Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: County Road 96 over Union School Slough Bridge Replacement Project

Lead Agency: Yolo County Department of Community Services, Public Works Division

Contact Name: Stephanie Cormier

Email: stephanie.cormier@yolocounty.org Phone Number: 530 666-8041

Project Location: County Road (CR) 96, north of CR 29, west of Davis in unincorporated Yolo County

City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Yolo County Department of Community Services, Public Works Division (County), and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Division of Local Assistance are proposing to replace the existing bridge on County Road (CR) 96 crossing over Union School Slough with funding made available through FHWA Highway Bridge Program and administered by Caltrans. The bridge, constructed in 1930, was determined to be functionally obsolete by Caltrans and currently has a sufficiency rating of 54.9. The existing bridge (Bridge No. 22C0126) is approximately 40 feet long and 20 feet wide, and consists of single-span reinforced concrete T-girders. The bridge has longitudinal and shear cracking along the girders and evidence of water penetration through the deck. The bridge railing is also inpoor condition with spalling and exposed rebar.

The proposed Project will construct a new bridge to the south of the existing structure. The new structure will be a single-span, cast-in-place, post-tensioned slab structure approximately 46.5 feet long.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Potentially significant impacts identified for the project include: biological resources, Tribal cultural resources, hazards, hydrology. Mitigation proposed for the potential impacts were found to reduce impacts to less than significant levels:
Mitigation Measure (MM) BIO 1 minimizes take and adverse effects on habitat of the Western pond turtle
MM BIO 2 minimizes take and adverse effects on habitat of the Swainson's hawk and white-tailed kite
MM BIO 3 minimizes take and adverse effects on habitat of the tricolored blackbird
MM BIO 4 reduces the potential for impacts on special-status and migratory birds and raptors, including northern harrier
MM BIO 5 reduces impacts to bats
MM BIO 6 avoids and minimizes effects on wetlands and waters
MM BIO 7 establishes buffers around sensitive natural communities
MM BIO 8 requires worker training
MM BIO 9 compensates for removal of trees, avoids and minimizes impacts on tree resources
MM BIO 10 controls nightime lighting
MM HAZ 1 requires a lead compliance plan
MM HAZ 2 requires soils testing
MM HAZ 3 requires assessment of presence of asbestos
MM NOI 1 controls construction noise. MM TCR 1 requires sensitivity training

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

N/A

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Caltrans - National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Categorical Exclusion
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Section 404 Clean Water Act Nationwide Permit
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board - Section 401 Water Quality Certification
California Department of Fish and Wildlife - Section 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement
Yolo Habitat Conservancy - HCP/NCCP Take Permit