

State of California – Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE Central Region 1234 East Shaw Avenue Fresno, California 93710 (559) 243-4005 www.wildlife.ca.gov



December 22, 2023

Alexis Brito Kern County Planning and Natural Resources Department 2700 "M" Street Suite 100 Bakersfield, California 93301

Subject: IGP Industrial Project (Project) Notice of Preparation (NOP) SCH No.: 2023110442

Dear Alexis Brito:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) received a Notice of Preparation (NOP) from the Kern County Planning and Natural Resources Department for the above-referenced Project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines.¹

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Project that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, CDFW appreciates the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Project that CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under Fish and Game Code. While the comment period may have ended, CDFW requests that Kern County still consider our comments.

CDFW ROLE

CDFW is California's **Trustee Agency** for fish and wildlife resources and holds those resources in trust by statue for all the people of the State (Fish & G. Code, §§ 711.7, subd. (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; CEQA Guidelines § 15386, subd. (a)). CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species (*Id.*, § 1802). Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources.

¹ CEQA is codified in the California Public Resources Code in section 21000 et seq. The "CEQA Guidelines" are found in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 15000.

CDFW is also submitting comments as a **Responsible Agency** under CEQA (Pub. Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381). CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code. As proposed, for example, the Project may be subject to CDFW's lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority (Fish & G. Code, § 1600 et seq.). Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" as defined by State law of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) (Fish & G. Code, § 2050 et seq.), related authorization as provided by the Fish and Game Code may be required.

Nesting Birds: CDFW has jurisdiction over actions with potential to result in the disturbance or destruction of active nest sites or the unauthorized take of birds. Fish and Game Code sections that protect birds, their eggs and nests include, sections 3503 (regarding unlawful take, possession or needless destruction of the nest or eggs of any bird), 3503.5 (regarding the take, possession or destruction of any birds-of-prey or their nests or eggs), and 3513 (regarding unlawful take of any migratory nongame bird).

PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

Proponent: IGP Kern County 52 Holdings, LLC

Objective: The proposed project would include the development of two single-story logistics facilities totaling approximately 923,128 square-feet (including 15,000 square feet for dedicated office space) and associated improvements on approximately 49.05 acres of privately owned land in the central portion of unincorporated Kern County.

Location: The project site is approximately 1.7 miles north of the City of Bakersfield, in unincorporated Kern County. The project site is located within the Kern County, Metropolitan Bakersfield General Plan (unincorporated Planning Area). The City of Shafter lies approximately 3.1 miles west of the project site, and the unincorporated community of Oildale is directly bordering the east side of the project site.

Timeline: September 2024 - November 2025

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDFW offers the following comments and recommendations to assist the Kern County Planning and Natural Resources Department in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources. Editorial comments or other suggestions may also be included to improve the CEQA document prepared for this Project.

There are special-status species that may be present at the Project site. These resources should be evaluated and addressed prior to any approvals that would allow ground-disturbing activities or land use changes. CDFW is concerned about potential

Project related impacts to special-status species including, but not limited to, the federally and State endangered Bakersfield cactus (*Opuntia basilaris var. treleasei*), the federally endangered and State threatened San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*), the State threatened Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*), the State candidate endangered Crotch's bumble bee (*Bombus crotchii*), and the State Species of Special Concern burrowing owl (*Athene cunnicularia*), California glossy snake (*Arizona elegans occidentalis*), Bakersfield legless lizard (*Anniella grinnelli*), and American badger (*Taxidea taxus*).

To adequately assess potential Project related impacts to biological resources, CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist perform database and other research of the Project area, then conduct focused habitat assessments and/or focused biological surveys during the appropriate survey period(s) in order to determine whether any special-status species may be present within the Project site. CDFW recommends this initial work be documented and used to inform further efforts that may be needed thereafter including the need for additional protocol surveys and/or the development of avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation measures. This information and analysis may then be used to consider the development of modified or new project alternatives to avoid and minimize potentially significant environmental impacts on the biological environment. This information is critical to make an informed decision during the CEQA process and to ensure Project compliance with CESA, Fish and Game code, and other applicable State and federal laws and regulations.

Bakersfield Cactus

Plants listed pursuant to the federal Endangered Species Act, CESA, and the Native Plant Protection Act, as well as other special status plants such as California Rare Plant Rank (CRPR) may occur within the Project area, such as the federally and State endangered Bakersfield cactus. According to the CNDDB, multiple known populations of Bakersfield cactus occur approximately 1 mile north of the Project Site. Based on aerial imagery, the Project site seems to consist of ruderal grassland habitat, which may support the species. Special-status plant species are threatened with habitat loss and habitat fragmentation resulting from development, vehicle and foot traffic, and introduction of non-native plant species (CNPS 2018), all of which may be unintended direct and indirect impacts of the Project. Therefore, Project activities will potentially have significant and cumulative impacts to populations of the species mentioned above if present in the Project area.

CDFW recommends that individual Project sites be surveyed for State listed and special-status plants by a qualified botanist following the "Protocols for surveying and evaluating impacts to special status native plant populations and sensitive natural communities" (CDFW 2018). This protocol, which is intended to maximize detectability, includes identification of reference populations to facilitate the likelihood of field investigations occurring during the appropriate floristic period.

If Bakersfield cactus or other special-status plants are detected, CDFW recommends special-status plant species be avoided whenever possible by delineating and observing a no-disturbance buffer of at least 50 feet from the outer edge of the plant population(s) or specific habitat type(s) required by State listed and special-status plant species.

If buffers for special-status plants cannot be maintained, then consultation with CDFW is warranted to determine appropriate minimization and mitigation measures for impacts to special-status plant species. If State-listed plant species are identified during botanical surveys, consultation with CDFW is warranted to determine if the Project can avoid take. If take cannot be avoided, take authorization would need to occur through issuance of an Incidental Take Permit (ITP) by CDFW to comply with CESA and/or Fish and Game Code section 1900 and California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 786.9, subdivision (b).

San Joaquin Kit Fox

Bakersfield is home to a significant urban population of the federally endangered and State threatened, San Joaquin kit fox (SJKF) with multiple recorded occurrences in the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) (CDFW 2023a). While the Project may not contain typical native habitat for SJKF, it is within a geographic area occupied by SJKF. In addition, any Project related ground-disturbing activity could attract SJKF. The urban population of SJKF has also been recorded to utilize anthropogenically altered habitats while maintaining a robust population (Cypher 2013). As a result, the Project may result in impacts to SJKF and any take of SJKF without appropriate take authorization would be a violation of Fish and Game Code.

In addition to native habitats, SJKF can den in rights-of-way, vacant lots, under buildings, and other urban habitats and populations can fluctuate over time. It is important to note that SJKF populations are known to fluctuate and a negative finding from biological surveys at any given time does not necessarily demonstrate absence of SJKF on a site. In addition, SJKF may be attracted to both construction materials (pipes, etc.) and construction footprints due to the type and level of activity (excavation, etc.) and the loose, friable soils that are created as a result of intensive ground disturbance.

CDFW recommends assessing presence/absence of SJKF by conducting den surveys following the USFWS' "Standardized recommendations for protection of the San Joaquin kit fox prior to or during ground disturbance", and implementing no-disturbance buffers around den sites, as described in the United States Fish and Wildlife Service document (USFWS 2011). Specifically, CDFW recommends conducting these den surveys over the entirety of the Project site as part of the biological technical studies conducted in support of the CEQA document. CDFW also recommends repeating those surveys, regardless of the results, no less than 14-days and no more than 30-days prior to the beginning of ground and/or vegetation disturbing activities, unless the Project

pursues and obtains an ITP. Finally, CDFW recommends a qualified biologist conduct on-site worker awareness training and inspect all construction materials for SJKF before use. Any pits or trenches created should be sloped or covered to prevent inadvertent capture or entrapment (take).

SJKF detection warrants consultation with CDFW to discuss how to avoid take or, if avoidance is not feasible, to acquire ITP pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 2081, subdivision (b), prior to initiating or resuming (whichever applies) ground-disturbing activities.

Swainson's Hawk

According to the CNDDB, the State threatened Swainson's hawk (SWHA) occurs in and around the City of Bakersfield. Given the proximity to known SWHA occurrences 1.7 miles south in the City of Bakersfield, potential nesting tress on parcels directly adjacent to the Project site, and the fact that SWHA exhibit high nest-site fidelity year after year in the San Joaquin Valley (CDFW 2016), SWHA have the potential to be present near the Project site, and CDFW has jurisdiction over this species under CESA.

The Project as proposed may involve noise, groundwork, and movement of workers that could affect nests and has the potential to result in nest abandonment, significantly impacting local nesting SWHA. Without appropriate avoidance and minimization measures for SWHA, potential significant impacts that may result from Project activities include nest abandonment, and reduced nesting success (loss or reduced health or vigor of eggs or young) from loss of foraging habitat. CDFW recommends that the Environmental Impact Report prepared for this Project address potential impacts to SWHA by including both mitigation for loss of foraging habitat and appropriate avoidance and minimization measures resulting from an analysis of potential impacts to nesting habitat beginning with protocol surveys as described below.

CDFW recommends compensation for the loss of SWHA foraging habitat as described in the Staff Report Regarding Mitigation for Impacts to Swainson's Hawks (CDFW 1994). CDFW recommends that mitigation for habitat loss occur within a minimum distance of 10 miles from known nest sites. CDFW has the following recommendations based on the Staff Report:

- For projects within 1 mile of an active nest tree, a minimum of one acre of habitat management (HM) land for each acre of development is advised.
- For projects within 5 miles of an active nest but greater than 1 mile, a minimum of 0.75 acres of HM land for each acre of development is advised.
- For projects within 10 miles of an active nest tree but greater than 5 miles from an active nest tree, a minimum of 0.5 acres of HM land for each acre of development is advised.

SWHA are known to travel up to 18 miles to forage (Estep 1989). Any SWHA in known nesting trees within that range may utilize the Project site for foraging. Therefore, CDFW recommends protocol surveys for SWHA be conducted as part of the biological technical studies conducted in support of the CEQA document by a qualified biologist with knowledge of SWHA natural history and behaviors, following the survey methods developed by the Swainson's Hawk Technical Advisory Committee (SWHA TAC 2000).

Due to the proximity of potential nesting trees on the parcel east of the Project, if the initial protocol surveys do not detect nesting SWHA, CDFW recommends that protocol surveys be conducted again by a qualified biologist within the survey season immediately prior to Project construction. CDFW recommends a minimum no-disturbance buffer of 0.5-mile be delineated around active nests until the breeding season has ended or until a qualified biologist has determined that the birds have fledged and are no longer reliant upon the nest or parental care for survival. If an active SWHA nest is detected during surveys and a 0.5-mile buffer is not feasible, consultation with CDFW is warranted to discuss how to implement the Project and avoid take. If take cannot be avoided, take authorization through the acquisition of an ITP, pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 2081, subdivision (b) is necessary to comply with CESA.

Crotch's Bumble Bee

According to CNDDB, the State candidate endangered Crotch's bumble bee (CBB) has been recorded to occur less than 3 miles northeast of the Project site as well as within the City of Bakersfield. CBB was once common throughout most of central and southern California. However, it now appears to be absent from most of their range, especially in the central portion of its historic range within California's Central Valley (Hatfield et al. 2015). Analyses by the Xerces Society et al. (2018) suggest there have been sharp declines in relative abundance by 98% and persistence by 80% over the last ten years. Additionally, CBB are known to inhabit areas of grasslands and scrub that contain requisite habitat elements for nesting, such as small mammal burrows and bunch/thatched grasses. According to aerial photography some of these elements are present on the Project site, as a result, CBB have the potential to be present in the Project site, and CDFW has jurisdiction over this species under CESA.

CDFW recommends a qualified biologist conduct a habitat assessment as part of the biological technical studies conducted in support of the CEQA document to determine if the Project site or its immediate vicinity contain habitat suitable to support CBB. Potential nesting sites, which include all small mammal burrows, perennial bunch grasses, thatched annual grasses, brush piles, old bird nests, dead trees, and hollow logs would need to be documented as part of the assessment. If potentially suitable habitat is identified, CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist conduct focused surveys for CBB, and their requisite habitat features following the methodology outlined in the Survey Considerations for California Endangered Species Act Candidate Bumble

Bee Species (CDFW 2023b), as part of the biological technical studies conducted in support of the draft environmental impact report.

If CBB is detected, then CDFW recommends that all small mammal burrows and thatched/bunch grasses be avoided by a minimum of 50 feet to avoid take and potentially significant impacts. If ground-disturbing activities will occur during the overwintering period (October through February), consultation with CDFW is warranted to discuss how to implement Project activities and avoid take. Any detection of CBB prior to or during Project implementation warrants consultation with CDFW to discuss how to avoid take.

If take cannot be avoided, CDFW recommends acquiring an ITP pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 2081, subdivision (b), prior to initiating ground-disturbing activities.

State Species of Special Concern

Burrowing owl (BUOW), California glossy snake, Bakersfield legless lizard and American badger have the potential to occur within the Project site. According to CNDDB, BUOW have been reported to occur less than 2 miles northwest of the Project site and California glossy snake, Bakersfield legless lizard and American badger have been reported in the City of Bakersfield. The Project site, and its vicinity, may support requisite habitat elements for these species.

CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist conduct a habitat assessment as part of the biological technical studies conducted in support of the CEQA document, to determine if Project sites or their immediate vicinity contain potential habitat for the species mentioned above. If potential habitat is present, CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist conduct focused surveys for applicable species and their requisite habitat features to evaluate potential impacts resulting from ground and vegetation disturbance.

Avoidance whenever possible is encouraged via delineating and observing a 50-foot no-disturbance buffer around the entrances of burrows that can provide refuge for California glossy snake, Bakersfield legless lizard and American badger.

CDFW recommends assessing presence/absence of BUOW by having a qualified biologist conduct surveys as part of the biological technical studies conducted in support of the CEQA document following the California Burrowing Owl Consortium's Burrowing Owl Survey Protocol and Mitigation Guidelines (CBOC 1993) and CDFW's Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (CDFG 2012). Specifically, if suitable habitat is present at an individual Project site, CBOC and CDFW's Staff Report suggest three or more surveillance surveys conducted during daylight with each visit occurring at least three weeks apart during the peak breeding season (April 15 to July 15), when BUOW are most detectable.

If BUOW are detected, CDFW recommends no-disturbance buffers, as outlined in the Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (CDFG 2012), be implemented prior to and during any ground-disturbing activities. Specifically, CDFW's Staff Report recommends that impacts to occupied burrows be avoided in accordance with the following table unless a qualified biologist approved by CDFW verifies through non-invasive methods that either: 1) the birds have not begun egg laying and incubation; or 2) that juveniles from the occupied burrows are foraging independently and are capable of independent survival.

| Location | Time of Year | Level of Disturbance | | |
|---------------|----------------|----------------------|-------|-------|
| | | Low | Med | High |
| Nesting sites | April 1-Aug 15 | 200 m* | 500 m | 500 m |
| Nesting sites | Aug 16-Oct 15 | 200 m | 200 m | 500 m |
| Nesting sites | Oct 16-Mar 31 | 50 m | 100 m | 500 m |

* meters (m)

If BUOW are found within these recommended buffers and avoidance is not possible, it is important to note that according to the Staff Report (CDFG 2012), exclusion is not a take avoidance, minimization, or mitigation method and is considered a potentially significant impact under CEQA. Avoidance of direct impacts to BUOW and BUOW eggs and chicks is necessary to avoid violations of Fish and Game Code Sections 3503 (taking or destroying nests or eggs, 3503.5 (take of birds of prey or their eggs), and/or 3513 (take of migratory non game birds). However, if necessary, CDFW recommends that burrow exclusion be conducted by qualified biologists and only during the non-breeding season, before breeding behavior is exhibited and after the burrow is confirmed empty through non-invasive methods, such as surveillance. CDFW recommends replacement of occupied burrows with artificial burrows at a ratio of 1 burrow collapsed to 1 artificial burrow constructed (1:1) as mitigation for the potentially significant impact of evicting BUOW. BUOW may attempt to colonize or re-colonize an area that will be impacted; thus, CDFW recommends ongoing surveillance, at a rate that is sufficient to detect BUOW if they return.

Federally Listed Species: CDFW recommends consulting with USFWS regarding potential impacts to federally listed species including but not limited to Bakersfield cactus and SJKF. Take under the Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA) is more broadly defined than CESA; take under FESA also includes significant habitat modification or degradation that could result in death or injury to a listed species by interfering with essential behavioral patterns such as breeding, foraging, or nesting. Consultation with the USFWS in order to comply with FESA is advised well in advance of any Project activities.

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment to assist the Kern County Planning and Natural Resources Department in identifying and mitigating Project impacts on biological resources. If you have any questions, please contact Jaime Marquez, Environmental Scientist, at the address provided on this letterhead, by telephone at (559) 580-3200, or by electronic mail at Jaime.Marquez@wildlife.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by: Julie Vance

Julie A. Vance Regional Manager

ec: State Clearinghouse Office of Planning and Research state.clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov

> Jaime Marquez California Department of Fish and Wildlife

REFERENCES

- California Burrowing Owl Consortium. 1993. Burrowing owl survey protocol and mitigation guidelines. April 1993.
- California Department of Fish and Game. 2012. Staff report on burrowing owl mitigation. California Department of Fish and Game.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2016. Five year status review for Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*). California Department of Fish and Wildlife. April 11, 2016.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2018. Protocols for surveying and evaluating impacts to special status native plant populations and sensitive natural communities. Sacramento, California, USA.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2023a. Biogeographic information and observation system (BIOS). <u>https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/BIOS</u>. Accessed November 15, 2023.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2023b. Survey considerations for California endangered species act candidate bumble bee species. June 6, 2023.
- California Native Plant Society, Rare Plant Program. 2018. Inventory of rare and endangered plants of California (online edition, v8-03 0.39). Website <u>http://www.rareplants.cnps.org</u>. Accessed December 18, 2023.
- Cypher, B., S. Phillips, and P. Kelly. 2013. Quantity and distribution of suitable habitat for endangered San Joaquin kit foxes: conservation implications. Canid Biology and Conservation 16(7): 25-31.
- Estep, J. 1989. Biology, movements, and habitat relationships of the Swainson's hawk in the Central Valley of California. California Department of Fish and Game, Wildlife Management Division. Sacramento, CA.
- Hatfield, R., S. Jepsen, R. Thorp, L. Richardson, and S. Colla. 2015. *Bombus crotchii*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.
- Swainson's hawk technical advisory committee. 2000. Recommended timing and methodology for Swainson's hawk nesting surveys in the central valley of California. Swainson's hawk technical advisory committee. May 31, 2000.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2011. Standard Recommendations for the Protection of the San Joaquin Kit Fox Prior to or During Ground Disturbance. Sacramento, California.

Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation, Defenders of Wildlife, and Center for Food Safety. 2018. A petition to the state of California fish and game commission to list the Crotch bumble bee (*Bombus crotchii*), Franklin's bumble bee (*Bombus franklini*), Suckley cuckoo bumble bee (*Bombus suckleyi*), and western bumble bee (*Bombus occidentalis occidentalis*) as Endangered under the California Endangered Species Act. October 2018.