

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2017072033

Project Title: Stockton Channel Viaduct Improvements Project

Lead Agency: Department of Transportation, Caltrans District 10

Contact Name: Scott Guidi

Email: scott.guidi@dot.ca.gov Phone Number: 209-990-5719

Project Location: Stockton San Joaquin
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes to replace or rehabilitate the northbound and southbound Stockton Channel Viaduct Bridges (Bridge Numbers 29-0176L and 29-0176R) in the City of Stockton on Interstate 5 from post miles 26.1 to 27.6.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The proposed project would have no effect on aesthetics, agriculture and forest resources, energy, geology and soil resources, hazards and hazardous materials, land use and planning, mineral resources, population and housing, and wildfire.

The proposed project would have no significant effects on air quality, cultural resources, greenhouse gas emissions, hydrology and water quality, noise, public services, recreation, transportation, tribal cultural resources, and utilities and service systems.

On the basis of this study, it is determined that the proposed action with the incorporation of the identified mitigation measures would not have a significant effect on biological or paleontological resources for the following reasons:

-Compensatory Mitigation—Compensatory conservation measures would be used in the form of Conservation Bank Credits and a Replanting Plan to reduce incidental take due to the loss of Federal Endangered Species Act species or for potential adverse effects to critical habitat.

-Project-Specific Paleontological Mitigation Plan—A qualified principal paleontologist would prepare a project-specific paleontological mitigation plan. Implementation of the project-specific paleontological mitigation plan would ensure the project would not have a significant effect on potential paleontological resources discovered during construction activities.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No controversies are know at this time.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Califronia Department of Fish and Wildlife, Regionial Water Quallity Control Board, US Fish and Widlife.