



State of California – Natural Resources Agency
 DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE
 South Coast Region
 3883 Ruffin Road
 San Diego, CA 92123
 (858) 467-4201
www.wildlife.ca.gov

GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor
 CHARLTON H. BONHAM, Director



Via Electronic Mail Only

March 8, 2023

Brandi Jones
 City of Irwindale
 5050 North Irwindale Avenue
 Irwindale, CA 91706
BJones@IrwindaleCA.gov

Subject: Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Irwindale Gateway Specific Plan, SCH #2023020290, City of Irwindale, Los Angeles County

Dear Ms. Jones:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) has reviewed a Notice of Preparation (NOP) of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) from the City of Irwindale (City) for the Irwindale Gateway Specific Plan (Project). CDFW appreciates the opportunity to provide comments regarding aspects of the Project that could affect fish and wildlife resources and be subject to CDFW's regulatory authority under the Fish and Game Code.

CDFW's Role

CDFW is California's Trustee Agency for fish and wildlife resources and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State [Fish & G. Code, §§ 711.7, subdivision (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, § 15386, subdivision (a)]. CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species (Id., § 1802). Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect State fish and wildlife resources.

CDFW is also submitting comments as a Responsible Agency under CEQA (Pub. Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381). CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code, including lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority (Fish & G. Code, § 1600 *et seq.*). Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take", as defined by State law, of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) (Fish & G. Code, § 2050 *et seq.*), or CESA-listed rare plant pursuant to the Native Plant Protection Act (NPPA; Fish & G. Code, § 1900 *et seq.*), CDFW recommends the Project proponent obtain appropriate authorization under the Fish and Game Code.

Conserving California's Wildlife Since 1870

Brandi Jones
City of Irwindale
March 8, 2023
Page 2 of 11

Project Description and Summary

Objective: The Project proposes two options for the development of an industrial logistics and distribution center with parking and loading docks. The first option proposes redevelopment of the Project site with three new industrial buildings and associated parking. The new industrial buildings would provide a maximum of 982,796 square feet of building space. The total building space would be divided to designate 954,796 square feet for warehouse space and 28,000 square feet for office space. In addition to development of three buildings, the proposed Project would provide 918 standard vehicle parking spaces, 346 trailer parking spaces, and 5.8 acres of landscaping.

The second option proposes redevelopment of the Project site with two new industrial buildings and a 400-megawatt battery energy storage system. The total building space would be a maximum of 704,070 square feet with 668,070 square feet designated for warehouse space and the remaining 36,000 square feet for office space. The battery energy storage system would be installed on approximately 16 acres and include 890 metal enclosures (712 containers and 178 augmentation containers). Additionally, the second option would provide 617 standard vehicle spaces, 257 trailer spaces, and 4.3 acres of landscaping.

In addition to redevelopment, public improvements surrounding the Project site would be implemented. Public improvements would consist of improving Live Oak Lane to the City's standard of 60 feet, installing a new traffic signal at the intersection of Live Oak Lane and Live Oak Avenue, installing 2,100 feet of new public water main, and installing 750 feet of new public sidewalk.

Location: The Project site encompasses 68.1 acres located at 13620 Live Oak Lane, in the City of Irwindale, Los Angeles County. The Project site is bounded by Live Oak Lane to the north and the east, Interstate 605 to the west, and Live Oak Avenue to the south. A 10.48-acre Southern California Edison easement lies along the west side of the Project site. The Project site is located on Assessor Parcel Numbers 8532-002-035 and 8532-002-044.

Comments and Recommendations

CDFW offers the comments and recommendations below to assist the City in adequately identifying, avoiding, and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct, and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources. The EIR should provide adequate and complete disclosure of the Project's potential impacts on biological resources [Pub. Resources Code, § 21061; CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15003(i), 15151]. CDFW looks forward to commenting on the EIR when it is available.

Specific Comments

- 1) Los Angeles County Significant Ecological Areas (SEAs). The Project site is located within a mile of the San Gabriel Canyon Significant Ecological Area (SEA). Additionally, this SEA serves as critical habitat for southwestern willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*). [Los Angeles County Significant Ecological Areas](#) are officially designated areas within Los Angeles County identified as having irreplaceable biological resources (LACDRP 2023). These areas represent the wide-ranging biodiversity of Los Angeles County and contain some of Los Angeles County's most important biological resources. The EIR should discuss

Brandi Jones
City of Irwindale
March 8, 2023
Page 3 of 11

the Project's impact on the San Gabriel Canyon SEA.

- 2) Impact on Southwestern Willow Flycatcher. Southwestern willow flycatcher is designated as an Endangered Species Act (ESA-) and CESA-listed endangered species. With critical habitat for southwestern willow flycatcher approximately half a mile from the Project site, there is potential for presence of this bird species during Project activities. Moreover, the Project site may support foraging opportunities and perching activities for southwestern willow flycatcher. CDFW recommends the EIR evaluate and discuss the Project's impact on southwestern willow flycatcher. The EIR should include a discussion of potential impacts that may occur during ground-disturbing activities and vegetation removal.
- 3) Impact on Species of Special Concern (SSC) – Reptiles. According to the [California Natural Diversity Database](#), the coast horned lizard (*Phrynosoma blainvillii*) and coastal whiptail (*Aspidoscelis tigris stejnegeri*) have both been observed and recorded within a mile of the Project site (CNDDDB 2023a). Project activities related to ground disturbing activities, such as construction of buildings, may result in reptile habitat destruction, causing the death or injury of adults, juveniles, eggs, or hatchlings.
 - a) Protection Status. Both coast horned lizard and coastal whiptail are designated as SSC. CEQA provides protection not only for CESA-listed species, but for any species including but not limited to SSC which can be shown to meet the criteria for State listing. These SSC meet the CEQA definition of rare, threatened, or endangered species (CEQA Guidelines, § 15380). Therefore, take of SSC could require a mandatory finding of significance (CEQA Guidelines, § 15065).
 - b) Analysis and Disclosure. CDFW recommends the EIR provide full disclosure of the presence of these SSC species and potential impacts on habitat. To allow for a full assessment of significant impacts, surveys and assessments for the species should be disclosed in the EIR and not deferred until a later time (i.e., preconstruction surveys). If the Project would result in loss of suitable habitat for these SSC, CDFW recommends the EIR include measures to mitigate for those impacts.
 - c) Surveys and Avoidance. CDFW recommends qualified biologist(s) familiar with the reptile species behavior and life history conduct focused surveys to determine the presence/absence of these SSC. Surveys should be conducted during active season when the reptile species are most likely to be detected. Additionally, CDFW recommends that a qualified biological monitor be on site during ground and habitat disturbing activities to move out of harm's way special status species (see General Comment #2) that would be injured or killed by Project-related activities. It should be noted that the temporary relocation of on-site wildlife does not constitute as effective mitigation for the purposes of offsetting Project impacts associated with habitat loss.
- 4) Impacts to Nesting Birds. The Project site provides potential nesting habitat for nesting birds and raptors. The proposed Project may impact nesting birds through construction activities, construction-related noise, and removal of vegetation within the Project site. Furthermore, Project activities occurring during the nesting bird season, especially in areas providing suitable nesting habitat, could result in the incidental loss of fertile eggs or nestlings, or nest abandonment.

Brandi Jones
City of Irwindale
March 8, 2023
Page 4 of 11

- a) Protection Status. Migratory nongame native bird species are protected by international treaty under the Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) of 1918 (Code of Federal Regulations, Title 50, § 10.13). Sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513 of the California Fish and Game Code prohibit take of all birds and their active nests including raptors and other migratory nongame birds (as listed under the Federal MBTA). It is unlawful to take, possess, or needlessly destroy the nest or eggs of any raptor.
- b) Analysis and Disclosure. CDFW recommends the EIR discuss the Project's potential impact on nesting birds and raptors within the Project site. A discussion of potential impacts should include impacts that may occur during ground-disturbing activities and vegetation removal. The EIR should analyze and discuss the Project's impact on bird and raptor nesting and breeding habitat.
- c) Avoidance. CDFW recommends the EIR include a measure to fully avoid impacts to nesting birds and raptors. To the extent feasible, no construction, ground-disturbing activities (e.g., mobilizing, staging, and excavating), and vegetation removal during the avian breeding season which generally runs from February 15 through September 15 (as early as January 1 for some raptors) to avoid take of birds, raptors, or their eggs.
- d) Minimizing Potential Impacts. If impacts to nesting birds and raptors cannot be avoided, CDFW recommends the EIR include measures to minimize impacts on nesting birds and raptors. Prior to starting ground-disturbing activities and vegetation removal, a qualified biologist should conduct nesting bird and raptor surveys to identify nests. The qualified biologist should establish no-disturbance buffers to minimize impacts on those nests. CDFW recommends a minimum 300-foot no disturbance buffer around active bird nests. For raptors, the no disturbance buffer should be expanded to 500 feet and 0.5 mile for special status species, if feasible. Personnel working on the Project, including all contractors working on site, should be instructed on the presence of nesting birds, area sensitivity, and adherence to no-disturbance buffers. Reductions in the buffer distance may be appropriate depending on the avian species involved, ambient levels of human activity, screening vegetation, or possibly other factors determined by a qualified biologist.
- 5) Landscaping. Both options of the proposed Project entail landscaping within the Project site. CDFW recommends the City only use native species found in naturally occurring vegetation communities within or adjacent to the Project site. The proposed Project should not plant, seed, or otherwise introduce non-native, invasive plant species to areas that are adjacent to and/or near native habitat areas. Accordingly, CDFW recommends the City restrict use of any species, particularly 'Moderate' or 'High' listed by the [California Invasive Plant Council](#) (Cal-IPC 2023). These species are documented to have substantial and severe ecological impacts on physical processes, plant and animal communities, and vegetation structure.
- 6) Use of Rodenticides. If the Project results in enhanced landscaping, vegetation may need to be managed via chemical methods. Herbicides, pesticides, and rodenticides may impact wildlife. Second generation anticoagulant rodenticides are known to have harmful effects on the ecosystem and wildlife. [Assembly Bill 1788](#) prohibits the use of any second-generation anticoagulant rodenticides because second generation anticoagulant rodenticides have a higher toxicity and are more dangerous to nontarget wildlife (California Legislative Information 2020). CDFW recommends the EIR include a discussion as to the Project's use

Brandi Jones
City of Irwindale
March 8, 2023
Page 5 of 11

of herbicides, pesticides, and second-generation anticoagulant rodenticides to maintain the restored areas within the Project site in perpetuity. CDFW recommends the City include measures that would prohibit the use of any second-generation anticoagulant rodenticides throughout the Project.

General Comments

- 1) Biological Baseline Assessment. The EIR should provide an adequate biological resources assessment, including a complete assessment and impact analysis of the flora and fauna within and adjacent to the Project site and where the Project may result in ground disturbance. The assessment and analysis should place emphasis upon identifying endangered, threatened, sensitive, regionally, and locally unique species, and sensitive habitats. Impact analysis will aid in determining any direct, indirect, and cumulative biological impacts, as well as specific mitigation or avoidance measures necessary to offset those impacts. CDFW recommends avoiding any sensitive natural communities found on or adjacent to the Project site. CDFW also considers impacts to SSC a significant direct and cumulative adverse effect without implementing appropriate avoidance and/or mitigation measures. An environmental document should include the following information:
 - a) Information on the regional setting that is critical to an assessment of environmental impacts, with special emphasis on resources that are rare or unique to the region [CEQA Guidelines, § 15125(c)]. The EIR should include measures to fully avoid and otherwise protect Sensitive Natural Communities from Project-related impacts. CDFW considers these communities as threatened habitats having both regional and local significance. Plant communities, alliances, and associations with a state-wide ranking of S1, S2, and S3 should be considered sensitive and declining at the local and regional level. These ranks can be obtained by visiting the [Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program - Natural Communities](#) webpage (CDFW 2023b);
 - b) A thorough, recent, floristic-based assessment of special status plants and natural communities following CDFW's [Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities](#) (CDFW 2018). Adjoining habitat areas should be included where Project construction and activities could lead to direct or indirect impacts off site;
 - c) Floristic, alliance- and/or association-based mapping and vegetation impact assessments conducted at a Project site and within the neighboring vicinity. The [Manual of California Vegetation Online](#) should also be used to inform this mapping and assessment (CNPS 2023). Adjoining habitat areas should be included in this assessment the Project could lead to direct or indirect impacts off site. Habitat mapping at the alliance level will help establish baseline vegetation conditions;
 - d) A complete, recent, assessment of the biological resources associated with each habitat type on site and within adjacent areas that could also be affected by a Project. California Natural Diversity Database in Sacramento should be contacted to obtain current information on any previously reported sensitive species and habitat. An assessment should include a nine-quadrangle search of the CNDDDB to determine a list of species potentially present at a Project site. A lack of records in the CNDDDB does not mean that rare, threatened, or endangered plants and wildlife do not occur in the Project site. Field

Brandi Jones
City of Irwindale
March 8, 2023
Page 6 of 11

verification for the presence or absence of sensitive species is necessary to provide a complete biological assessment for adequate CEQA review [CEQA Guidelines, § 15003(i)];

- e) A complete, recent, assessment of rare, threatened, and endangered, and other sensitive species on site and within the area of potential effect, including California Species of Special Concern and California Fully Protected Species (Fish & G. Code, §§ 3511, 4700, 5050, and 5515). Species to be addressed should include all those which meet the CEQA definition of endangered, rare, or threatened species (CEQA Guidelines, § 15380). Seasonal variations in use of a project site should also be addressed such as wintering, roosting, nesting, and foraging habitat. Focused species-specific surveys, conducted at the appropriate time of year and time of day when the sensitive species are active or otherwise identifiable, may be required if suitable habitat is present. See CDFW's [Survey and Monitoring Protocols and Guidelines](#) for established survey protocol for select species (CDFW 2023c). Acceptable species-specific survey procedures may be developed in consultation with CDFW and the USFWS; and
 - f) A recent wildlife and rare plant survey. CDFW generally considers biological field assessments for wildlife to be valid for a one-year period, and assessments for rare plants may be considered valid for a period of up to three years. Some aspects of a proposed Project may warrant periodic updated surveys for certain sensitive taxa, particularly if build out could occur over a protracted time frame or in phases.
- 2) CESA. CDFW considers adverse impacts to a species protected by CESA to be significant without mitigation under CEQA. As to CESA, take of any endangered, threatened, candidate species, or CESA-listed plant species that results from a project is prohibited, except as authorized by State law (Fish & G. Code §§ 2080, 2085; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, §786.9). Consequently, if the Project and any Project-related activity during the life of the Project will result in take of a species designated as endangered or threatened, or a candidate for listing under CESA, CDFW recommends that the Project proponent seek appropriate take authorization under CESA prior to implementing the Project. Appropriate authorization from CDFW may include an Incidental Take Permit (ITP) or a Consistency Determination in certain circumstances, among other options [Fish & Game Code, §§ 2080.1, 2081, subds. (b) and (c)]. Early consultation is encouraged, as significant modification to the project and mitigation measures may be required to obtain an ITP. Revisions to the Fish and Game Code, effective January 1998, may require that CDFW issue a separate CEQA document for the issuance of an ITP unless the Project's CEQA document addresses all Project impacts to CESA-listed species and specifies a mitigation monitoring and reporting program that will meet the requirements of an ITP. For these reasons, biological mitigation monitoring and reporting proposals should be of sufficient detail and resolution to satisfy the requirements for an ITP. Please visit CDFW's [California Endangered Species Act \(CESA\) Permits](#) webpage for more information (CDFW 2023h).
- 3) Scientific Collecting Permit. Pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 650, qualified biologist(s) must obtain appropriate handling permits to capture, temporarily possess, and relocated wildlife to avoid harm or mortality in connection with Project-related activities. CDFW has the authority to issue permits for the take or possession of wildlife, including mammals; birds, nests, and eggs; reptiles, amphibians, fish, plants; and invertebrates (Fish & G. Code, §§ 1002, 1002.5, 1003). Effective October 1, 2018, a Scientific Collecting Permit is required to monitor project impacts on wildlife resources, as

Brandi Jones
City of Irwindale
March 8, 2023
Page 7 of 11

required by environmental documents, permits, or other legal authorizations; and, to capture, temporarily possess, and relocate wildlife to avoid harm or mortality in connection with otherwise lawful activities (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 650). Please visit CDFW's [Scientific Collection Permits](#) webpage for information (CDFW 2023e).

- 4) Translocation/Salvage of Plants and Animal Species. Translocation and transplantation is the process of removing plants and wildlife from one location and permanently moving it to a new location. CDFW generally does not support the use of translocation or transplantation as the primary mitigation strategy for unavoidable impacts to endangered, rare, or threatened plants and animals. Studies have shown that these efforts are experimental and the outcome unreliable. CDFW has found that permanent preservation and management of habitat capable of supporting these species is often a more effective long-term strategy for conserving plants and animals and their habitats.
- 5) Lake and Streambed Alteration Program. The EIR should provide a stream delineation and analysis of impacts. The delineation should be conducted pursuant to the to the USFWS wetland definition adopted by CDFW (Cowardin et al. 1979). Be advised that some wetland and riparian habitats subject to CDFW's authority may extend beyond the jurisdictional limits of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Section 404 permit and Regional Water Quality Control Board Section 401 Certification. Modifications to a river, creek, or stream in one area may result in bank erosion, channel incision, or drop in water level along that stream outside of the immediate impact area. Therefore, CDFW recommends the EIR discuss the potential impact to any stream that may be located within or surrounding the Project site.
 - a) CDFW has authority over activities in streams and/or lakes that will divert or obstruct the natural flow, or change the bed, channel, or bank (including vegetation associated with the stream or lake) of a river or stream or use material from a streambed. For any such activities, the project applicant (or "entity") must notify CDFW pursuant to Fish and Game Code Section 1600 *et seq.* CDFW's issuance of a Lake and Streambed Alteration (LSA) Agreement for a project that is subject to CEQA will require CEQA compliance actions by CDFW as a Responsible Agency. As a Responsible Agency, CDFW may consider the environmental document of the local jurisdiction (Lead Agency) for the Project. To minimize additional requirements by CDFW pursuant to section 1600 *et seq.* and/or under CEQA, the environmental document should fully identify the potential impacts to the stream or riparian resources and provide adequate avoidance, mitigation, monitoring, and reporting commitments for issuance of the LSA Agreement. Please visit CDFW's [Lake and Streambed Alteration Program](#) webpage for more information (CDFW 2023g).
 - b) As part of the LSA Notification process, CDFW requests a hydrological evaluation of the 100-year storm event to provide information on how water and sediment is conveyed through the Project site. Additionally, the hydrological evaluation should assess the 100, 50, 25, 10, 5, and 2-year frequency flood events to evaluate existing and proposed conditions and erosion/scour potential. CDFW recommends the EIR discuss the results and address avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation measures that may be necessary to reduce potential significant impacts.
- 6) Disclosure. A EIR should provide an adequate, complete, and detailed disclosure about the effect which a proposed Project is likely to have on the environment (Pub. Resources Code,

Brandi Jones
City of Irwindale
March 8, 2023
Page 8 of 11

§ 20161; CEQA Guidelines, §15151). Adequate disclosure is necessary so CDFW may provide comments on the adequacy of proposed avoidance, minimization, or mitigation measures, as well as to assess the significance of the specific impact relative to plant and wildlife species impacted (e.g., current range, distribution, population trends, and connectivity).

- 7) Mitigation Measures. Public agencies have a duty under CEQA to prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of feasible alternatives or mitigation measures [CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15002(a)(3), 15021]. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15126.4, an environmental document “shall describe feasible measures which could mitigate for impacts below a significant level under CEQA.”
 - a) Level of Detail. Mitigation measures must be feasible, effective, implemented, and fully enforceable/imposed by the Lead Agency through permit conditions, agreements, or other legally binding instruments (Pub. Resources Code, § 21081.6(b); CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4). A public agency “shall provide the measures that are fully enforceable through permit conditions, agreements, or other measures” (Pub. Resources Code, § 21081.6). CDFW recommends the City provide mitigation measures that are specific, detailed (i.e., responsible party, timing, specific actions, location), and clear in order for a measure to be fully enforceable and implemented successfully via a mitigation monitoring and/or reporting program (Pub. Resources Code, § 21081.6; CEQA Guidelines, § 15097). Adequate disclosure is necessary so CDFW may provide comments on the adequacy and feasibility of proposed mitigation measures.
 - b) Disclosure of Impacts. If a proposed mitigation measure would cause one or more significant effects, in addition to impacts caused by the Project as proposed, the EIR should include a discussion of the effects of proposed mitigation measures [CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4(a)(1)]. In that regard, the EIR should provide an adequate, complete, and detailed disclosure about the Project’s proposed mitigation measure(s). Adequate disclosure is necessary so CDFW may assess the potential impacts of proposed mitigation measures.
- 8) Data. CEQA requires that information developed in environmental impact reports be incorporated into a database which may be used to make subsequent or supplemental environmental determinations [Pub. Resources Code, § 21003, subd. (e)]. Accordingly, please report any special status species and natural communities detected by completing and submitting [CNDDDB Field Survey Forms](#) (CDFW 2023d). To submit information on special status native plant populations and sensitive natural communities, the [Combined Rapid Assessment and Relevé Form](#) should be completed and submitted to CDFW’s Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program (CDFW 2023f). The City should ensure data collected for the preparation of the EIR be properly submitted, with all data fields applicable filled out. The data entry should also list pending development as a threat and then update this occurrence after impacts have occurred.
- 9) Biological Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Impacts. CDFW recommends providing a thorough discussion of direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts expected to adversely affect biological resources, with specific measures to offset such impacts. The EIR should address the following:

Brandi Jones
City of Irwindale
March 8, 2023
Page 9 of 11

- a) A discussion regarding Project-related indirect impacts on biological resources, including resources in nearby public lands, open space, adjacent natural habitats, riparian ecosystems, and any designated and/or proposed or existing reserve lands [e.g., preserve lands associated with a Natural Community Conservation Plan (Fish & G. Code, § 2800 et. seq.)]. Impacts on, and maintenance of, wildlife corridor/movement areas, including access to undisturbed habitats in adjacent areas, should be fully evaluated in the EIR;
 - b) A discussion of both the short-term and long-term effects to species population distribution and concentration and alterations of the ecosystem supporting the species impacted [CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.2(a)];
 - c) A discussion of potential adverse impacts from lighting, noise, temporary and permanent human activity, and exotic species, and identification of any mitigation measures;
 - d) A discussion of Project-related changes on drainage patterns; the volume, velocity, and frequency of existing and post-Project surface flows; polluted runoff; soil erosion and/or sedimentation in streams and water bodies; and post-Project fate of runoff from the Project site. The discussion should also address the potential water extraction activities and the potential resulting impacts on the habitat (if any) supported by the groundwater. Mitigation measures proposed to alleviate such Project impacts should be included;
 - e) An analysis of impacts from proposed changes to land use designations and zoning, and existing land use designation and zoning located nearby or adjacent to natural areas that may inadvertently contribute to wildlife-human interactions. A discussion of possible conflicts and mitigation measures to reduce these conflicts should be included in the EIR; and
 - f) A cumulative effects analysis, as described under CEQA Guidelines section 15130. General and specific plans, as well as past, present, and anticipated future projects, should be analyzed relative to their impacts on similar plant and wildlife species, habitat, and vegetation communities. If the City determines that the Project would not have a cumulative impact, the EIR should indicate why the cumulative impact is not significant. The City's conclusion should be supported by facts and analyses [CEQA Guidelines, § 15130(a)(2)].
- 10) Compensatory Mitigation. The EIR should include mitigation measures for adverse Project-related direct or indirect impacts to sensitive plants, animals, and habitats. Mitigation measures should emphasize avoidance and reduction of Project-related impacts. For unavoidable impacts, on-site habitat restoration or enhancement should be discussed in detail. If on-site mitigation is not feasible or would not be biologically viable and therefore not adequately mitigate the loss of biological functions and values, off-site mitigation through habitat creation and/or acquisition and preservation in perpetuity should be addressed. Areas proposed as mitigation lands should be protected in perpetuity with a conservation easement, financial assurance and dedicated to a qualified entity for long-term management and monitoring. Under Government Code, section 65967, the Lead Agency must exercise due diligence in reviewing the qualifications of a governmental entity, special district, or nonprofit organization to effectively manage and steward land, water, or natural resources on mitigation lands it approves.


Brandi Jones
City of Irwindale
March 8, 2023
Page 10 of 11

11) Long-term Management of Mitigation Lands. For proposed preservation and/or restoration, an EIR should include measures to protect the targeted habitat values from direct and indirect negative impacts in perpetuity. The objective should be to offset the Project-induced qualitative and quantitative losses of wildlife habitat values. Issues that should be addressed include (but are not limited to) restrictions on access, proposed land dedications, monitoring and management programs, control of illegal dumping, water pollution, and increased human intrusion. An appropriate non-wasting endowment should be set aside to provide for long-term management of mitigation lands.

Conclusion

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the NOP for Irwindale Gateway Specific Plan to assist the City in identifying and mitigating Project impacts on biological resources. If you have any questions or comments regarding this letter, please contact Julisa Portugal, Environmental Scientist, at Julisa.Portugal@wildlife.ca.gov or (562) 330-7563.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

B6E58CFE24724F5...

Erinn Wilson-Olgin
Environmental Program Manager I
South Coast Region

ec: CDFW

Erinn Wilson-Olgin, Seal Beach – Erinn.Wilson-Olgin@wildlife.ca.gov

Victoria Tang, Seal Beach – Victoria.Tang@wildlife.ca.gov

Ruby Kwan-Davis, Seal Beach – Ruby.Kwan-Davis@wildlife.ca.gov

Felicia Silva, Seal Beach – Felicia.Silva@wildlife.ca.gov

Cindy Hailey, San Diego – Cindy.Hailey@wildlife.ca.gov

CEQA Program Coordinator, Sacramento – CEQACommentLetters@wildlife.ca.gov

OPR

State Clearinghouse, Sacramento – State.Clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov

References:

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2018. Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities. Available from:

<https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18959&inline>

[CDFWa] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2023. California Natural Diversity Database. Available from: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB>

[CDFWb] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2023. Natural Communities. Accessed at: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/VegCAMP/Natural-Communities>.

[CDFWc] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2023. Survey and Monitoring Protocols and Guidelines. Available from: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/conservation/survey-protocols>

Brandi Jones
City of Irwindale
March 8, 2023
Page 11 of 11

- [CDFWd] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2023. Submitting Data to the CNDDDB. Available from: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB/Submitting-Data>
- [CDFWe] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2023. Scientific Collecting Permits. Available from: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Licensing/Scientific-Collecting#53949678>
- [CDFWf] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2023. Combined Rapid Assessment and Releve Form. Available from: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/VegCAMP/Natural-Communities/Submit>
- [CDFWg] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2023. Lake and Streambed Alteration Program. Available from: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Environmental-Review/LSA>
- [CDFWh] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2023. California Endangered Species Act (CESA) Permits. Available from: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/CESA/Permitting>
- [CAL-IPC] California Invasive Plant Council. 2023. The Cal-IPC Inventory. Available from: <https://www.cal-ipc.org/plants/inventory/>
- [CNPS] California Native Plant Society. 2023. A Manual of California Vegetation Online. Available from: <https://vegetation.cnps.org/>
- California Legislative Information. 2020. AB-1788 Pesticides: use of second-generation anticoagulant rodenticides. Available from: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201920200AB1788
- [LADRP] Los Angeles Department of Regional Planning. 2023. Significant Ecological Areas Program. Available from: <https://planning.lacounty.gov/site/sea/>