

# County of Fresno

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND PLANNING STEVE E WHITE, DIRECTOR

## INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

1. Project title:

Initial Study No. 8389 and Amendment Application No. 3856

2. Lead agency name and address:

Fresno County Department of Public Works and Planning Development Services Division 2220 Tulare Street, 6th Floor, Fresno, CA 93721-2104

3. Contact person and phone number:

Elliot Racusin, (559) 600-4245

4. Project location:

The subject parcel is located on the southwest corner of E. Central Ave. and S. Peach Ave., approximately 1.05-miles south from the City of Fresno. (APN: 331-090-92) (3967 E. Central Ave.) (Sup. Dist. 4).

5. Project Applicant's name and address:

Lauren Burgess

6. General Plan designation:

Light Industrial in the Fresno County General Plan

7. Zoning:

Duel zoned M-3 (C) (General Industrial, Conditional) and C-M (C) (Commercial and Light Manufacturing, Conditional)

8. Description of project: (Describe the whole action involved, including, but not limited to, later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

Rezone an existing 2.15-acre duel zoned M-3 (C) (General Industrial, Conditional) and C-M (C) (Commercial and Light Manufacturing, Conditional) to C-M (C) (Commercial and Light Manufacturing, Conditional).

9. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

The subject property is in an area of mixed industrial and manufacturing land uses. Surrounding the subject property are M-3 and C-M zoned parcels.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.	
Aesthetics	Agriculture and Forestry Resources
Air Quality	Biological Resources
Cultural Resources	Geology/Soils
Hazards and Hazardous Materials	Hydrology/Water Quality
Land Use/Planning	Mineral Resources
Noise	Population/Housing
Public Services	Recreation
Transportation/Traffic	Utilities/Service Systems
Mandatory Findings of Significance	Greenhouse Gas Emissions
DETERMINATION OF REQUIRED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT:	
On the basis of this initial evaluation:	
I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.	
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the Mitigation Measures described on the attached sheet have been added to the project. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.	
I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required	
I find that as a result of the proposed project, no new effects could occur, or new Mitigation Measures would be required that have not been addressed within the scope of a previous Environmental Impact Report.	
PERFORMED BY:	REVIEWED BY:
Elliot Pacusin Planner	Dave Randall, Senior Planner
Elliot Racusin, Planner	1626
Date:	Date:

G:\4360Devs&PIn\PROJSEC\PROJDOCS\AA\3800-3899\3856\CEQA\AA 3856 IS 8389 IS Checklist.docx

## INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

(Initial Study No. 8389 and Amendment Application No. 3856)

The following checklist is used to determine if the proposed project could potentially have a significant effect on the environment. Explanations and information regarding each question follow the checklist.

- 1 = No Impact
- 2 = Less Than Significant Impact
- 3 = Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated
- 4 = Potentially Significant Impact

#### I. AESTHETICS

#### Would the project:

- 2 a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?
- 2 b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?
- \_2 c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?
- d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

#### II. AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTRY RESOURCES

#### Would the project:

- \_2\_ a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance, as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?
- 2 b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act Contract?
- \_2 c) Conflict with existing zoning for forest land, timberland or timberland zoned Timberland Production?
- \_2 d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?
- \_2 e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

#### III. AIR QUALITY

#### Would the project:

- a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable Air Quality Plan?
- \_2 b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?
- \_2 c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under applicable Federal or State ambient air quality standards (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?
- \_2 d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?
- \_2 e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

#### IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

#### Would the project:

- a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
- \_2 b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
- \_2\_ c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally-protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?
- \_2 d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?
- e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?
- \_2 f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state Habitat Conservation Plan?

#### V. CULTURAL RESOURCES

#### Would the project:

- a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Public Resources Code Section 15064.5?
- b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 15064 5?
- 3 c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site, or unique geologic feature?
- \_3 d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

## VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

#### Would the project:

- Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:
- \_\_\_\_\_i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?
- \_1 ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?
- \_1 iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?
- 1 iv) Landslides?
- 2 b) Result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil?
- \_1 c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse?
- d) Be located on expansive soil as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?

 e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?

#### VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

#### Would the project:

- 2 a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?
- <u>b</u>) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

#### VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

#### Would the project:

- a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?
- \_1\_ b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?
- \_1 c) Create hazardous emissions or utilize hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within onequarter mile of an existing or proposed school?
- d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?
- \_\_\_\_\_\_\_e) Result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area for a project located within an Airport Land Use Plan or, where such a Plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport?
- \_1 f) Result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area for a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip?
- \_1\_ g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted Emergency Response Plan or Emergency Evacuation Plan?
- \_1\_ h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

## IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

#### Would the project:

- \_3 a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?
- b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?
- 2 c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on or off site?
- d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on or off site?
- e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage

- systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?
- 1 f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?
- g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?
- 2 h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?
- i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?
- j) Cause inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?

#### X. LAND USE AND PLANNING

#### Would the project:

- 1 a) Physically divide an established community?
- \_2 b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to, the General Plan, Specific Plan, local coastal program, or Zoning Ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?
- \_\_\_\_\_\_ c) Conflict with any applicable Habitat Conservation Plan or Natural Community Conservation Plan?

#### XI. MINERAL RESOURCES

#### Would the project:

- a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?
- \_1 b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local General Plan, Specific Plan or other land use plan?

#### XII. NOISE

## Would the project:

- 2 a) Expose persons to or generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the local General Plan or Noise Ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?
- \_2 b) Expose persons to or generate excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?
- \_2 c) Create a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?
- d) Create a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?
- e) Expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels, for a project located within an Airport Land Use Plan or, where such a Plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport?
- f) Expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels, for a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip?

## XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING

#### Would the project:

- a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?
- \_2 b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?
- \_2 c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

#### XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES

#### Would the project:

Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically-altered governmental facilities, or the need for new or physically-altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

- 2 a) Fire protection?
- 1 b) Police protection?
- 1 c) Schools?
- \_1\_ d) Parks?
- 1 e) Other public facilities?

#### XV. RECREATION

#### Would the project:

- a) Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?
- \_1\_ b) Include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

#### XVI. TRANSPORTATION / TRAFFIC

## Would the project:

- a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including, but not limited to, intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?
- \_2\_ b) Conflict with an applicable Congestion Management
  Program including, but not limited to, level of service
  standards and travel demand measures, or other standards
  established by the County congestion management agency
  for designated roads or highways?
- \_1\_ c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location, which results in substantial safety risks?
- d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?
- 2 e) Result in inadequate emergency access?
- \_1 f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?

#### XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

#### Would the project:

- a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?
- b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?
- \_2 c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?
- \_2 d) Have sufficient water supplies available to service the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?
- \_3\_ e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?
- f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?
- \_\_\_\_ g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

### XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

#### Would the project:

- \_3\_ a) Have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?
- b) Have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)
- \_1 c) Have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

### **Documents Referenced:**

This Initial Study is referenced by the documents listed below. These documents are available for public review at the County of Fresno, Department of Public Works and Planning, Development Services Division, 2220 Tulare Street, Suite A, Fresno, California (corner of M & Tulare Streets).

Fresno County General Plan, Policy Document and Final EIR Fresno County Zoning Ordinance Important Farmland 2010 Map, State Department of Conservation

#### ER:

G;\4360Devs&PIn\PROJSEC\PROJDOCS\AA\3800-3899\3856\CEQA\AA 3856 IS 8389 IS Checklist.docx