

JAVIER NUNEZ
PRESIDENT

JACOB STEVENS
VICE PRESIDENT

MOISES ROSALES
NANCY YAP



KAREN BASS
MAYOR

OSAMA YOUNAN, P.E.
GENERAL MANAGER
SUPERINTENDENT OF BUILDING

JOHN WEIGHT
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

GEOLOGY AND SOILS REPORT REVIEW LETTER

January 16, 2024

LOG # 127750-01
SOILS/GEOLOGY FILE - 2

Aragon (Sunset/Everett) Properties Corp.
1750 Glendale Boulevard, Suite 102
Los Angeles, CA 90026
Attn: Jeff Farrington

TRACT: TT 72553
LOT(S): 1,2, 5, 7,9,11,13, 15, 17,19, 21,and 23
LOCATION: 1185 W Sunset Blvd.

<u>CURRENT REFERENCE</u> <u>REPORT/LETTER(S)</u>	<u>REPORT</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>DATE OF</u> <u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>PREPARED BY</u>
Geology/Soils Report	20489	12/06/2023	Geotechnologies, Inc.
Oversized Document	``	``	``

<u>PREVIOUS REFERENCE</u> <u>REPORT/LETTER(S)</u>	<u>REPORT</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>DATE OF</u> <u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>PREPARED BY</u>
Dept. Review Letter	127750	10/17/2023	LAADBS – Grading
Geology/Soils Report	20489	09/06/2023	Geotechnologies, Inc.
Dept. Approval Letter	85606	09/23/2014	LADBS – Grading
Geology/Soils Report	20489	09/10/2014	Geotechnologies, Inc.
3 rd Party Cover Letter	-----	08/05/2014	Hoover Tang
3 rd Party Review Letter	-----	08/04/2014	Wilson Geosciences Inc. / Geo-Dynamics, Inc.
Dept. Appr. Letter	83257-01	06/24/2014	LADBS – Grading
Geology/Soils Report (Resp.)	20489	05/22/2014	Geotechnologies, Inc.
Dept. Correction Letter	83257	03/13/2014	LADBS – Grading
Geology/Soils Report	20489	03/04/2014	Geotechnologies, Inc.
Geology/Soils Report	20489	04/09/2013	Geotechnologies, Inc.

The Grading Division of the Department of Building and Safety has reviewed the referenced report dated December 6, 2023, that provides recommendations for the proposed new apartment complex, retaining walls, Mat Foundations, waterproofing, and dewatering of groundwater, as depicted on the Plot Plan, Geologic Map and Geologic Cross Sections A-A' through F-F'. The consultants report that the proposed project is seven stories in height with one to two levels of subterranean parking. Proposed retaining walls are estimated to range up to 30 feet high. Topographic relief across the site is 95 feet from highest to lowest points. Prior to development along Sunset Blvd.

the westerly descending slope was up to 70 feet high and at an approximate gradient of 4(H):1(V), afterwards, the slope was 45 feet high at an approximate gradient of 1(H):1(V). Tiebacks and shoring are proposed to support excavations.

The Grading Division of the Department of Building and Safety has reviewed the 09/10/2014 report prepared by Geotechnologies in response to 3rd party review comments presented in the 08/04/2014 letter prepared by Wilson Geosciences and Geo-Dynamics (with a cover letter dated 08/05/2014 by Hoover Tang), regarding surface fault rupture, slope stability analysis, groundwater seepage, and expansive soils.

The consultants performed numerous exploratory excavations, upwards of 30 test pits and 13 borings, from 2006, 2013, and 2016. In 2004, the previous consultant Petra excavated several test pits and hollow stem and bucket auger borings. The earth materials at the subsurface exploration locations consist of fill, from 0.5 to 18.5 feet thick, alluvium from 2 to 9 feet thick, colluvium from 3 to 6 feet thick, underlain by well bedded interbedded sandstone and siltstone Puente Formation Bedrock to a depth of 60 feet. Regional Bedrock bedding is uniform in the area of the subject site, where bedrock dips to the south and southwest ranging from 20 to 50 degrees. The regional geologic structure matches that of the subsurface exploratory excavations.

The consultants' report that groundwater was encountered in all the borings drilled along Sunset Blvd. The groundwater surface appears to descend to the south, down Sunset Blvd. In general groundwater is approximately 9 feet below the ground surface. The water is identified in the alluvium and in the joints and fractures of the bedrock. The ground water level is above the proposed basement finish floor elevation at both ends of the site. Based on the consultants review of the local Seismic Hazard Report, historic high ground water is approximately 20 feet below the ground surface. The consultants note that water seepage into the excavations will occur primarily at the alluvium-bedrock contact, along Sunset Blvd. The water will occur along a distinct zone above the contact. Some seepage may occur through fractures in the rock and along the bedding planes in deeper excavations near Sunset Blvd. .

In the consultants borings excavated in 2006, Boring B-2 (2006), seepage was encountered from 9 to 15 feet, with standing water at 14 feet. In Boring B-3 (2006), seepage was encountered from 15 to 25 feet, with standing water at 15 feet. In Boring B-4 (2006), seepage was encountered from 12 to 20 feet, with standing water at 12 feet. In Boring B-5 (2006), seepage was encountered from 9.5 to 10 feet. In Boring B-6 (2006), seepage was encountered from 11.5 to 12 feet.

In the consultants Borings excavated in 2013, Boring B-1 (2013), groundwater was encountered at 17.5 feet below the ground surface. Boring B-2(2013), groundwater was encountered at 17 feet below the ground surface. Boring B-3 (2013), groundwater was encountered at 9.5 feet below the ground surface. Boring B-4 (2013), no groundwater was encountered to the maximum depth explored of 60 feet below the ground surface.

In the consultants borings excavated in 2016, Boring B-5 (2016), seepage was encountered at 9 and 12 feet below the ground surface, with groundwater at 19 feet. In Boring B-6 (2016), seepage was encountered at 11.5 feet below the ground surface. In Boring B-7 (2016), seepage was encountered at 12 feet below the ground surface.

The subject site is not in an area zoned by the State as potentially liquefiable. This determination is based on groundwater depth records, soil type, and distance to a fault capable of producing a substantial earthquake. The proposed structure will be supported in the siltstone bedrock of the

Puente Formation. The consultants note that this bedrock will not liquefy due to its moderately hard consistency and it's long tectonic history.


The consultants recommend to support the proposed structure(s) on conventional, mat, and/or drilled-pile foundations bearing on competent bedrock.

The review of the subject report dated December 6, 2023, cannot be completed at this time and will be continued upon submittal of an addendum to the report which shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(Note: Numbers in parenthesis () refer to applicable sections of the 2023 City of LA Building Code. P/BC numbers refer the applicable Information Bulletin. Information Bulletins can be accessed on the internet at LADBS.ORG.)

1. As previously requested, Cross Sections B-B', E-E', and F-F', do not appear to provide the Code required setback of the proposed building from the toe of the ascending slope (1808.7.1). Provide revised Geologic Cross Sections that clearly depict the Code required setback. It appears that the proposed building sets at the toe of slope and the terrace cut is inside the building envelope.

The project engineering geologist and soils engineer shall prepare a report containing an itemized response to the review items indicated in this letter. If clarification concerning the review letter is necessary, the report review engineer and/or geologist may be contacted. Two copies of the response report, including one unbound wet-signed original for archiving purposes, a pdf-copy of the complete report in a flash drive, and the appropriate fees will be required for submittal.


JEFFREY T. WILSON
Engineering Geologist I


YING LIU
Geotechnical Engineer II

JTW/YL:jtw/yl
Log No. 127750
213-482-0480

cc: Geotechnologies, Inc., Project Consultant
LA District Office

APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF TECHNICAL REPORTS

INSTRUCTIONS

- A. Address all communications to the Grading Division, LADBS, 201 N. Figueroa St., 3rd Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90012
Telephone No. (213)482-0480.
B. Submit two copies (three for subdivisions) of reports, one "pdf" copy of the report on a CD-Rom or flash drive,
and one copy of application with items "1" through "10" completed.
C. Check should be made to the City of Los Angeles.

<p>1. LEGAL DESCRIPTION</p> <p>Tract: <u>ANGELENO HEIGHTS</u></p> <p>Block: <u>31</u> Lots: <u>1</u></p> <p>3. OWNER: <u>Aragon (Sunset/Everett) Properties Corp.</u></p> <p>Address: <u>1750 Glendale Boulevard, Suite 102</u></p> <p>City: <u>Los Angeles</u> Zip: <u>90026</u></p> <p>Phone (Daytime): _____</p>	<p>2. PROJECT ADDRESS:</p> <p><u>1185 West Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles</u></p> <p>4. APPLICANT <u>Geotechnologies, Inc.</u></p> <p>Address: <u>439 Western Avenue</u></p> <p>City: <u>Glendale</u> Zip: <u>91201</u></p> <p>Phone (Daytime): <u>(818) 240-9600</u></p> <p>E-mail address: <u>Pymt:accounting@geoteq.com;Eng:rknur@geoteq.com</u></p>
---	--

5. Report(s) Prepared by: Geotechnologies, Inc. (File No. 20489) 6. Report Date(s): December 6, 2023

7. Status of project: Proposed Under Construction Storm Damage

8. Previous site reports? YES if yes, give date(s) of report(s) and name of company who prepared report(s)
Updated Geotechnical Engineering Investigation 09/06/23 Geotechnologies, Inc.

9. Previous Department actions? YES if yes, provide dates and attach a copy to expedite processing.
Dates: 10/17/23 Log# 127750

10. Applicant Signature: _____ Position: _____

(DEPARTMENT USE ONLY)

REVIEW REQUESTED	FEES	REVIEW REQUESTED	FEES
<input type="checkbox"/> Soils Engineering		No. of Lots	
<input type="checkbox"/> Geology		No. of Acres	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Combined Soils Engr. & Geol.		<input type="checkbox"/> Division of Land	
<input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental		Other	
<input type="checkbox"/> Combined Supplemental		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedite	<u>181.50</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Import-Export Route		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Response to Correction	<u>303.00</u>
Cubic Yards: _____		<input type="checkbox"/> Expedite ONLY	
		Sub-total	<u>544.50</u>
		One-Stop Surcharge	<u>129.80</u>
		TOTAL FEE	<u>674.30</u>

Fee Due: 674.30
Fee Verified By: Am Date: 12/11/23
(Cashier Use Only)

ACTION BY: _____

THE REPORT IS: NOT APPROVED
 APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS BELOW ATTACHED

_____	_____
For Geology	Date
_____	_____
For Soils	Date
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Receipt #
1733305
Paid on
12/11/23