Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Riverside University Health System Mead Valley Wellness Village Project

Lead Agency: County of Riverside

Contact Name: Mike Sullivan, Senior Environmental Planner

Email: msullivan@rivco.org Phone Number: (951) 955-8009

Project Location: Unincorporated Riverside County

City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed project would develop the Riverside University Healthcare System (RUHS) Mead Valley Wellness Village, consisting of five buildings, associated living facilities for patients, 633 surface parking spaces, landscaping, and walkways set in a campus setting. The five buildings would include: (1) an approximately 99,250-sf community wellness and education center; (2) an approximately 40,854-sf building for children and youth services; (3) an approximately 50,989-sf building for urgent care services; (4) an approximately 192,495-sf supportive transitional housing building for those receiving treatment; and (5) an approximately 66,773-sf extended residential care building for those receiving treatment. The five buildings would total approximately 450,361 sf. Overall, the proposed project would develop the project site with approximately 522 beds. Of the 522 beds, 442 are expected to be used by those staying longer than 30 days. The proposed project also includes potential buildout of a 20,000-sf of administrative/office building independent from the Wellness Village, off-site improvements to existing EMWD sewer infrastructure, and a Schedule J Tentative Map that proposes to subdivide the site into 6 lots.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The following environmental factors would be potentially affected by the Project, involving at least one impact that is "Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated": Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Geology and Soils, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, and Tribal Cultural Resources. Impacts to Biological Resources would be reduced to a less than significant level with implementation of Mitigation Measures (MM) BIO-1 and BIO-2, which require pre-construction surveys for the Burrowing Owl, and pre-construction clearance surveys for nesting birds, prior to the initiation of ground-disturbing activities. Impacts to Cultural Resources would be reduced to a less than significant level with implementation of MM CUL-1, which details requirements if previously undocumented archaeological resources are identified during earthmoving activities. Impacts to Geology and Soils would be reduced to a less than significant level with implementation of MM PAL-1, PAL-3, and PAL-4, which requires the implementation of a Paleontological Resources Impact Mitigation Program (PRIMP), monitoring by a qualified paleontologist, and details how collected resurces should be handled. Impacts to Hazards and Hazardous Materials would be reduced to a less than significant level with implementation of MM HAZ-1, requiring incorporation of geotechnical recommendations. Impacts to Tribal Cultural Resources would be reduced to a less than significant level with implementation of MM TCR-1 through TCR-9, whihc require tribal monitoring and details requirements if previously undocumented tribal cultural resources are identified during earthmoving activities.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

There are no areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency regarding the proposed Project.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Trustee/Responsible agencies for the Project include:

State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)
Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) (Region 8)
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Utility providers: EMWD, , Southern California Edison (SCE), and Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas)