

County of Fresno

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND PLANNING STEVEN E. WHITE, DIRECTOR

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

1. Project title:

Initial Study No. 7906 - Arroyo Pasajero Bridge Replacement Project

2. Lead agency name and address:

Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite A Fresno, CA 93721

3. Contact person and phone number:

Elliot Racusin (559) 600-4245

4. Project location:

The Arroyo Pasajero Bridge is located on S. El Dorado Avenue, approximately 0.5 mile south of Phelps Avenue, between W. Jayne and Phelps, and approximately seven (7) miles east of the City of Coalinga.

5. Project sponsor's name and address:

Alexis Rutherford, Fresno County Design Division 2220 Tulare Street, 6th Floor Fresno, CA 93721

6. General Plan designation:

Agriculture

7. Zoning:

AE-20 (Exclusive Agricultural, 20-acre minimum parcel size)

8. Description of project:

The project entails the replacement of an existing bridge with a new structurally superior bridge built to current standards. The existing bridge was constructed in 1970, and spans Arroyo Passajero (Los Gatos Creek). Due to scouring the underlying foundation of the bridge has deteriorated and requires replacement. The new bridge will be longer by approximately 98 feet, and will be raised vertically, and add shoulders, however no new travel lanes will be added.

The existing bridge is approximately 152 feet long and 55.5 feet wide, comprised of two 15-foot-wide travel lanes with no shoulders; the bridge is constructed of prestressed concrete in a T-Beam design and is a deck type concrete cast-in-place. The proposed replacement bridge will be a concrete, two-lane structure, approximately 250 feet long and 32 feet wide, and will have two 12-foot-wide travel lanes and two 4-foot-wide shoulders. There will be no change in horizontal alignment, however the replacement bridge will be raised approximately 6 feet at the crossing due to the current bridge being at a sag point in the roadway.

Project construction would involve, demolition, excavation, pile drilling/driving, and rock slope protection are included in the scope of work. Construction activities would occur during normal working hours, Monday through Friday, and would comply with Fresno County's Noise Ordinance and Caltrans Standard Specifications for noise.

9. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

The land uses in the area of the project consist of large irrigated agricultural operations, oil drilling and production, and very limited residential use. El Dorado Avenue runs north-south and the

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.)

California Department of Fish and Wildlife

California Department of Transportation

Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board

Fresno Irrigation District

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

State Water Resources Control Board

U.S. Department of Fish and Wildlife

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

11. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.?

Notice that this project application was complete was sent to four tribes who have requested such formal consultation from the County. The notices were sent to Table Mountain Rancheria (TMR), the Dumna Wo Wah Tribal Government (DWW), the Picayune Rancheria of Chukchansi Indians (PRCI), and the Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi Yokut Tribe on July 31, 2020.

None of the four tribes provided a response to the County's notice that the project application was complete.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist	
Aesthetics	Agriculture and Forestry Resources
Air Quality	Biological Resources
Cultural Resources	Energy
Geology/Soils	Greenhouse Gas Emissions
Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology/Water Quality
Land Use/Planning	Mineral Resources
Noise	Population/Housing
Public Services	Recreation
Transportation	Tribal Cultural Resources
Utilities/Service Systems	Wildfire
Mandatory Findings of Significance	
DETERMINATION OF REQUIRED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCU	JMENT:
On the basis of this initial evaluation:	
I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a signi DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.	ficant effect on the environment. A NEGATIVE
I find that although the proposed project could have a sign a significant effect in this case because the Mitigation Meadded to the project. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLA	asures described on the attached sheet have been
I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect of IMPACT REPORT is required	on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL
I find that as a result of the proposed project, no new effect be required that have not been addressed within the scop	
PERFORMED BY:	REVIEWED BY:
Ellet Barn	Radd
Elliot Racusin, Planner	David Randall, Senior Planner
Date: 2/29/24	Date: 2/29/24

G:\4360Devs&PIn\PROJSEC\PROJDOCS\Environmental\Initial Studies - Environmental Assessments\7000-7999\IS 7906 Arroyo Pasajero Bridge Replacement\IS CEQA\IS 7906 IS Checklist.docx

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM (Initial Study No. 7906)

The following checklist is used to determine if the proposed project could potentially have a significant effect on the environment. Explanations and information regarding each question follow the checklist.

- 1 = No Impact
- 2 = Less Than Significant Impact
- 3 = Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated
- 4 = Potentially Significant Impact

I. AESTHETICS

Except as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the project:

- 2 a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?
- 2 b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?
- _2 c) In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point). If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?
- d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

II. AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTRY RESOURCES

In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

- a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance, as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?
- _2 b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act Contract?
- _1_ c) Conflict with existing zoning for forest land, timberland or timberland zoned Timberland Production?
- d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?
- e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

III. AIR QUALITY

Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management district or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

- _2 a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable Air Quality Plan?
- 2 b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?
- _2 c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?
- _2 d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

- _3 a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
- _2_ b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
- _2 c) Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally-protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?
- _2 d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?
- e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?
- f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state Habitat Conservation Plan?

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

- 2 a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?
- <u>3</u> b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?
- _3 c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

VI. ENERGY

Would the project:

- a) Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?
- 2 b) Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?

GEOLOGY AND SOILS HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project: Would the project: 2 a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality? Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on 1 the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning 1 b) Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project on other substantial evidence of a known fault? may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin? ii) Strong seismic ground shaking? 2 c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream iv) Landslides? or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation b) Result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil? on or off site? c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that Result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site: would become unstable as a result of the project, and 1 potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, 1 Substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse? in a manner which would result in flooding on- or offsite; d) Be located on expansive soil as defined in Table 18-1-B of iii) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the 1_ the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage or indirect risks to life or property? systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems iv) Impede or redirect flood flows? where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste d) In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation? 3 f) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological e) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality resource or site or unique geologic feature? control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan? VIII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING Would the project: Would the project: a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or 1 a) Physically divide an established community? indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict environment? with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse XII. MINERAL RESOURCES HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the project: Would the project: a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous 1 b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral materials? resource recovery site delineated on a local General Plan, b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment Specific Plan or other land use plan? through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into XIII. NOISE the environment? Would the project result in: c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely 2 a) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess mile of an existing or proposed school? of standards established in the local general plan or noise d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code b) Generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant borne noise levels? hazard to the public or the environment? 1 c) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or 1 e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety airport, would the project expose people residing or working hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in in the project area to excessive noise levels? the project area? Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an

Would the project:

XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING

 a) Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and

adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation

Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires?

plan?

businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?

b) Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

PUBLIC SERVICES

Would the project:

1 a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically-altered governmental facilities, or the need for new or physically-altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

Fire protection?

ii) Police protection?

iii) Schools?

iv) Parks?

v) Other public facilities? _1_

XVI. RECREATION

Would the project:

1 a) Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

1 b) Include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

XVII. TRANSPORTATION

Would the project:

a) Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities?

2 b) Would the project conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)?

c) Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design _2_ feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?

d) Result in inadequate emergency access?

XVIII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

3 a) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:

Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of _3_ Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or

3 A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe?

XIX. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

Would the project:

2 a) Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects?

1 b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years?

1 c) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?

1 d) Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals?

1 e) Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

WILDFIRE XX.

If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones, would the project:

a) Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?

1 b) Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to, pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?

1 c) Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?

Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?

XXI. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

Would the project:

3 a) Have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

3 b) Have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)

Have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

Documents Referenced:

This Initial Study is referenced by the documents listed below. These documents are available for public review at the County of Fresno, Department of Public Works and Planning, Development Services and Capital Projects Division, 2220 Tulare Street, Suite A, Fresno, California (corner of M & Tulare Streets).

Fresno County General Plan, Policy Document, Background Report and Final EIR Fresno County Zoning Ordinance

Greenhouse Gas Analysis Memo for the Arroyo Pasajero Bridge Replacement Project, by AES, dated January 22, 2021

Fresno County Important Farmland Map (2016), State Department of Conservation

Natural Environment Study, Count of Fresno, California; Arroyo Pasajero Bridge (Bridge No. 42C0097) over Arroyo Passajero, District 6 – Fresno – South El Dorado Avenue, BRLO-5942 (271). Caltrans, January 2023 Water Quality Assessment Report, Caltrans, January 2023

Preliminary Hydraulic Study, South El Dorado Ave Bridge at Los Gatos Creek (Arroyo Passajero). New Bridge No. 42C0707, Fresno County, California. Avila & Associates, October 23, 2020.

Biological Assessment, Arroyo Pasajero Bridge (Bridge No. 42C0097) over Arroyo Pasajero, County of Fresno, California, 06-FRE-South El Dorado Avenue. Federal Project Number: BRLO-5942 (271). January 2023, California Department of Transportation.

ER

G:\4360Devs&Pln\PROJSEC\PROJDOCS\Environmental\Initial Studies - Environmental Assessments\7000-7999\IS 7906 Arroyo Pasajero Bridge Replacement\IS CEQA\IS 7906 IS Checklist.docx