CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS BUREAU OF ENGINEERING

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING DIVISION



GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT ASPHALT PLANT NO. 1 – PHASE II PROJECT 2601 EAST 25TH STREET

W.O. #E1908771 GED FILE # 21-006 DATE: DECEMBER 9, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0)	INTRODUCTION1				
2.0	2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION					
3.0) (GEOT	ECHNICAL INVESTIGATION	.2		
3.1	l	Revie	W OF RELEVANT INFORMATION	.2		
3.2	2	GEOF	PHYSICAL SURVEY	.2		
3.3	3	FIELD	EXPLORATION	.2		
3.4	ŀ	Lаво	RATORY TESTING	.2		
4.0) [DISCU	ISSION OF FINDINGS	.3		
4.1	I	Data	Review	.3		
4.2	2	GEOL	OGIC SETTING	.3		
4.3	3	SITE (CONDITIONS	.3		
4.4	ŀ	SUBS	URFACE CONDITIONS	.4		
4.5	5	GROU	JNDWATER	.4		
4.6	5	Labo	RATORY TEST RESULTS	.5		
5.0) 8	SEISN	IIC CONSIDERATIONS	.6		
5.1	I	2020	LABC SESISMIC DESIGN PARAMETERS	.6		
	٦	Table	1 – Seismic Design Parameters	.6		
5.2	2	SEISN	/IC HAZARDS	.6		
	5.2.	1 5	Surface Fault Rupture	.6		
	5.2.2	2 L	iquefaction Evaluation	.6		
	5.2.3	3 5	Seismic Compression	.7		
6.0) F	RECO	MMENDATIONS	.7		
6.1	l	Key [DESIGN ISSUE	.7		
6.2	2	EART	HWORK	.7		
	6.2.	1 8	Site Preparation	.7		
	6.2.2	2 (Over-Excavation	.8		
	6.2.3	3 5	Subgrade Preparation	.8		
	6.2.4	4 7	emporary Excavations	.8		
	6.2.	5 T	emporary Shoring	.9		
	6	6.2.5.1	Lateral Earth Pressures	.9		
	6	6.2.5.2	2 Soldier Piles and Lagging Design	.9		
	6	5.2.5.3 o	Construction Considerations for Soldier Piles	.9		
	6.2.0		III Materials and Backfill Placement	10		
	6.2.		Julity Trench Backfill	11		
6.2.8			Sonuolieu Low Strength Material	11		
6 3	U.Z.3			11 11		
0.3	, 63.		Rearing Canacity and Settlement	11 11		
	0.0. 6 3 4	, L 2 I	ateral Load Resistance	 11		
	0.0.7	- L		• •		

6.4	TRUCK SCALE – STRUCTURAL MAT		12	
6	6.4.1	Bearing Capacity and Settlement	12	
6	6.4.2	Lateral Load Resistance	12	
6	6.4.3	Modulus of Subgrade Reaction	12	
6.5	Pla	NTER AND FENCE WALL AND NON-STRUCTURAL FOUNDATIONS	13	
6.6	6.6 DRAINAGE			
6.7	COF	RROSION AND SULFATE ATTACK RESISTANCE	13	
6.8	ΡΑν	EMENT DESIGN	14	
6	5.8.1	Asphalt Pavement Sections	14	
	Table	e 2 – Asphalt Pavement Section Layer Thicknesses (inches)	14	
e	6.8.2	Portland Cement Concrete Pavement	14	
7.0	SUP	PLEMENTAL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES	15	
7.1	Rev	VIEW OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	15	
7.2	2 GEOTECHNICAL OBSERVATION AND TESTING DURING CONSTRUCTION			
8.0	.0 CLOSURE1			

- Figure 1 Vicinity Map
- Figure 2 Site Location Map
- Figure 3 Geologic Map
- Figure 4 Earthquake Zones of Required Investigation
- Figure 5 Lateral Earth Pressures for Temporary Shoring Systems

Appendix A – Geotechnical Exploration Data Report, Asphalt Plant No. 1 – Phase II Project, 2601 East 25th Street, Los Angeles, California, by Leighton Consulting Inc., dated October 18, 2021.

Appendix B – Summary of Historical Aerial Photograph Review: Excerpts from the Phase I Environmental Assessment Report by Ninyo and Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Sciences Consultants dated September 8, 2021, and Environmental Site Investigation Report by Leighton Consulting, Inc. dated November 11, 2021 (revised December 8).

Appendix C – Results of Geophysical Survey by GeoVision Geophysical Services dated December 8, 2021

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of our geotechnical investigation for the proposed Asphalt Plant No. 1 – Phase II Project. The project site, as shown on Figure 1 – Vicinity Map, is located on the northeast corner of East (E) 25^{th} Street and Harriet Street in the Redondo Junction area of Los Angeles. The project site includes seven northern parcels and seven southern parcels that are separated by an existing alley. The project address is $2601 E 25^{th}$ Street.

The purposes of this investigation were to evaluate the nature and engineering properties of the subsurface materials and develop geotechnical recommendations for design and construction of the project. The City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, Bureau of Engineering, Geotechnical Engineering Division (GED) has prepared this report in response to the Architectural Division's request.

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed site plan is presented on Figure 2 – Site Location Map. As shown on Figure 2, the project includes construction of the following:

- 1) A relatively large canopy structure that will cover stockpiles of reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) and RAP processing equipment.
- 2) A single-story office building that will occupy a footprint of approximately 1,000 square feet.
- 3) A truck scale with a maximum weighing capacity up to 270,000 pounds.
- 4) New paved driveway and parking areas as well as other non-structural improvements.

All three structures will be located within the seven southern parcels. Structural load information for the proposed canopy structure and office building was not provided at the time this report was prepared. The maximum applied static bearing pressure is not expected to exceed 2,500 pounds per square foot (psf).

To meet the City's requirements for Low Impact Development Best Management Practices (LID BMP, 2016), onsite storm water will be collected in planters and treated using biofiltration prior to being discharged to the storm drain. The planter depths are not known at this time.

We understand the site will be re-graded; however, we expect the proposed finished grades in the western portion of the site will be within one to two feet of the existing ones. Excavation and removal proposed in the eastern portion of the site will result in the finished grades being lowered by up to approximately 10 feet. The eastern portion of the site is currently occupied by an elevated concrete pad structure, which will be removed to facilitate the proposed construction (see Section 4.3).

If significant changes to the project are proposed, the findings and recommendations in this report may not be applicable, and a supplemental report may be required. The GED shall be provided an opportunity to review any proposed changes and determine if a supplemental report is required.

3.0 GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION

The geotechnical investigation included reviewing relevant information and completing a geophysical survey, field exploration, and laboratory testing programs. The geophysical survey was completed by GeoVision Geophysical Services (GeoVision). The field exploration and laboratory testing were completed by Leighton Consulting, Inc. (Leighton). A copy of Leighton's geotechnical data report is included in Appendix A of this report. The GED has reviewed Leighton's report, concurs with the information contained in it, and accepts responsibility for the use of its contents.

3.1 REVIEW OF RELEVANT INFORMATION

As part of the geotechnical investigation, the GED reviewed: 1) a Phase I environmental site assessment (ESA) report by Ninyo and Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Sciences Consultants (Ninyo and Moore) dated September 8, 2021, and 2) an environmental site investigation report by Leighton dated November 11, 2021. Both Ninyo and Moore and Leighton reviewed historical aerial photographs that were taken approximately every 5 to 10 years between 1923 and 2016. The key findings are discussed in Section 4.1 of this report.

3.2 GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY

The purpose of the geophysical survey was to identify anomalies that would help the GED determine if significant underground obstructions / substructures are present at the site. The results of the geophysical survey are discussed in Section 4.4 of this report.

3.3 FIELD EXPLORATION

Leighton drilled eight (8) hollow-stem-auger (HSA) exploratory borings, each to a total depth of approximately 41½ feet below ground surface (bgs). The boring locations are presented on Figure 2 – Boring Location Map in Leighton's report (Appendix A). Sampling consisted of alternating Standard Penetration Tests (SPTs) and relatively undisturbed rings. Refer to Section 3.0 in Leighton's data report (Appendix A) for additional information on the field exploration. The subsurface conditions are discussed in Section 4.4 of this report.

3.4 LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory tests were performed on selected samples to characterize the engineering properties of the on-site soils. The laboratory testing program included in-situ moisture content and dry density, laboratory maximum dry density and optimum moisture content, consolidation, direct shear, resistance value (R-value), particle size distribution (i.e. sieve analysis), percent passing the No. 200 sieve (i.e. fines content), expansion index (EI), corrosion potential, and Atterberg Limits. Refer to Section 4.0 in Leighton's data report (Appendix A) for additional information on the laboratory testing program and testing procedures. The laboratory test results are discussed in Section 4.6 of this report.

4.0 DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The following discussion of findings is based on our observations and the results of the data review, geophysical survey, field exploration, and laboratory testing programs.

4.1 DATA REVIEW

The results of the historical aerial photo review indicate the site was previously used by the City as a refuse collection and disposal facility between circa 1922 and 1952. The results of the historical aerial photograph review also indicate the site has been used as an asphalt processing facility (i.e. RAP) from 1952 to present. Excerpts from the historical aerial photograph review sections of the referenced reports are provided in Appendix B of this report. The results are not discussed further herein.

4.2 GEOLOGIC SETTING

The Geologic Map by Thomas W. Dibblee Jr. (1989), is presented of Figure 3 in this report. As shown on Figure 3, the site is underlain by Quaternary Alluvium (Qa). Qa consists of unconsolidated floodplain deposits of silt, sand, and gravel. The Reginal Geology is also discussed in Section 5.1 of Leighton's report (Appendix A). The GED acknowledges Leighton classifies these same materials as Quaternary Young Alluvium (Qya).

4.3 SITE CONDITIONS

The project site is located within an industrial area of the City. As discussed, the site was previously used by the City of Los Angeles as a refuse collection and disposal facility between 1922 and 1952. Since 1952, the site has since been used as a RAP processing facility. The eastern property boundary is located approximately 420 feet from the Los Angeles River. There are existing active railroads on the north side of the site that extend to the east and over the Los Angeles River.

The ground surface across the site, except for the eastern portion, is relatively flat (EI. ~218 to 219 feet above mean sea level). The eastern portion of the site is currently developed with an elevated concrete pad structure that contains a partial ground-level (EI. ~218 to 219 feet) storage room in the northern portion of the structure. The remaining portion of the concrete pad was constructed at an elevation of ~ 227 to 229 feet. The pad is underlain by existing uncertified fill (see Section 4.4) that is laterally supported by concrete retaining walls. The pad is accessed by a ramp that ascends to the east, beginning near the Harriet Street and E 25th Street intersection. The elevation of the concrete pad structure is approximately 10 feet higher than the ground surface elevation in the western portion of the site. Also, the northern portion of the concrete pad structure was constructed with a metal canopy roof that is about 25 feet higher than the concrete pad. We understand the concrete pad structure, metal canopy roof, and retaining walls will be removed to facilitate the proposed construction.

The western portion of the site is undeveloped and the ground surface is paved with asphalt concrete. The western portion is currently being used as a RAP storage area. At the time of this report, asphalt stockpiles up to approximately 30 feet high occupied a large footprint. The asphalt stockpiles spilled over the western wall of the concrete pad structure.

The adjacent property to the east of the project site is occupied by a used cooking oil recycling facility (DarPro Solutions), which is accessed from the termination of E 25th Street. The facility includes two relatively large silos and two enclosed truck delivery bays on the west side of the main building. The west side of the silos and delivery bays are within approximately 2 feet of the property boundary. Also, there is a wrought iron gate at the termination of E 25th Street that extends along the property boundary to the retaining wall of the elevated concrete pad structure.

4.4 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The results of the geophysical survey are presented in Appendix C of this report. The results of the geophysical survey, as shown on Figure 1 – Site Map with Geophysical Interpretation in Appendix C, indicate the presence of multiple buried railroad lines in the western portion of the site. The railroad lines likely extend into the eastern portion of the site. The depth of the buried railroad lines is likely between 6 and 12 inches in the western portion of the site. The results also indicate the possible presence of buried underground utility pipes and a concrete pad.

Uncertified fill material was encountered in all borings. The fill thickness ranges from approximately $3\frac{1}{2}$ to $13\frac{1}{2}$ feet. The fill thickness is greatest beneath the existing concrete pad structure in the eastern area. Based on Borings LB-3 and LB-4, the fill thickness beneath the concrete pad structure is between $11\frac{1}{2}$ and $13\frac{1}{2}$ feet. Fill thicknesses in the western portion of the site range from approximately $3\frac{1}{2}$ to 6 feet. North of the alleyway, the fill thickness is approximately 8 feet (see Boring LB-1). The fill is mostly comprised of poorly graded sand with varying amounts of silt and gravel, and silty sand with varying amounts of gravel. Fill indicators, including glass debris, brick pieces and asphalt fragments, were observed in some of the fill material. Refer to the boring logs in Leighton's report (Appendix A) for more information.

The underlying native soil (i.e. alluvium) mostly consists of poorly to well graded sand with varying amounts of silt and gravel. Layers of sandy silt / sandy lean clay layer were encountered in Borings LB-1 and LB-6 at a depth of 35 feet bgs. Based on the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) field blow counts, the "sandy" alluvium ranges from loose to very dense. The relatively density of the native soils is mostly medium dense. The SPT field blow counts range from 6 to 66 with an average value of approximately 24. It should be noted that some of the SPT field blow counts may be "artificially high" due to the presence of gravel and/or cobbles.

4.5 GROUNDWATER

Groundwater was not encountered in any of the borings, which were all advanced to a depth of approximately 41½ feet bgs. Groundwater information from the California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology (DMG, 1998) indicates the shallowest reported historic groundwater depth is between 50 and 60 feet. Groundwater levels at the site are expected to vary. Groundwater levels can also fluctuate with seasonal rainfalls, dry weather (i.e. drought conditions), and pumping activities in the vicinity of the site. Groundwater is not expected to affect the proposed construction.

4.6 LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

Laboratory tests were performed on selected samples to characterize the engineering properties of the onsite fill and native soil. The individual laboratory test results are included in Leighton's data report (Appendix A).

Moisture content and in-situ dry density tests were performed on some of the relatively undisturbed fill and native soil samples to estimate the total unit weight. The in-situ moisture content and dry density of the fill samples tested ranges from approximately 4 to 7 percent and 94 to 113 pounds per cubic foot (pcf), respectively. The total unit weight of the fill ranges from approximately 100 to 119 pcf with an average value of 109 pcf. The in-situ moisture content and dry density of the sandy native soil tested ranges from approximately 2 to 5 percent and 100 to 125 pcf, respectively. The average total unit weight of the sandy native soil ranges from 103 to 129 pcf with an average value of 116 pcf.

Fines content and sieve analysis tests were performed on selected samples to assist with soil classification. The fines content and gradation tests are summarized in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively, of Leighton's report (Appendix A). The results of the sieve analysis tests indicate the sandy native soils contain up to approximately 32 percent gravel.

Atterberg Limits tests were performed on samples of the native sandy silt / sandy lean clay from LB-1 and LB-6. The test results indicate the plasticity index of this material is between 6 and 7, which is considered to be low in terms of shrink-swell behavior.

Consolidation tests were performed on two remolded samples of the uncertified fill and on a sample of the sandy native soil. The remolded samples were compacted to 95 percent relative compaction (RC) and very close to the optimum moisture content. The test results indicate there is very little potential for soil collapse to occur upon wetting.

Direct shear tests were performed on two remolded samples of the uncertified fill and on three relatively undisturbed samples of the sandy native soil. The remolded samples were compacted to 95 RC and very close to the optimum moisture content. The test results are summarized in Table 5 of Leighton's report (Appendix A). Based on Leigthon's interpretation of the test results, the ultimate friction angle and cohesion value of the remolded fill ranges from 34 to 36 degrees and 0 to 88 pounds psf, respectively. Leighton's test results indicate the ultimate friction angle of the sandy native soil ranges from 34 to 37 degrees, and these materials have no cohesion.

An EI test was performed on a bulk sample of the existing near surface fill from LB-7. The test results indicate the EI value is 0.

The corrosion test results are discussed in Section 6.7 of this report.

Compaction tests were performed on four bulk samples of near surface uncertified fill. The test results are summarized in Table 9 of Leighton's report (Appendix A). The compaction test results indicate the optimum moisture content and corrected maximum dry density ranges from approximately ranged from 7.2 to 8.3 percent and 120 to 132 pcf, respectively.

Finally, an R-value test was performed on a bulk sample of the near surface uncertified fill from LB-1. The test results indicate an R-Value of 80.

5.0 SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS

The following sections present seismic design parameters and discuss seismic hazards for the site.

5.1 2020 LABC SESISMIC DESIGN PARAMETERS

Seismic design parameters for the project site, as presented in Table 1, were developed in accordance with the 2020 Los Angeles Building Code (LABC), which are based on the procedures outlined in ASCE 7-16. Latitude 34.0153421°N and Longitude 118. 2245693°W were used for the project site location.

Parameter	Value
Site Class	D
Ss	1.866
S ₁	0.664
S _{MS}	2.239
S _{M1}	Null – See Section 11.4.8
S _{DS}	1.493
S _{D1}	Null – See Section 11.4.8

TABLE 1 – SEISMIC DESIGN PARAMETERS

The peak ground acceleration $(PGA)_M$ at the site is 0.96g.

5.2 SEISMIC HAZARDS

This section provides the results of our evaluation of earthquake-related geologic/geotechnical hazards for the site, including surface fault rupture, liquefaction and seismic compression. The earthquake zones of required investigation for the Los Angeles Quadrangle (1999) are presented on Figure 4 in this report.

5.2.1 Surface Fault Rupture

As shown on Figure 4, the project site is not located within a State of California Alquist-Priolo Special Study Zone, and nor is it located within a City of Los Angeles Fault Rupture Study Zone (NavigateLA). Based on this information, the potential for surface fault rupture to occur at the project site is considered remote.

5.2.2 Liquefaction Evaluation

As shown on Figure 4, the site is <u>not</u> located within a liquefaction zone. Due to the lack of shallow groundwater, the potential for liquefaction is considered low.

5.2.3 Seismic Compression

During an earthquake, dry loose sandy soils may also experience densification due to ground shaking, although to a generally lesser degree than saturated soils. This phenomenon is often referred to as dry sand settlement or seismic compression. Densification is expected to occur in the sandy native soil. Seismic compression (i.e. settlement) was estimated using the procedures outlined in Pradel (1998). The estimated seismically induced dry sand settlement is approximately ½-inch.

6.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Based on the results of our investigation, the proposed project is considered geotechnically feasible provided the recommendations presented in this report are incorporated into the design and construction. If changes in the design are made, or variations or changed conditions are encountered during construction, the GED should be notified to determine if supplemental recommendations are required.

6.1 KEY DESIGN ISSUE

One important design factor for this project is the presence of existing uncertified fill. The existing fill is prone to settlement, which could adversely impact structures. Also, the Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety (LADBS), Grading Division doesn't allow structures to be supported on uncertified fill. To mitigate the effects of potential settlement associated with uncertified fill, we recommend removing it and replacing it with compacted fill. Earthwork recommendations are provided in Section 6.2 of this report.

6.2 EARTHWORK

All earthwork shall be performed in accordance with the geotechnical recommendations presented in this report and the LADBS, Grading Division's requirements. Furthermore, all earthwork should be performed under the observation and testing of the GED. Recommendations are provided in the following sections for site preparation, over-excavation, subgrade preparation, temporary excavations, temporary shoring, utility trench backfill, controlled low strength material, and fill certification.

6.2.1 Site Preparation

Site preparation will initially involve the demolition of the existing concrete pad structure, metal canopy, retaining walls, foundations, pavement, and other obstructions within the construction area. Following the demolition, the construction area shall be cleared of any vegetation and stripped of miscellaneous debris and other deleterious material. We anticipate a significant amount of existing fill will be removed from the concrete pad structure area to facilitate construction of the proposed at-grade canopy structure.

Organic matter and other material that may interfere with the completion of the work should be removed from the limits of the construction area. Vegetation and organic matter should not be incorporated into the compacted fill. Organic rich soil, if present, may be stockpiled for future landscaping. Voids resulting from the site preparation shall be backfilled with properly compacted fill. These materials shall be removed from the construction area and hauled to a proper disposal area. Any utilities, whether active or inactive, shall be identified and properly abandoned or relocated per project plans and specifications. Any depressions resulting from removal of any existing foundations or utility lines shall be properly backfilled and compacted in accordance with the recommendations in the following sections.

6.2.2 Over-Excavation

All existing uncertified fill material shall be removed beneath the proposed canopy structure, office building, and truck scale. The fill removal will result in excavating approximately 5 to 6 feet below the existing western site grade. The fill removal could be up to approximately 15 feet in the eastern portion of the site. The fill removal includes both structural elements and concrete slab-on-grade (SOG) floors. The fill removal, over-excavation, and recompaction shall result in at least 36 inches of compacted fill beneath structural elements. Also, the excavation should extend laterally a minimum of 5 feet beyond each edge of the structure(s).

The existing soil in new pavement areas shall be removed to a depth of at least 24 inches below the existing western grade (EI. = 218 feet msl) or 18 inches below the pavement section, whichever is greater. The excavation shall extend laterally at least 24 inches beyond the edge of pavement or to the property boundary, whichever is less.

The existing soil beneath non-structural footings shall be removed to a depth of at least 24 inches below the existing western grade (EI. = 281 feet msl) or 12 inches below the bottom of footing, whichever is greater. The excavation shall extend laterally at least 12 inches beyond each edge of the footing or to the property boundary, whichever is less.

The existing soil beneath proposed exterior concrete flatwork shall be removed to a depth of at least 12 inches below the slab. Lateral over-excavation is not required for exterior flatwork.

6.2.3 Subgrade Preparation

Excavation bottoms shall be scarified to a depth of 6 inches, moisture conditioned to within 3 percent above the optimum moisture content, and compacted to at least 95 percent RC, as determined by ASTM Test Method D1557. Excavation bottoms shall be approved by a GED representative and the LADBS, Grading inspector prior to backfill.

6.2.4 Temporary Excavations

Based on the results of the geotechnical investigation, the soils at the site should be readily excavated by conventional earthmoving equipment in good operating condition. All temporary excavations shall conform to the State of California Construction Safety Orders (CAL/OSHA). *Unsurcharged*, temporary vertical excavations shall not exceed 4 feet. Unsurcharged excavations greater than 4 feet and to a maximum of 12 feet shall be sloped at a 1.5:1 (H:V) or flatter inclination from the ground surface to the bottom of the excavation. If temporary excavations greater than 12 feet in vertical height are proposed, they shall be reviewed by the GED, and supplemental recommendations will be provided.

6.2.5 Temporary Shoring

Cantilever or braced shoring may be considered at this site as an alternative to temporary excavations. Shoring deflections shall not exceed ½-inch unless it can be clearly demonstrated with calculations that adjacent structures, utilities, and/or streets will not be impacted. Sheet piles, box shoring, and/or trench shields (i.e. speed shores) are generally not acceptable. The GED may approve them; however, approval depends on several factors. If they are proposed, the GED will review each situation on a case-by-case basis.

Settlement of structures/utilities behind shoring will occur in proportion to both the distance between the shoring and the structure, and the amount of horizontal deflection of the shoring system. Vertical settlement will be a maximum at the shoring face and decrease as the horizontal distance from the shoring increases. Beyond a distance from the shoring equal to the height of the shoring, the settlement is expected to be negligible. The maximum vertical settlement is expected to be about 75 percent of the horizontal deflection of the shoring system. Prior to excavation, it is recommended that walls, structures, or portions of structures within a horizontal distance of 1½ times the depth of the excavation be inspected to determine their present condition. For documentation purposes, photographs should be taken of preconstruction conditions and level surveys should be performed.

6.2.5.1 Lateral Earth Pressures

Cantilever of braced shoring shall be designed for the lateral earth pressures shown on Figure 5 – Lateral Earth Pressures for Temporary Shoring Systems. These values are based on the assumption that (1) the shored soil material is level at ground surface, (2) the exposed height of the shoring is no greater than 20 feet, and (3) the shoring is temporary, and will not be required to support the soil longer than about four months. Surcharge coefficients of 0.28 and 0.44 may be used with uniform vertical surcharges for cantilever and braced shoring lateral earth pressures, respectively. These surcharge pressures should be added to the lateral earth pressures.

6.2.5.2 Soldier Piles and Lagging Design

Drilled holes for soldier piles shall be backfilled with cement-slurry per Greenbook Section 201, from the bottom of lagging (i.e. proposed excavation depth) to the ground surface. The cement-slurry shall contain a minimum of one sack of Portland cement per cubic yard of slurry and a maximum of two sacks of Portland cement per cubic yard of slurry. Drilled holes below the excavation bottom shall be backfilled with structural concrete. To reduce the potential for sloughing and caving of the soils, continuous lagging shall be installed between the soldier piles. All lumber shall be pressure-treated in accordance with Specification C-2 of the American Wood Preservers Association.

6.2.5.3 Construction Considerations for Soldier Piles

Based on the results of the investigation, the potential for soil caving to occur during pile excavation is considered high. If caving conditions are encountered, temporary steel casing shall be used to support the sides of the excavations. The inside diameter of casing shall be at least as large as the diameter of the pile shown on the shoring plans. Drilling shall be accomplished within the temporary steel casing.

Even though the piles will be used for temporary shoring, it will be necessary for the contractor to remove loose soil from the bottom of the pile excavation. Upon completion of drilling, secure covers shall be placed over the excavations. Concrete placement shall be completed within 12 hours of drilling and drilled holes shall not be left open overnight. Drilled excavations shall be observed and approved by the GED prior to installation of steel reinforcement.

Concrete placement by the pumping and tremie method will be required. The steel reinforcement shall be installed and the concrete pumped immediately after drilling is completed. No drilled hole should be drilled immediately adjacent to another pile until the concrete in the other pile has attained its initial set. The tremie pipe should extend to the bottom of the pile excavation. During concrete placement, the bottom of the tremie pipe shall remain embedded at all times in at least 3 feet of concrete. During concrete placement, the casing shall be removed slowly. Furthermore, the casing shall extend above ground surface and shall always be filled with a sufficient head of concrete above the bottom of the casing before it is pulled out.

6.2.6 Fill Materials and Backfill Placement

The existing fill and native soil are suitable for reuse as compacted fill. The results of the insitu moisture content tests indicate these materials will require water to achieve a moisture content that is at or above the optimum value. It is the contractor's responsibility (i.e. means and methods) to achieve a uniformly moisture conditioned stockpile of fill material that meets the moisture requirements (see below).

Import soil shall be predominantly granular (minimum 80% passing the No. 4 sieve and between 5% and 20% passing the No. 200 sieve), non-expansive (EI less than 10). All fill material shall be free of organic or inorganic debris, contamination and materials with any dimension larger than 3 inches. Proposed import soil shall be reviewed by the GED for approval prior to delivery to the job site. The GED shall be notified a minimum of three working days prior to scheduled delivery to the site.

Fill material shall be placed in loose lifts not exceeding 8 inches in thickness, moistureconditioned between 0 and 3 percent above the optimum moisture content and mechanically compacted. The onsite soils shall be compacted to at least 95 percent RC for reuse as primary structural fill. All secondary structural fill, including fill beneath SOG floors, shall be compacted to at least 90 percent RC. Crushed aggregate base (CAB) and/or crushed miscellaneous base (CMB) shall be compacted to at least 95 percent RC.

Fill placement and compaction shall be observed and tested by a certified compaction testing agency working under the direct supervision of the GED. Compacted fill soils shall be kept moist, (at or slightly above the specified moisture content at the time of compaction) but not flooded, until covered with subsequent construction. If compacted fill soils become softened or disturbed, they shall be replaced or recompacted at the discretion of the GED before additional fill or construction is placed. Certification and inspection approvals for compromised soils are void and invalid.

6.2.7 Utility Trench Backfill

Trench excavations for utility pipes may be backfilled with onsite soils under the observation of a representative of the GED. After utility pipes have been laid, properly bedded, and covered per the project specifications, they shall be backfilled to the ground surface or design subgrade with controlled backfill. Controlled backfill shall be moisture conditioned, placed and compacted in accordance with the recommendations presented above in Section 6.2.6. Densification by flooding or jetting is not allowed.

6.2.8 Controlled Low Strength Material

Controlled low strength material (CLSM) is an acceptable alternative to *secondary* compacted fill. CLSM materials and placement shall meet the requirements outlined in Section III of the LADBS' Bulletin P/BC 2020-121.

6.2.9 Fill Certification

Upon successful completion of fill placement and compaction, the GED will issue a Compaction Certification for the fill. Unless approved by the Building Inspector during construction, the Contractor shall not pour footings until an approval letter is issued by the LADBS, Grading Division for the Compaction Certification. The contractor may excavate in compacted fill for foundation elements before the fill certification approval letter is issued, but does so at his/her own risk.

6.3 OFFICE BUILDING AND CANOPY STRUCTURE – SHALLOW FOOTINGS

Following the site preparation and recommended Earthwork (Section 6.2), the new office building and canopy structure may be supported on shallow footings. Design recommendations are provided in the following sections.

6.3.1 Bearing Capacity and Settlement

Continuous and isolated (i.e. column) footings shall bear entirely upon at least 36 inches of compacted fill, which in turn, is underlain by native soil. Continuous and column footings shall have a minimum width of 18 and 24 inches, respectively. All footings shall be embedded at least 24 inches below the lowest adjacent grade. Footings may be designed using a net allowable bearing capacity of 2,500 psf. The allowable bearing value applies to combined dead and sustained live loads. This value may be increased by $\frac{1}{3}$ when considering transient live loads, including wind and seismic forces.

Total settlement, including both static and dynamic, is anticipated to be less than 1-inch. Differential settlement across the footprint of each structure is expected to be less than $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch.

6.3.2 Lateral Load Resistance

Lateral load resistance will be developed by passive soil pressure against the footings and by friction acting along the base of the footings. An allowable passive pressure of 275 psf per foot of depth may be used for design purposes. Passive pressure shall begin at a depth of 12 inches below the ground surface; however, if the structure is located adjacent to an exterior slab or pavement, passive pressure may begin at the ground surface. The Asphalt Plant No. 1 – Phase II Project GED File No. 21-006 W.O.#: E1908771

allowable passive pressure is only applicable for level (ground slope equal to or flatter than 5:1) conditions. An allowable coefficient of friction of 0.40 may be used for dead and sustained live loads for frictional resistance. A FS of 1.5 has been incorporated into both the allowable passive and frictional resistance values. For temporary loading conditions such as wind or seismic forces, the lateral load resistance may combine the passive pressure and frictional resistance; however, the passive pressure shall not exceed ½ the combined total lateral resistance.

6.4 TRUCK SCALE – STRUCTURAL MAT

Following the site preparation and recommended earthwork, the new truck scale may be supported on a structural mat foundation. Design recommendations are provided in the following sections.

6.4.1 Bearing Capacity and Settlement

The structural mat shall be underlain by at least 36 inches of compacted fill. The structural engineer shall determine if thickened edges along the perimeter are required to resist the lateral loads. If thickened edges are required, they shall be embedded at least 12 inches below lowest adjacent grade. The mat may be designed based on an allowable static bearing capacity of 1,500 psf. This value may be increased by 1/3rd for temporary loading conditions such as wind or seismic forces.

Based on the allowable bearing value recommended above, the total (static and seismic) settlement of the mat foundation should not exceed 1 inch. The maximum differential settlement across the building footprint is not expected to exceed ½-inch.

6.4.2 Lateral Load Resistance

Recommendations for lateral load resistance are provided in Section 6.3.2 of this report. These recommendations are applicable to a structural mat foundation.

6.4.3 Modulus of Subgrade Reaction

We recommend the structural mat be designed based on elastic theory principles. A modulus of subgrade reaction "k" may be estimated based on the following equation below:

$$\mathbf{k} = k_{1} \left(\frac{1}{B} \right) \left(\frac{1 + 0.5 \frac{B}{L}}{1.5} \right)$$

where k_1 is the coefficient of subgrade reaction in pounds per cubic inch (pci) of a square foundation measuring one foot by one foot, and B and L are the width and length of the structural mat, respectively. A value of 150 pci may be assumed for k_1 in the above equation.

6.5 PLANTER AND FENCE WALL AND NON-STRUCTURAL FOUNDATIONS

Continuous and/or isolated footings may be used to support planter, fence, and other nonstructural (i.e. non-retaining) site walls less than 8 feet tall. Non-structural footings shall be structurally isolated from the building foundation. Also, the footings shall bear on at least 18 inches of compacted fill. The structural engineer is responsible for designing the steel reinforcement.

Footings with a minimum width of 12 inches and embedded a minimum of 18 inches below the lowest adjacent grade, bearing on properly compacted fill, may be designed for an allowable bearing capacity of 1,500 psf. The allowable bearing capacity includes dead-load and sustained live-loads. The value may be increased by one-third for short durations of loading which will include the effect of wind or seismic forces. The total static settlement is not expected to exceed 1 inch.

Lateral load resistance will be developed by passive soil pressure against the footings and by friction acting along the base of the footings. An allowable passive pressure of 240 psf per foot of depth may be used for design purposes. Passive pressure shall begin at a depth of 12 inches below the ground surface; however, if the structure is located adjacent to an exterior slab or pavement, passive pressure may begin at the ground surface. The allowable passive pressure is only applicable for level (ground slope equal to or flatter than 5:1) conditions. An allowable coefficient of friction of 0.35 may be used for dead and sustained live loads for frictional resistance.

6.6 DRAINAGE

Final grades should be sloped to direct surface water away from foundations and slabs and towards discharge facilities. Surface water should not be allowed to pond anywhere onsite. Water from downspouts, if any, should be collected in closed pipes and conveyed to storm drains or other appropriate discharge locations.

6.7 CORROSION AND SULFATE ATTACK RESISTANCE

Chemical analyses, pH and minimum resistivity tests were performed on four bulk samples of the near surface fill material. The test results are summarized in Table 8 of Leighton's report (Appendix A).

The chloride concentration ranges from 41 to 120 ppm, the sulfate concentration ranges from 66 to 292 ppm, and the soil pH ranges from 7.64 to 9.21. For structural elements, Caltrans (2021) considers a soil to be corrosive if one or more of the following conditions exist:

- Chloride concentration is 500 ppm or greater;
- Sulfate concentration is 1,500 ppm or greater;
- pH is 5.5 or less.

Based on Caltrans' (2021) criteria, the near surface fill is not corrosive when in contact with ferrous metals. According to criteria by other agencies such as NAVFAC, however, the onsite soils may be classified as mildly or slightly corrosive. If desired or required, a corrosion specialist should be consulted regarding selection of construction materials and/or protective design.

The results of the sulfate concentration tests indicate that, based on the American Concrete Institute (ACI, 2008) criteria, these sandy soils have negligible sulfate attack potential on concrete. Refer to ACI 318-08 for appropriate concrete mix design.

6.8 PAVEMENT DESIGN

The following pavement designs have been prepared for parking areas and driveways based on an R-value of 50. Recommendations for asphalt and Portland cement concrete pavement design sections are presented below. In all pavement areas, the uppermost 18 inches of soil subgrade should be compacted to a minimum 95 percent RC.

6.8.1 Asphalt Pavement Sections

Traffic indexes (TIs) were not provided to us at the time of this report. Pavement sections were calculated for the range of TIs shown in the table below.

Lavor	Traffic Index				
Layer	= 5.0	= 6.0	= 7.0	= 8.0	= 9.0
Asphalt					
Concrete	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0
Surface					
CAB / CMB					
(95 percent	4.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	9.0
RC)					
Compacted					
Subgrade (95	18	18	18	18	18
percent RC)					

TABLE 2 – ASPHALT PAVEMENT SECTION LAYER THICKNESSES (INCHES)

6.8.2 Portland Cement Concrete Pavement

Portland cement concrete (PCC) pavement may be used instead of asphalt concrete. For TIs between 6 and 7, a section of 6 inches of PCC over 8 inches of crushed aggregate base or crushed miscellaneous base is recommended. For TIs of 8 and 9, the PCC section should be increased to 7 and 8 inches, respectively. The Portland Cement Concrete should have a minimum modulus of rupture of 650 psi at 28 days.

7.0 SUPPLEMENTAL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES

7.1 REVIEW OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

The grading and foundation plans and specifications should implement the recommendations presented in this report and should be reviewed by the GED to ensure proper interpretation and application of our recommendations.

7.2 GEOTECHNICAL OBSERVATION AND TESTING DURING CONSTRUCTION

All grading, excavation, and construction of foundations should be performed under the observation and testing of the GED at the following stages:

- During demolition;
- Upon completion of site clearing;
- During site excavation;
- During installation of shoring elements;
- During subgrade preparation;
- During fill placement and compaction;
- After excavation of any foundations and immediately prior to placement of foundation concrete;
- During excavation and backfilling of all utility trenches; and
- When any unusual or unexpected geotechnical conditions are encountered.

Asphalt Plant No. 1 – Phase II Project GED File No. 21-006 W.O.#: E1908771

December 9, 2021 Page 16

8.0 CLOSURE

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact Jose Beristain at (213) 847-0478 or Easton Forcier at (213) 847-0476.

Winston Boyce, EIT 163166 Civil Engineering Associate II





Easton Forcier, GE 2948 Geotechnical Engineer II

Q:\PROJECTS\2021\21-006 Asphalt Plant No 1 Phase II\Geotechnical\Report\AP1 Geotechnical Report Text 12-9-21.doc

REFERENCES

- American Concrete Institute, 2008, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-08) and Commentary, January.
- California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, 1998, Seismic Hazard Zone Report for the Los Angeles 7.5-Minute Quadrangle, Los Angeles County.
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), Division of Engineering Services, Materials Engineering and Testing Services, Corrosion Branch, 2021, Corrosion Guidelines, Version 3.2, May.
- California Geological Survey, 1999, Earthquake Zones of Required Investigation, Los Angeles Quadrangle, March 25 with latest revision date of June 15, 2017.
- Los Angeles Building Code, 2020.
- City of Los Angeles, Department of Building and Safety, 2020, Guidelines for Storm Water Infiltration, Information Bulletin P/BC 2020-118, latest revision May 7.
- City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, Bureau of Sanitation, 2016, Planning and Land Development Handbook for Low Impact Development (LID), Part B, Planning Activities, 5th Edition, May 9.
- Dibblee Jr., Thomas W., 1989, Geologic Map of the Los Angeles Quadrangle, Los Angeles County, California, May, Dibblee Foundation Map #DF-22
- Leighton Consulting, Inc., 2021, Geotechnical Exploration Data Report, Asphalt Plant No. 1 – Phase II Project, 2601 East 25th Street, Los Angeles, California, October 18.
- Leighton Consulting, Inc., 2021, Environmental Site Investigation Report, Asphalt Plant No.1 – Phase II Project, 2601 East 25th Street, Los Angeles, California, November 11 (revised December 8).
- Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety, 2020, Information Bulletin P/BC 2020-121, Controlled Low Strength Material, January 1.
- Ninyo and Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Sciences Consultants, 2021, Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Asphalt Plant No. 1, 25th Street and Harriet Street, Los Angeles, California, September 8.
- Pradel, D., 1998, Procedure to Evaluate Earthquake-Induced Settlements in Dry Sandy Soils, ASCE Journal of Geotechnical and GeoEnvironmental Engineering, Vol. 124, No. 4, p. 364-368, April.

FIGURES









Q:\PROJECTS\2021\21-006 Asphalt Plant No 1 Phase II\Geotechnical\Analyses\Lateral earth pressures shoring



APPENDIX A

Geotechnical Exploration Data Report Asphalt Plant No. 1 – Phase II Project 2061 East 25th Street, Los Angeles, California

by Leighton dated October 18, 2021



GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION DATA REPORT ASPHALT PLANT NO. 1 – PHASE II PROJECT 2061 EAST 25TH STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA W.O. NO. E1908771, GED FILE NO. 21-006, TOS NO. 21-006

Prepared For CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS BUREAU OF ENGINEERING, GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING DIVISION (GED) 1149 SOUTH BROADWAY, SUITE 120 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90015-2213

Prepared By LEIGHTON CONSULTING, INC. 17781 COWAN IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

Project Number 11957.013

October 18, 2021



A Leighton Group Company

October 18, 2021

Project No. 11957.013

City of Los Angeles Department of Public Works Bureau of Engineering, Geotechnical Engineering Division (GED) 1149 South Broadway, Suite 120 Los Angeles, CA 90015-2213

Attention: Mr. Patrick J. Schmidt, PE, GE Division Manager, Geotechnical Engineering Division

Subject: Geotechnical Exploration Data Report Asphalt Plant No. 1 – Phase II Project 2601 East 25th Street Los Angeles, California W.O. No. E1908771, GED File No. 21-006, TOS No. 21-006

In accordance with our proposal dated May 7, 2021, and the subsequent Notice to Proceed issued June 9, 2021, Leighton Consulting, Inc. (Leighton) is pleased to present this Geotechnical Data Report, which summarizes the results of our field exploration and laboratory-testing program in support of the Asphalt Plant No. 1 – Phase II Project.



Respectfully submitted,

LEIGHTON CONSULTING, INC.

Christian Delgadillo, PE, GE 3144 Senior Project Engineer

ED/CD/rg

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Secti</u>	<u>on</u>	Pa	ge		
1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	1		
	1.1	Project Description	1		
2.0	PRE-	FIELD ACTIVITIES	2		
3.0	FIEL	FIELD EXPLORATION			
	3.1 3.2 3.3	Soil Sampling Volatile Organic Compound Screening Investigation Derived Waste	3 4 4		
4.0	LABC	LABORATORY TESTING			
	4.1	Geotechnical Laboratory Testing	5		
		 4.1.1 Soil Classification 4.1.2 In-situ Moisture Content and Dry Density Determination 4.1.3 Fines Content 4.1.4 Particle Size Analysis 4.1.5 Atterberg Limits 4.1.6 Consolidation 4.1.7 Direct Shear 4.1.8 Expansion Index 4.1.9 R-Value 4.1.10 Corrosion 4.1.11 Maximum Density 	5 6 7 8 8 9 9 9 9		
5.0	FINDINGS				
	5.1 5.2	Regional Geology Site-Specific Geology	11 12		
		5.2.1 Artificial Fill (afu)5.2.2 Quaternary Young Alluvium (Qya)	12 12		
	5.3	Groundwater Conditions	12		
6.0	LIMIT	ATIONS	13		
7.0	REFE	RENCES	14		

TABLES

Table 1: Boring Location Data Table	3
Table 2: Percent Passing No. 200 Sieve Results	7
Table 3: Sieve Analysis Results	7



Table 4: Atterberg Limits Results	8
Table 5: Direct Shear Results	9
Table 6: Expansion Index Results	9
Table 7: R-Value Results	9
Table 8: Corrosion Results	
Table 9: Maximum Density Results	10

ATTACHMENTS

Figure 1 – Site Location Map

Figure 2 – Boring Location Map

Appendix A – Boring Logs

Appendix B – Laboratory Test Results

Appendix C – Analytical Test Results



1.0 INTRODUCTION

This data report presents the results of our geotechnical exploration for the proposed Asphalt Plant No. 1 – Phase II Project, located on the northeast side of Harriet Street and East 25th Street in the Redondo Junction area of the city of Los Angeles, California (Project or Site). The site is shown on Figure 1, *Site Location Map.*

1.1 <u>Project Description</u>

Our understanding of the Project is based on the Geotechnical Engineering Division (GED) April 14, 2021 Task Order Solicitation (TOS) 21-006 WO# E1908771, GED File No. 21-006. The site is rectangular in shape and consists of approximately 1.4 acres of industrial land. The site is currently occupied by Asphalt Plant No. 1, operated by the City of Los Angeles Bureau of Street Services (BSS). We understand the proposed project consists of the construction of a relatively large canopy structure on the southern parcels associated with the site. The canopy will cover the recycled asphalt pavement (RAP) and RAP processing equipment. The project also includes the construction of a new employee office, truck scale, utilities, planters, and new parking lot. The surrounding area consists of primarily light industrial and commercial properties. A rendering plant is located to the east and south of the site. Harriet Street, followed by the Mor-Cast Aluminum Foundry plant, is located to the west of the site. Railroad right-of-way and additional industrial properties are located to the north of the site.



2.0 PRE-FIELD ACTIVITIES

Prior to commencing fieldwork, Leighton met with a GED representative to discuss project details and mark the boring locations on August 23, 2021. Underground Service Alert (USA) was notified at least 48 hours prior to commencing drilling. In addition, a private utility locator performed a geophysical survey at the boring locations to assess the presence of underground features and ensure no conflict at the boring locations.

A Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan (HSP) was prepared prior to the field exploration. The HSP was prepared in accordance with Chapter 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations (29 CFR) 1910.120, Title 8 Section 5192 of the CCR, and requirements for COVID-19 prevention consistent with applicable governmental orders. The HSP was reviewed by a GED representative prior to exploration.

Based on the TOS, LA county permits were not required.



3.0 FIELD EXPLORATION

The field exploration was performed between August 30 and September 1, 2021, and consisted of the advancement of eight (8) soil borings (LB-1 through LB-8) to a depth of 41½ feet below ground surface (bgs). The borings were advanced using a hollow-stem auger. After completion of drilling activities, the borings were backfilled with cement-bentonite grout and patched with cold asphalt where asphalt was penetrated. A list of the borings performed as a part of this study along with pertinent location and depth information is presented in the following table.

Boring	Depth (ft)	Latitude	Longitude
LB-1	41½	34.016018	-118.225387
LB-2	41½	34.015654	-118.225423
LB-3	41½	34.015716	-118.224952
LB-4	41½	34.01554	-118.22485
LB-5	41½	34.015521	-118.225242
LB-6	41½	34.01573	-118.225525
LB-7	41½	34.015641	-118.225233
LB-8	411/2	34.015796	-118.225213

Table 1: Boring Location Data Table

Bulk, Standard Penetration Test (SPT), and relatively undisturbed drive soil samples were collected using a SPT and Modified-California ring sampler at selected intervals within the hollow-stem auger borings for geotechnical and environmental laboratory testing.

3.1 Soil Sampling

Leighton personnel sampled the borings using Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and California Ring samplers generally alternating every 2½ feet to 15 feet, and at 5 feet intervals thereafter, to the explored depths. The SPT and Ring samplers were driven into the soil with a 140-pound hammer, free falling 30 inches. The number of blows was noted for every 6 inches of sampler penetration. Relatively undisturbed samples were collected from the borings using the Ring sampler. The sampling procedures generally followed ASTM D 1586 and D 3550 for SPT and split-barrel sampling of soil. In addition to driven samples, representative bulk soil samples were also collected from the borings. Each soil sample collected was



described in general conformance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). The samples were sealed, packaged, and transported to our soil laboratory. The soil descriptions and depths are noted on the boring logs included in Appendix A, *Boring Logs*.

3.2 Volatile Organic Compound Screening

Each soil sample collected above the water table was field screened using a photoionization detector (PID) to evaluate the soil sample for the presence of volatile organic hydrocarbon vapors. Additionally, a combustible gas meter, or 4-gas meter, capable of reading the Lower Explosive Limit (LEL) of methane and parts per million (ppm) of hydrogen sulfide was placed at the ground surface upon removal of the soil sampling device from each depth. PID, LEL, and hydrogen sulfide readings recorded for each soil sample are presented on the boring logs in Appendix A.

3.3 Investigation Derived Waste

Investigation-derived waste (i.e. soil cuttings) was generated during the geotechnical exploration. Soil cuttings were placed in DOT-approved 55-gallon drums and properly labeled, manifested, and disposed offsite. Composite soil samples were collected from the soil cuttings for analytical laboratory testing. Copies of the chain of custody forms and complete analytical reports are presented in Appendix C



4.0 LABORATORY TESTING

4.1 <u>Geotechnical Laboratory Testing</u>

Geotechnical laboratory tests were performed on selected soil samples obtained during field exploration. Laboratory tests were selected and scheduled by GED. The following laboratory tests were performed on soil samples to evaluate geotechnical engineering properties of the subsurface materials:

- Soil classification (ASTM D2488);
- In-situ moisture content and dry density determination (ASTM D2216 and ASTM D2937);
- Fines content (ASTM D1140);
- Sieve analyses (ASTM D6913);
- Atterberg Limits (ASTM D4318);
- Consolidation (ASTM D2435);
- Direct shear (ASTM D3080);
- Expansion Index (ASTM D4829);
- Resistivity, chloride content, sulfate content, and pH (CA DOT Test 422, 417, 643);
- R-Value (CA DOT Test 301); and
- Maximum Density (ASTM D1557).

All laboratory tests were performed in general conformance with ASTM and California Test procedures. The results of the in-situ moisture tests are presented on the geotechnical boring logs (Appendix A). Detailed results of laboratory testing are presented in Appendix B – *Laboratory Test Results*. Test results are summarized as follows:

4.1.1 Soil Classification

Classifying soils in accordance with standardized methods enables their properties and characteristics to be evaluated in a broad-based manner, and to correlate soils found on various sites. Visual classifications made in the field are often refined after more detailed observations of the materials are made in the laboratory, and after subsequent laboratory testing. ASTM


Test Method D2488 was used to perform the visual classification of selected soil samples in the laboratory.

The determined classifications of each soil sample are shown on the boring logs in Appendix A. The classifications of specific specimens that were tested in the laboratory are indicated with the respective test results in Appendix B. Because the types of in-situ materials may change abruptly, there may be apparent discrepancies between the classifications as indicated on the boring logs and in the test-result documentation.

4.1.2 In-situ Moisture Content and Dry Density Determination

The in-situ moisture content and dry density were performed in accordance with ASTM Test Methods D2216 and D2937, respectively. The in-situ moisture content serves to establish a correlation between the properties and behavior of a soil and the in-situ dry density provides a measure of the degree of densification of a material. The in-situ moisture content (as a percentage of dry weight of soil) and dry density (in pounds per cubic foot, pcf) were determined for relatively undisturbed specimens. The test results are presented on the boring logs in Appendix A.

4.1.3 Fines Content

Selected soil samples were wet-wash sieved through a No. 200 U.S. Standard brass sieve in accordance with ASTM Test Method D1140 to determine the percentage of fines (silts and clays). This data was used to refine the Unified Soil Classification for the tested samples. The results are summarized in the table below.



Percent Passing No. 200 (ASTM D1140)							
Boring ID	oring ID Depth (feet)		Sand (%)				
LB-1	71⁄2	5.2	94.8				
LB-1	35	70.7	29.3				
LB-2	71⁄2	3.9	96.1				
LB-4	1-5	17.6	82.4				
LB-5	10	5.4	94.6				
LB-6	5	4.4	95.6				
LB-6	35	70.5	29.5				
LB-7	71⁄2	7.3	92.7				
LB-8	10	6.1	93.9				

Table 2: Percent Passing No. 200 Sieve Results

4.1.4 Particle Size Analysis

The particle-size distributions of selected soil samples were evaluated by performing mechanical (sieve) analyses. The data was used to refine the Unified Soil Classification for the tested soil samples. The results of the tests are presented graphically in Appendix B and summarized in the table below.

Sieve Analysis (ASTM D6913)							
Boring ID	Depth (feet)	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Fines (%)			
LB-2	1-5	14	71	15			
LB-3	71⁄2	27	65	8			
LB-3	20	14	82	4			
LB-4	10	1	79	20			
LB-4	25	5	87	8			
LB-5	1-5	18	74	8			
LB-6	12½	3	90	7			
LB-8	1-5	1	80	19			
LB-8	12½	16	79	5			
LB-8	20	32	63	5			

Table 3: Sieve Analysis Results



4.1.5 Atterberg Limits

Atterberg limits tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D4318 in order to determine Liquid Limit (LL), Plastic Limit (PL) and Plasticity Index (PI). Samples were air-dried and passed through a No. 40 sieve and moisturized. The liquid and plastic limit tests were performed on the fraction passing the No. 40 sieve. The Atterberg limits test results are summarized in the following table.

Boring ID Depth (feet)		Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index		
LB-1	35	26	7		
LB-6	35	25	6		

Table 4: Atterberg Limits Results

4.1.6 Consolidation

Consolidation testing was performed on relatively undisturbed ring samples in accordance with ASTM D2435. These tests were performed to evaluate the compressibility and moisture sensitivity of site soils under load. This test involved loading the specimen into a consolidometer, which contained porous stones at the top and bottom of the device to accommodate vertical drainage from the specimen during testing. Normal vertical axial loads were applied to the specimen and the resulting deflections were recorded at various time periods. Normal loads were applied at a constant loadincrement ratio, successive loads being generally twice the preceding load. Samples were tested at field and submerged moisture contents. The results are presented graphically in Appendix B.

4.1.7 Direct Shear

Shear strength parameters of selected soil samples were obtained by direct shear tests in accordance with ASTM D3080. We also performed direct shear tests on samples remolded to 95 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D 1557. Detailed results of the shear tests are summarized in the table below.



Boring ID	Depth (feet)	Ultimate Cohesion (psf)	Ultimate Φ (°)
LB-2	5	0	36
LB-4	15	0	37
LB-5*	1-5	0	36
LB-5	71/2	0	34
LB-6*	1-5	88	34

Table 5: Direct Shear Results

*Samples remolded to 95% relative compaction.

4.1.8 Expansion Index

Expansion Index (EI) tests were performed on a representative bulk soil sample from the site, in general accordance with ASTM D4829. Detailed test results are presented in Appendix B and summarized in the table below.

Table 6: Expansion Index Results

Boring ID	Depth (feet)	USCS	Expansion Index
LB-7	1-5	SP	0

4.1.9 <u>R-Value</u>

R-Value parameter of selected soil samples were obtained in accordance with CA DOT Test 301. Detailed results of the shear tests are summarized in the table below.

Table	7:	R-Va	lue F	Results
-------	----	------	-------	---------

Boring ID	Boring ID Depth (feet)				
LB-1	1-5	80			

4.1.10 Corrosion

Corrosion parameters of selected soil samples were obtained in accordance with CA DOT Test 422, 417, 643. Detailed results of the shear tests are summarized in the table below.



Boring ID	Depth (feet) (feet) Minimum Resistivity (ohm-cm)		Chloride Content (ppm)	Sulfate Content (ppm)	Soil pH
LB-2	1-5	3,930	70	292	7.81
LB-3	1-5	3,100	105	78	9.21
LB-6	1-5	6,450	41	115	7.77
LB-8	1-5	5,350	120	66	7.64

Table	8:	Corrosion	Results
Table	υ.	0011031011	Nesuns

4.1.11 Maximum Density

The maximum dry density and optimum moisture content of representative near surface soil materials were determined in accordance with ASTM Test Method D1557. The results of these tests are presented in the table below.

Boring ID	Depth (feet)	Depth (feet) USCS Soil Type		Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	
LB-2	1-5	SM	7.4	131.7	
LB-5	1-5	SW-SM	7.2	129.2	
LB-6	1-5	SM	7.8	119.6	
LB-8	1-5	SM	8.3	122.4	

Table 9: Maximum Density Results



5.0 FINDINGS

5.1 <u>Regional Geology</u>

The Project is located in the Los Angeles Basin in the northwestern portion of the Peninsular Ranges Geomorphic Province of Southern California. The Peninsular Ranges province extends approximately 900 miles southward from the Santa Monica Mountains to the tip of Baja California (Yerkes, et al., 1965) and is characterized by elongated, northwest-trending mountain ridges and sediment-floored valleys. The province includes numerous northwest trending fault zones, most of which either gradually truncate, merge with, or are terminated by faults that form the southern margin of the Transverse Ranges province. These northwest trending fault zones include the San Jacinto, Whittier-Elsinore, Palos Verdes, and Newport-Inglewood fault zones.

Approximately 65 million years ago (at the end of the Cretaceous Period), a deep, structural trough existed off the current coast of southern California (Yerkes, 1972). Over time, sedimentation filled the trough with hundreds to thousands of feet of sediment. About 7 million years ago, as sedimentation continued, an eastward shift of the boundary between the Pacific and North American plates to its present position would begin shaping the Los Angeles basin from this deep trough. Today the Los Angeles basin refers to the area defined by the Santa Monica, Whittier and Palos Verdes faults, and San Joaquin Hills. Basin depth is limited to the sediments deposited over the basement rock in the last 7 million years (Wright, 1991). The deepest part of the Los Angeles basin contains Tertiary to Quaternary-aged (65 million years and younger) marine and nonmarine sedimentary rocks that are about 24,000 feet thick (Yerkes, et al, 1965; Wright, 1991). During the Pleistocene epoch (the last two million years), the region was flooded as sea level rose in response to the worldwide melting of the Pleistocene glaciers.

Specifically, the site is located within the Central Block of the Los Angeles Basin, immediately west of the Los Angeles River. As regionally mapped by Dibblee (1989), the site is underlain by quaternary alluvium consisting of sand, gravel, and silt laid down by the Los Angeles River.



5.2 <u>Site-Specific Geology</u>

5.2.1 Artificial Fill (afu)

Artificial fill of varying thickness mantles the majority of the project alignment. Based on our subsurface explorations, fill varies from approximately 3½ feet to 13½ feet in thickness. In general, the encountered fill materials consist of well graded sand, poorly graded sand, poorly graded sand, poorly graded sand, and asphalt.

5.2.2 <u>Quaternary Young Alluvium (Qya)</u>

Below the overlying artificial fill materials, native alluvial materials were encountered to the maximum explored depth of 41½ feet below existing grade. The Quaternary age alluvial soils that underlie the site primarily consist of loose to very dense poorly graded sand and sand with silt with varying amounts of gravel. Stiff to very stiff sandy silt/lean clay layers were encountered at a depth of 35 feet in borings LB-1 and LB-7.

5.3 <u>Groundwater Conditions</u>

According to groundwater information obtained through the California Geological Survey (CGS) and presented in the Seismic Hazard Zone Report for the Los Angeles Quadrangle (CGS, 1998), the historically shallowest groundwater depth in the vicinity of the project site is less than 60 feet bgs. Groundwater was not encountered in our borings drilled to the maximum explored depth of 41½ feet.

The County of Los Angeles has one active groundwater monitoring well (Well No. 2788J) at approximately ¼ miles southeast of the site (<u>https://dpw.lacounty.gov/general/wells/</u>). Monitoring data dating back to the 1960s showed the shallowest groundwater table was measured at 230 feet below grade in 2007.



6.0 LIMITATIONS

This report presents the data obtained during our geotechnical exploration and laboratory testing and does not present any conclusions or recommendations regarding the subject site and the data obtained.

The findings from our site exploration are considered valid as of the date of this report. The data provided in this report was obtained from a limited number of exploration locations (each of which were prescribed by GED) and, therefore, may not completely define all subsurface conditions throughout the site. The nature of many sites is that differing geotechnical or geological conditions can occur within small distances and under varying climactic conditions. Furthermore, changes in subsurface conditions can and do occur over time. The data in this report should be used with these statements in mind.

Leighton's work was performed using the degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised by reputable geotechnical consultants practicing in this or similar localities at the time the work was performed. No other warranty, either expressed or implied, is made as to the conclusions, recommendations, and professional opinions presented in this report.



7.0 REFERENCES

- California Geological Survey, 1998, Seismic Hazard Zone Report for the Los Angeles 7.5 Minute Quadrangle, Los Angeles County, California, Seismic Hazard Zone Report 07.
- Dibblee, Jr., T.W., 1989, Geologic Map of the Los Angeles Quadrangles, Los Angeles County, California, Dibblee Geologic Foundation Map #DF-22, map scale 1:24,000.
- Yerkes, R.F., McCulloh, T.H., Schoellhamer, J.E. and Vedder, J.G., 1965, Geology of the Los Angeles Basin, California -- An Introduction: U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 420-A, 57 p.
- Yerkes, R.F., 1972, Geology and Oil Resources of the Western Puente Hills Area, Southern California: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 420-C, 63 p.
- Yerkes, R.F., and Campbell, R.H., 2005, Preliminary Geologic Map of the Los Angeles 30' x 60' Quadrangle, Southern California, United States Geological Survey: Open-File Report 2005-1019, Version 1.0, Map Scale 1:100,000.





Map Saved as V:\Drafting\11957\013\Maps\11957-013_F01_SLM_2021-09-09.mxd on 9/23/2021 11:58:11 AM



Map Saved as V:\Drafting\11957\013\Maps\11957-013_F02_SP_2021-10-14.mxd on 10/14/2021 3:42:03 PM

APPENDIX A

BORING LOGS



		MAJOR DIVIS	SIONS			TYPICAL NAMES			
			CLEAN GRAVELS			WELL-GRADED GRAVELS WITH OR WITHOUT SAND			
	0 SIEVE	GRAVELS MORE THAN HALF	WITH LESS THAN 15% FINES	GP		POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS WITH OR WITHOUT SAND			
	DILS AN NO. 20	FRACTION IS LARGER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE	GRAVELS WITH	GM		SILTY GRAVELS WITH OR WITHOUT SAND			
	AINED SC RSER TH/		FINES	GC		CLAYEY GRAVELS WITH OR WITHOUT SAND			
	ARSE-GR _F IS COA		CLEAN SANDS WITH LESS THAN	SW		WELL-GRADED SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL			
	CO THAN HAL	SANDS MORE THAN HALF COARSE	15% FINES	SP		POORLY-GRADED SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL			
	MORE .	FRACTION IS FINER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE SIZE	SANDS WITH 15%	SM		SILTY SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL			
			OR MORE FINES	SC		CLAYEY SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL			
	SIEVE			ML		INORGANIC SILTS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY WITH OR WITHOUT SAND OR GRAVEL			
	LS N NO. 200	SILTS AND CLAYS LIQUID LIMIT 50% OR LESS		SILTS AND CLAYS LIQUID LIMIT 50% OR LESS	ND CLAYS T 50% OR LESS			INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY WITH OR WITHOUT SAND OR GRAVEL	
	FINE-GRAINED SOI		C			ORGANIC SILTS OR CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY WITH OR WITHOUT SAND OR GRAVEL			
		FINE-GRA		MH		INORGANIC SILTS OF HIGH PLASTICITY WITH OR WITHOUT SAND OR GRAVEL			
	E THAN F	SILTS AN LIQUID LIMIT GRE	D CLAYS EATER THAN 50%	СН		INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY WITH OR WITHOUT SAND OR GRAVEL			
	MOF			ОН		ORGANIC SILTS OR CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY WITH OR WITHOUT SAND OR GRAVEL			
		HIGHLY ORGANI	C SOILS	PT		PEAT AND OTHER HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS			
		SYMBOLS KEY	WELL SYMBOLS			ABBREVIATION KEY			
SAMPLE ITPES Bulk Bag Sample Grab Sample Undisturbed Sample	3		Portland Cement Portland Cement Blank Casing Bentonite Pellets First Encountered Groundw Static Groundwater Filter Pack Screened Casing	/ater	CR - CD - CN - CU - DS - PP - (3.0) - RV - SA -	CORROSION CONSOLIDATED DRAINED TRIAXIAL-200200SIEVE 200 SIEVECONSOLIDATED DRAINED TRIAXIAL CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL DIRECT SHEARTC-CVCLIC TDIRECT SHEARTV-TORVANPOCKET PENETROMETER (TSF)UC-UNCONF(WITH SHEAR STRENGTH IN KSF)(1.5)-(WITH SHSIEVE ANALYSIS:UU-UNCONS	PASSING NO. E TEST RIAXIAL E SHEAR INED COMPRESSION IEAR STRENGTH IOLIDATED IED TRIAXIAL		
			——— Screened Casing						

Key to Boring Log



LGD A NNNN01 11957.010 BORING LOGS.GPJ ROCKLOG2012.GDT 8/20/20

Proj	ect No).	1195	7.013		<u> </u>				Date Drilled 8-31-2	1
Proj Drill	ect ing Co			<u>'W On-</u>	Ca	ll Asph	halt Pla	int		Logged By EDB	
Drill	ing Mo	, thod		rilling				• •			
		lilou		w Sten	<u>1 Al</u>	uger -	14010	- Auto	nammo	er - 30° Drop Ground Elevation 216°	
LOC	ation		34.0	16018,	-11	18.225	387			Sampled By <u>DB</u>	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic دم دم	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration at the time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and may change with time. The description is a simplification of the actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types may be gradual.	Type of Tests
215-	0	· · · · ·		B-1					SP	 Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu) @ Surface: Poorly Graded SAND with Gravel; brown, slightly moist, fine sand, fine and coarse subangular gravel, little silt @2': Dark brown, moist, fine sand, fine subrounded gravel 	RV
		· · · · · · ·								@3': Black	
210-	-	· · · · ·	0.4	S-1		3 2 3				 @5': Poorly Graded SAND; loose, gravish brown, moist, fine sand, few fine gravel, trace organics, asphalt debris @6.5': Brown, moist, fine sand, trace organics 	
205-	 10 		. <u>6</u>	<u>R-1</u> S-2		<u>5</u> 9 13 2 3 3		2	<u>SP-SM</u> SP	 @7.5': Poorly Graded SAND with Silt; medium dense, grayish brown, fine sand, little coarse subrounded gravel, trace organics for a coarse or graded SAND with Silt; dark yellowish brown, moist, predominantly fine sand, some medium sand, trace coarse gravel @10': Poolry Graded SAND; loose, yellowish brown, moist, fine sand 	-200
200-	 15 		.7	R-2 S-3		8 14 23 6 8 10	123	3		 @12.5': Poorly Graded SAND with Gravel; medium dense, yellowish brown, moist, fine sand, fine subangular gravel @15': Partial recovery, 1-inch thick interbed of Silty SAND; grayish brown, moist, fine sand, few fine gravel 	
195-	 20 		0	R-3		9 14 22	113	4	SP-SM SP	 @20': Poorly Graded SAND with Silt; medium dense, grayish brown, moist, fine to coarse sand, trace rootlets @21.5': Poorly Graded SAND; orangish brown, moist, fine sand, trace fine subangular gravel 	
190-			0	S-4		6 7 9				@25': Medium dense, brown to grayish brown, predominantly fine sand, few medium sand, few fine gravel	
SAMI B C G R S T	30 DLE TYP BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE		TYPE O -200 ° AL CN O CO O CR O CU	F TE F TE F TE F TE F TE F F F CON CON CON CON CON	STS: NES PAS ERBERG SOLIDA SOLIDA LAPSE ROSION RAINED	SSING LIMITS TION	DS EI H MD PP	DIRECT EXPANS HYDRO MAXIMI POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH E	ghton

Project No. Project			11957	7.013						Date Drilled	8-31-21	
Proj	ect		LADP	W On	-Cal	I Asph	alt Pla	nt		Logged By	EDB	
Drill	ing Co).	MR D	rilling						Hole Diameter	8"	
Drill	ing Me	ethod	Hollov	w Sten	n Au	iger -	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	216'	
Loc	ation		34.0	16018,	-11	8.225	387			Sampled By	EDB	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic Log	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explorat time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other l and may change with time. The description is a simplification actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil type gradual.	tion at the locations n of the es may be	Type of Tests
185-	30— — —		0	R-4		7 20 22				 @30': Grayish brown, predominantly fine sand, few coarse s few fine gravel @30.75': Poorly Graded SAND; orangish brown, moist, fine a medium sand 	and, and	
180-			0	S-5		6 7 8			CL-ML	@35': Sandy SILT/Sandy lean CLAY; stiff, olive brown, mois plasticity, fine sand	t, low	-200, AL
175-			0 R-5 10 14 19						ML	 @40': Sandy SILT; medium dense, olive brown and light brown moist, non-plastic, fine sand @40.5': 4-inch thick interbed of Poorly Graded SAND; brown predominantly fine sand, few coarse sand, trace fine graven to the trace fine graven to the tracent set of the tracent set	wn, n, moist, rel/_	
170-										No groundwater encountered Backfilled with cement-bentonite grout and patched wit	h asphalt	
165-												
160-	55 											
SAM		ES:				STS						
B C G R S T	GO SAMPLE TYPES: B BULK SAMPLE C CORE SAMPLE G GRAB SAMPLE R RING SAMPLE S SPLIT SPOON SAI T TUBE SAMPLE		MPLE	-200 AL CN CO CR CU	% FIN ATTE CONS COLL CORF	NES PAS RBERG SOLIDA APSE ROSION RAINED	ssing Limits Fion Triaxia	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPAN HYDRO MAXIM POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH	Leigl	nton

Proj Proj Drill	Project No. Project Drilling Co. Drilling Method			7.013 PW On- Prilling	Call Asp	halt Pla	ant		Date Drilled Logged By Hole Diameter	8-31-21 EDB 8"	
Drill	ing Me	thod	Hollov	w Stem	Auger -	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	215'	
Loc	ation		34.0	15654,	-118.22	5423			Sampled By	EDB	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	≤ Graphic Log	Attitudes	Sample No.	^{Buk} Driven Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other loc and may change with time. The description is a simplification actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types gradual.	on at the ocations of the s may be	Type of Tests
215-	0— — —			B-1				SM	 @ Surface: 8-inch Asphalt over 2-inch Base <u>Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu)</u> @10": Silty SAND; dark gravish brown, slightly moist, fine sar some fine and coarse subangular gravel @2': Brown, fine sand, few fine and coarse subangular gravel 	nd, I	CN, CR, MD, SA
210-	5 		5.4	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					 @3.5': Poorly Graded SAND; light brown, moist, fine sand, fer and coarse subangular gravel @4': Silty SAND; light brown, moist, fine sand, few clay @4.5': Poorly Graded SAND; moist, grayish brown, fine sand, fine gravel >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	, few	DS
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.4	S-1	4 6 8			SM SP	Quaternary Alluvium: (Qa) @6': Poorly Graded SAND; dark yellowish brown, moist, fine : @7.5': Medium dense	sand, / J sand	-200
205-	10— — —	· · · · · ·	0	R-2	6 8 10		2		@10': Medium dense, fine and medium sand, loose sand, sar disturbed	mple	
200-	_ 15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	S-2	5 7 10	112	3		@12.5': Fine sand		
	-							e ro . Eignt grayion brown, oligntry molot			
195-	20— — — —										
190-	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								@25': Dense, dark yellowish brown		
185 SAMI C G R S T	30 DLE TYP BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	MPLE	TYPE OF -200 % AL A CN C CO C CR C CU L	TESTS: 6 FINES PA ATTERBER CONSOLIDA COLLAPSE CORROSIOI JNDRAINEE	SSING G LIMITS TION N D TRIAXIA	DS EI H MD PP AL RV	DIRECT EXPAN HYDRO MAXIM POCKE R VALL	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH JE	Leigl	hton

Proj	ject No) .	1195	7.013						Date Drilled	8-31-21	
Proj	ect		LADF	W On-	Call	Asph	alt Pla	int		Logged By	EDB	
Drill	ing Co).	MR D	rilling						Hole Diameter	8"	
Drill	ing Me	ethod	Hollov	w Sten	n Aug	ger - '	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	215'	
Loca	ation		34.0 ⁻	15654,	-118	3.225	423			Sampled By	EDB	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	Graphic Log	Attitudes	Sample No.	sulk briven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploratime of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other and may change with time. The description is a simplificati actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil type gradual.	ation at the r locations on of the bes may be	Type of Tests
185-	30	N 3	0	S-4		8				@30': Medium dense		
180-	 35		0	R-5		10 12 12 19 25						
175-	 40	 	0	S-5		11 18 21				@40': Dense		
170-	 45 									No groundwater encountered Backfilled with cement-bentonitie grout and patched w asphalt	/ith cold-mix	
165-	 50 											
160-												
155	e0											
SAMI SAMI C G R S T	BULK S BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	MPLE	TYPE O -200 AL CN CO CR CU	F TES % FINI ATTEF CONS COLL CORR UNDR	TS: ES PAS RBERG OLIDAT APSE OSION AINED	SSING LIMITS FION TRIAXIA	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPAN HYDRO MAXIM POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH E	Leigl	nton

Proj	ject No) .	1195	7.013						Date Drilled	9-1-21	
Proj	ect		LADF	W On-	Call	Asph	alt Pla	int		Logged By	EDB	
Drill	ing Co).	MR D	rilling						Hole Diameter	8"	
Drill	ing Me	ethod	Hollo	w Sterr	n Aug	ger - ´	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	226'	
Loc	ation		34.01	5716,	-118	.2249	952			Sampled By	EDB	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	≤ Graphic v	Attitudes	Sample No.	aulk Driven 	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explorat time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other and may change with time. The description is a simplification actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil type gradual.	tion at the locations n of the es may be	Type of Tests
225-	0— — —			B-1					SM	 @ Surface: 10-inch Concrete <u>Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu)</u> @10": Silty SAND; brown, slightly moist, fine sand 		CR
220-	5 		13.1	S-1 R-1		2 2 2 7	113	5	SP SP-SM	 @5': Loose @6': Poorly Graded SAND; yellowish brown, moist, fine sand @7.5': Poorly Graded SAND with Silt and Gravel; dense, brown in the second secon	d wn,	SA
215-			2	S-2 R-2		18 24 3 50/4" 7 10	103	4	Asphalt SP Asphalt SM Asphalt	 @8.4': Asphalt, also noted in shoe of sampler @10': Poorly Graded SAND; very dense, dark brown, very m fine sand, few silt, partial recovery @10.5': Asphalt @12.5': Silty SAND; medium dense, dark brown, moist, fine 	oist, sand	
210-	 15 		0	S-3		12 7 10 15			SP	Quaternary Alluvium: (Qa) @13.5': Poorly Graded SAND; light brown, moist, fine sand @15': Dense, yellowish brown, fine sand		
205-	 20 		0 R-3 8 113				113	2		@20': Fine to coarse sand, little fine gravel, 4-inch thick inter Silty SAND; brown, moist, fine sand	bed of	SA
200-			0	S-4		11 13 15				@25': Fine sand		
SAMI B C G R S T	30 PLE TYP BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	MPLE	TYPE OI -200 C AL CN C CO C CR C CU I	F TEST % FINE ATTER CONSC COLLA CORRC UNDR/	TS: ES PAS RBERG OLIDAT APSE OSION AINED	SING LIMITS TION TRIAXIA	DS EI H MD PP	DIRECT EXPANS HYDRO MAXIMI POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY JM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE I PENETROMETER STRENGTH E	Leigł	nton

Proj	Project No.11957.013ProjectLADPW On-Call Asp									Date Drilled 9-1-21	
Proj	ect		LADF	W On	-Cal	l Asph	alt Pla	int		Logged By EDB	
Drill	ing Co).	MR D	rillina						Hole Diameter 8"	
Drill	ing Me	ethod	Hollov	w Sten	n Au	iger -	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation 226'	
Loc	ation		34.01	5716,	-118	3.2249	952			Sampled By	
											(0
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic Log	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration at the time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and may change with time. The description is a simplification of the actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types may be gradual.	Type of Tests
	30	• . • .	0	R-4		18				@30': Fine and medium sand, few coarse gravel, 4-inch thick	
195- 190-			0	S-5		27 35 14 19 23				interbed of Silty SAND; brown, moist, fine sand @35': Predominantly fine sand, few medium and coarse sand	
185-	40	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.0	R-5		16 21 27				Total Depth = 41.5' No groundwater encountered Backfilled with cement-bentonitie grout and patched with concrete	,
180-	45 — _ _										
175-	50										
170-	55										
SAM	AMPLE TYPES: TYPE OF TESTS: B BULK SAMPLE -200 % FINES PASSING			DS	DIRECT	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS					
C G R S T	60 SAMPLE TYPES: B BULK SAMPLE C CORE SAMPLE G GRAB SAMPLE R RING SAMPLE S SPLIT SPOON SAMI T TUBE SAMPLE		MPLE	AL CN CO CR CU	ATTE CONS COLL CORF	RBERG SOLIDA APSE ROSION RAINED	LIMITS TION	EI H MD PP L RV	EXPAN HYDRO MAXIM POCKE R VALL	SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH JE	hton

Proj	ect No).	11957	7.013						Date Drilled	9-1-21	
Proj	ect		LADP	W On-	Cal	ll Asph	alt Pla	ant		Logged By	EDB	
Drill	ing Co).	MR D	rilling						Hole Diameter	8"	
Drill	ing Me	ethod	Hollo	<i>w</i> Sten	ו Au	uger -	140lb	- Auto	hamme	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	224'	
Loc	ation	-	34.01	1554, -	118	8.2248	5			Sampled By	EDB	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic ۷	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explorat time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other and may change with time. The description is a simplificatio actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil type gradual.	tion at the locations n of the es may be	Type of Tests
220-	0— — — —			B-1					SM	 @ Surface: 5-inch Concrete Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu) @5": Silty SAND; light brown, slightly moist, fine sand, few f gravel @3': Brown, fine sand 	ine	-200
	5 - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							6		@5': Loose, pockets of Poorly Graded SAND; brown, moist, medium sand	fine and	
215-	$10 - \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} = 0$ $R-2 = 17$								Asphalt	@7.5': Very dense, partial recovery @8': Asphalt		
		· · · · ·	0	R-2		17 15 <u>19</u>	103 	6	SM Asphalt <u>SM</u>	@10': Silty SAND; medium dense, dark brown, moist, fine s: @10.5': Asphalt ⊃ @11': Silty SAND; light brown, moist, fine sand Quaternary Alluvium: (Qa)	and 	SA
210-	-	· · · · ·	.3	S-2	X	4 5 6			SP	 @11.5': Poorly Graded SAND; dark yellowish brown, moist, sand @12.5': Medium dense 	fine	
205-			0	R-3		5 8 9	100	3		@16': Yellowish brown, predominantly fine sand, some med coarse sand	um and	DS
	20		0	S-3		6 6 7				@20': Predominantly fine sand, few medium sand		
200-	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								SW-SM	 @25': Dense, 6-inch thick interbed of Silty SAND; brown, me and medium sand @25.5': Well Graded SAND with Silt; dense, light olive brow fine and medium sand, few coarse sand 	bist, fine n, moist,	SA
SAMI B C G R S T	30 BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	MPLE	TYPE O -200 AL CN CO CR CU	F TE % FII ATTE CON CON CON CON	STS: NES PAS ERBERG SOLIDA SOLIDA LAPSE ROSION RAINED	SSING E LIMITS TION TRIAXIA	DS EI H MD PP AL RV	DIRECT EXPANS HYDROI MAXIMU POCKET R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY JM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH E	Leig	nton

Proj	ject No) .	11957	7 013						Date Drilled	9-1-21	
Proj	ect		LADP	W On-	Cal	l Asph	alt Pla	nt		Logged By	EDB	
Drill	ing Co) .	MR D	rillina						Hole Diameter	8"	
Drill	ing Me	ethod	Hollov	<i>w</i> Sten	ו Au	ider -	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	224'	
Loca	ation		34.01	1554, -	118	.2248	5			Sampled By	EDB	
												<i>(</i>)
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic v	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other lo and may change with time. The description is a simplification actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types gradual.	on at the ocations of the s may be	Type of Test
	30— – –		0	S-4		16 20 27			SP	@30': Poorly Graded SAND; very dense, yellowish brown, mo fine and medium sand, few coarse subangular gravel	bist,	
190-									SW-SM	@35': Well Graded SAND with Silt; dense, light olive brown, n fine and medium sand, few coarse sand	noist,	
185-	 40 		0	S-5		14 18 22			SP	@40': Poorly Graded SAND; dense, yellowish brown, moist, fi medium sand	ine and	
180-	 45									Total Depth = 41.5' No groundwater encountered Backfilled with cement-bentonitie grout and patched witl	h concrete	
175-	 50											
170-												
165- SAM	165- 60- SAMPLE TYPES: TYPE OF TESTS:											
B C G R S T	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60		MPLE	-200 AL CN CO CR CU	% FIN ATTE CONS COLL CORI UNDE	NES PAS RBERG SOLIDA ⁻ APSE ROSION RAINED	SSING LIMITS TION TRIAXIA	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPANS HYDRO MAXIMU POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY JM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE I PENETROMETER STRENGTH E	Leigł	nton

Proj Proj Drill Drill	ject No ect ing Co ing Me	o. o. ethod	1195 LADF MR D Hollo	7.013 PW On- Drilling w Sten	-Cal	II Asph	nalt Pla	int - Auto	hamm	Date Drilled Logged By Hole Diameter er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	8-30-21 EDB 8" 215'	
LOC	ation		34.0	15521,	-11	8.2252	242			Sampled By	EDB	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic v	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other loc and may change with time. The description is a simplification of actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types gradual.	n at the cations of the may be	Type of Tests
215-	0				П					@ Surface: 6-inch Asphalt over 6-inch Base		
				B-1					SW-SM	Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu) @1': Well Graded SAND with Silt and Gravel; grayish brown, n fine and medium sand, fine gravel, trace brick fragments @2': olive brown, fine and medium sand, coarse subrounded g trace brick fragments	noist, Iravel,	CN, DS, MD
210-	5 		.1 S-1 6 8 10 0 R-1 5 104 10 10						SP	Quaternary Alluvium: (Qa) @4': Poorly Graded SAND; light grayish brown, moist, fine san few fine and coarse subangular gravel @5': Medium dense, fine sand	ıd,	
	_		0	R-1	X	5 10 17	104	4		@7.5': Yellowish brown, fine sand, few fine gravel		DS
205-	10— —		0	S-2		4 4 4				@10': Dark yellowish brown, fine and medium sand @10.25': 4-inch thick interbed of Silty SAND; brown, moist, fin sand	e	-200
	_		0	R-2	N	7 11 18	125	3				
200-	15— — —		0	S-3		8 11 15				@15': Predominantly fine sand, little medium sand, trace fine subrounded gravel		
195-	20		0	R-3 7 15 21						@20': Predominantly fine sand, some medium sand		
190-			0 S-4 8 10							@25': Trace coarse subrounded gravel		
185 SAMI C G R S T	30 PLE TYP BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	MPLE	TYPE O -200 AL CN CO CR CU	F TE % FII ATTE CON COLI COR UND	STS: NES PAS ERBERG SOLIDA SOLIDA LAPSE ROSION RAINED	SSING LIMITS TION	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPANS HYDRO MAXIMI POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY JM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH E	Leigl	nton

Proj Proj Drill Drill	ject No ect ing Co ing Me	o. o. ethod	1195 LADF MR D Hollo	7.013 PW On- Prilling w Sterr	-Call n Au	Asph	alt Pla	int - Auto	hamm	Date Drilled Logged By Hole Diameter er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	8-30-21 EDB 8" 215'	
Loc	ation		34.0	15521,	-118	3.2252	242			Sampled By	_EDB	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic v	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explor- time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other and may change with time. The description is a simplificati actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil typ gradual.	ation at the r locations on of the bes may be	Type of Tests
185-	30 35 		0	R-4		9 15 18 10 11 13				@30': Predominantly fine sand, some medium sand @35': Fine sand		
175-	40		0	R-5		15 21 27				@40': Dense @41.5': Few coarse subangular gravel		
170-	 45 									Total Depth = 41.5' No groundwater encountered Backfilled with cement-bentonitie grout and patched v asphalt	vith cold-mix	
165-	50— — —											
160-												
155 SAMI C G R S T	60 IPLE TYPES: BULK SAMPLE CORE SAMPLE GRAB SAMPLE RING SAMPLE SPLIT SPOON SAMPLE SPLIT SPOON SAMPLE TUBE SAMPLE CU UNDRAINED TRIAXIAI							DS EI H PP L RV	DIRECT EXPAN HYDRO MAXIM POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH JE	<u>///</u> Leigl	nton

Proj	ect No) .	1195	7.013						Date Drilled	8-31-21	
Proj	ect	-	LADF	W On-	-Ca	ll Asph	alt Pla	int		Logged By	EDB	
Drill	ing Co).	MR D	rilling						Hole Diameter	8"	
Drill	ing Me	ethod	Hollov	w Sten	n Ai	uger -	140lb	- Auto	hamme	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	215'	
Loca	ation		34.0 ⁻	1573, -	118	3.2255	25			Sampled By	EDB	
												Ś
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic v	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explore time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other and may change with time. The description is a simplificati actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil typ gradual.	ation at the clocations on of the bes may be	Type of Test
215-	0				Π					@ Surface: 14-inch Asphalt over 4-inch Base		
				B-1					SM SP	Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu) @1': Silty SAND; light brown, slightly moist, fine sand @2.5': Poorly Graded SAND; dark yellowish brown, moist, f	ine sand	DS, MD, CR
210-	5									@4': Dark brown ————————————————————		
210	-		.1	S-1		4 5 5				Quaternary Alluvium: (Qa) @5': Poorly Graded SAND; medium dense, dark yellowish t moist, fine sand	prown,	-200
	_		0	R-1	X	6 8 10	102	5				
205-	10		0	S-2		4 9 12				@10': Trace coarse subrounded gravel		
	_		0	R-2	X	9 15 27	104	3	SW-SM	@12.5': Well Graded SAND with Silt; dense, gravish brown fine to medium sand, trace coarse sand, trace fine grave	, moist, al	SA
200-	15— _ _		.0	S-3		11 13 15				@15': Predominantly fine sand, few medium sand		
195-	 20 		0	R-3		16 19 23	112	3	SP	@20': Poorly Graded SAND; dense, dark yellowish brown, r fine sand	noist,	
190-	 25 		0	S-4		7 9 11				@25': Medium dense, fine sand, trace coarse subrounded o	gravel	
4	_				$\left \right $							
185 SAMF C G R S T	3U DLE TYP BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	MPLE	TYPE O -200 AL CN CO CR CU	F TE % FI ATTI CON COL COR UND	STS: NES PAS ERBERG ISOLIDA ISOLIDA ILAPSE ROSION RAINED	SSING LIMITS TION TRIAXIA	DS EI H MD PP	DIRECT EXPANS HYDROI MAXIMU POCKET R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY JM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH E	<u>//</u> Leig	hton

Proj	ect No).	11957	7 013						Date Drilled	8-31-21	
Proj	ect		LADP	W On-	Call	Asph	alt Pla	int		Logged By	EDB	
Drill	ing Co).	MR D	rillina						Hole Diameter	8"	
Drill	ing Me	thod	Hollov	w Sten	n Au	aer -	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	215'	
Loca	ation		34.0 ²	1573, -	118.	.2255	25			Sampled By	EDB	
												Ś
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic v	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	Soil Description applies only to a location of the explorati time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other lo and may change with time. The description is a simplification actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types gradual.	ion at the ocations n of the s may be	Type of Test
185-	30—	• • • •	0	R-4	M	10				@30': Fine sand		
180-			0	S-5		12 15 5 6 7			CL-ML	@35': Sandy SILTY/Sandy lean CLAY; very stiff, olive brown, low plasticity, fine sand	, moist,	-200, AL
175-		· · · ·	0	R-5		16 28 35			SP	@40': Hard ∖@41.1': Poorly Graded SAND; dark yellowish brown, moist, ∫predominantly fine sand, little medium sand		
170-	 45 									Total Depth = 41.5' No groundwater encountered Backfilled with cement-bentonitie grout and patched wit asphalt	h cold-mix	
165-	 50											
160-												
155		F0.		-								
SAMF B C G R S T	60 PLE TYPES: BULK SAMPLE CORE SAMPLE GRAB SAMPLE RING SAMPLE SPLIT SPOON SAMPLE SPLIT SPOON SAMPLE SPLIT SPOON SAMPLE CIL UNDPANNED TPLAYIAL							DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPAN HYDRO MAXIM POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH E	Leigl	nton

Proj	ect No) .	1195	7.013						Date Drilled 8	3-30-21	
Proj	ect		LADF	W On-	Cal	l Asph	nalt Pla	ant		Logged By	EDB	
Drill	ing Co).	MR D	Drilling						Hole Diameter	3"	
Drill	ing Me	ethod	Hollo	w Sten	ו Au	uger -	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation 2	215'	
Loca	ation		34.0	15641,	-11	8.225	233			Sampled By	EDB	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	≤ Graphic Log	Attitudes	Sample No.	aulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other loca and may change with time. The description is a simplification of actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types of gradual.	n at the ations of the may be	Type of Tests
215-	0									@ Surface: 8-inch Asphalt over 4-inch Base		
	_	XeX d (0.) +		B-1					SP	Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu) @1': Poorly Graded SAND; grayish brown, moist, fine sand, tra silt @2': Trace coarse subrounded gravel	ce	EI
	_	· · · · ·			\mathbb{H}			·		Quaternary Alluvium: (Qa) @3.5': Poorly Graded SAND; yellowish brown, moist, fine sand		
210-	5		0.2	R-1		4 9 15	116	2		@5': Medium dense, fine and medium sand		CN
	_		.1	S-1		2 6 9			SP-SM	@7.5': Poorly Graded SAND with Silt; medium dense, light brov gray, moist, fine sand	wnish	-200
205-	10— _		0	R-2		9 10 15	120	2	SP	@10': Poorly Graded SAND; medium dense, yellowish brown, r fine and medium sand, few fine gravel	moist,	
	_		0	S-2		5 7 12			SP-SM	@12.5': Poorly Graded SAND with Silt; medium dense, light gra brown, fine sand, few fine gravel, trace silt	ayish	
200-	15— _ _		0	R-3		11 15 18			SP	@15': Pooly Graded SAND; medium dense, yellowish brown, m predominantly fine sand, few medium sand, few fine subrou gravel	noist, nded	
195-	 20 		0 S-3 11 17 21							@20': Dense, fine sand		
190-			0	R-4		12 20 26	114	2		@25': Fine sand, few fine gravel		
185 SAMF C G R S T	30 BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: CAMPLE CAMPLE CAMPLE CAMPLE CAMPLE CAMPLE CAMPLE CAMPLE	AMPLE	TYPE O -200 C AL CN CO CC CC CU	F TE: % FII ATTE CON: COLI COR	STS: NES PAS ERBERG SOLIDA SOLIDA LAPSE ROSION RAINED	SSING E LIMITS TION	DS EI H MD PP AL RV	DIRECT EXPANS HYDRO MAXIM POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH E	Leigh	nton

Proj Proj Drill Drill	ject No ect ing Co ing Me	o. o. ethod	1195 LADF MR D Hollov	7.013 PW On- rrilling w Sterr	-Call	 Asph	alt Pla	<u>nt</u> - Auto	hamm	Date Drilled Logged By Hole Diameter er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	8-30-21 EDB 8" 	
Loc	ation		34.0	15641,	-118	3.225	233			Sampled By	EDB	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic دم	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explore time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other and may change with time. The description is a simplification actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil type gradual.	ation at the locations on of the les may be	Type of Tests
185-	30 35 		0	S-4		9 13 17 17 13 20 28				@30': Fine sand		
175-	40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	S-5		12 15 18				@40': Light brown, fine sand, trace fine gravel and silt $\$ @41.5': Few coarse subangular gravel		
170-	 45 									No groundwater encountered Backfilled with cement-bentonitie grout and patched w asphalt	ith cold-mix	
165-	50— — —											
160-	55— — — —											
SAMI C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	PLE TYP BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	AMPLE	TYPE OF -200 9 AL CN CO CO CR CU U	F TES % FINI ATTEF CONS COLL/ CORR UNDR	TS: ES PAS RBERG OLIDAT APSE OSION AINED	SSING LIMITS FION TRIAXIA	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPAN HYDRO MAXIM POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH IE	Leigl	nton

Proj Proj Drill Drill Loca	ect No ect ing Co ing Me ation	o. o. ethod	1195 LADF MR D Hollo 34.0	7.013 PW On- Drilling w Sten 15796.	<u>-Cal</u> n Au -11	II Asph uger - 1	alt Pla 140lb 213	Date Drilled8-30-21'lantLogged ByEDB- Autohammer - 30" DropGround Elevation215'Sampled ByFDB				
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	≤ Graphic Log	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration at the time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and may change with time. The description is a simplification of the actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types may be gradual.	Type of Tests	
215-	0 5		0.2	B-1 S-1		2 6 5			GP SP-SM SM SP SP-SM SP	 @ Surface: 6-inch asphalt over 2-inch Concrete Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu) @8": Pea Gravel @1': Poorly Graded SAND with Silt; light brown, moist, fine sand @2': 2-inch thick interbed of Sandy Silty CLAY; dark brown, moist, low plasticity, micaceous, fine sand, FeO stains @3': Silty SAND; dark yellowish brown, moist, fine sand, few coarse subrounded gravel, trace glass debris Quaternary Alluvium: (Qa) @4.5': Poorly Graded SAND; yellowish brown, moist, fine sand @5': Poorly Graded SAND; yellowish brown, moist, fine sand 	SA, CR, MD	
205-	_ 10—		0	R-1 S-2		4 4 6 4	106	4	SP-SM	 @7.5': Medium dense, light brown, fine sand, few fine and coarse gravel @10': Poorly Graded SAND with Silt; medium dense, predominantly fine sand few coarse sand trace fine and coarse subargular. 	CN -200	
200-	 15		0	R-2 S-3		8 6 9 12 14 16	118	4	SW-SM SP	 @12.5': Well Graded SAND with Silt and Gravel; medium dense, olive brown, moist, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse subangular gravel @15': Dark yellowish brown, fine sand, few coarse gravel 	SA	
195-	 20		0	R-3		22 12 13 14	122	3	SW-SM	@20': Well Graded SAND with Silt and Gravel; dense, olive brown, moist, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse subangular gravel	SA	
190-	 25 		0	S-4		11 19 47				@25': Very dense		
185 SAME C G R S T	30 DLE TYP BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	MPLE	TYPE O -200 AL CN CO CR CU	F TE % FII ATTE CON COLI COR	STS: NES PAS ERBERG SOLIDA LAPSE ROSION RAINED	SSING LIMITS TION	DS EI H PP L RV	DIRECT EXPANS HYDRO MAXIMI POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH E	ghton	

Proj Proj	ect No ect	D .		7.013 W On-	Call	 Asph	alt Pla	nt		Date Drilled 8-30- Logged By EDB	21
Drill	ing Co).	MR D	rilling						Hole Diameter 8"	
Drill	ing Me	ethod	Hollow Stem Auger - 140lb - Autohammer - 30" Drop					- Auto	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation 215'		
Loc	ation		34.01	15796,	-118	8.225	213			Sampled By EDB	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	c Graphic Log	Attitudes	Sample No.	ulk rriven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration at the time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and may change with time. The description is a simplification of the actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types may b gradual.	Type of Tests
185-	30— — —		0	R-4		8 10 12			SP	@30': Poorly Graded SAND; medium dense, yellowish brown, moist, fine sand	
180-	 35 		•0	S-5		12 16 21				@35': Dense	
175-	40		0	R-5		11 16 22				@40': Trace fine gravel	_
170-	 45 									Total Depth = 41.5' No groundwater encountered Backfilled with cement-bentonitie grout and patched with cold- asphalt and concrete	mix
165-											
160-											
155	60										
SAM	PLE TYP BULK S	PES: SAMPLE		TYPE OF -200	F TES % FIN	STS: IES PAS	SING	DS	DIRECT	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS	
C G R S T	CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	AMPLE	AL CN CO CC CR CU	ATTEI CONS COLL CORR UNDR	RBERG OLIDA APSE ROSION RAINED	LIMITS FION TRIAXIA	EI H MD PP L RV	EXPAN HYDRO MAXIM POCKE R VALU	SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE T PENETROMETER STRENGTH	ighton

APPENDIX B

LABORATORY TEST RESULTS



Asphalt Plant 11957.013 J. Domingo Date: 09/13/21
-

Boring No.	LB-1	LB-2	LB-5	LB-6	LB-6	LB-7		
Sample No.	S-5	S-1	S-2	S-1	S-5	S-1		
Depth (ft.)	35.0	7.5	10.0	5.0	35.0	7.5		
Sample Type	SPT	SPT	SPT	SPT	SPT	SPT		
Soil Identification	Olive silty clay with sand (CL- ML)s	Gray poorly- graded sand (SP)	Gray poorly- graded sand with silt (SP- SM)	Light olive gray poorly- graded sand (SP)	Olive sandy silty clay s(CL- ML)	Light brownish gray poorly-graded sand with silt (SP-SM)		
Moisture Correction	·	T	1	1	1	·		
Wet Weight of Soil + Container (g)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Dry Weight of Soil + Container (g)) 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Weight of Container (g)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Moisture Content (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Sample Dry Weight Determina	tion			T	1			
Weight of Sample + Container (g)	752.3	871.2	977.2	1032.9	764.3	887.6		
Weight of Container (g)	108.6	236.6	219.2	245.5	107.6	206.0		
Weight of Dry Sample (g)	643.7	634.6	758.0	787.4	656.7	681.6		
Container No.:								
After Wash	1	T	1	1	1	1	1	- 1
Method (A or B)	А	A	A	А	Α	Α		_
Dry Weight of Sample + Cont. (g)	297.2	846.6	936.6	998.0	301.3	837.7		_
Weight of Container (g)	108.6	236.6	219.2	245.5	107.6	206.0		
Dry Weight of Sample (g)	188.6	610.0	717.4	752.5	193.7	631.7		
% Passing No. 200 Sieve	70.7	3.9	5.4	4.4	70.5	7.3		
% Retained No. 200 Sieve	29.3	96.1	94.6	95.6	29.5	92.7		-
Leighton		PERCENT No. 20(ASTM	PASSING D SIEVE D 1140	ì	Project Name: Project No.:	Asphalt Plant 11957.013		
					Tested By:	J. Domingo	Date:	09/13/21



PARTICLE-SIZE DISTRIBUTION (GRADATION) of SOILS USING SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM D6913

Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By:	GEB/JD	Date:	09/15/21
Project No.:	<u>11957.013</u>	Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	10/01/21
Boring No.:	<u>LB-2</u>	Depth (feet):	1-5		_
Sample No.:	<u>B-1</u>				

Soil Identification: Dark olive brown silty sand (SM)

Calculation of Dry Weights	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	Moisture Contents	Whole Sample	Sample passing #4
Container No.:	HR	P-233	Wt. of Air-Dry Soil + Cont.(g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. Air-Dried Soil + Cont.(g)	3149.7	781.0	Wt. of Dry Soil + Cont. (g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. of Container (g)	232.4	217.9	Wt. of Container No(g)	1.0	1.0
Dry Wt. of Soil (g)	2917.3	563.1	Moisture Content (%)	0.0	0.0

	Container No.	P-233
Passing #4 Material After Wet Sieve	Wt. of Dry Soil + Container (g)	697.0
	Wt. of Container (g)	217.9
	Dry Wt. of Soil Retained on # 200 Sieve (g)	479.1

U.	S. Sieve Size	Cumulative Weight of	Dry Soil Retained (g)	Percent Passing
	(mm.)	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	(%)
3"	75.0			
1 1/2"	37.5	0.0		100.0
1"	25.0	66.8		97.7
3/4"	19.0	115.6		96.0
1/2"	12.5	161.4		94.5
3/8"	9.5	232.9		92.0
#4	4.75	405.2		86.1
#8	2.36		30.3	81.5
#16	1.18		70.9	75.3
#30	0.600		138.0	65.0
#50	0.300		251.2	47.7
#100	0.150		383.2	27.5
#200	0.075		465.8	14.9
	PAN			

FINES:	15 %
FINES:	15 %
	13 70 SM

Cu = D60/D10 =

Cc = (D30)²/(D60*D10) = _____

Remarks:





PARTICLE-SIZE DISTRIBUTION (GRADATION) of SOILS USING SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM D6913

Project Name: Asphalt Plant

R-1

Boring No.: <u>LB-3</u>

Sample No.:

Call Table all Caralian

Tested By: J. Domingo Date: 09/15/21 Checked By: J. Ward Date: 10/01/21 Depth (feet): 7.5

Soil Identification: Olive brown poorly-graded sand with silt and gravel (SP-SM)g, AC noted

Calculation of Dry We	eights	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	Moisture Contents	Whole Sample	Sample passing #4
Container No.:		BP-7	K-15	Wt. of Air-Dry Soil + Cont.(g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. Air-Dried Soil + Co	nt.(g)	1125.2	579.1	Wt. of Dry Soil + Cont. (g	0.0	0.0
Wt. of Container	(g)	294.3	77.8	Wt. of Container No(g	1.0	1.0
Dry Wt. of Soil	(g)	830.9	501.3	Moisture Content (%)	0.0	0.0

Passing #4 Material After Wet Sieve	Container No.	K-15
	Wt. of Dry Soil + Container (g)	531.8
	Wt. of Container (g)	77.8
	Dry Wt. of Soil Retained on # 200 Sieve (g)	454.0

U. S. Sieve Size		Cumulative Weight of Dry Soil Retained (g)		Percent Passing
	(mm.)	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	(%)
3"	75.0			
1 1/2"	37.5	0.0		100.0
1"	25.0	36.3		95.6
3/4"	19.0	89.4		89.2
1/2"	12.5	135.8		83.7
3/8"	9.5	178.6		78.5
#4	4.75	224.6		73.0
#8	2.36		25.0	69.4
#16	1.18		64.7	63.6
#30	0.600		135.5	53.3
#50	0.300		269.1	33.8
#100	0.150		391.2	16.0
#200	0.075		445.8	8.1
	PAN			

GRAVEL:	27	%
SAND:	65	%
FINES:	8	%
GROUP SYMBOL:	(SP-SM)g	

Cu = D60/D10 = 9.89 Cc = $(D30)^2/(D60*D10) =$ 0.84




Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By: J. Domingo	Date:	09/16/21
Project No.:	<u>11957.013</u>	Checked By: J. Ward	Date:	10/01/21
Boring No.:	<u>LB-3</u>	Depth (feet): 20.0		
Sample No.:	<u>R-3</u>			

Soil Identification: Pale brown poorly-graded sand (SP)

Calculation of Dry Weights	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	Moisture Contents	Whole Sample	Sample passing #4
Container No.:	916	916	Wt. of Air-Dry Soil + Cont.(g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. Air-Dried Soil + Cont.(g)	970.9	851.8	Wt. of Dry Soil + Cont. (g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. of Container (g)	109.2	109.2	Wt. of Container No(g)	1.0	1.0
Dry Wt. of Soil (g)	861.7	742.6	Moisture Content (%)	0.0	0.0

Passing #4 Material After Wet Sieve	Container No.	916
	Wt. of Dry Soil + Container (g)	826.9
	Wt. of Container (g)	109.2
	Dry Wt. of Soil Retained on # 200 Sieve (g)	717.7

U. S. Sieve Size		Cumulative Weight of Dry Soil Retained (g)		Percent Passing	
	(mm.)	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	(%)	
3"	75.0				
1 1/2"	37.5				
1"	25.0	0.0		100.0	
3/4"	19.0	22.0		97.4	
1/2"	12.5	37.4		95.7	
3/8"	9.5	60.8		92.9	
#4	4.75	115.9		86.5	
#8	2.36		80.2	77.2	
#16	1.18		245.2	57.9	
#30	0.600		456.7	33.3	
#50	0.300		619.4	14.4	
#100	0.150		687.0	6.5	
#200	0.075		712.2	3.5	
	PAN				

GRAVEL:	14 %
SAND:	82 %
FINES:	4 %
GROUP SYMBOL:	SP

Cu = D60/D10 = 5.91Cc = (D30)²/(D60*D10) = 0.98





Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By:	J. Domingo	Date:	09/16/21	
Project No.:	<u>11957.013</u>	Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	10/01/21	
Boring No.:	<u>LB-4</u>	Depth (feet):	10.0		_	
Sample No.:	<u>R-2</u>					
Soil Identification:	Olive gray silty sand (SM)					

		Moisture Content of Total Air - Dry Soil		
Container No.:	979	Wt. of Air-Dry Soil + Cont. (g)	0.0	
Wt. of Air-Dried Soil + Cont.(g)	871.5	Wt. of Dry Soil + Cont. (g)	0.0	
Wt. of Container (g)	111.2	Wt. of Container No (g)	1.0	
Dry Wt. of Soil (g)	760.3	Moisture Content (%)	0.0	

After Wet Sieve	Container No.	979
	Wt. of Dry Soil + Container (g)	744.5
	Wt. of Container (g)	111.2
	Dry Wt. of Soil Retained on # 200 Sieve (g)	633.3

U. S. Sieve Size		Cumulative Weight	Percent Passing (%)		
(in.)	(mm.)	Dry Soil Retained (g)			
1 1/2"	37.5				
1"	25.0				
3/4"	19.0				
1/2"	12.5				
3/8"	9.5	0.0	100.0		
#4	4.75	3.7	99.5		
#8	2.36	10.9	98.6		
#16	1.18	31.8	95.8		
#30	0.600	94.2	87.6		
#50	0.300	257.5	66.1		
#100	0.150	443.9	41.6		
#200	0.075	609.6	19.8		
PAN					

GRAVEL:	1 %
SAND:	79 %
FINES:	20 %
GROUP SYMBOL:	SM

Cu = D60/D10 = _____ Cc = (D30)²/(D60*D10) = _____





Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By: J. Domingo	Date:	09/16/21
Project No.:	<u>11957.013</u>	Checked By: J. Ward	Date:	10/01/21
Boring No.:	<u>LB-4</u>	Depth (feet): 25.0		
Sample No.:	R-4			

Soil Identification: Light olive brown well-graded sand with silt (SW-SM)

Calculation of Dry Weights	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	Moisture Contents	Whole Sample	Sample passing #4
Container No.:	957	957	Wt. of Air-Dry Soil + Cont.(g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. Air-Dried Soil + Cont.(g)	975.0	929.3	Wt. of Dry Soil + Cont. (g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. of Container (g)	108.3	108.3	Wt. of Container No(g)	1.0	1.0
Dry Wt. of Soil (g)	866.7	821.0	Moisture Content (%)	0.0	0.0

Passing #4 Material After Wet Sieve	Container No.	957
	Wt. of Dry Soil + Container (g)	872.2
	Wt. of Container (g)	108.3
	Dry Wt. of Soil Retained on # 200 Sieve (g)	763.9

U. S. Sieve Size		Cumulative Weight of Dry Soil Retained (g)		Percent Passing
	(mm.)	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	(%)
3"	75.0			
1 1/2"	37.5			
1"	25.0			
3/4"	19.0	0.0		100.0
1/2"	12.5	15.7		98.2
3/8"	9.5	19.9		97.7
#4	4.75	44.1		94.9
#8	2.36		55.5	88.5
#16	1.18		185.0	73.5
#30	0.600		374.6	51.6
#50	0.300		549.3	31.4
#100	0.150		690.1	15.1
#200	0.075		753.7	7.8
	PAN			

GRAVEL:	5	%
SAND:	87	%
FINES:	8	%
GROUP SYMBOL:	SW-SM	

Cu = D60/D10 = 8.30Cc = (D30)²/(D60*D10) = 1.07





Tested By: GEB/JD

Checked By: J. Ward

Depth (feet): 1-5

Date:

Date:

09/15/21

10/01/21

Project Name: <u>Asphalt Plant</u>

B-1

Boring No.: LB-5

Sample No.:

Soil Identification: Dark olive brown well-graded sand with silt and gravel (SW-SM)g, AC noted

Calculation of Dry Weig	hts	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	Moisture Contents		Whole Sample	Sample passing #4
Container No.:		B-2	224	Wt. of Air-Dry Soil + Cont	.(g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. Air-Dried Soil + Cont.	(g)	3688.2	779.0	Wt. of Dry Soil + Cont.	(g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. of Container ((g)	229.8	223.9	Wt. of Container No	_(g)	1.0	1.0
Dry Wt. of Soil (g)	3458.4	555.1	Moisture Content (%)		0.0	0.0

Passing #4 Material After Wet Sieve	Container No.	224
	Wt. of Dry Soil + Container (g)	728.6
	Wt. of Container (g)	223.9
	Dry Wt. of Soil Retained on # 200 Sieve (g)	504.7

U. S. Sieve Size		Cumulative Weight of Dry Soil Retained (g)		Percent Passing	
	(mm.)	Whole Sample Sample Passing #4		(%)	
3"	75.0				
1 1/2"	37.5	0.0		100.0	
1"	25.0	95.4		97.2	
3/4"	19.0	151.2		95.6	
1/2"	12.5	276.8		92.0	
3/8"	9.5	364.9		89.4	
#4	4.75	608.2		82.4	
#8	2.36		29.3	78.1	
#16	1.18		84.2	69.9	
#30	0.600		183.2	55.2	
#50	0.300		319.2	35.0	
#100	0.150		435.6	17.7	
#200	0.075		499.0	8.3	
	PAN				

GRAVEL:	18	%
SAND:	74	%
FINES:	8	%
GROUP SYMBOL:	(SW-SM)g	

Cu = D60/D10 = 8.49Cc = (D30)²/(D60*D10) = 1.00





Project Name:	<u>Asphalt Plant</u>	Tested By: J. Domingo	Date:	09/16/21
Project No.:	<u>11957.013</u>	Checked By: J. Ward	Date:	10/01/21
Boring No.:	<u>LB-6</u>	Depth (feet): 12.5		
Sample No.:	R-2			

Soil Identification: Light brownish gray well-graded sand with silt (SW-SM)

Calculation of Dry Weigh	ts v	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	Moisture Contents	Whole Sample	Sample passing #4
Container No.:		2	VO	Wt. of Air-Dry Soil + Cont.(g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. Air-Dried Soil + Cont.(g)	891.6	988.2	Wt. of Dry Soil + Cont. (g	0.0	0.0
Wt. of Container (g)	110.2	234.4	Wt. of Container No(g	1.0	1.0
Dry Wt. of Soil (g)	781.4	753.8	Moisture Content (%)	0.0	0.0

Passing #4 Material After Wet Sieve	Container No.	VO
	Wt. of Dry Soil + Container (g)	946.0
	Wt. of Container (g)	234.4
	Dry Wt. of Soil Retained on # 200 Sieve (g)	711.6

U. S. Sieve Size Cum		Cumulative Weight of	Cumulative Weight of Dry Soil Retained (g)	
	(mm.)	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	(%)
3"	75.0			
1 1/2"	37.5			
1"	25.0			
3/4"	19.0			
1/2"	12.5	0.0		100.0
3/8"	9.5	9.6		98.8
#4	4.75	26.4		96.6
#8	2.36		26.2	93.2
#16	1.18		168.0	75.1
#30	0.600		438.6	40.4
#50	0.300		601.6	19.5
#100	0.150		666.4	11.2
#200	0.075		702.9	6.5
	PAN			

GRAVEL:	3	%
SAND:	90	%
FINES:	7	%
GROUP SYMBOL:	SW-SM	

Cu = D60/D10 = 6.77Cc = (D30)²/(D60*D10) = 1.69





Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By: O. Figueroa Date:	09/14/21
Project No.:	<u>11957.013</u>	Checked By: J. Ward Date:	10/01/21
Boring No.:	<u>LB-8</u>	Depth (feet): 1-5	
Sample No.:	B-1		

Soil Identification: Grayish brown silty sand (SM)

Calculation of Dry We	eights	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	Moisture Contents	Whole Sample	Sample passing #4
Container No.:		CP-19	P-6	Wt. of Air-Dry Soil + Cont.(g	0.0	0.0
Wt. Air-Dried Soil + Cor	nt.(g)	1800.6	575.2	Wt. of Dry Soil + Cont. (g	0.0	0.0
Wt. of Container	(g)	224.0	70.3	Wt. of Container No(g) 1.0	1.0
Dry Wt. of Soil	(g)	1576.6	504.9	Moisture Content (%)	0.0	0.0

	Container No.	P-6
Passing #4 Material After Wet Sieve	Wt. of Dry Soil + Container (g)	485.4
	Wt. of Container (g)	70.3
	Dry Wt. of Soil Retained on # 200 Sieve (g)	415.1

U. S. Sieve Size		Cumulative Weight of Dry Soil Retained (g)		Percent Passing	
	(mm.)	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	(%)	
3"	75.0				
1 1/2"	37.5				
1"	25.0				
3/4"	19.0				
1/2"	12.5	0.0		100.0	
3/8"	9.5	7.1		99.5	
#4	4.75	18.0		98.9	
#8	2.36		4.4	98.0	
#16	1.18		16.6	95.6	
#30	0.600		50.3	89.0	
#50	0.300		164.0	66.8	
#100	0.150		317.6	36.7	
#200	0.075		407.7	19.0	
	PAN				

GRAVEL:	1 %
SAND:	80 %
FINES:	19 %
GROUP SYMBOL:	SM

Cu = D60/D10 =

Cc = (D30)²/(D60*D10) = _____





Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By:	J. Domingo	Date:	09/16/21
Project No.:	<u>11957.013</u>	Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	10/01/21
Boring No.:	<u>LB-8</u>	Depth (feet):	12.5		-

Sample No.: <u>R-2</u>

Soil Identification: Olive brown well-graded sand with silt and gravel (SW-SM)g

Calculation of Dry Weights	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	Moisture Contents	Whole Sample	Sample passing #4
Container No.:	9545	XY	Wt. of Air-Dry Soil + Cont.(g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. Air-Dried Soil + Cont.(g)	959.9	820.0	Wt. of Dry Soil + Cont. (g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. of Container (g)	106.4	248.0	Wt. of Container No(g)	1.0	1.0
Dry Wt. of Soil (g)	853.5	572.0	Moisture Content (%)	0.0	0.0

Passing #4 Material After Wet Sieve	Container No.	XY
	Wt. of Dry Soil + Container (g)	790.2
	Wt. of Container (g)	248.0
	Dry Wt. of Soil Retained on # 200 Sieve (g)	542.2

U. S. Sieve Size		Cumulative Weight of Dry Soil Retained (g)		Percent Passing	
	(mm.)	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	(%)	
3"	75.0				
1 1/2"	37.5	0.0		100.0	
1"	25.0	53.3		93.8	
3/4"	19.0	53.3		93.8	
1/2"	12.5	66.7		92.2	
3/8"	9.5	87.0		89.8	
#4	4.75	132.6		84.5	
#8	2.36		83.2	72.2	
#16	1.18		219.5	52.1	
#30	0.600		337.0	34.7	
#50	0.300		440.7	19.4	
#100	0.150		513.2	8.7	
#200	0.075		538.3	5.0	
	PAN				

GRAVEL:	16	%
SAND:	79	%
FINES:	5	%
GROUP SYMBOL:	(SW-SM)g	

Cu = D60/D10 = 8.82 $Cc = (D30)^2/(D60*D10) = 0.98$





Project Name:	<u>Asphalt Plant</u>	-
Project No.:	<u>11957.013</u>	(

Tested By:	J. Domingo	Date:	09/16/21
Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	10/01/21
Depth (feet):	20.0		

Sample No.:

<u>LB-8</u>

R-3

Boring No.:

Soil Identification: Olive brown well-graded sand with silt and gravel (SW-SM)g

Calculation of Dry Weights	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	Moisture Contents	Whole Sample	Sample passing #4
Container No.:	57	GE	Wt. of Air-Dry Soil + Cont.(g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. Air-Dried Soil + Cont.(g)	988.6	838.2	Wt. of Dry Soil + Cont. (g)	0.0	0.0
Wt. of Container (g)	107.4	249.9	Wt. of Container No(g)	1.0	1.0
Dry Wt. of Soil (g)	881.2	588.3	Moisture Content (%)	0.0	0.0

	Container No.	GE
Passing #4 Material After Wet Sieve	Wt. of Dry Soil + Container (g)	798.4
	Wt. of Container (g)	249.9
	Dry Wt. of Soil Retained on # 200 Sieve (g)	548.5

U.	U. S. Sieve Size		Cumulative Weight of Dry Soil Retained (g)					
	(mm.)	Whole Sample	Sample Passing #4	(%)				
3"	75.0							
1 1/2"	37.5	0.0		100.0				
1"	25.0	68.8		92.2				
3/4"	19.0	77.5		91.2				
1/2"	12.5	156.4		82.3				
3/8"	9.5	176.7		79.9				
#4	4.75	283.3		67.9				
#8	2.36		122.5	53.8				
#16	1.18		269.8	36.8				
#30	0.600		386.2	23.3				
#50	0.300		462.4	14.5				
#100	0.150		510.3	9.0				
#200	0.075		541.2	5.4				
	PAN							

GRAVEL:	32	%
SAND:	63	%
FINES:	5	%
GROUP SYMBOL:	(SW-SM)g	

Cu = D60/D10 = 18.82Cc = (D30)²/(D60*D10) = 1.42





X

X

ATTERBERG LIMITS ASTM D 4318

Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By:	A. Santos	Date:	09/17/21
Project No. :	11957.013	Input By:	G. Bathala	Date:	09/20/21
Boring No.:	LB-1	Checked By:	J. Ward		
Sample No.:	<u>S-5</u>	Depth (ft.)	35.0		
Coll Idontification.	Olive eithe elevenith cand (CL_ML)e				

Soil Identification: Olive silty clay with sand (CL-ML)s

TEST	PLAS	TIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT					
NO.	1	2	1	2	3	4		
Number of Blows [N]			33	25	20			
Wet Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	10.20	11.01	21.74	19.47	19.90			
Dry Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	8.75	9.42	17.52	15.64	15.93			
Wt. of Container (g)	1.02	1.11	1.08	1.08	1.11			
Moisture Content (%) [Wn]	18.76	19.13	25.67	26.30	26.79			







ATTERBERG LIMITS ASTM D 4318

Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By:	A. Santos	Date:	09/17/21
Project No. :	11957.013	Input By:	G. Bathala	Date:	09/20/21
Boring No.:	LB-6	Checked By:	J. Ward		
Sample No.:	<u>S-5</u>	Depth (ft.)	35.0		
Coil Idontification	Olive candy cilty clay c(CL_ML)				

Soil Identification: Olive sandy silty clay s(CL-ML)

TEST	PLAS	TIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT					
NO.	1	2	1	2	3	4		
Number of Blows [N]			30	26	18			
Wet Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	11.20	12.79	20.17	21.52	17.88			
Dry Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	9.55	10.88	16.43	17.51	14.52			
Wt. of Container (g)	1.05	1.05	1.09	1.14	1.07			
Moisture Content (%) [Wn]	19.41	19.43	24.38	24.50	24.98			



CH or OH "A" Line MH or OH 20 30 50 70 80 0 10 40 60 90 100 Liquid Limit (LL)

PROCEDURES USED





Project Name:	Asphalt P	Plant						Tested I	By: <u>G. Bathala</u>	Date:	09/15/21
Project No.:	11957.01	.3						Checked E	By: J. Ward	Date:	09/29/21
Boring No.:	LB-2							Depth (ft	.): 1-5		
Sample No.:	B-1							Sample	Туре:	95% Rei	mold
Soil Identification:	Dark olive	e brown s	ilty sand (S	SM)							
			0.400								
Sample Diameter (in	ı.)	2.415	0.400								
Sample Thickness (ir	n.)	1.000						Inunda	ate with water		
Wt. of Sample + Rin	ıg (g)	202.62									
Weight of Ring (g)		45.26	0.390 -								
Height after consol.	(in.)	0.9705									
Before Test							$\left \right\rangle$				
Wt.Wet Sample+Cor	nt. (g)	150.36	0.000	-				🔍			
Wt.of Dry Sample+C	Cont. (g)	141.63	0.380 -								
Weight of Container	(g)	38.30	0								
Initial Moisture Cont	ent (%)	8.4	atic								
Initial Dry Density (p	ocf)	120.7	č 0.370 -						+N+-		
Initial Saturation (%)	57	oid								
Initial Vertical Readi	ng (in.)	0.2840	>	-							
After Test			0.000								
Wt.of Wet Sample+	Cont. (g)	259.57	0.360								
Wt. of Dry Sample+	Cont. (g)	243.88									
Weight of Container	(g)	53.70	-								
Final Moisture Conte	nt (%)	10.83	0.350 -								
Final Dry Density (p	ocf)	124.2									
Final Saturation (%)		82		-							
Final Vertical Readin	g (in.)	0.2479	0.240								
Specific Gravity (ass	umed)	2.70	0.340	10	 	1.	.00		10.00		100
Water Density (pcf)		62.43					Pres	ssure, p	(ksf)		

Pressure	Final Apparent Load Deformation Reading Thickness Compliance % of Void Deformation		Corrected	d Time Readings								
(b) (ksf)	(in.)	(in.)	(%)	Sample Thickness	ple Ratio ness	atio tion (%)		Date	Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Square Root of Time	Dial Rdgs. (in.)
0.10	0 2840	1 0000	0.00	0.00	0 397	0.00	-					
0.10	0.2820	0.9980	0.05	0.00	0.395	0.00	-					
0.50	0.2789	0.9949	0.00	0.51	0.391	0.10	-					
1.00	0.2752	0.9912	0.19	0.88	0.387	0.69	-					
1.00	0.2749	0.9909	0.19	0.91	0.387	0.72	-					
2.00	0.2703	0.9863	0.30	1.37	0.382	1.07	-					
4.00	0.2644	0.9804	0.44	1.96	0.376	1.52						
8.00	0.2570	0.9730	0.65	2.70	0.368	2.05	-					
16.00	0.2486	0.9646	0.91	3.54	0.360	2.63	-					
32.00	0.2361	0.9521	1.17	4.79	0.346	3.62	-					
8.00	0.2416	0.9576	0.90	4.25	0.350	3.35	-					
2.00	0.2479	0.9639	0.66	3.62	0.356	2.96	-					





Project Name:	Asphalt I	Plant						Tested By	G. Bathala	Date:	09/ 1	l <mark>6/2</mark> 1
Project No.:	11957.0	13						Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	09/2	29/21
Boring No.:	LB-5							Depth (ft.)	: 1-5			
Sample No.:	B-1		-					Sample T	ype:	95% Rei	mold	
Soil Identification	Dark oliv	e brown w	vell-grade	d sand wi	th silt ar	nd gra	avel (SW	-SM)q, AC	noted			
			0.465			-			e with			
Sample Diameter (in.)	2.415	0.403					Tap w	ater			
Sample Thickness	(in.)	1.000		-								
Wt. of Sample + R	ing (g)	193.39	0.460		•	•						
Weight of Ring (g)		42.88	0.400	-								
Height after consol	. (in.)	0.9812		-								
Before Test			0.455	-								
Wt.Wet Sample+C	ont. (g)	185.90	0.455									
Wt.of Dry Sample+	-Cont. (g)	175.65		-								
Weight of Containe	er (g)	55.14	0 0 450	-								
Initial Moisture Cor	ntent (%)	8.5	atio	-								
Initial Dry Density	(pcf)	115.4	Ř									
Initial Saturation (%)	50	0 0 1 1 5	-								
Initial Vertical Read	ding (in.)	0.3060	> 0.445	-								
After Test				-								
Wt.of Wet Sample-	+Cont. (g)	264.07	0.440	-								
Wt. of Dry Sample	+Cont. (g)	247.71	0.440	-								
Weight of Containe	er (g)	66.37		-								
Final Moisture Cont	tent (%)	11.82	0.425	-								
Final Dry Density	(pcf)	117.4	0.435									
Final Saturation (%	b)	73										
Final Vertical Read	ing (in.)	0.2801	0.420	-								
Specific Gravity (as	sumed)	2.70	0.430).10			1.00		10.00			100.
Water Density (pcf)	62.43					Pre	ssure, p (ksf)			
									-			

Pressure (p)	Final Reading	Apparent Thickness	nt Load	Deformation % of	Void	Corrected Deforma-		Time Readings					
(b) (ksf)	(in.)	(in.)	(%)	Sample Thickness	Ratio	tion (%)		Date	Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Square Root of Time	Dial Rdgs. (in.)	
0.10	0.3060	1.0000	0.00	0.00	0.461	0.00							
0.25	0.3059	0.9999	0.00	0.01	0.461	0.01							
0.50	0.3043	0.9983	0.16	0.17	0.461	0.01							
1.00	0.3026	0.9966	0.31	0.34	0.461	0.03							
1.00	0.3025	0.9965	0.31	0.35	0.461	0.04							
2.00	0.2996	0.9936	0.47	0.64	0.459	0.17							
4.00	0.2954	0.9894	0.64	1.06	0.455	0.42							
8.00	0.2902	0.9842	0.81	1.58	0.450	0.77							
16.00	0.2835	0.9775	1.00	2.25	0.443	1.25							
32.00	0.2745	0.9685	1.21	3.15	0.433	1.94							
8.00	0.2771	0.9711	0.95	2.89	0.433	1.94							
2.00	0.2801	0.9741	0.71	2.59	0.434	1.88							





Project Name: Asphal	t Plant					Tested By: GB/YN	Date:	09/13/21
Project No.: 11957	013	_				Checked By: J. Ward	Date:	09/30/21
Boring No.: LB-7		_				Depth (ft.): 5.0		
Sample No.: R-1		_				Sample Type:	Ring	
Soil Identification: Light of	live brown p	oorly-grad	ed sand wit	h silt (S	P-SM)			
		0.640 -						
Sample Diameter (in.)	2.415	0.010				Inundate with Tap water		
Sample Thickness (in.)	1.000	i						
Wt. of Sample + Ring (g)	173.32							
Weight of Ring (g)	45.94	0.620						
Height after consol. (in.)	0.9535							
Before Test								
Wt.Wet Sample+Cont. (g)	169.60	0.600				\mathbf{A}		
Wt.of Dry Sample+Cont. (g)	166.83	0.000						
Weight of Container (g)	55.15	0						
Initial Moisture Content (%)	2.5	atio						
Initial Dry Density (pcf)	103.4	0.580 -						
Initial Saturation (%)	11	oio						
Initial Vertical Reading (in.)	0.3080	>						
After Test		0.560						
Wt.of Wet Sample+Cont. (g) 239.81	0.500						
Wt. of Dry Sample+Cont. (g) 219.53							
Weight of Container (g)	51.15							
Final Moisture Content (%)	16.56	0.540 -						
Final Dry Density (pcf)	106.8							
Final Saturation (%)	77							
Final Vertical Reading (in.)	0.2557	0.500						
Specific Gravity (assumed)	2.70	0.520	10		1.00	10.00		100.
Water Density (pcf)	62.43				Pre	ssure, p (ksf)		

Pressure	Final	Apparent	t Load	Deformation % of	Void	Corrected Deforma-			Ti	me Readin	gs	
(b) (ksf)	(in.)	(in.)	(%)	Sample Thickness	Ratio	tion (%)		Date	Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Square Root of Time	Dial Rdgs. (in.)
0.10	0.3079	0.9999	0.00	0.01	0.630	0.01						
0.25	0.3062	0.9982	0.03	0.18	0.628	0.15	-					
0.50	0.3038	0.9958	0.06	0.42	0.625	0.36	-					
1.00	0.3001	0.9921	0.12	0.79	0.620	0.67	-					
1.00	0.2957	0.9877	0.12	1.23	0.613	1.11	-					
2.00	0.2887	0.9807	0.22	1.93	0.603	1.71						
4.00	0.2806	0.9726	0.35	2.75	0.592	2.40						
8.00	0.2715	0.9635	0.53	3.65	0.580	3.12						
16.00	0.2604	0.9524	0.74	4.76	0.565	4.02						
32.00	0.2454	0.9374	0.98	6.26	0.545	5.28						
8.00	0.2501	0.9421	0.74	5.79	0.548	5.05						
2.00	0.2557	0.9477	0.58	5.23	0.555	4.65						





Project Name: As	phalt P	lant					Tested By: GB/YN	Date:	09/13/21
Project No.: 11	957.01	3					Checked By: J. Ward	Date:	09/30/21
Boring No.: LB	-8						Depth (ft.): 7.5		
Sample No.: R-	1						Sample Type:	Ring	
Soil Identification: Lig	ght olive	e brown p	oorly-grad	ed sand wit	th silt (Sl	P-SM)			
			0 720						
Sample Diameter (in.)	-	2.415	0.1.20				Inundate with Tap water		
Sample Thickness (in.)	-	1.000	0.710						
Wt. of Sample + Ring (g)	169.42	0.710						
Weight of Ring (g)	-	45.84				× ×			
Height after consol. (in.	.)	0.9691	0.700						
Before Test							♥		
Wt.Wet Sample+Cont. (g)		200.76	0.690						
Wt.of Dry Sample+Cont. (g)		195.34							
Weight of Container (g))	64.85	0.680						
Initial Moisture Content	:(%)	4.2	atio						
Initial Dry Density (pcf))	98.7	0.670				+ + + + + + + \		
Initial Saturation (%)		16	oid						
Initial Vertical Reading	(in.)	0.3191	> 0.660						
After Test							↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓		
Wt.of Wet Sample+Con	nt. (g)	258.38	0.650						
Wt. of Dry Sample+Cor	nt. (g)	241.70							
Weight of Container (g))	77.78	0.640						
Final Moisture Content	(%)	14.13							
Final Dry Density (pcf)		101.3	0.630						
Final Saturation (%)		57	0.000						
Final Vertical Reading (i	in.)	0.2793	0.000						
Specific Gravity (assume	ed)	2.70	0.620	10		1.00	10.00)	100.
Water Density (pcf)		62.43				Pre	ssure, p (ksf)		

Pressure	Final	Apparent	Load	Deformation % of	Void	Corrected Deforma-		Ti	me Readin	gs	
(b) (ksf)	(in.)	(in.)	(%)	Sample Thickness	Ratio	tion (%)	Date	Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Square Root of Time	Dial Rdgs. (in.)
0.10	0.3190	0.9999	0.00	0.01	0.708	0.01					
0.25	0.3177	0.9986	0.04	0.14	0.706	0.10					
0.50	0.3161	0.9970	0.09	0.30	0.705	0.21					
1.00	0.3136	0.9945	0.20	0.55	0.702	0.35					
1.00	0.3122	0.9931	0.20	0.69	0.700	0.49					
2.00	0.3074	0.9883	0.38	1.17	0.695	0.79					
4.00	0.3004	0.9813	0.59	1.87	0.686	1.28					
8.00	0.2917	0.9726	0.85	2.74	0.676	1.89					
16.00	0.2790	0.9599	1.11	4.02	0.659	2.91					
32.00	0.2605	0.9414	1.40	5.86	0.632	4.46					
8.00	0.2651	0.9460	1.13	5.40	0.635	4.27					
2.00	0.2793	0.9602	0.89	3.98	0.655	3.09					





Project Name: Project No.: Boring No.: Sample No.: Soil Identification	Asphalt Plant <u>11957.013</u> <u>LB-2</u> <u>R-1</u> Dr: Light olive brown silty sand (Tested By: Checked By: Sample Type: Depth (ft.):	<u>G. Bathala</u> J. Ward Ring 5.0	Date: Date:	09/15/21 09/30/21
		(<u>311)</u>			
	Sample Diameter(in):	2.415	2.415	2.415]
	Sample Thickness(in.):	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	Weight of Sample + ring(gm):	175.56	175.21	179.63	
	Weight of Ring(gm):	42.78	41.98	44.82	
	Before Shearing				_
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	209.19	209.19	209.19	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	200.58	200.58	200.58	
	Weight of Container(gm):	70.02	70.02	70.02	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Initial	0.0000	0.2580	0.2478	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Final	-0.0108	0.2761	0.2700	
	After Shearing				-
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	218.51	201.44	215.62	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	199.09	182.32	196.61	
	Weight of Container(gm):	74.55	59.17	69.88	
	Specific Gravity (Assumed):	2.70	2.70	2.70	
	Water Density(pcf):	62.43	62.43	62.43	







Project Name: Project No.: Boring No.: Sample No.: Soil Identificatio	Asphalt Plant 11957.013 LB-4 R-3 on: Light yellowish brown poorly	Tested By: Checked By: Sample Type: Depth (ft.): -graded sand (S	<u>G. Bathala</u> J. Ward <u>Ring</u> 15.0 SP)	Date: Date:	09/16/21 09/30/21				
	Sample Diameter(in):	2.415	2.415	2.415]				
	Sample Thickness(in.):	1.000	1.000	1.000					
	Weight of Sample + ring(gm):	166.39	166.52	169.63					
	Weight of Ring(gm):	45.72	45.37	45.87					
	Before Shearing								
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	191.11	191.11	191.11					
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	187.87	187.87	187.87					
	Weight of Container(gm):	59.17	59.17	59.17					
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Initial	0.2385	0.2393	0.0000					
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Final	0.2482	0.2573	-0.0228					
	After Shearing				_				
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	185.63	202.31	197.06					
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	163.79	180.22	176.06					
	Weight of Container(gm):	51.14	66.38	61.68					
	Specific Gravity (Assumed):	2.70	2.70	2.70					
	Water Density(pcf):	62.43	62.43	62.43					







Project Name: Project No.: Boring No.: Sample No.: Soil Identificatio	Asphalt Plant <u>11957.013</u> <u>LB-5</u> <u>B-1</u> on: <u>Dark olive brown well-grade</u>	Tested By: Checked By: Sample Type: Depth (ft.): d sand with silt	<u>G. Bathala</u> J. Ward <u>95% Remold</u> <u>1-5</u> and gravel (SW-	Date: Date: SM)g, AC noted	09/16/21 10/01/21
	Sample Diameter(in):	2.415	2.415	2.415	
	Sample Thickness(in.):	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	Weight of Sample + ring(gm):	193.86	193.91	193.96	
	Weight of Ring(gm):	45.42	44.64	44.28	
	Before Shearing				-
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	185.90	185.90	185.90	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	175.65	175.65	175.65	
	Weight of Container(gm):	55.14	55.14	55.14	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Initial	0.2679	0.2802	0.0000	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Final	0.2744	0.2923	-0.0193	
	After Shearing				-
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	189.91	215.45	218.06	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	171.65	197.27	200.43	
	Weight of Container(gm):	38.36	64.60	66.55	
	Specific Gravity (Assumed):	2.70	2.70	2.70	
	Water Density(pcf):	62.43	62.43	62.43	







Project Name: Project No.: Boring No.: Sample No.: Soil Identificatio	Asphalt Plant <u>11957.013</u> <u>LB-5</u> <u>R-1</u> on: Light olive brown poorly-grav	Tested By: Checked By: Sample Type: Depth (ft.): ded sand (SP)	<u>G. Bathala</u> <u>J. Ward</u> <u>Ring</u> <u>7.5</u>	Date: Date:	09/20/21 10/01/21
	Sample Diameter(in):	2.415	2.415	2.415]
	Sample Thickness(in.):	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	Weight of Sample + ring(gm):	167.28	174.07	175.02	
	Weight of Ring(gm):	42.97	45.75	45.19	
	Before Shearing				_
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	184.83	184.83	184.83	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	180.48	180.48	180.48	
	Weight of Container(gm):	65.19	65.19	65.19	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Initial	0.0000	0.2382	0.2645	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Final	-0.0072	0.2533	0.2825	
	After Shearing				
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	199.01	214.46	209.07	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	177.73	192.58	188.69	
	Weight of Container(gm):	64.61	74.56	69.88	
	Specific Gravity (Assumed):	2.70	2.70	2.70	
	Water Density(pcf):	62.43	62.43	62.43	






DIRECT SHEAR TEST Consolidated Drained - ASTM D 3080

Project Name: Project No.: Boring No.: Sample No.: Soil Identificatio	Asphalt Plant <u>11957.013</u> <u>LB-6</u> <u>B-1</u> on: <u>Olive gray silty sand with gray</u>	Tested By: Checked By: Sample Type: Depth (ft.): avel (SM)g	<u>G. Bathala</u> <u>J. Ward</u> <u>95% Remold</u> <u>1-5</u>	Date: Date:	09/20/21 10/01/21
	Sample Diameter(in):	2.415	2.415	2.415	
	Sample Thickness(in.):	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	Weight of Sample + ring(gm):	187.18	187.83	188.24	
	Weight of Ring(gm):	44.95	45.43	45.70	
	Before Shearing				-
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	158.23	158.23	158.23	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	148.35	148.35	148.35	
	Weight of Container(gm):	38.31	38.31	38.31	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Initial	0.0000	0.2706	0.2868	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Final	-0.0096	0.2867	0.3175	
	After Shearing				-
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	182.24	211.10	203.30	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	164.13	193.36	185.80	
	Weight of Container(gm):	38.36	66.71	59.18	
	Specific Gravity (Assumed):	2.70	2.70	2.70	
	Water Density(pcf):	62.43	62.43	62.43	







EXPANSION INDEX of SOILS ASTM D 4829

Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By: G. Berdy	Date:	09/14/21
Project No.:	11957.013	Checked By: J. Ward	Date:	09/30/21
Boring No.:	LB-7	Depth (ft.): 1-5		
Sample No.:	B-1			_
Soil Identification:	Olive poorly-graded sand (SP)			

Dry Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	1000.00
Wt. of Container No. (g)	0.00
Dry Wt. of Soil (g)	1000.00
Weight Soil Retained on #4 Sieve	0.00
Percent Passing # 4	100.00

MOLDED SPECIMEN		Before Test	After Test
Specimen Diameter	(in.)	4.01	4.01
Specimen Height	(in.)	1.0000	0.9995
Wt. Comp. Soil + Mold	(g)	601.30	431.30
Wt. of Mold	(g)	187.70	0.00
Specific Gravity (Assum	ed)	2.70	2.70
Container No.		0	0
Wet Wt. of Soil + Cont.	(g)	819.60	619.00
Dry Wt. of Soil + Cont.	(g)	751.90	567.15
Wt. of Container	(g)	0.00	187.70
Moisture Content	(%)	9.00	13.66
Wet Density	(pcf)	124.8	130.2
Dry Density	(pcf)	114.5	114.5
Void Ratio		0.473	0.472
Total Porosity		0.321	0.321
Pore Volume	(cc)	66.5	66.4
Degree of Saturation (%) [S meas]	51.4	78.1

SPECIMEN INUNDATION in distilled water for the period of 24 h or expansion rate < 0.0002 in./h

Date	Time	Pressure (psi)	Elapsed Time (min.)	Dial Readings (in.)
09/14/21	10:13	1.0	0	0.5930
09/14/21	10:23	1.0	10	0.5925
	Ac	d Distilled Water to the	e Specimen	
09/14/21	10:56	1.0	33	0.5930
09/15/21	6:05	1.0	1182	0.5925
09/15/21	7:11	1.0	1248	0.5925

Expansion Index (EI meas)	=	((Final Rdg - Initial Rdg) / Initial Thick.) x 1000	0
---------------------------	---	---	---



TESTS for SULFATE CONTENT CHLORIDE CONTENT and pH of SOILS

Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Te	sted By :	GEB/OHF	Date:	09/13/21
Project No. :	11957.013	Ch	ecked By:	J. Ward	Date:	09/29/21

Boring No.	LB-2	LB-3	LB-6	LB-8
Sample No.	B-1	B-1	B-1	B-1
Sample Depth (ft)	1-5	1-5	1-5	1-5
Soil Identification:	Dark olive brown SM	Olive (SM)g, organics & oil noted	Olive gray (SM)g	Grayish brown SM
Wet Weight of Soil + Container (g)	0.00	212.75	221.05	0.00
Dry Weight of Soil + Container (g)	0.00	205.96	215.79	0.00
Weight of Container (g)	1.00	57.23	60.37	1.00
Moisture Content (%)	0.00	4.57	3.38	0.00
Weight of Soaked Soil (g)	100.63	100.30	100.10	100.03

SULFATE CONTENT, DOT California Test 417, Part II

Beaker No.	15	0	303	92
Crucible No.	21	19	8	16
Furnace Temperature (°C)	860	860	860	860
Time In / Time Out	8:00/8:45	8:00/8:45	8:00/8:45	8:00/8:45
Duration of Combustion (min)	45	45	45	45
Wt. of Crucible + Residue (g)	22.1751	19.8615	20.3936	18.4719
Wt. of Crucible (g)	22.1680	19.8597	20.3909	18.4703
Wt. of Residue (g) (A)	0.0071	0.0018	0.0027	0.0016
PPM of Sulfate (A) x 41150	292.17	74.07	111.11	65.84
PPM of Sulfate, Dry Weight Basis	292	78	115	66

CHLORIDE CONTENT, DOT California Test 422

ml of Extract For Titration (B)	30	15	15	5
ml of AgNO3 Soln. Used in Titration (C)	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.4
PPM of Chloride (C -0.2) * 100 * 30 / B	70	100	40	120
PPM of Chloride, Dry Wt. Basis	70	105	41	120

pH TEST, DOT California Test 643

pH Value	7.81	9.21	7.77	7.64
Temperature °C	21.1	20.3	21.0	20.8



Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By :	G. Berdy	Date:	09/15/21
Project No. :	11957.013	Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	09/29/21
Boring No.:	LB-2	Depth (ft.) :	1-5		

Sample No. : B-1

Soil Identification:* Dark olive brown SM

Specimen	Water Added (ml)	Adjusted Moisture Content	Resistance Reading	Soil Resistivity		Moisture Content (%) (MCi) Wet Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	0.00 0.00
	(Wa)	(MC)	(ohm)	(ohm-cm)	_	Dry Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	0.00
1	30	23.04	4900	4900		Wt. of Container (g)	1.00
2	40	30.72	4000	4000		Container No.	
3	50	38.40	4000	4000		Initial Soil Wt. (g) (Wt)	130.20
4	60	46.08	4100	4100		Box Constant	1.000
5						MC =(((1+Mci/100)x(Wa/Wt+1))-1)x100

Min. Resistivity Moisture Content		Sulfate Content	Chloride Content	Soil pH		
(ohm-cm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	pН	Temp. (°C)	
DOT CA Test 643		DOT CA Test 417 Part II	DOT CA Test 422	DOT CA	Test 643	
3930 33.4		292	70	7.81	21.1	





Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By :	G. Berdy	Date:	09/15/21
Project No. :	11957.013	Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	09/29/21
Boring No.:	LB-3	Depth (ft.) :	1-5		
Sample No. :	B-1				

Soil Identification:* Olive (SM)g, organics & oil noted

Specimen No.	Water Added (ml) (Wa)	Adjusted Moisture Content (MC)	Resistance Reading (ohm)	Soil Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Moisture Content (%) (MCi) Wet Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g) Dry Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	4.57 212.75 205.96
1	30	28.68	3200	3200	Wt. of Container (g)	57.23
2	40	36.71	3100	3100	Container No.	
3	50	44.75	3200	3200	Initial Soil Wt. (g) (Wt)	130.10
4					Box Constant	1.000
5					MC =(((1+Mci/100)x(Wa/Wt+1	.))-1)x100

Min. Resistivity Moisture Content		Sulfate Content	Chloride Content	Soil pH		
(ohm-cm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	pН	Temp. (°C)	
DOT CA Test 643		DOT CA Test 417 Part II	DOT CA Test 422	DOT CA	Test 643	
3100 36.7		78	105	9.21	20.3	





Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By :	G. Berdy	Date:	09/15/21
Project No. :	11957.013	Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	09/29/21
Boring No.:	LB-6	Depth (ft.) :	1-5		

Sample No. : B-1

Soil Identification:* Olive gray (SM)g

Specimen	Water Added (ml)	Adjusted Moisture	Resistance Reading	Soil Resistivity	Moisture Content (%) (MCi) Wet Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	3.38 221.05
110.	(Wa)	(MC)	(ohm)	(ohm-cm)	Dry Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	215.79
1	20	19.23	9750	9750	Wt. of Container (g)	60.37
2	30	27.15	6650	6650	Container No.	
3	40	35.07	6750	6750	Initial Soil Wt. (g) (Wt)	130.50
4					Box Constant	1.000
5					MC =(((1+Mci/100)x(Wa/Wt+1	.))-1)x100

Min. Resistivity Moisture Content		Sulfate Content	Chloride Content	Soil pH		
(ohm-cm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	pН	Temp. (°C)	
DOT CA Test 643		DOT CA Test 417 Part II	DOT CA Test 422	DOT CA	Test 643	
6450 29.8		115	41	7.77	21.0	





Project Name:	Asphalt Plant	Tested By :	G. Berdy	Date: 09/15/21
Project No. :	11957.013	Checked By:	J. Ward	Date: 09/29/21
Boring No.:	LB-8	Depth (ft.) :	1-5	
Sample No. :	B-1			

Soil Identification:* Grayish brown SM

Specimen No.	Water Added (ml) (Wa)	Adjusted Moisture Content	Resistance Reading (ohm)	Soil Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Moisture Content (%) (MCi) Wet Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	0.00
	(114)	(MC)	(0)		Dry Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	0.00
1	30	23.04	7300	7300	Wt. of Container (g)	1.00
2	40	30.72	5450	5450	Container No.	
3	50	38.40	5600	5600	Initial Soil Wt. (g) (Wt)	130.20
4					Box Constant	1.000
5					MC =(((1+Mci/100)x(Wa/Wt+1	l))-1)x100

Min. Resistivity Moisture Content		Sulfate Content	Chloride Content	Soil pH		
(ohm-cm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	pН	Temp. (°C)	
DOT CA Test 643		DOT CA Test 417 Part II	DOT CA Test 422	DOT CA	Test 643	
5350 32.9		66	120	7.64	20.8	





R-VALUE TEST RESULTS DOT CA Test 301

PROJECT NAME:	Asphalt Plant	PROJECT NUMBER:	11957.013
BORING NUMBER:	<u>LB-1</u>	DEPTH (FT.):	1-5
SAMPLE NUMBER:	<u>B-1</u>	TECHNICIAN:	O. Figueroa
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION:	Very dark brown (SP-SM)g	DATE COMPLETED:	9/15/2021

TEST SPECIMEN	а	b	с
MOISTURE AT COMPACTION %	7.4	7.8	8.2
HEIGHT OF SAMPLE, Inches	2.52	2.48	2.53
DRY DENSITY, pcf	123.2	123.1	122.7
COMPACTOR PRESSURE, psi	350	300	275
EXUDATION PRESSURE, psi	503	356	255
EXPANSION, Inches x 10exp-4	0	0	0
STABILITY Ph 2,000 lbs (160 psi)	15	17	19
TURNS DISPLACEMENT	4.60	4.70	4.85
R-VALUE UNCORRECTED	84	82	79
R-VALUE CORRECTED	84	82	79

DESIGN CALCULATION DATA	а	b	с
GRAVEL EQUIVALENT FACTOR	1.0	1.0	1.0
TRAFFIC INDEX	5.0	5.0	5.0
STABILOMETER THICKNESS, ft.	0.26	0.29	0.34
EXPANSION PRESSURE THICKNESS, ft.	0.00	0.00	0.00

90



R-VALUE BY EXPANSION:	N/A
R-VALUE BY EXUDATION:	80
EQUILIBRIUM R-VALUE:	80

EXUDATION PRESSURE CHART





LL,PL,PI

MODIFIED PROCTOR COMPACTION TEST

ASTM D 1557



Moisture Content (%)



LL,PL,PI

MODIFIED PROCTOR COMPACTION TEST

ASTM D 1557



Moisture Content (%)



MODIFIED PROCTOR COMPACTION TEST

ASTM D 1557





Moisture Content (%)



MODIFIED PROCTOR COMPACTION TEST

ASTM D 1557

Project Name:	Asphalt Plant			Tested By:	J. Gonzalez	Date:	09/16/21
Project No.:	11957.013	_		Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	10/01/21
Boring No.:	LB-8	_		Depth (ft.):	1-5		
Sample No.:	B-1						
Soil Identification:	Grayish brown	silty sand (SI	١)				
Preparation Method	: X	Moist			X	Mechanica	al Ram
•		Dry				Manual Ra	am
	Mold Volu	ime (ft³)	0.07490	Ram V	 Neight = 10 l	b.; Drop	= 18 in.
				-	5	, ,	
TEST	NO.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Wt. Compacted S	oil + Mold (g)	6963	7182	7252			
Weight of Mold	(g)	2705	2705	2705			
Net Weight of So	il (g)	4258	4477	4547			
Wet Weight of Sc	oil + Cont. (g)	1073.8	1088.9	1060.8			
Dry Weight of So	il + Cont. (g)	1027.5	1017.3	968.7			
Weight of Contain	ner (g)	88.9	87.8	88.8			
Moisture Content	(%)	4.93	7.70	10.47			
Wet Density	(pcf)	125.3	131.8	133.8			
Dry Density	(pcf)	119.4	122.4	121.2			

122.4 **Optimum Moisture Content (%)** 8.3 Maximum Dry Density (pcf)

PROCEDURE USED

Procedure A

Soil Passing No. 4 (4.75 mm) Sieve Mold: 4 in. (101.6 mm) diameter Layers: 5 (Five) Blows per layer: 25 (twenty-five) May be used if +#4 is 20% or less

Procedure B

| |

Soil Passing 3/8 in. (9.5 mm) Sieve Mold: 4 in. (101.6 mm) diameter Layers : 5 (Five) Blows per layer : 25 (twenty-five) Use if +#4 is >20% and +3/8 in. is 20% or less

X Procedure C

Soil Passing 3/4 in. (19.0 mm) Sieve Mold: 6 in. (152.4 mm) diameter Layers: 5 (Five) Blows per layer : 56 (fifty-six) Use if +3/8 in. is >20% and +3% in. is <30%

Particle-Size Distribution:

1:80:19 GR:SA:FI **Atterberg Limits:** LL,PL,PI



APPENDIX C

ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS





11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 WWW.JONESENV.COM

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY RESULTS

Client:	Leighton Consulting	Report date:	9/3/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18103
	Irvine, CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	8/30/2021
		Date Received:	8/31/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed:	9/2/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th St.	Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles, CA		

ANALYSES REQUESTED

Soil:

- 1. EPA 8015M – Extended Range Hydrocarbons
- 2. EPA 8260B by 5035 - Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics
- 3. EPA 6010B by 3050B and EPA 7471A - CAM 17 Metals

Approval:

July 2 Wh

Colby Wakeman QA/QC Manager



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 WWW.Jonesenv.com

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL

LABORATORY RESULTS

Client:	Leighton Co	nsulting		Report date:	9/3/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowa	n		Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18103
	Irvine, CA			Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCu	lloch		Date Sampled:	8/30/2021
				Date Received:	8/31/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plan	t		Date Analyzed:	9/2/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th	St.		Physical State:	Soil
5	Los Angeles	CA		·	
	E	PA 8015M - Extend	led Range Hydrocarbons		
Sample ID:	LB-8 & 7	LB-6 & 2			
Jones ID:	ST-18103-01	ST-18103-02		<u>Reporting Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>
Carbon Chain Range					
C10 - C11	ND	ND		1.0	mg/kg
C12 - C13	ND	ND		1.0	mg/kg
C14 - C15	ND	ND		1.0	mg/kg
C16 - C17	ND	ND		1.0	mg/kg
C18 - C19	ND	ND		1.0	mg/kg
C20 - C23	ND	21.8		1.0	mg/kg
C24 - C27	ND	39.2		1.0	mg/kg
C28 - C31	ND	85.1		1.0	mg/kg
C32 - C35	ND	125		1.0	mg/kg
C36 - C39	ND	168		1.0	mg/kg
C40 - C43	ND	184		1.0	mg/kg
C13 - C22	ND	ND		10.0	mg/kg
C23 - C40	ND	469		10.0	mg/kg
Dilution Factor	1	1			
Surrogate Recovery:				<u>OC Lir</u>	<u>nits</u>
Hexacosane	83%	76%		30 - 1	20
Batch:	FID7	FID7			
	_090221 _01	_090221 _01			



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 WWW.Jonesenv.com

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION

Client:	Leighton Consulting	Report date:	9/3/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18103
	Irvine, CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	8/30/2021
		Date Received:	8/31/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed:	9/2/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th St.	Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles, CA		
	EPA 8015M - Extended Range H	lydrocarbons	
Sample ID:	METHOD BLANK #1		
Jones ID:	MB1- 090221FID7	<u>Reporting Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>
Carbon Chain Range			
C10 - C11	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C12 - C13	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C14 - C15	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C16 - C17	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C18 - C19	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C20 - C23	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C24 - C27	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C28 - C31	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C32 - C35	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C36 - C39	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C40 - C43	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C13 - C22	ND	10.0	mg/kg
C23 - C40	ND	10.0	mg/kg
Dilution Factor	1		
Surrogate Recovery: Hexacosane	96%	<u>OC Lin</u> 30 - 1	<u>nits</u> 20
Batch:	FID7 _090221 _01		



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 Www.jonesenv.com

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION

Client:	Leighton Consulting				Report date:	9/3/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan				Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18103
	Irvine, CA				Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch				Date Sampled:	8/30/2021
					Date Received:	8/31/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant				Date Analyzed:	9/2/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th St.				Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles, CA				-	
BATCH:	FID7 _090221 _01	Prepared:	9/2/2021	Analyzed:	9/2/2021	

EPA 8015M - Extended Range Hydrocarbons						
	Result	Spike Lev	vel % Recovery	% RPD	% Recovery Limits	Units
LCS:	LCS1-09022	1FID7	SAMPLE SPIKED:	CLEAN SOIL		
Analyte:						
Diesel (C10 - C28)	443	500	89%		60 - 140	mg/kg
Surrogate Recovery:						
Hexacosane			101%		30 - 120	
LCSD:	LCSD1-0902	21FID7	SAMPLE SPIKED:	CLEAN SOIL		
Analyte:						
Diesel (C10 - C28)	440	500	88%	0.7%	60 - 140	mg/kg
Surrogate Recoveries:						
Hexacosane			93%		30 - 120	
CCV:	CCV1-09022	21FID7				
Analyte:						
Diesel (C10 - C28)	1200	1000	120%		80 - 120	mg/kg

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD= Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

CCV = Continuing Calibration Verification

RPD = Relative Percent Difference



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 WWW.Jonesenv.com

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY RESULTS

Client:	Leighton Cor	nsulting	Report date:	9/3/2021		
Client Address:	17781 Cowa	n	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18103		
	Irvine. CA		Client Ref. No.:	11957.013		
	,					
Attn	Brynn McCu	lloch	Date Sampled:	8/30.31/2021		
			Date Received:	8/31/2021		
Project.	Asphalt Plan	t	Date Analyzed:	9/1/2021		
Droject.	2601 E 25th	Street	Date Analyzeu. Diversional State:	Soil		
Project Address:	2001 E. 23u	Sueer	r nysicai State.	3011		
	Los Aligeles,	, CA				
EPA 8260B	EPA 8260B by 5035 – Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics					
Sample ID:	LB-8&7	LB-6&2				
Jones ID:	ST-18103-01	ST-18103-02	<u>Reporting Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>		
Analytes:						
Benzene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
Bromobenzene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
Bromodichloromethane	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
Bromoform	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
n-Butylbenzene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
Chloroform	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
Dibromochloromethane	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
Dibromomethane	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
1,2- Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	ND	1.0	µg/kg		

EPA 8260B by 5035 – Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics

<u>Sample ID:</u>	LB-8&7	LB-6&2	
Jones ID:	ST-18103-01	ST-18103-02	Reporting Limit Units
Analytes:			
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	ND	1.0 μg/kg
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
Freon 11	ND	ND	5.0 μg/kg
Freon 12	ND	ND	5.0 μg/kg
Freon 113	ND	ND	5.0 μg/kg
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	ND	1.0 μg/kg
Isopropylbenzene	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
Methylene chloride	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
Naphthalene	1.9	ND	1.0 µg/kg
n-Propylbenzene	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
Styrene	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
Toluene	ND	1.5	1.0 µg/kg
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	ND	1.0 µg/kg
Trichloroethene	ND	ND	1.0 ug/kg
1.2.3-Trichloropropane	ND	ND	1.0 ug/kg
1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	1.0 ug/kg
1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	1.0 ug/kg
Vinyl chloride	ND	ND	1.0 ug/kg
m.p-Xylene	ND	ND	2.0 ug/kg
o-Xvlene	ND	ND	1.0 ug/kg
Methyl-tert-butylether	ND	ND	50 ug/kg
Ethyl-tert-butylether	ND	ND	5.0 µg/kg
Di-isopropylether	ND	ND	5.0 ug/kg
tert-amylmethylether	ND	ND	5.0
tert-Butylalcohol	ND	ND	50.0 μg/kg
Gasoline Range Organics (C4-C12)	ND	ND	0.20 mg/kg
Dilution Factor	1	1	
Surrogate Recoveries:			OC Limits
Dibromofluoromethane	99%	101%	60 - 140
Toluene-d ₈	94%	92%	60 - 140
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98%	93%	60 - 140
Ratch	VOC3_090121_	VOC3_090121_	
Datelli.	01	01	



JONES ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY RESULTS

Client:	Leighton Consulting	Report date:	9/3/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18103
	Irvine CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957 013
	nvine, err		11757.015
Attn.	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	8/30 31/2021
Attn:	Di yiiii MeCuiloen	Date Sampled.	8/21/2021
		Date Received:	0/51/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed:	9/1/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th Street	Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles, CA		
EPA 8260B	by 5035 – Volatile Orga	nics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics	
Samula ID:	METHOD		
Sample ID:	BLANK #1		
Jones ID:	090121-		
Jones ID.	V3MB1	Reporting Limit	<u>Units</u>
Analytes:			
Benzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Bromobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Bromodichloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Bromoform	ND	1.0	µg/kg
n-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Chlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Chloroform	ND	1.0	µg/kg
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Dibromomethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2- Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/kg

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY RESULTS

EPA 8260B by 5035 – Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics

Sample ID:	METHOD BLANK #1		
Jones ID:	090121- V3MB1	Reporting Limit	<u>Units</u>
Analytes:			
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Freon 11	ND	5.0	µg/kg
Freon 12	ND	5.0	µg/kg
Freon 113	ND	5.0	µg/kg
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Isopropylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Methylene chloride	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Naphthalene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
n-Propylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Styrene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Tetrachloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Trichloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Vinyl chloride	ND	1.0	μg/kg
m,p-Xylene	ND	2.0	μg/kg
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Methyl-tert-butylether	ND	5.0	μg/kg
Ethyl-tert-butylether	ND	5.0	μg/kg
Di-isopropylether	ND	5.0	μg/kg
tert-amylmethylether	ND	5.0	μg/kg
tert-Butylalcohol	ND	50.0	µg/kg
Gasoline Range Organics (C4-C12)	ND	0.20	mg/kg
Dilution Factor	1		
Surrogate Recoveries:		QC Limits	<u>s</u>
Dibromofluoromethane	100%	60 - 140	
Toluene-d ₈	94%	60 - 140	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99%	60 - 140	
Batch:	VOC3_090121_		
Durvili	01		



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 Www.jonesenv.com

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION

Client:	Leighton Consulting	Report date: 9/3/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.: ST-18103
	Irvine, CA	Client Ref. No.: 11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled: 8/30,31/2021
		Date Received: 8/31/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed: 9/1/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th Street	Physical State: Soil
	Los Angeles, CA	

EPA 8260B by 5035 - Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics

GC#:	VO	C3_090121_01				
Jones ID:	090121-V3LCS1	090121-V3LCSD1			090121-V3CCV	1
	LCS	LCSD		Acceptability		Acceptability
Parameter_	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	<u>RPD</u>	Range (%)	<u>CCV</u>	Range (%)
Vinyl chloride	98%	99%	0.6%	60 - 140	88%	80 - 120
1,1-Dichloroethene	115%	115%	0.5%	60 - 140	106%	80 - 120
Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	115%	115%	0.4%	70 - 130	114%	80 - 120
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	108%	107%	1.2%	70 - 130	115%	80 - 120
Benzene	111%	110%	0.8%	70 - 130	117%	80 - 120
Trichloroethene	101%	102%	0.3%	70 - 130	109%	80 - 120
Toluene	111%	110%	0.5%	70 - 130	114%	80 - 120
Tetrachloroethene	105%	109%	3.8%	70 - 130	114%	80 - 120
Chlorobenzene	116%	112%	3.8%	70 - 130	119%	80 - 120
Ethylbenzene	95%	95%	0.6%	70 - 130	115%	80 - 120
1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene	107%	101%	5.7%	70 - 130	120%	80 - 120
Gasoline Range Organics (C4-C12)	106%	104%	1.9%	70 - 130		
Surrogate Recovery:						
Dibromofluoromethane	99%	98%		60 - 140	103%	80 - 120
Toluene-d ₈	95%	97%		60 - 140	108%	80 - 120
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97%	100%		60 - 140	109%	80 - 120

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

CCV = Continuing Calibration Verification

RPD = Relative Percent Difference; Acceptability range for RPD is $\leq 20\%$



JONES ENVIRONMENTAL

LABORATORY RESULTS

Client:	Leighton Cor	nsulting, Inc.	Report date:	9/3/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowa	1	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18103
	Irvine, CA		Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCu	lloch	Date Sampled:	8/30-31/2021
	•		Date Received:	8/31/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plan		Date Analyzed:	
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th	Street	Physical State:	Soil
1 Toject Mulless.	Los Angeles,	CA	i nyoicui buict	Son
	EPA 6010B	by 3050 - Title 22 CAM 17	Trace Metals by ICP-OES	
<u>Sample ID:</u>	LB-8@7	LB-6@2		
Jones ID:	ST-18103-01	ST-18103-02	Reporting Limit	Units
Analytes:				
Silver, Ag	ND	ND	0.5	mg/kg
Arsenic, As	ND	ND	5.0	mg/kg
Barium, Ba	48.6	54.6	2.0	mg/kg
Beryllium, Be	ND	ND	0.5	mg/kg
Cadmium, Cd	0.7	1.0	0.5	mg/kg
Cobalt, Co	3.3	4.9	0.5	mg/kg
Chromium, Cr	4.6	7.0	0.5	mg/kg
Copper, Cu	4.5	6.3	0.5	mg/kg
Molybdenum, Mo	ND	ND	0.5	mg/kg
Nickel, Ni	3.0	5.3	1.0	mg/kg
Lead, Pb	1.1	5.6	0.5	mg/kg
Antimony, Sb	ND	ND	5.0	mg/kg
Selenium, Se	ND	ND	5.0	mg/kg
Thallium, Tl	ND	ND	5.0	mg/kg
Vanadium, V	12.8	18.8	0.5	mg/kg
Zinc, Zn	18.2	30.8	0.5	mg/kg
Dilution Factor	1	1		
Batch:	I21090101	I21090101		
	EPA 747	1A - Mercury by Cold Vap	oor Atomic Absorption	
<u>Sample ID:</u>	LB-8@7	LB-6@2		
Jones ID:	ST-18103-01	ST-18103-02	<u>Reporting Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>
Mercury, Hg	0.056	0.052	0.020	mg/kg
Dilution Factor	1	1		
Batch:	H21090101	H21090101		
Durchi.	1121070101	11210/0101		



JONES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION

Client:	Leighton Consulting, Inc.	Report date:	9/3/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18103
	Irvine, CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	8/30-31/2021
		Date Received:	8/31/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed:	
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th Street	Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles, CA		

I21090101	Prepared:	9/1/2021	Analyzed:	9/2/2021
-----------	-----------	----------	-----------	----------

EPA 6010B by 3050 - Title 22 CAM 17 Trace Metals by ICP-OES

	Result	Spike Level	% REC	% REC Limits	% RPD	Reporting Limit	Units
Analytes:							
METHOD BLANK:	I210901-MB1						
Silver, Ag	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Arsenic, As	ND					5.0	mg/kg
Barium, Ba	ND					2.0	mg/kg
Beryllium, Be	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Cadmium, Cd	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Cobalt, Co	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Chromium, Cr	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Copper, Cu	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Molybdenum, Mo	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Nickel, Ni	ND					1.0	mg/kg
Lead, Pb	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Antimony, Sb	ND					5.0	mg/kg
Selenium, Se	ND					5.0	mg/kg
Thallium, Tl	ND					5.0	mg/kg
Vanadium, V	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Zinc, Zn	ND					0.5	mg/kg

ND= Not Detected

BATCH:



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 WWW.JONESENV.COM

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION

Client:	Leighton Consulting, Inc.	Report date:	9/3/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18103
	Irvine, CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	8/30-31/2021
		Date Received:	8/31/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed:	
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th Street	Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles, CA		

BATCH:

I21090101

Prepared: 9/1/2021 Analyzed: 9/2/2021

EPA 6010B by 3050 - Title 22 CAM 17 Trace Metals by ICP-OES

	Result	Spike Level	% REC	% RPD	% REC Limits	Tim:to
Analytes:						Units
LCS:	I210901-LCS	1				
Barium, Ba	205	200	103%		80 - 120	mg/kg
Cobalt, Co	53.0	50.0	106%		80 - 120	mg/kg
Lead, Pb	50.9	50.0	102%		80 - 120	mg/kg
Selenium, Se	198	200	99%		80 - 120	mg/kg
Zinc, Zn	47.3	50.0	95%		80 - 120	mg/kg
LCSD:	I210901-LCS	D1				
Barium, Ba	201	200	101%	2.0%	80 - 120	mg/kg
Cobalt, Co	52.5	50.0	105%	0.9%	80 - 120	mg/kg
Lead, Pb	50.9	50.0	102%		80 - 120	mg/kg
Selenium, Se	196	200	98%	1.0%	80 - 120	mg/kg
Zinc, Zn	46.2	50.0	92%	2.4%	80 - 120	mg/kg
CCV:	I210901-CCV	/1				
Barium, Ba	1.00	1.00	100%		90-110	mg/L
Cobalt, Co	1.02	1.00	102%		90-110	mg/L
Lead, Pb	1.01	1.00	101%		90-110	mg/L
Selenium, Se	1.04	1.00	104%		90-110	mg/L
Zinc, Zn	1.00	1.00	100%		90-110	mg/L

CCV = Continuing Calibration Verification

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD= Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

ND= Not Detected

RPD = Relative Percent Difference; Acceptability range for RPD is $\leq 15\%$



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 WWW.Jonesenv.com

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION

Client:	Leighton Co	onsulting, Inc				Report date:	9/3/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowa	an				Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18103
	Irvine, CA					Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCu	ulloch				Date Sampled:	8/30-31/2021
	-					Date Received:	8/31/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plar	nt				Date Analyzed:	
Project Address:	2601 E. 25tł	n Street				Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles	, CA					
BATCH:	H21090101		<u>Prepared:</u>	9/1/2021	Analyzed:	9/1/2021	
	EPA 7	471A - Mer	cury by Cold	Vapor Atom	nic Absorption		
	Result	Spike Level	% REC	% RPD	% REC Limits	Reporting Limit	Units
Analytes:		-					
METHOD BLANK:	H210901-MB1	L				0.020	4
Mercury, Hg	ND					0.020	mg/kg
LCS:	H210901-LCS	1					
Mercury, Hg	1.10	1.00	110%		80 - 120		mg/kg
LCSD:	H210901-LCS	D1					
Mercury, Hg	1.11	1.00	111%	0.9%	80 - 120		mg/kg
CCV:	H210901-CCV	/1					
Mercury, Hg	5.10	5.00	102%		90-110		µg/L

ND= Not Detected

RPD = Relative Percent Difference; Acceptability range for RPD is $\leq 15\%$

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD= Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

CCV = Continuing Calibration Verification

RPD = Relative Percent Difference

provided herein is correct and accurate.		Fime	te					Company	Time	Dete:		Сотралу
Client signature on this Chain of Custody form constitutes acknowledgement that the above analyses have been regested, and the information			2 1-21			ture)	oratory (Sign	<u>ر) من 28</u> Received By Lab	KA) /2,	DI3(/		Relinquished By (Bignature)
		Ime		٩		100	Clam	Company	Time	Data		Company
Total Number of Containers	5		rinted Name	1 -		1	(aunuu	Received Bytes	× δ 	Printed Nam		Relinquished By (Bigneture)
					\vdash							
				-								
			-									
					-							
				_	+	_						
						_						
14				X	x X	1 N	Ŋ		7-18103-02	12:00 Pm 5	8131121	43-6#2
			+	x	X	5	1ª		T-18103-01	3: 11-3-4 5	8/30/21	18-847
- Numbe Notes & Special Instructions				CA	82	Sample Soit (S), S	Sample Container	Preservative	Laboratory Sample 8D	Sample Collection Time	Sample Collection Date	Sample ID
r of Contai				щ 17	<u>11</u> 260	Matrix: Ludge (SL), Aq		drochloric Acid Nitric Acid yr (See Notes)	HCI - Hy HNO3 - O - Othe		Sampier	Report To
EDD •Giobal ID	<u></u>			7		ueous (A), Fr		iber Bottle lic Sodkım Bisultate Methanol	AB - Am P - Plast SOBI - S MeOH -	<u>- 900 f</u>	720	Phone 2/3 - So7 -3
Report Options						ee Product	Ū	nalle sleeve Inless Steel Sleeve 188 Sleeve			1.14	
or		lequested	nalysis R			(FP)	ervetive.	e Container / Pres Abbrevisions	geled Same	t los An	Strees	LOOL E 25 K
Page			- 10% rcharge	6 Hours - No Su	Rush 9 Normal	X 0	ũ)ect# 127,01				Projoci Name Associat Plant
Jones Project#			- 50% - 25%	8 Hours 2 Hours	Rush 4 Rush 7	<u> </u>		1121	Data X 3		145	client leighter Cares
LAB USE ONLY)%	quested: htion - 200 - 100%	ate Atte 4 Hours	n Aro i mmedi Rush 2			714) 449-9937 Žjonesenv.com .jonesenv.com	. герогза ммм	TAL. INC	ONMEN	ENVIR
dy Record	Jsto	Г- С	- 0-	air	$\frac{1}{2}$		и	1007 Forest Pl. ngs, CA 90670	11 Santa Fe Spri	コ	Ζ	

and the state of the

STATE OF STATE

UDJONES 714-449-9937 562-646-1611	11007 FOREST PLAC SANTA FE SPRINGS, WWW.JONESENV.C	E CA 90670 OM
SAMPLE RECEIPT FORM	Jones ID: <u>57- [8</u>	103
CLIENT: Leighton DATE/TIME:	8-31-21 14	31
PROJECT: <u>Afsplit 11</u> blont RECEIVED BY: Delivered by: Delivered by: Delivered by: Delivered by: Delivered by:	DOther	
TEMPERATURE: Number of c	coolers received:	
Temperature Cooler #1 <u>11</u> . O*C±0.1°C Blank	Sample	
Temperature Cooler #2 *C ± 0.1°C Blank	Sample	
Temp Criteria: $0 \le 6^{\circ}$ C (NO frozen containers)Criteria met?I YesIf orthogona is not metric	XÍ No	
ir criteria is not met:		
Ambient Temperature: <u>2.7.0</u> •C	□ No ⁺ Checked by:_	-72
SAMPLE CONDITION:	YES NO*	N/A
Chain of Custody (COC) received filled out completely	₫, ₫, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Total number of containers received match COC	5 • 0 •	
Sample container label(s) consistent with COC	₽ □•	
Sample container(s) intact and in good condition	9	
Proper containers and sufficient volume for analyses requested on COC	⊠ ⊀ □*	
Proper preservative indicated on COC/containers for analyses requested	0 0+	X
Volatile analysis container(s) free of headspace (EPA 8260 water)	0 0*	্ৰ
Custody Seals Intact on Cooler/Sample	0 0*	¢.
CONTAINER TYPE: Aqueous: Air / Solid: Amber Bottle: Amber Bottle: Glass Jar: 2 VOAs: Sleeve: Poly Bottle: Other:	<u>Soil Gas:</u> Tediar Bag: 6 hr 72 hr 5 Day Summa: (1L)(6L)	-
MILEAGE:		· .
Round Trip Mileage: Travel Time:	On Site Time:	
*Complete Non-Conformance if checked	Checked by:	<u> </u>

02.12.2021 - Version 5.0



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 WWW.JONESENV.COM

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY RESULTS

Client:	Leighton Consulting	Report date:	9/7/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18119
	Irvine, CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	9/1/2021
		Date Received:	9/2/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed:	9/3/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th Street	Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles, CA		

ANALYSES REQUESTED

Soil:

- 1. EPA 8015M – Extended Range Hydrocarbons
- 2. EPA 8260B by 5035 - Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics
- 3. EPA 6010B by 3050B and EPA 7471A - CAM 17 Metals

Approval:

filly 2

Colby Wakeman QA/QC Manager



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 WWW.Jonesenv.com

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL

LABORATORY RESULTS

Client:	Leighton Consulting	Report date:	9/7/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18119
	Irvine, CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	9/1/2021
		Date Received:	9/2/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed:	9/3/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th Street	Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles, CA	U C	
	EPA 8015M - Extended Range Hydrocarb	ons	
Sample ID:	LB-3 & 4		
Jones ID:	ST-18119-01	<u>Reporting Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>
Carbon Chain Range			
C10 - C11	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C12 - C13	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C14 - C15	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C16 - C17	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C18 - C19	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C20 - C23	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C24 - C27	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C28 - C31	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C32 - C35	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C36 - C39	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C40 - C43	ND	1.0	mg/kg
C13 - C22	ND	10.0	mg/kg
C23 - C40	ND	10.0	mg/kg
Dilution Factor	1		
Surrogate Recovery:		<u>OC Limits</u>	
Hexacosane	118%	30 - 1	20
D (1	FID7		
Batch:	090321 01		



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 WWW.Jonesenv.com

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION

Client:	Leighton Consulting	Report date:	9/7/2021	
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18119	
	Irvine, CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957.013	
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	9/1/2021	
		Date Received:	9/2/2021	
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed:	9/3/2021	
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th Street	Physical State:	Soil	
	Los Angeles, CA			
	EPA 8015M - Extended Range Hydrocar	bons		
Sample ID:	METHOD BLANK #1			
Jones ID:	MB1- 090321FID7	<u>Reporting Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>	
Carbon Chain Range				
C10 - C11	ND	1.0	mg/kg	
C12 - C13	ND	1.0	mg/kg	
C14 - C15	ND	1.0	mg/kg	
C16 - C17	ND	1.0	mg/kg	
C18 - C19	ND	1.0	mg/kg	
C20 - C23	ND	1.0	mg/kg	
C24 - C27	ND	1.0	mg/kg	
C28 - C31	ND	1.0	mg/kg	
C32 - C35	ND	1.0	mg/kg	
C36 - C39	ND	1.0	mg/kg	
C40 - C43	ND	1.0	mg/kg	
C13 - C22	ND	10.0	mg/kg	
C23 - C40	ND	10.0	mg/kg	
Dilution Factor	1			
Surrogate Recovery:		<u>QC Lir</u>	<u>QC Limits</u>	
Hexacosane	120%	30 - 12	20	
Batch:	FID7 090321 01			



JONES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION

Client:	Leighton Consulting			J	Report date:	9/7/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan			J	lones Ref. No.:	ST-18119
	Irvine, CA			(Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch			l	Date Sampled:	9/1/2021
				1	Date Received:	9/2/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant			1	Date Analyzed:	9/3/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th Street]	Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles, CA					
BATCH:	FID7 _090321 _01	Prepared:	9/3/2021	Analyzed:	9/3/2021	

FID7 _090321 _01	Prepared:	9/3/2021	Analyzed:	9/3/2021
------------------	-----------	----------	-----------	----------

El A 6015101 - Extended Kange Hydrocarbons						
	Result	Spike Le	vel % Recover	y % RPD	% Recovery Limits	Units
LCS:	LCS1-09032	1FID7	SAMPLE SPIKED:	CLEAN SOIL		
Analyte:						
Diesel (C10 - C28)	447	500	89%		60 - 140	mg/kg
Surrogate Recovery:						
Hexacosane			119%		30 - 120	
LCSD:	LCSD1-0903	21FID7	SAMPLE SPIKED:	CLEAN SOIL		
Analyte:						
Diesel (C10 - C28)	452	500	90%	1.1%	60 - 140	mg/kg
Surrogate Recoveries:						
Hexacosane			118%		30 - 120	
CCV:	CCV1-09032	lFID7				
Analyte:						
Diesel (C10 - C28)	1200	1000	120%		80 - 120	mg/kg

EPA 8015M - Extended Range Hydrocarbons

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD= Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

CCV = Continuing Calibration Verification

RPD = Relative Percent Difference


Client Address:17781 Cowan Irvine, CAJones Ref. No.:ST-18119 (Dient Ref. No.:ST-18119<	Client:	Leighton Consulting	Report date:	9/7/2021
Invine Invine Invine Atm:Irvine, CAClient Ref. No.:11957.013Atm:Brynn McCullochDate Sampled: 9/2021 Date Received: 9/20219/2021 Date Received: 9/2021Project Address:2601 E. 25th St. Los Angeles, CADate Analyzed: 9/3/20219/3/2021EPA 8260B by 5035 - Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range OrganicsStateStateSample ID:Br-181 941Reporting LimitVinitAnalytes:International StateStateStateBerzeneND1.0µg/kgBromodichloromethaneND1.0µg/kgBromodichloromethaneND1.0µg/kgBromodichloromethaneND1.0µg/kgChoroformND1.0µg/kgBromodichloromethaneND1.0µg/kgChoroformND1.0µg/kgChoroformND1.0µg/kg2-ChorototueneND1.0µg/kg2-ChorototueneND1.0µg/kg2-ChorototueneND1.0µg/kg2-ChorototueneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichorothaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichorothaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichorothaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichorothaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichorothaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichorothaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichorothaneND1.0µg/kg1.3	Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18119
Attn: Brynn McCulloch Date Sampled: 9/1/2021 Project: Asphalt Plant Date Analyzei 9/2/2021 Project Address: 2601 E. 25th St. Physical State: Soil Los Angeles, CA EPA 8260B by 5035 - Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics Sample ID: LB-3 & 4 Jones ID: ST-18119-01 Report Gasoline Range Organics Sample ID: LB-3 & 4 Jones ID: ST-18119-01 Report Gasoline Range Organics Bronnohenzene ND 1.0 µg/kg Bronnohenzene ND 1.0 µg/kg Bronnofichloromethane ND 1.0 µg/kg Bronnofichloromethane ND 1.0 µg/kg Carbon tetrachloride ND 1.0 µg/kg Chlorobonzene ND 1.0 µg/kg Chlorobonzene ND 1.0 µg/kg Chlorobonzene ND 1.0 µg/kg Chlorobonzene ND 1.0 µg/kg Dibromonethane (DD) 1.0 µg/kg L3-Dichlorobenzene ND 1.0 µg/kg L3-Dichlorobenzene		Irvine. CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Atm: Brynn McCulloch Date Samplet: 9/1/2021 Project: Asphalt Plant Date Analyzet: 9/2/2021 Project: 2601 E. 25th St. Physical State: Soil EPA 8200B 5035 - Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics Soil EPA 8200B 5035 - Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics Soil Sample ID: EPA 8200B St-Valatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics Soil Sample ID: St-Janoby St-Janoby Reporting Limit [Image Distribution Range Organics] Sample ID: St-Janoby St-Janoby Image Distribution Range Organics [Image Distribution Range Organics] Sample ID: St-Janoby St-Janoby Image Distribution Range Organics] [Image Distribution Range Organics] Sample ID: St-Janoby St-Janoby Image Distribution Range Organics] [Image Distribution Range Organics] Sample ID: St-Janoby Image Distribution Range Organics] [Image Distribution Range Organics] [Image Distribution Range Organics] Sample ID: St-Janoby Image Distribution Range Organics] [Image Distribution Range Organics] [Image Distribution Range Organics] Sample ID: ND Image Distribution Range Organics] [Image Distribution Range Organics] </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>				
Intro Expansion Date Received: 9/2/2021 Project: Asphalt Plant Date Analyzed: 9/3/2021 Project: Asphalt Plant Date Analyzed: 9/3/2021 Project Address: 2601 E. 25th St. Physical State: Soil Los Angeles, CA EPA 8260B by 5035 - Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics Soil Sample ID: IB-3 & 4 Reporting Limit Units Analytes: 10 µg/kg Bromobenzee ND 1.0 µg/kg Bromobenzee ND 1.0 µg/kg Bromoform ND µg/kg Bromoform ND 1.0 µg/kg Recordition (Pag/kg Recordition (Pag/kg Carbon tetrachloride ND 1.0 µg/kg Recorditin (Pag/kg Recorditin (Pag/kg	Attn•	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	9/1/2021
Project: Asphalt Plant Date Kanalyzet: 9/2/021 Project Address: 2601 E. 25th St. Physical State: Soil Los Angeles, CA EPA 8260B by 5035 - Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics Sample ID: LB-3 & 4 Jones ID: ST-18119-01 Reporting Limit Units Analytes: - - - - Bronobenzene ND 1.0 µg/kg - Bronodichloromethane ND 1.0 µg/kg - Bronodichloromethane ND 1.0 µg/kg - Bronodichloromethane ND 1.0 µg/kg - Scholbenzene ND 1.0 µg/kg - Chlorobenzene ND	1		Date Baceived:	9/2/2021
Project Aspinal Plant Date Analyzet: 9:5/2021 Project Address: 2601 E. 251 b.t. Physical State: Soil Los Angeles, CA EPA 8260B by 5035 – Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics Sample ID: LB-3 & 4 Image of the second	Duciente	A subsit Disut	Date Received.	9/2/2021
Project Address: 2601 E. 25th St. Physical State: Soit Los Angeles, CA EPA 8260B by 5035 - Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics Sample ID: LB-3 & 4 Jones ID: ST-18119-01 Reporting Limit Units Analytes: 1.0 µg/kg Bromode/horomethane ND 1.0 µg/kg Bromode/horomethane ND 1.0 µg/kg Bromode/horomethane ND 1.0 µg/kg Bromode/horomethane ND 1.0 µg/kg Bromode/norom ND 1.0 µg/kg Bromode/noromethane ND 1.0 µg/kg Bromode/norom ND 1.0 µg/kg Choroform ND 1.0 µg/kg Choroform ND 1.0 µg/kg Chloroform ND 1.0 µg/kg Chloroforom ND 1.0 µg/kg 1.2-Dibromoethane ND 1.0 µg/kg 1.2-Dibromoethane ND 1.0 µg/kg 1.2-Dibromoethane ND 1.0 µg/kg 1.3-Dichlorobenzene ND 1.0 µg/kg 1.4-Dichlorobenzene ND<	Project:		Date Analyzed:	9/3/2021
Los Angeles, CA EPA 8260B by 5035 - Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics Sample ID: LB-3 & 4 Jones ID: ST-18119-01 Reporting Limit Units Analytes: 1.0 µg/kg Bromobenzene ND 1.0 µg/kg Bromodichloromethane ND 1.0 µg/kg Bromodichloromethane ND 1.0 µg/kg Bromodichloromethane ND 1.0 µg/kg Scondichloromethane ND 1.0 µg/kg Bromodorn ND 1.0 µg/kg Carbon tetrachloride ND 1.0 µg/kg Chlorotorn ND 1.0 µg/kg Chlorotorn ND 1.0 µg/kg Chlorotorne ND 1.0 µg/kg Dibromochloromethane ND 1.0 µg/kg 1.2-Dibromochane (EDB) ND 1.0 µg/kg 1.2-Dibromochane ND 1.0 µg/kg Dibromochan	Project Address:	2601 E. 25th St.	Physical State:	Soil
EPA 8260B by 5035 - Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics Sample ID: LB-3 & 4 Jones ID: ST-18119-01 Reporting Linit Units Analytes:		Los Angeles, CA		
Sample ID: LB-3 & 4 Jones ID: ST-18119-01 Renoring Link: Integration Analytes: 1.0 µg/kg Bromodichioromethane ND 1.0 µg/kg Chorobarcene ND 1.0 µg/kg Schorobarcene ND 1.0 µg/kg 1.2 Dichorobarcene ND 1.0	EPA 8260B	by 5035 – Volatile Organics by GC/MS	+ Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics	
Jones DI:ST-1819-01Reporting LimitUnitsAnalyts:<	Sample ID:	LB-3 & 4		
Analytes:BenzeneND1.0µg/kgBromobenzeneND1.0µg/kgBromobichloromethaneND1.0µg/kgBromobichloromethaneND1.0µg/kgBromobichloromethaneND1.0µg/kgcarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgcarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgCarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorobureneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorobureneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorobureneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorobureneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DibromothaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DibromothaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DibromothaneND1.0µg/kg1.3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.6-DichloropenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.7-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.8-DichloropenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg <t< td=""><td>Jones ID:</td><td>ST-18119-01</td><td><u>Reporting Limit</u></td><td><u>Units</u></td></t<>	Jones ID:	ST-18119-01	<u>Reporting Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>
BenzeneND1.0µg/kgBromobenzeneND1.0µg/kgBromobenzeneND1.0µg/kgBromoformND1.0µg/kgn-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgsec-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgcarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgCarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromo-thare (EDB)ND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg	Analytes:			
BromobenzeneND1.0µg/kgBromodichloromethaneND1.0µg/kgBromoformND1.0µg/kgn-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgsec-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgCarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-thaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-thaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-thaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-thaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-thaneND1.0µg/kg1.3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.3-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.1-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dichloroethene <td>Benzene</td> <td>ND</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>µg/kg</td>	Benzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
BromodichloromethaneND1.0µg/kgBromoformND1.0µg/kgn-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgsec-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgCarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kgChloroformND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-4-chlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-5-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-thaneND1.0µg/kg1.3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg<	Bromobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
BromoformND1.0µg/kgn-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgsec-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgCarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgCarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.1-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.1-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.2-DichloroetheneND1.0µ	Bromodichloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
n-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgsec-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgtert-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgCarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kgChloroformND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromoethane (EDB)ND1.0µg/kg1.3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.6-DichorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.7-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.8-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichlorobeneeND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichlorobeneeND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichloropenpeneND1.0µg	Bromoform	ND	1.0	µg/kg
sec-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgCarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgCarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kgChloroformND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg12-Dibromo-shloropropaneND1.0µg/kg12-Dibromo-shloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-shloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1.2-Dibromo-shloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1.3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.5-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.6-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1.7-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.8-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1.9-DichloroetheneND1.0<	n-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
tert-ButylbenzeneND1.0µg/kgCarbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kgChloroformND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg4-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kgbibromochloromethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromochane (EDB)ND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg <td>sec-Butylbenzene</td> <td>ND</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>µg/kg</td>	sec-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Carbon tetrachlorideND1.0µg/kgChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kgChloroformND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg4-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kgDibromochloromethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)ND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloroptheneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloroptheneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloroptheneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloroptheneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloroptheneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloroptopaneND1.0µg/kg	tert-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
ChlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kgChloroformND1.0µg/kg2-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kg4-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kgDibromochloromethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromo-s-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromo-s-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)ND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloroptopaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloroptopaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloroptopaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloroptopaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroptopaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroptopaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroptopaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-Dichloroptopane<	Carbon tetrachloride	ND	1.0	µg/kg
ChloroformND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 2-ChlorotolueneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 4-ChlorotolueneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ DibromochloromethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)ND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)ND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)ND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloropropaneND <td>Chlorobenzene</td> <td>ND</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>µg/kg</td>	Chlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
2-ChlorotolueneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 4-ChlorotolueneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ DibromochloromethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)ND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DibromoethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloropropaneND	Chloroform	ND	1.0	µg/kg
4-ChlorotolueneND1.0µg/kgDibromochloromethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)ND1.0µg/kgDibromomethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropteneND1.0µg/kg <td>2-Chlorotoluene</td> <td>ND</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>µg/kg</td>	2-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
DibromochloromethaneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)ND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ DibromomethaneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichlorobenzeneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichlorobenzeneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,4-DichlorobenzeneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichlorobenzeneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroethaneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroethaneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroetheneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroetheneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichloropropaneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloropropaneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloropropaneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloropropaneND 1.0 $\mu g/kg$	4-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)ND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ DibromomethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichloroppaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroppaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroppaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroppaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroppaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$	Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)ND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ DibromomethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichlorobenzeneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroetheneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroetheneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,3-DichloroppaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$ 1,1-DichloroppaneND1.0 $\mu g/kg$	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
DibromomethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2- DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg	1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2- DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg	Dibromomethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,3-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kgcis-1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kgtrans-1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg	1,2- Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,4-DichlorobenzeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kgcis-1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kgtrans-1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroptopaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kgcis-1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kgtrans-1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloroptopaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2-DichloroethaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kgcis-1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kgtrans-1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg2,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropeneND1.0µg/kg	1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kgcis-1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kgtrans-1,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg2,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropeneND1.0µg/kg	1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Cis-1,2-DichloroetheneND1.0µg/kgtrans-1,2-DichloroptoeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg2,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropeneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloropropeneND1.0µg/kg	1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
trans-1,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg2,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropeneND1.0µg/kg	trong 1.2 Dichloroothono		1.0	$\mu g/kg$
1,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,3-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg2,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropeneND1.0µg/kg	1.2 Dishloronronana		1.U 1.0	μg/kg
1,0-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg2,2-DichloropropaneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropeneND1.0µg/kgcis-1 3-DichloropropeneND1.0µg/kg	1,2-Dichloropropane		1.0	µg/kg
2,2-DichloropropeneND1.0µg/kg1,1-DichloropropeneND1.0µg/kgcis-1 3-DichloropropeneND1.0µg/kg	2.2 Dichloropropane		1.U 1.0	μg/kg
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene ND 1.0 µg/kg	1 1-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	μg/Kg
The second	cis-1 3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	me re 110/ko

EPA 8260B by 5035 – Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics

Sample ID:	LB-3	& 4
	-	

Jones ID:	ST-18119-01	Reporting Limit	<u>Units</u>
Analytes:			
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Freon 11	ND	5.0	µg/kg
Freon 12	ND	5.0	μg/kg
Freon 113	ND	5.0	µg/kg
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Isopropylbenzene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Methylene chloride	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Naphthalene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
n-Propylbenzene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Styrene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	μg/kg
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Tetrachloroethene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Toluene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	μg/kg
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Trichloroethene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	1.0	μg/kg
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Vinyl chloride	ND	1.0	μg/kg
m,p-Xylene	ND	2.0	μg/kg
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Methyl-tert-butylether	ND	5.0	μg/kg
Ethyl-tert-butylether	ND	5.0	μg/kg
Di-isopropylether	ND	5.0	μg/kg
tert-amylmethylether	ND	5.0	µg/kg
tert-Butylalcohol	ND	50.0	µg/kg
Gasoline Range Organics (C4-C12)	ND	0.20	mg/kg
Dilution Factor	1		
Surrogate Recoveries:		<u>OC Limits</u>	
Dibromofluoromethane	99%	60 - 140	
Toluene-d ₈	95%	60 - 140	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	102%	60 - 140	
Batch:	VOC3-090321-		
	01		

ND = Value less than reporting limit



Client:	Leighton Consulting	Report date:	9/7/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18119
	Irvine CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957 013
			117071010
Attn.	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	9/1/2021
Attil.	Drynn Weeunoen	Date Bampied.	0/2/2021
		Date Received:	9/2/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed:	9/3/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th St.	Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles, CA		
EPA 8260B	by 5035 - Volatile Organics by G	C/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics	
Somple ID:	METHOD		
Sample ID:	BLANK #1		
Jones ID:	090321-		
Jones ID:	V3MB1	Reporting Limit	<u>Units</u>
Analytes:			
Benzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Bromobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Bromodichloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Bromoform	ND	1.0	µg/kg
n-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Chlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Chloroform	ND	1.0	µg/kg
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Dibromomethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2- Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/kg

EPA 8260B by 5035 – Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics

Sample ID:	METHOD BLANK #1		
Jones ID:	090321- V3MB1	Reporting Limit	<u>Units</u>
Analytes:			
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Freon 11	ND	5.0	µg/kg
Freon 12	ND	5.0	µg/kg
Freon 113	ND	5.0	µg/kg
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Isopropylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Methylene chloride	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Naphthalene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
n-Propylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Styrene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Tetrachloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/kg
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Trichloroethene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	1.0	μg/kg
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Vinyl chloride	ND	1.0	μg/kg
m,p-Xylene	ND	2.0	μg/kg
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	μg/kg
Methyl-tert-butylether	ND	5.0	μg/kg
Ethyl-tert-butylether	ND	5.0	μg/kg
Di-isopropylether	ND	5.0	μg/kg
tert-amylmethylether	ND	5.0	μg/kg
tert-Butylalcohol	ND	50.0	μg/kg
Gasoline Range Organics (C4-C12)	ND	0.20	mg/kg
Dilution Factor	1		
Surrogate Recoveries:		<u>QC Limit</u>	. <u>s</u>
Dibromofluoromethane	99%	60 - 140	
Toluene-d ₈	96%	60 - 140	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99%	60 - 140	
Batch:	VOC3-090321-		
	01		

ND = Value less than reporting limit



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 WWW.JONESENV.COM

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION

Client:	Leighton Consulting	Report date: 9/7/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.: ST-18119
	Irvine, CA	Client Ref. No.: 11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled: 9/1/2021
		Date Received: 9/2/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed: 9/3/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th St.	Physical State: Soil
	Los Angeles, CA	

EPA 8260B by 5035 – Volatile Organics by GC/MS + Oxygenates/Gasoline Range Organics

GC#:	VOC3-090321-01					
Jones ID:	090321-V3LCS1	090321-V3LCSD1			090321-V3CCV1	
	LCS	LCSD		Acceptability		Acceptability
Parameter	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	<u>RPD</u>	Range (%)	<u>CCV</u>	Range (%)
Vinyl chloride	140%	132%	6.0%	60 - 140	108%	80 - 120
1,1-Dichloroethene	110%	110%	0.6%	60 - 140	97%	80 - 120
Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	110%	108%	1.3%	70 - 130	107%	80 - 120
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	98%	103%	4.5%	70 - 130	95%	80 - 120
Benzene	104%	109%	4.1%	70 - 130	99%	80 - 120
Trichloroethene	94%	97%	3.1%	70 - 130	93%	80 - 120
Toluene	108%	109%	0.6%	70 - 130	98%	80 - 120
Tetrachloroethene	107%	106%	1.1%	70 - 130	95%	80 - 120
Chlorobenzene	110%	112%	1.4%	70 - 130	103%	80 - 120
Ethylbenzene	94%	92%	1.8%	70 - 130	92%	80 - 120
1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene	109%	107%	1.5%	70 - 130	99%	80 - 120
Gasoline Range Organics (C4-C12)	104%	104%	0.4%	70 - 130		
Surrogate Recovery:						
Dibromofluoromethane	99%	97%		60 - 140	101%	80 - 120
Toluene-d ₈	94%	97%		60 - 140	105%	80 - 120
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99%	98%		60 - 140	114%	80 - 120

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

CCV = Continuing Calibration Verification

RPD = Relative Percent Difference; Acceptability range for RPD is $\leq 20\%$



JONES ENVIRONMENTAL

LABORATORY RESULTS

Client Address:17781 Cowan Irvine, CAJones Ref. No.:ST-18119 T1957.013Attn:Brynn McCullochDate Sampled:9/1/2021 Date Received:9/2/2021 9/2/021Project:Asphalt PlantDate Analyzei:9/3/7/2021Project:Asphalt PlantDate Analyzei:9/3/7/2021Project:Asphalt PlantDate Analyzei:9/3/7/2021Bande ID:LB-3&4Kenorting LimitUnitsAnalytes:StrattVintsVintsSilver, AgND0.5mg/kgBarinn, Ba59.80.5mg/kgBarinn, Ba59.80.5mg/kgCobalt, Co4.70.5mg/kgCobalt, Co4.70.5mg/kgCobalt, Co4.70.5mg/kgCobalt, Co4.70.5mg/kgCobalt, Co4.70.5mg/kgMolybelenum, MoND0.5mg/kgMolybelenum, ScND5.0mg/kgMolybelenum, ScND5.0mg/kg </th <th>Client:</th> <th>Leighton Consulting, Inc.</th> <th>Report date:</th> <th>9/7/2021</th>	Client:	Leighton Consulting, Inc.	Report date:	9/7/2021
Irvine, CA Client Ref. No.: 11957.013 Attn: Brynn McCulloch Date Sampled: 9/1/2021 Project: Asphalt Plant Date Analyzed: 9/3,7/2021 Project: Asphalt Plant Date Analyzed: 9/3,7/2021 Project Address: Coll E. 25th Street Physical State: 9/3,7/2021 EVE EVE EVE 1000 0.5 mg/kg Sample ID: LB-384 5.0 mg/kg Sample ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Analytes: Silver, Ag ND 0.5 mg/kg Bardun, Ba 59.8 0.5 mg/kg Bardun, Ba 59.8 0.5 mg/kg Bardun, Ba 59.8 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, C	Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18119
Atta: Brynn McCulloch Date Sampled: 9/1/2021 Date Received: 9/2/2021 Project: Asphal Plant Date Analyzed: 9/3,7/2021 Project Address: 2601 E. 25th Street Project Physical State: Soil Los Angeles, CA Physical State: Soil Experime Linit Units Jones ID: ST-1819 Reporting Linit Units Analytes: Silver, Ag ND 0.5 mg/kg Barium, Ba Sp.8 0.5 mg/kg Mg/kg Barium, Cd 0.6 0.5 mg/kg Cadmiun, Cd 0.6 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 6.7 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 6.7 0.5 mg/kg Molydelum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 6.7 0.5 mg/kg Molydelum, Mo ND		Irvine, CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Ann. Drint output of the part output output of the part output output of the part output output of the part output output of the part output output of the part output output output of the part output ou	Attn.	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	9/1/2021
Date Analyzed: 9/2 5021 Date Analyzed: 9/3 7/2021 Project Address: 2601 E. 25th Street Physical State: Soil Los Angeles, CA EPA 6010B by 3050 - Title 22 CAM 17 Trace Metals by ICP-OES Sample ID: LB-3&4 Joint Metals by ICP-OES Sample ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Analytes: Silver, Ag ND 5.0 mg/kg Beryllium, Be ND 0.5 Bardinn, Ba 59.8 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Scopper, Cu 6.8 0.5 mg/kg	Atul.	Brynn Weednoen	Date Baceived:	9/2/2021
Project Aspinal fram Date Analyzet 97,372021 Project Address: 2601 E. 25th Street Physical State: Soil EPA 6010B by 3050 - Title 22 CAM 17 Trace Metals by ICP-OES Sample ID: LB-3&4 Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Analytes: 0.5 mg/kg Silver, Ag ND 0.5 mg/kg Barium, Ba 59,8 0.5 mg/kg Barium, Ba 59,8 0.5 mg/kg Cobati, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Cobati, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Cobati, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg State: I 21090301 5.0 mg/kg Barke: I 21090301 I 1 Inits Barch: I 21090301 I 1 Inits Barch: I 21090301 I 1 Inits Barch: I 21090301 I 1 Inits	Ducient	Asphalt Dlant	Date Analyzed:	0/3 7/2021
Project Address: 2001 E. 25th Street Physical State: Soil Los Angeles, CA EPA 6010B by 3050 - Title 22 CAM 17 Trace Metals by ICP-OES Sample ID: LB-3&4 Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Analytes: 0.5 mg/kg Silver, Ag ND 0.5 mg/kg Barium, Ba 59.8 0.5 mg/kg Barium, Ba 59.8 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Chronium, Cf 0.6 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Chornium, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Molydenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Kekel, Ni 4.8 0.5 mg/kg Lead, Pb 2.1 0.5 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Thallium, T1 ND 5.0 mg/kg Zinc, Zn 29.4 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 1 1 Batch: 121090301 1 1 1	Project:	Asphant Flant	Date Analyzeu:	9/3,7/2021
Los Angeles, CA EPA 6010B by 3050 - Title 22 CAM 17 Trace Metals by ICP-OES Sample ID: LB-3&4 Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Analytes:	Project Address:	2601 E. 25th Street	Physical State:	5011
EPA 6010B by 3050 - Title 22 CAM 17 Trace Metals by ICP-OES Sample ID: LB-3&4 Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Analytes: 0.5 mg/kg Silver, Ag ND 0.5 mg/kg Barium, Ba 59.8 0.5 mg/kg Barium, Ba 59.8 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Molybdenum, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 5.0 mg/kg Molybdenum, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Matium, St ND		Los Angeles, CA		
Sample ID: LB-3&4 Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Analytes:		EPA 6010B by 3050 - Title 22 CAM 17 Tr	race Metals by ICP-OES	
Jones ID: ST-1819 Renorting Limit, Unit Analytes:	Sample ID:	LB-3&4		
Analytes: Silver, Ag ND 0.5 mg/kg Arsenic, As ND 5.0 mg/kg Barium, Ba 59.8 0.5 mg/kg Beryllium, Be ND 0.5 mg/kg Cadmium, Cd 0.6 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Chromium, Cr 6.7 0.5 mg/kg Copper, Cu 6.8 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Lead, Pb 2.1 0.5 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Jones TD: LB-3&4 Jones TD: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution	Jones ID:	ST-18119	<u>Reporting Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>
Silver, Ag ND 0.5 mg/kg Arsenic, As ND 5.0 mg/kg Barium, Ba 59.8 0.5 mg/kg Barium, Be ND 0.5 mg/kg Cadmium, Cd 0.6 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Copper, Cu 6.8 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Nickel, Ni 4.8 0.5 mg/kg Lead, Pb 2.1 0.5 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Solenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 I I	Analytes:			
Arsenic, As ND 5.0 mg/kg Bariun, Ba 59.8 0.5 mg/kg Beryllium, Be ND 0.5 mg/kg Cadmium, Cd 0.6 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Copper, Cu 6.8 0.5 mg/kg Molydenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Nickel, Ni 4.8 0.5 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, No ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 ND 5.0 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 1 ND 5.0 mg/kg Dines ID: S	Silver, Ag	ND	0.5	mg/kg
Barium, Ba 59.8 0.5 mg/kg Beryllium, Be ND 0.5 mg/kg Cadmium, Cd 0.6 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Chromium, Cr 6.7 0.5 mg/kg Copper, Cu 6.8 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Nickel, Ni 4.8 0.5 mg/kg Lead, Pb 2.1 0.5 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 1 1 1 Batch: 121090301 1 1 1 Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 1 1 1	Arsenic, As	ND	5.0	mg/kg
Beryllium, Be ND 0.5 mg/kg Cadmium, Cd 0.6 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Chromium, Cr 6.7 0.5 mg/kg Copper, Cu 6.8 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Nickel, Ni 4.8 0.5 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 mg/kg mg/kg Batch: 121090301 EPA 7471A - Mercury by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption mg/kg Sample ID: LB-3&4 Units mg/kg Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor	Barium, Ba	59.8	0.5	mg/kg
Cadmium, Cd 0.6 0.5 mg/kg Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Chromium, Cr 6.7 0.5 mg/kg Copper, Cu 6.8 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Nickel, Ni 4.8 0.5 mg/kg Lead, Pb 2.1 0.5 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Thallium, T1 ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Zine, Zn 29.4 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 EPA 7471A - Mercury by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Sample ID: LB-3&4 Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units	Beryllium, Be	ND	0.5	mg/kg
Cobalt, Co 4.7 0.5 mg/kg Chromium, Cr 6.7 0.5 mg/kg Copper, Cu 6.8 0.5 mg/kg Molydenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Nickel, Ni 4.8 0.5 mg/kg Lead, Pb 2.1 0.5 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 mg/kg mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 ND Set	Cadmium, Cd	0.6	0.5	mg/kg
Chromium, Cr 6.7 0.5 mg/kg Copper, Cu 6.8 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Nickel, Ni 4.8 0.5 mg/kg Nickel, Ni 4.8 0.5 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Yanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 ND 5.0 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 ND 5.0 mg/kg Sample ID: LB-3&4 .5 mg/kg .5 Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg .5 Dilution Factor 1 .5 .5 .5 .5 Sample ID: LB-3&4 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5	Cobalt, Co	4.7	0.5	mg/kg
Copper, Cu 6.8 0.5 mg/kg Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Nickel, Ni 4.8 0.5 mg/kg Lead, Pb 2.1 0.5 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Thallium, T1 ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Zinc, Zn 29.4 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 N Thereury by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption This Sample ID: LB-3&4 Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 Batch: H21090301 H21090301	Chromium, Cr	6.7	0.5	mg/kg
Molybdenum, Mo ND 0.5 mg/kg Nickel, Ni 4.8 0.5 mg/kg Lead, Pb 2.1 0.5 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Thallium, T1 ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 ND 5.0 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 ND Smg/kg Mg/kg Sample ID: LB-3&4 Vanadium, V ST-18119 Vanadium, V Vanadium, V <td>Copper, Cu</td> <td>6.8</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>mg/kg</td>	Copper, Cu	6.8	0.5	mg/kg
Nickel, Ni 4.8 0.5 mg/kg Lead, Pb 2.1 0.5 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Thallium, TI ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Zinc, Zn 29.4 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 The second secon	Molybdenum, Mo	ND	0.5	mg/kg
Lead, Pb 2.1 0.5 mg/kg Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Thallium, Tl ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Zinc, Zn 29.4 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 K K Batch: 121090301	Nickel, Ni	4.8	0.5	mg/kg
Antimony, Sb ND 5.0 mg/kg Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Thallium, Tl ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Zinc, Zn 29.4 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 1 1 Batch: I21090301 I I I Dimes ID: LB-3&4 I I I Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 I I	Lead, Pb	2.1	0.5	mg/kg
Selenium, Se ND 5.0 mg/kg Thallium, TI ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Zinc, Zn 29.4 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 EPA 7471A - Mercury by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption EPA 7471A - Mercury by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Sample ID: LB-3&4 Units Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 H21090301 H21090301	Antimony, Sb	ND	5.0	mg/kg
Thallium, TI ND 5.0 mg/kg Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Zinc, Zn 29.4 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 1 1 Batch: 121090301 - - EPA 7471A - Mercury by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Sample ID: LB-3&4 Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 1 Batch: H21090301	Selenium, Se	ND	5.0	mg/kg
Vanadium, V 17.7 0.5 mg/kg Zinc, Zn 29.4 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 0.5 mg/kg Batch: I21090301 I21090301 I21090301 EPA 7471A - Mercury by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Sample ID: LB-3&4 Reporting Limit Units Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 1 Batch: H21090301	Thallium, Tl	ND	5.0	mg/kg
Zinc, Zn 29.4 0.5 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 0.5 mg/kg Batch: I21090301 EPA 7471A - Mercury by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Sample ID: LB-3&4 Vision Vision Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 H21090301 H21090301	Vanadium, V	17.7	0.5	mg/kg
Batch: I21090301 EPA 7471A - Mercury by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption EPA 7471A - Mercury by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Sample ID: LB-3&4 Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 H21090301 H21090301	Zinc, Zn Dilution Factor	29.4 1	0.5	mg/kg
EPA 7471A - Mercury by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Sample ID: LB-3&4 Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 H21090301 H21090301	Batch:	I21090301		
Sample ID: LB-3&4 Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 1 1 Batch: H21090301 1 1		EPA 7471A - Mercury by Cold Vapo	r Atomic Absorption	
Jones ID: ST-18119 Reporting Limit Units Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 1 1 Batch: H21090301 H21090301 H21090301	Sample ID:	LB-3&4		
Mercury, Hg 0.033 0.020 mg/kg Dilution Factor 1 1 1 Batch: H21090301 H21090301 H21090301 H21090301	Jones ID:	ST-18119	<u>Reporting Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>
Dilution Factor 1 Batch: H21090301	Mercury, Hg	0.033	0.020	mg/kg
Batch: H21090301	Dilution Factor	1		6 0
	Batch:	H21090301		

ND = Value less than reporting limit



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 Www.jonesenv.com

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION

Client:	Leighton Consulting, Inc.	Report date:	9/7/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18119
	Irvine, CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	9/1/2021
		Date Received:	9/2/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed:	9/3,7/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th Street	Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles, CA	-	

I21090301	Prepared:	9/3/2021	Analyzed:	9/7/2021
-----------	-----------	----------	-----------	----------

EPA 6010B by 3050 - Title 22 CAM 17 Trace Metals by ICP-OES

	Result	Spike Level	% REC	% REC Limits	% RPD	Reporting Limit	Units
Analytes:							
METHOD BLANK:	I210903-MB1						
Silver, Ag	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Arsenic, As	ND					5.0	mg/kg
Barium, Ba	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Beryllium, Be	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Cadmium, Cd	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Cobalt, Co	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Chromium, Cr	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Copper, Cu	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Molybdenum, Mo	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Nickel, Ni	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Lead, Pb	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Antimony, Sb	ND					5.0	mg/kg
Selenium, Se	ND					5.0	mg/kg
Thallium, Tl	ND					5.0	mg/kg
Vanadium, V	ND					0.5	mg/kg
Zinc, Zn	ND					0.5	mg/kg

ND= Not Detected

BATCH:



11007 FOREST PLACE Santa Fe Springs, ca 90670 WWW.JONESENV.COM

JONES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION

Client:	Leighton Consulting, Inc.	Report date:	9/7/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowan	Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18119
	Irvine, CA	Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCulloch	Date Sampled:	9/1/2021
		Date Received:	9/2/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plant	Date Analyzed:	9/3,7/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th Street	Physical State:	Soil
	Los Angeles, CA		

BATCH:

I21090301

9/3/2021 **Prepared:**

Analyzed: 9/7/2021

EPA 6010H	B by 3050 - Title 22 CA	AM 17 Trace Met	als by ICP-C	DES
Posult	Snika Laval	% REC	% RPD	% REC Limits

	Result	Spike Level	% REC	% RPD	% REC Limits	Unita	
Analytes:						Units	
LCS:	I210903-LCS	1					
Barium, Ba	203	200	102%		80 - 120	mg/kg	
Cobalt, Co	52.8	50.0	106%		80 - 120	mg/kg	
Lead, Pb	50.0	50.0	100%		80 - 120	mg/kg	
Selenium, Se	183	200	92%		80 - 120	mg/kg	
Zinc, Zn	45.6	45.6 50.0 91%			80 - 120	mg/kg	
LCSD:	I210903-LCS	D1					
Barium, Ba	202	200	101%	0.5%	80 - 120	mg/kg	
Cobalt, Co	51.8	50.0	104%	1.9%	80 - 120	mg/kg	
Lead, Pb	48.8	50.0	98%	2.4%	80 - 120	mg/kg	
Selenium, Se	179	200	90%	2.2%	80 - 120	mg/kg	
Zinc, Zn	45.5	50.0	91%	0.2%	80 - 120	mg/kg	
CCV:	I210903-CCV	/1					
Barium, Ba	0.99	1.00	99%		90-110	mg/L	
Cobalt, Co	1.07	1.00	107%		90-110	mg/L	
Lead, Pb	1.02	1.00	102%		90-110	mg/L	
Selenium, Se	1.02	1.00	102%		90-110	mg/L	
Zinc, Zn	0.98	1.00	98%		90-110	mg/L	

CCV = Continuing Calibration Verification

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD= Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

ND= Not Detected

RPD = Relative Percent Difference; Acceptability range for RPD is $\leq 15\%$



JONES ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION

Client:	Leighton Co	nsulting, Inc.				Report date:	9/7/2021
Client Address:	17781 Cowa	n				Jones Ref. No.:	ST-18119
	Irvine, CA					Client Ref. No.:	11957.013
Attn:	Brynn McCu	ılloch				Date Sampled:	9/1/2021
						Date Received:	9/2/2021
Project:	Asphalt Plan	nt				Date Analyzed:	9/3,7/2021
Project Address:	2601 E. 25th	n Street				Physical State:	Soil
_	Los Angeles	, CA					
BATCH:	H21090301		Prepared:	9/3/2021	Analyzed:	9/3/2021	
	EPA 7	471A - Mer	cury by Cold	Vapor Atom	iic Absorption		
	D K			0/ DDD		D (' T' ')	¥7. */
Analytes:	Result	Spike Level	% REC	% RPD	% REC Limits	Reporting Limit	Units
METHOD BLANK:	H210903-MB1						
Mercury, Hg	ND					0.020	mg/kg
LCS:	H210903-LCS	1			00 100		
Mercury, Hg	1.10	1.00	110%		80 - 120		mg/kg
LCSD:	H210903-LCS	D1					
Mercury, Hg	1.11	1.00	111%	0.9%	80 - 120		mg/kg
CCV:	H210903-CCV	1					
Mercury, Hg	5.08	5.00	102%		90-110		µg/L

ND= Not Detected

RPD = Relative Percent Difference; Acceptability range for RPD is $\leq 15\%$

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD= Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

CCV = Continuing Calibration Verification

RPD = Relative Percent Difference

Company Date:	Relinquished By (Signature) Printed Name	Company Leighton Consulting 9/2/2/	Rolinquished By (Bigneture) Printed Name						LB-344 911121 9:30	Sample ID Semple Sample L Collection Collection L Date Time		Report To Sempler	213-507-3720	Edoningueze leighten group	Angeles CA	Project Address 2601 E 25 × Street Los	Asphalt Plant	miner hansettin Consulting		ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.	N I D Z I C
Thne		Time 9/27	s Dominunz	,						abonatory Sample ID	0-011	HQ - H	SOBI-5 MeOH-	(Cor) 0-010 AB-M		Sema	1/9	Cilient Pri	Dante	www Detroder)	11 Santa Fe Spri
Company	Received By Laboratory (Sign	compains that	Received and Signatural						\$	Preservative Sample Container	er (See Notas)	Nitric Acid	uc Sodium Blauffate	Na Siesve Noer Bottle	state Sleeve Inless Steel Sleeve	in Container / Preservative Abbreviations	57.213	2/2/ 04ect#		(714) 449-8937 Nonesenv.com rjonesenv.com	1007 Forest Pf. ngs, CA 90670
0	ature)	09-02	2 Jaco					~	XXX S	Sample Soil (5), S TP VO Me		rix: (SL). Ac (SL). Ac (SL). Ac		N. Free P V S E 826 3/7			Normal - No Su	n Rush 72 Hours n Rush 96 Hours	□ Rush 48 Hours	E Immediate Atter	Chair
ata Time	rinteŭ Name		nimbed Name													nalysis Requested	rcharge	- 25% - 10%	- 50%	ntion - 200%	
										Numbe	r of (Contai	arers		·	- - -					istody
provided herein is correct and accurate.	onstitutes acknowledgement that the above lyses have been regested, and the information	ient algnature on this Chain of Custody form	Total Number of Containers							Notes & Special Instructions			•Global ID	Report Options		9	Page	5118119	Jones Project#	LAB USE ONLY	/ Record



714-449-9937 562-646-1611

11007 FOREST PLACE SANTA FE SPRINGS, CA 90670 WWW.JONESENV.COM

02.12.2021 - Version 5.0

	SAMPLE F	RECEIPT	FOR	M j	Jones ID:	ST-19	Bilg
CLIENT: LEIGHTON		-	DAT	e/time:	9221		
PROJECT: ASPLIALT PLAN	DIECT: ASPLIALT PLANT RECEIV						
Delivered by:	Jones Courier	ĊUPS /	FedEx / I	USPS	DOther		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TEMPERATURE:	- 01		Nún	nber of c	oblers receiv	<u>ه: ۲</u>	
Temperature Cooler #1	<u> ^ c±0.</u>	1*C		Blank	Sam	ple ²	
Temperature Cooler #2	•C±0.	1°C		Blank	Sam	ple	
Temp Criteria: $0 \le 6$ °C (NO frozen	containers)	Criteria	met?	C Yes		No	
If criteria is not met:							
Sample(s) received on i	ice?		🗆 Yes		□ No*	ţ.	
Sample(s) received chil	led on same day of s	ampling?	🗆 Yes		D No*	•	
Ambient Temperature: <u>23</u> .	<u>3 °</u>				Che	cked by:	JL_
SAMPLE CONDITION:					YES	NO*	N/A
Chain of Custody (COC) received fi	illed out completely-		nya General Andrea General Angel			•	. 0
Total number of containers receiv	ed match COC			منطقههمی		•	
Sample container label(s) consiste	nt with COC		20 			D *	
Sample container(s) intact and in (good condition				-6	0•	
Proper containers and sufficient v	olume for analyses re	equested o	n COC				
Proper preservative indicated on (COC/containers for a	n <mark>atyses re</mark> q	uested ·			□*	Ó
Volatile analysis container(s) free	of headspace (EPA 8260) water)			D	□*	∕₫
Custody Seals Intact on Cooler/Sa	mple				٥	•	
<u>Solid</u> :	Aqueous:			Air/S	oli Gas:		
VOAs:	Amber 8	ottle:			Tedlar Bag:	—	
Glass Jar: Sleeve:	Poly Bot	tle:		·	72 hr		•
Other:					5 Day	1	·
		antan Antan	1. ¹⁶		Summa:	1613	
• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					(<u>3</u> L)		
MILEAGE:							
Round Trip Mileage:	Tra	vel Time:			On Site T	me:	I
*Complete N	ion-Conformance if c	hecked	۰.		Checke	ed by:	<u>M</u>

APPENDIX B

Summary of Historical Aerial Photograph Review: Excerpts from the Phase I Environmental Assessment Report by Ninyo and Moore dated September 8, 2021, and Environmental Site Investigation Report by Leighton dated November 11, 2021 (rev. December 8) Excerpts from Ninyo and Moore Phase I ESA Report dated September 8, 2021.

Table 7	7 – Aeria	I Photographs Summary
Date	Source	Summary
1923 1928	A	The site and vicinity were used primarily for industrial purposes with some vacant areas in the site vicinity. Four structures were developed on the northeastern, central, and southern portions of the site. A railroad ROW was present to the north of the site, and two other railroad ROWs traversed the northwestern portion of the site and the northeastern corner of the site. East 24 th Street and East 25 th Street were present northwest and south of the south, respectively. Northwest of the site, adjacent to East 24 th Street, was developed with industrial buildings and several aboveground storage tanks.
1938	A	The southern adjoining property was developed with some structures. A water tower is present southeast of the site.
1948	Α	By 1948, the structures located near the northeastern and southeastern portions of the site were demolished.
1952 1964 1970 1972 1983	A	By 1952, the structure in the middle of the site was demolished. The current concrete platform with a canopy were present along the eastern portion of the site. The railroad ROW to the north of the site, and the two railroad ROWs that traversed the northwestern portion of the site were no longer present at the site. The vicinities to the south, east, and west were developed with industrial structures, and parking lots were developed in the immediate vicinities to the south of the site. The aboveground storage tanks located an adjacent property located northwest of the site were removed.
1989	Α	Two structures along the western side of the site were demolished.
1994 2002	A,B	Structures on the adjacent property located northwest of the site were demolished. Seven small square structures, possible containers were present at the site along the western end of the concrete platform. Additional commercial/industrial development in the site vicinity.
2005	A,B	One small square structure remains at the site along the western side of the concrete platform. A large structure was developed at an adjacent property located on the southwestern corner of 25 th and Hamiet Streets. Large containers are present at the adjacent property located northwest of the site.
2009 2012 2016	A,B	The site and adjoining properties are similar to that observed during the site reconnaissance.
Sources: A – <u>EDR</u> B – Google	e Earth	

The site and vicinity were used for industrial purposes from 1923 to present day. By 1923, four structures were developed on the northeastern, central, and southern portions of the site, and a railroad ROW was present to the north of the site, and two other railroad ROWs traversed the northwestern portion of the site and the northeastern corner of the site. The current concrete ramp and metal canopy structure were developed in the eastern portion of the site by 1952. By 1989, the historical buildings on the site were demolished. The site currently consists of a concrete platform with a steel canopy and supports located along the eastern portion of the site, and a storage room located below the concrete platform.

Excerpts from Leighton Environmental Investigation Report dated November 11, 2021 and revised December 8, 2021

1.2.1 Historic Aerial Photograph Review

Historical aerial photographs provided by EDR were reviewed for information regarding past Site uses and are included in Appendix A.

1923: The Site is observed to be developed with four structures – two in the northeast portion of the Site, one in the central portion, and one in southern portion and surrounding properties are observed to be residential properties. Two railroad spurs transected the Site – one northwest-southeast trending spur running diagonally across the Site and passing through the building located in the central portion of the Site and a second



northwest-southeast trending spur running diagonally across the northeast corner of the Site. Industrial properties are observed to the north and east. Railroad ROW is also observed to the north of the Site. What appear to be residential properties are observed to the west and south of the Site.

1928: Significant land use changes are not observed on the Site. What appears to be one small additional structure is observed in the northwest corner of the Site. The surrounding area is primarily developed for industrial use.

1938: Significant land use changes are not observed on the Site and surrounding properties.

1948: Significant land use changes are not observed on the Site; however, the two structures previously observed in the northeast portion of the Site have been demolished. The railroad to the north of the Site has also been demolished.

1952: The Site is observed to be developed with the present-day concrete pad structure in the eastern portion. The historic structure located in the central portion of the Site, containing a railroad spur, has been demolished. This area now appears to be used for equipment and vehicle storage. The eastern half of the southern structure has been demolished to accommodate construction of the present-day concrete pad structure. The small structure located in the northwest portion of the Site remains. Industrial properties are observed to the north, south, east, and west of the Site.

1964: The Site is observed to be developed with the present-day concrete pad structure in the eastern portion, a small structure in the northwest portion, and an elongated structure in the southern portion. The historic railroad spurs are no longer observed transecting the Site. Vehicle parking is observed along the southern boundary of the Site. Industrial properties are observed to the north, south, east, and west of the Site.

1970: Significant land use changes are not observed on the Site and surrounding properties; however, the Site appears to be asphalt paved.



and **1983**: Significant land use changes are not observed on the Site or surrounding properties.

: Significant land use changes are not observed on the Site or surrounding properties, with the exception of the structures located in the southern and northwest portions of the Site have been demolished. Railroad ROW is observed to the north of the Site.

: Significant land use changes are not observed on the Site or surrounding properties. A large stockpile of asphalt material is observed in the central portion of the Site.

: Significant land use changes are not observed on the Site or surrounding properties. Several square structures or pieces of equipment are observed in the central portion of the Site. These appear to be temporary and are not observed in the subsequent aerial photographs.

: Significant land use changes are not observed on the subject site or surrounding properties. A ramp leading to the top of the concrete pad structure has been constructed along the southern boundary of the Site.

2009, 2012, and **2016**: Significant land use changes are not observed on the Site or surrounding properties.



APPENDIX C

Geophysical Survey by

GeoVision Geophysical Services dated December 8, 2021



December 8, 2021 Project Number 21233

Brynn McCulloch Leighton Consulting, Inc 17781 Cowan Irvine, CA 92614

Subject: Geophysical Investigation on Portions of 2601 East 25th Street Los Angeles, California

Dear Ms. McCulloch

A geophysical investigation was conducted on the 9th of July and 3rd of December, 2021, on portions of the Bureau of Engineering site located at 2601 East 25th Street in Los Angeles, CA. The purpose of the investigation was to identify underground utilities for fifteen proposed boring location and locate and map utilities in an approximately 100 by 150 foot area.

Geophysical methods applied to this investigation included the electromagnetic (EM), utility locating methods.

METHODOLOGY

EM utility locating equipment included a GSSI SIR3000 with 400 MHz antenna, a Fisher TW6 EM metal detector, a Metrotech 810 utility locator and a Radio Detection RD 8000 EM utility locator.

Details on these geophysical methods can be found in the attached application notes titled "Utility Clearance and Mapping Using Electromagnetic Methods".

FIELD PROCEDURES

Metallic pipes apparent from surface features such as manholes, gas pumps, valve boxes, pipe stickups, etc. were traced and marked by connecting directly to the utility and applying an 8 kHz signal. A matched frequency receiver was then used to delineate the surface trace of the pipe.

The site was scanned with an RD 8000 in passive 60 Hz mode to locate any active electrical lines drawing a current. The non-reinforced areas of the site were scanned with a Fisher TW-6 deep search metal detector to locate abandoned metallic conduits that had no physical expression.

1124 Olympic Drive Corona, California 92881. Telephone: (951) 549-1234 19205 Parthenia Street, Unit D. Northridge, California 91324. Telephone: (818) 734-6609 www.geovision.com GPR data were collected throughout each boring location semi-continuously along south to north and west to east profiles spaced approximately 5-feet apart in an attempt to delineate the non-metallic lines. All GPR records were reviewed on site.

All utilities located by the geophysical survey were marked on the ground with surveyor's paint and whiskers. Electric lines were marked in red, storm drains and sewer in green, natural gas in yellow, water in blue, and unknown pipes in pink.

RESULTS

Detected utilities and subsurface anomalies are presented in Figure 1.

If you have any questions concerning this investigation, please call Mr. Riches at 818-734-6609.

Sincerely,



Mark Riches, P.GP. 1025 Vice President GEOVision Geophysical Services

Attachments:

Figure 1: Site Map with Geophysical Interpretation

Application Note - Utility Clearance and Mapping Using Electromagnetic Methods

1124 Olympic Drive Corona, California 92881. Telephone: (951) 549-1234 19205 Parthenia Street, Unit D. Northridge, California 91324. Telephone: (818) 734-6609 www.geovision.com **FIGURES**

1124 Olympic Drive Corona, California 92881. Telephone: (951) 549-1234 19205 Parthenia Street, Unit D. Northridge, California 91324. Telephone: (818) 734-6609 www.geovision.com





GEOVision Geophysical Services. LEGEND = BOUNDARY OF GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY = APPROXIMATE DEPTH (+/- 10%) BELOW GROUND SURFACE TO TOP OF PIPE AT THIS LOCATION (SD AND SS INVERT DEPTH). 39' = RAILROAD TRACK NOTE: UTILITES IN CALIFORNIA STATE PLANE NAD 83, ZONE 0405 (US SURVEY FEET) L = UNKNOWN= REINFORCED CONCRETE FIGURE 1 SITE MAP_WITH_GEOPHYSICAL GEOVision INTERPRETATION Project No. 21233 2601 EAST 25TH STREET Date Dec 06,2021 LOS ANGELES, CA Developed by M GARCIA Drawn by S ORTEGA Approved by M RICHES P.GP. PREPARED FOR LEIGHTON GROUP, INC.

File Name

Q: \21233\21233-1.dwg

APPLICATION NOTES

1124 Olympic Drive Corona, California 92881. Telephone: (951) 549-1234 19205 Parthenia Street, Unit D. Northridge, California 91324. Telephone: (818) 734-6609 www.geovision.com

UTILITY CLEARANCE AND MAPPING USING ELECTROMAGNETIC METHODS



In complex urban settings **GEO***Vision* commonly uses electromagnetic (EM) utility locating techniques to clear proposed boring, trenching and excavation locations.

GEO *Vision* area clearance procedures:

- 1. Visually inspect area for manholes, valve boxes etc as well as review available utility plans
- 2. Each identified utility will be traced out using EM utilitylocating instruments (RD-8000 and Metrotech 810)
- 3. Scan the area in passive 50/60 HZ and radio modes to locate active electrical lines and other lines carrying a passive radio signal.
- 4. Screen the area using Fisher TW-6 to identify abandoned conduits
- Insert transmitting sonde and camera into sanitary sewers and storm drains and delineate their surface trace with a matched frequency receiver
- Conduct perpendicular GPR traverses through the area to detect non-metallic lines (GSSI SIR10B, SIR20, SIR2000, or SIR3000)
- Hold EM utility locator transmitter at various locations in area and circle at about a 40-foot radius with the receiver
- 8. Mark all identified lines on the ground in color code established by American Public Works Association and map site.





Ground Penetrating Radar and 50/60HZ



Scanning the Area with the Fisher TW6



Spinning The Boring With The Metrotech 810

1124 Olympic Drive, Corona, California 92881. Telephone: (951) 549-1234. Fax: (951) 549-1236 19205 Parthenia Street, Unit D. Northridge, California 91324. Telephone: (818) 734-6609 www.geovision.com