

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2023050732

Project Title: Redondo Beach Focused General Plan Update, Zoning Ordinance Update, and Local Coastal Program A

Lead Agency: City of Redondo Beach

Contact Name: Sean Scully

Email: sean.scully@redondo.org

Phone Number: (310) 318-0637

Project Location: Redondo Beach
City

Los Angeles
County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The City's General Plan represents the community's vision of its future: it also serves as the blueprint guiding the City. The City will use the goals and policies of the General Plan Update as a basis from which to make their land use, housing, mobility, infrastructure (Capital Improvements), and open space and parks decisions. Redondo Beach has selected the year 2050 as its planning horizon. The City is updating five of the State-required elements that make up the General Plan, including, Land Use, Open Space and Conservation, Safety, and Noise. Updates to these elements are accompanied by associated revisions to the City's Zoning Ordinances and Local Coastal Program (LCP) needed to make consistent and implement the updated goals and policies as well as implement the City's State Certified Housing Element sites and programs. The entirety of the updates to the General Plan, Zoning Ordinances, and LCP updates constitutes the "proposed project."

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Significant and unavoidable impacts to air quality (Section 5.2, Air Quality, see Mitigation Measures AQ-1 through AQ-3). Potential impacts to cultural resources (Section 5.4, Cultural Resources, see Mitigation Measures CUL-1 through CUL-3). Significant and unavoidable impacts to energy (Section 5.5, Energy, no feasible mitigation measures identified). Potential impacts to paleontological resources (Section 5.6, Geology and Soils, see Mitigation Measures GEO-1 and GEO-2). Significant and unavoidable impacts relating to greenhouse gas emissions (Section 5.7, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, see Mitigation Measures GHG-1, no feasible mitigation measures were identified for Impact 5.7). Significant and unavoidable impacts to land use and planning (Section 5.10, Land Use and Planning, see Mitigation Measures AQ-1 through AQ-3 and GHG-1). Significant and unavoidable impacts to noise (Section 5.11, Noise, see Mitigation Measures N-1 through N-3). Significant and unavoidable impacts to population and housing (Section 5.12, Population and Housing, no feasible mitigation measures were identified). Significant and unavoidable impacts to Transportation (Section 5.15, Transportation, see Mitigation Measures AQ-1 through AQ-3 and GHG-1, no feasible mitigation measures were identified for Impact 5.15). Potential impacts to tribal cultural resources (Section 5.5, Cultural Resources, see Mitigation Measures CUL-2 through CUL-3).

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Prior to the preparation of this Draft PEIR, the County issued a Notice of Preparation (NOP) in accordance with Section 15082 of the CEQA Guidelines. The 30-day public review period began on June 1, 2023, and concluded on June 30, 2023. Seven agencies and 14 individuals' comments letters were received in response to the NOP, which are provided in Appendix A. In addition, the City held a Scoping Meeting on June 8, 2023, in which verbal comments were provided by those in attendance. A summary of the NOP comment letters is provided in Section 2.2, Notice of Preparation and Initial Study, in Chapter 2, Introduction. At the conclusion of the presentation, attendees were able to provide comments and questions about the proposed project to the City and the CEQA consultants during the questions and answers portion of the meeting. Based on the scoping process, the primary areas of controversy known to the City include: zone changes to the Beach Cities Health District (BCHD) (See Section 3, Project Description) and changes to floor area ratio (FAR) for Public Institute (PI) land use and zoning designations (See Section 3, Project Description).

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

N/A