

COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO **DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & BUILDING**

PLN-1123 3/22/2018

Notice of Exemption/General Rule Exemption

Project Title and No.: Major Grading Permit; GRAD2022-00129 ED23-168-PL

Project Location: 8520 Webster Road, Creston, CA 93432; [APN: 043-281-022]

Project Applicant/Phone No./Email: Vincent Parsick (Owner)/619-261-5125/vparsick@appliedearthworks.com

Nelson Bernal (Agent)/(805)237-3746/nelson@nrbdrafting.biz

Applicant Address (Street, City, State, Zip): 4206 Calf Canyon Hwy Creston, CA 93432;

Description of Nature, Purpose, and Beneficiaries of Project:

A request by Vincent Parsick for a Major Grading permit to grade slopes over 10% to construct a building pad for a 2,810 square foot single family residence, and paved driveway. Proposed grading will result in approximately 216 cubic yards of cut and 168 cubic yards of fill for a total of 384 cubic years. The project will result in the approximate disturbance of .62 acres on a 36.54 acre parcel. The project site is within the Rural Lands (RL) land use category and is located at 8520 Webster Road, Creston, CA 93432. The site is within the El Pomar-Estrella Sub-area of the North County Planning Area.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: County of San Luis Obispo

Exempt Status/Findings: This project is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this project may have a significant effect on the environment; therefore, the activity is not subject to CEQA. [Reference: State CEQA Guidelines sec. 15061(b)(3), General Rule Exemption].

Reasons why project is exempt:

The project is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this project may have a significant effect on the environment: therefore, the activity is not subject to CEQA. [Reference: State CEQA Guidelines sec. 15061(b)(3), General Rule Exemption]. Further, there are no unusual circumstances surrounding the project. The grading activities are located within sparsely vegetated areas of the parcel and will result in minimum vegetation removal, the proposed project is surrounded by other similarly developed lots, and no known special status plant or wildlife species or resources are known to have habitats within the area. The proposed project does not impact any scenic resources for any officially designated scenic highways. The location of the proposed project is not within any hazardous waste site compiled pursuant to Gov. Code § 65962.5. The proposed project does not involve or does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.

The project will conform to the applicable General Plan and Area Plan standards, and no measures beyond those required by County Code are necessary to address the environmental impacts associated with the proposed project.

Additional Information: Additional information pertaining to this notice of general rule exemption may be obtained by reviewing the second page of this document and by contacting the Environmental Coordinator, 976 Osos St., Rm 200, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 (805) 781-5600.

Notice of General Rule Exemption

Project Title and No.: Major Grading Permit; GRAD2022-00129 ED23-168-PL

Pursuant to section 15061 of the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, the preliminary review of a project includes a determination as to whether a project is exempt from CEQA. This checklist represents a summary of this project's review for exemption.

		YES	NO
1.	Does this project fall within any exempt class as listed in sections 15301 through 15329 of the State CEQA Guidelines?		\boxtimes
2.	Is there a reasonable possibility that the project could have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances?		\boxtimes
3.	Is the project inconsistent with any Federal, State, or local law or administrative requirement relating to the environment?		\boxtimes
4.	Will the project involve substantial public controversy regarding environmental issues?		\boxtimes
5.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?		
6.	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of achieving long-term environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)		\boxtimes
7.	Does the project have adverse impacts which are individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant? Cumulatively significant means that the incremental effects of an individual project are substantially adverse when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.		\boxtimes
8.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?		\boxtimes

On the basis of this initial evaluation, I find that the proposed project does not have the potential to cause a significant effect on the environment and is therefore exempt from CEQA.

Lead Agency Contact Person: Elizabeth Moreno Stored. Telephone: 805-781-5721

If filed by applicant:

1. Attach certified document of exemption finding
2. Has a notice of exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? Yes No

Signature: Date: 2/21/29

Name: Elizabeth Moreno Title: Senion, Plannor

On 10/27/2023 the project was Approved by:

Board of Supervisors Subdivision Review Board Other Planning Dept.

Planning Commission Planning Dept Hearing Officer