"The major element of this unprecedented transformation is the aggressive reduction of fossil fuels wherever they are currently used in California, building on and accelerating carbon reduction programs that have been in place for a decade and a half. That means rapidly moving to zero-emission transportation; electrifying the cars, buses, trains, and trucks that now constitute California's single largest source of planet-warming pollution."

"[A]pproval of this plan catalyzes a number of efforts, including the development of new regulations as well as amendments to strengthen regulations and programs already in place, not just at CARB but across state agencies."

Under the 2022 Scoping Plan, the State will lead efforts to meet the 2045 carbon neutrality goal through implementation of the following objectives:



- Reimagine roadway projects that increase VMT in a way that meets community needs and reduces the need to drive.
- Double local transit capacity and service frequencies by 2030.
- Complete the High-Speed Rail (HSR) System and other elements of the intercity rail network by 2040.
- Expand and complete planned networks of high-quality active transportation infrastructure.
- Increase availability and affordability of bikes, e-bikes, scooters, and other alternatives to lightduty vehicles, prioritizing needs of underserved communities.
- Shift revenue generation for transportation projects away from the gas tax into more durable sources by 2030.
- Authorize and implement roadway pricing strategies and reallocate revenues to equitably improve transit, bicycling, and other sustainable transportation choices.
- Prioritize addressing key transit bottlenecks and other infrastructure investments to improve transit operational efficiency over investments that increase VMT.
- Develop and implement a statewide transportation demand management (TDM) framework with VMT mitigation requirements for large employers and large developments.
- Prevent uncontrolled growth of autonomous vehicle (AV) VMT, particularly zero-passenger miles.
- Channel new mobility services towards pooled use models, transit complementarity, and lower VMT outcomes.
- Establish an integrated statewide system for trip planning, booking, payment, and user accounts that enables efficient and equitable multimodal systems.
- Provide financial support for low-income and disadvantaged Californians' use of transit and new mobility services.
- Expand universal design features for new mobility services.
- Accelerate infill development in existing transportation-efficient places and deploy strategic resources to create more transportation-efficient locations.
- Encourage alignment in land use, housing, transportation, and conservation planning in adopted regional plans (RTP/SCS and RHNA) and local plans (e.g., general plans, zoning, and local transportation plans).
- Accelerate production of affordable housing in forms and locations that reduce VMT and affirmatively further fair housing policy objectives.
- Reduce or eliminate parking requirements (and/or enact parking maximums, as appropriate) and promote redevelopment of excess parking, especially in infill locations.
- Preserve and protect existing affordable housing stock and protect existing residents and businesses from displacement and climate risk.

Included in the 2022 Scoping Plan is a set of Local Actions (Appendix D to the 2022 Scoping Plan) aimed at providing local jurisdictions with tools to reduce GHGs and assist the state in meeting the ambitious targets set forth in the 2022 Scoping Plan. Appendix D to the 2022 Scoping Plan includes a section on evaluating plan-level and project-level alignment with the State's Climate Goals in CEQA GHG analyses. In this section, CARB identifies several recommendations and strategies that should be considered for new development in order to determine consistency



with the 2022 Scoping Plan. Notably, this section is focused on Residential and Mixed-Use Projects, in fact CARB states in Appendix D (page 4): "...focuses primarily on climate action plans (CAPs) and local authority over new residential development. It does not address other land use types (e.g., industrial) or air permitting."

Additionally on Page 21 in Appendix D, CARB states: "The recommendations outlined in this section apply only to residential and mixed-use development project types. California currently faces both a housing crisis and a climate crisis, which necessitates prioritizing recommendations for residential projects to address the housing crisis in a manner that simultaneously supports the State's GHG and regional air quality goals. CARB plans to continue to explore new approaches for other land use types in the future." As such, it would be inappropriate to apply the requirements contained in Appendix D of the 2022 Scoping Plan to any land use types other than residential or mixed-use residential development.

#### **CAP-AND-TRADE PROGRAM**

The Scoping Plan identifies a Cap-and-Trade Program as one of the key strategies for California to reduce GHG emissions. According to CARB, a cap-and-trade program will help put California on the path to meet its goal of achieving a 40% reduction in GHG emissions from 1990 levels by 2030. Under cap-and-trade, an overall limit on GHG emissions from capped sectors is established, and facilities subject to the cap will be able to trade permits to emit GHGs within the overall limit.

CARB adopted a California Cap-and-Trade Program pursuant to its authority under AB 32. The Cap-and-Trade Program is designed to reduce GHG emissions from regulated entities by more than 16% between 2013 and 2020, and by an additional 40% by 2030. The statewide cap for GHG emissions from the capped sectors (e.g., electricity generation, petroleum refining, and cement production) commenced in 2013 and will decline over time, achieving GHG emission reductions throughout the program's duration.

Covered entities that emit more than 25,000 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr must comply with the Cap-and-Trade Program. Triggering of the 25,000 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr "inclusion threshold" is measured against a subset of emissions reported and verified under the California Regulation for the Mandatory Reporting of GHG Emissions (Mandatory Reporting Rule or "MRR").

Under the Cap-and-Trade Program, CARB issues allowances equal to the total amount of allowable emissions over a given compliance period and distributes these to regulated entities. Covered entities are allocated free allowances in whole or part (if eligible), and may buy allowances at auction, purchase allowances from others, or purchase offset credits. Each covered entity with a compliance obligation is required to surrender "compliance instruments" for each MTCO<sub>2</sub>e of GHG they emit. There also are requirements to surrender compliance instruments covering 30% of the prior year's compliance obligation by November of each year (41).

The Cap-and-Trade Program provides a firm cap, which provides the highest certainty of achieving the 2030 target. An inherent feature of the Cap-and-Trade program is that it does not guarantee GHG emissions reductions in any discrete location or by any particular source. Rather, GHG emissions reductions are only guaranteed on an accumulative basis. As summarized by CARB in the *First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan*:



"The Cap-and-Trade Regulation gives companies the flexibility to trade allowances with others or take steps to cost-effectively reduce emissions at their own facilities. Companies that emit more have to turn in more allowances or other compliance instruments. Companies that can cut their GHG emissions have to turn in fewer allowances. But as the cap declines, aggregate emissions must be reduced. In other words, a covered entity theoretically could increase its GHG emissions every year and still comply with the Cap-and-Trade Program if there is a reduction in GHG emissions from other covered entities. Such a focus on aggregate GHG emissions is considered appropriate because climate change is a global phenomenon, and the effects of GHG emissions are considered cumulative." (42)

The Cap-and-Trade Program covered approximately 80% of California's GHG emissions (37). The Cap-and-Trade Program covers the GHG emissions associated with electricity consumed in California, whether generated in-state or imported. Accordingly, GHG emissions associated with CEQA projects' electricity usage are covered by the Cap-and-Trade Program. The Cap-and-Trade Program also covers fuel suppliers (natural gas and propane fuel providers and transportation fuel providers) to address emissions from such fuels and from combustion of other fossil fuels not directly covered at large sources in the Program's first compliance period. The Cap-and-Trade Program covers the GHG emissions associated with the combustion of transportation fuels in California, whether refined in-state or imported.

#### THE SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES AND CLIMATE PROTECTION ACT OF 2008 (SB 375)

According to SB 375, the transportation sector is the largest contributor of GHG emissions, which emits over 40% of the total GHG emissions in California. SB 375 states, "Without improved land use and transportation policy, California will not be able to achieve the goals of AB 32." SB 375 does the following: it (1) requires metropolitan planning organizations to include sustainable community strategies in their regional transportation plans for reducing GHG emissions, (2) aligns planning for transportation and housing, and (3) creates specified incentives for the implementation of the strategies.

Concerning CEQA, SB 375, as codified in Public Resources Code Section 21159.28, states that CEQA findings for certain projects are not required to reference, describe, or discuss (1) growth inducing impacts, or (2) any project-specific or cumulative impacts from cars and light-duty truck trips generated by the project on global warming or the regional transportation network, if the project:

- 1. Is in an area with an approved sustainable communities strategy or an alternative planning strategy that the CARB accepts as achieving the GHG emission reduction targets.
- 2. Is consistent with that strategy (in designation, density, building intensity, and applicable policies).
- 3. Incorporates the mitigation measures required by an applicable prior environmental document.

#### **AB 1493**

The second phase of the implementation for the Pavley bill was incorporated into Amendments to the Low-Emission Vehicle Program (LEV III) or the Advanced Clean Cars (ACC) program. The ACC program combines the control of smog-causing pollutants and GHG emissions into a single



coordinated package of requirements for MY 2017 through 2025. The regulation will reduce GHGs from new cars by 34% from 2016 levels by 2025. The new rules will clean up gasoline and diesel-powered cars, and deliver increasing numbers of zero-emission technologies, such as full battery electric cars, newly emerging plug-in hybrid EV and hydrogen fuel cell cars. The package will also ensure adequate fueling infrastructure is available for the increasing numbers of hydrogen fuel cell vehicles planned for deployment in California. On March 9, EPA reinstated California's authority under the Clean Air Act to implement its own GHG emission standards for cars and light trucks, which other states can also adopt and enforce. With this authority restored, EPA will continue partnering with states to advance the next generation of clean vehicle technologies.

#### CLEAN ENERGY AND POLLUTION REDUCTION ACT OF 2015 (SB 350)

In October 2015, the legislature approved, and the Governor signed SB 350, which reaffirms California's commitment to reducing its GHG emissions and addressing climate change. Key provisions include an increase in the RPS, higher energy efficiency requirements for buildings, initial strategies towards a regional electricity grid, and improved infrastructure for EV charging stations. Provisions for a 50% reduction in the use of petroleum statewide were removed from the Bill because of opposition and concern that it would prevent the Bill's passage. Specifically, SB 350 requires the following to reduce statewide GHG emissions:

- Increase the amount of electricity procured from renewable energy sources from 33% to 50% by 2030, with interim targets of 40% by 2024, and 25% by 2027.
- Double the energy efficiency in existing buildings by 2030. This target will be achieved through the California Public Utility Commission (CPUC), the California Energy Commission (CEC), and local publicly owned utilities.
- Reorganize the Independent System Operator to develop more regional electrify transmission markets and to improve accessibility in these markets, which will facilitate the growth of renewable energy markets in the western United States.



#### 2.7.3.1 EXECUTIVE ORDERS RELATED TO GHG EMISSIONS

California's Executive Branch has taken several actions to reduce GHGs through the use of Executive Orders. Although not regulatory, they set the tone for the state and guide the actions of state agencies.

#### **EXECUTIVE ORDER B-55-18 AND SB 100**

SB 100 and Executive Order B-55-18 were signed by Governor Brown on September 10, 2018. Under the existing RPS, 25% of retail sales of electricity are required to be from renewable sources by December 31, 2016, 33% by December 31, 2020, 40% by December 31, 2024, 45% by December 31, 2027, and 50% by December 31, 2030. SB 100 raises California's RPS requirement to 50% renewable resources target by December 31, 2026, and to achieve a 60% target by December 31, 2030. SB 100 also requires that retail sellers and local publicly owned electric utilities procure a minimum quantity of electricity products from eligible renewable energy resources so that the total kilowatt hours (kWh) of those products sold to their retail end-use customers achieve 44% of retail sales by December 31, 2024, 52% by December 31, 2027, and 60% by December 31, 2030. In addition to targets under AB 32 and SB 32, Executive Order B-55-18 establishes a carbon neutrality goal for the state of California by 2045; and sets a goal to maintain net negative emissions thereafter. The Executive Order directs the California Natural Resources Agency (CNRA), California EPA (CalEPA), the California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA), and CARB to include sequestration targets in the Natural and Working Lands Climate Change Implementation Plan consistent with the carbon neutrality goal.

#### **EXECUTIVE ORDER S-3-05**

Former California Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger announced on June 1, 2005, through Executive Order S-3-05, the following reduction targets for GHG emissions:

- By 2010, reduce GHG emissions to 2000 levels.
- By 2020, reduce GHG emissions to 1990 levels.
- By 2050, reduce GHG emissions to 80% below 1990 levels.

The 2050 reduction goal represents what some scientists believe is necessary to reach levels that will stabilize the climate. The 2020 goal was established to be a mid-term target. Because this is an executive order, the goals are not legally enforceable for local governments or the private sector.

#### **EXECUTIVE ORDER S-01-07 (LCFS)**

Governor Schwarzenegger signed Executive Order S-01-07 on January 18, 2007. The order mandates that a statewide goal shall be established to reduce the carbon intensity of California's transportation fuels by at least 10% by 2020. CARB adopted the LCFS on April 23, 2009.

After a series of legal changes, in order to address the Court ruling, CARB was required to bring a new LCFS regulation to the Board for consideration in February 2015. The proposed LCFS regulation was required to contain revisions to the 2010 LCFS as well as new provisions designed to foster investments in the production of the low-carbon intensity fuels, offer additional



flexibility to regulated parties, update critical technical information, simplify and streamline program operations, and enhance enforcement. On November 16, 2015, the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) approved the Final Rulemaking Package. The new LCFS regulation became effective on January 1, 2016.

In 2018, CARB approved amendments to the regulation, which included strengthening the carbon intensity benchmarks through 2030 in compliance with the SB 32 GHG emissions reduction target for 2030. The amendments included crediting opportunities to promote zero emission vehicle adoption, alternative jet fuel, carbon capture and sequestration, and advanced technologies to achieve deep decarbonization in the transportation sector (43).

#### **EXECUTIVE ORDER S-13-08**

Executive Order S-13-08 states that "climate change in California during the next century is expected to shift precipitation patterns, accelerate sea level rise and increase temperatures, thereby posing a serious threat to California's economy, to the health and welfare of its population and to its natural resources." Pursuant to the requirements in the Order, the 2009 California Climate Adaptation Strategy (CNRA 2009) was adopted, which is the "...first statewide, multi-sector, region-specific, and information-based climate change adaptation strategy in the United States." Objectives include analyzing risks of climate change in California, identifying and exploring strategies to adapt to climate change, and specifying a direction for future research.

#### **EXECUTIVE ORDER B-30-15**

On April 29, 2015, Governor Brown issued an executive order to establish a California GHG reduction target of 40% below 1990 levels by 2030. The Governor's executive order aligned California's GHG reduction targets with those of leading international governments ahead of the U.N. Climate Change Conference in Paris late 2015. The Order sets a new interim statewide GHG emission reduction target to reduce GHG emissions to 40% below 1990 levels by 2030 in order to ensure California meets its target of reducing GHG emissions to 80% below 1990 levels by 2050 and directs CARB to update the *2017 Scoping Plan* to express the 2030 target in terms of MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e. The Order also requires the state's climate adaptation plan to be updated every three years, and for the State to continue its climate change research program, among other provisions. As with Executive Order S-3-05, this Order is not legally enforceable as to local governments and the private sector. Legislation that would update AB 32 to make post 2020 targets and requirements a mandate is in process in the State Legislature.

#### 2.7.3.2 CALIFORNIA REGULATIONS AND BUILDING CODES

California has a long history of adopting regulations to improve energy efficiency in new and remodeled buildings. These regulations have kept California's energy consumption relatively flat even with rapid population growth.

#### TITLE 20 CCR Sections 1601 ET SEQ. — APPLIANCE EFFICIENCY REGULATIONS

CCR, Title 20: Division 2, Chapter 4, Article 4, Sections 1601-1608: Appliance Efficiency Regulations regulates the sale of appliances in California. The Appliance Efficiency Regulations include standards for both federally regulated appliances and non-federally regulated appliances.



23 categories of appliances are included in the scope of these regulations. The standards within these regulations apply to appliances that are sold or offered for sale in California, except those sold wholesale in California for final retail sale outside the state and those designed and sold exclusively for use in recreational vehicles or other mobile equipment (CEC 2012).

#### TITLE 24 CCR PART 6 - CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE

The California Energy Code was first adopted in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California's energy consumption.

The standards are updated periodically to allow consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficient technologies and methods.

#### TITLE 24 CCR PART 11 – CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS CODE

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24 Part 6: The California Energy Code was first adopted in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California's energy consumption.

The standards are updated periodically to allow consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficient technologies and methods. CCR, Title 24, Part 11: California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) is a comprehensive and uniform regulatory code for all residential, commercial, and school buildings that went in effect on August 1, 2009, and is administered by the California Building Standards Commission.

CALGreen is updated on a regular basis, with the most recent approved update consisting of the 2022 California Green Building Code Standards that became effective on January 1, 2023. The CEC anticipates that the 2022 energy code will provide \$1.5 billion in consumer benefits and reduce GHG emissions by 10 million metric tons (44). The Project would be required to comply with the applicable standards in place at the time plan check submittals are made. These require, among other items (45):

#### Nonresidential Mandatory Measures

- Short-term bicycle parking. If the new project or an additional alteration is anticipated to generate visitor traffic, provide permanently anchored bicycle racks within 200 feet of the visitors' entrance, readily visible to passers-by, for 5% of new visitor motorized vehicle parking spaces being added, with a minimum of one two-bike capacity rack (5.106.4.1.1).
- Long-term bicycle parking. For new buildings with tenant spaces that have 10 or more tenant-occupants, provide secure bicycle parking for 5% of the tenant-occupant vehicular parking spaces with a minimum of one bicycle parking facility (5.106.4.1.2).
- Designated parking for clean air vehicles. In new projects or additions to alterations that add 10 or more vehicular parking spaces, provide designated parking for any combination of low-emitting, fuel-efficient and carpool/van pool vehicles as shown in Table 5.106.5.2 (5.106.5.2).



- EV charging stations. New construction shall facilitate the future installation of EV supply equipment. The compliance requires empty raceways for future conduit and documentation that the electrical system has adequate capacity for the future load. The number of spaces to be provided for is contained in Table 5.106. 5.3.3 (5.106.5.3). Additionally, Table 5.106.5.4.1 specifies requirements for the installation of raceway conduit and panel power requirements for medium- and heavy-duty electric vehicle supply equipment for warehouses, grocery stores, and retail stores.
- Outdoor light pollution reduction. Outdoor lighting systems shall be designed to meet the backlight, uplight and glare ratings per Table 5.106.8 (5.106.8).
- Construction waste management. Recycle and/or salvage for reuse a minimum of 65% of the nonhazardous construction and demolition waste in accordance with Section 5.408.1.1. 5.405.1.2, or 5.408.1.3; or meet a local construction and demolition waste management ordinance, whichever is more stringent (5.408.1).
- Excavated soil and land clearing debris. 100% of trees, stumps, rocks and associated vegetation and soils resulting primarily from land clearing shall be reuse or recycled. For a phased project, such material may be stockpiled on site until the storage site is developed (5.408.3).
- Recycling by Occupants. Provide readily accessible areas that serve the entire building and are
  identified for the depositing, storage, and collection of non-hazardous materials for
  recycling, including (at a minimum) paper, corrugated cardboard, glass, plastics, organic
  waste, and metals or meet a lawfully enacted local recycling ordinance, if more restrictive
  (5.410.1).
- Water conserving plumbing fixtures and fittings. Plumbing fixtures (water closets and urinals) and fittings (faucets and showerheads) shall comply with the following:
  - Water Closets. The effective flush volume of all water closets shall not exceed
     1.28 gallons per flush (5.303.3.1)
  - Urinals. The effective flush volume of wall-mounted urinals shall not exceed
     0.125 gallons per flush (5.303.3.2.1). The effective flush volume of floor- mounted or other urinals shall not exceed 0.5 gallons per flush (5.303.3.2.2).
  - Showerheads. Single showerheads shall have a minimum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute and 80 psi (5.303.3.3.1). When a shower is served by more than one showerhead, the combine flow rate of all showerheads and/or other shower outlets controlled by a single valve shall not exceed 1.8 gallons per minute at 80 psi (5.303.3.3.2).
  - Faucets and fountains. Nonresidential lavatory faucets shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 0.5 gallons per minute at 60 psi (5.303.3.4.1). Kitchen faucets shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute of 60 psi (5.303.3.4.2). Wash fountains shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute (5.303.3.4.3). Metering faucets shall not deliver more than 0.20 gallons per cycle (5.303.3.4.4). Metering faucets for wash fountains shall have a maximum flow rate not more than 0.20 gallons per cycle (5.303.3.4.5).
- Outdoor potable water uses in landscaped areas. Nonresidential developments shall comply
  with a local water efficient landscape ordinance or the current California Department of
  Water Resources' Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (MWELO), whichever is more
  stringent (5.304.1).



- Water meters. Separate submeters or metering devices shall be installed for new buildings or additions in excess of 50,000 sf or for excess consumption where any tenant within a new building or within an addition that is project to consume more than 1,000 gallons per day (GPD) (5.303.1.1 and 5.303.1.2).
- Outdoor water uses in rehabilitated landscape projects equal or greater than 2,500 sf. Rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscape area equal to or greater than 2,500 sf requiring a building or landscape permit (5.304.3).
- Commissioning. For new buildings 10,000 sf and over, building commissioning shall be included
  in the design and construction processes of the building project to verify that the building systems
  and components meet the owner's or owner representative's project requirements (5.410.2).

#### **MWELO**

The MWELO was required by AB 1881, the Water Conservation Act. The bill required local agencies to adopt a local landscape ordinance at least as effective in conserving water as the Model Ordinance by January 1, 2010. Governor Brown's Drought Executive Order of April 1, 2015 (Executive Order B-29-15) directed Department of Water Resources (DWR) to update the Ordinance through expedited regulation. The California Water Commission approved the revised Ordinance on July 15, 2015 effective December 15, 2015. New development projects that include landscape areas of 500 sf or more are subject to the Ordinance. The update requires:

- More efficient irrigation systems;
- Incentives for graywater usage;
- Improvements in on-site stormwater capture;
- Limiting the portion of landscapes that can be planted with high water use plants; and
- Reporting requirements for local agencies.

#### CARB REFRIGERANT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

CARB adopted a regulation in 2009 to reduce refrigerant GHG emissions from stationary sources through refrigerant leak detection and monitoring, leak repair, system retirement and retrofitting, reporting and recordkeeping, and proper refrigerant cylinder use, sale, and disposal. The regulation is set forth in sections 95380 to 95398 of Title 17, CCR. The rules implementing the regulation establish a limit on statewide GHG emissions from stationary facilities with refrigeration systems with more than 50 lbs of a high GWP refrigerant. The refrigerant management program is designed to (1) reduce emissions of high-GWP GHG refrigerants from leaky stationary, non-residential refrigeration equipment; (2) reduce emissions from the installation and servicing of refrigeration and air-conditioning appliances using high-GWP refrigerants; and (3) verify GHG emission reductions.

#### **TRACTOR-TRAILER GHG REGULATION**

The tractors and trailers subject to this regulation must either use EPA SmartWay certified tractors and trailers or retrofit their existing fleet with SmartWay verified technologies. The regulation applies primarily to owners of 53-foot or longer box-type trailers, including both dryvan and refrigerated-van trailers, and owners of the HD tractors that pull them on California



highways. These owners are responsible for replacing or retrofitting their affected vehicles with compliant aerodynamic technologies and low rolling resistance tires. Sleeper cab tractors model year 2011 and later must be SmartWay certified. All other tractors must use SmartWay verified low rolling resistance tires. There are also requirements for trailers to have low rolling resistance tires and aerodynamic devices.

#### PHASE I AND 2 HEAVY-DUTY VEHICLE GHG STANDARDS

In September 2011, CARB has adopted a regulation for GHG emissions from HDTs and engines sold in California. It establishes GHG emission limits on truck and engine manufacturers and harmonizes with the EPA rule for new trucks and engines nationally. Existing HD vehicle regulations in California include engine criteria emission standards, tractor-trailer GHG requirements to implement SmartWay strategies (i.e., the Heavy-Duty Tractor-Trailer GHG Regulation), and in-use fleet retrofit requirements such as the Truck and Bus Regulation. The EPA rule has compliance requirements for new compression and spark ignition engines, as well as trucks from Class 2b through Class 8. Compliance requirements began with MY 2014 with stringency levels increasing through MY 2018. The rule organizes truck compliance into three groupings, which include a) HD pickups and vans; b) vocational vehicles; and c) combination tractors. The EPA rule does not regulate trailers.

CARB staff has worked jointly with the EPA and the NHTSA on the next phase of federal GHG emission standards for medium-duty trucks (MDT) and HDT vehicles, called federal Phase 2. The federal Phase 2 standards were built on the improvements in engine and vehicle efficiency required by the Phase 1 emission standards and represent a significant opportunity to achieve further GHG reductions for 2018 and later MY HDT vehicles, including trailers. The EPA and NHTSA have proposed to roll back GHG and fuel economy standards for cars and light-duty trucks, which suggests a similar rollback of Phase 2 standards for MDT and HDT vehicles may be pursued.

#### SB 97 AND THE CEQA GUIDELINES UPDATE

Passed in August 2007, SB 97 added Section 21083.05 to the Public Resources Code. The code states "(a) On or before July 1, 2009, the Office of Planning and Research (OPR) shall prepare, develop, and transmit to the Resources Agency guidelines for the mitigation of GHG emissions or the effects of GHG emissions as required by this division, including, but not limited to, effects associated with transportation or energy consumption. (b) On or before January 1, 2010, the Resources Agency shall certify and adopt guidelines prepared and developed by the OPR pursuant to subdivision (a)."

In 2012, Public Resources Code Section 21083.05 was amended to state:

"The Office of Planning and Research and the Natural Resources Agency shall periodically update the guidelines for the mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions or the effects of greenhouse gas emissions as required by this division, including, but not limited to, effects associated with transportation or energy consumption, to incorporate new information or criteria established by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Division 25.5 (commencing with Section 38500) of the Health and Safety Code."



On December 28, 2018, the Natural Resources Agency announced the OAL approved the amendments to the *CEQA Guidelines* for implementing CEQA. The CEQA Amendments provide guidance to public agencies regarding the analysis and mitigation of the effects of GHG emissions in CEQA documents. The CEQA Amendments fit within the existing CEQA framework by amending existing *CEQA Guidelines* to reference climate change.

Section 15064.4 was added the *CEQA Guidelines* and states that in determining the significance of a project's GHG emissions, the lead agency should focus its analysis on the reasonably foreseeable incremental contribution of the project's emissions to the effects of climate change. A project's incremental contribution may be cumulatively considerable even if it appears relatively insignificant compared to statewide, national, or global emissions. The agency's analysis should consider a timeframe that is appropriate for the project. The agency's analysis also must reasonably reflect evolving scientific knowledge and state regulatory schemes. Additionally, a lead agency may use a model or methodology to estimate GHG emissions resulting from a project. The lead agency has discretion to select the model or methodology it considers most appropriate to enable decision makers to intelligently take into account the project's incremental contribution to climate change. The lead agency must support its selection of a model or methodology with substantial evidence. The lead agency should explain the limitations of the particular model or methodology selected for use (46).

#### 2.7.4 REGIONAL

The project is within the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB), which is under the jurisdiction of the SCAQMD.

#### **SCAQMD**

SCAQMD is the agency responsible for air quality planning and regulation in the SCAB. The SCAQMD addresses the impacts to climate change of projects subject to SCAQMD permit as a lead agency if they are the only agency having discretionary approval for the project and acts as a responsible agency when a land use agency must also approve discretionary permits for the project. The SCAQMD acts as an expert commenting agency for impacts to air quality. This expertise carries over to GHG emissions, so the agency helps local land use agencies through the development of models and emission thresholds that can be used to address GHG emissions.

In 2008, SCAQMD formed a Working Group to identify GHG emissions thresholds for land use projects that could be used by local lead agencies in the SCAB. The Working Group developed several different options that are contained in the SCAQMD Draft Guidance Document – Interim CEQA GHG Significance Threshold, that could be applied by lead agencies. The working group has not provided additional guidance since release of the interim guidance in 2008. The SCAQMD Board has not approved the thresholds; however, the Guidance Document provides substantial evidence supporting the approaches to significance of GHG emissions that can be considered by the lead agency in adopting its own threshold. The current interim thresholds consist of the following tiered approach:

 Tier 1 consists of evaluating whether or not the project qualifies for any applicable exemption under CEQA.



- Tier 2 consists of determining whether the project is consistent with a GHG reduction plan. If a project is consistent with a qualifying local GHG reduction plan, it does not have significant GHG emissions.
- Tier 3 consists of screening values, which the lead agency can choose, but must be
  consistent with all projects within its jurisdiction. A project's construction emissions are
  averaged over 30 years and are added to the project's operational emissions. If a project's
  emissions are below one of the following screening thresholds, then the project is less than
  significant:
  - o Residential and Commercial land use: 3,000 MTCO₂e/yr
  - o Industrial land use: 10,000 MTCO₂e/yr
  - Based on land use type: residential: 3,500 MTCO₂e/yr; commercial: 1,400 MTCO₂e/yr; or mixed use: 3,000 MTCO₂e/yr
- Tier 4 has the following options:
  - Option 1: Reduce Business-as-Usual (BAU) emissions by a certain percentage; this percentage is currently undefined.
  - Option 2: Early implementation of applicable AB 32 Scoping Plan measures
  - Option 3: 2020 target for service populations (SP), which includes residents and employees: 4.8 MTCO₂e per SP per year for projects and 6.6 MTCO₂e per SP per year for plans;
  - Option 3, 2035 target: 3.0 MTCO₂e per SP per year for projects and 4.1 MTCO₂e per SP per year for plans
- Tier 5 involves mitigation offsets to achieve target significance threshold.

The SCAQMD's interim thresholds used the Executive Order S-3-05-year 2050 goal as the basis for the Tier 3 screening level. Achieving the Executive Order's objective would contribute to worldwide efforts to cap CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at 450 ppm, thus stabilizing global climate.

SCAQMD only has authority over GHG emissions from development projects that include air quality permits. At this time, it is unknown if the project would include stationary sources of emissions subject to SCAQMD permits. Notwithstanding, if the Project requires a stationary permit, it would be subject to the applicable SCAQMD regulations.

SCAQMD Regulation XXVII, adopted in 2009 includes the following rules:

- Rule 2700 defines terms and post global warming potentials.
- Rule 2701, SoCal Climate Solutions Exchange, establishes a voluntary program to encourage, quantify, and certify voluntary, high quality certified GHG emission reductions in the SCAQMD.
- Rule 2702, GHG Reduction Program created a program to produce GHG emission reductions within the SCAQMD. The SCAQMD will fund projects through contracts in response to requests for proposals or purchase reductions from other parties.



This page intentionally left blank



#### 3 PROJECT GREENHOUSE GAS IMPACT

#### 3.1 Introduction

The Project has been evaluated to determine if it will result in a significant GHG impact. The significance of these potential impacts is described in the following section.

#### 3.2 STANDARDS OF SIGNIFICANCE

The criteria used to determine the significance of potential Project-related GHG impacts are taken from the Initial Study Checklist in Appendix G of the State CEQA Guidelines (14 California Code of Regulations §§15000, et seq.). Based on these thresholds, a project would result in a significant impact related to GHG if it would (1):

- Generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?
- Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs?

#### 3.3 CALIFORNIA EMISSIONS ESTIMATOR MODEL™ EMPLOYED TO ANALYZE GHG EMISSIONS

In May 2023 California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) in conjunction with other California air districts, including SCAQMD, released the latest version of the CalEEMod Version 2022.1.1.12. The purpose of this model is to calculate construction-source and operational-source criteria pollutant (VOCs, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, CO, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and GHG emissions from direct and indirect sources; and quantify applicable air quality and GHG reductions achieved from mitigation (47). Accordingly, the latest version of CalEEMod has been used for this Project to determine construction and operational air quality emissions. CalEEMod output for both construction and operational scenarios is provided in Appendix 3.1.

#### 3.4 CONSTRUCTION LIFE-CYCLE ANALYSIS NOT REQUIRED

A full life-cycle analysis (LCA) for construction and operational activity is not included in this analysis due to the lack of consensus guidance on LCA methodology at this time (48). Life-cycle analysis (i.e., assessing economy-wide GHG emissions from the processes in manufacturing and transporting all raw materials used in the project development, infrastructure and on-going operations) depends on emission factors or econometric factors that are not well established for all processes. At this time, an LCA would be extremely speculative and thus has not been prepared.

Additionally, the SCAQMD recommends analyzing direct and indirect project GHG emissions generated within California and not life-cycle emissions because the life-cycle effects from a project could occur outside of California, might not be very well understood or documented, and would be challenging to mitigate (49). Additionally, the science to calculate life cycle emissions



is not yet established or well defined; therefore, SCAQMD has not recommended, and is not requiring, life-cycle emissions analysis.

#### 3.5 Construction Emissions

Project construction activities would result in emissions of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$ . The report *Replenish Big Bear Program Air Quality Impact Analysis Report* (AQIA) (Urban Crossroads, Inc.) contains detailed information regarding construction activity (50).

#### 3.6 OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS

In terms of operational GHG emissions, the proposed Project involves the construction of monitoring wells, conveyance facilities and ancillary facilities, evaporation ponds, advanced water purification facilities, and associated improvements. The proposed Project does not include any substantive new stationary or mobile sources of emissions, and therefore, by its very nature, will not generate quantifiable GHG emissions from Project operations. The Project does not propose a trip-generating land use or facilities that would generate any substantive amount of on-going GHG emissions. While it is anticipated that the Project would require intermittent maintenance to be, such maintenance would be minimal requiring a negligible amount of traffic trips on an annual basis. Additionally, based on information provided by BBARWA and the Project Team, the Project will include the installation of solar, which is expected to generate approximately 3,652,117 kWh per year. Therefore, there is no significant operational impact expected, as shown on Table 3-1.

#### 3.7 EMISSIONS SUMMARY

As shown in Table 3-1, the Project will result in approximately 1,499.63 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr from construction and operational activities.

**TABLE 3-1: PROJECT GHG EMISSIONS** 

Emission Source	Emissions (MT/yr)											
Ellission source	CO <sub>2</sub>	CH₄	N <sub>2</sub> O	Refrigerants	Total CO₂e							
Annual construction-related emissions amortized over 30 years	361.89	1.94E-02	2.38E-02	2.10E-01	369.69							
Mobile Source	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10							
Area Source	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81							
Energy Source	44.95	4.61	0.00	0.00	45.08							
Water Usage	834.41	0.06	0.01	0.00	837.91							
Waste	3.35	0.34	0.00	0.00	11.74							
Stationary Source	233.51	166.79	0.01	0.00	234.28							
Total CO₂e (All Sources)	1,499.63											

Source: CalEEMod output, See Appendices 3.1 through 3.5 for detailed model outputs.



#### 3.8 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Findings and Recommendations

# GHG Impact #1: The Project would generate direct or indirect GHG emission that would result in a significant impact on the environment.

The Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency has not adopted its own numeric threshold of significance for determining impacts with respect to GHG emissions. A screening threshold of 3,000 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr or 10,000 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr to determine if additional analysis is required is an acceptable approach. This approach is a widely accepted screening threshold used by numerous cities and counties in the SCAB and is based on the SCAQMD staff's proposed GHG screening threshold for stationary source emissions for non-industrial projects, as described in the SCAQMD's Interim CEQA GHG Significance Threshold for Stationary Sources, Rules and Plans (SCAQMD Interim GHG Threshold). The SCAQMD Interim GHG Threshold identifies a screening threshold to determine whether additional analysis is required (51).

The Project will result in approximately 1,499.63 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr from construction and operational activities. As such, the Project would not exceed the SCAQMD's recommended numeric threshold of 3,000 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e or 10,000 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr if it were applied. Thus, the Project would not result in a cumulatively considerable impact with respect to GHG emissions.

# GHG Impact #2: The Project would not conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHG.

As discussed above, the Project involves construction activity and does not propose a tripgenerating land use or facilities that would generate any substantive amount of on-going GHG emissions. As presented in Table 3-1, the Project's GHG emissions are below the 3,000 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr and 10,000 MTCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr thresholds. As concluded in Impact Statement GHG-1 the proposed project would not have the potential to generate a significant amount of GHGs emissions. As such, the proposed Project will not conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs. Impacts are considered less than significant in this regard.



This page intentionally left blank



#### 4 REFERENCES

- 1. **State of California.** 2019 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act. 2019.
- 2. **Air Resources Board.** Assembly Bill 32: Global Warming Solutions Act. [Online] 2006. http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/ab32/ab32.htm.
- 3. —. Sustainable Communities. [Online] 2008. http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/sb375/sb375.htm.
- 4. —. Clean Car Standards Pavley, Assembly Bill 1493. [Online] September 24, 2009. http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/ccms/ccms.htm.
- 5. **Building Standards Commission.** California Building Standards Code (Title 24, California Code of Regulations). [Online] http://www.bsc.ca.gov/codes.aspx.
- 6. **California Energy Commission.** California Code of Regulations, TITLE 20, Division 2. [Online] September 3, 2013. http://www.energy.ca.gov/reports/title20/index.html.
- 7. **Air Resources Board.** Title 17 California Code of Regulation. [Online] 2010. http://www.arb.ca.gov/regs/regs-17.htm.
- 8. **Department of Water Resources.** Updated Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance AB 1881. [Online] 2006. [Cited: November 13, 2013.] http://www.water.ca.gov/wateruseefficiency/landscapeordinance/updatedOrd\_history.cfm.
- 9. **California Energy Commission.** SB 1368 Emission Performance Standards. [Online] September 29, 2006. http://www.energy.ca.gov/emission\_standards/.
- 10. —. Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS). [Online] 2002. http://www.energy.ca.gov/portfolio/.
- 11. **California Legislative Information.** Senate Bill No. 32. [Online] September 8, 2016. https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\_id=201520160SB32.
- 12. **National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.** Greenhouse Gases Water Vapor. *NOAA National Centers For Environmental Information.* [Online] https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/monitoring-references/faq/greenhouse-gases.php?section=watervapor.
- 13. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report. International Panel on Climate Change. 4, 2007.
- 14. *The Carbon Cycle and Climate Change.* **Bennington, Bret J.** 1, s.l.: Brooks/Cole. ISBN 1 3: 978-0-495-73855-8.
- 15. **The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.** Carbon Dioxide. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.* [Online] https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npgd0103.html.
- 16. **National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.** Greenhouse Gases Methane. *NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information.* [Online] https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/monitoring-references/faq/greenhouse-gases.php?section=methane.
- 17. World Resources Institute. Climate Analysis Indicator Tool (CAIT). [Online] http://cait.wri.org.
- 18. **National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.** Greenhouse Gases Chlorofluorocarbons. *NOAA National Centers For Environmental Information.* [Online] https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/monitoring-references/faq/greenhouse-gases.php?section=chlorofluorocarbons.
- 19. **United States Environmental Protection Agency.** Regulation for Reducting Sulfur Hexafluoride Emissions from Gas Insulated Switchgear. *Environmental Protection Agency.* [Online] May 7, 2014.



- https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-02/documents/mehl-arb-presentation-2014-wkshp.pdf.
- 20. **World Resources Institute.** Nitrogen Trifluoride Now Required in GHG Protocol Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory. [Online] May 22, 2013. https://www.wri.org/blog/2013/05/nitrogen-trifluoride-now-required-ghg-protocol-greenhouse-gas-emissions-inventories.
- 21. **National Center for Biotechnology Information.** Nitrogen Trifluoride. *PubChem Compound Database.* [Online] https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/24553.
- 22. **American Lung Association.** Climate Change. [Online] http://www.lung.org/our-initiatives/healthy-air/outdoor/climate-change/.
- 23. **Barbara H. Allen-Diaz.** Climate change affects us all. *University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources*. [Online] April 1, 2009. http://calag.ucanr.edu/Archive/?article=ca.v063n02p51.
- 24. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Climate Change 2021 The Physical Science Basis. Climate Change 2021 The Physical Science Basis. [Online] https://www.ipcc.ch/report/sixth-assessment-report-working-group-i/.
- 25. United Nations. GHG Profiles Annex I. [Online] http://di.unfccc.int/ghg\_profile\_annex1.
- 26. —. GHG Profiles Non-Annex I. [Online] http://di.unfccc.int/ghg\_profile\_non\_annex1.
- 27. World Resources Institute. Climate Analysis Indicator Tool (CAIT). [Online] http://cait.wri.org.
- 28. **Air Resources Board.** 2022 GHG Inventory. *California Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventory 2000-2020 Edition.* [Online] [Cited: February 1, 2022.] http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/data.htm.
- 29. California Energy Commission. Our Changing Climate Assessing the Risks to California. 2006.
- 30. **Center for Climate and Energy Solutions (C2ES).** Outcomes of the U.N. Climate Change Conference. *Center for Climate and Energy Solutions (C2ES).* [Online] 2015. http://www.c2es.org/international/negotiations/cop21-paris/summary.
- 31. **Agency, United States Environmental Protection.** Endangerment and Cause or Contribute Findings for Greenhouse Gases under the Section 202(a) of the Clean Air Act. *United States Environmental Protection Agency.* [Online] https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/endangerment-and-cause-or-contribute-findings-greenhouse-gases-under-section-202a-clean.
- 32. **Federal Register.** Mid-Term Evaluation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Standards for Model Year 2022-2025 Light-Duty Vehicles. [Online] 2018. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2018/04/13/2018-07364/mid-term-evaluation-of-greenhouse-gas-emissions-standards-for-model-year-2022-2025-light-duty.
- 33. **Administration, National Highway Traffic Safety.** SAFE: The Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient 'SAFE' Vehicle Rule. *National Highway Traffic Safety Administration*. [Online] 2020. https://www.nhtsa.gov/corporate-average-fuel-economy/safe.
- 34. **National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.** Corporate Average Fuel Economy. [Online] https://www.nhtsa.gov/laws-regulations/corporate-average-fuel-economy.
- 35. **Department of Transportation.** Corporate Average Fuel Economy Standards for Model Years 2024-2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks. [Online] https://www.nhtsa.gov/sites/nhtsa.gov/files/2022-04/Final-Rule-Preamble\_CAFE-MY-2024-2026.pdf.
- 36. **United States Environmental Protection Agency.** SmartWay. [Online] https://www.epa.gov/smartway/learn-about-smartway.



- 37. **California Air Resources Board.** California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan . [Online] 2017. https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/scoping\_plan\_2017\_es.pdf.
- 38. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. California's Policies Can Significantly Cut Greenhouse Gas Emissions through 2030. *Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory*. [Online] January 22, 2015. http://newscenter.lbl.gov/2015/01/22/californias-policies-can-significantly-cut-greenhouse-gas-emissions-2030/.
- 39. **Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.** Modeling California policy impacts on greenhouse gas emissions. [Online] 2015. https://eaei.lbl.gov/sites/all/files/lbnl-7008e.pdf.
- 40. California Air Resources Board. 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality.
- 41. —. Legal Disclaimer & User's Notice. [Online] April 2019. https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/capandtrade/ct\_reg\_unofficial.pdf.
- 42. —. Climate Change Scoping Plan. [Online] 2014. https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/2013\_update/first\_update\_climate\_change\_scoping\_plan.p df.
- 43. —. Low Carbon Fuel Standard. [Online] December 2019. https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/lcfs.htm.
- 44. **California Energy Commission.** Energy Commission Adopts Updated Building Standards to Improve Efficiency, Reduce Emissions from Homes and Businesses. [Online] August 11, 2021. https://www.energy.ca.gov/news/2021-08/energy-commission-adopts-updated-building-standards-improve-efficiency-reduce-0.
- 45. **California Department of General Services.** 2022 CALGreen Code. *CALGreen.* [Online] https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/CAGBC2022P1.
- 46. Association of Environmental Professionals. 2018 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act. 2018.
- 47. **California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA).** California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod). [Online] September 2016. www.caleemod.com.
- 48. **California Natural Resources Agency.** Final Statement of Reasons for Regulatory Action, Amendments to the State CEQA Guidelines Addressing Analysis and Mitigation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Pursuant to SB97. [Online] December 2009.
- 49. Minutes for the GHG CEQA Significance. South Coast Air Quality Managment District. 2008.
- 50. **Urban Crossroads, Inc.** *Replenish Biq Bear Program Air Quality Impact Analysis Report.* 2023.
- 51. **South Coast Air Quality Management District.** Interim CEQA GHG Significance Threshold for Stationary Sources, Rules and Plans. [Online] http://www.aqmd.gov/hb/2008/December/081231a.htm.



This page intentionally left blank



#### 5 CERTIFICATIONS

The contents of this GHG study report represent an accurate depiction of the GHG impacts associated with the proposed Replenish Big Bear Program Project. The information contained in this GHG report is based on the best available data at the time of preparation. If you have any questions, please contact me directly at hqureshi@urbanxroads.com

Haseeb Qureshi
Principal
URBAN CROSSROADS, INC.
hqureshi@urbanxroads.com

#### **EDUCATION**

Master of Science in Environmental Studies California State University, Fullerton • May 2010

Bachelor of Arts in Environmental Analysis and Design University of California, Irvine • June 2006

#### **PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS**

AEP – Association of Environmental Planners AWMA – Air and Waste Management Association ASTM – American Society for Testing and Materials

#### **PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS**

Environmental Site Assessment – American Society for Testing and Materials • June 2013 Planned Communities and Urban Infill – Urban Land Institute • June 2011 Indoor Air Quality and Industrial Hygiene – EMSL Analytical • April 2008 Principles of Ambient Air Monitoring – California Air Resources Board • August 2007 AB2588 Regulatory Standards – Trinity Consultants • November 2006 Air Dispersion Modeling – Lakes Environmental • June 2006



This page intentionally left blank



### APPENDIX 3.1:

CALEEMOD REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 1 UNMITIGATED EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS



# 15309-WWTP Upgrades (Unmitigated) Detailed Report

#### Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.2. Land Use Types
  - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
  - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
  - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
  - 2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated
  - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
  - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
  - 2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
  - 3.1. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2026) Unmitigated
  - 3.2. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2026) Mitigated

- 3.3. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2027) Unmitigated
- 3.4. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2027) Mitigated
- 3.5. Demolition (2025) Unmitigated
- 3.6. Demolition (2025) Mitigated
- 3.7. Building Construction (2025) Unmitigated
- 3.8. Building Construction (2025) Mitigated
- 3.9. Building Construction (2026) Unmitigated
- 3.10. Building Construction (2026) Mitigated
- 4. Operations Emissions Details
  - 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use
    - 4.1.1. Unmitigated
    - 4.1.2. Mitigated
  - 4.2. Energy
    - 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
    - 4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Mitigated
    - 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
    - 4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Mitigated

- 4.3. Area Emissions by Source
  - 4.3.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.3.2. Mitigated
- 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use
  - 4.4.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.4.2. Mitigated
- 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use
  - 4.5.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.5.2. Mitigated
- 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use
  - 4.6.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.6.2. Mitigated
- 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type
  - 4.7.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.7.2. Mitigated
- 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type
  - 4.8.1. Unmitigated

- 4.8.2. Mitigated
- 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type
  - 4.9.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.9.2. Mitigated
- 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
  - 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Unmitigated
  - 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Unmitigated
  - 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Unmitigated
  - 4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Mitigated
  - 4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Mitigated
  - 4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Mitigated
- 5. Activity Data
  - 5.1. Construction Schedule
  - 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
    - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
    - 5.2.2. Mitigated
  - 5.3. Construction Vehicles

- 5.3.1. Unmitigated
- 5.3.2. Mitigated
- 5.4. Vehicles
  - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
- 5.5. Architectural Coatings
- 5.6. Dust Mitigation
  - 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
  - 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies
- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources
  - 5.9.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.9.2. Mitigated
- 5.10. Operational Area Sources
  - 5.10.1. Hearths
    - 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated
    - 5.10.1.2. Mitigated

- 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings
- 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment
- 5.10.4. Landscape Equipment Mitigated
- 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption
  - 5.11.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.11.2. Mitigated
- 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption
  - 5.12.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.12.2. Mitigated
- 5.13. Operational Waste Generation
  - 5.13.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.13.2. Mitigated
- 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment
  - 5.14.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.14.2. Mitigated
- 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment
  - 5.15.1. Unmitigated

- 5.15.2. Mitigated
- 5.16. Stationary Sources
  - 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps
  - 5.16.2. Process Boilers
- 5.17. User Defined
- 5.18. Vegetation
  - 5.18.1. Land Use Change
    - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
    - 5.18.1.2. Mitigated
  - 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type
    - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
    - 5.18.1.2. Mitigated
  - 5.18.2. Sequestration
    - 5.18.2.1. Unmitigated
    - 5.18.2.2. Mitigated
- 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report
  - 6.1. Climate Risk Summary

- 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
- 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores
- 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures
- 7. Health and Equity Details
  - 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
  - 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
  - 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores
  - 7.4. Health & Equity Measures
  - 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard
  - 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

# 1. Basic Project Information

# 1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	15309-WWTP Upgrades (Unmitigated)
Construction Start Date	1/1/2025
Operational Year	2027
ead Agency	
and Use Scale	Project/site
analysis Level for Defaults	County
Vindspeed (m/s)	2.50
Precipitation (days)	1.80
ocation	34.269428, -116.815824
County	San Bernardino-South Coast
City	Unincorporated
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
AZ	5156
EDFZ	10
Electric Utility	Bear Valley Electric Service
Gas Utility	Southwest Gas Corp.
pp Version	2022.1.1.18

# 1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq	Special Landscape	Population	Description
					ft)	Area (sq ft)		

Unrefrigerated Warehouse-Rail	40.0	1000sqft	0.92	40,000	0.00	-	_	_
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	2.00	Acre	2.00	0.00	0.00		_	Pump Station
Parking Lot	0.50	Acre	0.50	0.00	0.00	j-	<del>-</del>	_
User Defined Linear	0.26	Mile	0.14	0.00	0.00	_	_	_
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.44	Acre	0.44	0.00	0.00	-	_	Remaining SF

## 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

Sector	#	Measure Title
Energy	E-10-B	Establish Onsite Renewable Energy Systems: Solar Power

# 2. Emissions Summary

### 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-		-	-	-	-				-							
Unmit.	4.18	3.82	27.5	44.3	0.08	1.10	6.19	7.30	1.02	1.93	2.95	-	12,560	12,560	0.56	0.57	21.3	12,766
Daily, Winter (Max)	_					-	-	-	-		-	-		_	-			
Unmit.	5.21	4.63	30.9	56.2	0.16	1.15	13.1	13.4	1.06	3.06	3.82	-	26,339	26,339	2.04	3.77	1.79	27,515
Average Daily (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
Unmit.	2.72	2.46	18.6	26.1	0.06	0.70	4.55	5.24	0.65	1.36	2.01	_	9,047	9,047	0.46	0.56	7.33	9,233

Annual (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	0.50	0.45	3.40	4.76	0.01	0.13	0.83	0.96	0.12	0.25	0.37	_	1,498	1,498	0.08	0.09	1.21	1,529

## 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-		_	-	_	_
2025	4.18	3.82	27.5	44.3	0.08	1.10	6.19	7.30	1.02	1.93	2.95	_	12,560	12,560	0.56	0.57	21.3	12,766
2026	4.03	3.67	25.4	42.3	0.08	1.01	6.19	7.20	0.93	1.93	2.87	-	12,440	12,440	0.54	0.57	19.4	12,642
Daily - Winter (Max)	-										-		-				-	
2025	4.16	3.80	27.7	38.8	0.16	1.10	13.1	13.4	1.02	3.06	3.38	-	26,339	26,339	2.04	3.77	1.79	27,515
2026	5.21	4.63	30.9	56.2	0.09	1.15	9.73	10.9	1.06	2.76	3.82	_	17,376	17,376	0.51	0.70	0.83	17,598
2027	1.19	0.96	5.01	18.1	0.02	0.13	3.53	3.66	0.12	0.83	0.94	-	5,177	5,177	0.08	0.13	0.30	5,218
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2025	2.72	2.39	18.6	25.9	0.06	0.70	4.55	5.24	0.65	1.36	2.01	-	9,047	9,047	0.46	0.56	7.33	9,233
2026	2.70	2.46	17.2	26.1	0.05	0.67	4.27	4.94	0.62	1.32	1.94	-	8,305	8,305	0.29	0.38	5.82	8,432
2027	0.03	0.02	0.11	0.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	-	112	112	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.11	113
Annual	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	1-	-	-	-	_	_
2025	0.50	0.44	3.40	4.73	0.01	0.13	0.83	0.96	0.12	0.25	0.37	_	1,498	1,498	0.08	0.09	1.21	1,529
2026	0.49	0.45	3.14	4.76	0.01	0.12	0.78	0.90	0.11	0.24	0.35	-	1,375	1,375	0.05	0.06	0.96	1,396
2027	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	18.6	18.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	18.8

## 2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

																		_
Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	-		_				-				-			_	-		_	
2025	4.18	3.82	27.5	44.3	0.08	1.10	6.19	7.30	1.02	1.93	2.95	_	12,560	12,560	0.56	0.57	21.3	12,766
2026	4.03	3.67	25.4	42.3	0.08	1.01	6.19	7.20	0.93	1.93	2.87	_	12,440	12,440	0.54	0.57	19.4	12,642
Daily - Winter (Max)	-	-	-		-	-			-		-		-	_	-	-	_	-
2025	4.16	3.80	27.7	38.8	0.16	1.10	13.1	13.4	1.02	3.06	3.38	-	26,339	26,339	2.04	3.77	1.79	27,515
2026	5.21	4.63	30.9	56.2	0.09	1.15	9.73	10.9	1.06	2.76	3.82	_	17,376	17,376	0.51	0.70	0.83	17,598
2027	1.19	0.96	5.01	18.1	0.02	0.13	3.53	3.66	0.12	0.83	0.94	-	5,177	5,177	0.08	0.13	0.30	5,218
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
2025	2.72	2.39	18.6	25.9	0.06	0.70	4.55	5.24	0.65	1.36	2.01	-	9,047	9,047	0.46	0.56	7.33	9,233
2026	2.70	2.46	17.2	26.1	0.05	0.67	4.27	4.94	0.62	1.32	1.94	-	8,305	8,305	0.29	0.38	5.82	8,432
2027	0.03	0.02	0.11	0.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	-	112	112	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.11	113
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
2025	0.50	0.44	3.40	4.73	0.01	0.13	0.83	0.96	0.12	0.25	0.37	_	1,498	1,498	0.08	0.09	1.21	1,529
2026	0.49	0.45	3.14	4.76	0.01	0.12	0.78	0.90	0.11	0.24	0.35	_	1,375	1,375	0.05	0.06	0.96	1,396
2027	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	18.6	18.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	18.8

## 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)					-				_		_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-
Unmit.	1.20	2.01	4.33	5.92	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.48	20.3	10,709	10,729	2.75	0.09	0.00	10,824

Mit.	1.20	2.01	4.33	5.92	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.48	20.3	5,694	5,714	2.41	0.05	0.00	5,788
% Reduced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47%	47%	12%	48%	-	47%
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-		-	-	-		-		-		-		T	-	-	_
Unmit.	0.89	1.73	4.31	4.18	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.48	20.3	10,701	10,722	2.75	0.09	0.00	10,816
Mit.	0.89	1.73	4.31	4.18	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.48	20.3	5,687	5,707	2.41	0.05	0.00	5,781
% Reduced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47%	47%	12%	48%	-	47%
Average Daily (Max)	-					-									Ī		-	
Unmit.	1.10	1.92	4.32	5.37	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.48	20.3	10,706	10,727	2.75	0.09	0.00	10,821
Mit.	1.10	1.92	4.32	5.37	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.48	20.3	5,691	5,712	2.41	0.05	0.00	5,786
% Reduced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	-	47%	47%	12%	48%	-	47%
Annual (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	0.20	0.35	0.79	0.98	< 0.005	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.09	3.35	1,773	1,776	0.46	0.01	0.00	1,792
Mit.	0.20	0.35	0.79	0.98	< 0.005	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.09	3.35	942	946	0.40	0.01	0.00	958
% Reduced	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	47%	47%	12%	48%	-	47%

### 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)			_	_			_			_	_					_	_	
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Area	0.31	1.21	0.01	1.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	7.15	7.15	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.18
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	-	5,258	5,258	0.37	0.04	-	5,280
Water	_	_	I -	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04	-	5,061
Waste	_	-	1-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	-	70.9
Stationar y	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	404
Total	1.20	2.01	4.33	5.92	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.48	20.3	10,709	10,729	2.75	0.09	0.00	10,824
Daily, Winter (Max)	_						-		_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	_	0.93	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	-
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	_	5,258	5,258	0.37	0.04	-	5,280
Water	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04	_	5,061
Waste	_	-	1-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	-	70.9
Stationar y	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	404
Total	0.89	1.73	4.31	4.18	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.48	20.3	10,701	10,722	2.75	0.09	0.00	10,816
Average Daily	-		-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	0.21	1.12	0.01	1.19	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	4.90	4.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	4.92
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	_	5,258	5,258	0.37	0.04	-	5,280
Water	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04	_	5,061
Waste	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	_	70.9
Stationar y	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	404
Total	1.10	1.92	4.32	5.37	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.48	20.3	10,706	10,727	2.75	0.09	0.00	10,821
Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Area	0.04	0.20	< 0.005	0.22	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	0.81	0.81	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.81
Energy	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	871	871	0.06	0.01	-	874
Water	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	0.00	834	834	0.06	0.01	_	838
Waste	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	3.35	0.00	3.35	0.34	0.00	_	11.7
Stationar y	0.16	0.14	0.75	0.73	< 0.005	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.00	66.7	66.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	66.9
Total	0.20	0.35	0.79	0.98	< 0.005	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.09	3.35	1,773	1,776	0.46	0.01	0.00	1,792

# 2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	Ť	Ť	-	-	-	-	-		-			-	Ĺ		_	
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	0.31	1.21	0.01	1.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	7.15	7.15	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	7.18
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	_	244	244	0.02	< 0.005	_	244
Water	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04	_	5,061
Waste	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	-	70.9
Stationar y	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	404
Total	1.20	2.01	4.33	5.92	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.48	20.3	5,694	5,714	2.41	0.05	0.00	5,788
Daily, Winter (Max)		-		1	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	-	0.93	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	_	244	244	0.02	< 0.005	-	244
Water	_	_	1_	1	_	_	_		_	_	_	0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04		5,061

Waste	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	_	70.9
Stationar y	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	404
Total	0.89	1.73	4.31	4.18	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.48	20.3	5,687	5,707	2.41	0.05	0.00	5,781
Average Daily	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	0.21	1.12	0.01	1.19	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	4.90	4.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	4.92
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	_	244	244	0.02	< 0.005	_	244
Water	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04	-	5,061
Waste	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	_	70.9
Stationar y	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	404
Total	1.10	1.92	4.32	5.37	0.01	0.48	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.00	0.48	20.3	5,691	5,712	2.41	0.05	0.00	5,786
Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	1-	_		-
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	0.04	0.20	< 0.005	0.22	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	0.81	0.81	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.81
Energy	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	40.3	40.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	40.5
Water	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	834	834	0.06	0.01	_	838
Waste	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	3.35	0.00	3.35	0.34	0.00	_	11.7
Stationar y	0.16	0.14	0.75	0.73	< 0.005	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.00	66.7	66.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	66.9
Total	0.20	0.35	0.79	0.98	< 0.005	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.09	3.35	942	946	0.40	0.01	0.00	958

# 3. Construction Emissions Details

#### 3.1. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2026) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
		×	1-	Y					10/04								-	

16 / 81

Onsite	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-					-		-			r		-		-	-	-
Daily, Winter (Max)					-	-	-	-	-	-					-		-	
Off-Road Equipmen		0.59	4.26	6.30	0.02	0.14	-	0.14	0.13	-	0.13	-	1,863	1,863	0.08	0.02	-	1,869
Dust From Material Movement	_ t						0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00						-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	1- 1	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.04	0.26	0.38	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	113	113	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	113
Dust From Material Movement	 t				-		0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	-				-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.05	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	18.7	18.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	18.8
Dust From Material Movement							0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00						-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_		-	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_		-	-	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)			-	-	-	-	-		-							-	-	-
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-		-	-	-		-					-		-	-	-
Worker	0.50	0.38	1.03	12.7	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	_	3,383	3,383	0.01	0.11	0.33	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.82	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.21	0.00	0.05	0.05	-	208	208	< 0.005	0.01	0.33	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_
Worker	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	_	34.5	34.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

### 3.2. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2026) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	1-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			-		-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Daily, Winter (Max)	_								_	-			-	-		-		-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.59	4.26	6.30	0.02	0.14	-	0.14	0.13		0.13	-	1,863	1,863	0.08	0.02	-	1,869

Dust From Material	-	-	-			-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	-			-		r
Movement	t																	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.04	0.26	0.38	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	113	113	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	113
Dust From Material Movement	_ t						0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00			-	-			-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.05	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	18.7	18.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	18.8
Dust From Material Movement	_ t			T			0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00				-		-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1-	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-			-		-		-	-	-	-	-				-	
Worker	0.50	0.38	1.03	12.7	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	-	3,383	3,383	0.01	0.11	0.33	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

19 / 81

Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.82	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.21	0.00	0.05	0.05	-	208	208	< 0.005	0.01	0.33	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	-	_	1	_	_	<u> </u>	1	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-
Worker	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	34.5	34.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

### 3.3. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2027) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	1-	_	1	1-	Ĭ-	i-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	<u> </u>	1-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				-		-	-	-		-					-	-	
Daily, Winter (Max)	_						-		-	-	-	-				-	-	
Off-Road Equipmen		0.59	4.09	6.32	0.02	0.13	-	0.13	0.12	-	0.12	-	1,862	1,862	0.08	0.02	-	1,868
Dust From Material Movement	_ t				-		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	_			-		-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	_	-	-		-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.09	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	40.1	40.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	40.2

Dust From Material Movement	_		-		_		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							Γ
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	6.64	6.64	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	6.66
Dust From Material Movement	_		-				0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	-						
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	1-	-	-	1-
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	_	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-			_	-	
Worker	0.49	0.37	0.92	11.8	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	_	3,315	3,315	0.01	0.11	0.30	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.02	0.02	-	72.4	72.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.11	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	1-	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	12.0	12.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

Hauling 0.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

#### 3.4. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2027) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	1—	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-											_			_	
Daily, Vinter Max)	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Off-Road Equipmen		0.59	4.09	6.32	0.02	0.13	-	0.13	0.12	-	0.12	-	1,862	1,862	0.08	0.02	-	1,868
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t				-		0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_			-	_	_	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.09	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	40.1	40.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	40.2
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t						0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00						-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	_	_	-	1-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	1	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	6.64	6.64	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	6.66

Dust From Material Movemer	— nt					T	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00						-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-				-			-	-	-		-		-	-	
Worker	0.49	0.37	0.92	11.8	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	-	3,315	3,315	0.01	0.11	0.30	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.02	0.02	-	72.4	72.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.11	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	12.0	12.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

# 3.5. Demolition (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_	-	-	-				-	-	-	
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-				-	-	-	-	-		-	-			-	-
Dust From Material Movement	_ t	-	-				< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005							
Demolitio n	_	-	-	-	-	-	3.24	3.24	-	0.49	0.49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dust From Material Movement	_ t						< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-						
Demolitio n	-	-	-		-	-	0.18	0.18	-	0.03	0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dust From Material Movement	 t						< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005							
Demolitio n	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.03	0.03	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)	-		-				-			-							-	
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-		-		-			-				-	-	_	-	
Worker	0.51	0.39	1.14	13.7	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	_	3,452	3,452	0.12	0.11	0.37	-
Vendor	0.52	0.12	6.59	2.89	0.06	0.11	2.10	2.21	0.11	0.58	0.69	_	7,322	7,322	0.46	1.11	0.55	_
Hauling	1.70	0.23	17.3	8.55	0.10	0.20	4.26	4.46	0.20	1.17	1.37	_	15,565	15,565	1.47	2.55	0.87	_
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.05	0.05	_	192	192	0.01	0.01	0.33	-
Vendor	0.03	0.01	0.37	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	0.11	0.12	0.01	0.03	0.04	_	401	401	0.02	0.06	0.51	_
Hauling	0.09	0.01	0.96	0.47	0.01	0.01	0.23	0.24	0.01	0.06	0.07	_	853	853	0.08	0.14	0.80	_
Annual	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-
Worker	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	_	31.8	31.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	-
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.07	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	66.4	66.4	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	_
Hauling	0.02	< 0.005	0.18	0.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	141	141	0.01	0.02	0.13	_

### 3.6. Demolition (2025) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	_	-														_	
Daily, Winter (Max)			_			_			_				_				_	

Dust From Material Movement	 t						< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		-					
Demolitio n	-	-	-	-	1-	-	3.24	3.24	-	0.49	0.49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dust From Material Movement	_ t						< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	-						
Demolitio n	_	-	-	-	-	-	0.18	0.18	-	0.03	0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	_
Dust From Material Movement	_ t		-	-	-	-	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	-	-			-		
Demolitio n	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.03	0.03	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	1-	-	1-	1-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	-	1-	1-	1	-	1-	-	-	-	Î-	-	1-	1-	-	1-	1-	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		-		-	-			-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Daily, Winter (Max)			-		-		-			-			-		r		-	
Worker	0.51	0.39	1.14	13.7	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	_	3,452	3,452	0.12	0.11	0.37	_

Vendor	0.52	0.12	6.59	2.89	0.06	0.11	2.10	2.21	0.11	0.58	0.69	-	7,322	7,322	0.46	1.11	0.55	_
Hauling	1.70	0.23	17.3	8.55	0.10	0.20	4.26	4.46	0.20	1.17	1.37	-	15,565	15,565	1.47	2.55	0.87	_
Average Daily	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		-		-		-	_	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.05	0.05	1-	192	192	0.01	0.01	0.33	-
Vendor	0.03	0.01	0.37	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	0.11	0.12	0.01	0.03	0.04	_	401	401	0.02	0.06	0.51	-
Hauling	0.09	0.01	0.96	0.47	0.01	0.01	0.23	0.24	0.01	0.06	0.07	-	853	853	0.08	0.14	0.80	-
Annual	-	-	-	I <del>-</del>	_	_	-	I <del>-</del>	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-
Worker	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	31.8	31.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	-
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.07	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	66.4	66.4	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	-
Hauling	0.02	< 0.005	0.18	0.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	141	141	0.01	0.02	0.13	

# 3.7. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	<u> </u>	-	1-	_	<u> </u>	I <del>-</del>	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	1-	<u> </u>	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Off-Road Equipmen		2.89	24.0	23.9	0.06	1.07	-	1.07	0.98	-	0.98	-	6,142	6,142	0.25	0.05	-	6,163
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t						1.91	1.91		0.90	0.90	_		-	-		-	-
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.48			-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

Daily, Winter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
(Max)																		
Off-Road Equipmen		2.89	24.0	23.9	0.06	1.07	-	1.07	0.98	-	0.98		6,142	6,142	0.25	0.05	_	6,163
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t				-		1.91	1.91	-	0.90	0.90				-		-	
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.48					-		-	-			-		-	-		
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	1		-	-	-	1-	-	-	1		-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		1.79	14.9	14.8	0.04	0.66	-	0.66	0.61	-	0.61	-	3,798	3,798	0.15	0.03	-	3,811
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t	-	-			-	1.18	1.18	-	0.56	0.56							
Architect ural Coatings		0.29				-	-				-							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	1-	-	_	_	_	1-	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.33	2.71	2.69	0.01	0.12	-	0.12	0.11	-	0.11	-	629	629	0.03	0.01	-	631
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t	-			-	-	0.22	0.22	-	0.10	0.10					-	-	-

Architect ural Coatings	-	0.05	-				-											
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Worker	0.52	0.41	1.03	19.3	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	_	3,769	3,769	0.12	0.11	14.1	-
Vendor	0.14	0.03	1.65	0.77	0.01	0.03	0.55	0.58	0.03	0.15	0.18	_	1,920	1,920	0.12	0.29	5.58	-
Hauling	0.08	0.01	0.77	0.40	< 0.005	0.01	0.20	0.21	0.01	0.05	0.06	-	728	728	0.07	0.12	1.58	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Worker	0.51	0.39	1.14	13.7	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	-	3,452	3,452	0.12	0.11	0.37	-
Vendor	0.14	0.03	1.73	0.76	0.01	0.03	0.55	0.58	0.03	0.15	0.18	-	1,920	1,920	0.12	0.29	0.14	-
Hauling	0.08	0.01	0.81	0.40	< 0.005	0.01	0.20	0.21	0.01	0.05	0.06	-	728	728	0.07	0.12	0.04	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.31	0.24	0.77	9.03	0.00	0.00	2.18	2.18	0.00	0.51	0.51	-	2,165	2,165	0.07	0.07	3.77	-
Vendor	0.08	0.02	1.08	0.47	0.01	0.02	0.34	0.36	0.02	0.09	0.11	-	1,187	1,187	0.07	0.18	1.50	-
Hauling	0.05	0.01	0.51	0.25	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	-	450	450	0.04	0.07	0.42	-
Annual	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-	_
Worker	0.06	0.04	0.14	1.65	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.40	0.00	0.09	0.09	-	358	358	0.01	0.01	0.62	-
Vendor	0.02	< 0.005	0.20	0.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	-	197	197	0.01	0.03	0.25	_
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.09	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01		74.6	74.6	0.01	0.01	0.07	_

# 3.8. Building Construction (2025) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	<u>'</u> —	-	_	_	<u></u>	_	_	_	_	_	_	1-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			-	-	-	-			-	-			-			-	-	r
Off-Road Equipmen		2.89	24.0	23.9	0.06	1.07	-	1.07	0.98	-	0.98	-	6,142	6,142	0.25	0.05	-	6,163
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t						1.91	1.91	-	0.90	0.90	-						
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.48									_	-	-				-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		-								-	-	-	-	-		-	
Off-Road Equipmen		2.89	24.0	23.9	0.06	1.07	-	1.07	0.98	-	0.98	-	6,142	6,142	0.25	0.05	-	6,163
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t						1.91	1.91		0.90	0.90						-	-
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.48									-			-				
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		1.79	14.9	14.8	0.04	0.66	-	0.66	0.61	-	0.61	-	3,798	3,798	0.15	0.03	-	3,811

Dust From Material Movement	_						1.18	1.18		0.56	0.56			Г				Г
Architect ural Coatings		0.29		-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-			H	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	_	-	1-	1-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.33	2.71	2.69	0.01	0.12	-	0.12	0.11	-	0.11	-	629	629	0.03	0.01	-	631
Dust From Material Movement	t	-	-		-	-	0.22	0.22		0.10	0.10		-				-	
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.05	T		-		-		Ī		-		-		-		-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	-	-	-		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	1	-	-	-	r		-	-	-	-	-	ľ		-	
Worker	0.52	0.41	1.03	19.3	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	-	3,769	3,769	0.12	0.11	14.1	_
Vendor	0.14	0.03	1.65	0.77	0.01	0.03	0.55	0.58	0.03	0.15	0.18	-	1,920	1,920	0.12	0.29	5.58	-
Hauling	0.08	0.01	0.77	0.40	< 0.005	0.01	0.20	0.21	0.01	0.05	0.06	_	728	728	0.07	0.12	1.58	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		-			-		-	L		F	-	F	-	F	П			Г
Worker	0.51	0.39	1.14	13.7	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	_	3,452	3,452	0.12	0.11	0.37	-
Vendor	0.14	0.03	1.73	0.76	0.01	0.03	0.55	0.58	0.03	0.15	0.18	-	1,920	1,920	0.12	0.29	0.14	-
Hauling	0.08	0.01	0.81	0.40	< 0.005	0.01	0.20	0.21	0.01	0.05	0.06	_	728	728	0.07	0.12	0.04	_

Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	
Worker	0.31	0.24	0.77	9.03	0.00	0.00	2.18	2.18	0.00	0.51	0.51	-	2,165	2,165	0.07	0.07	3.77	-
Vendor	0.08	0.02	1.08	0.47	0.01	0.02	0.34	0.36	0.02	0.09	0.11	-	1,187	1,187	0.07	0.18	1.50	-
Hauling	0.05	0.01	0.51	0.25	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	1	450	450	0.04	0.07	0.42	-
Annual	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	1	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-
Worker	0.06	0.04	0.14	1.65	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.40	0.00	0.09	0.09	-	358	358	0.01	0.01	0.62	-
Vendor	0.02	< 0.005	0.20	0.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	-	197	197	0.01	0.03	0.25	-
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.09	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	74.6	74.6	0.01	0.01	0.07	_

### 3.9. Building Construction (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E		PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I -	<del>-</del>	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	11-	<del>-</del>	1
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		-					-	-		-				T			_
Off-Road Equipmer		2.78	22.2	23.4	0.06	0.97	-	0.97	0.89	-	0.89	-	6,145	6,145	0.25	0.05	-	6,166
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t	-			-		1.91	1.91		0.90	0.90	_		_	-		-	_
Architect ural Coatings	-	0.48	-								-	-		-	-			
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	

Off-Road Equipmen		2.78	22.2	23.4	0.06	0.97	-	0.97	0.89		0.89	1	6,145	6,145	0.25	0.05	-	6,166
Dust From Material Movement	_						1.91	1.91		0.90	0.90							Ī
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.48	-				-										-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		1.82	14.5	15.3	0.04	0.64	-	0.64	0.59	-	0.59	-	4,029	4,029	0.16	0.03	-	4,042
Dust From Material Movement	_						1.25	1.25		0.59	0.59				I			-
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.31							-									-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.33	2.65	2.79	0.01	0.12	-	0.12	0.11	-	0.11	-	667	667	0.03	0.01	-	669
Dust From Material Movement	_						0.23	0.23		0.11	0.11							-
Architect ural Coatings		0.06		Ī			-		-	-								-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

Offsite	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-				-		-		-	-	-		-		Ī			
Worker	0.51	0.40	0.92	17.8	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	-	3,692	3,692	0.12	0.11	12.8	-
Vendor	0.14	0.02	1.56	0.73	0.01	0.03	0.55	0.58	0.03	0.15	0.18	-	1,888	1,888	0.10	0.29	5.15	-
Hauling	0.07	0.01	0.74	0.39	< 0.005	0.01	0.20	0.21	0.01	0.05	0.06	-	715	715	0.06	0.11	1.48	-
Daily, Winter (Max)			-		-	-	-		-		-		-	-				
Worker	0.50	0.38	1.03	12.7	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	_	3,383	3,383	0.01	0.11	0.33	_
Vendor	0.14	0.02	1.63	0.73	0.01	0.03	0.55	0.58	0.03	0.15	0.18	_	1,888	1,888	0.10	0.29	0.13	_
Hauling	0.07	0.01	0.77	0.39	< 0.005	0.01	0.20	0.21	0.01	0.05	0.06	_	715	715	0.06	0.11	0.04	_
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.33	0.25	0.75	8.83	0.00	0.00	2.31	2.31	0.00	0.54	0.54	-	2,249	2,249	0.01	0.08	3.62	_
Vendor	0.09	0.01	1.08	0.48	0.01	0.02	0.36	0.38	0.02	0.10	0.12	-	1,238	1,238	0.07	0.19	1.45	-
Hauling	0.05	< 0.005	0.51	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	0.13	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.04	-	469	469	0.04	0.08	0.42	_
Annual	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	1-	_	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	_	_
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.14	1.61	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.42	0.00	0.10	0.10	-	372	372	< 0.005	0.01	0.60	-
Vendor	0.02	< 0.005	0.20	0.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.07	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	-	205	205	0.01	0.03	0.24	_
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.09	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	77.6	77.6	0.01	0.01	0.07	-

### 3.10. Building Construction (2026) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	_		-	-	-					_	_		_	-		-	-

Off-Road Equipmen		2.78	22.2	23.4	0.06	0.97	_	0.97	0.89		0.89		6,145	6,145	0.25	0.05	_	6,166
Dust From Material Movement	_		-				1.91	1.91		0.90	0.90							
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.48																-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_											-						-
Off-Road Equipmen		2.78	22.2	23.4	0.06	0.97	-	0.97	0.89	-	0.89	-	6,145	6,145	0.25	0.05	-	6,166
Dust From Material Movement	_	Ī	-				1.91	1.91		0.90	0.90							
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.48											-					-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-		-	-	1	-	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen		1.82	14.5	15.3	0.04	0.64	-	0.64	0.59	-	0.59	-	4,029	4,029	0.16	0.03	-	4,042
Oust From Material Movement	_						1.25	1.25		0.59	0.59							
Architect ural Coatings		0.31	-	J-	-	-		j-	-	-	-	-	-	F	-	J-	-	-

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.33	2.65	2.79	0.01	0.12	-	0.12	0.11	-	0.11	-	667	667	0.03	0.01	_	669
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t			r			0.23	0.23		0.11	0.11							Ī
Architect ural Coatings	-	0.06	-			-	-		-	-	-		-					
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-		-		-			-	-		-	-	-		-	
Worker	0.51	0.40	0.92	17.8	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	_	3,692	3,692	0.12	0.11	12.8	_
Vendor	0.14	0.02	1.56	0.73	0.01	0.03	0.55	0.58	0.03	0.15	0.18	-	1,888	1,888	0.10	0.29	5.15	-
Hauling	0.07	0.01	0.74	0.39	< 0.005	0.01	0.20	0.21	0.01	0.05	0.06	-	715	715	0.06	0.11	1.48	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Worker	0.50	0.38	1.03	12.7	0.00	0.00	3.53	3.53	0.00	0.83	0.83	-	3,383	3,383	0.01	0.11	0.33	_
Vendor	0.14	0.02	1.63	0.73	0.01	0.03	0.55	0.58	0.03	0.15	0.18	-	1,888	1,888	0.10	0.29	0.13	_
Hauling	0.07	0.01	0.77	0.39	< 0.005	0.01	0.20	0.21	0.01	0.05	0.06	-	715	715	0.06	0.11	0.04	_
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.33	0.25	0.75	8.83	0.00	0.00	2.31	2.31	0.00	0.54	0.54	-	2,249	2,249	0.01	0.08	3.62	_
Vendor	0.09	0.01	1.08	0.48	0.01	0.02	0.36	0.38	0.02	0.10	0.12	-	1,238	1,238	0.07	0.19	1.45	-
Hauling	0.05	< 0.005	0.51	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	0.13	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.04	1_	469	469	0.04	0.08	0.42	_

Annual	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.14	1.61	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.42	0.00	0.10	0.10	-	372	372	< 0.005	0.01	0.60	-
Vendor	0.02	< 0.005	0.20	0.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.07	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	_	205	205	0.01	0.03	0.24	_
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.09	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	77.6	77.6	0.01	0.01	0.07	_

# 4. Operations Emissions Details

#### 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

#### 4.1.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-			-	-		_	_	-	-		-	_		-	-	-
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-		1	-	-			-	-			-			1		-

Unrefrige Warehous		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	-			_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### 4.1.2. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		_	_	_		-		_				_	_	_			

Unrefrige rated	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		-		-		-		-	-	-	-			1		-	-
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	-	-	1-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	1-	-
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### 4.2. Energy

#### 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-		-	1-		-		-		-		-		Ė	-	-	
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail			-					-	-	-	-		5,015	5,015	0.34	0.04	-	5,036
Other Non-Asph Surfaces									-		-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	-	-	-			_	-	-	_	_	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces			-			-			-	_	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	5,015	5,015	0.34	0.04	-	5,036
Daily, Winter (Max)	-				7			-	_	_	-	-	-	-			-	

Unrefrige — rated Warehou		-	-			-					-	5,015	5,015	0.34	0.04		5,036
Other — Non-Asphalt Surfaces	F	-	-	-		-	F		F	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Н	0.00
Parking — Lot	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other — Asphalt Surfaces		-	-	-				-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total —	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	-	-	5,015	5,015	0.34	0.04	-	5,036
Annual —	_	1-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_
Unrefrige — rated Warehou se-Rail												830	830	0.06	0.01		834
Other — Non-Asphalt Surfaces		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking — Lot	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other — Asphalt Surfaces		1	Ī		-					Ī		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total —	_	1_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	830	830	0.06	0.01	_	834

#### 4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_		_	_	_		-	_			_

Unrefrige Warehouse		-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Non-Aspha Surfaces	— alt	-	-	-	-	-	-	ŀ	-	F	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces		-		-	-	F	-	-	-		-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	-	-	-	-	-	1-		-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-		-		-					-					-	
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail		Ī		Ī	L	Ī			1				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Non-Aspha Surfaces	— alt	Г	-		-	-	-		-	-	-		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces		-							-			1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail												-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Non-Aspha Surfaces	— alt	-	-		-				-			-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00

Parking Lot	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	-		-	_	-	_			_			_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

# 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_			-		-	-	-		-	_		-	-	-	-	-	-
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02		0.02	0.02	-	0.02		244	244	0.02	< 0.005	_	244
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	-	244	244	0.02	< 0.005	-	244
Daily, Winter (Max)	_									-	-	-	-	_			-	
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02		0.02	0.02	-	0.02		244	244	0.02	< 0.005		244

Other Non-Asph	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Surfaces																		
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	_	244	244	0.02	< 0.005	_	244
Annual	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	40.3	40.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	40.5
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	40.3	40.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	40.5

#### 4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)							_							_	_	_	_	_

Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02		0.02	0.02		0.02		244	244	0.02	< 0.005		244
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	-	244	244	0.02	< 0.005	-	244
Daily, Winter (Max)			-		-	-				-			-		T			
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02	Ī	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	-	244	244	0.02	< 0.005	-	244
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.17	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	_	244	244	0.02	< 0.005	_	244
Annual	_	-	-	1-	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		40.3	40.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	40.5

Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	40.3	40.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	40.5

### 4.3. Area Emissions by Source

#### 4.3.1. Unmitigated

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		-	_	-	_	-	_	_		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Consum er Products		0.87	-			-	-	-	-	_	-	_		_		-	-	
Architect ural Coatings		0.06			-	-			-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	
Landsca pe Equipme nt		0.29	0.01	1.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	7.15	7.15	< 0.005	< 0.005		7.18
Total	0.31	1.21	0.01	1.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	7.15	7.15	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.18
Daily, Winter (Max)	_				-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_

Consum er Products	-	0.87	-		-	-		-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	
Architect ural Coatings		0.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	0.93	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Annual	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_
Consum er Products	_	0.16	-	_	-		-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
Architect ural Coatings	-	0.01	-	-			-			-		Ī			-		-	-
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.22	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		0.81	0.81	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.81
Total	0.04	0.20	< 0.005	0.22	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	0.81	0.81	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.81

#### 4.3.2. Mitigated

Source	TOG	ROG		СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_
Consum er Products	_	0.87			_													_
Architect ural Coatings		0.06	-		_	-			_		_	_	_		-	-		-

Landsca pe Equipme	0.31	0.29	0.01	1.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	7.15	7.15	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	7.18
Total	0.31	1.21	0.01	1.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	7.15	7.15	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	7.18
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Consum er Products	_	0.87	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	r
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.06	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Total	_	0.93	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-
Annual	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Consum er Products	_	0.16	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Architect ural Coatings	-	0.01	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.22	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	Ī	0.81	0.81	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.81
Total	0.04	0.20	< 0.005	0.22	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	0.81	0.81	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.81

# 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

#### 4.4.1. Unmitigated

Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Use																		

Daily, Summer (Max)	-		-		-		-					-	-		-			
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	_		-		-		-					0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04	-	5,061
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt		-		-						-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	-		-	-	-		-					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04	-	5,061
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-					F			-	-			F			
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	_		-		-		-					0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04		5,061
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt	-	-		-		1					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	-			-	-							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04	_	5,061
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	1-	1_	_	_

Unrefrige — rated Warehou se-Rail									0.00	834	834	0.06	0.01		838
Other — Non-Asphalt Surfaces	-	-	-			-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking — Lot		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other — Asphalt Surfaces				-		-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total —	 -  -	1-	-	1-	-	1-	-	1	0.00	834	834	0.06	0.01	1-	838

### 4.4.2. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	_				-				_	_	_	0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04		5,061
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt		-						_	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	_		-			-	-	-	-	_	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04	-	5,061

Daily, — Winter (Max)		-	-	-		-	-	-		-			-	-	-	-	
Unrefrige — rated Warehou se-Rail		-				-			Г		0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04		5,061
Other — Non-Asphalt Surfaces		-		-		-	-		r		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking — Lot	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other — Asphalt Surfaces			-	-				-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total —	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	5,040	5,040	0.35	0.04	_	5,061
Annual —	-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-	1-	-	-	-
Unrefrige — rated Warehou se-Rail		-				-			-		0.00	834	834	0.06	0.01	-	838
Other — Non-Asphalt Surfaces				-			-	-		Ī	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking — Lot	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other — Asphalt Surfaces		-	1	-	-		-	-		-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	834	834	0.06	0.01	_	838

## 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

## 4.5.1. Unmitigated

₋and Jse	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	F	-		-			-	-		-			T		
Jnrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail												20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	-	70.9
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt				-	-	-				-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Parking ₋ot	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	-	-	-	-			-		-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
otal	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	-	70.9
Daily, Vinter Max)	_		-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-		-	-	T	-	
Inrefrige ated Varehou e-Rail	-				_	-				-		20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	_	70.9
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt				_	-	-			-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Parking Lot	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	_				-	-	-		-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
otal		_					_	_	_	_	_	20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	_	70.9

Annual —	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Unrefrige — rated Warehou se-Rail								-			3.35	0.00	3.35	0.34	0.00		11.7
Other — Non-Asphalt Surfaces		-		-	1						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking — Lot	1	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other — Asphalt Surfaces											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total —	_	_		_		1-	_	-	-	1-	3.35	0.00	3.35	0.34	0.00	-	11.7

### 4.5.2. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-		-	T	-	-	-
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail					-	-				-	-	20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	-	70.9
Other Non-Aspl Surfaces									-		-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	-	-	-	F	1	F	-		-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00

Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	_	70.9
Daily, Winter (Max)	-				-	-	-		-				-	-	-		-	-
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail	_				-		Ī					20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	-	70.9
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt		-	r		-						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	-		-		F	T	-	I			-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	20.3	0.00	20.3	2.03	0.00	-	70.9
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Unrefrige rated Warehou se-Rail												3.35	0.00	3.35	0.34	0.00		11.7
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt					Ī						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	_	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces			-		-	-	-	-				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	3.35	0.00	3.35	0.34	0.00	_	11.7

## 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

### 4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

		,	,	J, J			'		J,		,							
Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)			-		_	-		_	_	-		_	-	-	_	-	_	_
Total	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	- 1
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

### 4.6.2. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-			-	-		-	-	_	_	-			-	-
Total	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-		_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

## 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

#### 4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme		ROG				PM10E			PM2.5E			BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
nt		ROO	NOX		002	I WITOL	I WITOD	I WITOT	I WIZ.JL	1 WZ.3D	1 1012.01	D002	NBOOZ	0021	OI I	1420		0026
Туре																		
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	_	_	_	_		_		_		_	_	_	_	_	_	-	
Total	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	- 111	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

#### 4.7.2. Mitigated

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	-		_			_		_	_	_		_	-
Total	_	_	_	_	_	-	- 1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_

Annual —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Total —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	

## 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

### 4.8.1. Unmitigated

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-			-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	_	-	
Fire Pump	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	0.00
undefine d	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	404
Total	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	404
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-		_	-	-	-	-
Fire Pump	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	0.00
undefine d	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	404
Total	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	404
Annual	-	1-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_
Fire Pump	0.16	0.14	0.75	0.73	< 0.005	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.00	66.7	66.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00
undefine d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	66.9
Total	0.16	0.14	0.75	0.73	< 0.005	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.00	66.7	66.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	66.9

#### 4.8.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fire Pump	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	0.00
undefine d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	404
Total	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	404
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-		-	_	-	-	-		-		-	-	_	_	_	_
Fire Pump	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	0.00
undefine d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	404
Total	0.87	0.79	4.11	4.01	< 0.005	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.46	0.00	0.46	0.00	403	403	0.02	< 0.005	0.00	404
Annual	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	-
Fire Pump	0.16	0.14	0.75	0.73	< 0.005	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.00	66.7	66.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00
undefine d	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66.9
Total	0.16	0.14	0.75	0.73	< 0.005	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.00	66.7	66.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	66.9

## 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

#### 4.9.1. Unmitigated

Equipme Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		_		_		_		_	-			_	-				
Total	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

### 4.9.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	-	-				_		-	_	-		_	_	-	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_			_	-
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

## 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

### 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetatio n	TOG	ROG		со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)			_		-	_		_		-		_	-	-	-	-	_	-
Total	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	-		_	_	-	_	-	_	-
Total	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

### 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				_				_	_	-	_	_		-		_	_
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-		_	-	-		_	_
Daily, Winter	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
(Max)																		
Total	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		-	-	_	_
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-

### 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-					-	_	-	-	-	_	-		-		-
Avoided	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Sequest ered	-	-	-			-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Remove d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-
-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)				I					-		-				T			
Avoided	-	-	-	1-	1-		-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	I-	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Sequest ered	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-
Remove d	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Subtotal	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_
_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-
Annual	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Avoided	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Sequest —	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Subtotal —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Remove — d	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-		_	_	-	_	-	-
Subtotal —	-	-	-	_	_	-	1	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	- 1
	_	_				_	-	_	_	_	_				_	_	_

### 4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetatio n	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_			_								_	_		_		
Total	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	_	_	_	_													
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_

### 4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Use																		
030																		
Daily,	_		_						_				_	_	_	_	_	
Summer																		
(Max)																		
,																		
Total	_	_	_			_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_		_	_

Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	-	-	-	_		_		-		-		_		_	-
Total	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	-
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Total	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_

### 4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T		PM2.5D		BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	_	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-
Avoided	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Subtotal	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-
Sequest ered	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-
Remove d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
-	_	_	-			_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-			-	-		_	_	-	-	-		-		-	
Avoided	-	-	1-	1-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	1-	1-	-	_
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-
Sequest ered	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Remove d	-		_		-		-	Г	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_
Subtotal	_	-	1-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_
Annual	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Avoided	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Sequest ered	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	-
Subtotal	_	-	_	I-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_
Remove d	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_

# 5. Activity Data

## 5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Linear, Grading & Excavation	12/1/2026	1/11/2027	5.00	30.0	Pipeline Installation
Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2025	1/29/2025	5.00	20.0	_
Building Construction	Building Construction	2/19/2025	12/1/2026	5.00	465	_

## 5.2. Off-Road Equipment

### 5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor

Linear, Grading & Excavation	Bore/Drill Rigs	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	83.0	0.50
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	376	0.38
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Building Construction	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	376	0.38
Building Construction	Crawler Tractors	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	87.0	0.43
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	82.0	0.20

## 5.2.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Bore/Drill Rigs	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	83.0	0.50
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	376	0.38
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Building Construction	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	376	0.38
Building Construction	Crawler Tractors	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	87.0	0.43

Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	82.0	0.20

## 5.3. Construction Vehicles

### 5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	_	_	_	<del>-</del>
Demolition	Worker	50.0	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	25.0	100	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	46.0	100	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	_	-	HHDT
Building Construction	_	_	_	_
Building Construction	Worker	50.0	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	6.56	100	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	2.15	100	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	-	<del>-</del>	HHDT
Linear, Grading & Excavation	_	_	_	_
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Worker	50.0	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Vendor	0.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Hauling	0.00	100	HHDT
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT

### 5.3.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	_	_	_	_
Demolition	Worker	50.0	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	25.0	100	HHDT,MHDT

Demolition	Hauling	46.0	100	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Building Construction	_	_	_	_
Building Construction	Worker	50.0	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	6.56	100	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	2.15	100	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Linear, Grading & Excavation	_	_	_	_
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Worker	50.0	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Vendor	0.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Hauling	0.00	100	HHDT
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT

#### 5.4. Vehicles

### 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

## 5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Building Construction	0.00	0.00	60,000	20,000	7,684

## 5.6. Dust Mitigation

## 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)		Material Demolished (Ton of Debris)	Acres Paved (acres)
Linear, Grading & Excavation		_	0.14	0.00	_
		67	/ 81		

Demolition	_	1,350	0.14	3,000	-
Building Construction	_	8,000	581	0.00	_

### 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	3	74%	74%

### 5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-Rail	0.00	0%
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	2.00	0%
Parking Lot	0.50	100%
User Defined Linear	0.14	100%
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.44	100%

### 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2025	0.00	565	0.03	< 0.005
2026	0.00	482	0.03	< 0.005
2027	0.00	482	0.03	< 0.005

### 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

#### 5.9.1. Unmitigated

La	and Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
----	--------------	---------------	----------------	--------------	------------	-------------	--------------	------------	----------

Unrefrigerated Warehouse-Rail	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### 5.9.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-Rail	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

## 5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

### 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	60,000	20,000	7,684

#### 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

#### 5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

### 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

#### 5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-Rail	3,800,000	482	0.0330	0.0040	760,427
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	482	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	482	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	482	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

### 5.11.2. Mitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-Rail	0.00	482	0.0330	0.0040	760,427
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	482	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	482	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	482	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

### 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

### 5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-Rail	0.00	719,653,531
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00

### 5.12.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-Rail	0.00	719,653,531
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00

## 5.13. Operational Waste Generation

### 5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-Rail	37.6	<del>-</del>
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	_
Parking Lot	0.00	<del>-</del>
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	<u> </u>

Load Factor

#### 5.13.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-Rail	37.6	_
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	_
Parking Lot	0.00	_
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	_

### 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

#### 5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
5.14.2. Mitigated							
Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced

### 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

#### 5.15.1. Unmitigated

**Equipment Type** 

1	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
	45.0 Mg						
5	.15.2. Mitigated						

Number per Day

Hours Per Day

Horsepower

### 5.16. Stationary Sources

#### 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Fuel Type

**Engine Tier** 

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor
Fire Pump	Diesel	1.00	24.0	8,760	5.00	0.73
Fire Pump	Diesel	1.00	24.0	8,760	25.0	0.73
Fire Pump	Diesel	1.00	24.0	8,760	15.0	0.73

#### 5.16.2. Process Boilers

٠	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)
	_darba			20101 (1011)	Dany Hoat Input (IIII Dia asy)	/

#### 5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
_	

### 5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

#### 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

the state of the s		Name of the last o	In the second se
Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

### 5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

### 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

### 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type Initial Acres Final Acres

#### 5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final A	cres
5.18.2. Sequestration			
5.18.2.1. Unmitigated			
Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
5.18.2.2. Mitigated			
Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)

### 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

### 6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	38.6	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	7.50	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	35.6	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

#### 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	5	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

#### 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	5	1	1	4
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2	
-------------------------	---	---	---	---	--

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

#### 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

### 7. Health and Equity Details

#### 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	——————————————————————————————————————
AQ-Ozone	97.6
AQ-PM	1.68
AQ-DPM	4.41
Drinking Water	60.7
Lead Risk Housing	11.6
Pesticides	11.0
Toxic Releases	8.39
Traffic	1.35
Effect Indicators	_
CleanUp Sites	0.00
Groundwater	0.00
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	0.00
mpaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	11.6

Sensitive Population	-	
Asthma	63.6	
Cardio-vascular	92.9	
Low Birth Weights	66.3	
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	_	
Education	33.5	
Housing	22.1	
Linguistic	8.49	
Poverty	67.0	
Unemployment	64.5	

## 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	<del>-</del>
Above Poverty	54.07416913
Employed	2.34826126
Median HI	47.09354549
Education	
Bachelor's or higher	24.38085461
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	95.7141024
Transportation	
Auto Access	86.34672142
Active commuting	8.161170281
Social	
2-parent households	29.38534582

Voting	73.38637239
Neighborhood	
Alcohol availability	87.1423072
Park access	51.00731426
Retail density	9.110740408
Supermarket access	10.57359168
Tree canopy	85.29449506
Housing	_
Homeownership	77.15898884
Housing habitability	49.54446298
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	35.91684845
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	3.708456307
Uncrowded housing	96.93314513
Health Outcomes	_
Insured adults	30.92518927
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	46.4
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	16.7
Cognitively Disabled	5.2
Physically Disabled	5.0
Heart Attack ER Admissions	10.8

Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	59.1
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	-
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	-
Wildfire Risk	87.1
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	65.5
Elderly	25.8
English Speaking	82.2
Foreign-born	0.7
Outdoor Workers	31.4
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	
Impervious Surface Cover	94.7
Traffic Density	3.7
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	_
Hardship	62.9
Other Decision Support	
2016 Voting	81.4

#### 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	19.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	41.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

#### 7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

#### 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

### 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

## 8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Construction Phases	Based on Client Provided data and construction schedule
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Client Provided construction equipment list
Construction: Trips and VMT	Per Project applicant, the hauling trucks would travel a distance of up to 100 miles round trip, as such hauling for both the Linear, Grading & Excavation and Demolition phase was adjusted to 100 miles.
Operations: Vehicle Data	No trips data available
Operations: Architectural Coatings	SCAQMD Rule 1113
Construction: Dust From Material Movement	Export expected per Project data

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Construction: Architectural Coatings	SCAQMD Rule 1113
Operations: Energy Use	Electricity adjusted based on client provided data
Operations: Water and Waste Water	Taken from 2022 Lake Analysis report

This page intentionally left blank





CALEEMOD REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 2 UNMITIGATED EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS



# 15309-Lake Pipeline (Unmitigated) Detailed Report

#### Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.2. Land Use Types
  - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
  - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
  - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
  - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
  - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
  - 3.1. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2025) Unmitigated
  - 3.3. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2026) Unmitigated
  - 3.5. Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade (2026) Unmitigated
  - 3.7. Demolition (2025) Unmitigated

- 4. Operations Emissions Details
  - 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use
    - 4.1.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.2. Energy
    - 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
    - 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
  - 4.3. Area Emissions by Source
    - 4.3.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use
    - 4.4.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use
    - 4.5.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use
    - 4.6.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type
    - 4.7.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

- 4.8.1. Unmitigated
- 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type
  - 4.9.1. Unmitigated
- 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
  - 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Unmitigated
  - 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Unmitigated
  - 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Unmitigated
- 5. Activity Data
  - 5.1. Construction Schedule
  - 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
    - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.3. Construction Vehicles
    - 5.3.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.4. Vehicles
    - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
  - 5.5. Architectural Coatings
  - 5.6. Dust Mitigation

- 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
- 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies
- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources
  - 5.9.1. Unmitigated
- 5.10. Operational Area Sources
  - 5.10.1. Hearths
    - 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings
  - 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment
- 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption
  - 5.11.1. Unmitigated
- 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption
  - 5.12.1. Unmitigated
- 5.13. Operational Waste Generation
  - 5.13.1. Unmitigated

- 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment
  - 5.14.1. Unmitigated
- 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment
  - 5.15.1. Unmitigated
- 5.16. Stationary Sources
  - 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps
  - 5.16.2. Process Boilers
- 5.17. User Defined
- 5.18. Vegetation
  - 5.18.1. Land Use Change
    - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type
    - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.18.2. Sequestration
    - 5.18.2.1. Unmitigated
- 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report
  - 6.1. Climate Risk Summary

- 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
- 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores
- 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures
- 7. Health and Equity Details
  - 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
  - 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
  - 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores
  - 7.4. Health & Equity Measures
  - 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard
  - 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

# 1. Basic Project Information

# 1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value	
Project Name	15309-Lake Pipeline (Unmitigated)	
Construction Start Date	5/1/2025	
Operational Year	2027	
Lead Agency	_	
and Use Scale	Project/site	
Analysis Level for Defaults	County	
Nindspeed (m/s)	2.50	
Precipitation (days)	1.80	
Location	34.269428, -116.815824	
County	San Bernardino-South Coast	
Dity	Unincorporated	
Air District	South Coast AQMD	
Air Basin	South Coast	
AZ	5156	
EDFZ	10	
Electric Utility	Bear Valley Electric Service	
Gas Utility	Southwest Gas Corp.	
App Version	2022.1.1.18	

# 1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq	Special Landscape	Population	Description
					ft)	Area (sq ft)		

User Defined Linear	3.78	Mile	2.06	0.00	_	_	-	-
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	1.00	Acre	1.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	-

### 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

# 2. Emissions Summary

### 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		-		-	-	-				-					-	-	
Unmit.	3.47	1.41	28.1	27.2	0.15	0.49	8.51	9.00	0.47	2.05	2.52	-	22,975	22,975	1.96	3.22	47.5	24,031
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-	-		-			-						-	-	-	
Unmit.	2.98	1.53	22.0	25.8	0.11	0.46	5.63	6.09	0.43	1.45	1.89	-	17,145	17,145	1.26	2.04	0.86	17,776
Average Daily (Max)	-					-	-	-	-		-				-	-	-	
Unmit.	1.33	0.55	11.1	9.79	0.06	0.19	2.89	3.08	0.18	0.73	0.91	-	8,713	8,713	0.74	1.21	7.77	9,099
Annual (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	0.24	0.10	2.03	1.79	0.01	0.03	0.53	0.56	0.03	0.13	0.17	_	1,443	1,443	0.12	0.20	1.29	1,506

### 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	-	-	Ī	T	-	_	-	-	-		_	_	-	-	-		-	_
2025	3.47	1.41	28.1	27.2	0.15	0.49	8.51	9.00	0.47	2.05	2.52	-	22,975	22,975	1.96	3.22	47.5	24,031
2026	0.79	0.65	4.07	11.1	0.01	0.14	1.24	1.38	0.13	0.29	0.42	_	2,467	2,467	0.09	0.05	4.47	2,489
Daily - Winter (Max)	-		-		_	_	-		-	-	-		_	-	-		-	_
2025	2.30	0.98	18.8	16.9	0.10	0.33	4.40	4.73	0.32	1.16	1.48	_	15,029	15,029	1.26	2.04	0.80	15,670
2026	2.98	1.53	22.0	25.8	0.11	0.46	5.63	6.09	0.43	1.45	1.89	-	17,145	17,145	1.20	2.01	0.86	17,776
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-		-	-	_	-	_	_
2025	1.33	0.55	11.1	9.79	0.06	0.19	2.89	3.08	0.18	0.73	0.91	_	8,713	8,713	0.74	1.21	7.77	9,099
2026	0.50	0.37	2.90	5.68	0.01	0.09	0.82	0.91	0.08	0.20	0.28	_	1,845	1,845	0.07	0.11	1.51	1,880
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
2025	0.24	0.10	2.03	1.79	0.01	0.03	0.53	0.56	0.03	0.13	0.17	_	1,443	1,443	0.12	0.20	1.29	1,506
2026	0.09	0.07	0.53	1.04	< 0.005	0.02	0.15	0.17	0.01	0.04	0.05		305	305	0.01	0.02	0.25	311

# 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		-	1	_		_		_			_	_	_	_	_	Ī	-
Unmit.	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily (Max)	-																	
Unmit.	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-		-	-
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Water	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Waste	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	-	0.22	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	1-	1-	-
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Water	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Waste	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Water	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Waste	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	1-	-	-	-	_	-
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1 -	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>—</b>	0.00
Water	-	-	-	_	-	_	1-	-	-	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Waste	_	_	_	-	_	-	<u> </u>	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# 3. Construction Emissions Details

## 3.1. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2025) - Unmitigated

Location -	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite -	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Daily, - Summer (Max)		_			-				_						_		-	
Off-Road ( Equipment		0.68	4.92	6.09	0.02	0.18	-	0.18	0.16	-	0.16	-	1,799	1,799	0.07	0.01	-	1,805

Dust From Material	-	-	-	-			< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005 —	-  -	-	-	-	-	
Movement	t																
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 —	- 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		-			-	-		-					I		_	r
Off-Road Equipmen		0.68	4.92	6.09	0.02	0.18	-	0.18	0.16	-	0.16 —	- 1,799	1,799	0.07	0.01	-	1,805
Dust From Material Movement	_ t						< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005 —					-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 —	- 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-  -	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.33	2.36	2.92	0.01	0.08	-	0.08	0.08	-	0.08 —	- 862	862	0.03	0.01	-	865
Dust From Material Movement	_ t	Ī	Ī				< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005 —	-  -	-	-		-	T
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 —	- 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_		-  -	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.06	0.43	0.53	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.01	-	0.01 —	- 143	143	0.01	< 0.005	-	143
Dust From Material Movement	_	-	-	-			< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005 —		-	-	-	-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 —	- 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

Offsite	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-				-						-				T		-	-
Worker	0.16	0.12	0.31	5.78	0.00	0.00	1.06	1.06	0.00	0.25	0.25	-	1,131	1,131	0.04	0.03	4.24	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	1.33	0.18	13.0	6.69	0.08	0.16	3.34	3.50	0.16	0.91	1.07	-	12,194	12,194	1.15	1.99	26.4	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-			-			-		-								-	-
Worker	0.15	0.12	0.34	4.11	0.00	0.00	1.06	1.06	0.00	0.25	0.25	_	1,036	1,036	0.04	0.03	0.11	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	1.33	0.18	13.5	6.70	0.08	0.16	3.34	3.50	0.16	0.91	1.07	_	12,195	12,195	1.15	2.00	0.69	_
Average Daily	-	-	-		-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.18	2.10	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.51	0.00	0.12	0.12	_	504	504	0.02	0.02	0.88	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.64	0.09	6.59	3.21	0.04	0.08	1.60	1.67	0.08	0.44	0.51	_	5,846	5,846	0.55	0.96	5.50	-
Annual	_	_	1-	-	_	-	1-	1	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.02	-	83.4	83.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.12	0.02	1.20	0.59	0.01	0.01	0.29	0.31	0.01	0.08	0.09	_	968	968	0.09	0.16	0.91	-

# 3.3. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			-		-	-					-	_			-	-	-	-

Daily, Winter (Max)	_		-	-	-	_	-	_		_	-						-	
Off-Road Equipmen		0.67	4.65	6.11	0.02	0.16	-	0.16	0.15	-	0.15	-	1,800	1,800	0.07	0.01	-	1,806
Dust From Material Movemen	 t						< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		-		-		-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.03	0.19	0.25	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	74.0	74.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	74.2
Dust From Material Movemen	 t	-					< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	_	-				-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	_	_	1-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.03	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	12.2	12.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	12.3
Dust From Material Movemen	 t		I			-	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	_					-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		Ī		-						-			-			-	

Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	-		_	-				-	-					-	-
Worker	0.15	0.11	0.31	3.81	0.00	0.00	1.06	1.06	0.00	0.25	0.25	-	1,015	1,015	< 0.005	0.03	0.10	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	1.25	0.10	13.0	6.54	0.08	0.16	3.34	3.50	0.16	0.91	1.07	-	11,972	11,972	1.07	1.92	0.64	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	42.3	42.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.05	< 0.005	0.54	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.04	-	492	492	0.04	0.08	0.44	-
Annual	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	7.00	7.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.10	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	81.5	81.5	0.01	0.01	0.07	_

## 3.5. Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	-	1	<del>-</del>	_	_	1-	_	-	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_	1-	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	_			-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.51	3.75	4.89	0.01	0.14	-	0.14	0.13	-	0.13	-	1,175	1,175	0.05	0.01	-	1,179
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t						0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

Daily, Winter	-	-	1-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
(Max)																		
Off-Road Equipmen		0.51	3.75	4.89	0.01	0.14	-	0.14	0.13	-	0.13	-	1,175	1,175	0.05	0.01	-	1,179
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t					-	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00				-		-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.27	1.95	2.55	0.01	0.07	-	0.07	0.07	-	0.07	-	612	612	0.02	< 0.005	-	614
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t						0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00						-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Annual	_	-	-	-	_	-	_		_	_	_		-	-	_	_	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.05	0.36	0.46	< 0.005	0.01	1-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	101	101	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	102
Dust From Material Movemen	 t					-	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	-		-	-		_	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Offsite	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-				-	-	-				-		-				-	-
Worker	0.18	0.14	0.32	6.23	0.00	0.00	1.24	1.24	0.00	0.29	0.29	_	1,292	1,292	0.04	0.04	4.47	_

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	-						-										-	-
Worker	0.17	0.13	0.36	4.44	0.00	0.00	1.24	1.24	0.00	0.29	0.29	-	1,184	1,184	< 0.005	0.04	0.12	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	E
Worker	0.09	0.07	0.21	2.45	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.64	0.00	0.15	0.15	-	625	625	< 0.005	0.02	1.01	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-
Worker	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.03	0.03	-	103	103	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.17	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

# 3.7. Demolition (2025) - Unmitigated

	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2					PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	-	_					-	-		_	_				
Off-Road Equipment		0.28	2.32	2.77	< 0.005	0.06	-	0.06	0.06	-	0.06	_	366	366	0.01	< 0.005	-	368
Demolitio n	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.81	1.81	-	0.27	0.27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-		-	-	_	-		-	-	-		-	-		_	-	-
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.05	0.44	0.53	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	70.3	70.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	70.5
Demolitio n	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.35	0.35	-	0.05	0.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Н
Annual	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.08	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	11.6	11.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	11.7
Demolitio n	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.06	0.06	-	0.01	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Worker	0.05	0.04	0.10	1.93	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.35	0.00	0.08	0.08	_	377	377	0.01	0.01	1.41	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.78	0.11	7.55	3.90	0.05	0.09	1.95	2.04	0.09	0.53	0.63	-	7,108	7,108	0.67	1.16	15.4	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	67.1	67.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.12	_

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.15	0.02	1.54	0.75	0.01	0.02	0.37	0.39	0.02	0.10	0.12	-	1,363	1,363	0.13	0.22	1.28	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	11.1	11.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.03	< 0.005	0.28	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.07	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	_	226	226	0.02	0.04	0.21	-

# 4. Operations Emissions Details

# 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

### 4.1.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	Ī			-	_	_					-	_	Ī	r		-
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 nalt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-						-	-	-		-					-
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 nalt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	1-	-	_

Other Non-As Surface		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# 4.2. Energy

### 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land I	тоо		NO	00	000	PM10E	DMAOD	PM10T	DMO EE	DMO ED	DMO ST	DOOG	NIDOOO	СООТ	OLIA	Noo		000-
Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PIVITOT	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-			-	-		_	_		-	_	-	-	-	-	-		
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt		-		-	-		-	-		-	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-			_	-			-	-			-	-	-				
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt		-		-			-	_			-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Annual	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt				-		_	_	-	_	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1-	0.00

### 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

		(1.0, 0.	o., . o. o.	J j, 10	<i>j</i>	ridai, aria		,	, a.a,,	,	J							
Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
D <mark>aily,</mark> Summer (Max)	_	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-		-		
Other Non-Aspl Surfaces		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	r	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Non-Aspl Surfaces		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Annual	_	II-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	_
Other Non-Aspl Surfaces		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

# 4.3. Area Emissions by Source

### 4.3.1. Unmitigated

Ontona	· Onatai	110 (10) 44	y ioi aai	.y, to., y.	ioi aiiii	adi, dila	000	ioracy io	adily, iv	11/91 101	armaarj							
Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	

Consum er Products	-	< 0.005	-														-	
Architect ural Coatings	-	0.22		-	-	-		-	-		-		-					-
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-		-					-		-						
Consum er Products	_	< 0.005	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-					-	-	-
Architect ural Coatings	-	0.22			-		Г	r	-		-	-	-		-	-	Г	
Total	_	0.22	-	1-	1-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	-
Annual	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_
Consum er Products	-	< 0.005	-	-	-	-	T	-	-		-	ľ			-		-	
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.04	-		-	-		1	-	-							-	
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	l	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1-	0.00

# 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

### 4.4.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D		PM2.5E			BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	_			_				-	_		_			-	_	-
Other Non-Asph Surfaces		-	-	_	_	-				-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	Г	Г	Г	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Non-Asph Surfaces		-	-			-	-		-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— nalt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

### 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

#### 4.5.1. Unmitigated

			(, 6.6.	, 101 0.0	<i>y</i> ,, <i>y</i> .		iai, aira	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o, c.c., .c.	J. J	, ,	o,							
Land	TO	G	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Use											_	_	_						

Daily, — Summer (Max)										-						-	
Other — Non-Asphalt Surfaces	-			-				-		-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total —	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Daily, — Winter (Max)							r	F								-	F
Other — Non-Asphalt Surfaces					-						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total —	_	-	_	-		-	_	-	_	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Annual —	_	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-	_	-	-
Other — Non-Asphalt Surfaces	-	-		-	-	-	-			-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total —	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1-	0.00

# 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

### 4.6.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		-	-	-	-		_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	
Total	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Total —	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Annual —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	

### 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

### 4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

		( ,	,	,		,			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	,							
Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	- "
Total	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

## 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

### 4.8.1. Unmitigated

П	Equipme	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Н	nt																		
ш	Туре																		

Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-		-	_	_	-		-	-		-	_	_	_	-	_	_
Total	_	-	-	_	_	_	<u> </u>	-	-	I —	_	-	-	_	<u> </u>	-	_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-		-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_
Annual	_	_	<b>!</b>	_	_	_	1_	_	_	<u> </u>	-	1_	_	_	1_	1_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

# 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

### 4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		_	-	_	-	_	-			_		_		_	_		
Total	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_										-	-	-
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_ !
Annual	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

# 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

## 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetatio n	TOG	ROG		СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)					_	_							-	-	-	_	_	
Total	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-
Total	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	- 1
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

### 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-		-		-	-	-	_	-	-		-	-	-	_	_	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-		_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	_	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_

### 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-					-	_	-	-	-	_	-		-		-
Avoided	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Sequest ered	-	-	-			-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Remove d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-
-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)				I					-		-				T			
Avoided	-	-	-	1-	1-		-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	I-	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Sequest ered	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-
Remove d	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Subtotal	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-
Annual	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Avoided	_	-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Sequest —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Remove — d	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Subtotal —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
_	_					_	_	_							_	_	_

# 5. Activity Data

### 5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Linear, Grading & Excavation	5/1/2025	1/21/2026	5.00	190	_
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	1/21/2026	10/13/2026	5.00	190	-
Demolition	Demolition	5/1/2025	8/7/2025	5.00	70.0	_

## 5.2. Off-Road Equipment

### 5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Plate Compactors	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	8.00	0.43
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Signal Boards	Electric	Average	1.00	8.00	6.00	0.82

Linear, Grading & Excavation	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	376	0.38
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Plate Compactors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	8.00	0.43
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Rollers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	36.0	0.38
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	376	0.38
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	36.0	0.38
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	2.00	81.0	0.42
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Plate Compactors	Diesel	Average	1.00	2.00	8.00	0.43
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	33.0	0.73

## 5.3. Construction Vehicles

# 5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Linear, Grading & Excavation	_	_	_	_
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Worker	15.0	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Vendor	0.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Hauling	36.0	100	HHDT
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	_	_	_	_
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Worker	17.5	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Vendor	0.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT

Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Demolition	_	_	_	_
Demolition	Worker	5.00	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	21.0	100	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT

### 5.4. Vehicles

#### 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

### 5.5. Architectural Coatings

Т	Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated	Residential Exterior Area Coated	Non-Residential Interior Area	Non-Residential Exterior Area	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Ш		(sq ft)	(sq ft)	Coated (sq ft)	Coated (sq ft)	

## 5.6. Dust Mitigation

## 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (Ton of Debris)	Acres Paved (acres)
Linear, Grading & Excavation	_	19,940	5.00	0.00	_
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	_	_	2.06	0.00	_
Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	5,875	_

### 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
	21	/ //	

Water Exposed Area 3 74% 74%
------------------------------

## 5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
User Defined Linear	5.00	100%
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	66.0	0%

### 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2025	29.4	565	0.03	< 0.005
2026	29.4	482	0.03	< 0.005

## 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

### 5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# 5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	172,498

### 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

### 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

### 5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	482	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

### 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

### 5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00

### 5.13. Operational Waste Generation

### 5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	_

### 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

#### 5.14.1. Unmitigated



5.18. Vegetation

**Equipment Type** 

5.18.1. Land Use Change

Fuel Type

#### 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
nos typo	Tomas C	= country carea (itting) care	raiara. Sas Sarsa (Star) sar)

# 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

### 6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit	
Temperature and Extreme Heat	38.6	annual days of extreme heat	
Extreme Precipitation	7.50	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm	
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth	
Wildfire	35.6	annual hectares burned	

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi. Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

#### 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	5	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

#### 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	5	1	1	4
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

#### 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

## 7. Health and Equity Details

#### 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract	
Exposure Indicators	<del>-</del>	
AQ-Ozone	97.6	
AQ-PM	1.68	
AQ-DPM	4.41	
Drinking Water	60.7	
Lead Risk Housing	11.6	
Pesticides	11.0	
Toxic Releases	8.39	
Traffic	1.35	
Effect Indicators		
CleanUp Sites	0.00	
Groundwater	0.00	
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	0.00	

Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	11.6
Sensitive Population	
Asthma	63.6
Cardio-vascular	92.9
Low Birth Weights	66.3
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	_
Education	33.5
Housing	22.1
Linguistic	8.49
Poverty	67.0
Unemployment	64.5

### 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	<del>-</del>
Above Poverty	54.07416913
Employed	2.34826126
Median HI	47.09354549
Education	_
Bachelor's or higher	24.38085461
ligh school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	95.7141024
ransportation	_
Auto Access	86.34672142
Active commuting	8.161170281

Social	_
2-parent households	29.38534582
Voting	73.38637239
Neighborhood	_
Alcohol availability	87.1423072
Park access	51.00731426
Retail density	9.110740408
Supermarket access	10.57359168
Tree canopy	85.29449506
Housing	
Homeownership	77.15898884
Housing habitability	49.54446298
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	35.91684845
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	3.708456307
Uncrowded housing	96.93314513
Health Outcomes	
Insured adults	30.92518927
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	46.4
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	16.7
Cognitively Disabled	5.2

Physically Disabled	5.0
Heart Attack ER Admissions	10.8
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	59.1
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	_
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	_
Wildfire Risk	87.1
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	65.5
Elderly	25.8
English Speaking	82.2
Foreign-born	0.7
Outdoor Workers	31.4
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	_
Impervious Surface Cover	94.7
Traffic Density	3.7
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	_
Hardship	62.9
Other Decision Support	_

2016 Voting	81.4

### 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	19.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	41.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

### 7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

#### 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

#### 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

# 8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Construction Phases	Client Provided Schedule
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Client Provided Equipment list
Construction: Trips and VMT	13 haul trucks and 2 worker trucks accounted for in Linear, Grading & Excavation Phase in addition to default CalEEMod hauling trucks.  Per Project applicant, the hauling trucks would travel a distance of up to 100 miles round trip, as such hauling for both the Linear, Grading & Excavation and Demolition phase was adjusted to 100 miles.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

This page intentionally left blank





CALEEMOD REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 3 UNMITIGATED EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS



# 15309-Shay Ponds (Unmitigated) Detailed Report

#### Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.2. Land Use Types
  - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
  - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
  - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
  - 3.1. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2025) Unmitigated
  - 3.3. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2026) Unmitigated
  - 3.5. Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade (2026) Unmitigated
- 4. Operations Emissions Details
  - 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
    - 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Unmitigated

- 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Unmitigated
- 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Unmitigated
- 5. Activity Data
  - 5.1. Construction Schedule
  - 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
    - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.3. Construction Vehicles
    - 5.3.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.4. Vehicles
    - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
  - 5.5. Architectural Coatings
  - 5.6. Dust Mitigation
    - 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
    - 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies
  - 5.7. Construction Paving
  - 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
  - 5.18. Vegetation

- 5.18.1. Land Use Change
  - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
- 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type
  - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
- 5.18.2. Sequestration
  - 5.18.2.1. Unmitigated
- 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report
  - 6.1. Climate Risk Summary
  - 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
  - 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores
  - 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures
- 7. Health and Equity Details
  - 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
  - 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
  - 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores
  - 7.4. Health & Equity Measures
  - 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

- 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

# 1. Basic Project Information

# 1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value						
Project Name	15309-Shay Ponds (Unmitigated)						
Construction Start Date	5/1/2025						
Lead Agency	_						
and Use Scale	Project/site						
Analysis Level for Defaults	County						
Nindspeed (m/s)	3.30						
Precipitation (days)	1.80						
ocation	34.253674, -116.80784						
County	San Bernardino-South Coast						
City	Unincorporated						
Air District	South Coast AQMD						
Air Basin	South Coast						
AZ	5156						
EDFZ	10						
Electric Utility	Bear Valley Electric Service						
Gas Utility	Southwest Gas Corp.						
App Version	2022.1.1.18						

# 1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
User Defined Linear	1.20	Mile	0.65	0.00	_	_	_	_

#### 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

## 2. Emissions Summary

### 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_								_			-	_	-			_	
Unmit.	1.44	0.92	10.8	10.2	0.06	0.29	1.66	1.95	0.28	0.45	0.73	_	7,464	7,464	0.47	0.85	15.0	7,744
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_				_	_	_	_	_	-
Unmit.	1.96	1.33	13.8	14.2	0.07	0.39	1.66	2.05	0.37	0.45	0.82	-	8,444	8,444	0.47	0.85	0.39	8,710
Average Daily (Max)	-	-	-		-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	0.69	0.44	5.32	4.81	0.03	0.14	0.80	0.94	0.13	0.22	0.35	_	3,573	3,573	0.22	0.41	3.12	3,704
Annual (Max)	-	-		-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-
Unmit.	0.13	0.08	0.97	0.88	< 0.005	0.03	0.15	0.17	0.02	0.04	0.06	_	592	592	0.04	0.07	0.52	613

### 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-

2025	1.44	0.92	10.8	10.2	0.06	0.29	1.66	1.95	0.28	0.45	0.73	-	7,464	7,464	0.47	0.85	15.0	7,744
2026	0.56	0.47	3.30	4.32	0.01	0.12	0.00	0.12	0.11	0.00	0.11	-	1,087	1,087	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,091
Daily - Winter (Max)	-					-			-		-	T	-		-		_	-
2025	1.44	0.92	11.0	10.00	0.06	0.29	1.66	1.95	0.28	0.45	0.73	-	7,451	7,451	0.47	0.85	0.39	7,717
2026	1.96	1.33	13.8	14.2	0.07	0.39	1.66	2.05	0.37	0.45	0.82	_	8,444	8,444	0.47	0.85	0.36	8,710
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2025	0.69	0.44	5.32	4.81	0.03	0.14	0.80	0.94	0.13	0.22	0.35	-	3,573	3,573	0.22	0.41	3.12	3,704
2026	0.35	0.28	2.15	2.66	0.01	0.07	0.07	0.14	0.07	0.02	0.09	-	868	868	0.04	0.04	0.25	881
Annual	-	-	-	-	_	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
2025	0.13	0.08	0.97	0.88	< 0.005	0.03	0.15	0.17	0.02	0.04	0.06	-	592	592	0.04	0.07	0.52	613
2026	0.06	0.05	0.39	0.49	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	< 0.005	0.02	_	144	144	0.01	0.01	0.04	146

# 3. Construction Emissions Details

### 3.1. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-				-	_						-	_	_		-	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.82	5.81	7.09	0.02	0.22	-	0.22	0.20	-	0.20	_	1,940	1,940	0.08	0.02	_	1,947
Dust From Material Movemen	t						< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005							

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	T
Off-Road Equipmen		0.82	5.81	7.09	0.02	0.22	-	0.22	0.20		0.20	-	1,940	1,940	0.08	0.02	-	1,947
Dust From Material Movement	_ t				-	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005						-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.39	2.78	3.40	0.01	0.10	-	0.10	0.09	-	0.09	-	930	930	0.04	0.01	-	933
Dust From Material Movement	 t					-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	-					-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	-	1-	1-	1-	I-	1-	-	_	-	-	-	1-	-	1-	1-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.07	0.51	0.62	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	-	154	154	0.01	< 0.005	-	155
Dust From Material Movement	 t	-			-	_	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	_					-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)	_		_		-		_				_		_					
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.14	0.00	0.03	0.03	_	151	151	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.56	-
Vendor	0.27	0.06	3.28	1.53	0.03	0.06	1.09	1.15	0.06	0.30	0.36	_	3,807	3,807	0.24	0.58	11.1	-
Hauling	0.17	0.02	1.66	0.86	0.01	0.02	0.43	0.45	0.02	0.12	0.14	-	1,565	1,565	0.15	0.26	3.39	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-	-	-		-		_			-	-				-	-
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.55	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.14	0.00	0.03	0.03	-	138	138	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_
Vendor	0.27	0.06	3.42	1.50	0.03	0.06	1.09	1.15	0.06	0.30	0.36	_	3,808	3,808	0.24	0.58	0.29	_
Hauling	0.17	0.02	1.74	0.86	0.01	0.02	0.43	0.45	0.02	0.12	0.14	_	1,565	1,565	0.15	0.26	0.09	_
Average Daily	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	-	67.1	67.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.12	-
Vendor	0.13	0.03	1.67	0.72	0.01	0.03	0.52	0.55	0.03	0.14	0.17	-	1,826	1,826	0.11	0.28	2.30	-
Hauling	0.08	0.01	0.85	0.41	< 0.005	0.01	0.21	0.21	0.01	0.06	0.07	_	750	750	0.07	0.12	0.71	_
Annual	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	11.1	11.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	-
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.30	0.13	< 0.005	0.01	0.10	0.10	0.01	0.03	0.03	-	302	302	0.02	0.05	0.38	-
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.15	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	124	124	0.01	0.02	0.12	_

## 3.3. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-						-	_		-	

Daily, Winter (Max)					_				_		_		_				-	
Off-Road Equipmer		0.80	5.53	7.09	0.02	0.20	-	0.20	0.18	-	0.18	-	1,942	1,942	0.08	0.02	-	1,948
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t						< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		-				-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmer		0.03	0.23	0.29	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	79.8	79.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	80.1
Dust From Material Movemen	 t		I				< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	-					-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	_	1-	_	1-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmer		0.01	0.04	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	13.2	13.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	13.3
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t		I				< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	-		-			-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		T		-						_		-	-			-	

Daily, Winter (Max)	_		_	_	-				_		-		-				_	
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.14	0.00	0.03	0.03	-	135	135	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_
Vendor	0.27	0.03	3.22	1.44	0.03	0.06	1.09	1.15	0.06	0.30	0.36	_	3,743	3,743	0.21	0.58	0.26	_
Hauling	0.16	0.01	1.66	0.84	0.01	0.02	0.43	0.45	0.02	0.12	0.14	-	1,537	1,537	0.14	0.25	0.08	-
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	5.64	5.64	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.13	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	154	154	0.01	0.02	0.18	-
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.07	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	-	63.1	63.1	0.01	0.01	0.06	-
Annual	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.93	0.93	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	25.5	25.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	-
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	10.5	10.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	-

### 3.5. Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	1-	_	-	_	-	<u> </u>	_	-	-	-	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		Г			-	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	Г			
Off-Road Equipmer		0.47	3.30	4.32	0.01	0.12	-	0.12	0.11	-	0.11	-	1,087	1,087	0.04	0.01	-	1,091
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t						0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

Daily, Winter	-	-	1-	1	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
(Max)																		
Off-Road Equipmen		0.47	3.30	4.32	0.01	0.12	-	0.12	0.11	-	0.11	-	1,087	1,087	0.04	0.01	-	1,091
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t		П	Г			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00						-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1		-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.24	1.72	2.25	0.01	0.06	-	0.06	0.06	-	0.06	-	566	566	0.02	< 0.005	-	568
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t					-	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00						-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	_	1-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.04	0.31	0.41	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	93.7	93.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	94.0
Dust From Material Movemen	_ t	-					0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Offsite	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-						-		-		-				-	
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Average Daily	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

# 4. Operations Emissions Details

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Vegetatio n	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	_	-	-	_		_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, — Winter	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
(Max)																	
Total —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_		_			
Total —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

### 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-				-	-					_		-	-			-	-
Total	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-		-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

### 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-					-	-	-				_	_		-	-	_
Avoided	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	-
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Sequest	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-
Remove d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	-										_		-	-	_			
Avoided	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-
Sequest ered	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-
Subtotal	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Remove d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-
Annual	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Avoided	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	- 1
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Sequest ered	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-
Subtotal	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	- 1	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	- 1
Remove d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
-	-		-		1-		-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-

# 5. Activity Data

### 5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Linear, Grading & Excavation	5/1/2025	1/21/2026	5.00	190	-
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	1/21/2026	10/13/2026	5.00	190	-

## 5.2. Off-Road Equipment

### 5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Signal Boards	Electric	Average	1.00	8.00	6.00	0.82
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Plate Compactors	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	8.00	0.43
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Rollers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	376	0.38
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Plate Compactors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	8.00	0.43
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Rollers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	36.0	0.38

Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	36.0	0.38
Linear, Drainage,	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	376	0.38

#### 5.3. Construction Vehicles

### 5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Linear, Grading & Excavation	_	_	_	_
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Worker	2.00	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Vendor	13.0	100	HHDT,MHDT
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Hauling	4.62	100	HHDT
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	_	_	_	<u> </u>
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Worker	0.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Vendor	0.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT

#### 5.4. Vehicles

### 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

### 5.5. Architectural Coatings

-1		B 11 (11) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	B II CIEC I A G I I	N. B. H. CHILLER	N. B. H. CIEVA	D 11 A O ( 1/ W)
-	Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated	Residential Exterior Area Coated	Non-Residential Interior Area	Non-Residential Exterior Area	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
		( ti)	( ft)	0 ( 1 / 4)	O = 4 = 4 (= = 4)	
		(sq ft)	(sq ft)	Coated (sq ft)	Coated (sq ft)	

### 5.6. Dust Mitigation

### 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Linear, Grading & Excavation	_	7,020	0.65	0.00	_
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	-	_	0.65	0.00	_

### 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	3	74%	74%

### 5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
User Defined Linear	0.65	100%

### 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2025	29.4	565	0.03	< 0.005
2026	29.4	482	0.03	< 0.005

### 5.18. Vegetation

### 5.18.1. Land Use Change

#### 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type Vegetation Soil Type Initial Acres Final Acres

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type Initial Acres Final Acres

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type Number Electricity Saved (kWh/year) Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)

### 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

### 6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	39.3	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	4.40	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	31.0	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi. Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

#### 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	5	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

#### 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	5	1	1	4
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

#### 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

### 7. Health and Equity Details

#### 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	<del>-</del>
AQ-Ozone	97.6
AQ-PM	1.68
AQ-DPM	4.41
Drinking Water	60.7
Lead Risk Housing	11.6
Pesticides	11.0
Toxic Releases	8.39
Traffic	1.35
Effect Indicators	
CleanUp Sites	0.00
Groundwater	0.00
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	0.00

Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	11.6
Sensitive Population	
Asthma	63.6
Cardio-vascular	92.9
Low Birth Weights	66.3
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	_
Education	33.5
Housing	22.1
Linguistic	8.49
Poverty	67.0
Unemployment	64.5

### 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	<del>-</del>
Above Poverty	54.07416913
Employed	2.34826126
Median HI	47.09354549
Education	_
Bachelor's or higher	24.38085461
ligh school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	95.7141024
ransportation	_
Auto Access	86.34672142
Active commuting	8.161170281

Social	_
2-parent households	29.38534582
Voting	73.38637239
Neighborhood	_
Alcohol availability	87.1423072
Park access	51.00731426
Retail density	9.110740408
Supermarket access	10.57359168
Tree canopy	85.29449506
Housing	
Homeownership	77.15898884
Housing habitability	49.54446298
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	35.91684845
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	3.708456307
Uncrowded housing	96.93314513
Health Outcomes	_
Insured adults	30.92518927
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	46.4
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	16.7
Cognitively Disabled	5.2

Physically Disabled	5.0
Heart Attack ER Admissions	10.8
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	59.1
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	_
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	_
Wildfire Risk	87.1
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	65.5
Elderly	25.8
English Speaking	82.2
Foreign-born	0.7
Outdoor Workers	31.4
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	_
Impervious Surface Cover	94.7
Traffic Density	3.7
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	_
Hardship	62.9
Other Decision Support	_

2016 Voting	81.4
2010 voting	01.4

#### 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	19.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	41.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

#### 7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

#### 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

#### 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

## 8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Construction Phases	Client Provided Schedule
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Client Provided Equipment list
Construction: Trips and VMT	13 haul trucks and 2 worker trucks accounted for in Linear, Grading & Excavation Phase.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

This page intentionally left blank



#### **APPENDIX 3.4:**

CALEEMOD REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 4 UNMITIGATED EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS



# 15309-Evaporation Ponds Detailed Report

#### Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.2. Land Use Types
  - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
  - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
  - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
  - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
  - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
  - 3.1. Site Preparation (2025) Unmitigated
  - 3.3. Site Preparation (2026) Unmitigated
- 4. Operations Emissions Details
  - 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

- 4.1.1. Unmitigated
- 4.2. Energy
  - 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
  - 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
- 4.3. Area Emissions by Source
  - 4.3.2. Unmitigated
- 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use
  - 4.4.2. Unmitigated
- 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use
  - 4.5.2. Unmitigated
- 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use
  - 4.6.1. Unmitigated
- 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type
  - 4.7.1. Unmitigated
- 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type
  - 4.8.1. Unmitigated
- 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

- 4.9.1. Unmitigated
- 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
  - 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Unmitigated
  - 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Unmitigated
  - 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Unmitigated
- 5. Activity Data
  - 5.1. Construction Schedule
  - 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
    - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.3. Construction Vehicles
    - 5.3.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.4. Vehicles
    - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
  - 5.5. Architectural Coatings
  - 5.6. Dust Mitigation
    - 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
    - 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources
  - 5.9.1. Unmitigated
- 5.10. Operational Area Sources
  - 5.10.1. Hearths
    - 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings
  - 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment
- 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption
  - 5.11.1. Unmitigated
- 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption
  - 5.12.1. Unmitigated
- 5.13. Operational Waste Generation
  - 5.13.1. Unmitigated
- 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment
  - 5.14.1. Unmitigated

- 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment
  - 5.15.1. Unmitigated
- 5.16. Stationary Sources
  - 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps
  - 5.16.2. Process Boilers
- 5.17. User Defined
- 5.18. Vegetation
  - 5.18.1. Land Use Change
    - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type
    - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.18.2. Sequestration
    - 5.18.2.1. Unmitigated
- 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report
  - 6.1. Climate Risk Summary
  - 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
  - 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

- 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures
- 7. Health and Equity Details
  - 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
  - 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
  - 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores
  - 7.4. Health & Equity Measures
  - 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard
  - 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

# 1. Basic Project Information

# 1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value Value
Project Name	15309-Evaporation Ponds
Construction Start Date	5/1/2025
Operational Year	2027
Lead Agency	_
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.50
Precipitation (days)	1.80
Location	34.270764, -116.820355
County	San Bernardino-South Coast
City	Unincorporated
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	5156
EDFZ	10
Electric Utility	Bear Valley Electric Service
Gas Utility	Southwest Gas Corp.
App Version	2022.1.1.14

# 1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq	Special Landscape	Population	Description
					ft)	Area (sq ft)		

Other Non-Asphalt 57.0 Acre	57.0	0.00	0.00	_		
Other Non-Asphalt 57.0 Acre	57.0	0.00	0.00	_	<del></del>	
Surfaces						

### 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

# 2. Emissions Summary

## 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	Ī	_	_		_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	27.3	25.2	77.7	92.4	0.20	3.24	7.07	10.3	2.94	2.41	5.34	-	23,481	23,481	1.15	0.79	10.9	23,755
Daily, Winter (Max)	_				-				_	_			-	-	-		_	
Unmit.	27.2	25.2	77.9	91.3	0.20	3.24	7.07	10.3	2.94	2.41	5.34	_	23,418	23,418	1.15	0.79	0.28	23,681
Average Daily (Max)	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	H		-
Unmit.	15.1	14.0	40.3	50.2	0.11	1.69	3.97	5.66	1.53	1.35	2.88	_	13,113	13,113	0.62	0.43	2.46	13,259
Annual (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	2.75	2.55	7.35	9.16	0.02	0.31	0.72	1.03	0.28	0.25	0.53	_	2,171	2,171	0.10	0.07	0.41	2,195

## 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Year TOG ROG NOx CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O R CO2e	Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
---	------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily - Summer (Max)	-		-		-													
2025	27.3	25.2	77.7	92.4	0.20	3.24	7.07	10.3	2.94	2.41	5.34	-	23,481	23,481	1.15	0.79	10.9	23,755
2026	26.8	24.9	71.5	90.3	0.20	3.01	7.07	10.1	2.72	2.41	5.13	-	23,400	23,400	1.12	0.76	10.1	23,665
Daily - Winter (Max)			-				-								T			
2025	27.2	25.2	77.9	91.3	0.20	3.24	7.07	10.3	2.94	2.41	5.34	_	23,418	23,418	1.15	0.79	0.28	23,681
2026	26.8	24.9	71.7	89.3	0.20	3.01	7.07	10.1	2.72	2.41	5.13	_	23,338	23,338	1.10	0.76	0.26	23,593
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2025	13.1	12.1	37.4	43.9	0.10	1.55	3.39	4.94	1.41	1.15	2.56	_	11,232	11,232	0.55	0.38	2.26	11,361
2026	15.1	14.0	40.3	50.2	0.11	1.69	3.97	5.66	1.53	1.35	2.88	_	13,113	13,113	0.62	0.43	2.46	13,259
Annual	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	1-	_
2025	2.38	2.21	6.83	8.01	0.02	0.28	0.62	0.90	0.26	0.21	0.47	-	1,860	1,860	0.09	0.06	0.37	1,881
2026	2.75	2.55	7.35	9.16	0.02	0.31	0.72	1.03	0.28	0.25	0.53	_	2,171	2,171	0.10	0.07	0.41	2,195

# 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_			-	-				_			-		_		_	_	
Unmit.	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-		-			-	-				-	-	-	-	-	
Unmit.	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily (Max)	_		-		-						-							-
Unmit.	< 0.005	0.38	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.62	0.62	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.63
Annual (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	< 0.005	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.10	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10

# 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-		-	-	_		-	-	-	_	_		_	-	-	
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Water	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Waste	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	]-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	_	0.38	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Water	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Waste	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily	_		-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-		-	-
Mobile	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.62	0.62	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.63
Area	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Water	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Waste	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	< 0.005	0.38	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.62	0.62	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.63
Annual	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mobile	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.10	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10
Area	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	< 0.005	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.10	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10

# 3. Construction Emissions Details

## 3.1. Site Preparation (2025) - Unmitigated

Location 1	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite -	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-
Daily, - Summer (Max)		_			_				_					_	_		-	
Off-Road 2 Equipment		25.1	73.6	86.5	0.18	3.19	-	3.19	2.89	-	2.89	-	19,001	19,001	0.77	0.15	-	19,066

_											7							
Dust From Material Movement	_						5.34	5.34		1.96	1.96							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		-			-	-	-		-		-	-				-	
Off-Road Equipmen		25.1	73.6	86.5	0.18	3.19	-	3.19	2.89	-	2.89	-	19,001	19,001	0.77	0.15	-	19,066
Dust From Material Movement	_						5.34	5.34		1.96	1.96							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Off-Road Equipmen		12.0	35.3	41.5	0.08	1.53	-	1.53	1.38	-	1.38	-	9,110	9,110	0.37	0.07	-	9,141
Dust From Material Movement	_	Ī	T			Ī	2.56	2.56		0.94	0.94		-	-	-		-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Н	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1-	_	_	-	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		2.20	6.44	7.57	0.02	0.28	-	0.28	0.25	-	0.25	-	1,508	1,508	0.06	0.01	-	1,513
Dust From Material Movement		-	-			-	0.47	0.47	-	0.17	0.17	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Offsite	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	<b> </b>	-	-	-	_	_	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		-			-	-			-					_		-	
Worker	0.10	0.08	0.21	3.85	0.00	0.00	0.71	0.71	0.00	0.17	0.17	_	754	754	0.02	0.02	2.82	764
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.41	0.06	3.96	2.04	0.02	0.05	1.02	1.07	0.05	0.28	0.33	-	3,726	3,726	0.35	0.61	8.08	3,924
Daily, Winter (Max)			-		-	-	-						-			-		
Worker	0.10	0.08	0.23	2.74	0.00	0.00	0.71	0.71	0.00	0.17	0.17	-	690	690	0.02	0.02	0.07	698
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.41	0.05	4.13	2.05	0.02	0.05	1.02	1.07	0.05	0.28	0.33	_	3,726	3,726	0.35	0.61	0.21	3,917
Average Daily	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.05	0.04	0.12	1.40	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.34	0.00	0.08	0.08	-	336	336	0.01	0.01	0.58	340
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.20	0.03	2.01	0.98	0.01	0.02	0.49	0.51	0.02	0.13	0.16	_	1,786	1,786	0.17	0.29	1.68	1,879
Annual	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	1-	-	1-	-	_	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	55.6	55.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	56.3
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.04	< 0.005	0.37	0.18	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.09	0.09	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	_	296	296	0.03	0.05	0.28	311

# 3.3. Site Preparation (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		_			-						_		_	-		-	-

Off-Road Equipmen		24.8	67.5	84.7	0.18	2.96	-	2.96	2.67	-	2.67	— 19,00	19,004	0.77	0.15	-	19,069
Dust From Material Movement	_ t		-		-		5.34	5.34		1.96	1.96						
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	— 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-  -		-	-	-	
Off-Road Equipmen		24.8	67.5	84.7	0.18	2.96	-	2.96	2.67	-	2.67	— 19,00	19,004	0.77	0.15	-	19,069
Dust From Material Movement	_ t		-				5.34	5.34	-	1.96	1.96						
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	— 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-  -	-	-	-	-	
Off-Road Equipmen		13.9	37.9	47.6	0.10	1.66	-	1.66	1.50	-	1.50	— 10,6	73 10,673	0.43	0.09	_	10,710
Dust From Material Movement	 t						3.00	3.00		1.10	1.10						
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	— 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_  -	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		2.54	6.92	8.68	0.02	0.30	-	0.30	0.27	-	0.27	<b>—</b> 1,76	1,767	0.07	0.01	-	1,773
Dust From Material Movement	_ t	-	-		-	-	0.55	0.55	-	0.20	0.20			-	-	-	-

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-			-	-	
Worker	0.10	0.08	0.18	3.56	0.00	0.00	0.71	0.71	0.00	0.17	0.17	-	738	738	0.02	0.02	2.55	748
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.38	0.03	3.81	2.00	0.02	0.05	1.02	1.07	0.05	0.28	0.33	-	3,658	3,658	0.33	0.59	7.59	3,848
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Worker	0.10	0.08	0.21	2.54	0.00	0.00	0.71	0.71	0.00	0.17	0.17	-	677	677	< 0.005	0.02	0.07	683
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.38	0.03	3.96	2.00	0.02	0.05	1.02	1.07	0.05	0.28	0.33	-	3,658	3,658	0.33	0.59	0.20	3,841
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.06	0.04	0.13	1.51	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.40	0.00	0.09	0.09	-	385	385	< 0.005	0.01	0.62	390
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.21	0.02	2.25	1.12	0.01	0.03	0.57	0.60	0.03	0.16	0.18	-	2,054	2,054	0.18	0.33	1.84	2,159
Annual	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	1-	-	1-	-	-	-	( <del>-</del>	-	-	-
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	-	63.8	63.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	64.5
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.04	< 0.005	0.41	0.20	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	0.11	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	1-	340	340	0.03	0.05	0.30	357

# 4. Operations Emissions Details

# 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

## 4.1.1. Unmitigated

Mobile source emissions results are presented in Sections 2.6. No further detailed breakdown of emissions is available.

## 4.2. Energy

#### 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				_	_			_		_		_	_	_	_	_	-
Other Non-Aspha Surfaces	— alt				_	-	-				-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		-	r	_	-	-		-		-		_	-	-	_	_	-
Other Non-Aspha Surfaces	— alt			Т	-	-	-		-		-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_
Other Non-Aspha Surfaces	— alt	_	-	-	_	-	-		-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

### 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

					J,		,			,		,	_						
-					0.0	000									000-				000
	Land	HOG	IROG	NOx	CO	ISO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM101	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.51	IBCO2	INBCO2	CO21	ICH4	N2O	IR	CO2e
	Use																		

Daily, Summer (Max)	_		-		-								-			-		
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_						T	r				r		Г	-		-	
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	T	0.00	0.00	-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	0.00 alt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00

# 4.3. Area Emissions by Source

## 4.3.2. Unmitigated

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_		_	_		_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Consum er Products		0.20	_		-						-	-			-			_

Architect ural Coatings	-	0.19							-	Г								
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	T	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Consum er Products	-	0.20					-						-	-				
Architect ural Coatings	-	0.19	-				-			-							-	
Total	_	0.38	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Consum er Products		0.04	-		-		-		-				-					
Architect ural Coatings	-	0.03					-							-				
Landsca pe Equipme nt		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

# 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

# 4.4.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	- 1//	0.00
Annual	-	-	I-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— alt	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

# 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

### 4.5.2. Unmitigated

Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2			PM10T				BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Use																		
Daily,	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Summer (Max)																		

Other — Non-Asphalt Surfaces								-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total —	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Daily, — Winter (Max)	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-			-			-	-
Other — Non-Asphalt Surfaces	-	-		-		-	-	-		-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	F	0.00
Total —	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Annual —	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-
Other — Non-Asphalt Surfaces	-			-	-	-		-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total —	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

# 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

## 4.6.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-						_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_	-
Total	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-			-	_	-							_			-	_	-
Total	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

## 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

#### 4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG		со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D		PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_			_	_	-	_				_	-	_	-	-	-	_	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		_		_	_							_		-		_	
Total	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-
Annual	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

## 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

### 4.8.1. Unmitigated

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)						_	_	_			_					-		
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_

Daily, — Winter (Max)	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	_
Total –		-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual —		-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Total –		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

## 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

### 4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				_		-				_		-	_		_		
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

## 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

#### 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Vegetatio	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
n																		

Daily, Summer (Max)	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-			-	-		r			Т		-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

## 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_			-
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	_			_	-	_				-	_	_	-	-	-	-	_
Total	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Annual	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-

### 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

		_															
Consider	TOO	DOC	NO	1000	DIMAGE	DMAAAD	DMAAOT	DMOCE	DMO CD	DMO ET	DCCC	NIDCOO	COST	CLIA	NOO	I D	0000
Species	IIUG	IRUG	INUX	1502	PIVITUE	PIVITUD	PIVITUT	PM2.5E	1 PIVIZ.5D	1 PIVIZ.5 I	BCOZ	INBCOZ	LU21	I CH4	INZO	IK	COZe
														1.5			

Daily, Summer (Max)			-		-		-	-		-	-	-	-		_	-	_	
Avoided	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Sequest ered	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_
Remove d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avoided	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	_
Sequest ered	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Remove d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_
Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Avoided	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sequest ered	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Remove — d	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal —	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

# 5. Activity Data

## 5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	5/1/2025	10/14/2026	5.00	380	_

# 5.2. Off-Road Equipment

## 5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Crushing/Proc. Equipment	Gasoline	Average	2.00	2.00	12.0	0.85
Site Preparation	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	376	0.38
Site Preparation	Scrapers	Diesel	Average	7.00	8.00	423	0.48
Site Preparation	Excavators	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38

### 5.3. Construction Vehicles

### 5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Site Preparation	_	_	_	_

Site Preparation	Worker	10.0	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	11.0	100	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	-	_	HHDT

#### 5.4. Vehicles

### 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

### 5.5. Architectural Coatings

ı	Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated	Residential Exterior Area Coated	Non-Residential Interior Area	Non-Residential Exterior Area	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
П		(sq ft)	(sq ft)	Coated (sq ft)	Coated (sq ft)	

## 5.6. Dust Mitigation

### 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Site Preparation	_	175,000	3,040	0.00	_

### 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	3	74%	74%

## 5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	57.0	0%

## 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2025	0.00	565	0.03	< 0.005
2026	0.00	482	0.03	< 0.005

## 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

### 5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Total all Land Uses	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	300

## 5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

### 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	148,975

### 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

## 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

#### 5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	482	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

### 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

### 5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00

## 5.13. Operational Waste Generation

#### 5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	<u>-</u>

## 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

### 5.14.1. Unmitigated

				_			
Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Service

## 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

#### 5.15.1. Unmitigated



#### 5.18.2. Sequestration

#### 5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type Number Electricity Saved (kWh/year) Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)	-
--	---

## 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

### 6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	38.6	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	7.50	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	35.6	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

#### 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	5	0	0	N/A

Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A	
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A	
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A	

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

#### 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	5	1	1	4
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

#### 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

# 7. Health and Equity Details

### 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	i <del>-</del>
AQ-Ozone	97.6
AQ-PM	1.68
AQ-DPM	4.41
Drinking Water	60.7
Lead Risk Housing	11.6
Pesticides	11.0
Toxic Releases	8.39
Traffic	1.35
Effect Indicators	_
CleanUp Sites	0.00
Groundwater	0.00
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	0.00
Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	11.6
Sensitive Population	_
Asthma	63.6
Cardio-vascular	92.9
Low Birth Weights	66.3
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	_
Education	33.5
Housing	22.1

Linguistic	8.49	
Poverty	67.0	
Unemployment	64.5	

# 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	<del>-</del>
Above Poverty	54.07416913
Employed	2.34826126
Median HI	47.09354549
Education	_
Bachelor's or higher	24.38085461
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	95.7141024
Transportation	-
Auto Access	86.34672142
Active commuting	8.161170281
Social	_
2-parent households	29.38534582
Voting	73.38637239
Neighborhood	-
Alcohol availability	87.1423072
Park access	51.00731426
Retail density	9.110740408
Supermarket access	10.57359168
Tree canopy	85.29449506

Homeownership  Housing habitability  Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden  Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	77.15898884 49.54446298
Housing habitability  Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden  Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	49.54446298
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden  Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	
	35.91684845
Uncrowded housing	3.708456307
	96.93314513
Health Outcomes	_
Insured adults	30.92518927
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	46.4
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	16.7
Cognitively Disabled	5.2
Physically Disabled	5.0
Heart Attack ER Admissions	10.8
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	59.1
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	

Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	
Wildfire Risk	87.1
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	65.5
Elderly	25.8
English Speaking	82.2
Foreign-born	0.7
Outdoor Workers	31.4
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	
Impervious Surface Cover	94.7
Traffic Density	3.7
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	_
Hardship	62.9
Other Decision Support	
2016 Voting	81.4

# 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	19.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	41.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

#### 7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

#### 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

#### 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

# 8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Construction Phases	Client Provided schedule
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Client provided equipment list
Construction: Trips and VMT	Client provided total worker trips and hauling trips which equals 8,000 round trips.

This page intentionally left blank





CALEEMOD REPLENISH BIG BEAR COMPONENT 5 UNMITIGATED EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS



# 15309-Sand Canyon Detailed Report

#### Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.2. Land Use Types
  - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
  - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
  - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
  - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
  - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
  - 3.1. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2025) Unmitigated
  - 3.3. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2026) Unmitigated
  - 3.5. Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade (2026) Unmitigated
  - 3.7. Demolition (2025) Unmitigated

- 3.9. Building Construction (2025) Unmitigated
- 3.11. Building Construction (2026) Unmitigated
- 4. Operations Emissions Details
  - 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use
    - 4.1.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.2. Energy
    - 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
    - 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
  - 4.3. Area Emissions by Source
    - 4.3.2. Unmitigated
  - 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use
    - 4.4.2. Unmitigated
  - 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use
    - 4.5.2. Unmitigated
  - 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use
    - 4.6.1. Unmitigated
  - 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

- 4.7.1. Unmitigated
- 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type
  - 4.8.1. Unmitigated
- 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type
  - 4.9.1. Unmitigated
- 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
  - 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Unmitigated
  - 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Unmitigated
  - 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Unmitigated
- 5. Activity Data
  - 5.1. Construction Schedule
  - 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
    - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.3. Construction Vehicles
    - 5.3.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.4. Vehicles
    - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

- 5.5. Architectural Coatings
- 5.6. Dust Mitigation
  - 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
  - 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies
- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources
  - 5.9.1. Unmitigated
- 5.10. Operational Area Sources
  - 5.10.1. Hearths
    - 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings
  - 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment
- 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption
  - 5.11.1. Unmitigated
- 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption
  - 5.12.1. Unmitigated

- 5.13. Operational Waste Generation
  - 5.13.1. Unmitigated
- 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment
  - 5.14.1. Unmitigated
- 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment
  - 5.15.1. Unmitigated
- 5.16. Stationary Sources
  - 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps
  - 5.16.2. Process Boilers
- 5.17. User Defined
- 5.18. Vegetation
  - 5.18.1. Land Use Change
    - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type
    - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.18.2. Sequestration
    - 5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

- 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report
  - 6.1. Climate Risk Summary
  - 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
  - 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores
  - 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures
- 7. Health and Equity Details
  - 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
  - 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
  - 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores
  - 7.4. Health & Equity Measures
  - 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard
  - 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

# 1. Basic Project Information

# 1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	15309-Sand Canyon
Construction Start Date	5/1/2025
Operational Year	2027
Lead Agency	_
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.50
Precipitation (days)	1.80
Location	34.224799, -116.85662
County	San Bernardino-South Coast
City	Unincorporated
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	5157
EDFZ	10
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southwest Gas Corp.
App Version	2022.1.1.14

# 1.2. Land Use Types

1	Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq	Special Landscape	Population	Description
ı						ft)	Area (sq ft)		

User Defined Linear	1.37	Mile	0.74	0.00	-	-	_	Pipeline
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	2.00	Acre	2.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	Pump/Monitoring Wells
Parking Lot	0.50	Acre	0.50	0.00	0.00	-	_	_

### 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

# 2. Emissions Summary

### 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		-		-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_		-	-	_		-
Unmit.	3.23	1.73	24.2	28.7	0.11	0.60	6.86	7.46	0.56	1.60	2.16	-	16,984	16,984	1.34	2.11	34.1	17,682
Daily, Winter (Max)			-		-	-	-	-	-	-			-		-	Ī	-	
Unmit.	3.53	2.37	24.7	36.0	0.10	0.73	5.42	6.16	0.68	1.35	2.03	-	15,465	15,465	0.86	1.36	0.74	15,893
Average Daily (Max)						-		-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Unmit.	1.24	0.75	9.47	11.7	0.04	0.26	2.04	2.31	0.24	0.51	0.76	-	6,132	6,132	0.43	0.68	5.38	6,350
Annual (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	0.23	0.14	1.73	2.13	0.01	0.05	0.37	0.42	0.04	0.09	0.14	_	1,015	1,015	0.07	0.11	0.89	1,051

### 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

		,	,	, , , ,		,	,		,	,	,							
Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	-						-	-	-		-				-			-
2025	3.23	1.73	24.2	28.7	0.11	0.60	6.86	7.46	0.56	1.60	2.16	_	16,984	16,984	1.34	2.11	34.1	17,682
2026	1.48	1.14	9.93	20.8	0.04	0.32	2.27	2.59	0.29	0.55	0.85	-	5,995	5,995	0.25	0.34	11.1	6,114
Daily - Winter (Max)	-		-			-	-		-		-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
2025	2.54	1.57	19.2	24.2	0.08	0.55	4.01	4.55	0.51	1.02	1.53	-	12,475	12,475	0.86	1.34	0.66	12,898
2026	3.53	2.37	24.7	36.0	0.10	0.73	5.42	6.16	0.68	1.35	2.03	_	15,465	15,465	0.83	1.36	0.74	15,893
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_
2025	1.24	0.75	9.47	11.7	0.04	0.26	2.04	2.31	0.24	0.51	0.76	_	6,132	6,132	0.43	0.68	5.38	6,350
2026	0.74	0.57	4.76	8.65	0.02	0.16	1.05	1.21	0.15	0.25	0.40	_	2,633	2,633	0.09	0.13	2.01	2,678
Annual	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
2025	0.23	0.14	1.73	2.13	0.01	0.05	0.37	0.42	0.04	0.09	0.14	_	1,015	1,015	0.07	0.11	0.89	1,051
2026	0.13	0.10	0.87	1.58	< 0.005	0.03	0.19	0.22	0.03	0.05	0.07	_	436	436	0.02	0.02	0.33	443

# 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-		-	-	-				
Unmit.	2.16	1.99	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,039
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	2.16	1.99	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,039

9 / 46

Average Daily (Max)	-	-	-		-	-	-			-	-	-	-			-	-	-
Unmit.	2.16	1.99	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,039
Annual (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	0.39	0.36	1.87	1.59	< 0.005	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.00	171	171	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	172

# 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)					-	-		-		_	-	_			-	-	-	
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	_	0.02	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	27.8	27.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	27.9
Water	_	_	-	1-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Waste	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Stationar y	2.16	1.97	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	2.16	1.99	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,039
Daily, Winter (Max)	-					-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	_	-
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	_	0.02	-	1-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	27.8	27.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	27.9
Water	_	_	-	I-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Waste	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

Stationar	2.16	1.97	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	2.16	1.99	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,039
Average Daily	-	-	-		-		-		-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	_	0.02	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	27.8	27.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	27.9
Water	_	_	-	-	_	-	<u> </u>	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Waste	_	-	-	1-	-	-	1-	1-	-	-	1-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Stationar y	2.16	1.97	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	2.16	1.99	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,039
Annual	_	_	-	1-	_	-	1-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	1-	_	_	-
Mobile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area	_	< 0.005	_	-	_	_	1-	-	_	_	_	_	1-	-	1-	_	_	-
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	4.60	4.60	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	4.62
Water	_	_	-	-	_	-	1-	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Waste	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Stationar y	0.39	0.36	1.87	1.59	< 0.005	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.00	167	167	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	167
Total	0.39	0.36	1.87	1.59	< 0.005	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.00	171	171	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	172

# 3. Construction Emissions Details

# 3.1. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)					-													
Off-Road Equipmen		1.06	8.12	9.44	0.02	0.37	-	0.37	0.34	-	0.34	-	2,285	2,285	0.09	0.02	-	2,293
Dust From Material Movement	_ t		П				0.07	0.07		0.01	0.01						-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_					-						-					-	
Off-Road Equipmen		1.06	8.12	9.44	0.02	0.37	-	0.37	0.34	-	0.34	-	2,285	2,285	0.09	0.02	-	2,293
Dust From Material Movement	_ t		Ī				0.07	0.07		0.01	0.01				-		-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.51	3.88	4.51	0.01	0.18	-	0.18	0.16	-	0.16	-	1,091	1,091	0.04	0.01	-	1,095
Dust From Material Movement	<u> </u>						0.03	0.03		< 0.005	< 0.005						-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.09	0.71	0.82	< 0.005	0.03	-	0.03	0.03	-	0.03	-	181	181	0.01	< 0.005	-	181

Dust From Material Movemer	— nt						0.01	0.01		< 0.005	< 0.005							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		-	-	-		-	-		-	-	r	-	-	-	-	-	F
Worker	0.21	0.16	0.41	7.70	0.00	0.00	1.41	1.41	0.00	0.33	0.33	-	1,508	1,508	0.05	0.05	5.65	1,528
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.67	0.09	6.48	3.35	0.04	0.08	1.67	1.75	0.08	0.46	0.54	_	6,097	6,097	0.58	1.00	13.2	6,422
Daily, Winter (Max)	-				-	-	-			-	-	-	-				-	-
Worker	0.20	0.16	0.46	5.49	0.00	0.00	1.41	1.41	0.00	0.33	0.33	_	1,381	1,381	0.05	0.05	0.15	1,396
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.67	0.09	6.76	3.35	0.04	0.08	1.67	1.75	0.08	0.46	0.54	_	6,097	6,097	0.58	1.00	0.34	6,409
Average Daily	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.10	0.07	0.24	2.79	0.00	0.00	0.67	0.67	0.00	0.16	0.16	-	669	669	0.02	0.02	1.17	677
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.32	0.04	3.28	1.60	0.02	0.04	0.80	0.83	0.04	0.22	0.26	-	2,911	2,911	0.27	0.48	2.74	3,063
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	_
Worker	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.03	0.03	-	111	111	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.19	112
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.06	0.01	0.60	0.29	< 0.005	0.01	0.15	0.15	0.01	0.04	0.05	-	482	482	0.05	0.08	0.45	507

# 3.3. Linear, Grading & Excavation (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	<u> </u>	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	1-	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	1-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-		-		-		-		-	_	-		-	-		-	-	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-				-		_		-		-	-			-	
Off-Road Equipmen		1.03	7.73	9.43	0.02	0.34	-	0.34	0.31	-	0.31	-	2,286	2,286	0.09	0.02	-	2,294
Dust From Material Movemen	 t		-		-		0.07	0.07		0.01	0.01		-		-			
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.04	0.33	0.41	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	98.4	98.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	98.8
Dust From Material Movemen	 t						< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		-				-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.06	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	16.3	16.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	16.4
Dust From Material Movemen	t						< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005							

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-		-			_	-	
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-		-		-	-	-	
Worker	0.20	0.15	0.41	5.08	0.00	0.00	1.41	1.41	0.00	0.33	0.33	_	1,353	1,353	< 0.005	0.05	0.13	1,367
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.63	0.05	6.48	3.27	0.04	0.08	1.67	1.75	0.08	0.46	0.54	-	5,986	5,986	0.53	0.96	0.32	6,285
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	59.1	59.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	59.8
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.03	< 0.005	0.28	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.08	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	-	258	258	0.02	0.04	0.23	271
Annual	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	9.78	9.78	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	9.89
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	42.7	42.7	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	44.8

# 3.5. Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)							-			-	-				-		_	

Off-Road Equipmen		0.75	6.00	7.37	0.02	0.23	-	0.23	0.21		0.21		1,810	1,810	0.07	0.01	-	1,816
Dust From Material Movement	_ t				-	-	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00						-	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.75	6.00	7.37	0.02	0.23	-	0.23	0.21	-	0.21	-	1,810	1,810	0.07	0.01	-	1,816
Dust From Material Movement	_ t						0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	-					_	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Off-Road Equipmen		0.39	3.12	3.84	0.01	0.12	-	0.12	0.11	-	0.11	-	942	942	0.04	0.01	-	945
Dust From Material Movement	_ t						0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.07	0.57	0.70	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	-	156	156	0.01	< 0.005	-	157
Dust From Material Movement	_ t				-	-	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		-		-		-	-

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-		-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-				
Worker	0.20	0.16	0.37	7.12	0.00	0.00	1.41	1.41	0.00	0.33	0.33	-	1,477	1,477	0.05	0.05	5.11	1,497
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-			-	-	-	-
Worker	0.20	0.15	0.41	5.08	0.00	0.00	1.41	1.41	0.00	0.33	0.33	-	1,353	1,353	< 0.005	0.05	0.13	1,367
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.10	0.08	0.24	2.80	0.00	0.00	0.73	0.73	0.00	0.17	0.17	-	714	714	< 0.005	0.02	1.15	723
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	-	-	-	]-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	-
Worker	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	-	118	118	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.19	120
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# 3.7. Demolition (2025) - Unmitigated

			,	, ,		,	,			,	,							
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily,	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	1-	-	-	-	_	-
Summer (Max)																		
Off-Road Equipmen		0.28	2.32	2.77	< 0.005	0.06	-	0.06	0.06	-	0.06		366	366	0.01	< 0.005	-	368
Demolitio n	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.62	1.62	-	0.25	0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)			-				-				_						-	
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.02	0.13	0.15	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	20.1	20.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	20.1
Demolitio n	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.09	0.09	-	0.01	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	3.32	3.32	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	3.34
Demolitio n	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.02	0.02	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-
Daily, Summer (Max)				-	-		-			-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	
Worker	0.05	0.04	0.10	1.93	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.35	0.00	0.08	0.08	-	377	377	0.01	0.01	1.41	382
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.69	0.09	6.75	3.49	0.04	0.08	1.74	1.82	0.08	0.48	0.56	_	6,351	6,351	0.60	1.04	13.8	6,689
Daily, Winter (Max)		-			-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-			-	
Average Daily	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	19.2	19.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	19.4
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.04	0.01	0.39	0.19	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	0.10	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	-	348	348	0.03	0.06	0.33	366
Annual	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	3.18	3.18	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.22
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.07	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_	57.6	57.6	0.01	0.01	0.05	60.6

# 3.9. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	<u> </u>	4-	1-	-	1-	-	-	<del>-</del>	-	-	-	<del>-</del>	-	-	1	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-				-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.19	2.17	3.86	0.01	0.07	-	0.07	0.07	-	0.07	-	609	609	0.02	< 0.005	-	611
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_				-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.19	2.17	3.86	0.01	0.07	-	0.07	0.07	-	0.07	-	609	609	0.02	< 0.005	-	611

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmer		0.08	0.86	1.53	< 0.005	0.03	-	0.03	0.03	-	0.03	-	241	241	0.01	< 0.005	-	242
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmer		0.01	0.16	0.28	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	39.9	39.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	40.0
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	1-	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	1-	-	-				-		-	-	-	-		-		
Worker	0.05	0.04	0.10	1.93	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.35	0.00	0.08	0.08	_	377	377	0.01	0.01	1.41	382
Vendor	0.13	0.03	1.51	0.71	0.01	0.03	0.50	0.53	0.03	0.14	0.17	-	1,757	1,757	0.11	0.27	5.11	1,844
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-				-						-		-				-	
Worker	0.05	0.04	0.11	1.37	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.35	0.00	0.08	0.08	-	345	345	0.01	0.01	0.04	349
Vendor	0.13	0.03	1.58	0.69	0.01	0.03	0.50	0.53	0.03	0.14	0.17	-	1,757	1,757	0.11	0.27	0.13	1,839
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.14	0.00	0.03	0.03	_	138	138	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.24	140
Vendor	0.05	0.01	0.63	0.27	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.21	0.01	0.05	0.07	_	695	695	0.04	0.10	0.87	728
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	22.9	22.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	23.2
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.12	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	115	115	0.01	0.02	0.14	121
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# 3.11. Building Construction (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	-	<u> </u>	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	i-	-	1	_	-	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		-				-			-	-	-	-	-			-	
Off-Road Equipmen		0.18	2.04	3.86	0.01	0.06	-	0.06	0.05	-	0.05	-	611	611	0.02	< 0.005	-	613
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-			-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.18	2.04	3.86	0.01	0.06	-	0.06	0.05	-	0.05	-	611	611	0.02	< 0.005	-	613
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.04	0.43	0.81	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	128	128	0.01	< 0.005	-	128
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	-	-	1-	1-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-	-	1-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.08	0.15	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	21.2	21.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	21.2

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	1-	_	-	_	_	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	-	-	_	-		-	-	-		-			-	-	
Worker	0.05	0.04	0.09	1.78	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.35	0.00	0.08	0.08	-	369	369	0.01	0.01	1.28	374
Vendor	0.13	0.02	1.43	0.66	0.01	0.03	0.50	0.53	0.03	0.14	0.17	_	1,728	1,728	0.10	0.27	4.71	1,814
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.05	0.04	0.10	1.27	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.35	0.00	0.08	0.08	-	338	338	< 0.005	0.01	0.03	342
Vendor	0.12	0.02	1.49	0.67	0.01	0.03	0.50	0.53	0.03	0.14	0.17	_	1,728	1,728	0.10	0.27	0.12	1,809
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	-	71.8	71.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.12	72.7
Vendor	0.03	< 0.005	0.32	0.14	< 0.005	0.01	0.11	0.11	0.01	0.03	0.03	_	362	362	0.02	0.06	0.42	379
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	11.9	11.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	12.0
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	59.9	59.9	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	62.8
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# 4. Operations Emissions Details

# 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

# 4.1.1. Unmitigated

Mobile source emissions results are presented in Sections 2.6. No further detailed breakdown of emissions is available.

## 4.2. Energy

### 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-								-	-							-
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— nalt		-						-	_	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27.8	27.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	27.9
Total	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	27.8	27.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	27.9
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		-	-			-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— nalt		r		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27.8	27.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	27.9
Total	_	_	-	-	1-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	27.8	27.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	27.9
Annual	_	-	-	1-	1-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	-
Other Non-Asph Surfaces	— nalt				-	-	-	-	_	-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.60	4.60	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	4.62
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	4.60	4.60	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	4.62

### 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Non-Aspl Surfaces		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)					-		-	-		_	-	-					Ī	
Other Non-Aspl Surfaces	0.00 halt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Annual	-	_	1-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Other Non-Aspl Surfaces		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

# 4.3. Area Emissions by Source

### 4.3.2. Unmitigated

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		-				-		-	_	_			-	-		-	-
Consum er Products	_	0.01	_						_		_	_	-					
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.01	-				-		_	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Total	_	0.02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_		-	-	-	_	_	-	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-						-	_	-	_	-				-	-
Consum er Products	-	0.01	-				-		-	-	-	-			r		-	Г
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.01	-						-		_	_	-					
Total	_	0.02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	1-	_	_	-
Annual	_	_	-		-	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	1-	1-	_	-
Consum er Products		< 0.005				-	-	-	_		-		-				-	
Architect ural Coatings	_	< 0.005	-				-		-	_	-	-	-					
Total	_	< 0.005	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

# 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

### 4.4.2. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Non-Asp Surfaces		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Other Non-Asp Surfaces		T			Ī	-		-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Non-Asp Surfaces		-	-		-	-			_	_	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

# 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

### 4.5.2. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Non-Asp Surfaces		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Parking Lot	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Other Non-Asp Surfaces		T			Ī	-		-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Non-Asp Surfaces		-	-		-	-			_	_	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Parking Lot	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

### 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

#### 4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

OTTOTIO		(10) 00	,	<i>y</i> ,, <i>y</i> .		,	J	107 C.O.J	G.Gy,		J. 11 . J. J. J. J.					_		
Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)			_		_		_		_		_	_	_		_		-	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	- 7
Total	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	- 1///	_
Annual	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

## 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

#### 4.7.1. Unmitigated

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-				_	_		_						_	_	_	_	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	_						-		_	_	-	-	-

Total -	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual -	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
iorai -	_	_	<u> </u>				_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_

## 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

### 4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Type Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-			-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fire Pump	2.16	1.97	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	2.16	1.97	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-			-					
Fire Pump	2.16	1.97	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	2.16	1.97	10.3	8.72	0.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	1.01	0.00	1.01	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Annual	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	
Fire Pump	0.39	0.36	1.87	1.59	< 0.005	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.00	167	167	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	167
Total	0.39	0.36	1.87	1.59	< 0.005	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.00	167	167	0.01	< 0.005	0.00	167

## 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

#### 4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG		СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-			_		_		-				-		_			
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Total	- 11	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	- 7	_
Annual	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_

## 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

### 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Vegetatio n	TOG	ROG			_	PM10E		_	PM2.5E			BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-							-	-			-		-	-		
Total Daily, Winter (Max)	_		-			-	_	-	_	_	-		_	_	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-

#### 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG		со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-				-								-	-	-		-	
Total	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-		-	_	- 1				_	-		-	- 1	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_
Annual	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

#### 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-										_					_
Avoided	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal	_	1-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Sequest ered	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Remove d	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Winter (Max)	_		-			_	_		_		_	_	_	_				
Avoided	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Sequest ered	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Remove d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Subtotal	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Avoided	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Sequest ered	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_
Subtotal	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_
Remove d	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	-	_	-
Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

# 5. Activity Data

### 5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Linear, Grading & Excavation	5/2/2025	1/22/2026	5.00	190	-

Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	1/22/2026	10/14/2026	5.00	190	
Demolition	Demolition	5/1/2025	5/29/2025	5.00	20.0	-
<b>Building Construction</b>	Building Construction	6/13/2025	4/17/2026	5.00	220	-

# 5.2. Off-Road Equipment

# 5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	84.0	0.37
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Crawler Tractors	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	87.0	0.43
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Plate Compactors	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	8.00	0.43
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Rollers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	376	0.38
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Signal Boards	Electric	Average	1.00	8.00	6.00	0.82
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	367	0.29
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	82.0	0.20
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37

Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Plate Compactors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	8.00	0.43
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Rollers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	36.0	0.38
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	36.0	0.38
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	376	0.38
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	2.00	81.0	0.42
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	33.0	0.73
Building Construction	Bore/Drill Rigs	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	83.0	0.50
Building Construction	Plate Compactors	Diesel	Average	1.00	2.00	8.00	0.43
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37

## 5.3. Construction Vehicles

## 5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	_	_	_	<u> </u>
Demolition	Worker	5.00	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	-	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	18.8	100	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	-	_	HHDT
Linear, Grading & Excavation	_	-	_	_
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Worker	20.0	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Vendor	0.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Linear, Grading & Excavation	Hauling	18.0	100	HHDT

Linear, Grading & Excavation	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	_	-	_	_
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Worker	20.0	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Vendor	0.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Building Construction	_	_	_	_
Building Construction	Worker	5.00	100	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	6.00	100	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT

#### 5.4. Vehicles

### 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

### 5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated	Residential Exterior Area Coated	Non-Residential Interior Area	Non-Residential Exterior Area	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
	(sq ft)	(sq ft)	Coated (sq ft)	Coated (sq ft)	

## 5.6. Dust Mitigation

### 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (Ton of Debris)	Acres Paved (acres)
Linear, Grading & Excavation	_	7,210	0.74	0.00	_
Linear, Drainage, Utilities, & Sub-Grade	_	_	0.74	0.00	_

Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,500	_

## 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	3	74%	74%

## 5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
User Defined Linear	0.74	100%
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	2.00	0%
Parking Lot	0.50	100%

### 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2025	29.4	349	0.03	< 0.005
2026	29.4	346	0.03	< 0.005

### 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

#### 5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Total all Land Uses	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### 5.10. Operational Area Sources

#### 5.10.1. Hearths

#### 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

#### 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,534

#### 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

# 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

#### 5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Parking Lot	19,079	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

## 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

### 5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00

Parking Lot 0.00 0.00

## 5.13. Operational Waste Generation

#### 5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	_
Parking Lot	0.00	_

### 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

### 5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
---------------	----------------	-------------	-----	---------------	----------------------	-------------------	----------------

# 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

#### 5.15.1. Unmitigated


Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Equipment Type	i dei Type	Lingine riei	Number per Day	Hours I er Day	Tiorsepower	Load I actor

## 5.16. Stationary Sources

#### 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor
Fire Pump	Diesel	1.00	24.0	8,760	25.0	0.73

#### 5.16.2. Process Boilers



# 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	38.1	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	8.60	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	32.4	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

#### 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	5	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

#### 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	5	1	1	4
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

#### 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

## 7. Health and Equity Details

#### 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	
AQ-Ozone	98.7
AQ-PM	4.43
AQ-DPM	1.14
	41 / 46

Drinking Water	70.5
Lead Risk Housing	65.1
Pesticides	4.55
Toxic Releases	18.1
Traffic	3.04
Effect Indicators	_
CleanUp Sites	0.00
Groundwater	0.00
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	1.80
Impaired Water Bodies	90.1
Solid Waste	75.7
Sensitive Population	_
Asthma	26.6
Cardio-vascular	44.6
Low Birth Weights	67.2
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	-
Education	9.73
Housing	12.8
Linguistic	0.26
Poverty	55.9
Unemployment	35.0

# 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	
Above Poverty	53.62504812

Employed	15.8475555
Median HI	38.16245348
Education	
Bachelor's or higher	57.65430515
High school enrollment	0.372128834
Preschool enrollment	1.873476197
Transportation	
Auto Access	44.50147568
Active commuting	57.28217631
Social	_
2-parent households	49.63428718
Voting	87.82240472
Neighborhood	_
Alcohol availability	85.88476838
Park access	61.54240985
Retail density	2.078788656
Supermarket access	11.39484152
Tree canopy	94.22558707
Housing	_
Homeownership	62.4534839
Housing habitability	66.86770178
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	47.83780316
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	50.78916977
Uncrowded housing	77.4541255
Health Outcomes	_
Insured adults	70.78147055
Arthritis	0.0

Asthma ER Admissions	68.5
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	87.1
Cognitively Disabled	32.0
Physically Disabled	7.5
Heart Attack ER Admissions	26.6
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	97.6
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	_
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	_
Wildfire Risk	72.7
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	75.0
Elderly	8.4
English Speaking	75.9

Foreign-born	3.5
Outdoor Workers	55.8
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	
Impervious Surface Cover	98.3
Traffic Density	2.9
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	_
Hardship	33.2
Other Decision Support	_
2016 Voting	97.1

### 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	24.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	21.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

### 7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

#### 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

#### 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

# 8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Characteristics: Project Details	Rural Big Bear
Construction: Construction Phases	Client Provided Schedule
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Client provided schedule
Construction: Trips and VMT	Client provided pump station trips

This page intentionally left blank

