Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: U.S. Highway 101 Corte Madera Creek Bridge Rehabilitation Project

Lead Agency: California Department of Transportation

Contact Name: David Moore

Email: david.j.moore@dot.ca.gov Phone Number: (209) 986-9607

Project Location: Larkspur, Marin County
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Project proposes rehabilitation of the U.S. Highway 101 Corte Madera Creek Bridge at the Sir Francis Francis Drake Boulevard on-ramps to southbound U.S. Highway 101 at post mile 8.47 in Larkspur. The Project would rehabilitate the bridge deck and on-ramps, replace the joint seal at Abutment D-16, install galvanic jackets onto rectangular columns in the Corte Madera Creek tidal zone (D-7 through D-15), and drill a minimum of two vent holes in the Span 7 soffit. The Project would require installation of temporary cofferdams and dewatering around bridge columns in Corte Madera Creek; use of a 3.5-mile (6-minute) detour during overnight deck work (~90 days); and temporary closures, intermittent and short in duration, of the Corte Madera Pathway (~2 weeks). The Project would have no impact on agricultural/forest resources, cultural resources, energy, geology/soils, land use/planning, mineral resources, population/housing, tribal cultural resources, and utilities/service systems. The Project would have less than significant impacts on aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards/hazardous materials, hydrology/water quality, noise, public services, recreation, transportation/traffic, and wildfire.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The CEQA analysis did not identify any significant or potentially significant effects resulting from the proposed Project. This maintenance project would have temporary, less than significant impacts on the environment.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No areas of controversy are known to the California Department of Transportation.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

City of Larkspur, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, State Lands Commission, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, San Francisco Water Quality Control Board, National Marine Fisheries Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Coast Guard