Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: KC-46A MOB5 Beddown - March ARB

Lead Agency: Department of the Air Force

Contact Name: Austin Naranjo

Email: afcec.czn.nepacenter@us.af.mil Phone Number: 478-222-9225

Project Location: March Air Reserve Base (*City*) Riverside (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

On January 24, 2022, the DAF announced that March ARB, California, has been identified as the preferred alternative for the KC–46A MOB 5 Beddown. Grissom ARB, Indiana, and Tinker AFB, Oklahoma, were identified as the reasonable alternatives. Along with the No Action Alternative, all three bases have been equally evaluated in the Draft EIS. The KC-46A MOB 5 mission includes the beddown of 12 KC–46A aircraft at one installation. The KC–46A aircraft would recapitalize the KC–135 tanker fleet and would continue supporting the mission of providing worldwide refueling, cargo, and aeromedical evacuation support. The purpose of the MOB 5 beddown is to provide a fully capable, combat operational AFRC KC–46A air refueling squadron or squadrons to accomplish aerial refueling and related missions. The KC–46A MOB 5 beddown is needed to support the recapitalization of the DAF's aging refueling aircraft fleet. The DAF needs bases to accomplish the required training and to field a fully operational force. A DAF base for the MOB 5 mission is needed to achieve a high state of operational mission readiness. The beddown includes replacement aircraft, manpower and infrastructure. See www.kc-46a-mob5.com.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Resource areas analyzed for impacts in the Draft EIS include air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, environmental justice and other sensitive receptors, hazardous materials and wastes, infrastructure, noise, land use, safety, socioeconomics and soil and water resources. Impacts to all of the resource areas at March ARB were determined to not be significant. Permits required for the action may include revisions to or new permits associated with air quality, land disturbance, and hazardous wastes. The DAF has consulted with appropriate resource agencies and Native American tribes to determine the potential for significant impacts. The results of consultations have been incorporated into the Draft EIS.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

None.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Department of the Air Force