



Appendix F

Greenhouse Gas Impact Assessment

MEMORANDUM

To: Samantha Tewasart, Planning Manager, City of San Gabriel
From: Olivia Chan and Mayra Garcia, Kimley-Horn and Associates
Date: July 21, 2023
Subject: Rubio Village Mixed-Use Project – Greenhouse Gas Impact Assessment

Purpose

The purpose of this memorandum is to identify the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with construction and operation of the proposed Rubio Village Project (Project), located in the City of San Gabriel, California.

Project Location

The Project would be located on an approximately 2.9-acre site (Project Site) at 201-217 South San Gabriel Boulevard. The Project Site is located at the southwest corner of the intersection of East Live Oak Street and South San Gabriel Boulevard. The Project Site is generally bound by East Live Oak Street to the north, South San Gabriel Boulevard to the east, residential and commercial uses to the south, and South Pine Street to the west. The Project Site is undeveloped and is fenced off on all sides. The Project Site has a General Plan Land Use designation of General Commercial.¹ The Project Site is zoned Mixed-Use PD (Planned Development Overlay).² According to the San Gabriel Municipal Code (SGMC) Section 153.280, the PD Overlay zoning designation is intended to allow large-scale development (one acre or larger) in specific corridors within the City. Any use permitted under Residential, Commercial, Mixed-use zone may be permitted in a Mixed-Use PD Overlay zone.

Project Description

The Project would construct 3 buildings consisting of 225 multi-family residential units and approximately 13,449 square feet (SF) of commercial uses (restaurant/retail) in 5 spaces. The 225 multi-family residential units are comprised of 12 studios, 179 one-bedroom units, 31 two-bedroom units, and 3 three-bedroom units. The Project would include 191,453 SF of residential uses (including amenities), 13,449 SF of commercial uses, and 101,891 SF of above-ground parking, resulting in a total

¹ City of San Gabriel, Land Use Plan, 2004, <https://www.sangabrielcity.com/DocumentCenter/View/813/Copy-of-2004-GP-Land-Use-Map-SIGNED?bidId=>. Accessed June 9, 2023.

² It should be noted that the City's 2016 Zoning Map shows that the Project Site is zoned C-1 (Retail Commercial). Under State Clearinghouse (SCH) No. 2006061078, a Zone Change was approved for the Project Site which redesignated the Project Site to Planned Development Overlay (C-1(P-D)).

of 306,793 SF and a floor area ratio (FAR) of 2.44:1. The Project would locate one building (Building A) north of the Rubio Wash, fronting East Live Oak Street. The other two buildings (Building B fronting Pine Street and Building C fronting South San Gabriel Boulevard) would be south of the Rubio Wash. Building A would be a six-floor building consisting of 206 multi-family residential units comprised of 12 studios, 163 one-bedroom units, and 31 two-bedroom units. The ground floor would include 113 vehicle parking spaces, bike racks for both the residential and commercial uses (see Section 2.3.4 for more detail), a 1,261 SF amenity space/multi-purpose room/gym for the residents, a 1,682 SF retail space, a 3,240 SF residential lobby, a 6,316 SF retail space, and two restaurant spaces (2,000 SF and 1,722 SF). The second floor would include 102 vehicle parking spaces, residential units, and a 4,240 SF amenity space on the southern corner of the building. The third through sixth floor would comprise of only residential units. Two subterranean levels of parking would also be included. The first subterranean level would include 134 parking spaces long-term residential bike racks, and 49 storage lockers. The second subterranean level would include 83 parking spaces, long-term residential bike racks, and 87 storage lockers. Building A would have a maximum height of 70 feet and 7 inches to the top of the roof. Building A would be 77 feet and 2 inches inclusive of the feature tower roof.

Building B would be a two-story building consisting of 3 three-bedroom townhome units. Two-car garages would be attached to each townhome. Long-term residential bike racks and open space would be provided adjacent to the Rubio Wash.

Building C would be a four-floor building consisting of 16 multi-family residential units, all of which would be one-bedroom units. The ground floor would include a 1,729 SF restaurant space and residential units. The remaining floors would only consist of residential units. Short-term residential bike racks and open space would be provided adjacent to the Rubio Wash.

The Project would also include signage, security gates, and trash enclosures. The buildings' rooftops would be solar ready to include roof blocking, platform supports, and vacant conduits. The Project would be located adjacent to single-story scaled commercial and associated surface parking to the north and east and one- and two-story multi-family residential to the west and south. Buildings B and C would serve as transitions and buffers between the one- and two-story residential buildings to the six-story Building A.

The Project would be required to provide a total of 22,500 SF of publicly accessible open space area. The Project would provide 43,810 SF of open space, comprised of 27,048 SF of ground floor open space and 16,762 SF in a third floor courtyard. The Project would also include 10,667 square feet of private open space area in the form of residential balconies and patios. The Project includes open space along East Live Oak Street, South San Gabriel Boulevard, and along the Rubio Wash. Two amenity spaces would be provided in Building A on the ground floor and second floor.

The Project would provide a total of 438 vehicle parking spaces (351 for residential and 87 for commercial) consisting of 83 spaces on Building A's second subterranean level, 134 spaces on Building A's first subterranean level, 113 spaces on Building A's ground floor, 102 spaces on Building A's ground floor, and 6 spaces in Building B's private garages. Of the 438 vehicle parking spaces, 45 parking spaces would be designated for electric vehicles (EV) and 8 spaces would be designated for clean air, vanpool, and EV. Parking on the two subterranean levels and second above-ground floor would be for residents. Parking for the commercial uses will be located on the ground floor only. The Project also proposes a total of 76 bicycle parking spaces consisting of 56 long-term residential, 4 long-term commercial, 8 short-term residential (guest), and 8 short-term commercial.

Project construction is anticipated to occur as a single-phase, lasting approximately 25 months, beginning as early as February 2024 and ending as early as February 2026. For purposes of this environmental analysis, opening year is assumed to be 2026.

Grading for the proposed improvements would require cut and fill to create building pads. Maximum excavation depth would be 24.5 feet below ground surface, inclusive of foundations, pads, piers, and continuous footing. Project construction is estimated to require approximately 26,637 cubic yards (CY) of cut, 4,842 CY of fill, and 21,795 CY of export.

Regulatory Framework

Federal

To date, national standards have not been established for nationwide GHG reduction targets, nor have any regulations or legislation been enacted specifically to address climate change and GHG emissions reduction at the project level. Various efforts have been promulgated at the federal level to improve fuel economy and energy efficiency to address climate change and its associated effects.

Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007

The Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (December 2007), among other key measures, requires the following, which would aid in the reduction of national GHG emissions:

- Increase the supply of alternative fuel sources by setting a mandatory Renewable Fuel Standard requiring fuel producers to use at least 36 billion gallons of biofuel in 2022.
- Set a target of 35 miles per gallon for the combined fleet of cars and light trucks by model year 2020 and direct the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) to establish a fuel economy program for medium- and heavy-duty trucks and create a separate fuel economy standard for work trucks.
- Prescribe or revise standards affecting regional efficiency for heating and cooling products and procedures for new or amended standards, energy conservation, energy efficiency

labeling for consumer electronic products, residential boiler efficiency, electric motor efficiency, and home appliances.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Endangerment Finding

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) authority to regulate GHG emissions stems from the U.S. Supreme Court decision in *Massachusetts v. EPA* (2007). The Supreme Court ruled that GHGs meet the definition of air pollutants under the existing Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA) and must be regulated if these gases could be reasonably anticipated to endanger public health or welfare. Responding to the Court's ruling, the EPA finalized an endangerment finding in December 2009. Based on scientific evidence it found that six GHGs (carbon dioxide [CO₂], methane [CH₄], nitrous oxide [N₂O], hydrofluorocarbons [HFCs], perfluorocarbons [PFCs], and sulfur hexafluoride [SF₆]) constitute a threat to public health and welfare. Thus, it is the Supreme Court's interpretation of the existing FCAA and the EPA's assessment of the scientific evidence that form the basis for the EPA's regulatory actions.

Federal Vehicle Standards

In response to the U.S. Supreme Court ruling discussed above, Executive Order 13432 was issued in 2007 directing the EPA, the Department of Transportation, and the Department of Energy to establish regulations that reduce GHG emissions from motor vehicles, non-road vehicles, and non-road engines by 2008. In 2009, the NHTSA issued a final rule regulating fuel efficiency and GHG emissions from cars and light-duty trucks for model year 2011, and in 2010, the EPA and NHTSA issued a final rule regulating cars and light-duty trucks for model years 2012–2016.

In 2010, an Executive Memorandum was issued directing the Department of Transportation, Department of Energy, EPA, and NHTSA to establish additional standards regarding fuel efficiency and GHG reduction, clean fuels, and advanced vehicle infrastructure. In response to this directive, the EPA and NHTSA proposed stringent, coordinated federal GHG and fuel economy standards for model years 2017–2025 light-duty vehicles. The proposed standards projected to achieve 163 grams per mile of CO₂ in model year 2025, on an average industry fleet-wide basis, which is equivalent to 54.5 miles per gallon if this level were achieved solely through fuel efficiency.

On April 2, 2018, the Administrator signed the Mid-term Evaluation Final Determination which finds that the model year 2022-2025 greenhouse gas standards are not appropriate in light of the record before EPA and, therefore, should be revised.³

On September 19, 2019, under the Safer, Affordable, Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule, the U.S. Department of Transportation's NHTSA and the U.S. EPA issued the final "One National Program

3 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Midterm Evaluation of Light-Duty Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Emissions Standards for Model Years 2022-2025, <https://www.epa.gov/regulations-emissions-vehicles-and-engines/midterm-evaluation-light-duty-vehicle-greenhouse-gas>. Accessed June 15, 2023.

Rule.” The rule states that federal law preempts state and local laws regarding tailpipe GHG emissions standards, zero emissions vehicle (ZEV) mandates, and fuel economy for automobiles and light duty trucks. The rule revokes California’s Clean Air Act waiver and preempts California’s Advanced Clean Car Regulations.^{4,5}

On September 20, 2019, a lawsuit was filed by California and a coalition of 22 other states, and the cities of Los Angeles, New York and Washington, D.C., in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia (Case 1:19-cv-02826) challenging the SAFE Rule and arguing that EPA lacks the legal authority to withdraw the California waiver. In April 2021, the EPA announced it would reconsider its previous withdrawal and grant California permission to set more stringent climate requirements for cars and SUVs. On March 9, 2022, the U.S. EPA restored California’s 2013 waiver to full force, including both its GHG standards and ZEV sales requirements.

Presidential Executive Orders 13990 and 14008

On January 20, 2021, President Biden issued Executive Order 13990, "Protecting Public Health and the Environment and Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis". Executive Order 13990 directs Federal agencies to immediately review and take action to address the promulgation of Federal regulations and other actions that conflict with these important national objectives and to immediately commence work to confront the climate crisis. Executive Order 13990 directs the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to review CEQ’s 2020 regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and identify necessary changes or actions to meet the objectives of Executive Order 13990.

Executive Order 13390 also directs the EPA to consider whether to propose suspending, revising, or rescinding the standards previously revised under the “The Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021-2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks,” promulgated in April 2020.

On January 27, 2021, President Biden signed Executive Order 14008, "Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad," to declare the Administration’s policy to move quickly to build resilience, both at home and abroad, against the impacts of climate change that are already manifest and will continue to intensify according to current trajectories. In line with these Executive Order directives, CEQ is reviewing the 2020 NEPA regulations and plans to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to identify necessary revisions in order to comply with the law; meet the environmental, climate change, and environmental justice objectives of Executive Orders 13990 and 14008; ensure full and fair public involvement in the NEPA process; provide regulatory certainty to stakeholders; and

4 U.S. Department of Transportation and U.S. EPA. 2019. One National Program Rule on Federal Preemption of State Fuel Economy Standards, <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi?Dockkey=P100X14W.pdf>. Accessed June 15, 2023.

5 Southern California Association of Governments, Final Federal Safer, Affordable, Fuel-Efficient Vehicles Rule Part I (Supplemental Report), 2019, https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/eec_item8_rc_item10_supplemental_report.pdf?1604641275. Accessed June 15, 2023.

promote better decision making consistent with NEPA's statutory requirements. This phase 1 rulemaking will propose a narrow set of changes to the 2020 NEPA regulations to address these goals

State

California Air Resources Board

The California Air Resources Board (CARB) is responsible for the coordination and oversight of State and local air pollution control programs in California. Various statewide and local initiatives to reduce California's contribution to GHG emissions have raised awareness about climate change and its potential for severe long-term adverse environmental, social, and economic effects. California is a significant emitter of CO₂ equivalents (CO₂e) in the world and produced 459 million gross metric tons of CO₂e in 2013. In the State, the transportation sector is the largest emitter of GHGs, followed by industrial operations such as manufacturing and oil and gas extraction.

The State of California legislature has enacted a series of bills that constitute the most aggressive program to reduce GHGs of any state in the nation. Some legislation, such as the landmark Assembly Bill (AB) 32, *California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006*, was specifically enacted to address GHG emissions. Other legislation, such as Title 24 building efficiency standards and Title 20 appliance energy standards, were originally adopted for other purposes such as energy and water conservation, but also provide GHG reductions. This section describes the major provisions of the legislation.

Assembly Bill 32 (California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006)

AB 32 instructs the CARB to develop and enforce regulations for the reporting and verification of statewide GHG emissions. AB 32 also directed CARB to set a GHG emissions limit based on 1990 levels, to be achieved by 2020. It set a timeline for adopting a scoping plan for achieving GHG reductions in a technologically and economically feasible manner.

Climate Change Scoping Plan

The Scoping Plan is a GHG reduction roadmap developed and updated by CARB at least once every five years, as required by AB 32. It lays out the transformations needed across various sectors to reduce GHG emissions and reach the State's climate targets. CARB published the Final 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality (2022 Scoping Plan Update) in November 2022, as the third update to the initial plan that was adopted in 2008. The initial 2008 Scoping Plan laid out a path to achieve the AB 32 target of returning to 1990 levels of GHG emissions by 2020, a reduction of approximately 15 percent below business as usual activities.⁶ The 2008 Scoping Plan included a mix of incentives, regulations, and carbon pricing, laying out the portfolio approach to addressing climate change and clearly making the case for using multiple tools to meet California's GHG targets. The 2013

⁶ CARB, Climate Change Scoping Plan, 2008, ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/cc/scopingplan/document/adopted_scoping_plan.pdf. Accessed June 15, 2023.

Scoping Plan Update (adopted in 2014) assessed progress toward achieving the 2020 target and made the case for addressing short-lived climate pollutants (SLCPs).⁷ The 2017 Scoping Plan Update,⁸ shifted focus to the newer Senate Bill (SB) 32 goal of a 40 percent reduction below 1990 levels by 2030 by laying out a detailed cost-effective and technologically feasible path to this target, and also assessed progress towards achieving the AB 32 goal of returning to 1990 GHG levels by 2020. The 2020 goal was ultimately reached in 2016, four years ahead of the schedule called for under AB 32.

The 2022 Scoping Plan Update is the most comprehensive and far-reaching Scoping Plan developed to date. It identifies a technologically feasible, cost-effective, and equity-focused path to achieve new targets for carbon neutrality by 2045 and to reduce anthropogenic GHG emissions to at least 85 percent below 1990 levels, while also assessing the progress California is making toward reducing its GHG emissions by at least 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030, as called for in SB 32 and laid out in the 2017 Scoping Plan. The 2030 target is an interim but important stepping stone along the critical path to the broader goal of deep decarbonization by 2045. The relatively longer path assessed in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update incorporates, coordinates, and leverages many existing and ongoing efforts to reduce GHGs and air pollution, while identifying new clean technologies and energy. Given the focus on carbon neutrality, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update also includes discussion for the first time of the natural and working lands sectors as sources for both sequestration and carbon storage, and as sources of emissions as a result of wildfires.

Table 1: Estimated Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reductions in the 2022 Scoping Plan

Emissions Scenario	GHG Emissions (MMTCO ₂ e)
2019	
2019 State GHG Emissions	404
2030	
2030 BAU Forecast	312
2030 GHG Emissions without Carbon Removal and Capture	233
2030 GHG Emissions with Carbon Removal and Capture	226
2030 Emissions Target Set by AB 32 (i.e., 1990 level by 2030)	260
Reduction below Business-As-Usual necessary to achieve 1990 levels by 2030	52 (16.7%) ^a
2045	
2045 BAU Forecast	266
2045 GHG Emissions without Carbon Removal and Capture	72
2045 GHG Emissions with Carbon Removal and Capture	(3)
MMTCO ₂ e = million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalents; parenthetical numbers represent negative values.	
^a 312 – 260 = 52. 52 / 312 = 16.7%	
Source: CARB, Final 2022 Climate Change Scoping Plan, November 2022.	

⁷ CARB, First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan, May 2014, ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/cc/scopingplan/2013_update/first_update_climate_change_scoping_plan.pdf. Accessed June 15, 2023.

⁸ CARB, California’s 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan, November 2017, ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/cc/scopingplan/scoping_plan_2017.pdf. Accessed June 15, 2023.

The 2022 Scoping Plan Update reflects existing and recent direction in the Governor’s Executive Orders and State Statutes, which identify policies, strategies, and regulations in support of and implementation of the Scoping Plan. Among these include Executive Order B-55-18 and AB 1279 (The California Climate Crisis Act), which identify the 2045 carbon neutrality and GHG reduction targets required for the Scoping Plan.

Table 2: Major Climate Legislation and Executive Orders Enacted Since the 2017 Scoping Plan provides a summary of major climate legislation and executive orders issued since the adoption of the 2017 Scoping Plan.

Table 2: Major Climate Legislation and Executive Orders Enacted Since the 2017 Scoping Plan	
Bill/Executive Order	Summary
<p>Assembly Bill 1279 (AB 1279) (Muratsuchi, Chapter 337, Statutes of 2022)</p> <p><i>The California Climate Crisis Act</i></p>	<p>AB 1279 establishes the policy of the state to achieve carbon neutrality as soon as possible, but no later than 2045; to maintain net negative GHG emissions thereafter; and to ensure that by 2045 statewide anthropogenic GHG emissions are reduced at least 85 percent below 1990 levels. The bill requires CARB to ensure that the Scoping Plan updates identify and recommend measures to achieve carbon neutrality, and to identify and implement policies and strategies that enable CO₂ removal solutions and carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) technologies.</p> <p>This bill is reflected directly in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update.</p>
<p>Senate Bill 905 (SB 905) (Caballero, Chapter 359, Statutes of 2022)</p> <p><i>Carbon Capture, Removal, Utilization, and Storage Program</i></p>	<p>SB 905 requires CARB to create the Carbon Capture, Removal, Utilization, and Storage Program to evaluate, demonstrate, and regulate CCUS and carbon dioxide removal (CDR) projects and technology.</p> <p>The bill requires CARB, on or before January 1, 2025, to adopt regulations creating a unified state permitting application for approval of CCUS and CDR projects. The bill also requires the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency to publish a framework for governing agreements for two or more tracts of land overlying the same geologic storage reservoir for the purposes of a carbon sequestration project.</p> <p>The 2022 Scoping Plan Update modeling reflects both CCUS and CDR contributions to achieve carbon neutrality.</p>
<p>Senate Bill 846 (SB 846) (Dodd, Chapter 239, Statutes of 2022)</p> <p><i>Diablo Canyon Powerplant: Extension of Operations</i></p>	<p>SB 846 extends the Diablo Canyon Power Plant’s sunset date by up to five additional years for each of its two units and seeks to make the nuclear power plant eligible for federal loans. The bill requires that the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) not include and disallow a load-serving entity from including in their adopted resource plan, the energy, capacity, or any attribute from the Diablo Canyon power plant.</p> <p>The 2022 Scoping Plan Update explains the emissions impact of this legislation.</p>
<p>Senate Bill 1020 (SB 1020) (Laird, Chapter 361, Statutes of 2022)</p> <p><i>Clean Energy, Jobs, and Affordability Act of 2022</i></p>	<p>SB 1020 adds interim renewable energy and zero carbon energy retail sales of electricity targets to California end-use customers set at 90 percent in 2035 and 95 percent in 2040. It accelerates the timeline required to have 100 percent renewable energy and zero carbon energy procured to serve state agencies from the original target year of 2045 to 2035. This bill requires each state agency to individually achieve the 100 percent goal by 2035 with specified</p>

Table 2: Major Climate Legislation and Executive Orders Enacted Since the 2017 Scoping Plan	
Bill/Executive Order	Summary
	<p>requirements. This bill requires the CPUC, California Energy Commission (CEC), and CARB, on or before December 1, 2023, and annually thereafter, to issue a joint reliability progress report that reviews system and local reliability.</p> <p>The bill also modifies the requirement for CARB to hold a portion of its Scoping Plan workshops in regions of the state with the most significant exposure to air pollutants by further specifying that this includes communities with minority populations or low-income communities in areas designated as being in extreme federal non-attainment.</p> <p>The 2022 Scoping Plan Update describes the implications of this legislation on emissions.</p>
<p>Senate Bill 1137 (SB 1137) (Gonzales, Chapter 365, Statutes of 2022)</p> <p><i>Oil & Gas Operations: Location Restrictions: Notice of Intention: Health protection zone: Sensitive receptors</i></p>	<p>SB 1137 prohibits the development of new oil and gas wells or infrastructure in health protection zones, as defined, except for purposes of public health and safety or other limited exceptions. The bill requires operators of existing oil and gas wells or infrastructure within health protection zones to undertake specified monitoring, public notice, and nuisance requirements. The bill requires CARB to consult and concur with the California Geologic Energy Management Division (CalGEM) on leak detection and repair plans for these facilities, adopt regulations as necessary to implement emission detection system standards, and collaborate with CalGEM on public access to emissions detection data.</p>
<p>Senate Bill 1075 (SB 1075) (Skinner, Chapter 363, Statutes of 2022)</p> <p><i>Hydrogen: Green Hydrogen: Emissions of Greenhouse Gases</i></p>	<p>SB 1075 requires CARB, by June 1, 2024, to prepare an evaluation that includes: policy recommendations regarding the use of hydrogen, and specifically the use of green hydrogen, in California; a description of strategies supporting hydrogen infrastructure, including identifying policies that promote the reduction of GHGs and short-lived climate pollutants; a description of other forms of hydrogen to achieve emission reductions; an analysis of curtailed electricity; an estimate of GHG and emission reductions that could be achieved through deployment of green hydrogen through a variety of scenarios; an analysis of the potential for opportunities to integrate hydrogen production and applications with drinking water supply treatment needs; policy recommendations for regulatory and permitting processes associated with transmitting and distributing hydrogen from production sites to end uses; an analysis of the life-cycle GHG emissions from various forms of hydrogen production; and an analysis of air pollution and other environmental impacts from hydrogen distribution and end uses.</p> <p>This bill would inform the production of hydrogen at the scale called for in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update.</p>
<p>Assembly Bill 1757 (AB 1757) (Garcia, Chapter 341, Statutes of 2022)</p> <p><i>California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: Climate Goal: Natural and Working Lands</i></p>	<p>AB 1757 requires the California Natural Resources Agency (CNRA), in collaboration with CARB, other state agencies, and an expert advisory committee, to determine a range of targets for natural carbon sequestration, and for nature-based climate solutions, that reduce GHG emissions in 2030, 2038, and 2045 by January 1, 2024. These targets must support state goals to achieve carbon neutrality and foster climate adaptation and resilience.</p> <p>This bill also requires CARB to develop standard methods for state agencies to consistently track GHG emissions and reductions, carbon sequestration, and additional benefits from natural and working lands over time. These methods</p>

Table 2: Major Climate Legislation and Executive Orders Enacted Since the 2017 Scoping Plan	
Bill/Executive Order	Summary
	<p>will account for GHG emissions reductions of CO2, methane, and nitrous oxide related to natural and working lands and the potential impacts of climate change on the ability to reduce GHG emissions and sequester carbon from natural and working lands, where feasible.</p> <p>This 2022 Scoping Plan Update describes the next steps and implications of this legislation for the natural and working lands sector.</p>
<p>Senate Bill 1206 (SB 1206) (Skinner, Chapter 884, Statutes of 2022)</p> <p><i>Hydrofluorocarbon gases: sale or distribution</i></p>	<p>SB 1206 mandates a stepped sales prohibition on newly produced high- global warming potential (GWP) HFCs to transition California’s economy toward recycled and reclaimed HFCs for servicing existing HFC-based equipment. Additionally, SB 1206 also requires CARB to develop regulations to increase the adoption of very low-, i.e., GWP < 10, and no-GWP technologies in sectors that currently rely on higher-GWP HFCs.</p>
<p>Senate Bill 27 (SB 27) (Skinner, Chapter 237, Statutes of 2021)</p> <p><i>Carbon Sequestration: State Goals: Natural and Working Lands: Registry of Projects</i></p>	<p>SB 27 requires CNRA, in coordination with other state agencies, to establish the Natural and Working Lands Climate Smart Strategy by July 1, 2023. This bill also requires CARB to establish specified CO₂ removal targets for 2030 and beyond as part of its Scoping Plan. Under SB 27, CNRA is to establish and maintain a registry to identify projects in the state that drive climate action on natural and working lands and are seeking funding.</p> <p>CNRA also must track carbon removal and GHG emission reduction benefits derived from projects funded through the registry.</p> <p>This bill is reflected directly in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update as CO₂ removal targets for 2030 and 2045 in support of carbon neutrality.</p>
<p>Senate Bill 596 (SB 596) (Becker, Chapter 246, Statutes of 2021)</p> <p><i>Greenhouse Gases: Cement Sector: Net- zero Emissions Strategy</i></p>	<p>SB 596 requires CARB, by July 1, 2023, to develop a comprehensive strategy for the state’s cement sector to achieve net-zero-emissions of GHGs associated with cement used within the state as soon as possible, but no later than December 31, 2045. The bill establishes an interim target of 40 percent below the 2019 average GHG intensity of cement by December 31, 2035. Under SB 596, CARB must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> › Define a metric for GHG intensity and establish a baseline from which to measure GHG intensity reductions. › Evaluate the feasibility of the 2035 interim target (40 percent reduction in GHG intensity) by July 1, 2028. › Coordinate and consult with other state agencies. › Prioritize actions that leverage state and federal incentives. › Evaluate measures to support market demand and financial incentives to encourage the production and use of cement with low GHG intensity. <p>The 2022 Scoping Plan Update modeling is designed to achieve these outcomes.</p>
<p>Executive Order N-82-20</p>	<p>Governor Newsom signed Executive Order N-82-20 in October 2020 to combat the climate and biodiversity crises by setting a statewide goal to conserve at least 30 percent of California’s land and coastal waters by 2030. The Executive Order also instructed the CNRA, in consultation with other state agencies, to</p>

Table 2: Major Climate Legislation and Executive Orders Enacted Since the 2017 Scoping Plan	
Bill/Executive Order	Summary
	<p>develop a Natural and Working Lands Climate Smart Strategy that serves as a framework to advance the state’s carbon neutrality goal and build climate resilience. In addition to setting a statewide conservation goal, the Executive Order directed CARB to update the target for natural and working lands in support of carbon neutrality as part of this Scoping Plan, and to take into consideration the NWL Climate Smart Strategy.</p> <p>CO₂ Executive Order N-82-20 also calls on the CNRA, in consultation with other state agencies, to establish the California Biodiversity Collaborative (Collaborative). The Collaborative shall be made up of governmental partners, California Native American tribes, experts, business and community leaders, and other stakeholders from across the state. State agencies will consult the Collaborative on efforts to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establish a baseline assessment of California’s biodiversity that builds upon existing data and can be updated over time. • Analyze and project the impact of climate change and other stressors in California’s biodiversity. • Inventory current biodiversity efforts across all sectors and highlight opportunities for additional action to preserve and enhance biodiversity. <p>CNRA also is tasked with advancing efforts to conserve biodiversity through various actions, such as streamlining the state’s process to approve and facilitate projects related to environmental restoration and land management. The California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) is directed to advance efforts to conserve biodiversity through measures such as reinvigorating populations of pollinator insects, which restore biodiversity and improve agricultural production.</p> <p>The Natural and Working Lands Climate Smart Strategy informs the 2022 Scoping Plan Update.</p>
Executive Order N-79-20	<p>Governor Newsom signed Executive Order N-79-20 in September 2020 to establish targets for the transportation sector to support the state in its goal to achieve carbon neutrality by 2045. The targets established in this Executive Order are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100 percent of in-state sales of new passenger cars and trucks will be zero-emission by 2035. • 100 percent of medium- and heavy-duty vehicles will be zero-emission by 2045 for all operations where feasible, and by 2035 for drayage trucks. • 100 percent of off-road vehicles and equipment will be zero-emission by 2035 where feasible. <p>The Executive Order also tasked CARB to develop and propose regulations that require increasing volumes of zero- electric passenger vehicles, medium- and heavy-duty vehicles, drayage trucks, and off-road vehicles toward their corresponding targets of 100 percent zero-emission by 2035 or 2045, as listed above.</p>

Table 2: Major Climate Legislation and Executive Orders Enacted Since the 2017 Scoping Plan	
Bill/Executive Order	Summary
	The 2022 Scoping Plan Update modeling reflects achieving these targets.
Executive Order N-19-19	<p>Governor Newsom signed Executive Order N-19-19 in September 2019 to direct state government to redouble its efforts to reduce GHG emissions and mitigate the impacts of climate change while building a sustainable, inclusive economy. This Executive Order instructs the Department of Finance to create a Climate Investment Framework that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Includes a proactive strategy for the state’s pension funds that reflects the increased risks to the economy and physical environment due to climate change. • Provides a timeline and criteria to shift investments to companies and industry sectors with greater growth potential based on their focus of reducing carbon emissions and adapting to the impacts of climate change. • Aligns with the fiduciary responsibilities of the California Public Employees’ Retirement System, California State Teachers’ Retirement System, and the University of California Retirement Program. <p>Executive Order N-19-19 directs the State Transportation Agency to leverage more than \$5 billion in annual state transportation spending to help reverse the trend of increased fuel consumption and reduce GHG emissions associated with the transportation sector. It also calls on the Department of General Services to leverage its management and ownership of the state’s 19 million square feet in managed buildings, 51,000 vehicles, and other physical assets and goods to minimize state government’s carbon footprint. Finally, it tasks CARB with accelerating progress toward California’s goal of five million ZEV sales by 2030 by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developing new criteria for clean vehicle incentive programs to encourage manufacturers to produce clean, affordable cars. • Proposing new strategies to increase demand in the primary and secondary markets for ZEVs. • Considering strengthening existing regulations or adopting new ones to achieve the necessary GHG reductions from within the transportation sector. <p>The 2022 Scoping Plan Update modeling reflects efforts to accelerate ZEV deployment.</p>
Senate Bill 576 (SB 576) (Umberg, Chapter 374, Statutes of 2019) <i>Coastal Resources: Climate Ready Program and Coastal Climate Change Adaptation, Infrastructure and Readiness Program</i>	<p>Sea level rise, combined with storm-driven waves, poses a direct risk to the state’s coastal resources, including public and private real property and infrastructure. Rising marine waters threaten sensitive coastal areas, habitats, the survival of threatened and endangered species, beaches, other recreation areas, and urban waterfronts. SB 576 mandates that the Ocean Protection Council develop and implement a coastal climate adaptation, infrastructure, and readiness program to improve the climate change resiliency of California’s coastal communities, infrastructure, and habitat. This bill also instructs the State Coastal Conservancy to administer the Climate Ready Program, which addresses the impacts and potential impacts of climate change on resources within the conservancy’s jurisdiction.</p>

Table 2: Major Climate Legislation and Executive Orders Enacted Since the 2017 Scoping Plan	
Bill/Executive Order	Summary
<p>Assembly Bill 65 (AB 65) (Petrie-Norris, Chapter 347, Statutes of 2019)</p> <p><i>Coastal Protection: Climate Adaption: Project Prioritization: Natural Infrastructure: Local General Plans</i></p>	<p>This bill requires the State Coastal Conservancy, when it allocates any funding appropriated pursuant to the California Drought, Water, Parks, Climate, Coastal Protection, and Outdoor Access For All Act of 2018, to prioritize projects that use natural infrastructure in coastal communities to help adapt to climate change. The bill requires the conservancy to provide information to the Office of Planning and Research on any projects funded pursuant to the above provision to be considered for inclusion into the clearinghouse for climate adaptation information. The bill authorizes the conservancy to provide technical assistance to coastal communities to better assist them with their projects that use natural infrastructure.</p>
<p>Executive Order B-55-18</p>	<p>Governor Brown signed Executive Order B-55-18 in September 2018 to establish a statewide goal to achieve carbon neutrality as soon as possible, and no later than 2045, and to achieve and maintain net negative emissions thereafter. Policies and programs undertaken to achieve this goal shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seek to improve air quality and support the health and economic resiliency of urban and rural communities, particularly low-income and disadvantaged communities. • Be implemented in a manner that supports climate adaptation and biodiversity, including protection of the state’s water supply, water quality, and native plants and animals. <p>This Executive Order also calls for CARB to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop a framework for implementation and accounting that tracks progress toward this goal. • Ensure future Scoping Plans identify and recommend measures to achieve the carbon neutrality goal. <p>The 2022 Scoping Plan Update is designed to achieve carbon neutrality no later than 2045 and the modeling includes technology and fuel transitions to achieve that outcome.</p>
<p>Senate Bill 100 (SB 100) (De León, Chapter 312, Statutes of 2018)</p> <p><i>California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program: emissions of greenhouse gases</i></p>	<p>Under SB 100, the CPUC, CEC, and CARB shall use programs under existing laws to achieve 100 percent clean electricity. The statute requires these agencies to issue a joint policy report on SB 100 every four years. The first of these reports was issued in 2021.</p> <p>The 2022 Scoping Plan Update reflects the SB 100 Core Scenario resource mix with a few minor updates.</p>
<p>Assembly Bill 2127 (AB 2127) (Ting, Chapter 365, Statutes of 2018)</p> <p><i>Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure: Assessment</i></p>	<p>This bill requires the CEC, working with CARB and the CPUC, to prepare and biennially update a statewide assessment of the electric vehicle charging infrastructure needed to support the levels of electric vehicle adoption required for the state to meet its goals of putting at least 5 million ZEVs on California roads by 2030 and of reducing emissions of GHGs to 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030. The bill requires the CEC to regularly seek data and input from stakeholders relating to electric vehicle charging infrastructure.</p> <p>This bill supports the deployment of ZEVs as modeled in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update.</p>

Table 2: Major Climate Legislation and Executive Orders Enacted Since the 2017 Scoping Plan	
Bill/Executive Order	Summary
<p>Senate Bill 30 (SB 30) (Lara, Chapter 614, Statutes of 2018)</p> <p><i>Insurance: Climate Change</i></p>	<p>This bill requires the Insurance Commissioner to convene a working group to identify, assess, and recommend risk transfer market mechanisms that, among other things, promote investment in natural infrastructure to reduce the risks of climate change related to catastrophic events, create incentives for investment in natural infrastructure to reduce risks to communities, and provide mitigation incentives for private investment in natural lands to lessen exposure and reduce climate risks to public safety, property, utilities, and infrastructure. The bill requires the policies recommended to address specified questions.</p>
<p>Assembly Bill 2061 (AB 2061) (Frazier, Chapter 580, Statutes of 2018)</p> <p><i>Near-zero-emission and Zero-emission Vehicles</i></p>	<p>Existing state and federal law sets specified limits on the total gross weight imposed on the highway by a vehicle with any group of two or more consecutive axles. Under existing federal law, the maximum gross vehicle weight of that vehicle may not exceed 82,000 pounds. AB 2061 authorizes a near-zero-emission vehicle or a zero-emission vehicle to exceed the weight limits on the power unit by up to 2,000 pounds.</p> <p>This bill supports the deployment of cleaner trucks as modeled in this 2022 Scoping Plan Update.</p>

The 2022 Scoping Plan Scenario identifies the need to accelerate AB 32’s 2030 target, from 40 percent to 48 percent below 1990 levels. Cap-and-Trade regulation continues to play a large factor in the reduction of near-term emissions for meeting the 2030 reduction target. Every sector of the economy will need to begin to transition in this decade to meet these GHG reduction goals and achieve carbon neutrality no later than 2045. The 2022 Scoping Plan Update approaches decarbonization from two perspectives, managing a phasedown of existing energy sources and technologies, as well as increasing, developing, and deploying alternative clean energy sources and technology. The Scoping Plan Scenario is summarized in Table 2-1 starting on page 72 of the Scoping Plan. It includes references to relevant statutes and Executive Orders, although it is not comprehensive of all existing new authorities for directing or supporting the actions described. Table 2-1 identifies actions related to a variety of sectors such as: smart growth and reductions in Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT); light-duty vehicles (LDV) and ZEV; truck ZEVs; reduce fossil energy, emissions, and GHGs for aviation ocean-going vessels, port operations, freight and passenger rail, oil and gas extraction; and petroleum refining; improvements in electricity generation; electrical appliances in new and existing residential and commercial buildings; electrification and emission reductions across industries such as the for food products, construction equipment, chemicals and allied products, pulp and paper, stone/clay/glass/cement, other industrial manufacturing, and agriculture; retiring of combined heat and power facilities; low carbon fuels for transportation, business, and industry; improvements in non-combustion methane emissions, and introduction of low GWP refrigerants.

Achieving the targets described in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update will require continued commitment to and successful implementation of existing policies and programs, and identification of new policy tools and technical solutions to go further, faster. California’s Legislature and state agencies will continue to collaborate to achieve the state’s climate, clean air, equity, and broader economic and environmental protection goals. It will be necessary to maintain and strengthen this collaborative effort, and to draw upon the assistance of the federal government, regional and local governments, tribes, communities, academic institutions, and the private sector to achieve the state’s near-term and longer-term emission reduction goals and a more equitable future for all Californians. The Scoping Plan acknowledges that the path forward is not dependent on one agency, one state, or even one country. However, the State can lead by engaging Californians and demonstrating how actions at the state, regional, and local levels of governments, as well as action at community and individual levels, can contribute to addressing the challenge.

Aligning local jurisdiction action with state-level priorities to tackle climate change and the outcomes called for in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update is identified as critical to achieving the statutory targets for 2030 and 2045. The 2022 Scoping Plan Update discusses the role of local governments in meeting the State’s GHG reductions goals. Local governments have the primary authority to plan, zone, approve, and permit how and where land is developed to accommodate population growth, economic growth, and the changing needs of their jurisdictions. They also make critical decisions on how and when to deploy transportation infrastructure, and can choose to support transit, walking, bicycling, and neighborhoods that do not force people into cars. Local governments also have the option to adopt building ordinances that exceed statewide building code requirements and play a critical role in facilitating the rollout of ZEV infrastructure. As a result, local government decisions play a critical role in supporting state-level measures to contain the growth of GHG emissions associated with the transportation system and the built environment—the two largest GHG emissions sectors over which local governments have authority. The City has taken the initiative in combating climate change by developing programs and regulations such as San Gabriel Goes Green Sustainability Action Plan and Energy Action Plan (EAP). Each of these is discussed further below.

California Regulations and Building Codes

California has a long history of adopting regulations to improve energy efficiency in new and remodeled buildings. These regulations have kept California’s energy consumption relatively flat even with rapid population growth.

Title 20 Appliance Efficiency Regulations. The appliance efficiency regulations (California Code of Regulations [CCR] Title 20, Sections 1601-1608) include standards for new appliances. Twenty-three categories of appliances are included in the scope of these regulations. These standards include minimum levels of operating efficiency, and other cost-effective measures, to promote the use of energy- and water-efficient appliances.

Title 24 Building Energy Efficiency Standards. California’s Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings (CCR Title 24, Part 6) was first adopted in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California’s energy consumption. The standards are updated periodically to allow consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficient technologies and methods. Energy efficient buildings require less electricity; therefore, increased energy efficiency reduces fossil fuel consumption and decreases GHG emissions. The 2016 Building Energy Efficiency Standards approved on January 19, 2016, went into effect on January 1, 2017. The 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards were adopted on May 9, 2018 and went into effect on January 1, 2020. Under the 2019 standards, homes will use about 53 percent less energy and nonresidential buildings will use about 30 percent less energy than buildings under the 2016 standards.

On August 11, 2021, the CEC adopted the 2022 Building Energy Efficiency Standards (2022 Energy Code). In December 2022, it was approved by the California Building Standards Commission for inclusion into the California Building Standards Code. The 2022 Energy Code encourages efficient electric heat pumps, establishes electric-ready requirements for new homes, expands solar photovoltaic and battery storage standards, strengthens ventilation standards, and more. Buildings whose permit applications are applied for on or after January 1, 2023, must comply with the 2022 Energy Code.⁹

Title 24 California Green Building Standards Code. The California Green Building Standards Code (CCR Title 24, Part 11) commonly referred to as the CALGreen Code, is a Statewide mandatory construction code developed and adopted by the California Building Standards Commission and the Department of Housing and Community Development. The CALGreen Code standards require new residential and commercial buildings to comply with mandatory measures under the topics of planning and design, energy efficiency, water efficiency/conservation, material conservation and resource efficiency, and environmental quality. CALGreen also provides voluntary tiers and measures that local governments may adopt that encourage or require additional measures in the five green building topics. The most recent update to the CALGreen Code went into effect January 1, 2023 (2022 CALGreen). The 2022 CALGreen standards continue to improve upon the existing standards for new construction of, and additions and alterations to, residential and nonresidential buildings.

Regional

South Coast Air Quality Management District Thresholds

The SCAQMD formed a GHG California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Significance Threshold Working Group to provide guidance to local lead agencies on determining significance for GHG emissions in their CEQA documents. This Working Group was formed to assist SCAQMD’s efforts to

⁹ California Energy Commission, 2022 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, <https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiency-standards/2022-building-energy-efficiency>. Accessed July 15, 2023.

develop a GHG significance threshold and included a wide variety of stakeholders including the State Office of Planning and Research (OPR), CARB, the Attorney General's Office, a variety of city and county planning departments in the South Coast Air Basin, various utilities such as sanitation and power companies throughout the South Coast Air Basin, industry groups, and environmental and professional organizations.

On December 5, 2008, the SCAQMD Governing Board adopted a 10,000 metric tons of CO₂e (MTCO₂e) industrial threshold for projects where the SCAQMD is the lead agency. However, the SCAQMD has not announced when a GHG threshold for land use projects will be presented to the governing board where the SCAQMD is not the lead agency. The Working Group proposed a 3,000 MTCO₂e threshold for non-industrial projects, but that threshold has not been formally adopted. During Working Group Meeting #7 it was explained that this threshold was derived using a 90 percent capture rate of a large sampling of industrial facilities. During Meeting #8, the Working Group defined industrial uses as production, manufacturing, and fabrication activities or storage and distribution (e.g., warehouse, transfer facility, etc.). The Working Group indicated that the threshold applies to both emissions from construction and operational phases plus indirect emissions (electricity, water use, etc.). The SCAQMD concluded that projects with emissions less than the screening threshold would not result in a significant cumulative impact.

Southern California Association of Governments

The Southern California Association of Government's (SCAG) Regional Council adopted the Connect SoCal (2020 - 2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy [2020 RTP/SCS]) on September 3, 2020. The RTP/SCS charts a course for closely integrating land use and transportation so that the region can grow smartly and sustainably. The strategy was prepared through a collaborative, continuous, and comprehensive process with input from local governments, county transportation commissions, tribal governments, non-profit organizations, businesses, and local stakeholders within the counties of Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura. The RTP/SCS is a long-range vision plan that balances future mobility and housing needs with economic, environmental, and public health goals. The SCAG region strives toward sustainability through integrated land use and transportation planning. The SCAG region must achieve specific federal air quality standards and is required by state law to lower regional GHG emissions.

Local

San Gabriel Goes Green Goes Green

In 2009, the City adopted the San Gabriel Goes Green 2009 Sustainability Action Plan. The Sustainability Action Plan was prepared in response to the City adopting the first Green Action Strategy focused on how municipal operations can set an example for the community to develop a

more sustainable future. The Sustainability Action Plan outlines a set of actions identified to achieve sustainability goals related to waste reduction, water conservation, and energy conservation.

City of San Gabriel Energy Action Plan

In November 2012, the City approved the first Energy Action Plan (EAP). The Plan was created in partnership with the San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG) and Southern California Edison (SCE). The Plan was prepared to follow the guidance of California's Long Term Energy Efficiency Strategic Plan (CEESP) but also to identify a clear path to successfully implementing actions, policies, and goals that will achieve the City's reduction targets. The EAP identifies the City's long-term vision and commitment to enhancing energy efficiency throughout various sectors. Some of San Gabriel's Energy Efficiency Targets outlined in the EAP include:

- Supporting achievement of a 15% reduction below baseline community-wide GHG emissions level by 2020.
- Reducing household electricity consumption 5% by 2020.
- Reduce nonresidential energy use 7% by 2020.
- Move toward net zero electricity use in new residential and non-residential buildings
- Achieve Platinum-level status in SCE's Energy Leader partnership model by reducing electricity use at municipal facilities by 20% by 2020

City of San Gabriel General Plan

The City does not have a General Plan Element specific to climate change and GHG emissions, but several goals, objectives, or policies in the Environmental Resources element. The following goal and targets from the City's General Plan Environmental Resources Element would also lead to GHG emissions reductions:

Goal 8.6 Improve air quality within the City of San Gabriel

- Target 8.6.1: Reduce the amount of emissions from vehicles in San Gabriel.
- Target 8.6.2: Encourage the use of mass transit, car pooling, bicycling, and other alternative transportation options.
- Target 8.6.8: Work with the South Coast Air Quality Management District to reduce emissions from stationary sources in San Gabriel.

Housing Element (Housing Needs Assessment)

The Housing Element of the General Plan is prepared pursuant to state law and provides planning guidance in meeting housing needs identified in the SCAG Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA). The Housing Element identifies the City's housing conditions and needs, establishes the goals, objectives, and policies that are the foundation of the City's housing and growth strategy, and

provides the array of programs the City intends to implement to create and preserve sustainable, mixed-income neighborhoods across the City.

The Housing Needs Assessment chapter of the Housing Element discusses the City’s population and housing stock to identify housing needs for a variety of household types across the City. For the 2021-2029 Housing Element Update, San Gabriel is allocated a RHNA of 3,023 units, including 28 percent for very low income, 14 percent low income, 15% moderate, and 43% above moderate. The Housing Element provides measures to streamline and incentivize development of affordable housing. Such measures include the City’s density bonus provisions and incentives for very low income, low income, moderate income and senior housing. With implementation of such measures to increase affordable housing, the Housing Element predicts a significant increase in housing production at all income ranges compared to previous cycles.

The Housing Element also promotes sustainability and resilience, through housing. It identifies housing strategies for energy conservation, water conservation, alternative energy sources and sustainable development which support conservation and reduces demand.

Impact Analysis

The Project would create direct and indirect GHG emissions from Project construction and operations. Construction is considered a direct source since these emissions occur at the Project Site. Direct operational-related GHG emissions of the proposed Project would include emissions from area and mobile sources, while indirect emissions would include those related to energy consumption, water demand, and solid waste.

Construction GHG Emissions

The primary GHGs contributing to the greenhouse effect are CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O. Emissions of these GHGs are converted to MTCO₂e based on each pollutant’s global warming potential.¹⁰ Construction of the Project would result in direct emissions of CO₂, N₂O, and CH₄ related to the operation of construction equipment, and the transport of materials and construction workers to and from the Project Site. The SCAQMD advises that construction GHG emissions be summed and amortized over the lifetime of a project (assumed to be 30 years), then the yearly amount be added to the operational emissions.¹¹ Total GHG emissions generated during all phases of construction were combined and are presented in Table 3: Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions. The CalEEMod outputs are contained

¹⁰ U.S. EPA, Greenhouses Gases, Understanding Global Warming Potentials, <https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/understanding-global-warming-potentials>. Accessed July 15, 2023.

¹¹ The project lifetime is based on the standard 30-year assumption of the South Coast Air Quality Management District (South Coast Air Quality Management District, Minutes for the GHG CEQA Significance Threshold Stakeholder Working Group #13, August 26, 2009).

within [Appendix A](#). As shown in [Table 3](#), Project construction would result in a total of 1,624 MTCO₂e (approximately 54 MTCO₂e/year when amortized over 30 years).

Table 3: Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
Construction	MTCO₂e
Construction GHG Emission (2024)	957
Construction GHG Emission (2025)	639
Construction GHG Emission (2026)	28
Total Construction GHG Emission	1,624
30-Year Amortized Construction	54

Source: CalEEMod version 2022.1.1.14. Refer to [Appendix A](#) for model data outputs.

Operational GHG Emissions

Operational or long-term emissions would occur over the life of the proposed Project. GHG emissions would result from direct emission sources such as Project-generated vehicular traffic, on-site combustion of natural gas, and operation of any landscaping equipment. Operational GHG emissions would also result from indirect sources, such as off-site generation of electrical power over the life of the Project, the energy required to convey water to, and wastewater from, the Project Site, the emissions associated with solid waste generated from the Project Site, and any fugitive refrigerants from air conditioning or refrigerators. [Table 4: Total Project Greenhouse Gas Emissions](#), summarizes the total GHG emissions (amortized construction and operations) associated with proposed Project. As shown, the Project would generate approximately 1,696 MTCO₂e/year, (approximately 56 MTCO₂e/year when amortized over 30 years).

Table 4: Total Project Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
Emissions Source	MTCO₂e per Year
Construction Amortized over 30 Years	54
Area Source	8
Energy	601
Mobile	924
Waste	32
Water & Wastewater	75
Refrigerants	2
Total Project Emissions¹	1,696

1. Totals may be slightly off due to rounding.

Source: CalEEMod version 2022.1.1.14. Refer to [Appendix A](#) for model data outputs.

Greenhouse Gas Reduction Plan Compliance

In September 2006, Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger signed the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, also known as AB 32, into law. AB 32 commits the State to reduce Statewide GHG emission levels as follows:

- By 2010, reduce to 2000 emission levels;
- By 2020, reduce to 1990 levels; and

- By 2050, reduce to 80 percent below 1990 levels.

AB 32 requires that CARB determine what the Statewide GHG emissions level was in 1990 and approve a Statewide GHG emissions limit that is equivalent to that level, to be achieved by 2020. Executive Order B-30-15, which was issued in April 2015 by Governor Brown, requires Statewide requires GHG emissions to be reduced 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030. SB 32, signed into law in September 2016, codifies the 2030 GHG reduction target in Executive Order B-30-15. Also, pursuant to AB 32, CARB must adopt rules and regulations in an open public process to achieve the maximum, technologically feasible, and cost-effective GHG reductions.¹²

To achieve these goals, AB 32 mandates that CARB establish a quantified emissions cap, institute a schedule to meet the cap, implement regulations to reduce Statewide GHG emissions from stationary sources, and develop tracking, reporting, and enforcement mechanisms to ensure that reductions are achieved.

The California Attorney General’s Office has taken an active role in addressing climate change in CEQA documents. The Attorney General’s Office has created and routinely updates a Fact Sheet listing project design features to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.¹³ The Attorney General’s Office created the Fact Sheet primarily for the benefit of local agencies processing CEQA documents, noting that “local agencies will help to move the State away from ‘business-as-usual’ and toward a low-carbon future.”¹⁴ The Fact Sheet explains that the listed “measures can be included as design features of a project,” but emphasizes that they “should not be considered in isolation, but as part of a larger set of measures that, working together, will reduce greenhouse gas emissions and the effects of global warming.”¹⁵

The Governor’s OPR recommended Amendments to the CEQA Guidelines for GHGs which were adopted on December 30, 2009. CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4 was adopted to assist lead agencies in determining the significance of the impacts of GHGs. Consistent with the developing practice, this section of the CEQA Guidelines urges lead agencies to quantify GHG emissions of projects where possible, but also indicates that a that a full “life-cycle” analysis is not required. In addition to quantification, CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4 recommends consideration of several other qualitative factors that may be used in the determination of significance (i.e., the extent to which the

¹² California Air Resources Board. AB 32 Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/ab-32-global-warming-solutions-act-2006. Accessed June 16, 2023.

¹³ California Attorney General’s Office Fact Sheet, The CEQA—Addressing Global Warming Impacts at the Local Agency Level, revised January 6, 2010, http://understandtheplan.info/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/GW_mitigation_measures.pdf. Accessed June 16, 2023.

¹⁴ California Attorney General’s Office Fact Sheet, The CEQA—Addressing Global Warming Impacts at the Local Agency Level.

¹⁵ California Attorney General’s Office Fact Sheet, The CEQA—Addressing Global Warming Impacts at the Local Agency Level.

Project may increase or reduce GHG emissions compared to the existing environment; whether the Project exceeds an applicable significance threshold; and the extent to which the Project complies with regulations or requirements adopted to reduce or mitigate GHGs).

Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15065.7(b), lead agencies must either establish significance thresholds for their respective jurisdictions or determine significance on a case-by-case basis. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4(a), the lead agency should use its “careful judgment” in making a determination of significance, and should make a “good-faith” effort to “describe, calculate or estimate” the amount of GHGs that will result from a project. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4(a)(1) and (2), the lead agency is given the discretion to select a reasonable model and methodology to quantify GHGs and to rely on a qualitative analysis or performance based standards for its determination. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4(b), a lead agency should also consider the following factors, among others, when assessing the significance of impacts from GHGs: (1) the extent to which the project may increase or reduce GHGs; (2) whether the GHG emissions exceed a threshold of significance that the lead agency determines applies to the project; and (3) the extent to which the project complies with regulations or requirements adopted to implement a statewide, regional, local plan for the reduction or mitigation of GHG emissions.

CEQA Guidelines Section 15064 provides that a determination that an impact is not cumulatively considerable may rest on compliance with previously adopted plans or regulations, including plans or regulations for the reduction of GHG emissions.

As discussed above, no applicable numeric significance threshold for GHG emissions has been adopted by the State, SCAQMD, or the City. Although State, regional, and local plans and policies have been adopted to help address climate change (see discussions above), no current law or regulation would regulate all aspects of the Project’s GHG emissions. In the absence of any adopted numeric threshold, the City has determined to assess the significance of the Project’s GHG emissions as provided in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4(b)(2) by determining whether the Project is consistent with applicable plans, policies, regulations and requirements adopted to implement a statewide, regional, or local plan for the reduction or mitigation of GHG emissions.

Therefore, under this analysis, a significant impact would occur if the Project would not comply with applicable regulatory plans and policies to reduce GHG emissions such as those discussed within CARB’s Scoping Plan and subsequent updates, SCAG’s 2020–2045 RTP/SCS, and the City’s Energy Action Plan. The analysis below describes the extent to which the Project complies with or exceeds the performance-based standards included in the regulations outlined in these plans. As shown herein, the Project would be consistent with the applicable GHG reduction plans and policies.

SCAG 2020-2045 RTP/SCS Consistency

Under SB 375, each Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is required to adopt and then update a Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) to encourage compact development that reduces passenger vehicle miles traveled and trips so that its region will meet a target, set by CARB, for reducing GHG emissions. The purpose of SB 375 is to implement the State's GHG emissions reduction goals by integrating land use planning with the goal of reducing car and light-duty truck travel.

Reflecting that purpose, the primary goal of SCAG's 2020–2045 RTP/SCS is to provide a framework for achieving the CARB-assigned per capita reduction targets for GHG emissions from cars and light-duty trucks through land use planning and transportation options, while taking into account anticipated future growth within the region. To accomplish this target, the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS identifies various strategies for reducing per capita VMT. New GHG reduction targets are assigned by CARB, and thus, SCAG's long-range planning document is updated, every four years.

In addition to demonstrating the region's ability to attain and exceed the GHG emission-reduction targets set forth by CARB, the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS outlines a series of actions and strategies for integrating the transportation network with an overall land use pattern that responds to projected growth, housing needs, changing demographics, and transportation demands. Thus, successful implementation of the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS would result in communities with a variety of transportation and housing choices, while reducing automobile use and, thus, GHG emissions from that use.

With regard to individual developments, such as the Project, strategies and policies set forth in the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS can be grouped into the following three categories: (1) reduction of vehicle trips and VMT; (2) increased use of alternative fuel vehicles; and (3) improved energy efficiency.¹⁶ These strategies and policies are addressed below. Also, the Project's consistency with applicable growth forecasts is also assessed because the development of the RTP/SCS involved compilation of local land use and growth trends to form the basis for projections and strategies of the RTP/SCS.¹⁷ Key GHG reduction strategies in SCAG's 2020–2045 RTP/SCS, which are based on changing the region's land use and travel patterns, include: (1) new housing and job growth focused in High Quality Transit Areas (HQTAs); (2) limit total acreage of greenfield or otherwise rural land uses converted to urban use; and (3) reduce VMT per capita.

Consistency with Integrated Growth Forecast. The 2020–2045 RTP/SCS provides socioeconomic forecast projections of regional population growth. These population, housing, and employment forecasts, which are adopted by SCAG's Regional Council, are based on the local plans and policies of local jurisdictions within SCAG's jurisdiction applicable to the specific area. The Project would be

¹⁶ SCAG, Draft Program EIR for the 2020–2045 RTP/SC, Section 3.8, Greenhouses, December 2019, page 3.8-61.

¹⁷ SCAG, Connect SoCal (2020–2045 RTP/SCS), page 10.

consistent with the General Plan land use designation of General Commercial and therefore would be consistent with, and not conflict with, local and regional employment projections.

Consistency with VMT Reduction Strategies and Policies. According to the Transportation Assessment prepared by Kimley-Horn in February 2023, VMT was analyzed using the City of San Gabriel VMT Baselines and Thresholds of Significance for Transportation Impacts (July 2020). As shown in the Transportation Assessment, local serving-retail uses less than 50,000 square feet are assumed to have less than a significant impact. The proposed Project would contain 13,378 SF of retail and restaurant space, therefore, it is not anticipated to lead to longer local trips, thus reducing or maintaining regional VMT. Therefore, the Project would result in significant GHG emissions, which render the Project consistent with the GHG reduction strategies provided in the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS.

Increased Use of Alternative Fueled Vehicles Policy Initiative. Another goal of the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS for individual development projects, such as the Project, is to increase alternative fueled vehicles to reduce per capita GHG emissions. The 2020–2045 RTP/SCS policy initiative focuses on providing charge port infrastructure and accelerating fleet conversion to electric or other near zero-emission technologies. Of the 438 vehicle parking spaces, 45 parking spaces would be designated for electric vehicles (EV) and 8 spaces would be designated for clean air, vanpool, and EV. As such, the Project would exceed CALGreen Code requirements. Therefore, the Project would be consistent with, and would not conflict with, this goal.

Energy Efficiency Strategies and Policies. Another important goal of the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS for individual development projects, such as the Project, involves improving energy efficiency (e.g., reducing energy consumption) to reduce GHG emissions. That goal is to actively encourage and create incentives for energy efficiency, where possible. The Project has been designed and would be constructed to incorporate environmentally sustainable building features and construction protocols required by CALGreen Code.¹⁸ These standards would reduce energy and water usage and waste and, thereby, reduce associated GHG emissions and help minimize any impact on natural resources and infrastructure. Landscape design would comply with the requirements of the water efficiency landscape ordinance and landscape regulations of the City. In addition, the Project would be subject to the 2022 Title 24 standards, which encourages efficient electric heat pumps, establishes electric-ready requirements for new homes, expands solar photovoltaic and battery storage standards, and strengthens ventilation standards. Therefore, the Project would be consistent with, and would not conflict with, this goal.

Land Use Assumptions. At the regional level, the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS is a plan adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions from car and light-duty truck travel through better land use

¹⁸ California Building Standards Commission, 2019 California Green Building Standards Code, California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 11, effective January 1, 2020.

planning.¹⁹ Generally, projects are considered consistent with the provisions and general policies of local and regional land use plans and regulations, such as the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS, if they are compatible with the general intent of the plans and would not preclude the attainment of their primary goals.²⁰

The Project would support, and not conflict with, the goals of the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS to maximize the productivity of the region’s transportation system as well as protect the environment and health of the region’s residents by reducing GHG emissions from cars and light-duty trucks through its land use characteristics incorporated into the Project. The Project would develop its increased density, and therefore its job growth, on a previously undeveloped urban infill site in close proximity to mass transit options. These Project land use characteristics would focus its job growth in an urban environment, not in a greenfield or rural area, and would minimize the Project’s vehicle miles traveled. In addition, the Project would provide bicycle parking spaces and storage that would serve to promote walking and use of bicycles over travel by car or truck. As such, the Project’s location and design would maximize mobility and accessibility by providing opportunities for the use of several modes of transportation. The Project is the type of land use development that is encouraged by the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS to reduce VMT and expand multi-modal transportation options in order for the region to achieve the GHG reductions from the land use and transportation sectors required by SB 375, which, in turn, advances the State’s long-term climate policies.²¹ By furthering implementation of SB 375, the Project supports regional land use and transportation-related GHG reductions consistent with State regulatory requirements.

The reduction strategies stated in the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS are “consistent with local jurisdictions’ land use policies and incorporate best practices for achieving the state-mandated reductions in GHG emissions at the regional level”.²² The strategies identify how the SCAG region can achieve GHG reductions and while SCAG does not have a direct role in the implementation of these strategies, SCAG works to support local jurisdictions by identifying ways to implement the RTP/SCS that fits the vision and needs of each local community.²³ A detailed consistency discussion placed in the context of the strategies as laid out in the RTP/SCS is included in [Table 5: Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy Consistency](#). As shown in [Table 5](#), many RTP/SCS strategies are not directly applicable to the proposed Project. Nonetheless, the proposed Project would not conflict with

¹⁹ As part of the State’s mandate to reduce per-capita GHG emissions from automobiles and light trucks, the 2020–2045 RTP/SCS presents strategies and tools that are consistent with local jurisdictions’ land use policies and incorporates practices to achieve the state-mandated reductions in GHG emissions at the regional level through reduced per-capita vehicle miles traveled.

²⁰ See, e.g., *Sequoyah Hills Homeowners Assn. v. City of Oakland* (1993) 23 Cal.App.4th 704, 717-719.

²¹ As discussed above, SB 375 legislation links regional planning for housing and transportation with the GHG reduction goals outlined in AB 32.

²² SCAG, 2020–2045 RTP/SCS Connect SoCal, page 48.

²³ SCAG, 2020–2045 RTP/SCS Connect SoCal, page 49.

implementation of any of the strategies of the RTP/SCS. Therefore, the proposed Project would not result in any significant impacts or interfere with SCAG’s ability to achieve the region’s mobile source GHG reduction targets.

Table 5: Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy Consistency	
Reduction Strategy	Project Consistency Analysis
Focus Growth Near Destinations and Mobility Options	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emphasize land use patterns that facilitate multimodal access to work, educational and other destinations. • Focus on a regional jobs/housing balance to reduce commute times and distances and expand job opportunities near transit and along center-focused main streets. • Plan for growth near transit investments and support implementation of first/last mile strategies. • Promote the redevelopment of underperforming retail developments and other outmoded nonresidential uses. • Prioritize infill and redevelopment of underutilized land to accommodate new growth, increase amenities and connectivity in existing neighborhoods. • Encourage design and transportation options that reduce the reliance on a number of solo car trips (this could include mixed uses or locating and orienting close to existing destinations). • Identify ways to “right size” parking requirements and promote alternative parking strategies (e.g., shared parking or smart parking). 	<p>No Conflict. These strategies are intended to direct local jurisdictions’ actions. Nonetheless, the Project fulfills the intent of these land use policies. The Project Site increases density in an infill location located close to jobs, residential, government, and service uses. The Project Site is located in an urban infill area within walking and biking distance to existing commercial and neighborhood-serving retail uses and transit. The Project Site is also located within close proximity to several transit options. It is approximately 3,000 feet from the Montebello Bus Lines and one mile from Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) Bus Lines 47, 487/489. The Project would also provide the required number of bicycle parking spaces and related amenities and EV parking spaces in accordance with SGMC Section 153.229; the Project’s EV parking spaces exceed CALGreen Code requirements. The Project’s focus on locating its growth near mobility options demonstrates that the Project would contribute to reducing GHG emissions from the transportation sector.</p>
Promote Diverse Housing Choices	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preserve and rehabilitate affordable housing and prevent displacement. • Identify funding opportunities for new workforce and affordable housing development. • Create incentives and reduce regulatory barriers for building accessory dwelling units to increase housing supply. • Provide support to local jurisdictions to streamline and lessen barriers to housing development that supports reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. 	<p>No Conflict. The Project would include diverse housing options in an undeveloped urban infill site. The Project would develop three buildings consisting of 225 multi-family residential units and approximately 13,449 SF of commercial uses, providing diverse housing options and work opportunities. Residential units would include various housing types including studios, one-bedroom units, and two-bedrooms units.</p>

Table 5: Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy Consistency	
Reduction Strategy	Project Consistency Analysis
Leverage Technology Innovations	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Promote low emission technologies such as neighborhood electric vehicles, shared rides hailing, car sharing, bike sharing and scooters by providing supportive and safe infrastructure such as dedicated lanes, charging and parking/drop-off space. Improve access to services through technology – such as telework and telemedicine as well as other incentives such as a “mobility wallet,” an app-based system for storing transit and other multi-modal payments. Identify ways to incorporate “micro-power grids” in communities, for example solar energy, hydrogen fuel cell power storage and power generation. 	<p>No Conflict. These strategies are intended to direct local jurisdictions’ actions. Nonetheless, the Project fulfills the intent of these policies. The Project would be required to comply with all applicable Title 24 and CALGreen building codes at the time of construction. These building codes would require EV charging stations, designated EV parking, as well as bike parking and storage. The Project would provide the required number of bicycle parking spaces and related amenities and EV parking spaces in accordance with SGMCA Section 153.229. Therefore, the Project would utilize technology innovations to reduce reliance on fossil fuels to help the City, County, and State meet its GHG reduction goals. The Project would be consistent with this reduction strategy.</p>
Support Implementation of Sustainability Policies	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pursue funding opportunities to support local sustainable development implementation projects that reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Support Statewide legislation that reduces barriers to new construction and that incentivizes development near transit corridors and stations. Support local jurisdictions in the establishment of Enhanced Infrastructure Financing Districts (EIFDs), Community Revitalization and Investment Authorities (CRIAs), or other tax increment or value capture tools to finance sustainable infrastructure and development projects, including parks and open space. Work with local jurisdictions/communities to identify opportunities and assess barriers to implement sustainability strategies. Enhance partnerships with other planning organizations to promote resources and best practices in the SCAG region. Continue to support long range planning efforts by local jurisdictions. Provide educational opportunities to local decision makers and staff on new tools, best practices and policies related to implementing the Sustainable Communities Strategy. 	<p>No Conflict. These strategies are intended to direct local jurisdictions’ actions. Nonetheless, the Project fulfills the intent of these policies. As previously discussed, the Project would comply with sustainable practices included in the Title 24 standards, CALGreen Code, and City ordinances such as installation of EV charging stations, bike parking and storage, and low-flow fixtures. Thus, the Project would be consistent with this reduction strategy.</p>

Table 5: Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy Consistency	
Reduction Strategy	Project Consistency Analysis
Promote a Green Region	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support development of local climate adaptation and hazard mitigation plans, as well as project implementation that improves community resiliency to climate change and natural hazards. • Support local policies for renewable energy production, reduction of urban heat islands and carbon sequestration. • Integrate local food production into the regional landscape. • Promote more resource efficient development focused on conservation, recycling, and reclamation. • Preserve, enhance, and restore regional wildlife connectivity. • Reduce consumption of resource areas, including agricultural land. • Identify ways to improve access to public park space. 	<p>No Conflict. These strategies are intended to direct local jurisdictions’ actions. Nonetheless, the Project fulfills the intent of these policies. The Project consists of a mixed-use development on an undeveloped infill site in an urbanized area. Development of the Project would therefore not interfere with regional wildlife connectivity or consumption of agricultural or greenfield land.</p> <p>The Project would be required to comply with Title 24 standards and CALGreen Code, which would help reduce energy consumption and reduce GHG emissions. The Project would provide the required number of bicycle parking spaces and related amenities and EV parking spaces in accordance with SGMC Section 153.229. The Project would include multiple pedestrian-friendly features both within the Project Site and along its perimeter, including wayfinding signage and lighting, safety lighting, and separate pedestrian entrances. Given the Project Site’s location in proximity to a variety of transportation options, its abundant EV parking spaces, and its bicycle parking spaces and related amenities and pedestrian-friendly features, the Project would maximize mobility, accessibility, and overall productivity of the transportation system by encouraging and providing various opportunities for the use of alternative modes of transportation, including public transit, walking and biking. Thus, the Project would support efficient development that reduces energy consumption and GHG emissions. The Project would be consistent with this reduction strategy.</p>
Source: SCAG, 2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (Connect SoCal).	

California Air Resource Board Scoping Plan Consistency

Adopted December 15, 2022, CARB’s 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality (2022 Scoping Plan) sets a path to achieve targets for carbon neutrality and reduce anthropogenic GHG emissions by 85 percent below 1990 levels by 2045 in accordance with AB 1279. To achieve the targets of AB 1279, the 2022 Scoping Plan relies on existing and emerging fossil fuel alternatives and clean technologies, as well as carbon capture and storage. Specifically, the 2022 Scoping Plan focuses on zero-emission transportation; phasing out use of fossil gas use for heating homes and buildings; reducing chemical and refrigerants with high GWP; providing communities with sustainable options for walking, biking, and public transit; displacement of fossil-fuel fired electrical generation through use of renewable energy alternatives (e.g., solar arrays and wind turbines); and scaling up new options such as green hydrogen. The 2022 Scoping Plan sets one of the most aggressive approaches to reach carbon neutrality in the world. Unlike the 2017 Scoping Plan, CARB no longer includes a numeric per capita

threshold and instead advocates for compliance with a local GHG reduction strategy (i.e., Climate Action Plan) consistent with CEQA Guidelines section 15183.5.

The key elements of the 2022 CARB Scoping Plan focus on transportation. Specifically, the 2022 Scoping Plan aims to rapidly move towards zero-emission (ZE) transportation (i.e., electrifying cars, buses, trains, and trucks), which constitutes California's single largest source of GHGs. The regulations that impact the transportation sector are adopted and enforced by CARB on vehicle manufacturers and are outside the jurisdiction and control of local governments. The 2022 Scoping Plan accelerates development of new regulations as well as amendments to strengthen regulations and programs already in place. Statewide strategies to reduce GHG emissions in the latest 2022 Scoping Plan include:

- Implementing SB 100 (achieve 100 percent clean electricity by 2045)
- Achieving 100 percent zero emission vehicle sales in 2035 through Advanced Clean Cars II
- Implementing the Advanced Clean Fleets regulation to deploy zero-emission vehicle (ZEV) buses and trucks

Additional transportation policies include the Off-Road Zero-Emission Targeted Manufacturer rule, Clean Off-Road Fleet Recognition Program, In-use Off-Road Diesel-Fueled Fleets Regulation, Clean Off-Road Fleet Recognition Program, and Amendments to the In-use Off-Road Diesel-Fueled Fleets Regulation. The 2022 Scoping Plan would continue to implement SB 375. GHGs would be further reduced through the Cap-and-Trade Program carbon pricing and SB 905. SB 905 requires CARB to create the Carbon Capture, Removal, Utilization, and Storage Program to evaluate, demonstrate, and regulate carbon dioxide removal projects and technology.

GHG reductions are also achieved as a result of State of California energy and water efficiency requirements for new residential developments. These efficiency improvements correspond to reductions in secondary GHG emissions. For example, in California, most of the electricity that powers homes is derived from natural gas combustion. Therefore, energy saving measures, such as Title 24, reduces GHG emissions from the power generation facilities by reducing load demand.

Scoping Plan Appendix D, Local Actions. Included in the 2022 Scoping Plan is a set of Local Actions (2022 Scoping Plan Appendix D) aimed at providing local jurisdictions with tools to reduce GHGs and assist the state in meeting the ambitious targets set forth in the 2022 Scoping Plan. 2022 Scoping Plan Appendix D includes a section on evaluating plan-level and project-level alignment with the State's Climate Goals in CEQA GHG analyses. In this section, CARB identifies several recommendations and strategies that should be considered for new development in order to determine consistency with the 2022 Scoping Plan. Notably, this section is focused on Residential and Mixed-Use Projects.²⁴ CARB

²⁴ CARB, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, Appendix D: Local Actions, November 2022, page 21.

specifically states that Appendix D does not address other land uses (e.g., industrial).²⁵ However, CARB plans to explore new approaches for other land use types in the future.²⁶

The 2022 Scoping Plan Appendix D lists potential actions that support the State’s climate goals. However, the Scoping Plan notes that the applicability and performance of the actions may vary across the regions. The document is organized into two categories (A) examples of plan-level GHG reduction actions that could be implemented by local governments and (B) examples of on-site project design features, mitigation measures, that could be required of individual projects under CEQA, if feasible, when the local jurisdiction is the lead agency.

The Project would be consistent with GHG reduction measures. For example, the Scoping Plan’s construction measures include enforcing idling time restrictions on construction vehicles, requiring construction vehicles to operate highest tier engines commercially available, diverting and recycling construction waste, minimizing tree removal, and increased use of electric and renewable fuel powered construction equipment and required renewable diesel fuel where commercially available.

Appendix D notes that residential and mixed-use projects that meet the following three priority areas are “clearly” consistent with the State’s goals and projects that have these key project attributes should accommodate growth in a manner consistent with State GHG reduction and equity prioritization goals. Appendix D also notes that lead agencies may determine, with adequate additional supporting evidence, that projects that incorporate some, but not all, of the key project attributes are consistent with the State’s climate goals.²⁷

- Transportation Electrification. Table 3 in the 2022 Scoping Plan Appendix D notes that to be clearly consistent with the State’s goals, projects should provide EV charging infrastructure that, at minimum, meets the most ambitious voluntary standard in the CALGreen Code. The Project is consistent with this attribute as the Project would comply with SGMC requirements.
- VMT Reduction. The Scoping Plan notes that to be consistent with the VMT reduction attribute, projects should be located on infill sites that are surrounded by existing urban uses and reuses or redevelops previously undeveloped or underutilized land that is presently served by existing utilities and essential public services (e.g., transit, streets, water, sewer); do not result in the loss or conversion of natural and working lands; and consist of transit-supportive densities (minimum of 20 residential dwelling units per acre). The proposed Project is an infill project surrounded by existing urban uses, does not result in the loss of

²⁵ CARB, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, Appendix D: Local Actions, page 4.

²⁶ CARB, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, Appendix D: Local Actions, page 21.

²⁷ CARB, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, Appendix D: Local Actions, page 23.

natural and working lands (i.e., it would redevelop an existing shopping center), and has a density of 77.6 dwelling units per acre.

California's transition away from fossil fuel-based energy sources will bring the project's GHG emissions associated with building energy use down to zero as our electric supply becomes 100 percent carbon free. California has committed to achieving this goal by 2045 through SB 100, the 100 Percent Clean Energy Act of 2018. SB 100 strengthened the State's Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) by requiring that 60 percent of all electricity provided to retail users in California come from renewable sources by 2030 and that 100 percent come from carbon-free sources by 2045. The land use sector will benefit from RPS because the electricity used in buildings will be increasingly carbon-free, but implementation does not depend (directly, at least) on how buildings are designed and built.

The City's EAP establishes energy efficiency targets to reduce GHG emissions related to natural gas consumption. The EAP identifies the goal to support the new construction of new buildings that will have no net impact on community-wide energy demand by 2020. The EAP identifies the action to support net zero energy consumption through the use of innovative alternative building materials and designs that improve building energy efficiency. In addition, the EAP identifies the need to encourage the model San Gabriel Valley Voluntary energy efficiency guidelines to help applicants identify cost-effective policies for their projects and encourage new nonresidential projects to participate in SCE's Savings by Design for new development to exceed minimum energy efficiency standards.

The Project would be required to comply with the City's EAP goals and proposed new buildings would be designed with alternative building materials to improve energy efficiency. Therefore, the Project would be consistent and not conflict with the EAP.

The EAP identifies the need to promote a rebate program for refrigeration units, home kitchen appliances, washer and dryers, and other home equipment programs, including rebates from the California Energy Commission and the South Coast Air Quality Management District. The City would also provide energy educational information through the City's website and distribution of Energy Leader Partnership (ELP) materials and encourage in-home monitoring programs provided by SCE. The City would also improve the insulation, roofing, and other aspects of structure design to maximize energy efficiency. The City would also upgrade, replace, and relocate HVAC units for optimal energy efficiency and in partnership with SCE and Energy Wise Partnership (EWP), pursue installation of electricity service meters at HVAC units to allow for tracking and monitoring. Such upgrades would serve to reduce wasteful energy and water usage and associated GHG emissions.

Consistency with CALGreen Standards

The Project would comply with performance-based standards included in the Green Building Code (e.g., current building energy efficiency standards). Water usage would be minimized via the use of

ultra-low flow plumbing fixtures throughout the Project and all roof and balcony drains would feed into a rainwater harvesting cistern. For all of the reasons stated above, the Project would be consistent with, and would not conflict with, applicable plans, policies, and regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions. Impacts would be less than significant, and no mitigation measure are required.

Conclusion

Project implementation would result in less than significant construction and operational GHG impacts. No mitigation measures are required. Therefore, the Project would not result in significant effects.

Appendix A

GHG Data

Rubio Village Project Detailed Report

Table of Contents

1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
 - 2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated
 - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
 - 2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated
3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Site Preparation (2024) - Unmitigated
 - 3.2. Site Preparation (2024) - Mitigated

- 3.3. Grading (2024) - Unmitigated
- 3.4. Grading (2024) - Mitigated
- 3.5. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated
- 3.6. Building Construction (2024) - Mitigated
- 3.7. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated
- 3.8. Building Construction (2024) - Mitigated
- 3.9. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated
- 3.10. Building Construction (2025) - Mitigated
- 3.11. Paving (2024) - Unmitigated
- 3.12. Paving (2024) - Mitigated
- 3.13. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated
- 3.14. Paving (2025) - Mitigated
- 3.15. Paving (2026) - Unmitigated
- 3.16. Paving (2026) - Mitigated
- 3.17. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated
- 3.18. Architectural Coating (2025) - Mitigated
- 3.19. Architectural Coating (2026) - Unmitigated

3.20. Architectural Coating (2026) - Mitigated

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

4.1.2. Mitigated

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.2. Unmitigated

4.3.1. Mitigated

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.2. Unmitigated

4.4.1. Mitigated

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.2. Unmitigated

4.5.1. Mitigated

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

4.6.2. Mitigated

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

4.7.2. Mitigated

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

4.8.2. Mitigated

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

4.9.2. Mitigated

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

5.2.2. Mitigated

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

5.3.2. Mitigated

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

5.5. Architectural Coatings

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

5.7. Construction Paving

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

5.9.2. Mitigated

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

5.11.2. Mitigated

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

5.12.2. Mitigated

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

5.13.2. Mitigated

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

5.14.2. Mitigated

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

5.15.2. Mitigated

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

5.16.2. Process Boilers

5.17. User Defined

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	Rubio Village Project
Construction Start Date	2/21/2024
Operational Year	2026
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	0.50
Precipitation (days)	18.2
Location	201 S San Gabriel Blvd, San Gabriel, CA 91776, USA
County	Los Angeles-South Coast
City	San Gabriel
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	4986
EDFZ	7
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas
App Version	2022.1.1.14

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
------------------	------	------	-------------	-----------------------	------------------------	--------------------------------	------------	-------------

Apartments Mid Rise	225	Dwelling Unit	0.69	191,453	13,052	—	666	—
Strip Mall	8.00	1000sqft	0.18	7,998	0.00	—	—	—
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	5.48	1000sqft	0.13	5,480	0.00	—	—	—
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	102	1000sqft	0.65	101,891	0.00	—	—	—
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	102	1000sqft	0.65	101,891	0.00	—	—	—
Parking Lot	0.71	Acre	0.71	0.00	0.00	—	—	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

Sector	#	Measure Title
Construction	C-11	Limit Vehicle Speeds on Unpaved Roads

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	7.08	10.4	59.7	56.3	0.10	2.49	8.50	11.0	2.30	3.92	6.22	—	15,327	15,327	0.68	1.12	29.9	15,707
Mit.	7.08	10.4	59.7	56.3	0.10	2.49	8.50	11.0	2.30	3.92	6.22	—	15,327	15,327	0.68	1.12	29.9	15,707
% Reduced	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Unmit.	4.42	8.18	36.1	40.5	0.05	1.60	5.34	6.94	1.47	2.68	4.15	—	9,320	9,320	0.40	0.43	0.53	9,459
Mit.	4.42	8.18	36.1	40.5	0.05	1.60	5.34	6.94	1.47	2.68	4.15	—	9,320	9,320	0.40	0.43	0.53	9,459
% Reduced	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	2.49	4.98	16.5	24.2	0.03	0.65	2.99	3.64	0.60	0.98	1.58	—	5,679	5,679	0.24	0.29	4.65	5,778
Mit.	2.49	4.98	16.5	24.2	0.03	0.65	2.99	3.64	0.60	0.98	1.58	—	5,679	5,679	0.24	0.29	4.65	5,778
% Reduced	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.45	0.91	3.02	4.42	0.01	0.12	0.55	0.66	0.11	0.18	0.29	—	940	940	0.04	0.05	0.77	957
Mit.	0.45	0.91	3.02	4.42	0.01	0.12	0.55	0.66	0.11	0.18	0.29	—	940	940	0.04	0.05	0.77	957
% Reduced	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	7.08	5.78	59.7	56.3	0.10	2.49	8.50	11.0	2.30	3.92	6.22	—	15,327	15,327	0.68	1.12	29.9	15,707
2025	4.28	10.4	21.6	50.1	0.05	0.77	5.39	6.16	0.70	1.29	1.98	—	10,938	10,938	0.45	0.47	24.1	11,115
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	4.42	3.72	36.1	40.5	0.05	1.60	5.34	6.94	1.47	2.68	4.15	—	9,320	9,320	0.40	0.43	0.53	9,459
2025	3.62	8.18	20.5	39.0	0.05	0.74	4.07	4.82	0.67	0.98	1.65	—	9,213	9,213	0.40	0.43	0.49	9,351

2026	1.46	8.06	7.62	16.6	0.01	0.28	1.58	1.86	0.26	0.37	0.63	—	3,037	3,037	0.13	0.07	0.14	3,062
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	2.49	2.07	16.5	24.2	0.03	0.65	2.99	3.64	0.60	0.98	1.58	—	5,679	5,679	0.24	0.29	4.65	5,778
2025	1.66	4.98	8.92	18.4	0.02	0.33	1.79	2.13	0.30	0.43	0.73	—	3,812	3,812	0.16	0.14	3.38	3,861
2026	0.09	0.25	0.54	0.98	< 0.005	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.01	0.03	—	166	166	0.01	< 0.005	0.08	167
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.45	0.38	3.02	4.42	0.01	0.12	0.55	0.66	0.11	0.18	0.29	—	940	940	0.04	0.05	0.77	957
2025	0.30	0.91	1.63	3.36	< 0.005	0.06	0.33	0.39	0.06	0.08	0.13	—	631	631	0.03	0.02	0.56	639
2026	0.02	0.05	0.10	0.18	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—	27.5	27.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	27.7

2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	7.08	5.78	59.7	56.3	0.10	2.49	8.50	11.0	2.30	3.92	6.22	—	15,327	15,327	0.68	1.12	29.9	15,707
2025	4.28	10.4	21.6	50.1	0.05	0.77	5.39	6.16	0.70	1.29	1.98	—	10,938	10,938	0.45	0.47	24.1	11,115
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	4.42	3.72	36.1	40.5	0.05	1.60	5.34	6.94	1.47	2.68	4.15	—	9,320	9,320	0.40	0.43	0.53	9,459
2025	3.62	8.18	20.5	39.0	0.05	0.74	4.07	4.82	0.67	0.98	1.65	—	9,213	9,213	0.40	0.43	0.49	9,351
2026	1.46	8.06	7.62	16.6	0.01	0.28	1.58	1.86	0.26	0.37	0.63	—	3,037	3,037	0.13	0.07	0.14	3,062
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	2.49	2.07	16.5	24.2	0.03	0.65	2.99	3.64	0.60	0.98	1.58	—	5,679	5,679	0.24	0.29	4.65	5,778
2025	1.66	4.98	8.92	18.4	0.02	0.33	1.79	2.13	0.30	0.43	0.73	—	3,812	3,812	0.16	0.14	3.38	3,861

2026	0.09	0.25	0.54	0.98	< 0.005	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.01	0.03	—	166	166	0.01	< 0.005	0.08	167
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.45	0.38	3.02	4.42	0.01	0.12	0.55	0.66	0.11	0.18	0.29	—	940	940	0.04	0.05	0.77	957
2025	0.30	0.91	1.63	3.36	< 0.005	0.06	0.33	0.39	0.06	0.08	0.13	—	631	631	0.03	0.02	0.56	639
2026	0.02	0.05	0.10	0.18	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—	27.5	27.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	27.7

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	7.00	11.2	3.30	48.0	0.06	0.12	5.03	5.15	0.12	1.28	1.40	150	9,457	9,607	15.6	0.32	28.8	10,121
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	4.08	8.50	3.31	24.4	0.06	0.10	5.03	5.13	0.10	1.28	1.38	150	9,152	9,302	15.6	0.33	10.5	9,801
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	6.02	10.3	3.46	40.1	0.06	0.11	5.03	5.15	0.11	1.28	1.39	150	9,265	9,414	15.6	0.33	18.1	9,922
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.10	1.88	0.63	7.32	0.01	0.02	0.92	0.94	0.02	0.23	0.25	24.8	1,534	1,559	2.59	0.05	3.00	1,643

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
--------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	4.03	3.74	2.28	25.4	0.06	0.04	5.03	5.07	0.03	1.28	1.31	—	5,659	5,659	0.32	0.25	18.8	5,760
Area	2.88	7.45	0.20	22.2	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	0.00	73.0	73.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	73.2
Energy	0.09	0.05	0.81	0.42	0.01	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	3,618	3,618	0.25	0.02	—	3,631
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.4	107	128	2.10	0.05	—	195
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	129	0.00	129	12.9	0.00	—	453
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.99	9.99
Total	7.00	11.2	3.30	48.0	0.06	0.12	5.03	5.15	0.12	1.28	1.40	150	9,457	9,607	15.6	0.32	28.8	10,121
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	3.99	3.69	2.50	24.0	0.05	0.04	5.03	5.07	0.03	1.28	1.31	—	5,427	5,427	0.34	0.26	0.49	5,513
Area	0.00	4.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Energy	0.09	0.05	0.81	0.42	0.01	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	3,618	3,618	0.25	0.02	—	3,631
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.4	107	128	2.10	0.05	—	195
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	129	0.00	129	12.9	0.00	—	453
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.99	9.99
Total	4.08	8.50	3.31	24.4	0.06	0.10	5.03	5.13	0.10	1.28	1.38	150	9,152	9,302	15.6	0.33	10.5	9,801
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	3.96	3.66	2.51	24.5	0.05	0.04	5.03	5.07	0.03	1.28	1.31	—	5,490	5,490	0.34	0.26	8.14	5,583
Area	1.97	6.60	0.14	15.2	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.02	—	0.02	0.00	50.0	50.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	50.2
Energy	0.09	0.05	0.81	0.42	0.01	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	3,618	3,618	0.25	0.02	—	3,631
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.4	107	128	2.10	0.05	—	195
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	129	0.00	129	12.9	0.00	—	453
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.99	9.99
Total	6.02	10.3	3.46	40.1	0.06	0.11	5.03	5.15	0.11	1.28	1.39	150	9,265	9,414	15.6	0.33	18.1	9,922

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.72	0.67	0.46	4.46	0.01	0.01	0.92	0.93	0.01	0.23	0.24	—	909	909	0.06	0.04	1.35	924
Area	0.36	1.20	0.03	2.78	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	8.28	8.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.31
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.15	0.08	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	599	599	0.04	< 0.005	—	601
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.38	17.8	21.1	0.35	0.01	—	32.3
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	21.4	0.00	21.4	2.14	0.00	—	74.9
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.65	1.65
Total	1.10	1.88	0.63	7.32	0.01	0.02	0.92	0.94	0.02	0.23	0.25	24.8	1,534	1,559	2.59	0.05	3.00	1,643

2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	4.03	3.74	2.28	25.4	0.06	0.04	5.03	5.07	0.03	1.28	1.31	—	5,659	5,659	0.32	0.25	18.8	5,760
Area	2.88	7.45	0.20	22.2	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	0.00	73.0	73.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	73.2
Energy	0.09	0.05	0.81	0.42	0.01	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	3,618	3,618	0.25	0.02	—	3,631
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.4	107	128	2.10	0.05	—	195
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	129	0.00	129	12.9	0.00	—	453
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.99	9.99
Total	7.00	11.2	3.30	48.0	0.06	0.12	5.03	5.15	0.12	1.28	1.40	150	9,457	9,607	15.6	0.32	28.8	10,121
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	3.99	3.69	2.50	24.0	0.05	0.04	5.03	5.07	0.03	1.28	1.31	—	5,427	5,427	0.34	0.26	0.49	5,513
Area	0.00	4.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Energy	0.09	0.05	0.81	0.42	0.01	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	3,618	3,618	0.25	0.02	—	3,631
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.4	107	128	2.10	0.05	—	195

Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	129	0.00	129	12.9	0.00	—	453
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.99	9.99
Total	4.08	8.50	3.31	24.4	0.06	0.10	5.03	5.13	0.10	1.28	1.38	150	9,152	9,302	15.6	0.33	10.5	9,801
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	3.96	3.66	2.51	24.5	0.05	0.04	5.03	5.07	0.03	1.28	1.31	—	5,490	5,490	0.34	0.26	8.14	5,583
Area	1.97	6.60	0.14	15.2	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.02	—	0.02	0.00	50.0	50.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	50.2
Energy	0.09	0.05	0.81	0.42	0.01	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	3,618	3,618	0.25	0.02	—	3,631
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.4	107	128	2.10	0.05	—	195
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	129	0.00	129	12.9	0.00	—	453
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.99	9.99
Total	6.02	10.3	3.46	40.1	0.06	0.11	5.03	5.15	0.11	1.28	1.39	150	9,265	9,414	15.6	0.33	18.1	9,922
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.72	0.67	0.46	4.46	0.01	0.01	0.92	0.93	0.01	0.23	0.24	—	909	909	0.06	0.04	1.35	924
Area	0.36	1.20	0.03	2.78	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	8.28	8.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.31
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.15	0.08	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	599	599	0.04	< 0.005	—	601
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.38	17.8	21.1	0.35	0.01	—	32.3
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	21.4	0.00	21.4	2.14	0.00	—	74.9
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.65	1.65
Total	1.10	1.88	0.63	7.32	0.01	0.02	0.92	0.94	0.02	0.23	0.25	24.8	1,534	1,559	2.59	0.05	3.00	1,643

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Site Preparation (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	4.34	3.65	36.0	32.9	0.05	1.60	—	1.60	1.47	—	1.47	—	5,296	5,296	0.21	0.04	—	5,314
Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.11	5.11	—	2.63	2.63	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	4.34	3.65	36.0	32.9	0.05	1.60	—	1.60	1.47	—	1.47	—	5,296	5,296	0.21	0.04	—	5,314
Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.11	5.11	—	2.63	2.63	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.63	0.53	5.22	4.78	0.01	0.23	—	0.23	0.21	—	0.21	—	769	769	0.03	0.01	—	772
Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74	—	0.38	0.38	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.11	0.10	0.95	0.87	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.04	—	127	127	0.01	< 0.005	—	128

Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.14	0.14	—	0.07	0.07	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.08	1.32	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	247	247	0.01	0.01	0.97	251
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.10	1.12	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	234	234	0.01	0.01	0.03	237
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	34.5	34.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	35.0
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.71	5.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.79
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.2. Site Preparation (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	4.34	3.65	36.0	32.9	0.05	1.60	—	1.60	1.47	—	1.47	—	5,296	5,296	0.21	0.04	—	5,314
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.11	5.11	—	2.63	2.63	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	4.34	3.65	36.0	32.9	0.05	1.60	—	1.60	1.47	—	1.47	—	5,296	5,296	0.21	0.04	—	5,314
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.11	5.11	—	2.63	2.63	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.63	0.53	5.22	4.78	0.01	0.23	—	0.23	0.21	—	0.21	—	769	769	0.03	0.01	—	772
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74	—	0.38	0.38	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.11	0.10	0.95	0.87	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.04	—	127	127	0.01	< 0.005	—	128
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.14	0.14	—	0.07	0.07	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.08	1.32	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	247	247	0.01	0.01	0.97	251
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.10	1.12	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	234	234	0.01	0.01	0.03	237
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	34.5	34.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	35.0
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.71	5.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.79
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.3. Grading (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.26	1.90	18.2	18.8	0.03	0.84	—	0.84	0.77	—	0.77	—	2,958	2,958	0.12	0.02	—	2,969
Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.84	1.84	—	0.89	0.89	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.28	0.23	2.25	2.32	< 0.005	0.10	—	0.10	0.10	—	0.10	—	365	365	0.01	< 0.005	—	366
Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.23	0.23	—	0.11	0.11	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.05	0.04	0.41	0.42	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	60.4	60.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	60.6

Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.02	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.07	0.07	1.13	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	212	212	0.01	0.01	0.84	215
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.33	0.09	5.34	2.06	0.03	0.05	1.12	1.18	0.05	0.31	0.36	—	4,269	4,269	0.23	0.68	9.81	4,489
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	25.1	25.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	25.5
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.04	0.01	0.69	0.25	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.04	—	526	526	0.03	0.08	0.52	553
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.16	4.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.22
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.13	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	87.2	87.2	< 0.005	0.01	0.09	91.5

3.4. Grading (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.26	1.90	18.2	18.8	0.03	0.84	—	0.84	0.77	—	0.77	—	2,958	2,958	0.12	0.02	—	2,969
Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.84	1.84	—	0.89	0.89	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.28	0.23	2.25	2.32	< 0.005	0.10	—	0.10	0.10	—	0.10	—	365	365	0.01	< 0.005	—	366
Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.23	0.23	—	0.11	0.11	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.05	0.04	0.41	0.42	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	60.4	60.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	60.6
Dust From Material Movement:	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.02	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.07	0.07	1.13	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	212	212	0.01	0.01	0.84	215
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.33	0.09	5.34	2.06	0.03	0.05	1.12	1.18	0.05	0.31	0.36	—	4,269	4,269	0.23	0.68	9.81	4,489
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	25.1	25.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	25.5
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.04	0.01	0.69	0.25	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.04	—	526	526	0.03	0.08	0.52	553
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.16	4.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.22
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.13	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	87.2	87.2	< 0.005	0.01	0.09	91.5

3.5. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.44	1.20	11.2	13.1	0.02	0.50	—	0.50	0.46	—	0.46	—	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.18	0.15	1.38	1.62	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	296	296	0.01	< 0.005	—	297
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.03	0.25	0.30	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	48.9	48.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	49.1
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.26	1.13	1.21	19.0	0.00	0.00	3.30	3.30	0.00	0.77	0.77	—	3,564	3,564	0.15	0.12	14.1	3,618
Vendor	0.15	0.06	2.27	1.11	0.01	0.03	0.51	0.54	0.03	0.14	0.17	—	1,925	1,925	0.08	0.27	5.22	2,011
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.15	0.14	0.18	2.09	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.40	0.00	0.09	0.09	—	423	423	0.02	0.02	0.75	428
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.29	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	—	237	237	0.01	0.03	0.28	248
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	70.0	70.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.12	70.9
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	39.3	39.3	< 0.005	0.01	0.05	41.0

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
---------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	---	------	------	------	------	------	------

3.6. Building Construction (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e	
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.44	1.20	11.2	13.1	0.02	0.50	—	0.50	0.46	—	0.46	—	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	—	2,406	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.18	0.15	1.38	1.62	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	296	296	0.01	< 0.005	—	297	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.03	0.25	0.30	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	48.9	48.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	49.1	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.26	1.13	1.21	19.0	0.00	0.00	3.30	3.30	0.00	0.77	0.77	—	3,564	3,564	0.15	0.12	14.1	3,618	

Vendor	0.15	0.06	2.27	1.11	0.01	0.03	0.51	0.54	0.03	0.14	0.17	—	1,925	1,925	0.08	0.27	5.22	2,011
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.15	0.14	0.18	2.09	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.40	0.00	0.09	0.09	—	423	423	0.02	0.02	0.75	428
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.29	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	—	237	237	0.01	0.03	0.28	248
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	70.0	70.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.12	70.9
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	39.3	39.3	< 0.005	0.01	0.05	41.0
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.7. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.44	1.20	11.2	13.1	0.02	0.50	—	0.50	0.46	—	0.46	—	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.44	1.20	11.2	13.1	0.02	0.50	—	0.50	0.46	—	0.46	—	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	—	2,406

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.50	0.42	3.93	4.59	0.01	0.17	—	0.17	0.16	—	0.16	—	840	840	0.03	0.01	—	843	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Off-Road Equipment	0.09	0.08	0.72	0.84	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	139	139	0.01	< 0.005	—	140	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	1.26	1.13	1.21	19.0	0.00	0.00	3.30	3.30	0.00	0.77	0.77	—	3,564	3,564	0.15	0.12	14.1	3,618	
Vendor	0.15	0.06	2.27	1.11	0.01	0.03	0.51	0.54	0.03	0.14	0.17	—	1,925	1,925	0.08	0.27	5.22	2,011	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	1.25	1.12	1.43	16.1	0.00	0.00	3.30	3.30	0.00	0.77	0.77	—	3,378	3,378	0.15	0.13	0.37	3,420	
Vendor	0.15	0.06	2.36	1.14	0.01	0.03	0.51	0.54	0.03	0.14	0.17	—	1,926	1,926	0.08	0.27	0.14	2,007	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	0.43	0.39	0.50	5.93	0.00	0.00	1.14	1.14	0.00	0.27	0.27	—	1,201	1,201	0.05	0.04	2.12	1,217	
Vendor	0.05	0.02	0.83	0.39	< 0.005	0.01	0.18	0.19	0.01	0.05	0.06	—	674	674	0.03	0.09	0.79	704	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	

Worker	0.08	0.07	0.09	1.08	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.21	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	199	199	0.01	0.01	0.35	202
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.15	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	112	112	< 0.005	0.02	0.13	116
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.8. Building Construction (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.44	1.20	11.2	13.1	0.02	0.50	—	0.50	0.46	—	0.46	—	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.44	1.20	11.2	13.1	0.02	0.50	—	0.50	0.46	—	0.46	—	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.50	0.42	3.93	4.59	0.01	0.17	—	0.17	0.16	—	0.16	—	840	840	0.03	0.01	—	843
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.09	0.08	0.72	0.84	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	139	139	0.01	< 0.005	—	140

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.26	1.13	1.21	19.0	0.00	0.00	3.30	3.30	0.00	0.77	0.77	—	3,564	3,564	0.15	0.12	14.1	3,618	
Vendor	0.15	0.06	2.27	1.11	0.01	0.03	0.51	0.54	0.03	0.14	0.17	—	1,925	1,925	0.08	0.27	5.22	2,011	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.25	1.12	1.43	16.1	0.00	0.00	3.30	3.30	0.00	0.77	0.77	—	3,378	3,378	0.15	0.13	0.37	3,420	
Vendor	0.15	0.06	2.36	1.14	0.01	0.03	0.51	0.54	0.03	0.14	0.17	—	1,926	1,926	0.08	0.27	0.14	2,007	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.43	0.39	0.50	5.93	0.00	0.00	1.14	1.14	0.00	0.27	0.27	—	1,201	1,201	0.05	0.04	2.12	1,217	
Vendor	0.05	0.02	0.83	0.39	< 0.005	0.01	0.18	0.19	0.01	0.05	0.06	—	674	674	0.03	0.09	0.79	704	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.09	1.08	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.21	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	199	199	0.01	0.01	0.35	202	
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.15	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	112	112	< 0.005	0.02	0.13	116	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

3.9. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.35	1.13	10.4	13.0	0.02	0.43	—	0.43	0.40	—	0.40	—	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.35	1.13	10.4	13.0	0.02	0.43	—	0.43	0.40	—	0.40	—	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.33	0.28	2.58	3.22	0.01	0.11	—	0.11	0.10	—	0.10	—	591	591	0.02	< 0.005	—	593
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.06	0.05	0.47	0.59	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	97.9	97.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	98.2
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.21	1.08	1.09	17.6	0.00	0.00	3.30	3.30	0.00	0.77	0.77	—	3,491	3,491	0.15	0.12	12.8	3,543
Vendor	0.14	0.06	2.15	1.05	0.01	0.03	0.51	0.54	0.01	0.14	0.15	—	1,893	1,893	0.08	0.27	5.18	1,979
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.20	1.07	1.21	14.9	0.00	0.00	3.30	3.30	0.00	0.77	0.77	—	3,309	3,309	0.15	0.13	0.33	3,350
Vendor	0.13	0.05	2.24	1.06	0.01	0.03	0.51	0.54	0.01	0.14	0.15	—	1,894	1,894	0.08	0.27	0.13	1,975
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.29	0.26	0.32	3.86	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.80	0.00	0.19	0.19	—	828	828	0.04	0.03	1.36	839
Vendor	0.03	0.01	0.56	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	—	467	467	0.02	0.07	0.55	487
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	137	137	0.01	< 0.005	0.23	139
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.10	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	77.3	77.3	< 0.005	0.01	0.09	80.7
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.10. Building Construction (2025) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.35	1.13	10.4	13.0	0.02	0.43	—	0.43	0.40	—	0.40	—	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	1.35	1.13	10.4	13.0	0.02	0.43	—	0.43	0.40	—	0.40	—	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.33	0.28	2.58	3.22	0.01	0.11	—	0.11	0.10	—	0.10	—	591	591	0.02	< 0.005	—	593
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.06	0.05	0.47	0.59	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	97.9	97.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	98.2
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.21	1.08	1.09	17.6	0.00	0.00	3.30	3.30	0.00	0.77	0.77	—	3,491	3,491	0.15	0.12	12.8	3,543
Vendor	0.14	0.06	2.15	1.05	0.01	0.03	0.51	0.54	0.01	0.14	0.15	—	1,893	1,893	0.08	0.27	5.18	1,979
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.20	1.07	1.21	14.9	0.00	0.00	3.30	3.30	0.00	0.77	0.77	—	3,309	3,309	0.15	0.13	0.33	3,350
Vendor	0.13	0.05	2.24	1.06	0.01	0.03	0.51	0.54	0.01	0.14	0.15	—	1,894	1,894	0.08	0.27	0.13	1,975
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.29	0.26	0.32	3.86	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.80	0.00	0.19	0.19	—	828	828	0.04	0.03	1.36	839
Vendor	0.03	0.01	0.56	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	—	467	467	0.02	0.07	0.55	487

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	137	137	0.01	< 0.005	0.23	139
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.10	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	77.3	77.3	< 0.005	0.01	0.09	80.7
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Paving (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.91	0.76	6.87	8.89	0.01	0.33	—	0.33	0.30	—	0.30	—	1,351	1,351	0.05	0.01	—	1,355
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.16	0.14	1.22	1.58	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.05	—	0.05	—	241	241	0.01	< 0.005	—	241
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.02	0.22	0.29	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	39.8	39.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	40.0

Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.09	0.11	1.28	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	268	268	0.01	0.01	0.03	271
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	48.4	48.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.09	49.0
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.01	8.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	8.12
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.12. Paving (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.91	0.76	6.87	8.89	0.01	0.33	—	0.33	0.30	—	0.30	—	1,351	1,351	0.05	0.01	—	1,355
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.16	0.14	1.22	1.58	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.05	—	0.05	—	241	241	0.01	< 0.005	—	241
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.02	0.22	0.29	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	39.8	39.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	40.0
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.09	0.11	1.28	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	268	268	0.01	0.01	0.03	271
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	48.4	48.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.09	49.0
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.01	8.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	8.12
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.13. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.85	0.71	6.52	8.84	0.01	0.29	—	0.29	0.26	—	0.26	—	1,351	1,351	0.05	0.01	—	1,355
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.85	0.71	6.52	8.84	0.01	0.29	—	0.29	0.26	—	0.26	—	1,351	1,351	0.05	0.01	—	1,355
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	0.61	0.51	4.66	6.32	0.01	0.20	—	0.20	0.19	—	0.19	—	965	965	0.04	0.01	—	968
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.11	0.09	0.85	1.15	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	160	160	0.01	< 0.005	—	160
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.09	0.09	1.39	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	277	277	0.01	0.01	1.01	281
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.10	1.18	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	262	262	0.01	0.01	0.03	265
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.88	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.18	0.00	0.04	0.04	—	190	190	0.01	0.01	0.31	193
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	31.5	31.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	31.9

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.14. Paving (2025) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.85	0.71	6.52	8.84	0.01	0.29	—	0.29	0.26	—	0.26	—	1,351	1,351	0.05	0.01	—	1,355
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.85	0.71	6.52	8.84	0.01	0.29	—	0.29	0.26	—	0.26	—	1,351	1,351	0.05	0.01	—	1,355
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.61	0.51	4.66	6.32	0.01	0.20	—	0.20	0.19	—	0.19	—	965	965	0.04	0.01	—	968
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	0.11	0.09	0.85	1.15	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	160	160	0.01	< 0.005	—	160
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.09	0.09	1.39	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	277	277	0.01	0.01	1.01	281
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.10	1.18	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	262	262	0.01	0.01	0.03	265
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.88	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.18	0.00	0.04	0.04	—	190	190	0.01	0.01	0.31	193
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	31.5	31.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	31.9
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.15. Paving (2026) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.81	0.68	6.23	8.81	0.01	0.26	—	0.26	0.24	—	0.24	—	1,350	1,350	0.05	0.01	—	1,355
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.07	0.05	0.50	0.71	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	108	108	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	109
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.13	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	17.9	17.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	18.0
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Worker	0.08	0.07	0.09	1.10	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	257	257	0.01	0.01	0.02	260
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	20.9	20.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	21.2
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.46	3.46	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.51
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.16. Paving (2026) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.81	0.68	6.23	8.81	0.01	0.26	—	0.26	0.24	—	0.24	—	1,350	1,350	0.05	0.01	—	1,355
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	0.07	0.05	0.50	0.71	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	108	108	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	109
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.13	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	17.9	17.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	18.0
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.09	1.10	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	257	257	0.01	0.01	0.02	260
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	20.9	20.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	21.2
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.46	3.46	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.51
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.17. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.15	0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architectural Coatings	—	6.80	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.15	0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architectural Coatings	—	6.80	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.08	0.07	0.46	0.60	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	69.8	69.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	70.0
Architectural Coatings	—	3.56	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	11.6	11.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	11.6
Architectural Coatings	—	0.65	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.48	0.43	0.44	7.03	0.00	0.00	1.32	1.32	0.00	0.31	0.31	—	1,396	1,396	0.06	0.05	5.11	1,417
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.48	0.43	0.49	5.96	0.00	0.00	1.32	1.32	0.00	0.31	0.31	—	1,323	1,323	0.06	0.05	0.13	1,340
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.25	0.22	0.27	3.27	0.00	0.00	0.68	0.68	0.00	0.16	0.16	—	702	702	0.03	0.03	1.15	711
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	116	116	0.01	< 0.005	0.19	118
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.18. Architectural Coating (2025) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.15	0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architectural Coatings	—	6.80	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.15	0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architectural Coatings	—	6.80	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.08	0.07	0.46	0.60	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	69.8	69.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	70.0
Architectural Coatings	—	3.56	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	11.6	11.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	11.6
Architectural Coatings	—	0.65	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.48	0.43	0.44	7.03	0.00	0.00	1.32	1.32	0.00	0.31	0.31	—	1,396	1,396	0.06	0.05	5.11	1,417
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.48	0.43	0.49	5.96	0.00	0.00	1.32	1.32	0.00	0.31	0.31	—	1,323	1,323	0.06	0.05	0.13	1,340
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.25	0.22	0.27	3.27	0.00	0.00	0.68	0.68	0.00	0.16	0.16	—	702	702	0.03	0.03	1.15	711
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	116	116	0.01	< 0.005	0.19	118
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.19. Architectural Coating (2026) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.15	0.12	0.86	1.13	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architect ural Coatings	—	6.80	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.40	3.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.41
Architect ural Coatings	—	0.17	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.56	0.56	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.56
Architect ural Coatings	—	0.03	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.42	0.37	0.44	5.57	0.00	0.00	1.32	1.32	0.00	0.31	0.31	—	1,297	1,297	0.06	0.05	0.12	1,313	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	33.5	33.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	33.9	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.54	5.54	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.62	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

3.20. Architectural Coating (2026) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.15	0.12	0.86	1.13	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architectural Coatings	—	6.80	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.40	3.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.41
Architectural Coatings	—	0.17	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.56	0.56	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.56
Architectural Coatings	—	0.03	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.42	0.37	0.44	5.57	0.00	0.00	1.32	1.32	0.00	0.31	0.31	—	1,297	1,297	0.06	0.05	0.12	1,313

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	33.5	33.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	33.9
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.54	5.54	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.62
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	2.35	2.17	1.34	14.9	0.03	0.02	2.97	2.99	0.02	0.75	0.77	—	3,340	3,340	0.19	0.14	11.1	3,399
Strip Mall	0.79	0.73	0.44	4.88	0.01	0.01	0.96	0.97	0.01	0.24	0.25	—	1,083	1,083	0.06	0.05	3.60	1,102
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.90	0.83	0.50	5.57	0.01	0.01	1.10	1.11	0.01	0.28	0.29	—	1,237	1,237	0.07	0.05	4.11	1,259

Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	4.03	3.74	2.28	25.4	0.06	0.04	5.03	5.07	0.03	1.28	1.31	—	5,659	5,659	0.32	0.25	18.8	5,760	
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	2.32	2.14	1.47	14.1	0.03	0.02	2.97	2.99	0.02	0.75	0.77	—	3,203	3,203	0.20	0.15	0.29	3,253	
Strip Mall	0.78	0.72	0.48	4.62	0.01	0.01	0.96	0.97	0.01	0.24	0.25	—	1,038	1,038	0.07	0.05	0.09	1,055	
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.89	0.82	0.55	5.28	0.01	0.01	1.10	1.11	0.01	0.28	0.29	—	1,186	1,186	0.08	0.06	0.11	1,205	
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	3.99	3.69	2.50	24.0	0.05	0.04	5.03	5.07	0.03	1.28	1.31	—	5,427	5,427	0.34	0.26	0.49	5,513	
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Apartments	0.42	0.39	0.27	2.62	0.01	< 0.005	0.54	0.55	< 0.005	0.14	0.14	—	536	536	0.03	0.03	0.80	545
Strip Mall	0.14	0.13	0.09	0.86	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.18	0.18	< 0.005	0.04	0.05	—	174	174	0.01	0.01	0.26	177
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.16	0.15	0.10	0.98	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.20	0.20	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	—	199	199	0.01	0.01	0.29	202
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.72	0.67	0.46	4.46	0.01	0.01	0.92	0.93	0.01	0.23	0.24	—	909	909	0.06	0.04	1.35	924

4.1.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	2.35	2.17	1.34	14.9	0.03	0.02	2.97	2.99	0.02	0.75	0.77	—	3,340	3,340	0.19	0.14	11.1	3,399
Strip Mall	0.79	0.73	0.44	4.88	0.01	0.01	0.96	0.97	0.01	0.24	0.25	—	1,083	1,083	0.06	0.05	3.60	1,102
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.90	0.83	0.50	5.57	0.01	0.01	1.10	1.11	0.01	0.28	0.29	—	1,237	1,237	0.07	0.05	4.11	1,259

Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	4.03	3.74	2.28	25.4	0.06	0.04	5.03	5.07	0.03	1.28	1.31	—	5,659	5,659	0.32	0.25	18.8	5,760	
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	2.32	2.14	1.47	14.1	0.03	0.02	2.97	2.99	0.02	0.75	0.77	—	3,203	3,203	0.20	0.15	0.29	3,253	
Strip Mall	0.78	0.72	0.48	4.62	0.01	0.01	0.96	0.97	0.01	0.24	0.25	—	1,038	1,038	0.07	0.05	0.09	1,055	
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.89	0.82	0.55	5.28	0.01	0.01	1.10	1.11	0.01	0.28	0.29	—	1,186	1,186	0.08	0.06	0.11	1,205	
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	3.99	3.69	2.50	24.0	0.05	0.04	5.03	5.07	0.03	1.28	1.31	—	5,427	5,427	0.34	0.26	0.49	5,513	
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Apartments	0.42	0.39	0.27	2.62	0.01	< 0.005	0.54	0.55	< 0.005	0.14	0.14	—	536	536	0.03	0.03	0.80	545
Strip Mall	0.14	0.13	0.09	0.86	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.18	0.18	< 0.005	0.04	0.05	—	174	174	0.01	0.01	0.26	177
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.16	0.15	0.10	0.98	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.20	0.20	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	—	199	199	0.01	0.01	0.29	202
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.72	0.67	0.46	4.46	0.01	0.01	0.92	0.93	0.01	0.23	0.24	—	909	909	0.06	0.04	1.35	924

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,202	1,202	0.07	0.01	—	1,207
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	114	114	0.01	< 0.005	—	115

High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurart)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	277	277	0.02	< 0.005	—	278
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	418	418	0.03	< 0.005	—	420
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	548	548	0.03	< 0.005	—	550
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	39.5	39.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	39.7
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,599	2,599	0.16	0.02	—	2,609
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,202	1,202	0.07	0.01	—	1,207
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	114	114	0.01	< 0.005	—	115
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurart)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	277	277	0.02	< 0.005	—	278
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	418	418	0.03	< 0.005	—	420
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	548	548	0.03	< 0.005	—	550

Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	39.5	39.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	39.7
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,599	2,599	0.16	0.02	—	2,609
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	199	199	0.01	< 0.005	—	200
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	19.0	19.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	19.0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	45.8	45.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	46.0
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	69.3	69.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	69.5
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	90.8	90.8	0.01	< 0.005	—	91.1
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6.55	6.55	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	6.57
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	430	430	0.03	< 0.005	—	432

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,202	1,202	0.07	0.01	—	1,207
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	114	114	0.01	< 0.005	—	115
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurart)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	277	277	0.02	< 0.005	—	278
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	418	418	0.03	< 0.005	—	420
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	548	548	0.03	< 0.005	—	550
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	39.5	39.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	39.7
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,599	2,599	0.16	0.02	—	2,609
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,202	1,202	0.07	0.01	—	1,207
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	114	114	0.01	< 0.005	—	115
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurart)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	277	277	0.02	< 0.005	—	278
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	418	418	0.03	< 0.005	—	420

Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	548	548	0.03	< 0.005	—	550
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	39.5	39.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	39.7
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,599	2,599	0.16	0.02	—	2,609
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	199	199	0.01	< 0.005	—	200
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	19.0	19.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	19.0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	45.8	45.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	46.0
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	69.3	69.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	69.5
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	90.8	90.8	0.01	< 0.005	—	91.1
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6.55	6.55	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	6.57
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	430	430	0.03	< 0.005	—	432

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
----------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	0.07	0.04	0.63	0.27	< 0.005	0.05	—	0.05	0.05	—	0.05	—	801	801	0.07	< 0.005	—	803
Strip Mall	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	15.3	15.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	15.4
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.02	0.01	0.17	0.14	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	202	202	0.02	< 0.005	—	203
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.09	0.05	0.81	0.42	0.01	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	1,018	1,018	0.09	< 0.005	—	1,021
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	0.07	0.04	0.63	0.27	< 0.005	0.05	—	0.05	0.05	—	0.05	—	801	801	0.07	< 0.005	—	803
Strip Mall	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	15.3	15.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	15.4
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.02	0.01	0.17	0.14	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	202	202	0.02	< 0.005	—	203

Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.09	0.05	0.81	0.42	0.01	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	1,018	1,018	0.09	< 0.005	—	1,021
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	133	133	0.01	< 0.005	—	133
Strip Mall	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	2.54	2.54	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.55
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	33.5	33.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	33.6
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.02	0.01	0.15	0.08	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	169	169	0.01	< 0.005	—	169

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	0.07	0.04	0.63	0.27	< 0.005	0.05	—	0.05	0.05	—	0.05	—	801	801	0.07	< 0.005	—	803
Strip Mall	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	15.3	15.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	15.4
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.02	0.01	0.17	0.14	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	202	202	0.02	< 0.005	—	203
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.09	0.05	0.81	0.42	0.01	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	1,018	1,018	0.09	< 0.005	—	1,021
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	0.07	0.04	0.63	0.27	< 0.005	0.05	—	0.05	0.05	—	0.05	—	801	801	0.07	< 0.005	—	803
Strip Mall	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	15.3	15.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	15.4

High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.02	0.01	0.17	0.14	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	202	202	0.02	< 0.005	—	203
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.09	0.05	0.81	0.42	0.01	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	1,018	1,018	0.09	< 0.005	—	1,021
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	133	133	0.01	< 0.005	—	133
Strip Mall	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	2.54	2.54	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.55
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	33.5	33.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	33.6
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Total	0.02	0.01	0.15	0.08	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	169	169	0.01	< 0.005	—	169
-------	------	------	------	------	---------	------	---	------	------	---	------	---	-----	-----	------	---------	---	-----

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	—	4.39	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	0.37	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	2.88	2.68	0.20	22.2	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	73.0	73.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	73.2
Total	2.88	7.45	0.20	22.2	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	0.00	73.0	73.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	73.2
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	—	4.39	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	0.37	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	0.00	4.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	—	0.80	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	0.07	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	0.36	0.34	0.03	2.78	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	8.28	8.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.31
Total	0.36	1.20	0.03	2.78	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	8.28	8.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.31

4.3.1. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	—	4.39	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	0.37	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	2.88	2.68	0.20	22.2	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	73.0	73.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	73.2
Total	2.88	7.45	0.20	22.2	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	0.00	73.0	73.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	73.2

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	—	4.39	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	0.37	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	0.00	4.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	—	0.80	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	0.07	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	0.36	0.34	0.03	2.78	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	8.28	8.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.31
Total	0.36	1.20	0.03	2.78	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	8.28	8.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.31

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
----------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	16.1	84.9	101	1.65	0.04	—	154
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.14	5.88	7.01	0.12	< 0.005	—	10.8
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.19	16.5	19.7	0.33	0.01	—	30.2
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.4	107	128	2.10	0.05	—	195
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	16.1	84.9	101	1.65	0.04	—	154
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.14	5.88	7.01	0.12	< 0.005	—	10.8
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.19	16.5	19.7	0.33	0.01	—	30.2

Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.4	107	128	2.10	0.05	—	195
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.66	14.1	16.7	0.27	0.01	—	25.5
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	0.97	1.16	0.02	< 0.005	—	1.78
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.53	2.73	3.26	0.05	< 0.005	—	5.01
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.38	17.8	21.1	0.35	0.01	—	32.3

4.4.1. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	16.1	84.9	101	1.65	0.04	—	154
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.14	5.88	7.01	0.12	< 0.005	—	10.8
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.19	16.5	19.7	0.33	0.01	—	30.2
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.4	107	128	2.10	0.05	—	195
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	16.1	84.9	101	1.65	0.04	—	154
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.14	5.88	7.01	0.12	< 0.005	—	10.8

High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurnart)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.19	16.5	19.7	0.33	0.01	—	30.2
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.4	107	128	2.10	0.05	—	195
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.66	14.1	16.7	0.27	0.01	—	25.5
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	0.97	1.16	0.02	< 0.005	—	1.78
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurnart)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.53	2.73	3.26	0.05	< 0.005	—	5.01
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.38	17.8	21.1	0.35	0.01	—	32.3
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	------	------	------	------	------	---	------

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	89.7	0.00	89.7	8.96	0.00	—	314
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.53	0.00	4.53	0.45	0.00	—	15.8
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	35.1	0.00	35.1	3.51	0.00	—	123
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	129	0.00	129	12.9	0.00	—	453
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Apartme Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	89.7	0.00	89.7	8.96	0.00	—	314
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.53	0.00	4.53	0.45	0.00	—	15.8
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurart)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	35.1	0.00	35.1	3.51	0.00	—	123
Unenclos ed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	129	0.00	129	12.9	0.00	—	453
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.8	0.00	14.8	1.48	0.00	—	51.9
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.75	0.00	0.75	0.07	0.00	—	2.62
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurart)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.82	0.00	5.82	0.58	0.00	—	20.4
Unenclos ed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	21.4	0.00	21.4	2.14	0.00	—	74.9

4.5.1. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	89.7	0.00	89.7	8.96	0.00	—	314
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.53	0.00	4.53	0.45	0.00	—	15.8
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	35.1	0.00	35.1	3.51	0.00	—	123
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	129	0.00	129	12.9	0.00	—	453

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	89.7	0.00	89.7	8.96	0.00	—	314
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.53	0.00	4.53	0.45	0.00	—	15.8
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	35.1	0.00	35.1	3.51	0.00	—	123
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	129	0.00	129	12.9	0.00	—	453
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.8	0.00	14.8	1.48	0.00	—	51.9
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.75	0.00	0.75	0.07	0.00	—	2.62
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.82	0.00	5.82	0.58	0.00	—	20.4

Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	21.4	0.00	21.4	2.14	0.00	—	74.9

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.37	1.37
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0.05
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.57	8.57
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.99	9.99
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Apartment Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.37	1.37
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0.05
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.57	8.57
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.99	9.99
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.23	0.23
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.42	1.42
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.65	1.65

4.6.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.37	1.37
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0.05
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.57	8.57

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.99	9.99
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.37	1.37
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0.05
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.57	8.57
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.99	9.99
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartments Mid Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.23	0.23
Strip Mall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.42	1.42
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.65	1.65

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
----------------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.7.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.8.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.9.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sequest	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	2/21/2024	5/5/2024	5.00	53.0	—
Grading	Grading	5/1/2024	7/2/2024	5.00	45.0	—
Foundations	Building Construction	5/6/2024	7/5/2024	5.00	45.0	Foundations
Building Construction	Building Construction	7/6/2024	5/6/2025	5.00	217	—
Paving	Paving	10/2/2024	2/10/2026	5.00	355	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	4/9/2025	1/13/2026	5.00	200	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Foundations	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Foundations	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	367	0.29
Foundations	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Foundations	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Foundations	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	10.0	0.56
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	36.0	0.38
Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37

Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48
-----------------------	-----------------	--------	---------	------	------	------	------

5.2.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Foundations	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Foundations	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	367	0.29
Foundations	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Foundations	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Foundations	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	10.0	0.56
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	36.0	0.38

Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Backh	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Site Preparation	—	—	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Foundations	—	—	—	—
Foundations	Worker	252	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Foundations	Vendor	59.7	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Foundations	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Foundations	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	60.6	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	252	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	59.7	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	20.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	101	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.3.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Site Preparation	—	—	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Foundations	—	—	—	—
Foundations	Worker	252	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Foundations	Vendor	59.7	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Foundations	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Foundations	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	60.6	20.0	HHDT

Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	252	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	59.7	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	20.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	101	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	387,692	129,231	22,769	7,023	5,261

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (cy)	Material Exported (cy)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Site Preparation	—	—	79.5	0.00	—
Grading	—	21,795	45.0	0.00	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.01

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	3	74%	74%

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Apartments Mid Rise	—	0%
Strip Mall	0.00	0%
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	0.00	0%
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.65	100%
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.65	100%
Parking Lot	0.71	100%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2024	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2025	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2026	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Apartments Mid Rise	712	712	712	259,909	4,191	4,191	4,191	1,529,670
Strip Mall	240	240	240	87,673	1,356	1,356	1,356	495,014
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	274	274	274	100,171	1,550	1,550	1,550	565,577
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.9.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Apartments Mid Rise	712	712	712	259,909	4,191	4,191	4,191	1,529,670
Strip Mall	240	240	240	87,673	1,356	1,356	1,356	495,014
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	274	274	274	100,171	1,550	1,550	1,550	565,577
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)
Apartments Mid Rise	—
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	0
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	225
Conventional Wood Stoves	0
Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Pellet Wood Stoves	0

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)
Apartments Mid Rise	—
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	0
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	225
Conventional Wood Stoves	0
Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Pellet Wood Stoves	0

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
387692.325	129,231	22,769	7,023	5,261

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Apartments Mid Rise	824,813	532	0.0330	0.0040	2,499,040
Strip Mall	78,551	532	0.0330	0.0040	47,884
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	189,774	532	0.0330	0.0040	630,921
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	287,129	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	376,124	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

Parking Lot	27,124	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
-------------	--------	-----	--------	--------	------

5.11.2. Mitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Apartments Mid Rise	824,813	532	0.0330	0.0040	2,499,040
Strip Mall	78,551	532	0.0330	0.0040	47,884
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	189,774	532	0.0330	0.0040	630,921
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	287,129	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	376,124	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Parking Lot	27,124	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Apartments Mid Rise	8,386,605	223,726
Strip Mall	592,432	0.00
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	1,663,365	0.00
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00

5.12.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
----------	-------------------------	--------------------------

Apartments Mid Rise	8,386,605	223,726
Strip Mall	592,432	0.00
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	1,663,365	0.00
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Apartments Mid Rise	166	—
Strip Mall	8.40	—
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	65.2	—
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	—
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	—
Parking Lot	0.00	—

5.13.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Apartments Mid Rise	166	—
Strip Mall	8.40	—
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	65.2	—
Unenclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	—
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	—
Parking Lot	0.00	—

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Apartments Mid Rise	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Apartments Mid Rise	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00
Strip Mall	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0
Strip Mall	Stand-alone retail refrigerators and freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.04	1.00	0.00	1.00
Strip Mall	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	R-404A	3,922	< 0.005	7.50	7.50	20.0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.00	0.60	0.00	1.00
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	1.80	4.00	4.00	18.0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	R-404A	3,922	< 0.005	7.50	7.50	20.0

5.14.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Apartments Mid Rise	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Apartments Mid Rise	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00
Strip Mall	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0

Strip Mall	Stand-alone retail refrigerators and freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.04	1.00	0.00	1.00
Strip Mall	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	R-404A	3,922	< 0.005	7.50	7.50	20.0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.00	0.60	0.00	1.00
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	1.80	4.00	4.00	18.0
High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant)	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	R-404A	3,922	< 0.005	7.50	7.50	20.0

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.15.2. Mitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	----------------	---------------	----------------	------------	-------------

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)
----------------	-----------	--------	--------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
—	—

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
-----------	--------	------------------------------	------------------------------

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	17.4	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	7.15	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	0.00	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	2	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A

Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	2	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	74.1
AQ-PM	66.8
AQ-DPM	41.8
Drinking Water	74.4
Lead Risk Housing	65.4
Pesticides	70.3
Toxic Releases	73.5
Traffic	32.9
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	68.9
Groundwater	64.3
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	22.0
Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	67.4
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	27.7
Cardio-vascular	22.1
Low Birth Weights	13.5
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—
Education	58.7
Housing	92.2
Linguistic	98.6
Poverty	68.5

Unemployment	37.7
--------------	------

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	47.65815475
Employed	79.36609778
Median HI	32.43936866
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	64.24996792
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	65.09688182
Transportation	—
Auto Access	48.80020531
Active commuting	54.30514564
Social	—
2-parent households	45.81034262
Voting	14.89798537
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	4.516874118
Park access	56.2042859
Retail density	76.95367638
Supermarket access	94.25125112
Tree canopy	65.36635442
Housing	—
Homeownership	3.682792249

Housing habitability	8.404978827
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	7.840369562
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	33.77389965
Uncrowded housing	24.97112794
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	36.77659438
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	84.3
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	49.8
Cognitively Disabled	70.6
Physically Disabled	80.2
Heart Attack ER Admissions	75.2
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	78.0
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	—
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0

No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	28.6
Elderly	51.6
English Speaking	5.0
Foreign-born	99.1
Outdoor Workers	77.5
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	5.9
Traffic Density	66.4
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	41.0
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	17.9

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	64.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	48.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	Yes
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Land Use	adjusted according to project data
Construction: Construction Phases	adjusted according to project construction schedule
Operations: Hearths	no fireplaces and wood stoves
Operations: Vehicle Data	Traffic Study
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	—