

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

None

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

None

Summary Form Attachment

- Aesthetics – Mitigation Measures (MM) AES-1(a), AES-1(b), AES-2(a), AES-2(b), AES-3 would help reduce potential impacts to aesthetics to less than significant through modified transitions, landscaping, minimizing scenic view corridor views, setbacks and acoustical design, and roadway lighting requirements.
- Agriculture and Forestry - MM AG-1(a), AG-1(b), AG-1(c), and AG-1(d) would help reduce potential impacts to agriculture by requiring assessment of alternative alignments, compensating farmers, and creating conservation easements. Impacts would remain significant.
- Air Quality - MM AQ-1 and AQ-3 would reduce air quality impacts to less than significant through preparation of health risk assessments for specific projects and implementation of construction best management practices from BCAQMD
- Biological Resources - MM BIO-1, BIO-2(a), BIO-2(b), BIO-2(c), BIO-3, and BIO-4 would reduce potential impacts to less than significant through aquatic environmental documentation, aquatic environment avoidance and minimization, compensation for loss of aquatic environments, consideration of wildlife corridors, and noxious weed surveys.
- Cultural Resources and Paleontological Resources - MM CUL-1, CUL-2(a), CUL-2(b), CUL-3(a), CUL-3(b), CUL-3(c), TCR-1(a), and TCR-1(b) would reduce potential impacts to archaeological and paleontological resources through historic resource evaluation, archeological resources evaluation, stopping work following unanticipated discoveries, preparation of a paleontological resources study, paleontological resources monitoring, paleontological resources recovery, identifying tribal cultural resources, and evaluation of unanticipated tribal cultural resources. However, impacts to historic resources would remain significant.
- Climate Change and Greenhouse Gas Emissions - MM GHG-1 would reduce construction emissions to less than significant with implementation of measures recommended by BCAQMD.
- Geology and Soils - MM GEO-1(a) and GEO-1(b) would reduce potential impacts to geology and soils to less than significant through compliance with geotechnical standards and slope stabilization studies.
- Hydrology and Water Quality - MM W-1(a), W-1(b), W-1(c), W-2(a), W-2(b) would reduce impacts to hydrology and water quality to less than significant through clean landscaping, directing runoff, preparation of a SWPPP, elevate structures as necessary, and development of a flood risk communication strategy.
- Land Use and Planning - MM LU-1(a), LU-1(b), and LU-1(c) would reduce impacts to a less than significant with implementation of alternative alignments to minimize impacts to residences and businesses, applicable relocation programs, and temporary access plans.
- Noise - MM N-1 and N-2 would reduce construction noise impacts to less than significant with implementation of best management practices for reducing construction noise and reducing transportation noise through sound attenuation techniques.
- Traffic – MM T-1 would require local and regional level strategies to reduce VMT. Impacts would remain significant and unavoidable.

- Utilities and Services Systems – UTI-1(a), UTI-1(a), UTI-1(c), UTI-1(d), UTI-1(e) would reduce impacts to less than significant through use of reclaimed water, lower water landscaping, porous pavement, and potable water service where feasible.

- Wildfire – WF-1 and WF-2 would reduce impacts through use of fire-resistant vegetation, fire safety plans, prohibition of certain construction activities, fire extinguishers on site, and Post-Fire Landslide, Erosion, and Flood Mitigation. Impacts would remain significant and unavoidable.