GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
MAJESTIC THOUSAND PALMS
NEC RIO DEL SOL ROAD & 30TH AVENUE
THOUSAND PALMS AREA
RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

-Prepared By-

Sladden Engineering

45090 Golf Center Parkway, Suite F
Indio, California 92201
(760) 772-3893

Sladden Engineering

45090 Golf Center Parkway, Suite F, Indio, CA 92201 (760) 863-0713 Fax (760) 863-0847
6782 Stanton Avenue, Suite C, Buena Park, CA 90621 (714) 523-0952 Fax (714) 523-1369
450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont, CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863
www.sladdenengineering.com

September 17, 2021

Project No. 544-21317
21-09-606

Commerce Construction Company, L.P.
13191 Crossroads Parkway North, Sixth Floor
City of Industry, California, 91746-3497

Subject: Geotechnical Investigation

Project: Majestic Thousand Palms
NEC Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue
Thousand Palms Area
Riverside County, California

Sladden Engineering is pleased to present the results of our geotechnical investigation performed for the proposed new Majestic Thousand Palms industrial/warehouse building to be constructed on the site (APNs 648-150-034 & 648-150-035) located on the northeast corner of Rio Del Sol Road and 30th Avenue in the Thousand Palms area of Riverside County, California. Our services were completed in accordance with our proposal for geotechnical engineering services dated July 6, 2021 and your authorization to proceed with the work. The purpose of our investigation was to explore the subsurface conditions at the site in order to provide recommendations for foundation design and site preparation. Evaluation of environmental issues and hazardous wastes was not included within the scope of services provided.

The opinions, recommendations and design criteria presented in this report are based on our field exploration program, laboratory testing and engineering analyses. Based on the results of our investigation, it is our professional opinion that the proposed project is feasible from a geotechnical perspective provided that the recommendations presented in this report are implemented into design and carried out through construction.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide service to you on this project. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,
SLADDEN ENGINEERING

James W. Minor III
Senior Geologist

PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGIST
JAMES W. MINOR III
No. 9735
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Brett L. Anderson
Principal Engineer

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
BRETT L. ANDERSON
No. C45389
CIVIL ENGINEERING
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SER/jm

Copies: 4/Addressee

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
MAJESTIC THOUSAND PALMS
NEC RIO DEL SOL ROAD & 30TH AVENUE
THOUSAND PALMS AREA
RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the geotechnical investigation performed for the proposed new Majestic Thousand Palms industrial/warehouse building to be constructed on the site (APNs 648-150-034 & 648-150-035) located on the northeast corner of Rio Del Sol Road and 30th Avenue in the Thousand Palms area of Riverside County, California. The site is located at approximately 33.8325 degrees North latitude and 116.4015 degrees West longitude. The approximate location of the site is indicated on the Site Location Map (Figure 1).

Our investigation was conducted in order to evaluate the engineering properties of the subsurface materials, to evaluate their *in-situ* characteristics, and to provide engineering recommendations and design criteria for site preparation, foundation design and the design of various site improvements. This study also includes a review of published and unpublished geotechnical and geological literature regarding seismicity at and near the subject site.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Based on the provided site plans (Commerce Construction Company, L.P., 2021), the preliminary plans indicate that the proposed project will consist of constructing a new 1,049,760 square foot (sf) industrial/warehouse building that includes 107 loading docks, 307 trailer parking stalls, 412 total trailer stalls, 48 box truck stalls, 48 van parking stalls and 527 total car parking stalls on the currently undeveloped property. The project is anticipated to include trash enclosures, underground utilities, exterior concrete flatwork, landscape areas and various other site improvements. For our analyses, we expect that the proposed building will be of steel frame or reinforced concrete tilt-up construction supported on conventional shallow spread footings and concrete slabs-on-grade.

We anticipate that grading will be limited to minor cuts and fills in order to accomplish the desired pad elevations and provide adequate gradients for site drainage. This does not include the removal and re-compaction of foundation bearing soil within the building envelope. Upon completion of precise grading plans, Sladden should be retained in order to ensure that the recommendations presented within in this report are incorporated into the design of the proposed project.

Structural foundation loads were not available at the time of this report. Based on our experience with relatively lightweight concrete tilt-up structures, we expect that isolated column loads will be less than 50 kips and continuous wall loads will be less than 5.0 kips per linear foot. If these assumed loads vary significantly from the actual loads, we should be consulted to verify the applicability of the recommendations provided.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

The purpose of our investigation was to determine specific engineering characteristics of the surface and near surface soil in order to develop foundation design criteria and recommendations for site preparation. Exploration of the site was achieved by drilling nine (9) exploratory boreholes to depths between approximately 11 and 51 feet below the existing ground surface (bgs). Specifically, our site characterization consisted of the following tasks:

- Site reconnaissance to assess the existing surface conditions on and adjacent to the site.
- The excavation of nine (9) exploratory boreholes to depths between approximately 11 and 51 feet bgs in order to characterize the subsurface soil conditions. Representative samples of the soil were classified in the field and retained for laboratory testing and engineering analyses.
- The performance of laboratory testing on selected samples to evaluate their engineering characteristics.
- The review of geologic literature with respect to potential geologic hazards.
- The performance of engineering analyses to develop recommendations for foundation design and site preparation.
- The preparation of this report summarizing our work at the site.

SITE CONDITIONS

The site is located on the on the northeast corner of Rio Del Sol Road and 30th Avenue in the Thousand Palms area of Riverside County, California. The subject site is formally identified by the County of Riverside as APNs (648-150-034 & 648-150-035) and occupies a total acreage of approximately 81 acres. At the time of our investigation, the site was undeveloped and in a natural desert condition. Surface soil consisted of alluvial sands with eolian dunes and was covered by scattered vegetation, gravel and cobbles. The subject property was bounded by undeveloped desert to the east and south, on the north by the SA Recycling facility and on the west by Rio Del Sol Road.

The project site is relatively level with minimal surface gradients. According to the USGS 7.5′ Cathedral City Quadrangle Map (USGS, 2018) and Google Earth (2021), the site is at an approximate elevation of 305 feet above mean sea level (MSL).

No ponding water or surface seeps were observed at or near the site during our investigation conducted on July 28, 2021. Site drainage appears to be controlled via sheet flow and surface infiltration.

GEOLOGIC SETTING

The project site is located within the Colorado Desert Physiographic Province (also referred to as the Salton Trough) that is characterized as a northwest-southeast trending structural depression extending from the Gulf of California to the Banning Pass. The Salton Trough is dominated by several northwest trending faults, most notably the San Andreas Fault system. The Salton Trough is bounded by the Santa Rosa – San Jacinto Mountains on the southwest, the San Bernardino Mountains on the north, the Little San Bernardino - Chocolate – Orocopia Mountains on the east and extends through the Imperial Valley into the Gulf of California on the south.

A relatively thick sequence (20,000 feet) of sediment has been deposited in the Coachella Valley portion of the Salton Trough from Miocene to present times. These sediments are predominately terrestrial in nature with some lacustrian (lake) and minor marine deposits. The major contributor of these sediments has been the Colorado River. The mountains surrounding the Coachella Valley are composed primarily of Precambrian metamorphic and Mesozoic "granitic" rock.

The Salton Trough is an internally draining area with no readily available outlet to Gulf of California and with portions well below sea level (-253' msl). The region is intermittently blocked from the Gulf of California by the damming effects of the Colorado River delta (current elevation +30'msl). Between about 300AD and 1600 AD (to 1700) the Salton Trough has been inundated by the River's water, forming ancient Lake Cahuilla (max. elevation +58' msl). Since that time the floor of the Trough has been repeatedly flooded with other "fresh" water lakes (1849, 1861, and 1891), the most recent and historically long lived being the current Salton Sea (1905). The sole outlet for these waters is evaporation, leaving behind vast amounts of terrestrial sediment materials and evaporite minerals.

The site has been mapped by Rogers (1965) to be immediately underlain by undifferentiated Quaternary-age dune sand (Qs) and alluvium (Qal). The regional geologic setting for the site vicinity is presented on the Regional Geologic Map (Figure 2).

SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The subsurface conditions at the site were investigated by drilling nine (9) exploratory boreholes to depths between approximately 11 to 51 feet bgs in order to evaluate the subsurface soil conditions. The approximate locations of the boreholes are illustrated on the Borehole Location Photograph (Figure 3). The boreholes were advanced using a truck-mounted Mobile B-61 drill-rig equipped with 8-inch outside diameter (O.D.) hollow stem augers. A representative of Sladden was on-site to log the materials encountered and retrieve samples for laboratory testing and engineering analysis.

During our field investigation, disturbed soil was encountered to a depth of approximately one (1) foot bgs. Underlying the disturbed soil and extending to the maximum depths explored, native earth materials were encountered. Generally, the native earth materials consisted of silty sand (SM) and gravelly sand (SP). The native soil appeared grayish brown in in-situ color, dry and fine- to coarse-grained with scattered gravel and cobbles.

Groundwater was not encountered to a maximum explored depth of approximately 51 feet below ground surface (bgs) during our field investigation. Based upon the depth to groundwater in the project vicinity, it is our opinion that groundwater should not be a factor in the design or construction of the proposed project.

The final logs represent our interpretation of the contents of the field logs, and the results of the laboratory observations and tests of the field samples. The final logs are included in Appendix A of this report. The stratification lines represent the approximate boundaries between soil types although the transitions may be gradual.

SEISMICITY AND FAULTING

The southwestern United States is a tectonically active and structurally complex region, dominated by northwest trending dextral faults. The faults of the region are often part of complex fault systems, composed of numerous subparallel faults that splay or step from the main fault traces. Strong seismic shaking could be produced by any of these faults during the design life of the proposed project.

We consider the most significant geologic hazard to the project to be the potential for moderate to strong seismic shaking that is likely to occur during the design life of the project. The proposed project is located in the highly seismic Southern California region within the influence of several fault systems that are considered to be active or potentially active. An active fault is defined by the State of California as a "sufficiently active and well defined fault" that has exhibited surface displacement within the Holocene epoch (about the last 11,000 years). A potentially active fault is defined by the State as a fault with a history of movement within Pleistocene time (between 11,000 and 1.6 million years ago).

Table 1 lists the closest known potentially active faults that was generated in part using the EQFAULT computer program (Blake, 2000), as modified using the fault parameters from The Revised 2002 California Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Maps (Cao et al, 2003), Southern Earthquake Data Center (SCEDC, 2021) and the Quaternary Fault and Fold Database of the United States (USGS, 2021a). This table does not identify the probability of reactivation or the on-site effects from earthquakes occurring on any of the other faults in the region.

TABLE 1
CLOSEST KNOWN ACTIVE FAULTS

Fault Name	Distance (Km)	Maximum Event
San Andreas - Coachella	4.4	7.2
San Andreas - Southern	4.4	7.2
San Andreas – San Bernardino	12.3	7.5
Burnt Mountain	12.7	6.5
Eureka Peak	16.2	6.4
Pinto Mountain	31.0	7.2
Landers	36.8	7.3
San Jacinto - Anza	38.4	7.2
Emerson So. – Copper Mountain	41.5	7.0

SITE SPECIFIC GROUND MOTION PARAMETERS

Sladden has reviewed the 2019 California Building Code (CBC) and ASCE7-16 and developed site specific ground motion parameters for the subject site. The project Seismic Design Maps and site-specific ground motion parameters are summarized in the following table and included within Appendix C. The project Structural Engineer should verify that all design parameters provided are applicable for the subject project.

TABLE 2
GROUND MOTION PARAMETERS

Latitude / Longitude	33.8325/ -116.4015
Risk Category	II
Site Class	D
Code Reference Documents	ASCE 7-16; Chapter 11 & 21

Description	Type	Map Based	Site-Specific
MCE_R Ground Motion (0.2 second period)	S_S	2.236	---
MCE_R Ground Motion (1.0 second period)	S_1	0.948	---
Site-Modified Spectral Acceleration Value	S_{MS}	2.236	**2.470**
Site-Modified Spectral Acceleration Value	S_{M1}	null	**2.386**
Numeric Seismic Design Value at 0.2 second SA	S_{DS}	1.490	**1.647**
Numeric Seismic Design Value at 1.0 second SA	S_{D1}	null	**1.591**
Site Amplification Factor at 0.2 second	F_a	1	**1**
Site Amplification Factor at 1.0 second	F_v	null	**2.5**
Site Peak Ground Acceleration	PGA_M	1.059	**0.952**

GEOLOGIC HAZARDS

The subject site is located in an active seismic zone and will likely experience strong seismic shaking during the design life of the proposed project. In general, the intensity of ground shaking will depend on several factors including: the distance to the earthquake focus, the earthquake magnitude, the response characteristics of the underlying materials, and the quality and type of construction. Geologic hazards and their relationship to the site are discussed below.

I. Surface Rupture. Surface rupture is expected to occur along preexisting, known active fault traces. However, surface rupture could potentially splay or step from known active faults or rupture along unidentified traces. Based on our review of Rodgers (1965), Jennings (1994), RCMMC (2021) and CDMG (1974) known active faults are not mapped on or projecting towards the site. Signs of active surface faulting were not observed during our review of non-stereo digitized photographs of the site and site vicinity (Google, 2021). Finally, no signs of active surface fault rupture or secondary seismic effects (lateral spreading, lurching etc.) were identified on-site during our field investigation. Therefore, it is our opinion that risks associated with primary surface ground rupture should be considered "low".

II. Ground Shaking. The site has been subjected to past ground shaking by faults that traverse through the region. Strong seismic shaking from nearby active faults is expected to produce strong seismic shaking during the design life of the proposed project. Based on site-specific ground motion parameters developed for the property (Appendix C), the site modified peak ground acceleration (PGAm) is estimated to be 0.952g.

III. Liquefaction. Liquefaction is the process in which loose, saturated granular soil loses strength as a result of cyclic loading. The strength loss is a result of a decrease in granular sand volume and a positive increase in pore pressures. Generally, liquefaction can occur if all of the following conditions apply; liquefaction-susceptible soil, groundwater within a depth of 50 feet or less, and strong seismic shaking. Groundwater levels in the vicinity of the site and in excess of 50 feet below the existing ground surface. The potential for liquefaction impacting the site is "negligible".

IV. Tsunamis and Seiches. Because the site is situated at an elevated inland location and is not immediately adjacent to any impounded bodies of water, risk associated with tsunamis and seiches is considered "negligible".

V. Slope Failure, Landslides, Rock Falls. The site is situated on relatively level ground and is not immediately adjacent to any slopes or hillsides that could be potentially susceptible to slope instability. No signs of slope instability in the form of landslides, rock falls, earthflows or slumps were observed at or near the subject site during our investigation. As such, risks associated with slope instability should be considered "negligible".

VI. Expansive Soil. Expansion Index testing of select samples was performed in order to evaluate the expansive potential of the materials underlying the site. Based the results of our laboratory testing (EI = 0), the materials underlying the site are considered "non-expansive".

VII. Flooding and Erosion. No signs of flooding or erosion were observed during our field investigation. However, risks associated with flooding and erosion should be evaluated and mitigated by the project design Civil Engineer.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of our investigation, it is our professional opinion that the project should be feasible from a geotechnical perspective provided that the recommendations presented in this report are incorporated into design and carried out through construction. The main geotechnical concerns in the construction of the proposed project are the presence of disturbed soil and potentially compressible near surface native soil.

Because of the somewhat loose and potentially compressible condition of the near surface soil and the presence of artificial fill soil, remedial grading including over-excavation and re-compaction is recommended for the proposed building areas. We recommend that remedial grading within the building areas include the over-excavation and re-compaction of the artificial fill soil and the primary foundation bearing soil. Specific recommendations for site preparation are presented in the Earthwork and Grading section of this report.

Groundwater was not encountered to a maximum explored depth of approximately 51 feet bgs during our field investigation. Based on the conditions encountered during our field investigation, groundwater should not be a factor during the construction of the proposed project.

Caving did occur to varying degrees within each of our exploratory bores and the surface soil may be susceptible to caving within deeper excavations. All excavations should be constructed in accordance with the normal CALOSHA excavation criteria. Based on our observations of the materials encountered, we anticipate that the subsoil will conform to that described by CALOSHA as Type C. Soil conditions should be verified in the field by a "Competent person" employed by the Contractor.

The following recommendations present more detailed design criteria that have been developed based on our field investigation and laboratory testing.

EARTHWORK AND GRADING

All earthwork including excavation, backfill and preparation of the surface soil, should be performed in accordance with the geotechnical recommendations presented in this report and portions of the local regulatory requirements, as applicable. All earthwork should be performed under the observation and testing of a qualified soil engineer. The following geotechnical engineering recommendations for the proposed project are based on observations from the field investigation program, laboratory testing and geotechnical engineering analyses.

a. Stripping. Areas to be graded should be cleared of any existing vegetation, associated root systems, and debris. All areas scheduled to receive fill should be cleared of old fills and any irreducible matter. The stripping should be removed off site or stockpiled for later use in landscape areas. Voids left by obstructions should be properly backfilled in accordance with the compaction recommendations of this report.

b. Preparation of Building Areas. In order to achieve a firm and uniform foundation bearing conditions, we recommend over-excavation and re-compaction throughout the building areas. All artificial fill/disturbed soil and native low density near surface soil should be removed to a depth of three (3) feet below existing grade or to a minimum depth of three (3) feet below the bottom of the footings, whichever is deeper. Remedial grading should extend laterally a minimum of five feet beyond the building foundations. The native soil exposed by over-excavation should be scarified, moisture conditioned to near optimum moisture content, and compacted to at least 90 percent relative compaction prior to fill placement.

c. Compaction. Soil to be used as engineered fill should be free of organic material, debris, and other deleterious substances, and should not contain irreducible matter greater than three inches in maximum dimension. All fill materials should be placed in thin lifts, not exceeding six inches in a loose condition at near optimum moisture content. If import fill is required, the material should be of a low to non-expansive nature and should meet the following criteria:

Plastic Index	Less than 12
Liquid Limit	Less than 35
Percent Soil Passing #200 Sieve	Between 15% and 35%
Maximum Aggregate Size	3 inches

The subgrade soil and all fill material should be compacted with acceptable compaction equipment to at least 90 percent relative compaction. The exposed subgrade should be observed by a representative of Sladden Engineering prior to fill placement. Compaction testing should be performed in order to verify proper compaction. Table 4 provides a summary of the excavation and compaction recommendations.

TABLE 3
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

*Remedial Grading	Over-excavation and re-compaction within the building envelope and extending laterally at least 5 feet beyond the building limits and to a depth of 3 feet below existing grade or 3 feet below the bottom of the footings, whichever is deeper.
Native / Import Engineered Fill	Place in thin lifts not exceeding 6 inches in a loose condition, compact to a minimum of 90 percent relative compaction.
Asphalt Concrete Sections	Compact the top 12 inches to at least 95 percent compaction within 2 percent of optimum moisture content.

*Actual depth may vary and should be determined by a representative of Sladden Engineering in the field during construction.

d. Shrinkage and Subsidence. Volumetric shrinkage of the material that is excavated and replaced as controlled compacted fill should be anticipated. We estimate that this shrinkage should be between 10 and 15 percent. Subsidence of the surfaces that are scarified and compacted should be between 1 and 2 tenths of a foot. This will vary depending upon the type of equipment used, the moisture content of the soil at the time of grading and the actual degree of compaction attained.

FOUNDATIONS: CONVENTIONAL SHALLOW SPREAD FOOTINGS

The proposed industrial/warehouse building may be supported upon conventional shallow spread footings. Exterior footings should extend at least 18 inches beneath lowest adjacent grade and interior footings should extend at least 12 inches below slab subgrade. Isolated square or rectangular footings at least 2 feet square and continuous footings at least 12 inches wide may be designed using allowable bearing pressures of 2000 and 1800 pounds per square foot, respectively. The allowable bearing pressure may be increased by approximately 250 psf for each additional 1 foot of width and 250 psf for each additional 6 inches of depth, if desired. The maximum allowable bearing pressure should be limited to 3000 psf unless confirmed by Sladden Engineering subsequent to performing specific settlement calculations. The allowable bearing pressures are for dead and frequently applied live loads and may be increased by 1/3 to resist wind, seismic or other transient loading. All footings should be reinforced in accordance with the project structural engineer's recommendations.

Based on the allowable bearing pressures recommended above the total static settlement of conventional shallow spread footings is anticipated to be less than one inch, provided that foundation preparation conforms to the recommendations provided in this report. Differential static settlement is anticipated to be approximately one-half the total static settlement for similarly loaded footings spaced approximately 40 feet apart.

Resistance to lateral loads may be provided by a combination of friction acting at the base of the slabs or foundations and passive earth pressure along the sides of the foundations. A coefficient of friction of 0.45 between soil and concrete may be used for dead load forces only. A passive earth pressure of 275 pounds per square foot, per foot of depth, may be used for the sides of footings that are placed against properly compacted native soil. Passive earth pressure should be ignored within the upper 1 foot except where confined.

All footing excavations should be observed by a representative of the project geotechnical consultant to verify adequate embedment depths prior to placement of forms, steel reinforcement or concrete. The excavations should be trimmed neat, level and square. All loose, disturbed, sloughed or moisture-softened soils and/or any construction debris should be removed prior to concrete placement. Excavated soil generated from footing and/or utility trenches should not be stockpiled within the building envelope or in areas of exterior concrete flatwork.

SLABS-ON-GRADE

In order to reduce the risk of heave, cracking and settlement, concrete slabs-on-grade must be placed on properly compacted fill as outlined in the previous sections. The slab subgrades should remain near optimum moisture content and should not be permitted to dry prior to concrete placement. All slab subgrades should be firm and unyielding. Disturbed soil should be removed and then replaced and compacted to a minimum of 90 percent relative compaction.

Slab thickness and reinforcement should be determined by the structural engineer. All slab reinforcement should be supported on concrete chairs to ensure that reinforcement is placed at slab mid-height. Considering the expected uses, we recommend a minimum slab thickness of 6.0 inches within warehouse areas and 4.0 inches within office areas.

Slabs with moisture sensitive surfaces should be underlain with a moisture vapor barrier consisting of a polyvinyl chloride membrane such as 10-mil Visqueen. All laps within the membrane should be sealed and at least 2 inches of clean sand should be placed over the membrane to promote uniform curing of the concrete and to limit damage. To reduce the potential for punctures, the membrane should be placed on a pad surface that has been graded smooth without any sharp protrusions. If a smooth surface can not be achieved by grading, consideration should be given to placing a 1-inch thick leveling course of sand across the pad surface prior to placement of the membrane.

RETAINING WALLS

Minor retaining walls will likely be required to accomplish the proposed construction. Cantilever retaining walls may be designed using "active" pressures. Active pressures may be estimated using an equivalent fluid weight of 35 pcf for level native backfill soil acting in a triangular pressure distribution with drained backfill conditions. "At Rest" pressures should be utilized for restrained walls. At rest pressures may be estimated using an equivalent fluid weight of 55 pcf for native backfill soil with level drained backfill conditions.

We recommend that a back drain system be provided behind all retaining walls or that the walls be designed for full hydrostatic pressures. The back drains should consist of a heavy walled, four inch diameter, perforated pipe sloped to drain to outlets by gravity, and of clean, free-draining, three-quarter to one and one-half inch crushed rock or gravel. The crushed rock or gravel should extend to within one foot of the surface. The upper one foot should be backfilled with compacted, fine-grained soil to exclude surface water. A Mirafi 140N (or equivalent) filter cloth should be placed between the on-site native material and the drain rock.

ON-SITE PAVEMENT DESIGN

Asphalt concrete pavements should be designed in accordance with the Caltrans Highway Design Manual based on R-Value and Traffic Index. The R-Value of the near surface soil was determined to be 78 at equilibrium. On-site soil and any imported soil should be tested after grading for R-Value prior to establishing final pavement design sections. For preliminary pavement design, Traffic Indices (TI) of 6.0 and 7.5 were used for the light duty and heavy duty pavements, respectively. We assumed Asphalt Concrete (AC) over Class II Aggregate Base (AB). The preliminary flexible pavement layer thickness is as follows:

TABLE 4
RECOMMENDED ASPHALT PAVEMENT SECTION LAYER THICKNESS

Pavement Material	Recommended Thickness	
	TI = 6.0	TI = 7.5
Asphalt Concrete Surface Course	3.0 inches	4.0 inches
Class II Aggregate Base Course	4.0 inches	6.0 inches
Compacted Subgrade Soil	12.0 inches	12.0 inches

Asphalt concrete and Class II aggregate base should conform to the latest edition of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction ("Greenbook") or CalTrans Standard Specifications. The aggregate base course should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM Method D 1557.

We expect that concrete pavement may also be considered for on-site pavement areas. A concrete pavement section of 6.0 inches of Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) compacted native soil should be adequate for the on-site concrete pavement limited to automobile and light truck traffic. In areas where heavy truck traffic is expected, the concrete pavement section should be increased to 8.0 inches of PCC on compact native soil. Properly spaced and constructed control joints including expansion joints and contraction joints should be incorporated into concrete pavement design to accommodate temperature and shrinkage related cracking. Joint spacing and joint patterns should be established based upon Portland Cement Association (PCA) and American Concrete Institute (ACI) guidelines.

CORROSION SERIES

The soluble sulfate concentrations of the surface soil were determined to be 20 parts per million (ppm). The soil is considered to have a "negligible" corrosion potential with respect to concrete. The use of Type V cement and special sulfate resistant concrete mixes may be required. The soluble sulfate content of the surface soil should be reevaluated after grading and appropriate concrete mix designs should be established based upon post-grading test results.

The pH level of the surface soil was 8.4. Based on soluble chloride concentration testing (50 ppm), the soil is considered to have a "negligible" corrosion potential with respect to normal grade steel. The minimum resistivity of the surface soil was found to be 7,400 ohm-cm, which suggests that the site soil is considered to have a "low" corrosion potential with respect to ferrous metal installations. Although preliminary testing indicates that the native site soil is generally non-corrosive, a corrosion expert should be consulted regarding appropriate corrosion protection measures for corrosion sensitive installations.

UTILITY TRENCH BACKFILL

All utility trench backfill should be compacted to a minimum of 90 percent relative compaction. Trench backfill materials should be placed in lifts no greater than six inches in a loose condition, moisture conditioned (or air-dried) as necessary to achieve near optimum moisture content and then mechanically compacted in place to a minimum of 90 percent relative compaction. A representative of the project geotechnical consultant should test the backfill to verify adequate compaction.

EXTERIOR CONCRETE FLATWORK

To minimize cracking of concrete flatwork, the subgrade soil below concrete flatwork areas should first be compacted to a minimum of 90 percent relative compaction. A representative of the project geotechnical consultant should observe and verify the density and moisture content of the soil.

DRAINAGE

All final grades should be provided with positive gradients away from foundations to provide rapid removal of surface water runoff to an adequate discharge point. No water should be allowed to be pond on or immediately adjacent to foundation elements. In order to reduce water infiltration into the subgrade soil, surface water should be directed away from building foundations to an adequate discharge point. Subgrade drainage should be evaluated upon completion of the precise grading plans and in the field during grading.

LIMITATIONS

The findings and recommendations presented in this report are based upon an interpolation of the soil conditions between the exploratory boring locations and extrapolation of these conditions throughout the proposed building area. Should conditions encountered during grading appear different than those indicated in this report, this office should be notified.

The use of this report by other parties or for other projects is not authorized. The recommendations of this report are contingent upon monitoring of the grading operation by a representative of Sladden Engineering. All recommendations are considered to be tentative pending our review of the grading operation and additional testing, if indicated. If others are employed to perform any soil testing, this office should be notified prior to such testing in order to coordinate any required site visits by our representative and to assure indemnification of Sladden Engineering.

We recommend that a pre-job conference be held on the site prior to the initiation of site grading. The purpose of this meeting will be to assure a complete understanding of the recommendations presented in this report as they apply to the actual grading performed.

ADDITIONAL SERVICES

Once completed, final project plans and specifications should be reviewed by use prior to construction to confirm that the full intent of the recommendations presented herein have been applied to design and construction. Following review of plans and specifications, observation should be performed by the Soil Engineer during construction to document that foundation elements are founded on/or penetrate into the recommended soil, and that suitable backfill soil is placed upon competent materials and properly compacted at the recommended moisture content.

Tests and observations should be performed during grading by the Soil Engineer or his representative in order to verify that the grading is being performed in accordance with the project specifications. Field density testing shall be performed in accordance with acceptable ASTM test methods. The minimum acceptable degree of compaction should be 90 percent for subgrade soils and 95 percent for Class II aggregate base as obtained by the ASTM Test Method D1557. Where testing indicates insufficient density, additional compactive effort shall be applied until retesting indicates satisfactory compaction.

REFERENCES

Blake, T., 2000, EQFAULT and EQSEARCH, Computer Programs for Deterministic and Probabilistic Prediction of Peak Horizontal Acceleration from Digitized California Faults.

California Building Code (CBC), 2019, California Building Standards Commission.

California Division of Mines and Geology (CDMG), 1974, State of California Special Studies Zones, Cathedral City Quadrangle Map, 1:24,000.

California Department of Water Resources (CDWR), 2021, Water Data Library. Available at: https://wdl.water.ca.gov/waterdatalibrary/

Cao T., Bryant, W.A., Rowshandel B., Branum D., Wills C.J., 2003, "The Revised 2002 California Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Maps".

Commerce Construction Company, L.P., 2021, Par Conceptual Site Plan, Proposed Warehouse & Office, Majestic Realty Project, NEC Rio Del Sol and 30th Avenue, Thousand Palms, Ca.

GoogleEarth.com, 2021, Vertical Aerial Photograph for the Thousand Palms area, California, Undated, Variable Scale. Reviewed at googlearth.com

Jennings, Charles W. (Compiler), 1994, Fault Activity Map of California and Adjacent Areas, California Division of Mines and Geology, Geologic Data Map No. 6

Riverside County Map My County (RCMMC), 2021, Parcel Map Viewer.

Rogers T.H (compiler), Jenkins, O.P (edition), 1965, Geologic Map of California, Santa Ana Sheet, sixth printing 1992, California Division of Mines and Geology, 1: 250,000.

Structural Engineers Association California (SEAC), 2021, OSHPD Seismic Design Maps; available at: https://seismicmaps.org/

United States Geological Survey (USGS), 2018, Cathedral City 7.5 Minute Quadrangle Map, 1:24000.

United States Geological Survey (USGS), 2021a, Quaternary Fault and Fold Database; available at: https://geohazards.usgs.gov/hazards/interactive/

United States Geological Survey (USGS), 2021b, Risk-Targeted Ground Motion Calculator; available at: https://earthquake.usgs.gov/designmaps/rtgm/

United States Geological Survey (USGS), 2021c, Unified Hazard Tool; available at: https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/interactive/

FIGURES

SITE LOCATION MAP
REGIONAL GEOLOGIC MAP
BOREHOLE LOCATION PHOTOGRAPH
SITE PLAN
FAULT ZONE MAP



USGS (2018)

Sladden Engineering	SITE LOCATION MAP		FIGURE 1
	Project Number:	544-21317	
	Report Number:	21-09-606	
	Date:	September 17, 2021	



Sladden Engineering	REGIONAL GEOLOGIC MAP	FIGURE 2
	Project Number: 544-21317	
	Report Number: 21-09-606	
	Date: September 17, 2021	

EXPLANATION OF MAP SYMBOLS

BH-9 Approximate Exploratory Borehole Location

Sladden Engineering	EXPLORATION LOCATION PHOTOGRAPH	FIGURE
	Project Number: 544-21317	3
	Report Number: 21-09-606	
	Date: September 17, 2021	



Commerce Construction Co., L.P. (2021)

Sladden Engineering	SITE PLAN	FIGURE 4
	Project Number: 544-21317	
	Report Number: 21-09-606	
	Date: September 17, 2021	



Sladden Engineering	FAULT ZONE MAP		FIGURE
	Project Number:	544-21317	5
	Report Number:	21-09-606	
	Date:	September 17, 2021	

APPENDIX A

FIELD EXPLORATION

APPENDIX A

FIELD EXPLORATION

For our field investigation nine (9) exploratory bores were excavated on July 29, 2021 utilizing a truck mounted hollow stem auger rig (Mobile B-61). Continuous logs of the materials encountered were made by a representative of Sladden Engineering. Materials encountered in the boreholes were classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System which is presented in this appendix.

Representative undisturbed samples were obtained within our bores by driving a thin-walled steel penetration sampler (California split spoon sampler) or a Standard Penetration Test (SPT) sampler with a 140 pound automatic-trip hammer dropping approximately 30 inches (ASTM D1586). The number of blows required to drive the samplers 18 inches was recorded in 6-inch increments and blowcounts are indicated on the boring logs.

The California samplers are 3.0 inches in diameter, carrying brass sample rings having inner diameters of 2.5 inches. The standard penetration samplers are 2.0 inches in diameter with an inner diameter of 1.5 inches. Undisturbed samples were removed from the sampler and placed in moisture sealed containers in order to preserve the natural soil moisture content. Bulk samples were obtained from the excavation spoils and samples were then transported to our laboratory for further observations and testing.

SLADDEN ENGINEERING

BORE LOG

Drill Rig:	Mobile B-61	Date Drilled:	7/29/2021
Elevation:	305 Ft (MSL)	Boring No:	BH-1

Sample	Blow Counts	Bulk Sample	Expansion Index	% Minus #200	% Moisture	Dry Density	Depth (Feet)	Graphic Lithology	Description
							0		
■	9/10/11	1	0	10.0	0.4	106.1	2		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Disturbed).
							4		
■	9/16/20			6.6	0.5	125.8	6		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							8		
■	12/10/10			9.7	1.1		10		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							12		
							14		
■	10/16/18			15.6	0.9	108.9	16		Silty Sand (SM); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained with gravel (Qal-Qs).
							18		
■	9/13/16			5.6	1.2		20		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							22		
							24		
■	13/50-5"			14.5	0.7	123.7	26		Silty Sand (SM); grayish brown, dry, very dense, fine-to coarse-grained with gravel (Qal-Qs).
							28		
■	11/16/30			10.1	1.2		30		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							32		
							34		
☒	22/50-6"						36		No Recovery
							38		
							40		
■	30/39/29			17.5	1.6		42		Silty Sand (SM); grayish brown, dry, very dense, fine-to coarse-grained with gravel (Qal-Qs).
							44		
■	27/32/39			5.5	8.2	109.8	46		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, slightly moist, dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							48		
							50		
■	12/15/16			18.8	1.9				Silty Sand (SM); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained with gravel (Qal-Qs).

Completion Notes:
Terminated at ~ 51.5 Feet bgs
No Bedrock Encountered
No Groundwater or Seepage Encountered

MAJESTIC THOUSAND PALMS
NEC RIO DEL SOL & 30TH AVENUE, THOUSAND PALMS

Project No: 544-21317
Report No: 21-09-606

SLADDEN ENGINEERING

BORE LOG

Drill Rig:	Mobile B-61	Date Drilled:	7/29/2021
Elevation:	305 Ft (MSL)	Boring No:	BH-2

Sample	Blow Counts	Bulk Sample	Expansion Index	% Minus #200	% Moisture	Dry Density	Depth (Feet)	Graphic Lithology	Description
							0		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, fine-to coarse-grained (Disturbed).
	6/9/13			9.3	1.1		5		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
	16/24/29			13.1	1.0	130.3	10		Silty Sand (SM); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained with gravel (Qal-Qs).
	11/21/30			8.1	0.9		15		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, very dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
	17/18/20			10.2	0.6	116.0	20		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
	10/12/15			9.3	1.2		25		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
	17/20/22			22.6	0.7	109.7	30		Silty Sand (SM); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained with gravel (Qal-Qs).
	10/15/15			26.1	1.3		35		No Recovery
	19/30/32			6.9	0.7	127.2	40		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, slightly moist, dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
	20/28/28			7.8	1.0		45		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, slightly moist, very dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
	50-5"						50		No Recovery.

Completion Notes:
Terminated at ~ 51.5 Feet bgs
No Bedrock Encountered
No Groundwater or Seepage Encountered

MAJESTIC THOUSAND PALMS
NEC RIO DEL SOL & 30TH AVENUE, THOUSAND PALMS

Project No: 544-21317
Report No: 21-09-606

SLADDEN ENGINEERING

BORE LOG

Drill Rig:	Mobile B-61	Date Drilled:	7/29/2021
Elevation:	305 Ft (MSL)	Boring No:	BH-3

Sample	Blow Counts	Bulk Sample	Expansion Index	% Minus #200	% Moisture	Dry Density	Depth (Feet)	Graphic Lithology	Description
							0		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, fine-to coarse-grained (Disturbed).
							2		
							4		
■	20/26/30			9.2	0.7	118.6	6		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							8		
■	10/12/19			10.9	0.9		10		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							12		
							14		
■	13/15/22			7.6	0.7	121.0	16		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							18		
■	14/16/17			8.3	0.9		20		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							22		
							24		Terminated at ~ 21.5 feet bgs. No Bedrock Encountered. No Groundwater or Seepage Encountered.
							26		
							28		
							30		
							32		
							34		
							36		
							38		
							40		
							42		
							44		
							46		
							48		
							50		

Completion Notes:

MAJESTIC THOUSAND PALMS
NEC RIO DEL SOL & 30TH AVENUE, THOUSAND PALMS

Project No:	544-21317	Page	3
Report No:	21-09-606		

SLADDEN ENGINEERING

BORE LOG

Drill Rig:	Mobile B-61	Date Drilled:	7/29/2021
Elevation:	305 Ft (MSL)	Boring No:	BH-4

Sample	Blow Counts	Bulk Sample	Expansion Index	% Minus #200	% Moisture	Dry Density	Depth (Feet)	Graphic Lithology	Description
							0		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, fine-to coarse-grained (Disturbed).
							2		
							4		
	3/5/6			7.4	0.8		6		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							8		
	7/12/15			10.7	0.8	118.0	10		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							12		
							14		
	4/7/9			6.8	1.0		16		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							18		
	11/12/14			6.7	0.8	101.9	20		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							22		Terminated at ~ 21.5 feet bgs. No Bedrock Encountered. No Groundwater or Seepage Encountered.
							24		
							26		
							28		
							30		
							32		
							34		
							36		
							38		
							40		
							42		
							44		
							46		
							48		
							50		

Completion Notes:

MAJESTIC THOUSAND PALMS
NEC RIO DEL SOL & 30TH AVENUE, THOUSAND PALMS

Project No: 544-21317
Report No: 21-09-606

SLADDEN ENGINEERING

BORE LOG

Drill Rig:	Mobile B-61	Date Drilled:	7/29/2021
Elevation:	305 Ft (MSL)	Boring No:	BH-5

Sample	Blow Counts	Bulk Sample	Expansion Index	% Minus #200	% Moisture	Dry Density	Depth (Feet)	Graphic Lithology	Description
							0		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, fine-to coarse-grained (Disturbed).
							2		
							4		
■	10/9/10			11.0	0.8	104.8	6		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							8		
▣	9/13/15			6.9	0.9		10		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							12		
							14		Terminated at ~ 11.5 feet bgs. No Bedrock Encountered. No Groundwater or Seepage Encountered.
							16		
							18		
							20		
							22		
							24		
							26		
							28		
							30		
							32		
							34		
							36		
							38		
							40		
							42		
							44		
							46		
							48		
							50		

Completion Notes:

MAJESTIC THOUSAND PALMS
NEC RIO DEL SOL & 30TH AVENUE, THOUSAND PALMS

Project No: 544-21317
Report No: 21-09-606

SLADDEN ENGINEERING

BORE LOG

Drill Rig:	Mobile B-61	Date Drilled:	7/29/2021
Elevation:	305 Ft (MSL)	Boring No:	BH-6

Sample	Blow Counts	Bulk Sample	Expansion Index	% Minus #200	% Moisture	Dry Density	Depth (Feet)	Graphic Lithology	Description
							0		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, fine-to coarse-grained (Disturbed).
							2		
							4		
■	12/9/13			8.7	0.5	104.8	6		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							8		
▪	9/11/11			13.3	1.3	115.0	10		Silty Sand (SM); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained with gravel (Qal-Qs).
							12		Terminated at ~ 11.5 feet bgs. No Bedrock Encountered. No Groundwater or Seepage Encountered.
							14		
							16		
							18		
							20		
							22		
							24		
							26		
							28		
							30		
							32		
							34		
							36		
							38		
							40		
							42		
							44		
							46		
							48		
							50		

Completion Notes:

MAJESTIC THOUSAND PALMS
NEC RIO DEL SOL & 30TH AVENUE, THOUSAND PALMS

Project No: 544-21317
Report No: 21-09-606

SLADDEN ENGINEERING

BORE LOG

Drill Rig:	Mobile B-61	Date Drilled:	7/29/2021
Elevation:	305 Ft (MSL)	Boring No:	BH-7

Sample	Blow Counts	Bulk Sample	Expansion Index	% Minus #200	% Moisture	Dry Density	Depth (Feet)	Graphic Lithology	Description
							0		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, fine-to coarse-grained (Disturbed).
							2		
							4		
	4/5/7			12.5	1.1		6		Gravelly Sand (SP/SM); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							8		
	9/11/11			7.5	0.5	109.7	10		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							12		
							14		
	9/13/12			8.2	0.9		16		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							18		
	17/22/28			10.5	0.8	123.5	20		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							22		
							24		Terminated at ~ 21.5 feet bgs. No Bedrock Encountered. No Groundwater or Seepage Encountered.
							26		
							28		
							30		
							32		
							34		
							36		
							38		
							40		
							42		
							44		
							46		
							48		
							50		

Completion Notes:

MAJESTIC THOUSAND PALMS
NEC RIO DEL SOL & 30TH AVENUE, THOUSAND PALMS

Project No: 544-21317
Report No: 21-09-606

SLADDEN ENGINEERING

BORE LOG

Drill Rig:	Mobile B-61	Date Drilled:	7/29/2021
Elevation:	305 Ft (MSL)	Boring No:	BH-8

Sample	Blow Counts	Bulk Sample	Expansion Index	% Minus #200	% Moisture	Dry Density	Depth (Feet)	Graphic Lithology	Description
							0		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, fine-to coarse-grained (Disturbed).
							2		
							4		
■	6/9/12			9.3	0.5	105.7	6		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							8		
■	8/14/21			6.2	0.8		10		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							12		
							14		Terminated at ~ 11.5 feet bgs.
							16		No Bedrock Encountered.
							18		No Groundwater or Seepage Encountered.
							20		
							22		
							24		
							26		
							28		
							30		
							32		
							34		
							36		
							38		
							40		
							42		
							44		
							46		
							48		
							50		

Completion Notes:

MAJESTIC THOUSAND PALMS
NEC RIO DEL SOL & 30TH AVENUE, THOUSAND PALMS

Project No: 544-21317
Report No: 21-09-606

SLADDEN ENGINEERING

BORE LOG

Drill Rig:	Mobile B-61	Date Drilled:	7/29/2021
Elevation:	305 Ft (MSL)	Boring No:	BH-9

Sample	Blow Counts	Bulk Sample	Expansion Index	% Minus #200	% Moisture	Dry Density	Depth (Feet)	Graphic Lithology	Description
							0		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, fine-to coarse-grained (Disturbed).
	3/6/8			7.4	1.0		6		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, medium dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
	7/8/10			8.4	0.6	107.4	10		Gravelly Sand (SP); grayish brown, dry, dense, fine-to coarse-grained (Qal-Qs).
							12		Terminated at ~ 11.5 feet bgs. No Bedrock Encountered. No Groundwater or Seepage Encountered.

Completion Notes:

MAJESTIC THOUSAND PALMS
NEC RIO DEL SOL & 30TH AVENUE, THOUSAND PALMS

Project No: 544-21317
Report No: 21-09-606

APPENDIX B

LABORATORY TESTING

APPENDIX B

LABORATORY TESTING

Representative bulk and relatively undisturbed soil samples were obtained in the field and returned to our laboratory for additional observations and testing. Laboratory testing was generally performed in two phases. The first phase consisted of testing in order to determine the compaction of the existing natural soil and the general engineering classifications of the soils underlying the site. This testing was performed in order to estimate the engineering characteristics of the soil and to serve as a basis for selecting samples for the second phase of testing. The second phase consisted of soil mechanics testing. This testing including consolidation, shear strength and expansion testing was performed in order to provide a means of developing specific design recommendations based on the mechanical properties of the soil.

CLASSIFICATION AND COMPACTION TESTING

Unit Weight and Moisture Content Determinations: Each undisturbed sample was weighed and measured in order to determine its unit weight. A small portion of each sample was then subjected to testing in order to determine its moisture content. This was used in order to determine the dry density of the soil in its natural condition. The results of this testing are shown on the Bore Logs.

Maximum Density-Optimum Moisture Determinations: Representative soil types were selected for maximum density determinations. This testing was performed in accordance with the ASTM Standard D1557, Test Method A. The results of testing are presented graphically in this appendix. The maximum densities are compared to the field densities of the soil in order to determine the existing relative compaction to the soil.

Classification Testing: Soil samples were selected for classification testing. This testing consists of mechanical grain size analyses. This provides information for developing classifications for the soil in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System which is presented in the preceding appendix. This classification system categorizes the soil into groups having similar engineering characteristics. The results of this testing is very useful in detecting variations in the soils and in selecting samples for further testing.

SOIL MECHANIC'S TESTING

Expansion Testing: One (1) bulk sample was selected for Expansion testing. Expansion testing was performed in accordance with the UBC Standard 18-2. This testing consists of remolding 4-inch diameter by 1-inch thick test specimens to a moisture content and dry density corresponding to approximately 50 percent saturation. The samples are subjected to a surcharge of 144 pounds per square foot and allowed to reach equilibrium. At that point the specimens are inundated with distilled water. The linear expansion is then measured until complete.

Direct Shear Testing: One (1) sample was selected for Direct Shear testing. This test measures the shear strength of the soil under various normal pressures and is used to develop parameters for foundation design and lateral design. Tests were performed using a recompacted test specimen that was saturated prior to tests. Tests were performed using a strain controlled test apparatus with normal pressures ranging from 800 to 2300 pounds per square foot.

Consolidation Testing: Two (2) relatively undisturbed samples were selected for consolidation testing. For this test, a one-inch thick test specimen was subjected to vertical loads varying from 575 psf to 11520 psf applied progressively. The consolidation at each load increment was recorded prior to placement of each subsequent load. The specimens were saturated at 575 psf or 720 psf load increment.

Corrosion Series Testing: The soluble sulfate concentrations of the surface soil were determined in accordance with California Test Method Number (CA) 417. The pH and Minimum Resistivity were determined in accordance with CA 643. The soluble chloride concentrations were determined in accordance with CA 422.

R-Value Testing: One (1) representative bulk sample was selected for R-Value testing. The R-Value test measures the response of compacted subgrade soil to a vertically applied load. The R-Value tests and traffic indices are used for determining pavement design.

Sladden Engineering

450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863

Maximum Density/Optimum Moisture

ASTM D698/D1557

Project Number:	544-21317	August 18, 2021
Project Name:	Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue	
Lab ID Number:	LN6-21418	ASTM D-1557 A
Sample Location:	BH-1 Bulk 1 @ 0-5'	Rammer Type: Machine
Description:	Olive Brown Sand w/Silt (SP-SM)	

Maximum Density: **119 pcf**
Optimum Moisture: **10%**

Sieve Size	% Retained
3/4"	
3/8"	
#4	3.7



Sladden Engineering

450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont, CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863

Expansion Index

ASTM D 4829

Job Number: 544-21317

Job Name: Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue

Lab ID Number: LN6-21418

Sample ID: BH-1 Bulk 1 @ 0-5'

Soil Description: Olive Brown Sand w/Silt (SP-SM)

August 18, 2021

Wt of Soil + Ring:	581.8
Weight of Ring:	191.9
Wt of Wet Soil:	389.9
Percent Moisture:	8.9%
Sample Height, in	0.95
Wet Density, pcf:	124.8
Dry Denstiy, pcf:	114.6

% Saturation:	51.1

Expansion **Rack # 2**

Date/Time	8/16/2021	3:25 PM
Initial Reading	0.0000	
Final Reading	0.0000	

Expansion Index **0**

(Final - Initial) x 1000

Sladden Engineering

450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont, CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863

Direct Shear ASTM D 3080-04
(modified for unconsolidated condition)

Job Number:	544-21317		August 18, 2021
Job Name	Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue		Initial Dry Density: 107.1 pcf
Lab ID No.	LN6-21418		Initial Mosture Content: 9.8 %
Sample ID	BH-1 Bulk 1 @ 0-5'		Peak Friction Angle (Ø): 31°
Classification	Olive Brown Sand w/Silt (SP-SM)		Cohesion (c): 170 psf
Sample Type	Remolded @ 90% of Maximum Density		

Test Results	1	2	3	4	Average
Moisture Content, %	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9
Saturation, %	79.8	79.8	79.8	79.8	79.8
Normal Stress, kps	0.739	1.479	2.958	5.916	
Peak Stress, kps	0.567	1.068	2.027	3.706	



Job Number: 544-21317
Job Name: Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue
Date: 8/18/2021

Moisture Adjustment		Remolded Shear Weight	
Wt of Soil:	1,000	Max Dry Density:	119.0
Moist As Is:	0.8	Optimum Moisture:	10.0
Moist Wanted:	10.0		
ml of Water to Add:	91.3	Wt Soil per Ring, g:	141.7

UBC

Sladden Engineering

450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont, CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863

Gradation

ASTM C117 & C136

Project Number: 544-21317 August 18, 2021
Project Name: Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue
Lab ID Number: LN6-21418
Sample ID: BH-1 Bulk 1 @ 0-5' Soil Classification: SP-SM

Sieve Size, in	Sieve Size, mm	Percent Passing
2"	50.8	100.0
1 1/2"	38.1	100.0
1"	25.4	98.8
3/4"	19.1	97.9
1/2"	12.7	97.2
3/8"	9.53	96.9
#4	4.75	96.3
#8	2.36	93.2
#16	1.18	87.0
#30	0.60	75.5
#50	0.30	52.0
#100	0.15	29.4
#200	0.075	11.6



Sladden Engineering

450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont, CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863

Gradation

ASTM C117 & C136

Project Number: 544-21317 August 18, 2021
Project Name: Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue
Lab ID Number: LN6-21418
Sample ID: BH-1 R-4 @ 15' Soil Classification: SM

Sieve Size, in	Sieve Size, mm	Percent Passing
1"	25.4	100.0
3/4"	19.1	100.0
1/2"	12.7	100.0
3/8"	9.53	100.0
#4	4.75	100.0
#8	2.36	100.0
#16	1.18	99.8
#30	0.60	96.0
#50	0.30	78.2
#100	0.15	49.6
#200	0.074	15.6



Sladden Engineering

450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont, CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863

Gradation

ASTM C117 & C136

Project Number: 544-21317 August 18, 2021
Project Name: Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue
Lab ID Number: LN6-21418
Sample ID: BH-2 S-3 @ 15' Soil Classification: SW-SM

Sieve Size, in	Sieve Size, mm	Percent Passing
1"	25.4	100.0
3/4"	19.1	100.0
1/2"	12.7	93.2
3/8"	9.53	90.9
#4	4.75	82.8
#8	2.36	70.7
#16	1.18	56.2
#30	0.60	40.8
#50	0.30	25.5
#100	0.15	15.3
#200	0.074	8.1



Sladden Engineering

450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont, CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863

Gradation

ASTM C117 & C136

Project Number: 544-21317 August 18, 2021
Project Name: Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue
Lab ID Number: LN6-21418
Sample ID: BH-2 R-6 @ 30' Soil Classification: SM

Sieve Size, in	Sieve Size, mm	Percent Passing
1"	25.4	100.0
3/4"	19.1	100.0
1/2"	12.7	100.0
3/8"	9.53	100.0
#4	4.75	100.0
#8	2.36	99.9
#16	1.18	98.0
#30	0.60	90.0
#50	0.30	68.9
#100	0.15	46.2
#200	0.074	22.6



Sladden Engineering

450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont, CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863

Gradation

ASTM C117 & C136

Project Number: 544-21317 August 18, 2021
Project Name: Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue
Lab ID Number: LN6-21418
Sample ID: BH-5 R-1 @ 5' Soil Classification: SP-SM

Sieve Size, in	Sieve Size, mm	Percent Passing
1"	25.4	100.0
3/4"	19.1	100.0
1/2"	12.7	100.0
3/8"	9.53	100.0
#4	4.75	100.0
#8	2.36	99.6
#16	1.18	98.8
#30	0.60	90.3
#50	0.30	65.5
#100	0.15	34.8
#200	0.074	11.0



Sladden Engineering

450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont, CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863

Gradation

ASTM C117 & C136

Project Number: 544-21317 August 18, 2021
Project Name: Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue
Lab ID Number: LN6-21418
Sample ID: BH-8 R-1 @ 5' Soil Classification: SP-SM

Sieve Size, in	Sieve Size, mm	Percent Passing
1"	25.4	100.0
3/4"	19.1	100.0
1/2"	12.7	100.0
3/8"	9.53	100.0
#4	4.75	99.3
#8	2.36	96.5
#16	1.18	87.7
#30	0.60	69.4
#50	0.30	44.0
#100	0.15	25.0
#200	0.074	9.3



Sladden Engineering

450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont, CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863

One Dimensional Consolidation

ASTM D2435 & D5333

Job Number: 544-21317
Job Name: Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue

August 18, 2021

Lab ID Number: LN6-21418
Sample ID: BH-5 R-1 @ 5'
Soil Description: Dark Brown Sand w/Silt (SP-SM)

Initial Dry Density, pcf:	97.9
Initial Moisture, %:	0.8
Initial Void Ratio:	0.704
Specific Gravity:	2.67

Hydrocollapse: 0.6% @ 0.702 ksf

% Change in Height vs Normal Presssure Diagram



Sladden Engineering

450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont, CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863

RESISTANCE '*R*' VALUE AND EXPANSION PRESSURE

CTM 301

September 20, 2021

Project Number: 544-21317
Project Name: Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue
Lab ID Number: LN6-21418
Sample ID: BH-1 Bulk 1 @ 0-5'
Sample Description: Olive Brown Poorly Graded Sand with Silt (SP-SM)
Specified Traffic Index: 5.0

Dry Density @ 300 psi Exudation Pressure: 119.6-pcf
%Moisture @ 300 psi Exudation Pressure: 9.7%
R-Value - Exudation Pressure: 78
R-Value - Expansion Pressure: 80
R-Value @ Equilibrium: 78

EXUDATION PRESSURE CHART



EXPANSION PRESSURE CHART



Sladden Engineering

6782 Stanton Ave., Suite C, Buena Park, CA 90621 (714) 523-0952 Fax (714) 523-1369
45090 Golf Center Pkwy, Suite F, Indio, CA 92201 (760) 863-0713 Fax (760) 863-0847
450 Egan Avenue, Beaumont, CA 92223 (951) 845-7743 Fax (951) 845-8863

Date: August 18, 2021

Account No.: 544-21317

Customer: Commerce Construction Company, L.P.

Location: NEC Rio Del Sol Road & 30th Avenue, Thousand Palms Area

Analytical Report

Corrosion Series

	pH per CA 643	Soluble Sulfates per CA 417 ppm	Soluble Chloride per CA 422 ppm	Min. Resistivity per CA 643 ohm-cm
BH-1 @ 0-5'	8.4	20	50	7400

APPENDIX C

SITE-SPECIFIC GROUND MOTION PARAMETERS

Project: Majestic Thousand Palms
Project Number: 544-21317
Client: Commerce Construction Company, LLC
Site Lat/Long: 33.8325/ -116.4015
Controlling Seismic Source: Southern San Andreas Fault

REFERENCE	NOTATION	VALUE
Site Class	C, D, D default, or E	D measured
Site Class D - Table 11.4-1	F_a	1.0
Site Class D - 21.3(ii)	F_v	2.5
$0.2*(S_{D1}/S_{DS})$	T_0	0.144
S_{D1}/S_{DS}	T_S	0.721
Fundamental Period (12.8.2)	T	Period
Seismic Design Maps or Fig 22-14	T_L	8
Equation 11.4-4 - $2/3*S_{M1}$	S_{D1}	1.0744*
Equation 11.4-2 - F_V*S_1	S_{M1}	1.6116*

REFERENCE	NOTATION	VALUE
Fv (Table 11.4-2)[Used for General Spectrum]	F_v	1.7
Design Maps	S_s	2.236
Design Maps	S_1	0.948
Equation 11.4-1 - F_A*S_S	S_{MS}	2.236*
Equation 11.4-3 - $2/3*S_{MS}$	S_{DS}	1.491*
Design Maps	PGA	0.962
Table 11.8-1	F_{PGA}	1.1
Equation 11.8-1 - $F_{PGA}*PGA$	PGA_M	1.058*
Section 21.5.3	80% of PGA_M	0.847
Design Maps	C_{RS}	0.888
Design Maps	C_{R1}	0.875

RISK COEFFICIENT

Cr - At Perods <=0.2, Cr=C_{RS}	C_{RS}	0.888
Cr - At Periods >=1.0, Cr=C_{R1}	C_{R1}	0.875

Cr - At Periods between 0.2 and 1.0 use trendline formula to complete

Period	Cr
0.200	0.888
0.300	0.886
0.400	0.885
0.500	0.883
0.600	0.882
0.680	0.880
1.000	0.875

* **Code based design value.** See accompanying data for Site Specific Design values.

Mapped values from https://seismicmaps.org/

Sladden Engineering

PROBABILISTIC SPECTRA[1]
2% in 50 year Exceedence

Project No: 544-21317

Period	UGHM	RTHM	Max Directional Scale Factor[2]	Probabilistic MCE
0.010	1.047	0.982	1.19	1.169
0.100	1.648	1.591	1.19	1.893
0.200	2.198	2.108	1.20	2.530
0.300	2.554	2.407	1.22	2.937
0.500	2.696	2.454	1.23	3.018
0.750	2.366	2.105	1.24	2.610
1.000	2.089	1.842	1.24	2.284
2.000	1.258	1.103	1.24	1.368
3.000	0.872	0.757	1.25	0.946
4.000	0.626	0.544	1.25	0.680
5.000	0.467	0.407	1.26	0.513

[1] Data Sources:
https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/interactive/
https://earthquake.usgs.gov/designmaps/rtgm/

[2] Shahi-Baker RotD100/RotD50 Factors (2014)

Probabilistic PGA: 1.047
Is Probabilistic $Sa_{(max)}<1.2F_a$? NO



Sladden Engineering

DETERMINISTIC SPECTRUM

Largest Amplitudes of Ground Motions Considering All Sources Calculated using Weighted Mean of Attenuation Equations[1]

Controlling Source: Southern San Andreas Fault

Is Probabilistic $Sa_{(max)}$<1.2Fa? NO

Period	Deterministic PSa Median + 1.σ for 5% Damping	Max Directional Scale Factor[2]	Deterministic MCE	Section 21.2.2 Scaling Factor Applied
0.010	0.952	1.19	1.133	1.133
0.020	0.960	1.19	1.142	1.142
0.030	0.971	1.19	1.155	1.155
0.050	1.011	1.19	1.203	1.203
0.075	1.188	1.19	1.414	1.414
0.100	1.391	1.19	1.655	1.655
0.150	1.679	1.20	2.015	2.015
0.200	1.882	1.20	2.259	2.259
0.250	2.064	1.21	2.497	2.497
0.300	2.172	1.22	2.650	2.650
0.400	2.262	1.23	2.782	2.782
0.500	2.231	1.23	2.744	2.744
0.750	1.888	1.24	2.341	2.341
1.000	1.631	1.24	2.023	2.023
1.500	1.196	1.24	1.483	1.483
2.000	0.917	1.24	1.136	1.136
3.000	0.636	1.25	0.795	0.795
4.000	0.438	1.25	0.548	0.548
5.000	0.322	1.26	0.406	0.406

Project No: 544-21317

Is Determinstic $Sa_{(max)}$<1.5*Fa?	NO
Section 21.2.2 Scaling Factor:	N/A
Deterministic PGA:	0.952
Is Deterministic PGA >=F_{PGA}*0.5?	YES

[1] NGAWest 2 GMPE worksheet and Uniform California Earthquake Rupture Forecast, Version 3 (UCERF3) - Time Dependent Model

[2] Shahi-Baker RotD100/RotD50 Factors (2014)



Sladden Engineering

SITE SPECIFIC SPECTRA

Period	Probabilistic MCE	Deterministic MCE	Site-Specific MCE	Design Response Spectrum (Sa)
0.010	1.169	1.133	1.133	0.756
0.100	1.893	1.655	1.655	1.104
0.200	2.530	2.259	2.259	1.506
0.300	2.937	2.650	2.650	1.767
0.500	3.018	2.744	2.744	1.830
0.750	2.610	2.341	2.341	1.561
1.000	2.284	2.023	2.023	1.349
2.000	1.368	1.136	1.136	0.758
3.000	0.946	0.795	0.795	0.530
4.000	0.680	0.548	0.548	0.365
5.000	0.513	0.406	0.406	0.270

ASCE 7-16: Section 21.4
Site Specific

	Calculated Value	Design Value
SDS:	1.647	1.647
SD1:	1.591	1.591
SMS:	2.470	2.470
SM1:	2.386	2.386
Site Specific PGAm:	0.952	0.952
Site Class:	D measured	

Seismic Design Category - Short* E
Seismic Design Category - 1s* E
* Risk Categories I, II, or III

Period	ASCE 7 SECTION 11.4.6 General Spectrum	80% General Response Spectrum
0.005	0.627	0.502
0.010	0.658	0.527
0.020	0.720	0.576
0.030	0.782	0.626
0.050	0.906	0.725
0.060	0.969	0.775
0.075	1.062	0.849
0.090	1.155	0.924
0.100	1.217	0.973
0.110	1.279	1.023
0.120	1.341	1.073
0.136	1.440	1.152
0.150	1.491	1.193
0.160	1.491	1.193
0.170	1.491	1.193
0.180	1.491	1.193
0.200	1.491	1.193
0.250	1.491	1.193
0.300	1.491	1.193
0.400	1.491	1.193
0.500	1.491	1.193
0.600	1.491	1.193
0.640	1.491	1.193
0.700	1.491	1.193
0.850	1.264	1.011
0.900	1.194	0.955
0.950	1.131	0.905
1.000	1.074	0.860
1.500	0.716	0.573
2.000	0.537	0.430
3.000	0.358	0.287
4.000	0.269	0.215
5.000	0.215	0.172

Project No: 544-21317


SPECTRAL RESPONSE ACCELERATIONS
Acceleration (g)
3.50
3.00
2.50
2.00
1.50
1.00
0.50
0.00
0.000
1.000
2.000
3.000
4.000
5.000
Period (seconds)
Probabilistic MCE
Deterministic MCE
Site-Specific MCE
Design Response Spectrum
ASCE 7 Section 11.4.6 General Spectrum
80% General Response Spectrum

OSHPD

Latitude, Longitude: 33.8325, -116.4015



Date	9/15/2021, 1:18:48 PM
Design Code Reference Document	ASCE7-16
Risk Category	II
Site Class	D - Stiff Soil

Type	Value	Description
S_S	2.236	MCE_R ground motion. (for 0.2 second period)
S_1	0.948	MCE_R ground motion. (for 1.0s period)
S_{MS}	2.236	Site-modified spectral acceleration value
S_{M1}	null -See Section 11.4.8	Site-modified spectral acceleration value
S_{DS}	1.49	Numeric seismic design value at 0.2 second SA
S_{D1}	null -See Section 11.4.8	Numeric seismic design value at 1.0 second SA

Type	Value	Description
SDC	null -See Section 11.4.8	Seismic design category
F_a	1	Site amplification factor at 0.2 second
F_v	null -See Section 11.4.8	Site amplification factor at 1.0 second
PGA	0.962	MCE_G peak ground acceleration
F_{PGA}	1.1	Site amplification factor at PGA
PGA_M	1.059	Site modified peak ground acceleration
T_L	8	Long-period transition period in seconds
SsRT	2.539	Probabilistic risk-targeted ground motion. (0.2 second)
SsUH	2.858	Factored uniform-hazard (2% probability of exceedance in 50 years) spectral acceleration
SsD	2.236	Factored deterministic acceleration value. (0.2 second)
S1RT	1.022	Probabilistic risk-targeted ground motion. (1.0 second)
S1UH	1.167	Factored uniform-hazard (2% probability of exceedance in 50 years) spectral acceleration.
S1D	0.948	Factored deterministic acceleration value. (1.0 second)
PGAd	0.962	Factored deterministic acceleration value. (Peak Ground Acceleration)
C_{RS}	0.888	Mapped value of the risk coefficient at short periods
C_{R1}	0.875	Mapped value of the risk coefficient at a period of 1 s

DISCLAIMER

While the information presented on this website is believed to be correct, SEAOC /OSHPD and its sponsors and contributors assume no responsibility or liability for its accuracy. The material presented in this web application should not be used or relied upon for any specific application without competent examination and verification of its accuracy, suitability and applicability by engineers or other licensed professionals. SEAOC / OSHPD do not intend that the use of this information replace the sound judgment of such competent professionals, having experience and knowledge in the field of practice, nor to substitute for the standard of care required of such professionals in interpreting and applying the results of the seismic data provided by this website. Users of the information from this website assume all liability arising from such use. Use of the output of this website does not imply approval by the governing building code bodies responsible for building code approval and interpretation for the building site described by latitude/longitude location in the search results of this website.