Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2023070306

Project Title: Sunset Canyon Recreation Replacement Building Project

Lead Agency: University of California

Contact Name: Ashley Rogers, UCLA Assistant Director, Environmental Planning

Email: arogers@capnet.ucla.edu Phone Number: 310.923.6747

Project Location: 111 Easton Drive, Los Angeles, Los Angeles County

City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed Project involves the development of a new two-story (plus rooftop deck), student-oriented, multi-purpose building at UCLA's Sunset Canyon Recreation Center (Sunset Rec), which would provide approximately 11,500 gross square feet (gsf) of recreational floor area plus approximately 6,500 gsf of exterior space that is covered but unenclosed. The proposed building would include three multi-purpose rooms plus a teaching kitchen and a rooftop deck with a solar canopy. Also included are staff offices with a small conference room, gender inclusive restrooms and a family restroom, a lactation room, storage areas, custodial/mechanical space, a telecommunications/IT room, an ADA-accessible elevator, and circulation areas. Additionally, associated utility, landscape, and hardscape improvements would be installed. The new building would replace a series of seven existing buildings/facilities at Sunset Rec, which comprise approximately 6,982 gsf of floor area plus 5,807 gsf of covered, unenclosed space. Collectively the buildings to be demolished are seismically deficient, substantially damaged/deteriorated (some of which are no longer habitable), non-compliant with ADA requirements, or otherwise constrained, although considered historic resources under CEQA.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The Initial Study and the Supplemental EIR are tiered from the UCLA Long Range Development Plan Amendment (2017) and Student Housing Projects Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (LRDP EIR; SCH No. 2017051024). Previously adopted mitigation measures would be implemented, including measures to reduce air emissions; protection of nests and the replacement of removed trees; protection of any archaeological, paleontological, or tribal cultural resources found during ground disturbing activities; implementation of BMPs; and use of noise barriers during construction. Additionally, Project-specific mitigation is proposed to ensure the implementation of site-specific geotechnical engineering recommendations. With the incorporation of these measures, the proposed Project's impacts would be consistent with the findings of the LRDP EIR, and no further analysis is required. However, as the LDRP EIR did not identify the potential for significant and unavoidable impacts to historic resources, potential impacts to historic resources at Sunset Rec resulting from Project implementation were evaluated in the Supplemental EIR. The proposed Project involves demolition of six of the 10 contributors to the eligible Sunset Rec Historic District. Some of the associated landscape and site elements would also be removed. The demolition of these original built, landscape, and site elements would result in the eligible Sunset Rec Historic District being no longer recognizable or able to convey its significance as the work of Smith and Williams, the original architect for Sunset Rec. Therefore, implementation of the proposed Project would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource, as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5, resulting in a significant and unavoidable impact pursuant to CEQA.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Potentially historic resources

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

State Water Resources Control Board
South Coast Air Quality Management District