

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal**Form F**

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2023050339

Project Title: Arroyo Lago Residential Project

Lead Agency: County of Alameda

Contact Name: Aubrey Rose, AICP, Planner III

Email: rkrusenoski@fcs-intl.com

Phone Number: 630.740.0935

Project Location: Unincorporated Alameda County (near the City of Pleasanton)

City

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed project includes construction of 194 single-family homes, with approximately 25 percent (49 homes) being designed with deed-restricted Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs). The dwelling units would be approximately 26 to 30 feet in height. The approximately 26.6-acre site would be developed with an approximate density of 7.3 dwelling units per gross acre. The proposed project is expected to include approximately 694 residents. The proposed project would construct seven internal streets (Streets A-F and Loop A) to provide internal circulation within the site. The proposed project would also include several off-site improvements including the development of a water storage and booster pump facility with a 400,000 gallon capacity, a recycled water storage facility with a 900,000 gallon capacity, a sewer treatment plant that would treat approximately 50,000 gallons of wastewater per day, and approximately 8.5 acres of agricultural irrigation fields. Stormwater would be treated via bioretention facilities.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

See attached page.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

During the scoping meeting for the Draft EIR, agencies and the public identified the following topical areas as areas of concern that should be studied in the Draft EIR:

- Aesthetics, Light, and Glare
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources
- Energy
- Geology and Soils
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Hazards and Hazardous Materials
- Hydrology and Water Quality
- Land Use and Planning
- Mineral Resources
- Noise
- Population and Housing
- Public Services
- Recreation
- Transportation
- Utilities and Service Systems
- Wildfire

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)
- San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (San Francisco Bay RWQCB)
- Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (Zone and Water Agency)
- Pleasanton Garbage Service (PGS)
- Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E)
- California Water Services Company (Cal Water)
- Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department
- Pleasanton Unified School District
- California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)
- Zone 7 Water Agency (Zone 7)
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR)
- California State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board)
- Alameda County Environmental Health Department (ACEHD)

Impact AIR-1: implementation of MM AIR-1 would result in LTS impacts;
Impact AIR-2: implementation of MM AIR-1 would result in LTS impacts;
Impact AIR-3: implementation of MM AIR-3 would result in LTS impacts;
Impact BIO-1: implementation of MM BIO-1a, MM BIO-1b would result in LTS impacts;
Impact BIO-2: implementation of MM BIO-2a, MM BIO-2b would result in LTS impact;
Impact BIO-4: implementation of MM BIO-1a, MM BIO-1b would result in LTS impacts;
Impact BIO-5: implementation of MM BIO-1a, MM BIO-1b, MM BIO-2a, MM BIO-2b would result in LTS impacts;
Impact CUL-2: implementation of MM CUL-2a, MM CUL-2b would result in LTS impacts;
Impact CUL-3: implementation of MM CUL-3 would result in LTS impacts;
Impact CUL-4: implementation of MM CUL-2a, MM CUL-2b, and MM CUL-3 would result in LTS impacts;
Impact CUL-5: implementation of MM CUL-2a, MM CUL-2b, and MM CUL-3 would result in LTS impacts;
Impact GEO-1: implementation of MM GEO-1 would result in LTS impacts;
Impact GEO-3: implementation of MM GEO-1 would result in LTS impacts;
Impact GEO-5: implementation of MM GEO-1 would result in LTS impacts;
Impact GEO-6: implementation of MM GEO-6 would result in LTS impacts;
Impact GHG-1: implementation of MM GHG-1 would result in SU impacts;
Impact GHG-2: implementation of MM GHG-2 would result in SU impacts;
Impact NOI-1: implementation of MM NOI-1 would result in LTS impacts;
Impact TRANS-2: implementation of MM TRANS-2a, MM TRANS-2b would result in SU impacts;
Impact TRANS-3: no mitigation available and would result in SU impacts;
Impact WILD-4: implementation of MM GEO-1 would result in LTS impacts.