

## California Department of Transportation

DISTRICT 4  
OFFICE OF REGIONAL AND COMMUNITY PLANNING  
P.O. BOX 23660, MS-10D | OAKLAND, CA 94623-0660  
[www.dot.ca.gov](http://www.dot.ca.gov)



March 9, 2023

SCH #: 2023020229  
GTS #: 04-SCL-2023-01170  
GTS ID: 28892  
Co/Rt/Pm: SCL/101/40.144

Lisa Wornall, Senior Environmental Planner  
California Energy Commission  
1516 Ninth Street, MS-48  
Sacramento, CA 95814

### **Re: San Jose Data Center 04 Draft Notice of Preparation (NOP) of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR)**

Dear Lisa Wornall:

Thank you for including the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) in the environmental review process for the San Jose Data Center 04 Project. We are committed to ensuring that impacts to the State's multimodal transportation system and to our natural environment are identified and mitigated to support a safe, sustainable, integrated and efficient transportation system. The following comments are based on our review of the January 2023 NOP.

#### **Project Understanding**

The project's generating facility would include Tier 4 compliant diesel-fired generators to provide up to 97.8 MW to the data center in the event of a loss of electric service from PG&E. Specifically proposed are 32 3-MW primary emergency generators; 2 0.5-MW administrative emergency generators; and 2 0.8-MW storage tank area emergency generators. The sole purpose of the backup generating facilities is to provide electrical power to support the data center campus operations in the event of loss of electrical service from the local electric utility provider, PG&E.

#### **Travel Demand Analysis**

With the enactment of Senate Bill (SB) 743, Caltrans is focused on maximizing efficient development patterns, innovative travel demand reduction strategies, and multimodal improvements. For more information on how Caltrans assesses Transportation Impact Studies, please review Caltrans' Transportation Impact Study Guide ([link](#)).

If the project meets the screening criteria established in the City's adopted Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) policy to be presumed to have a less-than-significant VMT impact and exempt from detailed VMT analysis, please provide justification to support the exempt status in alignment with the City's VMT policy. Projects that do not meet the screening criteria should include a detailed VMT analysis in the DEIR, which should include the following:

- VMT analysis pursuant to the City's guidelines. Projects that result in automobile VMT per capita above the threshold of significance for existing (i.e. baseline) city-wide or regional values for similar land use types may indicate a significant impact. If necessary, mitigation for increasing VMT should be identified. Mitigation should support the use of transit and active transportation modes. Potential mitigation measures that include the requirements of other agencies such as Caltrans are fully enforceable through permit conditions, agreements, or other legally-binding instruments under the control of the City.
- A schematic illustration of walking, biking and auto conditions at the project site and study area roadways. Potential traffic safety issues to the State Transportation Network (STN) may be assessed by Caltrans via the Interim Safety Guidance ([link](#)).
- The project's primary and secondary effects on pedestrians, bicycles, travelers with disabilities and transit performance should be evaluated, including countermeasures and trade-offs resulting from mitigating VMT increases. Access to pedestrians, bicycle, and transit facilities must be maintained.
- Clarification of the intensity of events/receptions to be held at the location and how the associated travel demand and VMT will be mitigated.

### **Mitigation Strategies**

Location efficiency factors, including community design and regional accessibility, influence a project's impact on the environment. Using Caltrans' Smart Mobility Framework Guide 2020 ([link](#)), the proposed project site is identified as a suburban placetype, where community design is moderate to weak and regional accessibility is variable.

Given the preliminary information provided in the NOP, the project proposes to install bicycle infrastructure in the vicinity of the project. On page 4 of the NOP, regarding Trimble Road and Orchard Parkway intersection improvements, consider the following additions that support multi-modal access and offer additional improvements: a leading pedestrian interval, pedestrian refuge islands (where applicable), high visibility crosswalks and advanced stop bars.

### **Transportation Impact Fees**

Please identify project-generated travel demand and estimate the costs of transit and active transportation improvements necessitated by the proposed project; viable funding sources, such as Traffic Impact Fees, should also be identified. We encourage a sufficient allocation of fair share contributions toward multi-modal and regional transit improvements to fully mitigate cumulative impacts to regional transportation. We also strongly support measures to increase sustainable mode shares, thereby reducing VMT.

### **Lead Agency**

As the Lead Agency, the California Energy Commission is responsible for all project mitigation, including any needed improvements to the STN. The project's fair share contribution, financing, scheduling, implementation responsibilities and lead agency monitoring should be fully discussed for all proposed mitigation measures.

### **Equitable Access**

If any Caltrans facilities are impacted by the project, those facilities must meet American Disabilities Act (ADA) Standards after project completion. As well, the project must maintain bicycle and pedestrian access during construction. These access considerations support Caltrans' equity mission to provide a safe, sustainable, and equitable transportation network for all users.

Thank you again for including Caltrans in the environmental review process. Should you have any questions regarding this letter, or for future notifications and requests for review of new projects, please email [LDR-D4@dot.ca.gov](mailto:LDR-D4@dot.ca.gov).

Sincerely,



MARK LEONG  
District Branch Chief  
Local Development Review

c: State Clearinghouse