Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2023010229

Project Title: Grant Elementary School Campus Master Plan Project

Lead Agency: Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District

Contact Name: Carey Upton

Email: cupton@smmusd.org Phone Number: 310.450.8338 x79383

Project Location: Santa Monica (*City*) Los Angeles (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Proposed Project would renovate and modernize the existing campus to develop new and renovated facilities that would support a project-based learning approach at Grant ES that would expand instructional strategies currently in place within the District and would address future learning that is flexible, adaptable, and project-centered in its delivery.

See Attachment A for further details

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The environmental assessment presented in the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) identifies environmental impact that would be potentially significant unless mitigation measures (MM) are incorporated into the project for: Cultural Resources MM would would include a qualified archaeologist on call during ground disturbing activities that would occur beneath artificial fill. They would also include specific guidelines if a cultural resources were discovered which would reduce the impact to less than significant. Geology and Soils MM would reduce impacts related to paleontology to less than significant. Hazards and Hazardous Materials MM would include inspection of buildings proposed for demolition/renovation by a qualified environmental specialist for hazardous building materials and specific guidelines if hazardous materials are found on the campus. A lead-based paint work plan would be required if soil samples identify the presence of contaminated soils on-site. As well as bringing a professional geologist/engineer to conduct soil sampling and act accordingly. These MM would reduce impacts to less than significant. Noise MM would include a Construction Noise Control Plan which would reduce impacts to less than significant. Transportation MM would include a temporary construction management plan to reduce potential traffic impacts during construction of the Proposed Project to less than significant. The EIR analysis determined that impacts from construction noise would be significant and unavoidable; however, all other impacts from the Proposed Project would less than significant after mitigation.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Project implementation would potentially cause controversy regarding the topics of cultural resources, construction noise, and vehicular circulation and pedestrian safety.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

State Agencies:
• Since the District is expected to seek State funding, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) would have to give Site Certification that the campus would not cause unacceptable exposures to hazardous substances.

The following agencies would have ministerial review and approvals over the Proposed Project:
• Division of the State Architect (Approval of Construction Drawings)
• Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) (Issuance of waste discharge requirements)
• South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)