Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Sonoma Valley County Sanitation District System Protection Plan at Kohler & Sonoma Creek Crossing

Lead Agency: Sonoma Water

Contact Name: David Cook

Email: david.cook@scwa.ca.gov Phone Number: 707-547-1900

Project Location: Glen Ellen, Sonoma County
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Sonoma Valley County Sanitation District System Protection Plan at Kohler & Sonoma Creek Crossings Project would implement permanent repairs along Kohler and Sonoma creeks and establish new and expanded easements to provide access along the pipeline routes for repair and maintenance purposes. Streambank erosion and downcutting at the site has exposed pipeline segments, and streambank erosion is migrating towards existing maintenance holes in both Kohler and Sonoma creeks. SVCSD implemented emergency repairs at the project site in 2019 to prevent further bank erosion that could expose the sewer pipeline and cause sewage spills. These emergency repairs were considered a temporary means to slow the progression of streambank erosion until a permanent repair could be completed. The Proposed Project would implement the permanent repair.The proposed Project would stabilize streambanks and channel beds along Kohler and Sonoma creeks using a combination of rock slope protection, fabric reinforced fill material (FREF), log/rootwad revetments, brush mattress, and native vegetation. In addition, the existing siphon across Sonoma Creek would be armored with large boulders to protect against scour. Site improvements would also include revegetation with native plants and installation of habitat improvement elements for California freshwater shrimp, steelhead, Chinook salmon, and other aquatic species in Sonoma Creek. Implementation would require new temporary and permanent sewer pipeline easements.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The Draft IS/MND identified several impacts that required mitigation measures to reduce impacts to less than significant levels:
Biological Resources: Mitigation Measure BIO-1 requires implementation of a biological resources worker training program for all contractors and internal staff. BIO-2 requires implementation of all ecological permit conditions to protect federally listed salmonids. Implementation of BIO-3 ensures dewatering activities comply with the terms and conditions of a project-specific Biological Opinion and Incidental Take Permit for California freshwater shrimp. BIO-4 requires protection of special-status amphibians and reptiles. BIO-5 provides protection measures for nesting birds. BIO-6 requires avoidance, minimization, or compensation for impact to jurisdictional waters.

Cultural Resources: CUL-1 requires worker awareness training and protection of previously undiscovered historical or archaeological resources. CUL-2 requires the contractor to comply with public resources code and human safety code if human remains are discovered.

Geology/Soils: GEO-1 requires installation of measures needed to minimize erosion and sedimentation.

Hazards: HAZ-1 requires implementation of spill prevention activities and response planning.

Noise: NOI-1 mandates implementation of measures to reduce construction noise.
Tribal Cultural Resources: TCR-1 ensures a tribal monitor is present during ground-disturbing activities

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No areas of controversy were identified . Resource agencies identified the need to protect resources and these needs are reflected in the project design and in the identification of appropriate mitigation measures.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife
San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board