

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2023050148

Project Title: Coastal Resilience Master Plan

Lead Agency: City of San Diego

Contact Name: Jordan Moore

Email: JTMoore@sandiego.gov Phone Number: 619-236-5061

Project Location: San Diego San Diego
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed Coastal Resilience Master Plan (CRMP) Phase 1 will identify specific resilience and conservation needs along the coastline and develop a portfolio of nature-based solutions to promote resilience, protect critical coastal habitats, support coastal access, and protect the City against the risk of climate change, in line with the Climate Resilient SD Plan.

The CRMP Phase 1 area includes six separate project sites along the City of San Diego's coastline: La Jolla Shores, Pacific Beach – Tourmaline Surf Park, Mission Beach, Ocean Beach – Dog Beach, Ocean Beach – Pier, and Sunset Cliffs. Additional sites (Torrey Pines State Beach – Los Peñasquitos Lagoon, Black's Beach, Marine Street Beach, Windansea Beach, and the Naval Training Center Park) were evaluated in the CRMP for potential nature-based coastal resilience projects; however, these sites were ultimately scoped out of the CRMP Phase 1 due to factors such as site conditions, feasibility of nature-based solutions, and ease of implementation.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The analysis conducted identified that the proposed project could result in significant and unavoidable impacts in the areas of biological resources, cultural resources, noise, and Tribal Cultural Resources.

The environmental analysis concluded that the potentially significant impacts associated with biological resources and noise would be reduced to a less than significant level through implementation of mitigation measures MM BIO-1 through MM BIO-7, and MM NOI-1. However, it cannot be ensured that all potential impacts to cultural (archaeological) resources and Tribal Cultural Resources would be fully avoided or minimized. Therefore, impacts to cultural (archaeological) resources and Tribal Cultural Resources would remain potentially significant.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Areas of controversy include potential impacts to coastal views, recreational facilities, biological resources, and surrounding neighborhoods.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB).
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).
California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW).
California Coastal Commission (CCC).