

APPENDIX A

INCORPORATION OF FEASIBLE MITIGATION MEASURES, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS, AND CRITERIA FROM PRIOR APPLICABLE EIRS

Public Resources Code (PRC) § 21151.2 requires that a transit priority project incorporate all feasible mitigation measures, performance standards, or criteria from prior applicable EIRs. The City has complied with PRC § 21151.2 by reviewing all of the suggested mitigation measures in Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Connect SoCal (2020–2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy [RTP/SCS]) for imposition on the project. The mitigation measures were not imposed if the project was found to be in substantial compliance with the mitigation measure as proposed or if the mitigation measures were found not to be applicable. If the project was not found to be in substantial compliance or the mitigation measure was found applicable, the City considered whether to use the mitigation measure or an equally effective City mitigation measure (including the mitigation measures developed for the SCEA prepared for the proposed project). The applicable mitigation measures, performance standards, or criteria from the aforementioned documents are discussed in the tables below and are included in applicable technical sections of the Environmental Checklist portion of the SCEA.

Table 1 SCAG Connect SoCal (2020 – 2045 RTP/SCS) Applicable Mitigation Measures

Project Level Mitigation Measure

Aesthetics

PMM AES-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to address potential aesthetic impacts to scenic vistas, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Use a palette of colors, textures, building materials that are graffiti-resistant, and/or plant materials that complement the surrounding landscape and development.
- Use contour grading to better match surrounding terrain. Contour edges of major cut-and-fill to provide a more natural looking finished profile.
- Design new corridor landscaping to respect existing natural and man-made features and to complement the dominant landscaping of the surrounding areas.
- Replace and renew landscaping along corridors with road widenings, interchange projects, and related improvements.

Applicability to the Project

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as PRC § 21099, enacted by Senate Bill 743, provides that "aesthetic and parking impacts of a residential, mixed-use residential, or employment center project on an infill site within a transit priority area shall not be considered significant impacts on the environment."

The Project Site is in an urbanized area within the City of Los Angeles. The Proposed Project is a transit-oriented residential development. The project site is located less than one-half mile from Metro Bus Lines with frequency intervals of less than 15 minutes during morning and afternoon peak times. Furthermore, the planned Metro B (Red) Line station at Hollywood Boulevard and Vine Street is approximately 0.33 mile away from the Project Site. Therefore, the proposed project is located in a transit priority area as defined in PRC § 21099. The proposed project's aesthetic impacts shall not be considered significant impacts on the environment pursuant to PRC § 21099.

- Retain or replace trees bordering highways, so that clear-cutting is not evident.
- f) Provide new corridor landscaping that respects and provides appropriate transition to existing natural and man-made features and is complementary to the dominant landscaping or native habitats of surrounding areas.
- g) Reduce the visibility of construction staging areas by fencing and screening these areas with low contrast materials consistent with the surrounding environment, and by revegetating graded slopes and exposed earth surfaces at the earliest opportunity;
- Use see-through safety barrier designs (e.g. railings rather than walls)

PMM AES-2: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to address potential aesthetic impacts that substantially degrade visual character, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Minimize contrasts in scale and massing between the projects and surrounding natural forms and development, minimize their intrusion into important viewsheds, and use contour grading to better match surrounding terrain in accordance with county and city hillside ordinances, where applicable.
- Design landscaping along highway corridors to add significant natural elements and visual interest to soften the hard-edged, linear transportation corridors.
- c) Require development of design guidelines for projects that make elements of proposed buildings/facilities visually compatible or minimize visibility of changes in visual quality or character through use of hardscape and softscape solutions. Specific measures to be addressed include setback buffers, landscaping, color, texture, signage, and lighting criteria.
- Design projects consistent with design guidelines of applicable general plans.
- e) Require that sites are kept in a blight/nuisance-free condition. Remove blight or nuisances that compromise visual character or visual quality of project areas including graffiti abatement, trash removal, landscape management, maintenance of signage and billboards in good condition, and replace compromised native vegetation and landscape.
- f) Where sound walls are proposed, require sound wall construction and design methods that account for visual impacts as follows:
 - use transparent panels to preserve views where sound walls would block views from residences;
 - use landscaped earth berm or a combination wall and berm to minimize the apparent sound wall height;

Applicability to the Project

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the proposed project as PRC § 21099, enacted by Senate Bill 743, provides that "aesthetic and parking impacts of a residential, mixed-use residential, or employment center project on an infill site within a transit priority area shall not be considered significant impacts on the environment."

The Project Site is in an urbanized area within the City of Los Angeles. The Proposed Project is a transit-oriented residential development. The project site is located less than one-half mile from Metro Bus Lines with frequency intervals of less than 15 minutes during morning and afternoon peak times. Furthermore, the planned Metro B (Red) Line station at Hollywood Boulevard and Vine Street is approximately 0.33 mile away from the Project Site. Therefore, the proposed project is located in a transit priority area as defined in PRC § 21099. The proposed project's aesthetic impacts shall not be considered significant impacts on the environment pursuant to PRC § 21099.

- construct sound walls of materials whose color and texture complements the surrounding landscape and development;
- g) Design sound walls to increase visual interest, reduce apparent height, and be visually compatible with the surrounding area; and landscape the sound walls with plants that screen the sound wall, preferably with either native vegetation or landscaping that complements the dominant landscaping of surrounding areas.

PMM AES-3: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to address potential aesthetic impacts that substantially degrade visual character, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Use lighting fixtures that are adequately shielded to a point below the light bulb and reflector and that prevent unnecessary glare onto adjacent properties.
- b) Restrict the operation of outdoor lighting for construction and operation activities to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. or as otherwise required by applicable local rules or ordinances.
- Use high pressure sodium and/or cut-off fixtures instead of typical mercury-vapor fixtures for outdoor lighting.
- d) Use unidirectional lighting to avoid light trespass onto adjacent properties.
- Design exterior lighting to confine illumination to the project site, and/or to areas which do not include lightsensitive uses.
- f) Provide structural and/or vegetative screening from light-sensitive uses.
- g) Shield and direct all new street and pedestrian lighting away from light-sensitive off-site uses.
- Use non-reflective glass or glass treated with a nonreflective coating for all exterior windows and glass used on building surfaces.
- Architectural lighting shall be directed onto the building surfaces and have low reflectivity to minimize glare and limit light onto adjacent properties.

Applicability to the Project

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as PRC § 21099, enacted by Senate Bill 743, provides that "aesthetic and parking impacts of a residential, mixed-use residential, or employment center project on an infill site within a transit priority area shall not be considered significant impacts on the environment."

The Project Site is in an urbanized area within the City of Los Angeles. The Proposed Project is a transit-oriented residential development. The project site is located less than one-half mile from Metro Bus Lines with frequency intervals of less than 15 minutes during morning and afternoon peak times Furthermore, the planned Metro B (Red) Line station at Hollywood Boulevard and Vine Street is approximately 0.33 mile away from the Project Site. Therefore, the proposed project is located in a transit priority area as defined in PRC § 21099. The proposed project's aesthetic impacts shall not be considered significant impacts on the environment pursuant to PRC § 21099.

Agriculture and Forestry

PMM AG-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to address potential adverse effects on agricultural resources, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Require project sponsors to mitigate for loss of farmland by providing permanent protection of in-kind farmland in the form of easements, fees, or elimination of development rights/potential.
- b) Project relocation or corridor realignment to avoid Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as no farmland or agricultural activity exists on or in the vicinity of the project site. See Section IV-2, Agricultural Resources, of the SCEA for further information.

Applicability to the Project

Project Level Mitigation Measure

Local or Statewide Importance.

- Maintain and expand agricultural land protections such as urban growth boundaries.
- d) Provide for mitigation fees to support a mitigation bank that invests in farmer education, agricultural infrastructure, water supply, marketing, etc. that enhance the commercial viability of retained agricultural lands.
- Minimize severance and fragmentation of agricultural land by constructing underpasses and overpasses at reasonable intervals to provide property access.
- f) Use berms, buffer zones, setbacks, and fencing to reduce conflicts between new development and farming uses and protect the functions of farmland.

PMM AG-2: Project level mitigation measures can and should be considered by Lead Agencies as applicable and feasible. Measures to reduce substantial adverse effects on Williamson Act contracts to the maximum extent practicable, as determined appropriate by each Lead Agency, may include the following, or other comparable measures:

- a) Project relocation or corridor realignment to avoid lands in Williamson Act contracts.
- b) Establish conservation easements consistent with the recommendations of the Department of Conservation, or 20-year Farmland Security Zone contracts (Government Code Section 51296 et seq.), 10-year Williamson Act contracts (Government Code Section 51200 et seq.), or use of other conservation tools available from the California Department of Conservation Division of Land Resource Protection.

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as the Project Site is not zoned for agricultural production, there is no farmland at the project site, and there are no Williamson Act Contracts in effect for the project site. See Section IV-2, Agricultural Resources, of the SCEA for further information

PMM AG-3: Project level mitigation measures can and should be considered by Lead Agencies as applicable and feasible. Measures to reduce substantial adverse effects, through the conversion of Farmland to maximum extent practicable, as determined appropriate by each Lead Agency, may include the following, or other comparable measures:

 a) Minimize construction related impacts to agricultural and forestry resources by locating materials and stationary equipment in such a way as to prevent conflict with agriculture and forestry resources. This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as the Project Site is not zoned for agricultural production and there is no farmland at the project site. See Section IV-2, Agricultural Resources, of the SCEA for further information.

PMM AG-4: Project level mitigation measures can and should be considered by Lead Agencies as applicable and feasible. Measures to reduce substantial adverse effects, through the conversion of Farmland, to the maximum extent practicable, as determined appropriate by each Lead Agency, may include the following, or other comparable measures:

- Design proposed projects to minimize, to the greatest extent feasible, the loss of the highest valued agricultural land.
- b) Redesign project features to minimize fragmenting or isolating Farmland. Where a project involves acquiring land or easements, ensure that the remaining non-project area is of a size sufficient to allow economically viable farming operations. The project proponents shall be responsible for acquiring

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as the Project Site is not zoned for agricultural production and there is no farmland at the project site. See Section IV-2, Agricultural Resources, of the SCEA for further information

¹ The California Department of Fish and Wildlife provides a definition for conservation or mitigation banks on their website (please see https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Planning/Banking).

easements, making lot line adjustments, and merging affected land parcels into units suitable for continued commercial agricultural management.

c) Reconnect utilities or infrastructure that serve agricultural uses if these are disturbed by project construction. If a project temporarily or permanently cuts off roadway access or removes utility lines, irrigation features, or other infrastructure, the project proponents shall be responsible for restoring access as necessary to ensure that economically viable farming operations are not interrupted.

PMM AG-5: Project level mitigation measures can and should be considered by Lead Agencies as applicable and feasible. Measures to reduce substantial adverse effects, through the conversion of Farmland, to the maximum extent practicable, as determined appropriate by each Lead Agency, may include the following, or other comparable measures:

Manage project operations to minimize the introduction of invasive species or weeds that may affect agricultural production on adjacent agricultural land. Where a project has the potential to introduce sensitive species or habitats or have other spill-over effects on nearby agricultural lands, the project proponents shall be responsible for acquiring easements on nearby agricultural land and/or financially compensating for indirect effects on nearby agricultural land. Easements (e.g., flowage easements) shall be required for temporary or intermittent interruption in farming activities (e.g., because of seasonal flooding or groundwater seepage). Acquisition or compensation would be required for permanent or significant loss of economically viable operations.

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as the Project Site is not zoned for agricultural production and there is no farmland at the project site. See Section IV-2, Agricultural Resources, of the SCEA for further

information.

Applicability to the Project

Air Quality

PMM AQ-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to violating air quality standards. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Minimize land disturbance.
- b) Suspend grading and earth moving when wind gusts exceed 25 miles per hour unless the soil is wet enough to prevent dust plumes.
- c) Cover trucks when hauling dirt.
- Stabilize the surface of dirt piles if not removed immediately.
- e) Limit vehicular paths on unpaved surfaces and stabilize any temporary roads.
- Minimize unnecessary vehicular and machinery activities.
- g) Sweep paved streets at least once per day where there is evidence of dirt that has been carried on to the roadway.
- Revegetate disturbed land, including vehicular paths created during construction to avoid future off-road vehicular activities.

The proposed project is subject to the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) rules and mentioned in Section IV-3, Air Quality of the SCEA. Upon compliance, the Project would satisfy the applicable requirements of this mitigation measure.

The Project's impacts to Air Quality were analyzed in Section IV-3, Air Quality, of the SCEA analysis and were found to be less than significant and the Project would not require any mitigation measures for this impact.

- On Caltrans projects, Caltrans Standard Specifications 10-Dust Control, 17-Watering, and 18-Dust Palliative shall be incorporated into project specifications.
- j) Require contractors to assemble a comprehensive inventory list (i.e., make, model, engine year, horsepower, emission rates) of all heavy-duty offroad (portable and mobile) equipment (50 horsepower and greater) that could be used an aggregate of 40 or more hours for the construction project. Prepare a plan for approval by the applicable air district demonstrating achievement of the applicable percent reduction for a CARB-approved fleet
- Ensure that all construction equipment is properly tuned and maintained.
- Minimize idling time to 5 minutes—saves fuel and reduces emissions.
- m) Provide an operational water truck on-site at all times. Use watering trucks to minimize dust; watering should be sufficient to confine dust plumes to the project work areas. Sweep paved streets at least once per day where there is evidence of dirt that has been carried on to the roadway.
- n) Utilize existing power sources (e.g., power poles) or clean fuel generators rather than temporary power generators.
- o) Develop a traffic plan to minimize community impacts as a result of traffic flow interference from construction activities. The plan may include advance public notice of routing, use of public transportation, and satellite parking areas with a shuttle service. Schedule operations affecting traffic for off-peak hours. Minimize obstruction of through-traffic lanes. Provide a flag person to guide traffic properly and ensure safety at construction sites. Project sponsors should consider developing a goal for the minimization of community impacts.
- p) As appropriate require that portable engines and portable engine-driven equipment units used at the project work site, with the exception of on-road and off-road motor vehicles, obtain CARB Portable Equipment Registration with the state or a local district permit. Arrange appropriate consultations with the CARB or the District to determine registration and permitting requirements prior to equipment operation at the site.
- q) Require projects to use Tier 4 Final equipment or better for all engines above 50 horsepower (hp). In the event that construction equipment cannot meet to Tier 4 Final engine certification, the Project representative or contractor must demonstrate through future study with written findings supported by substantial evidence that is approved by SCAG before using other technologies/strategies. Alternative applicable strategies may include, but would not be limited to, construction equipment with Tier 4 Interim or reduction in the number and/or limiting the number of construction equipment operating at the same time. All equipment must be

tuned and maintained in compliance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule and specifications. All maintenance records for each equipment and their contractor(s) should make available for inspection and remain on-site for a period of at least two years from completion of construction, unless the individual project can demonstrate that Tier 4 engines would not be required to mitigate emissions below significance thresholds. Project sponsors should also consider including ZE/ZNE technologies where appropriate and feasible.

- r) Projects located within the South Coast Air Basin should consider applying for South Coast AQMD "SOON" funds which provides funds to applicable fleets for the purchase of commercially available lowemission heavy-duty engines to achieve near-term reduction of NOx emissions from in-use off-road diesel vehicles.
- s) Projects located within AB 617 communities should review the applicable Community Emissions Reduction Plan (CERP) for additional mitigation that can be applied to individual projects.
- t) Where applicable, projects should provide information about air quality related programs to schools, including the Environmental Justice Community Partnerships (EJCP), Clean Air Ranger Education (CARE), and Why Air Quality Matters programs.
- Projects should work with local cities and counties to install adequate signage that prohibits truck idling in certain locations (e.g., near schools and sensitive receptors).
- As applicable for airport projects, the following measures should be considered:
 - Considering operational improvements to reduce taxi time and auxiliary power unit usage, where feasible. Additionally, consider single engine taxing, if feasible as allowed per Federal Aviation Administration guidelines.
 - Set goals to achieve a reduction in emissions from aircraft operations over the lifetime of the proposed project.
 - c. Require the use of ground service equipment (GSE) that can operate on battery-power. If electric equipment cannot be obtained, require the use of alternative fuel, the cleanest gasoline equipment, or Tier 4, at a minimum.
- w) As applicable for port projects, the following measures should be considered:
 - Develop specific timelines for transitioning to zero emission cargo handling equipment (CHE).
 - Develop interim performance standards with a minimum amount of CHE replacement each year to ensure adequate progress.

- Applicability to the Project ps, er
- Use short side electric power for ships, which may include tugboats and other ocean-going vessels or develop incentives to gradually ramp up the usage of shore power.
- Install the appropriate infrastructure to provide shore power to operate the ships. Electrical hookups should be appropriately sized.
- Maximize participation in the Port of Los Angeles' Vessel Speed Reduction Program or the Port of Long Beach's Green Flag Initiation Program in order to reduce the speed of vessel transiting within 40 nautical miles of Point Fermin.
- f. Encourage the participation in the Green Ship Incentives.
- g. Offer incentives to encourage the use of on-dock rail.
- x) As applicable for rail projects, the following measures should be considered:
 - a. Provide the highest incentives for electric locomotives and then locomotives that meet Tier 5 emission standards with a floor on the incentives for locomotives that meet Tier 4 emission standards.
- y) Projects that will introduce sensitive receptors within 500 feet of freeways and other sources should consider installing high efficiency of enhanced filtration units, such as Minimum Efficiency Reporting Value (MERV) 13 or better. Installation of enhanced filtration units can be verified during occupancy inspection prior to the issuance of an occupancy permit.
- z) Develop an ongoing monitoring, inspection, and maintenance program for the MERV filters.
 - a. Disclose potential health impacts to prospective sensitive receptors from living in close proximity to freeways or other sources of air pollution and the reduced effectiveness of air filtration systems when windows are open or residents are outside.
 - Identify the responsible implementing and enforcement agency to ensure that enhanced filtration units are installed onsite before a permit of occupancy is issued.
 - Disclose the potential increase in energy costs for running the HVAC system to prospective residents.
 - Provide information to residents on where MERV filters can be purchased.
 - e. Provide recommended schedule (e.g., every year or every six months) for replacing the enhanced filtration units.
 - Identify the responsible entity such as future residents themselves, Homeowner's Association, or property

Applicability to the Project

Project Level Mitigation Measure

- managers for ensuring enhanced filtration units are replaced on time.
- Identify, provide, and disclose ongoing cost-sharing strategies, if any, for replacing the enhanced filtration units.
- h. Set criteria for assessing progress in installing and replacing the enhanced filtration units; and
- Develop a process for evaluating the effectiveness of the enhanced filtration units
- aa) Consult the SCAG Environmental Justice Toolbox for potential measures to address impacts to lowincome and/or minority communities.

Biological Resources

PMM BIO-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to threatened and endangered species, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Require project design to avoid occupied habitat, potentially suitable habitat, and designated critical habitat, wherever practicable and feasible.
- b) Where avoidance is determined to be infeasible, provide conservation measures to fulfill the requirements of the applicable authorization for incidental take pursuant to Section 7 or 10(a) of the federal ESA, Section 2081 of the California ESA to support issuance of an incidental take permit, and/or as identified in local or regional plans. Conservation strategies to protect the survival and recovery of federally and state-listed endangered and local special status species may include:
 - i. Impact minimization strategies
 - ii. Contribution of in-lieu fees for in-kind conservation and mitigation efforts
 - iii. Use of in-kind mitigation bank credits
 - iv. Funding of research and recovery efforts
 - v. Habitat restoration
 - vi. Establishment of conservation easements
 - vii. Permanent dedication of in-kind habitat
- c) Design projects to avoid desert native plants protected under the California Desert Native Plants Act, salvage and relocate desert native plants, and/or pay in lieu fees to support off-site long-term conservation strategies.
- d) Temporary access roads and staging areas will not be located within areas containing sensitive plants, wildlife species or native habitat wherever feasible, so as to avoid or minimize impacts to these species.
- Develop and implement a Worker Environmental Awareness Program (environmental education) to inform project workers of their responsibilities to

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as the Project Site does not contain any critical habitat or support any species identified or designated as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The Project Site is located in an urbanized area of the City and is not identified as a vegetation zone that could serve as species' habitat. No mitigation is required for this impact. See Section IV-4, Biological Resources, of the SCEA for further information.

Applicability to the Project

avoid and minimize impacts on sensitive biological resources.

- Retain a qualified botanist to document the presence or absence of special status plants before project implementation.
- g) Appoint a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities that may occur in or adjacent to occupied sensitive species' habitat to facilitate avoidance of resources not permitted for impact.
- h) Appoint a qualified biologist to monitor implementation of mitigation measures.
- Schedule construction activities to avoid sensitive times for biological resources (e.g. steelhead spawning periods during the winter and spring, nesting bird season) and to avoid the rainy season when erosion and sediment transport is increased.
- Develop an invasive species control plan associated with project construction.
- k) If construction occurs during breeding seasons in or adjacent to suitable habitat, include appropriate sound attenuation measures required for sensitive avian species and other best management practices appropriate for potential local sensitive wildlife.
- Conduct pre-construction surveys to delineate occupied sensitive species' habitat to facilitate avoidance
- m) Where projects are determined to be within suitable habitat and may impact listed or sensitive species that have specific field survey protocols or guidelines outlined by the USFWS, CDFW, or other local agency, conduct preconstruction surveys that follow applicable protocols and guidelines and are conducted by qualified and/or certified personnel.

PMM BIO-2: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the State CEQA Guidelines, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to riparian habitats and other sensitive natural communities, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Consult with the USFWS and NMFS where such state-designated sensitive or riparian habitats provide potential or occupied habitat for federally listed rare, threatened, and endangered species afforded protection pursuant to the federal ESA.
- b) Consult with the USFS where such state-designated sensitive or riparian habitats provide potential or occupied habitat for federally listed rare, threatened, and endangered species afforded protection pursuant to the federal ESA and any additional species afforded protection by an adopted Forest Land Management Plan or Resource Management Plan for the four national forests in the six-county area: Angeles, Cleveland, Los Padres, and San Bernardino.
- c) Consult with the CDFW where such state-designated sensitive or riparian habitats provide potential or occupied habitat for state-listed rare, threatened, and

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as the Project Site does not contain any state-designated sensitive habitats, including riparian habitats that are in the jurisdiction and responsibility of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Marine Fisheries Service, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife; and other public agencies, and/or Lead Agencies. See Section IV-4, Biological Resources, of the SCEA for more information.

endangered species afforded protection pursuant to the California ESA, or Fully Protected Species afforded protection pursuant to the State Fish and Game Code.

- d) Consult with the CDFW pursuant to the provisions of Section 1600 of the State Fish and Game Code as they relate to Lakes and Streambeds.
- e) Consult with the USFWS, USFS, CDFW, and counties and cities in the SCAG region, where statedesignated sensitive or riparian habitats are occupied by birds afforded protection pursuant to the MBTA during the breeding season.
- f) Consult with the CDFW for state-designated sensitive or riparian habitats where furbearing mammals, afforded protection pursuant to the provisions of the State Fish and Game Code for fur-beaming mammals, are actively using the areas in conjunction with breeding activities.
- Require project design to avoid sensitive natural communities and riparian habitats, wherever practicable and feasible.
- h) Where avoidance is determined to be infeasible, develop sufficient conservation measures through coordination with local agencies and the regulatory agency (i.e., USFWS or CDFW) to protect sensitive natural communities and riparian habitats and develop appropriate compensatory mitigation, where required.
- Appoint a qualified wetland biologist to monitor construction activities that may occur in or adjacent to sensitive communities.
- j) Appoint a qualified wetland biologist to monitor implementation of mitigation measures.
- Schedule construction activities to avoid sensitive times for biological resources and to avoid the rainy season when erosion and sediment transport is increased.
- When construction activities require stream crossings, schedule work during dry conditions and use rubber-wheeled vehicles, when feasible. Have a qualified wetland scientist determine if potential project impacts require a Notification of Lake or Streambed Alteration to CDFW during the planning phase of projects.
- m) Consult with local agencies, jurisdictions, and landowners where such state-designated sensitive or riparian habitats are afforded protection pursuant an adopted regional conservation plan.
- n) Install fencing and/or mark sensitive habitat to be avoided during construction activities.
- o) Salvage and stockpile topsoil (the surface material from 6 to 12 inches deep) and perennial native plants, when recommended by the qualified wetland biologist, for use in restoring native vegetation to areas of temporary disturbance within the project area. Salvage of soils containing invasive species, seeds and/or rhizomes will be avoided as identified by the qualified wetland biologist.
- p) Revegetate with appropriate native vegetation

Applicability to the Project

- following the completion of construction activities, as identified by the qualified wetland biologist.
- q) Complete habitat enhancement (e.g., through removal of non-native invasive wetland species and replacement with more ecologically valuable native species).
- r) Use Best Management Practices (BMPs) at construction sites to minimize erosion and sediment transport from the area. BMPs include encouraging growth of native vegetation in disturbed areas, using straw bales or other silt-catching devices, and using settling basins to minimize soil transport.

PMM BIO-3: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to wetlands, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency.

- a) Require project design to avoid federally protected aquatic resources consistent with the provisions of Sections 404 and 401 of the CWA, wherever practicable and feasible.
- b) Where the lead agency has identified that a project, or other regionally significant project, has the potential to impact other wetlands or waters, such as those considered Waters Of the State of California under the State Wetland Definition and Procedures for Dischargers of Dredged or Fill Material to Waters of the State, not protected under Section 404 or 401 of the CWA, seek comparable coverage for these wetlands and waters in consultation with the SWRCB, applicable RWQCB, and CDFW.
- Where avoidance is determined to be infeasible, develop sufficient conservation measures to fulfill the requirements of the applicable authorization for impacts to federal and state protected aquatic resource to support issuance of a permit under Section 404 of the CWA as administered by the USACE. The use of an authorized Nationwide Permit or issuance of an individual permit requires the project applicant to demonstrate compliance with the USACE's Final Compensatory Mitigation Rule. The USACE reviews projects to ensure environmental impacts to aquatic resources are avoided or minimized as much as possible. Consistent with the administration's performance standard of "no net loss of wetlands" a USACE permit may require a project proponent to restore, establish, enhance or preserve other aquatic resources in order to replace those affected by the proposed project. This compensatory mitigation process seeks to replace the loss of existing aquatic resource functions and area. Project proponents required to complete mitigation are encouraged to use a watershed approach and watershed planning information. The new rule establishes performance standards, sets timeframes for decision making, and to the extent possible, establishes equivalent requirements and standards for the three sources of compensatory mitigation:

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as the Project Site does not contain any state or federally protected wetlands. See Section IV-4, Biological Resources, of the SCEA for more information.

Applicability to the Project

- Permittee-responsible mitigation
- Contribution of in-kind in-lieu fees
- Use of in-kind mitigation bank credits
- d) Where avoidance is determined to be infeasible and proposed projects' impacts exceed an existing Nationwide Permit (NWP) and/or California SWRCBcertified NWP, or applicable County Special Area Management Plan (SAMP), the lead agency should provide USACE and SWRCB (where applicable) an alternative analysis consistent with the Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternatives in this order of priorities:
 - Avoidance
 - Impact Minimization
 - On-site alternatives
 - Off-site alternatives
- Require review of construction drawings by a certified wetland delineator as part of each projectspecific environmental analysis to determine whether aquatic resources will be affected and, if necessary, perform formal wetland delineation.

PMM BIO-4: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to wildlife movement, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Consult with the USFS where impacts to migratory wildlife corridors may occur in an area afforded protection by an adopted Forest Land Management Plan or Resource Management Plan for the four national forests in the six-County area: Angeles, Cleveland, Los Padres, and San Bernardino.
- b) Consult with counties, cities, and other local organizations when impacts may occur to open space areas that have been designated as important for wildlife movement related to local ordinances or conservation plans.
- c) Prohibit construction activities within 500 feet of occupied breeding areas for wildlife afforded protection pursuant to Title 14 § 460 of the California Code of Regulations protecting fur-bearing mammals, during the breeding season.
- d) Conduct a survey to identify active raptor and other migratory nongame bird nests by a qualified biologist at least two weeks before the start of construction at project sites from February 1 through August 31.
- Prohibit construction activities with 300 feet of occupied nest of birds afforded protection pursuant to the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, during the breeding season.
- f) Ensure that suitable nesting sites for migratory nongame native bird species protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and/or trees with unoccupied raptor nests should only be removed prior to February 1, or following the nesting season.
- g) When feasible and practicable, proposed projects will

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as the project is located in a developed urban area and does not involve the dispersal of wildlife nor would the project result in a barrier to migration or movement. The project would also comply with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act which governs the taking, killing, possession, transportation, and importation of migratory birds, their eggs, parts, and nests. See Section IV-4, Biological Resources, of the SCEA for further information.

be designed to minimize impacts to wildlife movement and habitat connectivity and preserve existing and functional wildlife corridors.

- Conduct site-specific analyses of opportunities to preserve or improve habitat linkages with areas onand off-site
- Long linear projects with the possibility of impacting wildlife movement should analyze habitat linkages/wildlife movement corridors on a broad scale to avoid critical narrow choke points that could reduce function of recognized movement corridor.
- Require review of construction drawings and habitat connectivity mapping by a qualified biologist to determine the risk of habitat fragmentation.
- Pursue mitigation banking to preserve habitat linkages and corridors (opportunities to purchase, maintain, and/or restore offsite habitat).
- When practicable and feasible design projects to promote wildlife corridor redundancy by including multiple connections between habitat patches.
- m) Evaluate the potential for installation of overpasses, underpasses, and culverts to create wildlife crossings in cases where a roadway or other transportation project may interrupt the flow of species through their habitat. Retrofitting of existing infrastructure in project areas should also be considered for wildlife crossings for purposes of mitigation.
- n) Install wildlife fencing where appropriate to minimize the probability of wildlife injury due to direct interaction between wildlife and roads or construction
- o) Where avoidance is determined to be infeasible, design sufficient conservation measures through coordination with local agencies and the regulatory agency (i.e., USFWS or CDFW) and in accordance with the respective counties and cities general plans to establish plans to mitigate for the loss of fish and wildlife movement corridors and/or wildlife nursery sites. The consideration of conservation measures may include the following measures, in addition to the measures outlined in MM-BIO-1(b), where applicable:
 - Wildlife movement buffer zones
 - Corridor realignment
 - Appropriately spaced breaks in center barriers
 - Stream rerouting
 - Culverts
 - Creation of artificial movement corridors such as freeway under- or overpasses
 - Other comparable measures
- Where the lead agency has identified that a RTP/SCS project, or other regionally significant project, has the potential to impact other open space or nursery site areas, seek comparable coverage for these areas in consultation with the USFWS, CDFW, NMFS, or other local jurisdictions.

Applicability to the Project

Incorporate applicable and appropriate guidance (e.g. FHWA-HEP-16-059), as well as best management practices, to benefit pollinators with a focus on native plants.

PMM BIO-5: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce conflicts with local policies and ordinances protecting biological resources, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Consult with the appropriate local agency responsible for the administration of the policy or ordinance protecting biological resources.
- b) Prioritize retention of trees on-site consistent with local regulations. Provide adequate protection during the construction period for any trees that are to remain standing, as recommended by an International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) certified arborist.
- c) If specific project area trees are designated as "Protected Trees," "Landmark Trees," or "Heritage Trees," obtain approval for encroachment or removals through the appropriate entity, and develop appropriate mitigation measures at that time, to ensure that the trees are replaced. Mitigation trees shall be locally collected native species, as directed by a qualified biologist.
- d) Appoint an ISA certified arborist to monitor construction activities that may occur in areas with trees are designated as "Protected Trees," "Landmark Trees," or "Heritage Trees," to facilitate avoidance of resources not permitted for impact. Before the start of any clearing, excavation, construction or other work on the site, securely fence off every protected tree deemed to be potentially endangered by said site work. Keep such fences in place for duration of all such work. Clearly mark all trees to be removed.
- e) Establish a scheme for the removal and disposal of logs, brush, earth and other debris that will avoid injury to any protected tree. Where proposed development or other site work could encroach upon the protected perimeter of any protected tree, incorporate special measures to allow the roots to breathe and obtain water and nutrients. Minimize any excavation, cutting, filing, or compaction of the existing ground surface within the protected perimeter. Require that no change in existing ground level occur from the base of any protected tree at any time. Require that no burning or use of equipment with an open flame occur near or within the protected perimeter of any protected tree.
- f) Require that no storage or dumping of oil, gas, chemicals, or other substances that may be harmful to trees occur from the base of any protected trees, or any other location on the site from which such substances might enter the protected perimeter. Require that no heavy construction equipment or construction materials be operated or stored within a

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project. The Project is subject to the City's Tree Preservation Ordinance. However, there are currently no trees on the Project site. See Section IV-4, Biological Resources, of the SCEA for further information.

Applicability to the Project

Project Level Mitigation Measure

distance from the base of any protected trees. Require that wires, ropes, or other devices not be attached to any protected tree, except as needed for support of the tree. Require that no sign, other than a tag showing the botanical classification, be attached to any protected tree.

- Thoroughly spray the leaves of protected trees with water periodically during construction to prevent buildup of dust and other pollution that would inhibit leaf transpiration, as directed by the certified arborist.
- If any damage to a protected tree should occur during or as a result of work on the site, the appropriate local agency will be immediately notified of such damage. If, such tree cannot be preserved in a healthy state, as determined by the certified arborist, require replacement of any tree removed with another tree or trees on the same site deemed adequate by the local agency to compensate for the loss of the tree that is removed. Remove all debris created as a result of any tree removal work from the property within two weeks of debris creation, and such debris shall be properly disposed of in accordance with all applicable laws. ordinances, and regulations. Design projects to avoid conflicts with local policies and ordinances protecting biological resources
- Where avoidance is determined to be infeasible, sufficient conservation measures to fulfill the requirements of the applicable policy or ordinance shall be developed, such as to support issuance of a tree removal permit. The consideration of conservation measures may include:
 - Avoidance strategies
 - Contribution of in-lieu fees
 - Planting of replacement trees
 - Re-landscaping areas with native vegetation post-construction
 - Other comparable measures developed in consultation with local agency and certified arborist.

PMM BIO-6: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the State CEQA Guidelines, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects on HCPs and NCCPs, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Consult with the appropriate federal, state, and/or local agency responsible for the administration of HCPs or NCCPs.
- Wherever practicable and feasible, the project shall be designed to avoid lands preserved under the conditions of an HCP or NCCP.
- Where avoidance is determined to be infeasible. sufficient conservation measures to fulfill the requirements of the HCP and/or NCCP, which would include but not be limited to applicable authorization for incidental take pursuant to Section 7 or 10(a) of the federal Endangered Species Act or Section 2081 of the California ESA, shall be developed to support

This Mitigation Measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as no habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plans encompass the site and no locally designated natural communities occur on or adjacent to the project site. See Section IV-4, Biological Resources, of the

SCEA for further information.

issuance of an incidental take permit or any other permissions required for development within the HCP/NCCP boundaries. The consideration of additional conservation measures would include the measures outlined in SMM-BIO-2, where applicable.

Cultural Resources

PMM CULT-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to historical resources, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5, conduct a record search during the project planning phase at the appropriate Information Center to determine whether the project area has been previously surveyed and whether historical resources were identified.
- b) During the project planning phase, retain a qualified architectural historian, defined as an individual who meets the Secretary of the Interior's (SOI) Professional Qualification Standards (PQS) in Architectural History, to conduct historic architectural surveys if a built environment resource greater than 45 years in age may be affected by the project or if recommended by the Information Center.
- c) Comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) including, but not limited to, projects for which federal funding or approval is required for the individual project. This law requires federal agencies to evaluate the impact of their actions on resources included in or eligible for listing in the National Register. Federal agencies must coordinate with the State Historic Preservation Officer in evaluating impacts and developing mitigation. These mitigation measures may include, but are not limited to the following:
 - Employ design measures to avoid historical resources and undertake adaptive reuse where appropriate and feasible. If resources are to be preserved, as feasible, carry out the maintenance, repair, stabilization, rehabilitation, restoration, preservation, conservation or reconstruction in a manner consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Guidelines for Preserving, Rehabilitating, Restoring, and Reconstructing Historic Buildings. If resources would be impacted, impacts should be minimized to the extent feasible.
 - Where feasible, noise buffers/walls and/or visual buffers/landscaping should be constructed to preserve the contextual setting of significant built resources.
- If a project requires the relocation, rehabilitation, or alteration of an eligible historical resource, the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties should be used to

Historical Resources

The findings of the Historical Resources Evaluation Report (HRER) indicate that impacts on historical resources are potentially significant and mitigation measures are required.

Applicability to the Project

However, with the implementation of mitigation measures **MM CUL-1** through **MM CUL-4** adverse impacts to the historical resource would be reduced to less than significant, pursuant to PRC Code § 21081.6.

See Section IV-5, Cultural Resources, of the SCEA for further information

Archaeological Resources

The NAHC search of the Sacred Lands File returned a positive result. The condition of the parcel and specifically the presence or absence of archaeological materials prior to the initial development and subsequent redevelopment on the property is unknown.

As such, archaeological monitoring of initial ground disturbance related to the Project, up to approximately 6 feet in depth or when a qualified archaeologist has recommended that the possibility of encountering archaeological material has been exhausted, is recommended as a mitigation measure. Mitigation Measures MM-CUL-5 and MM-CUL-6 would reduce impacts to a less than significant measure and would satisfy this project level mitigation measure from SCAG.

Applicability to the Project

the maximum extent possible to ensure the historical significance of the resource is not impaired. The application of the standards should be overseen by an architectural historian or historic architect meeting the SOI PQS. Prior to any construction activities that may affect the historical resource, a report, meeting industry standards, should identify and specify the treatment of character-defining features and construction activities and be provided to the Lead Agency for review and approval.

- If a project would result in the demolition or significant alteration of a historical resource eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR), or local register, recordation should take the form of Historic American Buildings Survey (HABS), Historic American Engineering Record (HAER), or Historic American Landscape Survey (HALS) documentation, and should be performed by an architectural historian or historian who meets the SOI PQS. Recordation should meet the SOI Standards and Guidelines for Architectural and Engineering, which defines the products acceptable for inclusion in the HABS/HAER/HALS collection at the Library of Congress. The specific scope and details of documentation should be developed at the project level in coordination with the Lead Agency.
- f) During the project planning phase, obtain a qualified archaeologist, defined as one who meets the SOI PQS for archaeology, to conduct a record search at the appropriate Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) to determine whether the project area has been previously surveyed and whether resources were identified.
- g) Contact the NAHC to request a Sacred Lands File search and a list of applicable Native American contacts who may have additional information.
- During the project planning phase, obtain a qualified archaeologist or architectural historian (depending on applicability) to conduct archaeological and/or historic architectural surveys as recommended by the qualified professional, the Lead Agency, or the Information Center. In the event the qualified professional or Information Center will make a recommendation on whether a survey is warranted based on the sensitivity of the project area for archaeological resources. Survey shall be conducted where the records indicate that no previous survey has been conducted, or if survey has not been conducted within the past 10 years. If tribal resources are identified during tribal outreach, consultation, or the record search, a Native American representative traditionally affiliated with the project area, as identified by the NAHC, shall be given the opportunity to provide a representative or monitor to assist with archaeological surveys.
- i) If potentially significant archaeological resources are identified through survey, and impacts to these resources cannot be avoided, a Phase II Testing and Evaluation investigation should be performed by

Applicability to the Project

a qualified archaeologist prior to any constructionrelated ground-disturbing activities to determine significance. If resources determined significant or unique through Phase II testing, and avoidance is not possible, appropriate resource-specific mitigation measures should be established by the lead agency, in consultation with consulting tribes, where appropriate, and undertaken by qualified personnel. These might include a Phase III data recovery program implemented by a qualified archaeologist and performed in accordance with the OHP's Archaeological Resource Management Reports (ARMR): Recommended Contents and Format and Guidelines for Archaeological Research Designs. Additional options can include 1) interpretative signage, or 2) educational outreach that helps inform the public of the past activities that occurred in this area. Should the project require extended Phase I testing, Phase II evaluation, or Phase III data recovery, a Native American representative traditionally affiliated with the project area, as indicated by the NAHC, shall be given the opportunity to provide a representative or monitor to assist with the archaeological assessments. The long-term disposition of archaeological materials collected from a significant resource should be determined in consultation with the affiliated tribe(s), where applicable; this could include curation with a recognized scientific or educational repository, transfer to the tribe, or respectful reinternment in an area designated by the tribe.

- In cases where the project area is developed and no natural ground surface is exposed, sensitivity for subsurface resources should be assessed based on review of literature, geology, site development history, and consultation with tribal parties. If this archaeological desktop assessment indicates that the project is located in an area sensitive for archaeological resources, as determined by the Lead Agency in consultation with a qualified archaeologist, the project should retain an archaeological monitor and, in the case of sensitivity for tribal resources, a tribal monitor, to observe ground disturbing operations, including but not limited to grading, excavation, trenching, or removal of existing features of the subject property. The archaeological monitor should be supervised by an archaeologist meeting the SOI PQS
- k) Conduct construction activities and excavation to avoid cultural resources (if identified). If avoidance is not feasible, further work may be needed to determine the importance of a resource. Retain a qualified archaeologist, and/or as appropriate, a qualified architectural historian who should make recommendations regarding the work necessary to assess significance. If the cultural resource is determined to be significant under state or federal guidelines, impacts to the cultural resource will need to be mitigated.
- Stop construction activities and excavation in the area where cultural resources are found until a qualified archaeologist can determine whether these

resources are significant, and tribal consultation can be conducted, in the case of tribal resources. If the archaeologist determines that the discovery is significant, its long-term disposition should be determined in consultation with the affiliated tribe(s); this could include curation with a recognized scientific or educational repository, transfer to the tribe, or respectful reinternment in an area designated by the tribe.

PMM CULT-2: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the State CEQA Guidelines, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to human remains, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) In the event of discovery or recognition of any human remains during construction or excavation activities associated with the project, in any location other than a dedicated cemetery, cease further excavation or disturbance of the site or any nearby area reasonably suspected to overlie adjacent human remains until the coroner of the county in which the remains are discovered has been informed and has determined that no investigation of the cause of death is required.
- If any discovered remains are of Native American origin, as determined by the county Coroner, an experienced osteologist, or another qualified professional:
 - Contact the County Coroner to contact the NAHC to designate a Native American Most Likely Descendant (MLD). The MLD should make a recommendation to the landowner or the person responsible for the excavation work, for means of treating or disposing of, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and any associated grave goods. This may include obtaining a qualified archaeologist or team of archaeologists to properly excavate the human remains. In some cases, it is necessary for the Lead Agency, qualified archaeologist, or developer to also reach out to the NAHC to coordinate and ensure notification in the event the Coroner is not available
 - If the NAHC is unable to identify a MLD, or the MLD fails to make a recommendation within 48 hours after being notified by the commission, or the landowner or his representative rejects the recommendation of the MLD and the mediation by the NAHC fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner, obtain a culturally affiliated Native American monitor, and an archaeologist, if recommended by the Native American monitor, and rebury the Native American human remains and any associated grave goods, with appropriate dignity, on the

There are no known human remains on the site. The project site is not part of a formal cemetery and is not known to have been used for disposal of historic or prehistoric human remains. Thus, human remains are not expected to be encountered during construction of the proposed project. In the unlikely event that human remains are encountered during project construction, State Health and Safety Code § 7050.5 requires the project to halt until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to the origin and disposition of the remains pursuant to PRC § 5097.98. Compliance with these regulations would ensure the proposed project would satisfy

Applicability to the Project

applicable requirements of this mitigation measure.

Applicability to the Project

property and in a location that is not subject to further subsurface disturbance.

Geology and Soils

PMM-GEO-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to historical resources, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Consistent with the CBC and local regulatory agencies with oversight of development associated with the Plan, ensure that site-specific geotechnical investigations conducted by a qualified geotechnical expert are conducted to ascertain soil types prior to preparation of project designs. These investigations can and should identify areas of potential failure and recommend remedial geotechnical measures to eliminate any problems.
- Consistent with the requirements of the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) for projects over one acre in size, obtain coverage under the General Construction Activity Storm Water Permit (General Construction Permit) issued by the SWRCB and prepare a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) and submit the plan for review and approval by the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). At a minimum, the SWPPP should include a description of construction materials, practices, and equipment storage and maintenance; a list of pollutants likely to contact stormwater; site-specific erosion and sedimentation control practices; a list of provisions to eliminate or reduce discharge of materials to stormwater; best management practices (BMPs); and an inspection and monitoring program.
- c) Consistent with the requirements of the SWRCB and local regulatory agencies with oversight of development associated with the Plan, ensure that project designs provide adequate slope drainage and appropriate landscaping to minimize the occurrence of slope instability and erosion. Design features should include measures to reduce erosion caused by storm water. Road cuts should be designed to maximize the potential for revegetation.
- d) Consistent with the CBC and local regulatory agencies with oversight of development associated with the Plan, ensure that, prior to preparing project designs, new and abandoned wells are identified within construction areas to ensure the stability of nearby soils.

As analyzed and concluded in Section IV-7, Geology and Soils, of the SCEA, the project does not have the potential for significant effects related to the exposure of people and infrastructure to the effects of earthquakes, seismic related ground-failure, liquefaction, and seismically induced landslides. Further, the Proposed Project already complies to this Mitigation Measure as it is subject to the building construction protocols for reducing seismic hazards as provided in the Los Angeles Municipal Code and applicable regulations. Compliance would help avoid or reduce the potentially significant effects on the potential for projects to result in the exposure of people and infrastructure to the effects of earthquakes, seismic related ground-failure, liquefaction, and seismically induced landslides, that are in the jurisdiction and responsibility of public agencies, regulatory agencies, and/or Lead Agencies. The Proposed Project would also comply with all seismic standards provided in the California Building Code as approved as approved by the Department of Building and Safety.

PMM GEO-2: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the State CEQA Guidelines, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to paleontological resources. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the **Lead** Agency:

 Ensure compliance with the Paleontological Resources Preservation Act, the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, the Antiquities Act, Section The Proposed Project is not known to contain paleontological resources. The Natural History Museum conducted a search of their paleontology collection records for the locality and specimen data for the Project Site and does not have any vertebrate fossil localities that lie directly within the project area boundaries, but do have localities nearby from the same sedimentary deposits that occur in the area.

However, construction of the proposed project will include will include a basement area containing a 50,000-gallon fire water storage tank, a fire pump room, and two elevator pits that

5097.5 of the Public Resources Code (PRC), adopted county and city general plans, and other federal, state and local regulations, as applicable and feasible, by adhering to and incorporating the performance standards and practices from the 2010 Society for Vertebrate Paleontology (SVP) standard procedures for the assessment and mitigation of adverse impacts to paleontological resources.

- b) Obtain review by a qualified paleontologist (e.g. who meets the SVP standards for a Principal Investigator or Project Paleontologist or the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) standards for a Principal Investigator), to determine if the project has the potential to require ground disturbance of parent material with potential to contain unique paleontological or resources, or to require the substantial alteration of a unique geologic feature. The assessment should include museum records searches, a review of geologic mapping and the scientific literature, geotechnical studies (if available), and potentially a pedestrian survey, if units with paleontological potential are present at the surface.
- Avoid exposure or displacement of parent material with potential to yield unique paleontological resources
- d) Where avoidance of parent material with the potential to yield unique paleontological resources is not feasible:

Applicability to the Project

would involve grading and excavation to greater depths than previously undertaken. Project-related grading and excavation activities could disturb unknown paleontological resources buried in site soils. In the event of an unexpected disturbance, significant impacts to paleontological resources could occur.

Mitigation Measure **MM-GEO-1** would reduce potential impacts in the event that paleontological resources are unearthed during ground-disturbing activities. Compliance with the measure would require that the City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety will be notified immediately, and all work will cease in the area of the find until a qualified paleontologist evaluates the find and that any found deposits would be treated in accordance with federal, State, and local guidelines, including those set forth in California PRC § 21083.2. See Section IV-7, Geology and Soils, of the SCEA for further information.

PMM-GHG-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to greenhouse gas emissions, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Integrate green building measures consistent with CALGreen (California Building Code Title 24), local building codes and other applicable laws, into project design including:
 - Use energy efficient materials in building design, construction, rehabilitation, and retrofit.
 - ii. Install energy-efficient lighting, heating, and cooling systems (cogeneration); water heaters; appliances; equipment; and control systems.
 - iii. Reduce lighting, heating, and cooling needs by taking advantage of light-colored roofs, trees for shade, and sunlight.
 - Incorporate passive environmental control systems that account for the characteristics of the natural environment.
 - v. Use high-efficiency lighting and cooking devices.
 - vi. Incorporate passive solar design.
 - vii. Use high-reflectivity building materials and multiple glazing.
 - viii. Prohibit gas-powered landscape maintenance equipment.

Impacts regarding the generation of greenhouse gas emissions were analyzed in Section IV-8, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, in the SCEA. The Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Report provides a detailed analysis demonstrating the proposed project's consistency with CARB's 2017 Scoping Plan and SCAG's Connect SoCal Plan. The project would have a less than significant GHG impact and therefore mitigation is not required.

- **Applicability to the Project**
- ix. Install electric vehicle charging stations.
- x. Reduce wood burning stoves or fireplaces.
- xi. Provide bike lanes accessibility and parking at residential developments.
- Reduce emissions resulting from projects through implementation of project features, project design, or other measures, such as those described in Appendix F of the State CEQA Guidelines.
- Include off-site measures to mitigate a project's emissions.
- d) Measures that consider incorporation of Best Available Control Technology (BACT) during design, construction and operation of projects to minimize GHG emissions, including but not limited to:
 - i. Use energy and fuel-efficient vehicles and equipment;
 - Deployment of zero- and/or near zero emission technologies;
 - iii. Use lighting systems that are energy efficient, such as LED technology;
 - iv. Use the minimum feasible amount of GHG-emitting construction materials;
 - Use cement blended with the maximum feasible amount of flash or other materials that reduce GHG emissions from cement production;
 - vi. Incorporate design measures to reduce GHG emissions from solid waste management through encouraging solid waste recycling and reuse;
 - Incorporate design measures to reduce energy consumption and increase use of renewable energy;
 - viii. Incorporate design measures to reduce water consumption;
 - ix. Use lighter-colored pavement where feasible;
 - Recycle construction debris to maximum extent feasible;
 - xi. Plant shade trees in or near construction projects where feasible; and
 - xii. Solicit bids that include concepts listed above
- Measures that encourage transit use, carpooling, bike-share and car-share programs, active transportation, and parking strategies, including, but not limited to the following:
 - i. Promote transit-active transportation coordinated strategies;
 - ii. Increase bicycle carrying capacity on transit and rail vehicles:
 - iii. Improve or increase access to transit;
 - iv. Increase access to common goods and services, such as groceries, schools, and day care;

Applicability to the Project

Project Level Mitigation Measure

- v. Incorporate affordable housing into the project;
- vi. Incorporate the neighborhood electric vehicle network;
- vii. Orient the project toward transit, bicycle and pedestrian facilities;
- viii. Improve pedestrian or bicycle networks, or transit service:
- ix. Provide traffic calming measures;
- x. Provide bicycle parking;
- xi. Limit or eliminate park supply;
- xii. Unbundle parking costs;
- xiii. Provide parking cash-out programs;
- xiv. Implement or provide access to commute reduction program;
- f) Incorporate bicycle and pedestrian facilities into project designs, maintaining these facilities, and providing amenities incentivizing their use; and planning for and building local bicycle projects that connect with the regional network;
- g) Improving transit access to rail and bus routes by incentives for construction of transit facilities within developments, and/or providing dedicated shuttle service to transit stations; and
- Adopting employer trip reduction measures to reduce employee trips such as vanpool and carpool programs, providing end-of-trip facilities, and telecommuting programs including but not limited to measures that:
 - i. Provide car-sharing, bike sharing, and ride-sharing programs;
 - ii. Provide transit passes;
 - Shift single occupancy vehicle trips to carpooling or vanpooling, for example providing ride-matching services;
 - Provide incentives or subsidies that increase that use of modes other than single-occupancy vehicle;
 - Provide on-site amenities at places of work, such as priority parking for carpools and vanpools, secure bike parking, and showers and locker rooms;
 - vi. Provide employee transportation coordinators at employment sites;
 - vii. Provide a guaranteed ride home service to users of non-auto modes.
- Designate a percentage of parking spaces for ridesharing vehicles or high-occupancy vehicles, and provide adequate passenger loading and unloading for those vehicles;
- j) Land use siting and design measures that reduce GHG emissions, including:
 - i. Developing on infill and brownfields sites;
 - ii. Building compact and mixed-use developments near transit;

Applicability to the Project

Project Level Mitigation Measure

- Retaining on-site mature trees and vegetation, and planting new canopy trees;
- Measures that increase vehicle efficiency, encourage use of zero and low emissions vehicles, or reduce the carbon content of fuels, including constructing or encouraging construction of electric vehicle charging stations or neighborhood electric vehicle networks, or charging for electric bicycles; and
- Measures to reduce GHG emissions from solid waste management through encouraging solid waste recycling and
- Consult the SCAG Environmental Justice Toolbox for potential measures to address impacts to lowincome and/or minority communities. The measures provided above are also intended to be applied in low income and minority communities as applicable and feasible.

Hazards and Hazardous Materials

PMM HAZ-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the State CEQA Guidelines, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Where the construction or operation of projects involves the transport of hazardous material, provide a written plan of proposed routes of travel demonstrating use of roadways designated for the transport of such materials.
- Specify Project requirements for interim storage and disposal of hazardous materials during construction and operation. Storage and disposal strategies must be consistent with applicable federal, state, and local statutes and regulations. Specify the appropriate procedures for interim storage and disposal of hazardous materials, anticipated to be required in support of operations and maintenance activities, in conformance with applicable federal, state, and local statutes and regulations, in the business plan for projects as applicable and appropriate.
- Submit a Hazardous Materials Business/Operations Plan for review and approval by the appropriate local agency. Once approved, keep the plan on file with the Lead Agency (or other appropriate government agency) and update, as applicable. The purpose of the Hazardous Materials Business/Operations Plan is to ensure that employees are adequately trained to handle the materials and provides information to the local fire protection agency should emergency response be required. The Hazardous Materials Business/Operations Plan should include the following:

This mitigation measure is not applicable. The Project does not required for normal maintenance of the structure and

involve the use or storage of hazardous substances other than the small amounts of pesticides, fertilizers and cleaning agents landscaping. Impacts were found to be less than significant as analyzed in Section IV-9, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, of the SCEA and mitigation is not required.

Applicability to the Project

- The types of hazardous materials or chemicals stored and/or used on-site, such as petroleum fuel products, lubricants, solvents, and cleaning fluids.
- The location of such hazardous materials.
- An emergency response plan including employee training information.
- A plan that describes the way these materials are handled, transported and disposed.
- Follow manufacturer's recommendations on use, storage, and disposal of chemical products used in construction.
- e) Avoid overtopping construction equipment fuel gas tanks.
- Properly contain and remove grease and oils during routine maintenance of construction equipment.
- Properly dispose of discarded containers of fuels and other chemicals.
- Prior to shipment remove the most volatile elements, including flammable natural gas liquids, as feasible.
- i) Identify and implement more stringent tank car safety standards.
- j) Improve rail transportation route analysis, and modification of routes based on that analysis.
- Use the best available inspection equipment and protocols and implement positive train control.
- Reduce train car speeds to 40 miles per hour when passing through urbanized areas of any size.
- m) Limit storage of crude oil tank cars in urbanized areas of any size and provide appropriate security in storage yards for all shipments.
- Notify in advance county and city emergency operations offices of all crude oil shipments, including a contact number that can provide realtime information in the event of an oil train derailment or accident.
- Report quarterly hazardous commodity flow information, including classification and characterization of materials being transported, to all first response agencies (49 Code Fed. Regs. 15.5) along the mainline rail routes used by trains carrying crude oil identified.
- Fund training and outfitting emergency response crews that includes the cost of backfilling personnel while in training.
- q) Undertake annual emergency responses scenario/field based training including Emergency Operations Center Training activations with local emergency response agencies.

PMM HAZ-2: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce hazards related to the reasonably foreseeable upsets and accidents involving the release of hazardous materials, as applicable and feasible.

This mitigation measure is not applicable. The Proposed Project does not involve hazardous materials. There is no significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions. This mitigation measure is therefore not applicable to the Project.

Applicability to the Project

Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Removal of the most volatile elements, including flammable natural gas liquids, prior to shipment;
- b) More stringent tank car safety standards:
- Improved rail transportation route analysis, and modification of routes based on that analysis;
- d) Utilization of the best available inspection equipment and protocols, and implementation of positive train control:
- e) Reduced train car speeds to 40 miles per hour when passing through urbanized areas of any size;
- f) Limitations on storage of hazardous materials tank cars in urbanized areas of any size and provide appropriate security in storage yards for all shipments;
- g) Advance notification to county and city emergency operations offices of all crude oil and hazardous materials shipments, including a contact number that can provide real-time information in the event of an oil train derailment or accident;
- h) Quarterly hazardous commodity flow information, including classification and characterization of materials being transported, to all first response agencies (49 Code Fed. Regs. 15.5) along the mainline rail routes used by trains carrying hazardous materials.

PMM HAZ-3: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to the release of hazardous materials within one-quarter mile of schools, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Where the construction and operation of projects involves the transport of hazardous materials, avoid transport of such materials within one-quarter mile of schools, when school is in session, wherever feasible.
- Where it is not feasible to avoid transport of hazardous materials, within one-quarter mile of schools on local streets, provide notifications of the anticipated schedule of transport of such materials.

The closest schools are the Selma Street Elementary School and Hollywood High School, which are approximately 1,584 feet (0.3 miles) and 2,820 feet (0.53 miles) away, respectively. Thus, there are no schools within 0.25 miles of the Project site. Further, the project does not involve hazardous emissions or the handling of hazardous materials, substance, or waste. Therefore, the proposed project would have no hazardous material related impacts to schools. This mitigation measure is not applicable to the project.

PMM HAZ-4: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to projects that are located on a site which is included on the Cortese List, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

a) For any listed sites or sites that have the potential for residual hazardous materials as a result of historic land uses, complete a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, including a review and consideration of data from all known databases of contaminated sites, during the process of planning, environmental clearance, and construction for projects. The site is not known or anticipated to have been contaminated with hazardous materials and no hazardous material storage facilities are known to exist onsite. This mitigation measure is not applicable.

- b) Where warranted due to the known presence of contaminated materials, submit to the appropriate agency responsible for hazardous materials/wastes oversight a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment report if warranted by a Phase I report for the project site. The reports should make recommendations for remedial action, if appropriate, and be signed by a Registered Environmental Assessor, Professional Geologist, or Professional Engineer.
- c) Implement the recommendations provided in the Phase II Environmental Site Assessment report, where such a report was determined to be necessary for the construction or operation of the project, for remedial action.
- d) Submit a copy of all applicable documentation required by local, state, and federal environmental regulatory agencies, including but not limited to: permit applications, Phase I and II Environmental Site Assessments, human health and ecological risk assessments, remedial action plans, risk management plans, soil management plans, and groundwater management plans.
- e) Conduct soil sampling and chemical analyses of samples, consistent with the protocols established by the U.S. EPA to determine the extent of potential contamination beneath all underground storage tanks (USTs), elevator shafts, clarifiers, and subsurface hydraulic lifts when on-site demolition or construction activities would potentially affect a particular development or building.
- f) Consult with the appropriate local, state, and federal environmental regulatory agencies to ensure sufficient minimization of risk to human health and environmental resources, both during and after construction, posed by soil contamination, groundwater contamination, or other surface hazards including, but not limited to, underground storage tanks, fuel distribution lines, waste pits and sumps.
- g) Obtain and submit written evidence of approval for any remedial action if required by a local, state, or federal environmental regulatory agency.
- h) Cease work if soil, groundwater, or other environmental medium with suspected contamination is encountered unexpectedly during construction activities (e.g., identified by odor or visual staining, or if any underground storage tanks, abandoned drums, or other hazardous materials or wastes are encountered), in the vicinity of the suspect material. Secure the area as necessary and take all appropriate measures to protect human health and the environment, including but not limited to, notification of regulatory agencies and identification of the nature and extent of contamination. Stop work in the areas affected until the measures have been implemented consistent with the guidance of the appropriate regulatory oversight authority.
- Soil generated by construction activities should be stockpiled on-site in a secure and safe manner. All contaminated soils determined to be hazardous or non-hazardous waste must be adequately profiled (sampled) prior to acceptable reuse or disposal at an

appropriate off-site facility. Complete sampling and handling and transport procedures for reuse or disposal, in accordance with applicable local, state and federal laws and policies.

- j) Groundwater pumped from the subsurface should be contained on-site in a secure and safe manner, prior to treatment and disposal, to ensure environmental and health issues are resolved pursuant to applicable laws and policies. Utilize engineering controls, which include impermeable barriers to prohibit groundwater and vapor intrusion into the building.
- k) As needed and appropriate, prior to issuance of any demolition, grading, or building permit, submit for review and approval by the Lead Agency (or other appropriate government agency) written verification that the appropriate federal, state and/or local oversight authorities, including but not limited to the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), have granted all required clearances and confirmed that the all applicable standards, regulations, and conditions have been met for previous contamination at the site.
- Develop, train, and implement appropriate worker awareness and protective measures to assure that worker and public exposure is minimized to an acceptable level and to prevent any further environmental contamination as a result of construction.
- m) If asbestos-containing materials (ACM) are found to be present in building materials to be removed, submit specifications signed by a certified asbestos consultant for the removal, encapsulation, or enclosure of the identified ACM in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not necessarily limited to: California Code of Regulations, Title 8; Business and Professions Code; Division 3; California Health and Safety Code Section 25915-25919.7; and other local regulations.
- n) Where projects include the demolitions or modification of buildings constructed prior to 1978, complete an assessment for the potential presence or lack thereof of ACM, lead based paint, and any other building materials or stored materials classified as hazardous waste by state or federal law.
- Where the remediation of lead-based paint has been determined to be required, provide specifications to the appropriate agency, signed by a certified Lead Supervisor, Project Monitor, or Project Designer for the stabilization and/or removal of the identified lead paint in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not necessarily limited to: California Occupational Safety and Health Administration's (Cal OSHA's) Construction Lead Standard, Title 8 California Code of Regulations (CCR) Section 1532.1 and Department of Health Services (DHS) Regulation 17 CCR Sections 35001-36100, as may be amended. If other materials classified as hazardous waste by state or federal law are present, the project sponsor should submit written confirmation to the appropriate local agency that all state and federal laws and

regulations should be followed when profiling, handling, treating, transporting, and/or disposing of such materials

PMM HAZ-5: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects which may impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Continue to coordinate locally and regionally based on ongoing review and integration of projected transportation and circulation conditions.
- Develop new methods of conveying projected and real time information to citizens using emerging electronic communication tools including social media and cellular networks;
- Continue to evaluate lifeline routes for movement of emergency supplies and evacuation.

Demolition, construction and operation of the Proposed Project is not anticipated to significantly impair implementation of, or

is not anticipated to significantly impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, any adopted or on-site emergency response or evacuation plans or a local, state, or federal agency's emergency evacuation plan, and the Proposed Project would have a less than significant impact with respect to these issues. This mitigation measure is not applicable.

Applicability to the Project

Hydrology and Water Quality

PMM HYD-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects from violation of any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or groundwater quality, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Complete, and have approved, a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) prior to initiation of construction.
- b) Implement Best Management Practices to reduce the peak stormwater runoff from the project site to the maximum extent practicable.
- c) Comply with the Caltrans storm water discharge permit as applicable; and identify and implement Best Management Practices to manage site erosion, wash water runoff, and spill control.
- d) Complete, and have approved, a Standard Urban Stormwater Management Plan, prior to occupancy of residential or commercial structures.
- Ensure adequate capacity of the surrounding stormwater system to support stormwater runoff from new or rehabilitated structures or buildings.
- f) Prior to construction within an area subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, obtain all required permit approvals and certifications for construction within the vicinity of a watercourse:
- g) Where feasible, restore or expand riparian areas such that there is no net loss of impervious surface as a result of the project.
- Install structural water quality control features, such as drainage channels, detention basins, oil and grease traps, filter systems, and vegetated buffers to prevent pollution of adjacent water resources by

Full compliance with the LID Plan, SUSMP, and implementation of design-related best management practices would ensure that the operation of the Proposed Project would not violate any water quality standards and discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade water quality. The Proposed Project does not include any point-source discharge (discharge of polluted water from a single point such as a sewage-outflow pipe). Therefore, the Project would result in a less than significant impact to water quality and waste discharge during its construction and operation. No mitigation is required and this mitigation measure is not applicable. See Section IV-10, Hydrology and Water Quality, of the SCEA for further information.

Applicability to the Project

Project Level Mitigation Measure

polluted runoff where required by applicable urban storm water runoff discharge permits, on new

- Provide operational best management practices for street cleaning, litter control, and catch basin cleaning are implemented to prevent water quality degradation in compliance with applicable storm water runoff discharge permits; and ensure treatment controls are in place as early as possible, such as during the acquisition process for rights-of-way, not just later during the facilities design and construction phase.
- Comply with applicable municipal separate storm sewer system discharge permits as well as Caltrans' storm water discharge permit including long-term sediment control and drainage of roadway runoff.
- Incorporate as appropriate treatment and control features such as detention basins, infiltration strips, and porous paving, other features to control surface runoff and facilitate groundwater recharge into the design of new transportation projects early on in the process to ensure that adequate acreage and elevation contours are provided during the right-ofway acquisition process.
- Upgrade stormwater drainage facilities to accommodate any increased runoff volumes. These upgrades may include the construction of detention basins or structures that will delay peak flows and reduce flow velocities, including expansion and restoration of wetlands and riparian buffer areas. System designs shall be completed to eliminate increases in peak flow rates from current levels.
- m) Encourage Low Impact Development (LID) and incorporation of natural spaces that reduce, treat, infiltrate and manage stormwater runoff flows in all new developments, where practical and feasible.

PMM HYD-2: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the State CEQA Guidelines, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects from violation of any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or groundwater quality, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Avoid designs that require continual dewatering where feasible.
 - For projects requiring continual dewatering facilities. implement monitoring systems and long-term administrative procedures to ensure proper water management that prevents degrading of surface water and minimizes adverse impacts on groundwater for the life of the project. Construction designs shall comply with appropriate building codes and standard practices including the Uniform Building Code.
- Maximize, where practical and feasible, permeable surface area in existing urbanized areas to protect water quality, reduce flooding, allow for groundwater recharge, and preserve wildlife habitat. Minimize

of the Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges of Groundwater from Construction and Project Dewatering to Surface Waters in Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles and Ventura Counties (Order No. R4- 2008-0032, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System No. CAG994004) or subsequent permit. Impacts would be less than significant. See Section IV-10, Hydrology and Water Quality, of the SCEA for further information.

The Project would be required to comply with the requirements

Applicability to the Project

- new impervious surfaces, including the use of in-lieu fees and off-site mitigation.
- Avoid construction and siting on groundwater recharge areas, to prevent conversion of those areas to impervious surface.
- Reduce hardscape to the extent feasible to facilitate groundwater recharge as appropriate.

PMM HYD-4: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures capable of avoiding or reducing the potential impacts of locating structures that would impede or redirect flood flows, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

a) Ensure that all roadbeds for new highway and rail facilities be elevated at least one foot above the 100year base flood elevation. Since alluvial fan flooding is not often identified on FEMA flood maps, the risk of alluvial fan flooding should be evaluated and projects should be sited to avoid alluvial fan flooding. Delineation of floodplains and alluvial fan boundaries should attempt to account for future hydrologic changes caused by global climate change. The Project would be required to prepare a SWPPP and implement BMPs to reduce runoff and preserve water quality during construction the Proposed Project. Further, the project would be required to implement an LID Plan (during the project's operation), which would reduce the amount of surface water runoff leaving the Project Site after a storm event. This mitigation measure is not applicable.

Land Use and Planning

PMM LU-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects that physically divide a community, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Facilitate good design for land use projects that build upon and improve existing circulation patterns
- Encourage implementing agencies to orient transportation projects to minimize impacts on existing communities by:
 - Selecting alignments within or adjacent to existing public rights of way.
 - Design sections above or below-grade to maintain viable vehicular, cycling, and pedestrian connections between portions of communities where existing connections are disrupted by the transportation project.
 - Wherever feasible incorporate direct crossings, overcrossings, or under crossings at regular intervals for multiple modes of travel (e.g., pedestrians, bicyclists, vehicles).
- c) Where it has been determined that it is infeasible to avoid creating a barrier in an established community, consider other measures to reduce impacts, including but not limited to:
 - Alignment shifts to minimize the area affected.

The Project would not physically divide an existing community, as the site is surrounded by similar development to the east and west. The project would not create a [physical barrier within an existing community. This mitigation measure is not applicable to the project. See Section IV-11, Land Use and Planning, of the SCEA for further information.

- Reduction of the proposed right-of-way take to minimize the overall area of impact.
- Provisions for bicycle, pedestrian, and vehicle access across improved roadways.

PMM LU-2: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects that physically divide a community, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

a) When an inconsistency with the adopted general plan policy or land use regulation (adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an impact) is identified modify the transportation or land use project to eliminate the conflict; or, determine if the environmental, social, economic, and engineering benefits of the project warrant an amendment to the general plan or land use regulation. This mitigation measure is not applicable to the project as the Proposed Project would not physically divide an existing community and would not conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. See Section IV-11, Land Use and Planning, of the SCEA for further information.

Applicability to the Project

Mineral Resources

PMM MIN-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce the use of mineral resources that could be of value to the region, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Provide for the efficient use of known aggregate and mineral resources or locally important mineral resource recovery sites, by ensuring that the consumptive use of aggregate resources is minimized and that access to recoverable sources of aggregate is not precluded, as a result of construction, operation and maintenance of projects.
- b) Where avoidance is infeasible, minimize impacts to the efficient and effective use of recoverable sources of aggregate through measures that have been identified in county and city general plans, or other comparable measures such as:
 - Recycle and reuse building materials resulting from demolition, particularly aggregate resources, to the maximum extent practicable.
 - Identify and use building materials, particularly aggregate materials, resulting from demolition at other construction sites in the SCAG region, or within a reasonable hauling distance of the project site.
 - 3) Design transportation network improvements in a manner (such as buffer zones or the use of screening) that does not preclude adjacent or nearby extraction of known mineral and aggregate resources following completion of the improvement and during long-term operations.

This mitigation measure is not applicable. The Project site has not been utilized for mineral extraction and is not located within an oil drilling district, state-designated oil filed or surface mining district, and there are no active mining operations on the project site or near the project vicinity. The Project site is not within a Mineral Resource Zone. See Section IV-12, Mineral Resources. of the SCEA for further information.

4) Avoid or reduce impacts on known aggregate and mineral resources and mineral resource recovery sites through the evaluation and selection of project sites and design features (e.g., buffers) that minimize impacts on land suitable for aggregate and mineral resource extraction by maintaining portions of MRZ-2 areas in open space or other general plan land use categories and zoning that allow for mining of mineral resources.

Noise

PMM NOISE-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Install temporary noise barriers during construction.
- b) Include permanent noise barriers and soundattenuating features as part of the project design. Barriers could be in the form of outdoor barriers, sound walls, buildings, or earth berms to attenuate noise at adjacent sensitive uses.
- Schedule construction activities consistent with the allowable hours pursuant to applicable general plan noise element or noise ordinance
- d) Post procedures and phone numbers at the construction site for notifying the Lead Agency staff, local Police Department, and construction contractor (during regular construction hours and off-hours), along with permitted construction days and hours, complaint procedures, and who to notify in the event of a problem.
- e) Notify neighbors and occupants within 300 feet of the project construction area at least 30 days in advance of anticipated times when noise levels are expected to exceed limits established in the noise element of the general plan or noise ordinance.
- Designate an on-site construction complaint and enforcement manager for the project.
- g) Ensure that construction equipment are properly maintained per manufacturers' specifications and fitted with the best available noise suppression devices (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures, and acoustically attenuating shields or shrouds silencers, wraps). All intake and exhaust ports on power equipment shall be muffled or shielded.
- h) Use hydraulically or electrically powered tools (e.g., jack hammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) for project construction to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools. However, where use of pneumatic tools is unavoidable, an exhaust muffler on the compressed air exhaust should be used; this muffler can lower noise levels from the exhaust by up to about 10 dBA. External jackets on the tools themselves should be

The Proposed Project would implement **Mitigation Measures NOI-1** and **NOI-2** to reduce construction related noise and vibration impacts to a less than significant level. These mitigation measures are project specific and are therefore more applicable. See Section IV-13, Noise and Vibration, for further information.

used, if such jackets are commercially available, and this could achieve a further reduction of 5 dBA. Quieter procedures should be used, such as drills rather than impact equipment, whenever such procedures are available and consistent with construction procedures.

- Where feasible, design projects so that they are depressed below the grade of the existing noisesensitive receptor, creating an effective barrier between the roadway and sensitive receptors.
- Where feasible, improve the acoustical insulation of dwelling units where setbacks and sound barriers do not provide sufficient noise reduction.
- k) Using rubberized asphalt or "quiet pavement" to reduce road noise for new roadway segments, roadways in which widening or other modifications require re-pavement, or normal reconstruction of roadways where re-pavement is planned
- Projects that require pile driving or other construction noise above 90 dBA in proximity to sensitive receptors, should reduce potential pier drilling, pile driving and/or other extreme noise generating construction impacts greater than 90 dBA; a set of site-specific noise attenuation measures should be completed under the supervision of a qualified acoustical consultant.
- m) Use land use planning measures, such as zoning, restrictions on development, site design, and buffers to ensure that future development is compatible with adjacent transportation facilities and land uses;
- Monitor the effectiveness of noise reduction measures by taking noise measurements and installing adaptive mitigation measures to achieve the standards for ambient noise levels established by the noise element of the general plan or noise ordinance.
- Use equipment and trucks with the best available noise control techniques (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures, and acoustically attenuating shields or shrouds, wherever feasible) for project construction.
- p) Stationary noise sources can and should be located as far from adjacent sensitive receptors as possible and they should be muffled and enclosed within temporary sheds, incorporate insulation barriers, or use other measures as determined by the Lead Agency (or other appropriate government agency) to provide equivalent noise reduction.
- d) Use of portable barriers in the vicinity of sensitive receptors during construction.
- r) Implement noise control at the receivers by temporarily improving the noise reduction capability of adjacent buildings (for instance by the use of sound blankets), and implement if such measures are feasible and would noticeably reduce noise impacts.
- s) Monitor the effectiveness of noise attenuation measures by taking noise measurements.
- Maximize the distance between noise-sensitive land uses and new roadway lanes, roadways, rail lines, transit centers, park-and-ride lots, and other new

Applicability to the Project

Project Level Mitigation Measure

noise-generating facilities.

- Construct sound reducing barriers between noise sources and noise-sensitive land uses.
- v) Stationary noise sources can and should be located as far from adjacent sensitive receptors as possible and they should be muffled and enclosed within temporary sheds, incorporate insulation barriers, or use other measures as determined by the Lead Agency (or other appropriate government agency) to provide equivalent noise reduction.
- w) Use techniques such as grade separation, buffer zones, landscaped berms, dense plantings, sound walls, reduced-noise paving materials, and traffic calming measures.
- x) Locate transit-related passenger stations, central maintenance facilities, decentralized maintenance facilities, and electric substations away from sensitive receptors to the maximum extent feasible.
- y) Consult the SCAG Environmental Justice Toolbox for potential measures to address impacts to lowincome and/or minority communities.

PMM NOISE-2: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to vibration, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) For projects that require pile driving or other construction techniques that result in excessive vibration, such as blasting, determine the potential vibration impacts to the structural integrity of the adjacent buildings within 50 feet of pile driving locations.
- b) For projects that require pile driving or other construction techniques that result in excessive vibration, such as blasting, determine the threshold levels of vibration and cracking that could damage adjacent historic or other structure, and design means and construction methods to not exceed the thresholds
- c) For projects where pile driving would be necessary for construction due to geological conditions, utilize quiet pile driving techniques such as predrilling the piles to the maximum feasible depth, where feasible. Predrilling pile holes will reduce the number of blows required to completely seat the pile and will concentrate the pile driving activity closer to the ground where pile driving noise can be shielded more effectively by a noise barrier/curtain.
- Restrict construction activities to permitted hours in accordance with local jurisdiction regulation.
- Properly maintain construction equipment and outfit construction equipment with the best available noise suppression devices (e.g., mufflers, silences, wraps).
- Prohibit idling of construction equipment for extended periods of time in the vicinity of sensitive receptors.

In compliance with this mitigation measure, the Proposed Project would incorporate comparable mitigation measures. Mitigation Measures MM-NOI-1 and MM-NOI-2, in Section IV-13, Noise, of the SCEA would reduce impacts related to construction noise and vibration to a less than significant level.

Population and Housing

PMM-POP-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce the displacement of existing housing, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Evaluate alternate route alignments and transportation facilities that minimize the displacement of homes and businesses. Use an iterative design and impact analysis where impacts to homes or businesses are involved to minimize the potential of impacts on housing and displacement of people.
- b) Prioritize the use existing ROWs, wherever feasible.
- Develop a construction schedule that minimizes potential neighborhood deterioration from protracted waiting periods between right-of-way acquisition and construction.
- d) Review capacities of available urban infrastructure and augment capacities as needed to accommodate demand in locations where growth is desirable to the local lead Agency and encouraged by the SCS (primarily TPAs, where applicable).
- e) When General Plans and other local land use regulations are amended or updated, use the most recent growth projections and RHNA allocation plan.

Applicability to the Project

The Project Site does not contain any existing dwelling units. Therefore, the project would not displace existing housing and this mitigation measure is not applicable.

Public Services

PMM PSP-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects of constructing new emergency response facilities, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Coordinate with emergency response agencies to ensure that there are adequate governmental facilities to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for emergency response services and that any required additional construction of buildings is incorporated in to the project description.
- Where current levels of services at the project site are found to be inadequate, provide fair share contributions towards infrastructure improvements, as appropriate and applicable, to mitigate identified CEQA impacts.
- Project sponsors can and should develop traffic control plans for individual projects. Traffic control plans should include information on lane closures and the anticipated flow of traffic during the construction period. The basic objective of each traffic control plan (TCP) is to permit the contractor to work within the public right of way efficiently and effectively while maintaining a safe, uniform flow of traffic. The construction work and the public traveling through the work zone in vehicles, bicycles or as pedestrians must be given equal consideration when developing a traffic control plan.

This mitigation measure is not applicable to the Project as the Proposed Project would not require the construction or alteration of emergency response facilities. See Section IV-15, Public Services, of the SCEA for further information.

PMM PSS-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the State CEQA Guidelines, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects of constructing new or physically altered school facilities, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

Where construction or expansion of school facilities is required to meet public school service ratios, require school district fees, as applicable.

This mitigation measure is addressed as the project would

Applicability to the Project

require fees to reduce impacts as to a less than significant impact. The Applicant would be required to pay applicable school fees in accordance with California Government Code Section 65995, which are deemed by statute to fully mitigate any potentially significant impact on schools.

PMM PSL-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the State CEQA Guidelines, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects of construction of new or altered library facilities, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

Where construction or expansion of library facilities is required to meet public library service ratios, require library fees, as appropriate and applicable, to mitigate identified CEQA impacts.

This mitigation measure is not applicable as the Project would not cause a significant impact with regard to library services. The addition of residential units at the Project site it would not be substantial enough to create additional impacts to existing services and the project would not significantly impact library services and no new or expanded library facilities would be

Recreation

PMM REC-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the State CEQA Guidelines, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects on the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Prior to the issuance of permits, where projects require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities or the payment of equivalent Quimby fees, consider increasing the accessibility to natural areas and lands for outdoor recreation from the proposed project area, in coordination with local and regional planning and/or open space responsible management agencies.
- Prior to the issuance of permits, where projects require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities or the payment of equivalent Quimby fees, encourage patterns of urban development and land use which reduce costs on infrastructure and make better use of existing facilities, using strategies such as:
 - i. Increasing the accessibility to natural areas for outdoor recreation
 - ii. Utilizing "green" development techniques
 - Promoting water-efficient land use and iii. development
 - Encouraging multiple uses, such as the iv. joint use of schools
 - Including trail systems and trail segments in General Plan recreation standards.

Los Angeles Municipal Code § 12.33 requires all new, nonexempt, residential dwelling units to dedicate land, pay a fee or provide a combination of land dedication and fee payment for the purpose of acquiring, expanding and improving park and recreational facilities for new residents, with an exception made for affordable housing units. The project itself would not lead to substantial physical deterioration of any recreational facilities, and would have no related significant impacts.

Transportation

PMM-TRA-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects related to transportation-related impacts, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- Transportation demand management (TDM) strategies should be incorporated into individual land use and transportation projects and plans, as part of the planning process. Local agencies should incorporate strategies identified in the Federal Highway Administration's publication: Integrating Demand Management into the Transportation Planning Process: A Desk Reference (August 2012) into the planning process (FHWA 2012). For example, the following strategies may be included to encourage use of transit and non-motorized modes of transportation and reduce vehicle miles traveled on the region's roadways:
 - include TDM mitigation requirements for new developments;
 - incorporate supporting infrastructure for non-motorized modes, such as, bike lanes, secure bike parking, sidewalks, and crosswalks;
 - provide incentives to use alternative modes and reduce driving, such as, universal transit passes, road and parking pricing;
 - implement parking management programs, such as parking cash-out, priority parking for carpools and vanpools;
 - develop TDM-specific performance measures to evaluate project-specific and system-wide performance;
 - incorporate TDM performance measures in the decision-making process for identifying transportation investments;
 - implement data collection programs for TDM to determine the effectiveness of certain strategies and to measure success over time; and
 - set aside funding for TDM initiatives.
 - The increase in per capita VMT on facilities experiencing LOS F represents a significant impact compared to existing conditions. To assess whether implementation of these specific mitigation strategies would result in measurable traffic congestion reductions, implementing actions may need to be further refined within the overall parameters of the proposed Plan and matched to local conditions in any subsequent project-level environmental analysis.

Applicability to the Project

As an infill development site, the Project has ready access to community resources, particularly public transportation. The site is serviced by Metro Bus lines with frequency intervals of less than 15 minutes during peak times. The strategic location of this Project is to lessen VMT to and from the site. Transportation impacts were concluded to be less than significant. See Section IV-17, Transportation, of the SCEA for further information.

PMM TRA-2: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should

No hazardous design features are included in the access design or site plan for the Project that could impede emergency access. Furthermore, the Project would be subject

consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects which may substantially impair implementation of an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Prior to construction, project implementation agencies can and should ensure that all necessary local and state road and railroad encroachment permits are obtained. The project implementation agency can and should also comply with all applicable conditions of approval. As deemed necessary by the governing jurisdiction, the road encroachment permits may require the contractor to prepare a traffic control plan in accordance with professional engineering standards prior to construction. Traffic control plans can and should include the following requirements:
 - Identification of all roadway locations where special construction techniques (e.g., directional drilling or night construction) would be used to minimize impacts to traffic flow.
 - Development of circulation and detour plans to minimize impacts to local street circulation. This may include the use of signing and flagging to guide vehicles through and/or around the construction
 - Scheduling of truck trips outside of peak morning and evening commute hours.
 - Limiting of lane closures during peak hours to the extent possible.
 - Usage of haul routes minimizing truck traffic on local roadways to the extent possible.
 - Inclusion of detours for bicycles and pedestrians in all areas potentially affected by project construction.
 - Installation of traffic control devices as specified in the California Department of Transportation Manual of Traffic Controls for Construction and Maintenance Work Zones.
 - Development and implementation of access plans for highly sensitive land uses such as police and fire stations, transit stations, hospitals, and schools. The access plans would be developed with the facility owner or administrator. To minimize disruption of emergency vehicle access, affected jurisdictions can and should be asked to identify detours for emergency vehicles, which will then be posted by the contractor. Notify in advance the facility owner or operator of the timing, location, and duration of construction activities and the locations of detours and lane closures.

Applicability to the Project

to site plan review by the City of Los Angeles Fire Department and the City of Los Angeles Police Department to ensure that all access roads, driveways, and parking areas would remain accessible to emergency service vehicles.

- Storage of construction materials only in designated areas.
- Coordination with local transit agencies for temporary relocation of routes or bus stops in work zones, as necessary.
- Ensure the rapid repair of transportation infrastructure in the event of an emergency through cooperation among public agencies and by identifying critical infrastructure needs necessary for: a) emergency responders to enter the region, b) evacuation of affected facilities, and c) restoration of utilities.
- Enhance emergency preparedness awareness among public agencies and with the public at large.

Tribal Cultural Resources

PMM TCR-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects on tribal cultural resources, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Avoidance and preservation of the resources in place, including, but not limited to, planning and construction to avoid the resources and protect the cultural and natural context, or planning greenspace, parks, or other open space, to incorporate the resources with culturally appropriate protection and management criteria:
- b) Treating the resource with culturally appropriate dignity taking into account the tribal cultural values and meaning of the resource, including, but not limited to, the following: protecting the cultural character and integrity of the resource; protecting the traditional use of the resource; and protecting the confidentiality of the resource;
- c) Permanent conservation easements or other interests in real property, with culturally appropriate management criteria for the purposes of preserving or utilizing the resources or places; and protecting the resource.

In compliance with this mitigation measure, the Lead Agency

Applicability to the Project

comparable mitigation measures. Mitigation Measures **MM-TCR-1** and **MM-TCR-2**, in Section IV-18, Tribal Cultural Resources, of the SCEA would reduce impacts to tribal cultural resources to a less than significant level.

has considered mitigation measures consistent with § 15064.5

of the State CEQA Guidelines and, accordingly, incorporated

Utilities and Service Systems

PMM USSW-2: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce the generation of solid waste, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

Integrate green building measures with CALGreen (California Building Code Title 24) into project design, including but not limited to the following:

- Reuse and minimization of construction and demolition (C&D) debris and diversion of C&D waste from landfills to recycling facilities.
- b) Inclusion of a waste management plan that promotes

The Project would generate solid waste that is typical of residential buildings and would comply with all federal, State, and local statutes and regulations regarding proper disposal. Impacts would be less than significant and therefore, this mitigation measure would not be applicable.

maximum C&D diversion.

- c) Source reduction through (1) use of materials that are more durable and easier to repair and maintain, (2) design to generate less scrap material through dimensional planning, (3) increased recycled content, (4) use of reclaimed materials, and (5) use of structural materials in a dual role as finish material (e.g., stained concrete flooring, unfinished ceilings, etc.).
- Reuse of existing structure and shell in renovation projects.
- e) Development of indoor recycling program and space.
- f) Discourage the siting of new landfills unless all other waste reduction and prevention actions have been fully explored. If landfill siting or expansion is necessary, site landfills with an adequate landfillowned, undeveloped land buffer to minimize the potential adverse impacts of the landfill in neighboring communities.
- g) Discourage exporting of locally generated waste outside of the SCAG region during the construction and implementation of a project. Encourage disposal within the county where the waste originates as much as possible. Promote green technologies for longdistance transport of waste (e.g., clean engines and clean locomotives or electric rail for waste-by-rail disposal systems) and consistency with SCAQMD and Connect SoCal policies can and should be required.
- h) Encourage waste reduction goals and practices and look for opportunities for voluntary actions to exceed the 80 percent waste diversion target.
- Encourage the development of local markets for waste prevention, reduction, and recycling practices by supporting recycled content and green procurement policies, as well as other waste prevention, reduction and recycling practices.
- j) Develop ordinances that promote waste prevention and recycling activities such as: requiring waste prevention and recycling efforts at all large events and venues; implementing recycled content procurement programs; and developing opportunities to divert food waste away from landfills and toward food banks and composting facilities.
- bevelop and site composting, recycling, and conversion technology facilities that have minimum environmental and health impacts.
- Integrate reuse and recycling into residential industrial, institutional and commercial projects.
- m) Provide education and publicity about reducing waste and available recycling services.
- n) Implement or expand city or county-wide recycling and composting programs for residents and businesses. This could include extending the types of recycling services offered (e.g., to include food and green waste recycling) and providing public education and publicity about recycling services.

PMM-USWW-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to reduce substantial adverse effects on utilities and service systems, particularly for construction of wastewater facilities, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

During the design and CEQA review of individual future projects, implementing agencies and projects sponsors shall determine whether sufficient wastewater capacity exists for the proposed projects. There CEQA determinations must ensure that the proposed development can be served by its existing or planned treatment capacity. If adequate capacity does not exist, project sponsors shall coordinate with the applicable service provider to ensure that adequate public services and utilities could accommodate the increased demand, and if not, infrastructure improvements for the appropriate public service or utility shall be identified in each project's CEQA documentation. The applicable public service provider or utility shall be responsible for undertaking project-level review as necessary to provide CEQA clearance for new facilities.

Applicability to the Project

This mitigation measure is not applicable for the Proposed Project. Impacts related to wastewater treatment facilities are analyzed in Section IV-19, Utilities and Service Systems, of the SCEA. The Project would not require or result in the construction of new wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities.

Impacts were found to be less than significant and therefore, mitigation is not required.

PMM USWS-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to ensure sufficient water supplies, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Reduce exterior consumptive uses of water in public areas, and should promote reductions in private homes and businesses, by shifting to drought-tolerant native landscape plantings, using weather-based irrigation systems, educating other public agencies about water use, and installing related water pricing incentives.
- b) Promote the availability of drought-resistant landscaping options and provide information on where these can be purchased. Use of reclaimed water especially in median landscaping and hillside landscaping can and should be implemented where feasible
- Implement water conservation best practices such as low-flow toilets, water-efficient clothes washers, water system audits, and leak detection and repair.
- d) For projects located in an area with existing reclaimed water conveyance infrastructure and excess reclaimed water capacity, use reclaimed water for non- potable uses, especially landscape irrigation. For projects in a location planned for future reclaimed water service, projects should install dual plumbing systems in anticipation of future use. Large developments could treat wastewater onsite to tertiary standards and use it for nonpotable uses onsite.

This mitigation measure is not applicable for the Proposed Project. Impacts from the project on water supply are analyzed in Section IV-19, Utilities and Service Systems, of the SCEA. Impacts were found to be less than significant and therefore, mitigation is not required.

Wildfire

PMM WF-1: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to wildfire risk, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- a) Launch fire prevention education for local cities and counties such that local fire agencies, homeowners, as well as commercial and industrial businesses are aware of potential sources of fire ignition and the related procedures to curb or lessen any activities that might initiate fire ignition.
- b) Ensure structures in high fire risk areas are built to current state and federal standards which serve to greatly increase the chances the structure will survive a wildfire and also allow for people to shelter-in-place.
- Improve road access for emergency response and evacuation so people can evacuate safely and timely when necessary.
- Improve, and educate regarding, local emergency communications and notifications with residents and businesses.
- e) Enforce defensible space regulations to keep overgrown and unmanaged vegetation, accumulations of trash and other flammable material away from structures.
- Provide public education about wildfire risk and fire prevention measures, and safety procedures and practices to allow for safe evacuation and/or options to shelter-in-place

Applicability to the Project

This mitigation measure is not applicable. The Project Site is not located within a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. As analyzed in Section IV-20, Wildfire, of the SCEA, there would be a less than significant impact and no mitigation is required.

PMM WF-2: In accordance with provisions of sections 15091(a)(2) and 15126.4(a)(1)(B) of the *State CEQA Guidelines*, a Lead Agency for a project can and should consider mitigation measures to wildfire risk, as applicable and feasible. Such measures may include the following or other comparable measures identified by the Lead Agency:

- New development or infrastructure activity within very high hazard severity zones or SRAs shall be required to
 - Submit a fire protection plan including the designation of fire watch staff;
 - Maintain water and other fire suppression equipment designated solely for firefighting on site for any construction and maintenance activities;
 - Locate construction and maintenance equipment in designated "safe areas" such that they do not discharge combustible materials; and
 - Designate trained fire watch staff during project construction to reduce risk of fire hazards.

This mitigation measure is not applicable to the Proposed Project as impacts would be less than significant. Being in a developed urban area, there are several fire protection facilities in the project vicinity that could respond to an emergency at the site. There would be a less than significant impact and no mitigation is required.

Source: SCAG Connect SoCal (2020 - 2045 Regional Transportation Plan/ Sustainable Communities Strategy).