

III. Revisions, Clarifications, and Corrections to the Draft EIR

III. Revisions, Clarifications, and Corrections to the Draft EIR

This section of the Final EIR provides changes to the Draft EIR that have been made to revise or clarify the environmental impact analysis for the proposed East End Studios ADLA Project (the Project). The changes described in this section do not result in any new or increased significant environmental impacts.

This section is divided into two parts: Section III.A, Corrections and Additions to Draft EIR Sections and Appendices, and Section III.B, Effect of Corrections and Revisions.

A. Corrections and Additions to Draft EIR Sections and Appendices

I. Executive Summary

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

II. Project Description

Section II, Project Description, page II-20, add a new subheading as follows:

6. Responsible Public Agencies

A Responsible Agency under CEQA is a public agency with some discretionary authority over a project or a portion of it, but which has not been designated the Lead Agency (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15381). The Project would require permits from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) for the operation of diesel generators and spray paint booths. As such, the SCAQMD is identified as a Responsible Agency for the Project.

III. Environmental Setting

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.A. Air Quality

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.B. Cultural Resources (Historical Resources)

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.C. Energy

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.D. Geology and Soils (Paleontological Resources)

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.E. Greenhouse Gas Emissions

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.F. Land Use

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.G. Noise

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.H.1 Public Services—Fire Protection

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.H.2 Public Services—Police Protection

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.I. Transportation

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR. It is noted; however, that as set forth in Section II, Responses to Comments, of this Final EIR, as requested by Caltrans, a freeway off-ramp queueing analysis under Existing Conditions and

Existing with Project Conditions was prepared for informational purposes only. This supplemental analysis would not change any of the analyses or conclusions provided in Section IV.I, Transportation, of the Draft EIR. Specifically, the Project's freeway safety analysis already included in Section IV.I, Transportation, page IV.I-47, of the Draft EIR conforms to the guidelines and methodologies established by the Lead Agency (i.e., the City) and, per Section 2.2.4 of the LADOT's *Transportation Assessment Guidelines*, requires a queuing study of the 95th percentile ramp queue for Future with Project Conditions, as calculated using the Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition methodology and standard signal timing assumptions based on LADOT plans.

IV.J. Tribal Cultural Resources

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.K.1 Utilities and Service Systems—Water Supply and Infrastructure

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.K.2 Utilities and Service Systems—Wastewater

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

IV.K.3 Utilities and Service Systems—Energy Infrastructure

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

V. Alternatives

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

VI. Other CEQA Considerations

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

Appendices

No corrections or additions have been made to the appendices of the Draft EIR.

B. Effect of Corrections and Revisions

CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5 requires that an EIR which has been made available for public review, but not yet certified, be recirculated whenever significant new information has been added to the EIR. The entire document need not be circulated if revisions are limited to specific portions of the document.

The relevant portions of CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5 read as follows:

- (a) *A lead agency is required to recirculate an EIR when significant new information is added to the EIR after public notice is given of the availability of the draft EIR for public review under Section 15087 but before certification. As used in this section, the term “information” can include changes in the project or environmental setting as well as additional data or other information. New information added to an EIR is not “significant” unless the EIR is changed in a way that deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse environmental effect of the project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect (including a feasible project alternative) that the project’s proponents have declined to implement. “Significant new information” requiring recirculation include, for example, a disclosure showing that:*
- (1) *A new significant environmental impact would result from the project or from a new mitigation measure proposed to be implemented.*
 - (2) *A substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact would result unless mitigation measures are adopted that reduce the impact to a level of insignificance.*
 - (3) *A feasible project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from others previously analyzed would clearly lessen the environmental impacts of the project, but the project’s proponents decline to adopt it.*
 - (4) *The draft EIR was so fundamentally and basically inadequate and conclusory in nature that meaningful public review and comment were precluded. (Mountain Lion Coalition v. Fish and Game Com. (1989) 214 Cal.App.3d 1043)*

(b) Recirculation is not required where the new information added to the EIR merely clarifies or amplifies or makes insignificant modifications in an adequate EIR.

As provided above, the revisions to the Draft EIR could not potentially result in new significant impacts or increase an impact already identified in the Draft EIR. Thus, none of the conditions in Section 15088.5 of the CEQA Guidelines are met and recirculation of the Draft EIR is not required. In addition, while a supplemental freeway off-ramp queueing analysis under Existing Conditions and Existing with Project Conditions was prepared for informational purposes only, as detailed in the supplemental analysis, the 95th percentile queue at the three analyzed off-ramp locations would not extend beyond the available storage capacity with and without the addition of Project traffic or add more than two car lengths to a queue that extends onto the freeway mainline under any either the A.M. or P.M. peak hour under Existing Conditions. Thus, the conclusions of the Existing Conditions analysis would be consistent with the Future Conditions analysis presented in the Draft EIR, and the conclusions set forth in the Draft EIR would remain.