This Page Intentionally Left Blank

South Alhambra Avenue Multi-Family Condominium Project

Air Quality Impact Analysis Report

April 2023

CEQA Lead Agency:

City of Monterey Park Planning Department 320 West Newmark Avenue Monterey Park, California 91754

Project Applicant:

Mr. Alex Lai THE COMMONS OF MPK LLC 812 South Atlantic Boulevard, Suite A Monterey Park, California 91754

Prepared by:

MIG

1650 Spruce Street, Suite 106 Riverside, California 92507 This page was intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

S.	S	EXECUTIV	E SUMMARY	I
1		INTRODUC	TION	1-1
	1.1	Report C	Drganization	1-1
2		PROPOSE	D PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
	2.1	Proiect I	ocation	2-1
		2.1.1	Surrounding Land Uses	2-1
	2.2	2 Existing	Site Description And Operations	2-1
	2.3	B Propose	d Site Development and Operations	2-1
		2.3.1	Site Layout	2-3
		2.3.2	New Residential Building Descriptions	2-3
		2.3.3	Parking and Site Access	2-4
		2.3.4	Other Site Improvements	2-4
	•	2.3.5	Operational Trip Generation Estimates	2-4
_	2.4	Project (2-4
3		AIR QUALI	TY SETTING AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	3-1
	3.´	l Regiona	I Environmental Setting	
		3.1.1	Regulated Air Pollutants	
		3.1.2	Regional Air Pollutant Emissions Levels	
		3.1.3	South Coast Air Basin Climate, Topography, and Meteorology	
		3.1.4 2.1.5	Regional Air Quality Conditions and Attainment Status	
		3.1.0	Local Air Quality Conditions	
		317	Sensitive Air Quality Recentors and Existing Regional Health Risks	
	32	P Federal	State and Local Air Quality Regulations	3-10
	0.2	3.2.1	Federal Air Quality Regulations	
		3.2.2	State Air Quality Regulations	
		3.2.3	Regional Air Quality Regulations	
		3.2.4	City of Monterey Park	
4		AIR QUALI	TY IMPACT ANALYSIS	4-1
	4.′	l Thresho	lds of Significance	4-1
		4.1.1	Regional and Toxic Air Contaminant Significance Thresholds	4-1
		4.1.2	Localized Significance Thresholds	4-2
		4.1.3	Carbon Monoxide "Hot Spot" Thresholds	4-3
	4.2	2 Analysis	Methodology	4-4
		4.2.1	Mass-Based Criteria Air Pollutant and TAC Emissions	4-4
	4.3	B Environr	nental Impacts	
		4.3.1	Consistency With The Applicable Air Quality Plan	
		4.3.2	Cumulatively Considerable Net Increase Of Criteria Air Pollutants	
		4.3.3	Sensitive Receptors And Substantial Pollutant Concentrations	
_		4.3.4		
C	_		KEPAKERƏ ANU KEFEKENCEƏ	
	5.´	Referen	Ces	5-1

List of Tables

Table 2-1: Net Project Trip Generation	2-4
Table 2-2: Construction Activity, Duration, and Typical Equipment	2-5
Table 3-1: South Coast Air Basin Emissions Summary	3-4
Table 3-2: Summary of Ambient Air Quality Standards and Attainment Status	3-7
Table 3-3: 2018-2020 Local Air Quality Data for South San Gabriel Valley and East San Gabriel 1	3-8
Table 4-1: SCAQMD-Recommended CEQA Thresholds	4-1
Table 4-2: SCAQMD Localized Significance Thresholds for Source Receptor Area 11	4-2
Table 4-3: Unmitigated Construction Emissions Estimates	4-6
Table 4-4: Operational Emissions Estimates	4-7
Table 4-5: LST Construction Analysis	4-8
Table 4-6: LST Operational Analysis	4-9

List of Figures

Figure 2-1 Aerial View of the Project Site	2-2
Figure 2-2: Site Plan	2-3
Figure 3-1 Wind Rose for the Pico Rivera (PICO) Meteorological Station (Blowing From)	3-5

Appendices

Appendix A: CalEEMod Emissions Outputs

List of Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols				
Acronym / Abbreviation	Full Phrase or Description			
§	Section			
°C	Degrees Celsius			
°F	Degrees Fahrenheit			
μm	Micrometer			
AB	Assembly Bill			
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act			
ADT	Average Daily Traffic			
AMSL	Above Mean Sea Level			
APN	Assessor Parcel Number			
AQMP	Air Quality Management Plan			
BACT	Best Available Control Technology			
Basin	South Coast Air Basin			
CAA	Clean Air Act			
CAAQS	California Ambient Air Quality Standards			
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model			
Cal-EPA	California Environmental Protection Agency			
CARB	California Air Resources Board			
CCR	California Code of Regulations			
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act			
City	City of Monterey Park			
CO	Carbon Monoxide			
СР	Cancer Potency			
CRB	Cancer Burden			
DPM	Diesel Particulate Matter			
GVWR	Gross Vehicle Weight Rating			
H ₂ S	Hydrogen Sulfide			
HAP	Hazardous Air Pollutants			
LDA	Light Duty Auto			
LDT1 / LDT2	Light Duty Trucks			
m ³	Cubic Meter			
MATES V	Multiple Air Toxics Exposure Study in the South Coast Air Basin			
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization			
MY	Model Year			
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards			
NO	Nitric Oxide			

List of Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols				
Acronym / Abbreviation	Full Phrase or Description			
NO ₂	Nitrogen Dioxide			
NOx	Oxides of Nitrogen			
O ₃	Ozone			
OEHHA	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment			
PM	Particulate Matter			
PM ₁₀	Coarse Particulate Matter			
PM _{2.5}	Fine Particulate Matter			
ppm	Parts Per Million			
PRC	Public Resources Code			
REL	Reference Exposure Level			
Report	Air Quality Impact Analysis Report			
ROG	Reactive Organic Gases			
RTP	Regional Transportation Plan			
SB	Senate Bill			
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments			
SCAQMD	South Coast Air Quality Management District			
SCS	Sustainable Communities Strategy			
SIP	State Implementation Plan			
SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide			
SO4 ²⁻	Sulfates			
SO _x	Sulfates			
SRA	Source Receptor Area			
TAC	Toxic Air Contaminant			
U.S. EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency			
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds			

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Air Quality Impact Analysis Report (Report) evaluates and documents the potential air quality impacts associated with the construction and operation of the proposed South Alhambra Avenue Multi-Family Condominium Project (proposed Project), a multi-family residential project located at 338-410 South Alhambra Avenue in the City of Monterey Park, California 91755.

This Report is consistent with the guidance and recommendations contained in the South Coast Air Quality Management District's (SCAQMD) California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Air Quality Handbook, as amended and supplemented. This Report is intended to assist the CEQA Lead Agency (City of Monterey Park) with its review of the proposed Project's potential air quality impacts in compliance with the State CEQA Statutes and Guidelines, particularly in respect to the air quality issues identified in Appendix G of the State CEQA Guidelines.

S.1 PROPOSED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed Project involves the construction and operation of a multi-family condominium project consisting of 65 residential units, located in one building on an approximately 1.73-acre site in the City of Monterey Park, California. The Project site is comprised of three parcels (APN# 5259-004-036, 5259-004-037, and 5259-004-038) classified and designated as High Density Residential by the City's Zoning Code and General Plan. The development would be used for multi-family residential housing. The Project would have four stories, including a partially underground parking garage. The proposed units would be in the three stories above the parking garage and would be arranged around a central courtyard. The Project would also include landscaping and surface parking. The Project site is currently occupied by 15 residential structures, 14 of which are habitable units.

S.2 POTENTIAL CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY IMPACTS

The proposed Project's construction emissions were estimated using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod), Version (V.) 2022.1.1.6. CalEEMod is a computer program recommended for use by the SCAQMD for use in preparing emission estimates for land use and development projects. The modeling indicates maximum daily emissions during construction activities would be below all applicable SCAQMD regional and local thresholds for regulated air pollutants; however, sensitive receptors are located north, south, east, and west of the Project site. The proposed Project would generate diesel particular matter (DPM), a toxic air contaminant (TAC), from combustion of diesel fuel in heavy-duty construction equipment and trucks used to access the site during construction. The Project would involve different construction activities occurring at different intensities over an approximately 19-month timeframe, with initial groundbreaking taking place potentially as early as July 2023. Receptors would be exposed to varying concentrations of pollutants throughout the construction period, but due to the proposed Project's close proximity to adjacent sensitive receptors, construction exhaust emissions of DPM could result in incremental cancerogenic health risk increases that are in excess of the SCAQMD's threshold of 10 excess cancers in a million. To reduce potential DPM exhaust emissions generated by Project construction activities, MIG recommends the proposed Project incorporate Mitigation Measure AIR-1, which requires the use of construction equipment that meets Tier IV emission standards (see Section S.6). The implementation of Mitigation Measure AIR-1 would reduce construction-related DPM emissions by approximately 51 percent and reduce the potential for substantial pollutant concentrations and adverse health risks to occur from construction-related DPM emissions to a less than significant level.

S.3 POTENTIAL OPERATIONAL AIR QUALITY IMPACTS

The proposed Project would generate criteria air pollutants from a variety of sources during operation, including area, energy, and mobile sources. The emissions from these sources were quantified using CalEEMod. The operational air quality impact analysis indicates the proposed Project would not generate criteria air pollutant or fugitive dust emissions that exceed the SCAQMD's recommended regional CEQA thresholds of significance.

S.4 CONSISTENCY WITH APPLICABLE PLANS

The proposed Project would not result in population or employment growth or associated emissions that conflict with the SCAQMD's 2022 Air Quality Management Plan.

S.5 ODORS

The proposed Project would involve construction and operational activities that could generate odors typical of many construction and residential land use operations. These types of odors (e.g., exhaust) are typical of the area and would be quick to disperse. The proposed Project would not result in the creation of objectionable odors that would affect a substantial number of people.

S.6 MITIGATION MEASURES

MIG recommends the proposed Project incorporate the following mitigation measure to ensure Project construction does not generate TAC emissions that have the potential to result in substantial adverse health effects at sensitive receptors located near the Project:

Mitigation Measure AIR-1: Reduce DPM Emissions. To reduce potential short-term adverse health risks associated with PM₁₀ exhaust emissions, including emissions of diesel particulate matter (DPM), generated during project construction activities, the Applicant and/or it's designated contractors, contractor's representatives, or other appropriate personnel to shall implement the following construction equipment restrictions for the Project:

- 1. To the extent feasible, contractors shall use the smallest size equipment capable of safely completing work activities.
- 2. Electric hook-ups shall be provided for stationary equipment (e.g., pumps, compressors, welding sets).
- 3. The use of portable diesel generators shall be prohibited at the Project site.
- 4. All construction equipment with a rated power-output of 50 horsepower or greater shall meet U.S. EPA and CARB Tier IV Final Emission Standards for PM₁₀. This may be achieved via the use of equipment with engines that have been certified to meet Tier IV emission standards, or through the use of equipment that has been retrofitted with a CARB-verified diesel emission control strategy (e.g., particulate filter) capable of reducing exhaust PM₁₀ emissions to levels that meet Tier IV standards.

As an alternatively to using equipment that meets Tier IV Final Emissions Standards for off-road equipment with a rated power-output of 50 horsepower or greater, the Applicant may prepare and submit a refined construction health risk assessment to the City once additional Project-specific construction information is known (e.g., specific construction equipment type, quantity, engine tier, and runtime by phase). The refined health risk assessment shall demonstrate and identify any measures necessary such that the proposed Project's incremental cancerogenic health risk at nearby sensitive receptor locations is below the applicable SCAQMD threshold of 10 cancers in a million.

1 INTRODUCTION

The Commons of MPK LLC proposes to develop a multi-family condominium residential project at 338-410 South Alhambra Avenue, Monterey Park, California (proposed Project). The Project would be located across three parcels in the eastern part of the City of Monterey Park in Los Angeles County. It would involve the demolition of 14 units of multi-family residential housing, one unit of single-family residential housing, and the construction and operation of a 65-unit multi-family condominium facility.

MIG, Inc. (MIG) has prepared this Air Quality Impact Analysis Report (Report) to evaluate the potential construction- and operational-related air quality impacts of the proposed Project. MIG has prepared this report using Project-specific information contained in South Alhambra Avenue Multi-Family Condominium Project's entitlement applications, as well as supplemental information provided by The Commons of MPK, LLC. Where necessary, MIG has supplemented available information with standardized sources of information, such as model assumptions pertaining to construction equipment activity levels. In general, this Report evaluates the potential "worst-case" conditions associated with the proposed Project's construction and operational emissions levels to ensure a conservative (i.e., likely to overestimate) assessment of potential air quality impacts is presented.

This Report is intended for use by the City of Monterey Park to assess the potential air quality impacts of the proposed Project in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA; PRC §21000 et seq.) and the State CEQA Guidelines (14 CCR §15000 et seq.), particularly with respect to the air quality issues identified in Appendix G of the State CEQA Guidelines.

1.1 REPORT ORGANIZATION

This Report is organized as follows:

- Chapter 1, Introduction, explains the contents of this Report and its intended use.
- **Chapter 2, Proposed Project Description**, provides an overview of the construction and operational activities associated with the proposed Project.
- Chapter 3, Air Quality Setting and Regulatory Framework, provides pertinent background information on air quality, describes the existing air quality setting of the proposed Project, and provides information on the federal, state, and local regulations that govern the proposed Project's air quality setting and potential air quality impacts.
- Chapter 4, Air Quality Impact Assessment, identifies the potential construction and operational air quality impacts of the proposed Project and evaluates these effects in accordance with Appendix G of the State CEQA Guidelines.
- **Chapter 5, Report Preparers and References,** list the individuals involved, and the references used, in the preparation of this Report.

This page was intentionally left blank.

2 PROPOSED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Commons of MPK LLC is proposing to develop the South Alhambra Avenue Multi-Family Condominium Project, a 65-unit multi-family condominium Project, on existing residential land in the eastern part of the City of Monterey Park.

2.1 PROJECT LOCATION

The proposed Project would be located at 338-410 South Alhambra Avenue in the City of Monterey Park (Assessor Parcel Numbers (APN) 5259-004-036, 5259-004-037, and 5259-004-038; see Figure 2-1). The Project site consists of approximately 1.73-acres of land currently developed with multi-family residential housing facilities and a single-family house. The site is classified and designated as High Density Residential by the City's Zoning Code and General Plan (City of Monterey Park 2021; City of Monterey Park, 2020).

2.1.1 SURROUNDING LAND USES

The proposed Project site is surrounded by residential land uses. The site is bound on the north by single-family residential uses, on the east and south by multi-family residential uses, and on the west by South Alhambra Avenue and single-family residential uses. The surrounding land uses to the north, east, and south are classified by the City's Zoning Code as High Density Residential (R-3) and the surrounding land uses to the west are classified as Medium-Multiple Residential (R-2). Interstate 10 (I-10) is located approximately 0.90 miles to the north. There are no schools or parks within 1,000 feet of the Project site.

2.2 EXISTING SITE DESCRIPTION AND OPERATIONS

The proposed Project site has historically been used for residential uses. Currently, the site contains a total of 15 residential structures/14 habitable units, consisting of 14 multi-family residential units and one single-family residential unit. At 338A and 338B South Alhambra Avenue, there are two one-story residential units with garages totaling 1,516 square feet. At 400 and 408 South Alhambra Ave there are 12 multi-family units totaling 9,976 square feet, and at 410 South Alhambra Ave there is a single-family residential unit that is 1,600 square feet in size.

2.3 PROPOSED SITE DEVELOPMENT AND OPERATIONS

The proposed Project would construct one building containing 65 multi-family residential units. The building would have partial subterranean parking and would be oriented around a central courtyard. It would have three above grade stories and reach a height of 45 feet above finished floor at the northwestern portion of the site. The building footprint would be approximately 34,551 square feet, and the total building floor area for all three stories would be 148,578 square feet.



MIG, Inc. - S. Alhambra Ave Multi-Family Condominium Project Air Quality Impact Analysis Report - April 2023

2.3.1 SITE LAYOUT

The proposed building would be setback a minimum of approximately 37 feet from the property line to the north, approximately 10 feet to the south, approximately 25 feet to the west, and approximately 20 feet to the east. There would be an approximately 10,374 square foot courtyard of ground floor open space that would be located near the center of the property and would be surrounded by the multi-family units.

2.3.2 NEW RESIDENTIAL BUILDING DESCRIPTIONS

As discussed above, the Project will include one building containing 65 multi-family residential housing, 7 of which would be low-income units. The building's first level would consist of the partially buried, 45,067 square foot subterranean parking garage and an 890 square foot lobby. Levels two, three, and four would be approximately 34,551 square feet, each for residential use. The units across levels two, three, and four would include 15 one-bedroom units (645 square feet each), 33 two-bedroom units (1,000 square feet each), 9 three-bedroom units (1,300 square feet each), and 8 three-bedroom townhouses (2,100 square feet each) which would total 71,175 square feet for residential units. The entire building, including the parking garage and lobby, would total 148,578 square feet. There would be approximately 13,700 square feet of landscaped common open space, which would include an approximately 10,374 square foot soft ground courtyard. The building would have a total height of 45 feet, with 34 feet above street level and 11 feet below street level since Level 1, the parking garage, would be partially underground. The Project site plan is shown in Figure 2-2.



2.3.3 PARKING AND SITE ACCESS

The Project would have one driveway to South Alhambra Avenue, which borders the site to the northwest. On-site parking would be provided through a partial subterranean parking structure and surface parking. Residential parking would be located on Level 1 and would have 99 parking spaces.

2.3.4 OTHER SITE IMPROVEMENTS

The proposed Project would connect to the existing water and sewer lines located under South Alhambra Avenue that is provided by the City of Monterey Park via the San Gabriel Valley Water Company.

2.3.5 OPERATIONAL TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES

Once operational, the proposed Project would generate trips to and from the site. The proposed Project's trip generation potential, as provided for in the Project's Transportation Study Screening Analysis prepared by Ganddini Group, is summarized in Table 2-1 (Ganddini 2023). The Project is expected to result in approximately 308 net new daily trips compared to existing uses on the Project site.

Table 2-1: Net Project Trip Generation						
Land Use	AM Peak Hour Volumes	PM Peak Hour Volumes	Average Daily Traffic (ADT)			
Proposed Project	26	33	438			
Existing Land Uses to be Displaced	6	8	107			
Total	20	25	331			
Source: Ganddini Group 2023, modified by MIG.						

2.4 PROJECT CONSTRUCTION

The proposed Project would involve the demolition of the existing 14 multi-family units and one single-family unit, and the construction of the 65-unit multi-family residential building. Construction phasing associated with the proposed Project is anticipated to include demolition, site preparation, grading, trenching, building construction (foundation), building construction (vertical), paving, and architectural coating. The Project will require the excavation of approximately 9,000 cubic yards of soil to construct the subterranean garage. Construction activities are anticipated to begin in mid-2023 and last approximately 19 months. The proposed Project is anticipated to require varying types of equipment during construction including, but not limited to: bulldozers, backhoes, loaders, graders, cranes and forklifts. Table 2-2 summarizes the proposed Project's construction phasing and the typical pieces of heavy-duty, off-road construction equipment that would be required during each phase.

Table 2-2: Construction Activity, Duration, and Typical Equipment					
Construction Activity	Duration (Days) ^(A)	Typical Equipment Used ^(B)			
Demolition	10	Concrete/Industrial Saw, Dozer, Backhoe			
Site Preparation	5	Grader, Scraper, Backhoe			
Grading	20	Excavator, Grader, Dozer, Backhoe			
Trenching	10	Trencher			
Building Construction (Foundation)	30	Crane, Forklift, Generator, Backhoe, Welder			
Building Construction (Vertical)	360	Crane, Forklift, Generator, Backhoe, Welder			
Paving	10	Pavers, Paving Equipment, Rollers, Backhoe			
Architectural Coating	10	Air Compressors			

Source: MIG, 2023 (See Appendix A).

(A) Days refers to total active workdays in the construction phase, not calendar days.

(B) The typical equipment list does not reflect all equipment that would be used during the construction phase. Not all equipment would operate eight hours per day each workday.

This page was intentionally left blank.

3 AIR QUALITY SETTING AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

This chapter provides information on the environmental and regulatory air quality setting of the proposed Project. Information on existing air quality conditions, federal and state ambient air quality standards, and pollutants of concern was obtained from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), CARB, and SCAQMD.

3.1 REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Air quality is a function of pollutant emissions and topographic and meteorological influences. The amount of pollutants emitted into the air and the physical features and atmospheric conditions of a geographic region interact to affect the movement and dispersion of pollutants and determine the quality of its air.

The U.S. EPA and CARB are the federal and state agencies charged with maintaining air quality in the nation and state, respectively. The U.S. EPA delegates much of its authority over air quality to CARB. CARB has geographically divided the state into 15 air basins for the purposes of managing air quality on a regional basis. An air basin is a CARB-designated management unit with similar meteorological and geographic conditions. The proposed Project is located in the City of Monterey Park, in Los Angeles County, within the South Coast Air Basin (Basin). The Basin includes Orange County and the non-desert portions of Los Angeles, San Bernardino, and Riverside Counties.

3.1.1 REGULATED AIR POLLUTANTS

The U.S. EPA has established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for six common air pollutants: ozone (O₃), particulate matter (PM), which consists of "inhalable coarse" PM (particles with an aerodynamic diameter between 2.5 and 10 microns in diameter, or PM₁₀) and "fine" PM (particles with an aerodynamic diameter smaller than 2.5 microns, or PM_{2.5}), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), and lead. The U.S. EPA refers to these six common pollutants as "criteria" pollutants because the agency regulates the pollutants on the basis of human health and/or environmentally-based criteria. CARB has established California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) for the six common air pollutants regulated by the federal Clean Air Act (the CAAQS are more stringent than the NAAQS) plus the following additional air pollutants: hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), sulfates (SO_X), vinyl chloride, and visibility reducing particles. A description of the regulated air pollutants associated with the proposed Project is provided below.

- **Ground-level ozone**, or smog, is not emitted directly into the atmosphere. It is created from chemical reactions between oxides of nitrogen (NO_X) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), also called reactive organic gases (ROG), in the presence of sunlight (U.S. EPA, 2022a). Thus, ozone formation is typically highest on hot sunny days in urban areas with NO_X and ROG pollution. Ozone irritates the nose, throat, and air pathways and can cause or aggravate shortness of breath, coughing, asthma attacks, and lung diseases such as emphysema and bronchitis.
 - ROG is a CARB term defined as any compound of carbon, excluding carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, carbonic acid, metallic carbides or carbonates, and ammonium carbonate, and includes several low-reactive organic compounds which have been exempted by the U.S. EPA (CARB, 2004).
 - **VOC** is a U.S. EPA term defined as any compound of carbon, excluding carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, carbonic acid, metallic carbides or carbonates, and ammonium carbonate,

which participates in atmospheric photochemical reactions. The term exempts organic compounds of carbon which have been determined to have negligible photochemical reactivity such as methane, ethane, and methylene chloride (CARB, 2004).

- **Particulate matter (PM)**, also known as particle pollution, is a mixture of extremely small solid and liquid particles made up of a variety of components such as organic chemicals, metals, and soil and dust particles (U.S. EPA, 2022b).
 - PM₁₀, also known as inhalable coarse, respirable, or suspended PM₁₀, consists of particles less than or equal to 10 micrometers in diameter (approximately 1/7th the thickness of a human hair). These particles can be inhaled deep into the lungs and possibly enter the blood stream, causing health effects that include, but are not limited to, increased respiratory symptoms (e.g., irritation, coughing), decreased lung capacity, aggravated asthma, irregular heartbeats, heart attacks, and premature death in people with heart or lung disease (U.S. EPA, 2022b).
 - PM_{2.5}, also known as fine PM, consists of particles less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers in diameter (approximately 1/30th the thickness of a human hair). These particles pose an increased risk because they can penetrate the deepest parts of the lung, leading to and exacerbating heart and lung health effects (U.S. EPA, 2022b).
- **Carbon Monoxide (CO)** is an odorless, colorless gas that is formed by the incomplete combustion of fuels. Motor vehicles are the single largest source of carbon monoxide in the Basin. At high concentrations, CO reduces the oxygen-carrying capacity of the blood and can aggravate cardiovascular disease and cause headaches, dizziness, unconsciousness, and even death (U.S. EPA, 2022c).
- Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) is a by-product of combustion. NO₂ is not directly emitted but is formed through a reaction between nitric oxide (NO) and atmospheric oxygen. NO and NO₂ are collectively referred to as NO_X and are major contributors to ozone formation. NO₂ also contributes to the formation of particulate matter. NO₂ can cause breathing difficulties at high concentrations (U.S. EPA, 2022d).
- Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) is one of a group of highly reactive gases known as oxides of sulfur (SO_x). Fossil fuel combustion in power plants and industrial facilities are the largest emitters of SO₂. Short-term effects of SO₂ exposure can include adverse respiratory effects such as asthma symptoms. SO₂ and other SO_x can react to form PM (U.S. EPA, 2022e).
- **Sulfates (SO**₄²⁻) are the fully oxidized ionic form of sulfur. SO₄²⁻ are primarily produced from fuel combustion. Sulfur compounds in the fuel are oxidized to SO₂ during the combustion process and subsequently converted to sulfate compounds in the atmosphere. Sulfate exposure can increase risks of respiratory disease (CARB,2022e).

In addition to criteria air pollutants, the U.S. EPA and CARB have classified certain pollutants as hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) or toxic air contaminants (TACs), respectively. These pollutants can cause severe health effects at very low concentrations, and many are suspected or confirmed carcinogens. The U.S. EPA has identified 187 HAPs, including such substances as arsenic and chlorine; CARB considers all U.S. EPA designated HAPs, as well as particulate emissions from diesel-fueled engines (DPM) and other substances, to be a TAC. Since CARB's list of TACs references and includes U.S. EPA's list of HAPs, this document uses the term TAC when referring to HAPs and TACs. A description of the TACs associated with the proposed Project and its vicinity is provided below.

• **Gasoline-Powered Mobile Sources.** According to the SCAQMD's *Multiple Air Toxics Exposure Study in the South Coast Air Basin* (SCAQMD, 2021a), or MATES V, gasoline-

powered vehicles emit TACs, such as benzene, which can have adverse health risks. Gasoline-powered sources emit TACs in much smaller amounts than diesel-powered vehicles. The MATES V study identifies that diesel emissions account for approximately 50% of the total air toxics and cancer risk in the Basin, while Benzene, 1,3-Butadiene, and Carbonyls make up approximately 25% of the cancer risk.

Diesel Particulate Matter (DPM). Diesel engines emit both gaseous and solid material; the solid material is known as DPM. Almost all DPM is less than 1 micrometer (µm) in diameter, and thus is a subset of PM_{2.5}. DPM is typically composed of carbon particles and numerous organic compounds. Diesel exhaust also contains gaseous pollutants, including VOCs and NO_x. The primary sources of diesel emissions are ships, trains, trucks, rail yards and heavily traveled roadways. These sources are often located near highly populated areas, resulting in greater DPM related health consequences in urban areas. The majority of DPM is small enough to be inhaled into the lungs and what particles are not exhaled can be deposited on the lung surface and in the deepest regions of the lungs where the lung is most susceptible to injury. In 1998, CARB identified DPM as a toxic air contaminant based on evidence of a relationship between diesel exhaust exposure and lung cancer and other adverse health effects. DPM also contributes to the same non-cancer health effects as PM_{2.5} exposure (CARB 2016).

Common criteria air pollutants, such as ozone precursors, SO₂, and PM, are emitted by a large number of sources and have effects on a regional basis (i.e., throughout the Basin); other pollutants, such as HAPs, TACs, and fugitive dust, are generally not as prevalent and/or emitted by fewer and more specific sources. As such, these pollutants have much greater effects on local air quality conditions and local receptors.

3.1.2 REGIONAL AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS LEVELS

CARB's estimate of the amount of emissions generated within the Basin in 2012, the most recent year for which data is available, is summarized in Table 3-1.

3.1.3 SOUTH COAST AIR BASIN CLIMATE, TOPOGRAPHY, AND METEOROLOGY

Los Angeles County and the broader Los Angeles Basin are defined by a semi-arid, Mediterranean climate with mild winters and warm summers. The San Gabriel, San Bernardino, and San Jacinto Mountains bound the Basin to the north and east trap ambient air and pollutants within the Los Angeles and Inland Empire valleys below. The climate of the Los Angeles region is classified as Mediterranean, but weather conditions within the basin are dependent on local topography and proximity to the Pacific Ocean. The climate is dominated by the Pacific high-pressure system that results in generally mild, dry summers and mild, wet winters. This temperate climate is occasionally interrupted by extremely hot temperatures during the summer, Santa Ana winds during the fall, and storms from the Pacific northwest during the winter. In addition to the basin's topography and geographic location, El Niño and La Niña patterns also have large effects on weather and rainfall received between November and March.

Table 3-1: South Coast Air Basin Emissions Summary							
Emissions Sourse	2017 Pollutant Emissions (Tons Per Day)						
Emissions Source	ROG	ROG	ROG	ROG	ROG	ROG	ROG
Stationary ^(A)	87	87	87	87	87	87	87
Area-wide ^(B)	130	130	130	130	130	130	130
Mobile ^(C)	185	185	185	185	185	185	185
Total ^(D)	529	529	529	529	529	529	529
Emissions Sourse	2017 Pollutant Emissions (Tons Per Year)						
Emissions Source	ROG	ROG	ROG	ROG	ROG	ROG	ROG
Stationary (A)	31,675	31,675	31,675	31,675	31,675	31,675	31,675
Area-wide (B)	47,395	47,395	47,395	47,395	47,395	47,395	47,395
Mobile ^(C)	67,598	67,598	67,598	67,598	67,598	67,598	67,598
Total ^(D)	193,300	193,300	193,300	193,300	193,300	193,300	193,300
Courses CARD, 2000b and life of her MIC							

Source: CARB, 2022b, modified by MIG.

(A) Stationary sources include fuel combustion in stationary equipment or a specific type of facility such as printing and metals processing facilities.

(B) Mobile sources include automobiles, trucks, and other vehicles intended for "on-road" travel and other self-propelled machines such as construction equipment and all-terrain vehicles intended for "off-road" travel.

(C) Area-wide sources include solvent evaporation (e.g., consumer products, painting, and asphalt paving) and miscellaneous processes such as residential space heating, fugitive windblown dust, and cooking.

(D) Totals may not equal due to rounding.

The Pacific high-pressure system drives the prevailing winds in the basin. The winds tend to blow onshore in the daytime and offshore at night. In the summer, an inversion layer is created over the coastal areas and increases ozone levels. A temperature inversion is created when a layer of cool air is overlain by a layer of warmer air; this can occur over coastal areas when cool, dense air that originates over the ocean is blown onto land and flows underneath the warmer, drier air that is present over land. In the winter, areas throughout the basin often experience a shallow inversion layer that prevents the dispersion of surface level air pollutants, resulting in higher concentrations of criteria air pollutants such as carbon monoxide (CO) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_X).

Located in the western Gabriel Valley region of Los Angeles County, the City of Monterey Park consists of approximately eight square miles. It is situated adjacent to the cities/communities of Arcadia, Alhambra, Rosemead, City Terrace, Montebello, and South San Gabriel. The region experiences a Mediterranean climate characterized by hot, dry summers and cool, mild winters, with precipitation occurring in the winter months. The City is within the Climatic Transition Zone from the moister coastal region to the more arid inland regions of Southern California.

SCAQMD maintains publicly meteorological data for use in air quality analyses. The closest meteorological station is the Pico Rivera meteorological station, approximately 4.3 miles to southeast of the Project site at 4144 San Gabriel River Parkway in the City of Pico Rivera. The wind rose for the Pico Rivera meteorological station, shown in Figure 3-1, indicates the prevailing wind near the Project site is from the southwest.



3.1.4 REGIONAL AIR QUALITY CONDITIONS AND ATTAINMENT STATUS

As described in Section 3.1.1, the Federal and State governments have established emission standards and limits for air pollutants which may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare. These standards typically take one of two forms: standards or requirements that are applicable to specific types of facilities or equipment (e.g., petroleum refining, metal smelting), or concentration-based standards that are applicable to overall ambient air quality. Air quality conditions are best described and understood in the context of these standards; areas that meet, or attain, concentration-based ambient air quality standards are considered to have levels of pollutants in the ambient air that, based on the latest scientific knowledge, do not endanger public health or welfare.

The U.S. EPA, CARB, and the SCAQMD assess the air quality of an area by measuring and monitoring the amount of pollutants in the ambient air and comparing pollutant levels against NAAQS and CAAQS. Based on these comparisons, regions are classified into one of the following categories:

- Attainment. A region is "in attainment" if monitoring shows ambient concentrations of a specific pollutant are less than or equal to NAAQS or CAAQS. In addition, an area that has been re-designated from nonattainment to attainment is classified as a "maintenance area" for 10 years to ensure that the air quality improvements are sustained.
- Nonattainment. If the NAAQS or CAAQS are exceeded for a pollutant, the region is designated as nonattainment for that pollutant. It is important to note that some NAAQS and CAAQS require multiple exceedances of the standard in order for a region to be classified as nonattainment. Federal and state laws require nonattainment areas to develop strategies, plans, and control measures to reduce pollutant concentrations to levels that meet, or attain, standards.
- **Unclassified.** An area is unclassified if the ambient air monitoring data are incomplete and do not support a designation of attainment or nonattainment.

Table 3-2 summarizes the Basin's attainment status for criteria pollutants. The Basin is currently in nonattainment for state and federal ozone, state PM₁₀, and state and federal PM_{2.5} standards. In September 2021, SCAQMD submitted a request to the EPA to redesignate the South Coast Air Basin from its current designation of serious nonattainment for the 2006 24-hour average PM2.5 NAAQS and moderate nonattainment for the 1997 24-hour average PM2.5 NAAQS to a designation of attainment for both PM2.5 standards.

Pollution problems in the Basin are caused by emissions within the area and the specific meteorology that promotes pollutant concentrations. Emissions sources vary widely from smaller sources such as individual residential water heaters and short-term grading activities to extensive operational sources including long-term operation of electrical power plants and other intense industrial use. Pollutants in the Basin are blown inward from coastal areas by sea breezes from the Pacific Ocean and are prevented from horizontally dispersing due to the surrounding mountains. This is further complicated by atmospheric temperature inversions that create inversion layers. The inversion layer in Southern California refers to the warm layer of air that lies over the cooler air from the Pacific Ocean. This is strongest in the summer and prevents ozone and other pollutants from dispersing upward. A ground-level surface inversion commonly occurs during winter nights and traps carbon monoxide emitted during the morning rush hour.

3.1.5 LOCAL AIR QUALITY CONDITIONS

Air pollution levels are measured at monitoring stations located throughout the Basin. The Project site is located in SCAQMD Source Receptor Area (SRA) 11 – South San Gabriel Valley. The closest air quality monitoring station is identified as South San Gabriel Valley Station (Station #085) by SCAQMD (CARB refers to this station as Pico Rivera #2). The station is located approximately 4.3 miles southeast of the Project site and monitors CO, O₃, NO₂, Pb, and PM_{2.5}. PM₁₀ is monitored by an air monitoring station in SCAQMD Source Receptor Area (SRA) 9 which is identified as East San Gabriel Valley 1 Station (Station #060) by SCAQMD (CARB refers to this station as Azusa). It is located approximately 12.25 miles northeast of the Project site at 803 North Loren Avenue in the city of Azuza. These two monitoring stations approximate the air quality conditions within the City.

Table 3-3 summarizes the published CO, O₃, NO₂, Pb, and PM_{2.5} monitoring data from the South San Gabriel Valley station and the PM₁₀ monitoring data from the East San Gabriel 1 station 2018 to 2020, the three most recent years for which verified, published data was available from the SCAQMD at the time this Report was prepared.

Table 3-2: Summary of Ambient Air Quality Standards and Attainment Status							
	Averaging	California S	tandards ^(A)	National Standards ^(A)			
Pollutant	Time ^(B)	Standard ^(C)	Attainment Status ^(D)	Standard ^(C)	Attainment Status ^(D)		
	1-Hour (1979)			240 µg/m ³	Nonattainment		
	1-Hour (Current)	180 µg/m³	Nonattainment				
Ozone	8-Hour (1997)			160 µg/m³	Nonattainment		
	8-Hour (2008)			147 µg/m³	Nonattainment		
	8-Hour (Current)	137 µg/m³	Nonattainment	137 µg/m³	Nonattainment		
DM	24-Hour	50 µg/m³	Nonattainment	150 µg/m³	Attainment		
PIVI ₁₀	Annual Average	20 µg/m ³	Nonattainment				
	24-Hour			35 µg/m³	Nonattainment		
PM _{2.5}	Annual Average (1997)			15 µg/m³	Attainment		
	Annual Average (Current)	12 µg/m³	Nonattainment	12 µg/m³	Nonattainment		
Carbon	1-Hour	23,000 µg/m ³	Attainment	40,000 µg/m ³	Attainment		
Monoxide	8-Hour	10,000 µg/m³	Attainment	10,000 µg/m³	Attainment		
Nitrogen	1-Hour	339 µg/m³	Attainment	188 µg/m³	Unclassifiable/ Attainment		
Dioxide	Annual Average	57 µg/m³	Attainment	100 µg/m³	Attainment		
	1-Hour	655 µg/m³	Attainment	196 µg/m³	Attainment		
Sulfur	24-Hour	105 µg/m³		367 µg/m³	Unclassifiable/ Attainment		
Dioxide	Annual Average			79 µg/m³	Unclassifiable/ Attainment		
Lead	3-Months Rolling			0.15 µg/m³	Nonattainment (Partial)		
Hydrogen Sulfide	1-Hour	42 µg/m³	Attainment				
Sulfates	24-Hour	25 µg/m³	Attainment				
Vinyl Chloride	24-Hour	26 µg/m³	Attainment				

Source: SCAQMD 2018b, modified by MIG.

(B) This table summarizes the CAAQS and NAAQS and the Basin's attainments status. This table does not prevent comprehensive information regarding the CAAQS and NAAQS. Each CAAQS and NAAQS has its own averaging time, standard unit of measurement, measurement method, and statistical test for determining if a specific standard has been exceeded. Standards are not presented for visibility reducing particles, which are not concentration-based. The Basin is unclassified for visibility reducing particles.

(C) Ambient air standards have changed over time. This table presents information on the standards previously used by the U.S. EPA for which the Basin does not meet attainment.

(D) All standards are shown in terms of micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m³) rounded to the nearest whole number for comparison purposes (with the exception of lead, which has a standard less than 1 µg/m³). The actual CAAQS and NAAQS standards specify units for each pollutant measurement.

(E) A= Attainment, N= Nonattainment, U=Unclassifiable.

Table 3-3: 2018-2020 Local Air Quality Data for South San Gabriel Valley and East San Gabriel 1					
Dellutent	Ambient Air		Year		
Pollutant	Standard	2018	2019	2020	
Ozone (O ₃)					
Maximum 1-hour Concentration (ppm)		0.115	0.108	0.169	
Maximum 8-hr Concentration (ppm)		0.082	0.091	0.114	
Number of Days Exceeding State 1-hr Standard	>0.09ppm	3	5	20	
Number of Days Exceeding State 8-hr Standard	>0.070ppm	5	7	23	
Days Exceeding Federal 1-hr Standard	>0.124 ppm	0	0	3	
Days Exceeding Federal 8-hr Standard	>0.070 ppm	5	7	23	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	••				
Maximum 1-hr Concentration (ppm)		2.0	1.9	3.1	
Maximum 8-hr Concentration (ppm)		1.8	1.5	1.7	
Days Exceeding State 1-hr Standard	>20ppm	0	0	0	
Days Exceeding Federal/State 8-hr Standard	>9ppm	0	0	0	
Days Exceeding Federal 1-hr Standard	>35ppm	0	0	0	
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2)					
Maximum 1-hr Concentration (ppb)		76.8	61.8	69.2	
Annual Arithmetic Mean Concentration (ppb)		18.3	17.6	17.8	
Days Exceeding State 1-hr Standard	>0.18ppm	0	0	0	
Suspended Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) ^(A)					
Maximum 24-hr Concentration (µg/m ³)		78	82	95	
Annual Arithmetic Mean (µg/m³)		32.2	28.1	37.7	
Samples Exceeding State 24-hr Standard	>50 µg/m³	10	4	8	
Samples Exceeding Federal 24-hr Standard	>150 µg/m³	0	0	0	
Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})					
Maximum 24-hr Concentration (µg/m ³)		35.40	29.60	35.40	
Annual Arithmetic Mean (µg/m³)		12.31	10.34	13.22	
Samples Exceeding Federal 24-hr Standard	>35 µg/m³	0	0	0	
Source: SCAQMD, 2020a, 2020b, 2020c					

(A) Data from East San Gabriel 1 monitoring site

Table 3-3 shows that air quality standards at the monitoring station in South San Gabriel Valley have been exceeded for O_3 and that standards at the monitoring station in East San Gabriel have been exceeded for PM_{10} .As shown in Table 3-3:

- The maximum 1- and 8-hour CO concentration fluctuated during the 2018 to 2020 period, and there were no days in which CO standards were exceeded during this period.
- The maximum 1-hour NO₂ concentration and average annual NO₂ fluctuated during the 2018 to 2020 period. There were no days in which NO₂ standards were exceeded during this period.
- The maximum 1-hour and 8-hour O₃ concentration, as well as the number of days exceeding O₃ standards, generally increased from 2018 to 2020.

- The maximum 24-hour PM₁₀ concentration increased from 2018 to 2020 and the average annual PM₁₀ fluctuated during the 2018-2020 period. The State PM₁₀ annual standard was exceeded in 2018, 2019, and 2020. The Federal PM₁₀ annual standard was not exceeded during the 2018-2020 period.
- The maximum 24-hour and average annual PM_{2.5} concentration fluctuated during the 2018 to 2020 period, and there were no days in which the Federal PM_{2.5} 24-hour standard was exceeded during this period.

3.1.6 LOCAL AIR QUALITY SETTING

The proposed Project is located in the eastern portion of the City of Monterey Park and is bound on the north by single-family and then multi-family residential uses, on the east and south by multi-family residential uses, and on the west by South Alhambra Avenue, followed by single-family residential uses. I-10 is located approximately 0.90 miles to the north, State Route (SR) 60 is located approximately 1.7 miles to the south, and I-710 is located approximately 2.8 miles to the west. There are no schools or parks within 1,000 feet of the Project site. The vehicles on local roadways, such as South Alhambra Avenue which borders the Project site, contributes to the local air quality conditions in proximity to the Project site. The Project site currently contains single-family and multi-family residential units, which generate on- and off-site emissions from the operation of buildings and vehicles.

3.1.7 SENSITIVE AIR QUALITY RECEPTORS AND EXISTING REGIONAL HEALTH RISKS

Some people are more affected by air pollution than others. Sensitive air quality receptors include specific subsets of the general population that are susceptible to poor air quality and the potential adverse health effects associated with poor air quality. Both CARB and the SCAQMD consider residences, schools, parks and playgrounds, childcare centers, athletic facilities, long-term health care facilities, rehabilitation centers, convalescent centers, and retirement homes to be sensitive air quality land uses and receptors (CARB, 2005; SCAQMD, 2017a). The Project site is in a residential neighborhood surrounded by residences, so there are potential sensitive air quality receptors within 1,000 feet of the Project site to the north, south, east, and west. This includes residences with shared property lines to the north, south, and east. These potential sensitive air receptors are shown in Figure 2-1.

The existing sensitive air quality receptors located adjacent or in close proximity to the Project site are exposed to air pollution associated with motor vehicles travelling on the roadways in proximity of the site (e.g., East Newmark Avenue to the north, South Alhambra Avenue to the west, Graves Avenue to the south, South Sefton Avenue to the east). According to the SCAQMD's MATES V Carcinogenic Risk Map, the existing carcinogenic risk in the vicinity of the Project is approximately 549 incremental cancer cases per million population (SCAQMD, 2021b)¹. This estimate reflects regional modeling efforts that largely do not account for site specific emission rates and dispersion characteristics that typically result in refined and substantially lower health risk estimates.

¹ The potential cancer risk for a given substance is expressed as the incremental number of potential cancer cases that could be developed per million people, assuming that the population is exposed to the substance at a constant annual average concentration over a presumed 70-year lifetime. These risks are usually presented in chances per million. For example, if the cancer risks were estimated to be 100 per million, the probability of an individual developing cancer due to a lifetime of exposure would be one hundred in a million, or one in ten thousand. In other words, this predicts an additional 100 cases of cancer in a population of a million people over a 70-year lifetime (SCAQMD, 2021).

CalEnviroScreen is a mapping tool that helps identify California communities that are most affected by many sources of pollution, and where people are often especially vulnerable to pollution's effects. The tool uses environmental, health, and socioeconomic information to produce scores for every census tract in the state. The scores are then mapped so that different communities can be compared. An area with a high score is one that experiences a much higher pollution burden than areas with low scores.

According to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Map, the proposed Project is in Census Tract: 6037482202. This area shows an average pollution indicator percentile of 49% based on the CalEnviroScreen indicators (e.g., exposure, environmental effects, population characteristics, socioeconomic factors) and has a population of 5,087 people (OEHHA, 2023a). The CalEnviroScreen data indicates approximately 12 in 10,000 people in the Project site's census tract visited an emergency facility for asthma-related health issues. This rate places the Project site's census tract in the 12th percentile, meaning the asthma rate in this census tract is higher than 12% of the census tracts in the State (OEHHA 2021). Since the Project area's census tract is not in the top 25% in scoring according to the CalEnviroScreen methodology, it is not considered a disadvantaged community pursuant to Senate Bill (SB) 535, which allocates funding from the state's Cap and Trade Program to disadvantaged communities (OEHHA, 2023b).

3.2 FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL AIR QUALITY REGULATIONS

3.2.1 FEDERAL AIR QUALITY REGULATIONS

3.2.1.1 Clean Air Act

The Federal Clean Air Act (CAA) defines the U.S. EPA's responsibilities for protecting and improving the United States air quality and ozone layer. Key components of the CAA include reducing ambient concentrations of air pollutants that cause health and aesthetic problems, reducing emission of toxic air pollutants, and stopping production and use of chemicals that destroy the ozone.

Federal clean air laws require areas with unhealthy levels of ozone, inhalable particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, and sulfur dioxide to develop State Implementation Plans (SIPs); comprehensive documents that identify how an area will attain NAAQS. Deadlines for attainment were established in the 1990 amendments to the CAA based on the severity of an area's air pollution problem. Failure to meet air quality deadlines can result in sanctions against the State or the U.S. EPA taking over enforcement of the CAA in the affected area. SIPs are a compilation of new and previously submitted plans, programs, district rules, and State and Federal regulations. The SCAQMD implements the required provisions of an applicable SIP through its Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP). Currently, SCAQMD implements the 2012 Lead SIP for the Los Angeles County portion of Basin through the 2012 AQMP, and the 8-hr Ozone, 1-hr Ozone, 24-hr PM_{2.5}, and annual PM_{2.5} SIPs through the 2016 AQMP.

3.2.1.2 Safe Affordable Fuel-Efficient Rule

On September 27, 2019, the U.S. EPA and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) published the SAFE Vehicles Rule Part One: One National Program." (84 Fed. Reg. 51,310 (Sept. 27, 2019)). The Part One Rule revoked California's authority to set its own greenhouse gas emissions standards and set zero emission vehicle mandates in California. As a result of the loss of the zero emission vehicles (ZEV) sales requirements in California, there may be fewer ZEVs sold and thus additional gasoline-fueled vehicles sold in future years (CARB 2019).

In April 2020, the U.S. EPA and NHTSA issued the SAFE Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021-2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks (Final SAFE Rule) that relaxed federal greenhouse gas emissions and fuel economy standards. The Final SAFE Rule relaxed federal greenhouse gas emissions and Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) standards to approximately 1.5 percent (%) per year from model year (MY) 2020 levels over MYs 2021–2026. The previously established emission standards and related "augural" fuel economy standards would have achieved approximately 4% per year improvements through MY 2025. The Final SAFE Rule affects both upstream (production and delivery) and downstream (tailpipe exhaust) carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions (CARB 2020).

3.2.2 STATE AIR QUALITY REGULATIONS

3.2.2.1 California Clean Air Act

In addition to being subject to Federal requirements, air quality in the State is also governed by more stringent regulations under the California Clean Air Act, which was enacted in 1988 to develop plans and strategies for attaining the California Ambient Air Quality Standards. CARB, which is part of the California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal-EPA), develops Statewide air quality regulations, including industry-specific limits on criteria, toxic, and nuisance pollutants. The California Clean Air Act is more stringent than Federal Law in a number of ways, including revised standards for PM₁₀ and ozone and for visibility-reducing particles, sulfates, hydrogen sulfide, and vinyl chloride.

In California, both the Federal and State Clean Air acts are administered by CARB. It sets all air quality standards including emission standards for vehicles, fuels, and consumer goods as well as monitors air quality and sets control measures for toxic air contaminants. CARB oversees the functions of local air pollution control districts and air quality management districts, which in turn administer air quality activities at the regional level.

3.2.2.2 In-Use Off-Road Diesel Equipment Program

CARB's In-Use Off-Road Diesel Equipment regulation is intended to reduce emissions of NO_x and PM from off-road diesel vehicles, including construction equipment, operating within California. The regulation imposes limits on idling; requires reporting equipment and engine information and labeling all vehicles reported; restricts adding older vehicles to fleets; and requires fleets to reduce their emissions by retiring, replacing, or repowering older engines or installing exhaust retrofits for PM. The requirements and compliance dates of the off-road regulation vary by fleet size, and large fleets (fleets with more than 5,000 horsepower) must meet average targets or comply with Best Available Control Technology (BACT) requirements beginning in 2014. CARB has off-road anti-idling regulations affecting self-propelled dieselfueled vehicles of 25 horsepower and up. The off-road anti-idling regulations limit idling on applicable equipment to no more than five minutes, unless exempted due to safety, operation, or maintenance requirements.

3.2.2.3 On-Road Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicles (In-Use) Regulation

CARB's On-Road Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicles (In-Use) regulation (also known as the Truck and Bus Regulation) is intended to reduce emission of NO_X, PM, and other criteria pollutants generated from existing on-road diesel vehicles operating in California. The regulation applies to nearly all diesel-fueled trucks and buses with a gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) greater than 14,000 pounds that are privately or federally owned, and for privately and publicly owned school buses. Heavier trucks and buses with a GVWR greater than 26,000 pounds must comply with a schedule by engine model year or owners can

report to show compliance with more flexible options. Fleets complying with the heavier trucks and buses schedule must install the best available PM filter on 1996 model year and newer engines, and replace the vehicle eight years later. Trucks with 1995 model year and older engines had to be replaced starting in 2015. Replacements with a 2010 model year or newer engine meet the final requirements, but owners can also replace the equipment with used trucks that have a future compliance date (as specified in regulation). By 2023, all trucks and buses must have at least 2010 model year engines with few exceptions.

3.2.2.4 CARB Stationary Diesel Engines – Emission Regulations

In 1998, CARB identified DPM as a TAC. To reduce public exposure to DPM, in 2000, the Board approved the Risk Reduction Plan to Reduce Particulate Matter Emissions from Diesel-Fueled Engines and Vehicles (Risk Reduction Plan) (CARB, 2000). Integral to this plan is the implementation of control measures to reduce DPM such as the control measures for stationary diesel-fueled engines. As such, diesel generators must comply with regulations under CARB's amendments *to Airborne Toxic Control Measure for Stationary Compression Ignition Engines* and be permitted by SCAQMD.

3.2.2.5 CARB Air Quality and Land Use Handbook

In 1998, CARB identified particulate matter from diesel-fueled engines as a TAC. CARB's Air Quality and Land Use Handbook is intended to serve as a general reference guide for evaluating and reducing air pollution impacts associated with new projects that go through the land use decision-making process (CARB, 2005). The CARB Handbook recommends that planning agencies consider proximity to air pollution sources when considering new locations for "sensitive" land uses, such as residences, medical facilities, daycare centers, schools, and playgrounds. Air pollution sources of concern include freeways, rail yards, ports, refineries, distribution centers, chrome plating facilities, dry cleaners, and large gasoline service stations. Key recommendations in the Handbook relative to the Project Area include taking steps to consider or avoid siting new, sensitive land uses:

- Within 500 feet of a freeway, urban roads with 100,000 vehicles/day, or rural roads with 50,000 vehicles/day;
- Within 300 feet of gasoline fueling stations; or
- Within 300 feet of dry-cleaning operations (dry cleaning with TACs is being phased out and will be prohibited in 2023). The SCAQMD (Regulation 14, Rule 21) has established emission controls for the use of perchloroethylene, the most common dry-cleaning solvent.

3.2.2.6 California Building Industry Association vs. Bay Area Air Quality Management District

The California Supreme Court in *California Building Industry Association v. Bay Area Air Quality Management District*, 62 Cal.4th 369 (2015) ruled that CEQA review is focused on a project's impact on the environment "and not the environment's impact on the project." The opinion also holds that when a project has "potentially significant exacerbating effects on existing environmental hazards" those impacts are properly within the scope of CEQA because they can be viewed as impacts of the project on "existing conditions" rather than impacts of the environment on the project. The Supreme Court provided the example of a project that threatens to disperse existing buried environmental contaminants that would otherwise remain undisturbed. The Court concluded that it is proper under CEQA to undertake an analysis of the dispersal of existing contaminants because such an analysis would be focused on how the project "would worsen existing conditions." The court also found that the limited number of express CEQA provisions that require analysis of the impacts of the existing environment on a project – such as impacts associated with school siting and airports – should be viewed as specific statutory exceptions to the general rule that such impacts are not properly within CEQA's scope.

3.2.3 REGIONAL AIR QUALITY REGULATIONS

3.2.3.1 Southern California Association of Governments

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is a Joint Powers Authority under California State Law, established as an association of local governments and agencies that voluntarily convene as a forum to address regional issues. SCAG encompasses the counties of Los Angeles, Orange, Ventura, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Imperial.

SCAG is designated as a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and as a Regional Transportation Planning Agency. Under SB 375, SCAG, as a designated MPO, is required to prepare a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) as an integral part of its Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). On September 3, 2020, SCAG's Regional Council adopted the 2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (2020 RTP/SCS). The 2020 RTP/SCS is a long-range visioning plan that balances future mobility and housing needs with economic, environmental, and public health goals. Information contained in Chapter 5: The Road to Greater Mobility and Sustainable Growth of the 2020 RTP/SCS forms the basis for the land use and transportation components of the AQMP and are utilized in the preparation of air quality forecasts and consistency analysis included in the AQMP.

3.2.3.2 SCAQMD Air Quality Management Plan

The purpose of an AQMP is to bring an air basin into compliance with federal and state air quality standards and is a multi-tiered document that builds on previously adopted AQMPs. The 2016 AQMP for the Basin, which updated the 2012 AQMP, was approved by the SCAQMD Board of Directors on March 3, 2017. The 2016 AQMP provides new and revised demonstration's for how the SCAQMD, in coordination with Federal, State, Regional and Local Governments will bring the Basin back into attainment for the following NAAQS: 1997 8-hour Ozone; 1997 1-hour Ozone; 2008 8-hour Ozone; 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5}; and 2012 Annual $PM_{2.5}$.²

On December 2, 2022, the SCAQMD Governing Board adopted the 2022 AQMP, which focuses on bringing the South Coast Air Basin and the Salton Sea Air Basin into compliance with the 2015 8-hour ozone standard. The South Coast Air Basin, which is in extreme nonattainment, has an attainment year of 2037 for the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS. The 2022 AQMP includes growth projections developed by SCAG for the 2020 RTP/SCS that help inform emissions inventories. The 2022 AQMP plans to reduce NOx emissions to 60 tons per day, which is 67% below the current 2037 baseline, in order to meet this standard. The 2022 AQMP notes that widespread adoption of zero emission technologies across all sectors and a combination of local, state, and federal action will be required to achieve the projected NOx reductions.

The SCAQMD proposes incentive programs and 49 control measures that, with state and federal control measures, can achieve the required NOx reductions. SCAQMD's incentive programs would focus on promoting deployment of existing zero emission and low NOx technology and on developing new zero

² Although the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} standard was focused on in the 2012 AQMP, it has since been determined, primarily due to unexpected drought conditions, that it is impractical to meet the standard by the original attainment year. Since adoption of the 2012 AQMP, the U.S. EPA approved a re-classification to "serious" non-attainment for the standard, which requires a new attainment demonstration and deadline.

emission and ultra-low NOx technologies. SCAQMD's control measures consist of 30 measures that target stationary sources and 18 that target mobile sources. The 2022 AQMP includes stationary source measures that seek to reduce NOx from residential combustion sources, commercial combustion sources, and large combustion sources, as further described below.

- Residential control measures focus on reducing NOx by replacing appliances and devices (e.g., for heating and cooking) with zero emission and low-NOx appliances.
- Commercial control measures are identified reduce NOx from commercial appliances, cooking devices, and small internal combustion engines and commercial combustion equipment.
- Large combustion control measures have been included reduce NOx from sources including boilers, engines, and facilities.

In addition, the 2022 AQMP includes stationary source measures to reduce VOC, including reducing leaks and providing incentive funding for the adoption of low-VOC technology. The 2022 AQMP also includes co-benefit measures that quantify the reduction in criteria air pollutants from energy and climate change measures. Other stationary source measures (e.g., education and outreach) seek to reduce all criteria pollutants.

Finally, the 2022 AQMP includes mobile source control measures grouped into the following categories:

- Emission growth management, which mitigate emissions from new or redevelopment projects.
- Facility based, which focus on mobile sources at port, railyards, and intermodal facilities.
- On-road and off-road mobile sources, which focus on vehicles and equipment used during construction and operation at industrial sites.
- Incentives, for early deployment of cleaner technology.
- Other measures (e.g., infrastructure planning).

3.2.3.3 SCAQMD Rules

In order to control air pollution in the Basin, the SCAQMD adopts rules that establish permissible air pollutant emissions and governs a variety of businesses, processes, operations, and products to implement the AQMP and the various federal and state air quality requirements. SCAQMD does not adopt rules for mobile sources; those are established by CARB or the U.S. EPA. In general, the SCAQMD rules that are anticipated to be applicable to the development of the proposed Project, include:

- Rule 203 (Permit to Operate) sets forth the requirement that the use or operation any equipment or agricultural permit unit, the use of which may cause the issuance of air contaminants, or the use of which may reduce or control the issuance of air contaminants, must receive a written permit to operate from the Executive Officer.
- Rule 401 (Visible Emissions) prohibits discharge into the atmosphere from any single source of emission for any contaminant for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour that is as dark or darker in shade than that designated as No. 1 on the Ringelmann Chart, as published by the U.S. Bureau of Mines.
- Rule 402 (Nuisance) prohibits discharges of air contaminants or other material which cause injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or the public, or which cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property.

- Rule 403 (Fugitive Dust) prohibits emissions of fugitive dust from any grading activity, storage
 pile, or other disturbed surface area if it crosses the project property line or if emissions caused
 by vehicle movement cause substantial impairment of visibility (defined as exceeding 20
 percent capacity in the air). Rule 403 requires the implementation of Best Available Control
 Measures and includes additional provisions for projects disturbing more than five acres and
 those disturbing more than fifty acres.
- Rule 445 (Wood Burning Devices) prohibits installation of woodburning devices such as fireplaces and wood-burning stoves in new development unless the development is located at an elevation above 3,000 feet or if existing infrastructure for natural gas service is not available within 150-feet of the development. All fireplaces installed within the Proposed Project area will be natural gas fueled fireplaces.
- **Rule 481 (Spray Coating Operations)** imposes equipment and operational restrictions during construction for all spray painting and spray coating operations.
- **Rule 1108 (Cutback Asphalt)** prohibits the sale or use of any cutback asphalt containing more than 0.5 percent by volume organic compounds which evaporate at 260°C (500°F) or lower.
- Rule 1113 (Architectural Coatings) establishes maximum concentrations of VOCs in paints and other applications and establishes the thresholds for low-VOC coatings.
- Rule 1143 (Consumer Paint Thinners and Multi-Purpose Solvents) prohibits the supply, sale, manufacture, blend, package or repackage of any consumer paint thinner or multi-purpose solvent for use in the SCAQMD unless consumer paint thinners or other multi-purpose solvents comply with applicable VOC content limits.
- Rule 1403 (Asbestos Emissions from Demolition/Renovation Activities) specifies work practice requirements to limit asbestos emissions from building demolition and renovation activities, including the removal and associated disturbance of asbestos containing materials. The requirements for demolition and renovation activities include asbestos surveying, notification, asbestos containing materials removal procedures and time schedules, asbestos containing materials handling and clean-up procedures, and storage, disposal, and land filling requirements for asbestos containing waste materials.

3.2.4 CITY OF MONTEREY PARK

3.2.4.1 General Plan

The City of Monterey Park's General Plan contains the following policies regarding air quality that may be applicable to the proposed Project:

- Resources Element, Air Quality
 - o Policy 5.1: Continue to improve traffic flow through and within the city.
 - Policy 5.2: Review zoning regulations annually to identify whether revisions are required to accommodate and encourage the use of alternative-fuel vehicles (for example, electric cars).
 - Policy 5.3: Encourage employer rideshare and transit incentives programs by local businesses.
 - Policy 5.4: Enhance pedestrian and bicycle circulation within Monterey Park.

- Policy 5.5: Support the development of higher density housing in close proximity to commercial service centers.
- Policy 5.6: Synchronize traffic signals to reduce the number of stops and starts by automobiles.
- Policy 5.7: Promote energy conservation and recycling by the public and private sectors.
- Policy 5.8: Integrate air quality planning with land use and transportation planning.
- Environmental Justice Element
 - Policy 2.1: Limit exposure to environmental pollution through good planning and the public process.

3.2.4.2 Municipal Code

The City of Monterey Park's Municipal Code Section 4.30.050(t), Public Nuisances, states that properties cannot have uncovered areas that cause excessive dust.

4 AIR QUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS

This chapter evaluates the direct and indirect air quality impacts that could result from implementation of the proposed Project.

4.1 THRESHOLDS OF SIGNIFICANCE

In accordance with Appendix G of the State CEQA Guidelines, the proposed Project could result in potentially significant impacts related to air quality if it would:

- Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable SCAQMD 2020 AQMP;
- Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the South Coast Air Basin is designated non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard;
- Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations; or
- Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people.

4.1.1 REGIONAL AND TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANT SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS

Consistent with the guidance contained in Appendix G of the State CEQA Guidelines, this Report relies upon SCAQMD-recommended methods and pollutant thresholds to evaluate whether the proposed Project's emissions would violate any air quality standard, contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, result in a cumulatively considerable net increase in nonattainment criteria air pollutants, or expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. The SCAQMD's recommended thresholds of significance for criteria pollutants and incremental increases in health risk are shown in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1: SCAQMD-Recommended CEQA Thresholds					
Dellutent	Maximum Daily Emissions (lbs/day)				
Pollutant	Construction	Operation			
NO _X	100	55			
VOC/ROG	75	55			
PM ₁₀	150	150			
PM _{2.5}	55	55			
SO _X	150	150			
CO	550	550			
Lead	3	3			
	Maximum Incremental Ca	ncer Risk ≥ 10 in 1 million			
TACs	Cancer Burden > 0.5 excess cancer cases (in areas \geq 1 in 1 million)				
Chronic & Acute Hazard Index ≥ 1.0 (project increment)					
Source: SCAQMD, 2019					

4.1.2 LOCALIZED SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS

In addition to establishing thresholds of significance for emissions of criteria air pollutants on a regional level, the SCAQMD has also developed Localized Significance Thresholds (LSTs) that represent the maximum emissions from a project that are not expected to cause or contribute to an exceedance of the most stringent applicable Federal or State ambient air quality standards, which would result in significant adverse localized air quality effects. The LST methodology takes into account a number of factors, including (1) existing ambient air quality in each Source Receptor Area (SRA); (2) how many acres the project would disturb in a day; and (3) how far project construction and operational activities would take place from the nearest sensitive receptor. Unlike the regional emission significance thresholds, LSTs have only been developed for NO_X, CO, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. This Report evaluates the proposed Project's potential to expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations pursuant to the SCAQMD Final Localized Significance Thresholds Methodology. This methodology provides screening tables for one through five-acre project scenarios. The construction and operational LSTs for one-acre, two-acre, and five-acre sites in SRA 11 (South San Gabriel Valley), the SRA in which the City of Monterey is located, are shown in Table 4-2.

Table 4-2: SCAQMD Localized Significance Thresholds for Source Receptor Area 11												
Pollutant Monitored	Maximum Allowable Emissions (Pounds per Day) as a Function of Receptor Distance (in Feet) from Site Boundary											
	82 Feet	164 Feet	328 Feet	656 Feet	1,640 Feet							
	ONE-ACRE SITE											
Construction Thresholds												
Nitrogen Oxides (NO _x)	83	84	96	123	193							
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	673	760	1,113	2,110	6,884							
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	5	13	29	60	153							
Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})	4	5	9	20	83							
Pollutant Monitored	Maximum Al Rec	Maximum Allowable Emissions (Pounds per Day) as a Function of Receptor Distance (in Feet) from Site Boundary										
	82 Feet	164 Feet	328 Feet	656 Feet	1,640 Feet							
	ON	E-ACRE SITE										
Operational Thresholds												
Nitrogen Oxides (NO _x)	83	84	96	123	193							
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	673	760	1,113	2,110	6,884							
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	1	4	7	15	37							
Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})	1	2	3	5	20							
	TWO	O-ACRE SITE										
Construction Thresholds	-											
Nitrogen Oxides (NO _x)	121	118	126	147	206							
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	1,031	1,143	1,554	2,660	7,530							
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	7	22	37	68	162							
Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})	5	8	12	24	89							
Operational Thresholds	Operational Thresholds											
Nitrogen Oxides (NO _x)	121	118	126	147	206							
Table 4-2: SCAQMD Localiz	ed Significance	Thresholds for	Source Rece	ptor Area 11								
---	---	----------------	-------------	--------------	------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Pollutant Monitored	Maximum Allowable Emissions (Pounds per Day) as a Function of Receptor Distance (in Feet) from Site Boundary											
	82 Feet	164 Feet	328 Feet	656 Feet	1,640 Feet							
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	1,031	1,143	1,554	2,660	7,530							
Particulate Matter (PM10)	2	6	9	17	39							
Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})	2	2	3	6	22							
	FI	/E-ACRE SITE										
Construction Thresholds												
Nitrogen Oxides (NO _x)	183	176	184	202	245							
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	1,814	1,984	2,549	4,024	9,342							
Particulate Matter (PM10)	14	43	59	91	186							
Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})	9	12	19	34	104							
Operational Thresholds												
Nitrogen Oxides (NO _x)	183	176	184	202	245							
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	1,814	1,984	2,549	4,024	9,342							
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	4	11	15	22	45							
Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})	2	3	5	9	25							
Source: SCAQMD 2008, modified by M	IG 2021											

Note: The localized thresholds for NOx in this table account for the conversion of NO to NO₂. The emission thresholds are based on NO₂ levels, as this is the compound associated with adverse health effects.

4.1.3 CARBON MONOXIDE "HOT SPOT" THRESHOLDS

Historically, to determine whether a project poses the potential for a CO hotspot, the quantitative CO screening procedures provided in the *Transportation Project-Level Carbon Monoxide Protocol* (the Protocol) were used (UCD ITS 1997). The Protocol determines whether a project may worsen air quality by increasing the percentage of vehicles in cold start modes by two percent or more; significantly increasing traffic volumes by five percent or more; or worsening traffic flow at signalized intersections (by increasing average delay at intersections operating at level of service (LOS) E or F or causing an intersection that would operate at LOS D or better without the project, to operate at LOS E or F). With new vehicles and improvements in fuels resulting in fewer emissions, the retirement of older polluting vehicles, and new controls and programs, CO concentrations have declined dramatically in California. As a result of emissions controls on new vehicles, the number of vehicles that can idle, and the length of time that vehicles can idle before emissions would trigger a CO impact, has increased. Therefore, the use of LOS as an indicator is no longer applicable for determining CO impacts.

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) developed a screening-level analysis for CO hotspots in 2010 which finds that projects that are consistent with the applicable congestion management program, and that do not cause traffic volumes at affected intersections to increase to more than 44,000 vehicles per hour, would not result in a CO hotspot that could exceed State or Federal air quality standards (BAAQMD 2017 pg. 3-4). CO modeling was conducted for the SCAQMD's 2003 AQMP at four busy intersections during morning and evening peak hour periods as well. The busiest intersection studied in this analysis, Wilshire Boulevard and Veteran Avenue, had 8,062 vehicles per hour during morning peak hours, 7,719 vehicles per hour during evening peak hours, and approximately 100,000 vehicles per day. The 2003 AQMP estimated that the 1-hour CO concentration for this intersection was 4.6

ppm, which is less than a fourth of the 1-hour CAAQS CO standard (20 ppm). The BAAQMD screening threshold is generally consistent with the results of the CO modeling conducted for the SCAQMD's 2003 AQMP.

Therefore, for purposes of this Report, the Project would pose the potential for a CO hotspot if it would exceed the BAAQMD's screening traffic level for peak hour intersection traffic volumes (44,000 vehicles per hour) (thereby having the potential to result in CO concentrations that exceed 1-hour State [20 ppm], 1-hour Federal [35 ppm], and/or State and Federal 8-hour [9 ppm] ambient air quality standards for CO).

4.2 ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

Construction and operational emissions associated with buildout of the Project were calculated and evaluated against regional and localized significance thresholds to determine potential impacts on air quality standards, as well as to evaluate potential impacts associated with DPM emissions on sensitive receptors. In addition, a discussion is provided below on the potential for the Project to generate CO hotspots or objectionable odors. An evaluation of whether the Project is consistent with existing plans and policies protecting air quality is also included below.

4.2.1 MASS-BASED CRITERIA AIR POLLUTANT AND TAC EMISSIONS

4.2.1.1 Construction Emissions

Construction of the proposed Project would generate equipment exhaust and dust emissions from demolition activities, ground disturbing activities such as site preparation and grading, and the use of gasoline- and diesel-fuel combustion in on- and off-site heavy duty construction equipment, worker vehicle trips, vendor vehicle trips, and haul truck trips, ground disturbing activities. The proposed Project's potential construction emissions were modeled using CalEEMod, Version 2022.1.1.6. The Project's construction activities, duration, and typical equipment used during construction are shown in Table 2-2. The construction phases, duration, and the type and amount of equipment used during construction was generated using CalEEMod default assumptions, and modified to reflect the following Project-specific characteristics:

- **Construction Phase** durations were altered per the applicant's construction schedule. The changes are as follows:
 - o Demolition Phase was reduced from 20 days (default) to 10 days;
 - **Grading Phase** was extended from 4 days (default) to 20 days to account for additional time that may be required to excavate for the subterranean parking garage;
 - o Trenching Phase was added to reflect construction operations;
 - Building Construction Phase was separated from one phase that was 200 days (default) into two phases, Building Construction (Foundation) and Building Construction (Vertical). Building Construction (Foundation) was 30 days and Building Construction (Vertical) was 360 days;
- Construction Equipment was adjusted to reflect the quantity and daily runtime associated with equipment operation during development activities.
- **Off-haul** of approximately 9,000 cubic yards of soil during the grading phase to account for spoils that would be generated while excavating for the subterranean parking garage was added.

• **Vendor Trips per Day** were increased from 14 trips/day to 30 trips/day for the Building Construction (Foundation) phase in order to account for potential concrete deliveries.

4.2.1.2 Operational Emissions

Once operational, the proposed Project would generate emissions from the following sources:

- **Small "area" sources** including landscaping equipment and the use of consumer products such as paints, cleaners, and fertilizers that result in the evaporation of chemicals to the atmosphere during product use.
- Energy use in the form of natural gas combustion for building water and space heating needs.
- Mobile sources including trips made to and from the site by new residents and visitors.

Similar to construction emissions, criteria air pollutant emissions were estimated in CalEEMod, Version 2022.1.1.6 based on default model assumptions, with the following modifications made to reflect Project-specific characteristics:

- Area Sources: Woodstoves and fireplaces were removed pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 445. The quantity of wood-burning fireplaces assumed by CalEEMod were added to natural-gas powered fireplaces.
- **Mobile Sources:** The default, weekday trip generation rate for the proposed land use was updated to reflect the trip generation rate provided in the Transportation Study Screening Analysis prepared for the proposed Project by Ganddini Group (Ganddini Group 2023; see Table 2-1). The average vehicle miles travelled (VMT) distance for the proposed Project identified in the Transportation Study Screening Analysis was also inputted into the model.

4.3 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

4.3.1 CONSISTENCY WITH THE APPLICABLE AIR QUALITY PLAN

As described in Section 3.1.3, the proposed Project is within the South Coast Air Basin, which is under the jurisdiction of the SCAQMD. Pursuant to the methodology provided in Chapter 12 of the SCAQMD *CEQA Air Quality Handbook*, consistency with the AQMP is affirmed if the Project:

- 1) Is consistent with the growth assumptions in the AQMP; and
- 2) Does not increase the frequency or severity of an air quality standards violation, or cause a new one.

Consistency Criterion 1 refers to the growth forecasts and associated assumptions included in the 2022 AQMP. The 2022 AQMP was designed to achieve attainment for all criteria air pollutants within the Basin while still accommodating growth in the region. Projects that are consistent with the AQMP growth assumptions would not interfere with attainment of air quality standards, because this growth is included in the projections used to formulate the AQMP. The proposed Project would generate approximately 50 new residential units by building a 65-unit housing facility and demolishing 15 existing structures/14 habitable residential units. This would fall within the SCAG 2020 RTP/SCS growth projections for the City of Monterey Park (i.e., 2,200 new households and 4,100 residents between 2016 and 2045; SCAG, 2020). Therefore, the proposed Project would not exceed the growth assumptions contained in the AQMP.

Consistency Criterion 2 refers to the CAAQS. In developing its CEQA significance thresholds, the SCAQMD considered the emission levels at which a project's individual emissions would be cumulatively considerable (SCAQMD, 2003; page D-3). As described below in Section 4.3.2, the proposed Project would not generate construction or operational emissions in excess of SCAQMD criteria air pollutant thresholds.

For the reasons described above, the proposed Project would not conflict with the SCAQMD 2022 AQMP.

4.3.2 CUMULATIVELY CONSIDERABLE NET INCREASE OF CRITERIA AIR POLLUTANTS

The proposed Project would generate both short-term construction emissions and long-term operational emissions. As described in more detail below, the proposed Project would not generate emissions levels that exceed SCAQMD-recommended pollutant thresholds.

4.3.2.1 Unmitigated Construction Emissions

The proposed Project's maximum daily unmitigated construction emissions are shown in Table 4-3. The construction emissions estimates incorporate measures to control and reduce fugitive dust as required by SCAQMD Rule 403 (see Section 3.2.3), but do not incorporate Mitigation Measure AIR-1 (see Section 4.3.3.2). Please refer to Appendix A for CalEEMod output files for detailed construction emissions assumptions.

Table 4-3: Unmitigated Construction	Table 4-3: Unmitigated Construction Emissions Estimates													
Saaaan	Maximum Daily Emissions (lbs/day)													
Season	ROG	NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}								
Summer 2023	0.8	11.9	10.5	<0.1	1.8	0.7								
Winter 2023	1.4	10.0	14.5	<0.1	1.1	0.6								
Summer 2024	1.3	9.5	14.8	<0.1	1.3	0.6								
Winter 2024	1.3	9.6	14.0	<0.1	1.3	0.6								
Winter 2025	68.3	9.0	13.6	<0.1	1.3	0.5								
SCAQMD CEQA Threshold	75	100	550	150	150	55								
Threshold Exceeded?	No	No	No	No	No	No								
Source: MIG, 2023 (see Appendix A)														

As shown in Table 4-3, the proposed Project's maximum daily unmitigated construction emissions would be below the SCAQMD's regional pollutant thresholds for all pollutants. This evaluation of construction emissions is conservative, as the construction emissions estimates do not include the emission reductions that would occur with Mitigation Measure AIR-1 identified in Section 4.3.3.2. These emissions reductions would primarily lower the NO_x and PM exhaust emissions that are estimated to occur during construction. Therefore, the construction of the proposed Project would not generate construction-related emissions that exceed SCAQMD CEQA thresholds.

4.3.2.2 Operational Emissions

Once operational, the proposed Project would generate emissions of regulated air pollutants from the sources described in Section 4.2.1.2. The proposed Project's maximum daily unmitigated operational

Table 4-4: Operational Emissions Estimates												
Source	Maximum Daily Pollutant Emissions (Pounds Per Day) ^(A)											
Source	ROG	NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}						
Area	3.1	1.0	6.1	<0.1	0.1	0.1						
Energy	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Mobile	1.7	2.3	24.7	0.1	2.3	2.3						
Total Project Emissions ^(B)	4.8	3.5	30.9	0.1	2.4	2.4						
SCAQMD CEQA Threshold	55	55	550	150	150	55						
Threshold Exceeded?	No	No	No	No	No	No						
					-	-						

emissions are shown in Table 4-4. The emissions presented are for the proposed Project's first year of operation, which is presumed to be 2025.

Source: MIG, 2020 (See Appendix A)

(A) Emissions presented are worst-case emissions and may reflect summer or winter emissions levels. Maximum daily ROG, CO, SO_X emissions occur during the summer. Maximum daily NO_X emissions occur during the winter. In general, due to rounding, there is no difference between summer and winter PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} emissions levels for the purposes of this table.
(B) Totals may not equal due to rounding.

As shown in Table 4-4, the proposed Project's maximum daily unmitigated operational emissions would be below the SCAQMD's regional pollutant thresholds for all pollutants. Therefore, the construction of the proposed Project would not generate operations-related emissions that exceed SCAQMD CEQA thresholds.

4.3.2.3 Conclusion

The Basin is currently designated non-attainment for State and/or federal standards for ozone, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} (see Table 3-2). As discussed in the preceding subsections, the proposed Project would not result in construction or operational emissions of criteria air pollutants that exceed SCAQMD thresholds of significance. In developing its CEQA significance thresholds, the SCAQMD considered the emission levels at which a project's individual emissions would be cumulatively considerable (SCAQMD, 2003; page D-3). The SCAQMD considers projects that result in emissions that exceed its CEQA significance thresholds to result in individual impacts that are cumulatively considerable and significant. Since the proposed Project would not individually exceed any SCAQMD CEQA significance thresholds, it would not result in a cumulatively considerable increase in regulated, nonattainment pollutants.

4.3.3 SENSITIVE RECEPTORS AND SUBSTANTIAL POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS

The proposed Project would generate both short-term construction emissions and long-term operational emissions that could impact sensitive residential receptors located near the Project; however, as described in more detail below, the proposed Project would not generate short-term or long-term emissions that exceed SCAQMD-recommended localized significance thresholds or result in other substantial pollutant concentrations with the incorporation of mitigation measures.

4.3.3.1 Localized Significance Thresholds

Construction Emissions

The proposed Project's maximum daily construction emissions are compared against the SCAQMD's-recommended LSTs in Table 4-2. Consistent with the SCAQMD's LST methodology, the emissions included in the construction LST analysis are onsite emissions only, and the LST thresholds against which these onsite emissions are compared are based on the Project size, in acres. The LST

thresholds are for SRA 11 (South San Gabriel Valley), the SRA in which the proposed Project is located, and are based on a receptor distance of 25 meters (82 feet), the closest LST receptor distance threshold recommended for use by the SCAQMD, and a project site of 2 acres.

The emissions presented in Table 4-5 incorporate certain best available control measures the Project would be subject to pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 403, Fugitive Dust. Specifically, the CalEEMod project file applies an approximate 55 percent reduction in PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ fugitive dust emissions through site watering (two times daily) and replacement of ground cover. These estimated reductions are consistent with the reductions realized by implementation of the numerous best available control measures contained in SCAQMD Rule 403.

Table 4-5: LST Construction Analysis													
Construction Phase	Maxim	um Daily Emissi	ons (Pounds per I	Day) ^(A)									
Construction Phase	NO _x	CO	PM₁₀ ^(B)	PM_{2.5} ^(B)									
Demolition 2023	4.6	4.8	1.5	0.4									
Site Preparation 2023	1.3	2.1	0.1	0.1									
Grading 2023	11.9	10.6	1.8	0.7									
Trenching 2023	1.1	1.3	0.1	0.1									
Building Construction (Foundation) 2023	3.2	8.2	1.2	0.4									
Building Construction (Vertical) 2023	10.0	14.5	1.4	0.6									
Building Construction (Vertical) 2024	9.6	14.8	1.3	0.6									
Building Construction (Vertical) 2025	9.0	13.6	1.3	0.5									
Paving 2025	2.8	4.2	0.2	0.1									
Architectural Coating 2025	1.0	2.7	0.4	0.1									
SCAQMD LST Threshold (1-Acre)	83	673	5	4									
Threshold Exceeded?	No	No	No	No									

Source: MIG 2023 (see Appendix A)

(A) Emissions presented are worst-case total emissions and may reflect summer or winter emissions levels.

(B) PM emissions assume compliance with SCAQMD Rule 403 best available control measures for site watering and replacing ground cover.

As shown in Table 4-5, the maximum daily onsite emissions generated during all construction phases associated with the Project would be below the SCAQMD's LST thresholds for a two-acre site at a distance of 82 feet (approximately 25 meters), the closest LST receptor distance threshold recommended for use by the SCAQMD.

Operational Emissions

The Project's maximum daily operational emissions are compared against the SCAQMD'srecommended LSTs in Table 4-6. Consistent with the SCAQMD's LST methodology, the emissions included in the operational LST analysis are onsite emissions only, and the LST thresholds against which these onsite emissions are compared are based on the Project size, in acres. The LST thresholds are for SRA 11 (South San Gabriel Valley), the SRA in which the Project is located and are based on a receptor distance of 82 feet (approximately 25 meters), the closest LST receptor distance threshold recommended for use by the SCAQMD.

Table 4-6: LST Operational Ana	lysis										
Finitationa	Maximum Onsite Pollutant Emissions (Pounds Per Day)										
Emissions	NOx	CO	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}							
Area Sources	1.0	6.1	0.1	0.1							
Energy Sources	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
Mobile Sources ^(A)	2.3	24.7	<0.1	<0.1							
Total Emissions ^(B)	3.3	30.8	0.1	0.1							
SCAQMD LST Threshold ^(C)	121	1,031	2	2							
Threshold Exceeded?	No	No	No	No							

Source: MIG 2023(see Appendix A).

(A) Mobile source emissions estimates reflect potential onsite vehicle emissions only and were derived by assuming 2% of operational mobile source emissions in Table 4-3 will occur onsite.

(B) Emissions presented are worst-case emissions and may reflect summer or winter emissions levels. In general, due to rounding, there is no difference between summer and winter emissions levels for the purposes of this table.
(C) LST threshold is based on a 2.0-acre project size and 25-meter (82-foot) receptor distance.

As shown in Table 4-6, the maximum daily onsite emissions generated during operation of the proposed Project would not exceed the SCAQMD's recommended LST thresholds.

4.3.3.2 Construction Health Risk Assessment

As described in Section 3.1.7, sensitive receptors are located north, south, east, and west of the Project site. The proposed Project would generate DPM, a TAC, from combustion of diesel fuel in heavy-duty construction equipment and trucks used to access the site during construction. The Project would involve different construction activities occurring at different intensities over an approximately 19-month timeframe, with initial groundbreaking taking place potentially as early as July 2023. Receptors would be exposed to varying concentrations of pollutants throughout the construction period.

Due to the proposed Project's close proximity to adjacent sensitive receptors, construction exhaust emissions of DPM would likely have the potential to result in incremental cancerogenic health risk increases that are in excess of the SCAQMD's threshold of 10 excess cancers in a million. To reduce potential DPM exhaust emissions generated by Project construction activities, MIG recommends the Project incorporate Mitigation Measure AIR-1 into the proposed Project to ensure the proposed Project does not generate TAC emissions that have the potential to result in substantial adverse health effects at receptor locations near the proposed Project:

Mitigation Measure AIR-1: Reduce Construction-Related DPM Emissions. To reduce potential short-term adverse health risks associated with PM₁₀ exhaust emissions generated during project construction activities, including emissions of diesel particulate matter (DPM), the Applicant and/or it's designated contractors, contractor's representatives, or other appropriate personnel to shall implement the following construction equipment restrictions for the Project:

- 1. Contractors shall use the smallest size equipment capable of safely completing work activities.
- 2. Electric hook-ups shall be provided for stationary equipment (e.g., pumps, compressors, welding sets).
- 3. The use of portable diesel generators shall be prohibited at the Project site.

4. All construction equipment with a rated power-output of 50 horsepower or greater shall meet U.S. EPA and CARB Tier IV Final Emission Standards for PM₁₀. This may be achieved via the use of equipment with engines that have been certified to meet Tier IV emission standards, or through the use of equipment that has been retrofitted with a CARB-verified diesel emission control strategy (e.g., particulate filter) capable of reducing exhaust PM₁₀ emissions to levels that meet Tier IV standards.

As an alternatively to using equipment that meets Tier IV Final Emissions Standards for off-road equipment with a rated power-output of 50 horsepower or greater, the Applicant may prepare and submit a refined construction health risk assessment to the City once additional Project-specific construction information is known (e.g., specific construction equipment type, quantity, engine tier, and runtime by phase). The refined health risk assessment shall demonstrate and identify any measures necessary such that the proposed Project's incremental cancerogenic health risk at nearby sensitive receptor locations is below the applicable SCAQMD threshold of 10 cancers in a million.

Implementation of Mitigation Measure AIR-1 would reduce the amount of DPM that adjacent receptors would be exposed to by approximately 51 percent and reduce the potential for substantial pollutant concentrations and adverse health risks resulting from construction-related DPM emissions to a less than significant level.

4.3.3.3 Carbon Monoxide Hot Spots

A CO hotspot is an area of localized CO pollution that is caused by severe vehicle congestion on major roadways, typically near high volume intersections. Several screening procedures have been developed by air districts throughout the state to assess whether a project may result in a CO impact. For example, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) developed a screening threshold in 2010 which states that any project involving an intersection experiencing 44,000 vehicles per hour would require detailed analysis (BAAQMD, 2017 pg. 3-4). Additionally, the SCAQMD's 2003 AQMP and 1992 Federal Attainment Plan for Carbon Monoxide demonstrated that CO levels were below the CAAQS at an intersection with a daily traffic volume of up to approximately 100,000 vehicles per day. The proposed Project would add approximately 331 new vehicle trips to the roadway system per day (Ganddini Group 2023). The worst-case hourly intersection volume in the project vicinity would be relatively unaffected by the Project, which is projected to add a total of 20 trips during the AM peak hour and 25 trips during the PM peak hour. This is well below the BAAQMD screening threshold, and surrounding roadway segments would not have traffic volumes exceeding 100,000 vehicles per day. The proposed Project would not cause intersection volumes to exceed any daily (100,000) or hourly (44,000) screening vehicle volumes maintained by the SCAQMD and other regional air districts and, therefore, would not result in significant CO concentrations.

4.3.3.4 Conclusion

The proposed Project's construction and operational criteria air pollutant emissions would be below the SCAQMD's LSTs, and additional traffic and associated emissions generated by the Project would not cause a CO hot spot. The proposed Project's PM₁₀ exhaust emissions (i.e., DPM) could, however, result in incremental cancerogenic risk increases that exceed the SCAQMD's threshold. MIG recommends the proposed Project incorporate Mitigation Measure AIR-1, which requires all off-road equipment with a rated power-output of 50 horsepower or greater meet Tier IV emission standard. Alternatively, the Applicant may conduct a new construction health risk assessment once additional details are known regarding

construction activities that would occur at the site, and identify new construction equipment limitations/requirements such that Project health risks remain below the SCAQMD threshold. With the implementation of Mitigation Measure AIR-1, the proposed Project would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

4.3.4 ODORS

According to the SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook, land uses associated with odor complaints include agricultural operations, wastewater treatment plants, landfills, and certain industrial operations (such as manufacturing uses that produce chemicals, paper, etc.). The proposed Project does not include such sources but would result in the construction of a new apartment complex and parking garage that could generate odors related to vehicle parking and refuse collection (e.g., oils, lubricants, fuel vapors, short-term waste odors). These activities would not generate sustained odors that would affect substantial numbers of people.

This page was intentionally left blank.

5 REPORT PREPARERS AND REFERENCES

This report was prepared by MIG under contract to The Commons of MPK LLC. This report reflects the independent, objective, professional opinion of MIG. The following individuals were involved in the preparation and review of this report:

MIG

Chris Dugan, Director of Air Quality, GHG, and Noise Services 916-956-3802 / cdugan@migcom.com

1650 Spruce Street, Suite 106 Riverside, California 92507 (951) 787-9222

Phil Gleason, Senior Project Manager II pgleason@migcom.com

William Deeman, Air Quality Analyst I wdeeman@migcom.com

5.1 REFERENCES

- Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) 2017. *California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines*. San Francisco, CA. June 2010, updated May 2017.
- California Air Resources Board (CARB) 2000. Risk Reduction Plan to Reduce Particulate Matter Emissions from Diesel-Fueled Engines and Vehicles. California Air Resource Board, Stationary Source Division, Mobile Source Control Division. October 2000.
- _____2004. Definitions of VOC and ROG. Sacramento, CA. 2004. Available online at: https://www.arb.ca.gov/ei/speciate/voc_rog_dfn_11_04.pdf>
- _____2005. Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective. Sacramento, CA. 2005. Available online at: https://www.arb.ca.gov/ch/handbook.pdf>
- _____2016. "Overview: Diesel Exhaust and Health." Health Effects of Diesel. Available online at: <<u>https://www.arb.ca.gov/research/diesel/diesel-health.htm</u>>
- _____2019. "EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Part One" November 20, 2019.
 - <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/msei/emfac_off_model_adjustment_factors_final_draft.pdf>
- 2020. "EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Emissions Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Part One and the Final SAFE Rule" June 26, 2020. https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/msei/emfac off model co2 adjustment factors 06262020-final.pdf
 - _2022a. Sulfate and Health. 2022. https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/sulfate-and-health
 - 2022b. CEPAM2019v1.03 Emission Projection Data 2017 Estimated Annual Average Emissions South Coast Air Basin. 2022. <u>https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/applications/emissions-air-basin</u>

City of Monterey Park. 2001. "General Plan." Adopted July 18.

- 2020 Land Use and Urban Design Element. Adopted June 17, 2020.
 - ____2021. Municipal Code. Chapter 4.30. Accessed October 20, 2021. http://qcode.us/codes/montereypark/

- Ganddini Group. 2023. "338-410 Alhambra Avenue Residential Project Transportation Study Screening Analysis." February 10, 2023
- Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). 2021. CalEnviroScreen 4,0 Report. October 2021. Online at:

<https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/calenviroscreen/report/calenviroscreen40reportf2021.pdf>

- 2023a. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Indicators Map. April 2023. Available online at: https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/report/calenviroscreen-40
- ___2023b. SB 5353 Disadvantaged Communities Map (2022 Update). April 2023. Available online at: https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/sb535
- South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) 2003. *White Paper on Potential Control Strategies to Address Cumulative Impacts from Air Pollution.* Diamond Bar, CA. August 2003.
 - 2008. Final Localized Significance Threshold Methodology Appendix C Mass Rate LST Look-up Table. 2008. http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/localized-significance-thresholds/appendix-c-mass-rate-lst-look-up-tables.pdf?sfvrsn=2
 - 2017. Final 2016 Air Quality Management Plan. Diamond Bar, CA. March 2017.
- 2018a. *Meteorological Stations and Years of Meteorological Data Available*. Web 2018. Available online at: <u>https://www.aqmd.gov/home/air-quality/air-quality-data-studies/meteorological-data/aermod-table-1</u>
- 2018b. National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) Attainment Status for South Coast Air Basin. 2018. <<u>https://www.aqmd.gov/home/air-quality/clean-air-plans></u>
 - 2019. SCAQMD Air Quality Significance Thresholds. Diamond Bar, CA. Revised April 2019. Available online at: <<u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/scaqmd-air-quality-significance-thresholds.pdf</u>?sfvrsn=2>
- _____2020a-c. Historical Data by Year for 2018, 2019, and 2020. <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/home/air-guality/air-quality-data-studies/historical-data-by-year</u>
- 2021a. Multiple Air Toxics Exposure Study V (MATES V). Web: http://www.aqmd.gov/home/airquality/air-quality-studies/health-studies/mates-v.
- 2021b. MATES Data Visualization. Cancer Risk. 2021. Web. Accessed August 30, 2022. https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/79d3b6304912414bb21ebdde80100b23/page/Main-Page/?data_id=dataSource_105-a5ba9580e3aa43508a793fac819a5a4d%3A350&views=Clicktabs-for-other-data%2CCancer-Risk
- 2021c. MATES V Multiple Air Toxics Exposure Study in the South Coast AQMD. Final Report. August 2021. <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/planning/mates-v/mates-v-final-report-9-24-21.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>
- Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) 2020. Current Context Demographics and Growth Forecast Technical Report. September 3, 2020. <u>https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/0903fconnectsocal_demographics-and-growth-forecast.pdf?1606001579</u>.

TAG Design Works. 2023. Multi-Family Condominium Project, Monterey Park, CA Site Plan A1.0. 2023.

- United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). 2022a. "Ozone Basics." U.S. EPA, Environmental Topics [Air], Ground Level Ozone, What is "good"" versus "bad" ozone. Revised June 14, 2022. Web. August 19, 2022. <<u>https://www.epa.gov/ozone-pollution/ozone-basics#what%20where%20how</u>>
- 2022b. "Particulate Matter (PM) Basics." U.S. EPA, Environmental Topics [Air], Particulate Matter (PM), What is PM, and how does it get into the air?. Revised July 18, 2022. Web. August 19, 2022. ">https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/particulate-matter-pm-basics#PM>
- 2022c. "Carbon Monoxide (CO) Pollution in Outdoor Air." U.S. EPA, Environmental Topics [Air], Carbon Monoxide (CO), What is CO?. Revised August 2, 2022. Web. August 19, 2022. <<u>https://www.epa.gov/co-pollution/basic-information-about-carbon-monoxide-co-outdoor-air-pollution#What%20is%20CO</u>>
- 2022d. "Basic Information About NO₂." U.S. EPA, Environmental Topics [Air], Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂), What is NO₂, and how does it get into the air? August 2, 2022. Web. Revised August 19, 2022. <<u>https://www.epa.gov/no2-pollution/basic-information-about-no2#What%20is%20NO2</u>>
 - 2022e. "Sulfur Dioxide Basics." U.S. EPA, Environmental Topics [Air], Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂), What is SO₂, and how does it get into the air? Revised March 9, 2022. Web. August 19, 2022. https://www.epa.gov/so2-pollution/sulfur-dioxide-basics#what%20is%20so2>

This page was intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX A: CalEEMod Output Files

This page intentionally left blank

338-410 South Alhambra Detailed Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
 - 2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated
 - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
 - 2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Demolition (2023) Unmitigated
 - 3.2. Demolition (2023) Mitigated

- 3.3. Site Preparation (2023) Unmitigated
- 3.4. Site Preparation (2023) Mitigated
- 3.5. Grading (2023) Unmitigated
- 3.6. Grading (2023) Mitigated
- 3.7. Building Construction (2023) Unmitigated
- 3.8. Building Construction (2023) Mitigated
- 3.9. Building Construction (2023) Unmitigated
- 3.10. Building Construction (2023) Mitigated
- 3.11. Building Construction (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.12. Building Construction (2024) Mitigated
- 3.13. Building Construction (2025) Unmitigated
- 3.14. Building Construction (2025) Mitigated
- 3.15. Paving (2025) Unmitigated
- 3.16. Paving (2025) Mitigated
- 3.17. Architectural Coating (2025) Unmitigated
- 3.18. Architectural Coating (2025) Mitigated
- 3.19. Trenching (2023) Unmitigated

3.20. Trenching (2023) - Mitigated

- 4. Operations Emissions Details
 - 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.1.2. Mitigated
 - 4.2. Energy
 - 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
 - 4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Mitigated
 - 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
 - 4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Mitigated
 - 4.3. Area Emissions by Source
 - 4.3.2. Unmitigated
 - 4.3.1. Mitigated
 - 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.4.2. Unmitigated
 - 4.4.1. Mitigated
 - 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

- 4.5.2. Unmitigated
- 4.5.1. Mitigated
- 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.6.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.6.2. Mitigated
- 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.7.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.7.2. Mitigated
- 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.8.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.8.2. Mitigated
- 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.9.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.9.2. Mitigated
- 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
 - 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Unmitigated
 - 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Unmitigated

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

- 4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Mitigated
- 4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Mitigated
- 4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Mitigated
- 5. Activity Data
 - 5.1. Construction Schedule
 - 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.2.2. Mitigated
 - 5.3. Construction Vehicles
 - 5.3.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.3.2. Mitigated
 - 5.4. Vehicles
 - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
 - 5.5. Architectural Coatings
 - 5.6. Dust Mitigation
 - 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources
 - 5.9.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.9.2. Mitigated
- 5.10. Operational Area Sources
 - 5.10.1. Hearths
 - 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.10.1.2. Mitigated
 - 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings
 - 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment
 - 5.10.4. Landscape Equipment Mitigated
- 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption
 - 5.11.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.11.2. Mitigated
- 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

- 5.12.1. Unmitigated
- 5.12.2. Mitigated
- 5.13. Operational Waste Generation
 - 5.13.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.13.2. Mitigated
- 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment
 - 5.14.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.14.2. Mitigated
- 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.15.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.15.2. Mitigated
- 5.16. Stationary Sources
 - 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps
 - 5.16.2. Process Boilers
- 5.17. User Defined
- 5.18. Vegetation
 - 5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

- 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
- 5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

- 6.1. Climate Risk Summary
- 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
- 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores
- 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

- 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
- 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
- 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

- 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard
- 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	338-410 South Alhambra
Construction Start Date	7/1/2023
Operational Year	2025
Lead Agency	
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	0.50
Precipitation (days)	18.2
Location	34.05812935706017, -118.11639112960901
County	Los Angeles-South Coast
City	Monterey Park
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	4191
EDFZ	7
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas
App Version	2022.1.1.8

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
------------------	------	------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	-------------

Apartments Mid Rise	65.0	Dwelling Unit	0.00	103,653	0.00	—	192	_
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	45.0	1000sqft	1.03	45,067	0.00	_	_	_
Other Asphalt Surfaces	30.0	1000sqft	0.70	0.00	13,700			—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

Sector	#	Measure Title
Construction	C-5	Use Advanced Engine Tiers

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—	-			—					—	-						—
Unmit.	1.60	1.34	11.9	14.8	0.04	0.39	1.41	1.79	0.36	0.34	0.70	—	5,356	5,356	0.29	0.65	9.76	5,567
Mit.	1.17	1.00	6.42	16.2	0.04	0.17	1.41	1.50	0.16	0.34	0.43	—	5,356	5,356	0.29	0.65	9.76	5,567
% Reduced	27%	26%	46%	-9%	—	56%	—	16%	56%	—	38%	-	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-		_	_	_		_	_	_	-	_	_		_		_
Unmit.	1.71	68.3	10.0	14.5	0.02	0.38	1.12	1.36	0.35	0.27	0.59	—	3,066	3,066	0.13	0.17	0.17	3,102
Mit.	1.27	68.3	5.79	15.9	0.02	0.19	1.12	1.17	0.18	0.27	0.41	-	3,066	3,066	0.13	0.17	0.17	3,102
% Reduced	26%	_	42%	-9%	_	50%	_	14%	49%	_	30%	-	_	_	_	_	_	_

Average Daily (Max)																		
Unmit.	1.15	2.04	6.86	10.2	0.02	0.25	0.70	0.94	0.23	0.17	0.39	—	2,186	2,186	0.09	0.08	1.52	2,214
Mit.	0.84	1.99	4.02	11.2	0.02	0.12	0.70	0.82	0.11	0.17	0.28	—	2,186	2,186	0.09	0.08	1.52	2,214
% Reduced	27%	2%	41%	-10%	_	51%	_	13%	50%	_	29%	_	_	_	_	_	—	_
Annual (Max)	_	_	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Unmit.	0.21	0.37	1.25	1.86	< 0.005	0.05	0.13	0.17	0.04	0.03	0.07	_	362	362	0.02	0.01	0.25	366
Mit.	0.15	0.36	0.73	2.05	< 0.005	0.02	0.13	0.15	0.02	0.03	0.05	_	362	362	0.02	0.01	0.25	366
% Reduced	27%	2%	41%	-10%		51%		13%	50%		29%							

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	—		_				—		—
2023	1.23	0.84	11.9	10.5	0.04	0.39	1.41	1.79	0.36	0.34	0.70	—	5,356	5,356	0.29	0.65	9.76	5,567
2024	1.60	1.34	9.50	14.8	0.02	0.34	0.98	1.33	0.32	0.24	0.55	—	3,088	3,088	0.13	0.11	4.91	3,128
Daily - Winter (Max)		-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_			_	_		—
2023	1.71	1.41	10.0	14.5	0.02	0.38	1.12	1.36	0.35	0.27	0.59	—	3,066	3,066	0.13	0.17	0.17	3,102
2024	1.60	1.34	9.58	14.0	0.02	0.34	0.98	1.33	0.32	0.24	0.55	—	3,040	3,040	0.13	0.11	0.13	3,076
2025	1.51	68.3	9.01	13.6	0.02	0.30	0.98	1.28	0.28	0.24	0.51	—	3,014	3,014	0.13	0.11	0.12	3,050
Average Daily	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		_
2023	0.41	0.32	2.66	3.67	0.01	0.09	0.36	0.45	0.09	0.08	0.17	_	1,015	1,015	0.05	0.07	0.86	1,038

2024	1.15	0.96	6.86	10.2	0.02	0.25	0.70	0.94	0.23	0.17	0.39	—	2,186	2,186	0.09	0.08	1.52	2,214
2025	0.19	2.04	1.15	1.79	< 0.005	0.04	0.12	0.16	0.04	0.03	0.07	—	381	381	0.02	0.01	0.25	386
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2023	0.07	0.06	0.48	0.67	< 0.005	0.02	0.07	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.03	—	168	168	0.01	0.01	0.14	172
2024	0.21	0.17	1.25	1.86	< 0.005	0.05	0.13	0.17	0.04	0.03	0.07	—	362	362	0.02	0.01	0.25	366
2025	0.03	0.37	0.21	0.33	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	_	63.1	63.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	63.9

2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	_		—	—	—	—	_	_		_	—	_	
2023	0.58	0.38	6.42	11.1	0.04	0.10	1.41	1.50	0.09	0.34	0.43	—	5,356	5,356	0.29	0.65	9.76	5,567
2024	1.17	1.00	5.53	16.2	0.02	0.17	0.98	1.15	0.16	0.24	0.39	—	3,088	3,088	0.13	0.11	4.91	3,128
Daily - Winter (Max)		_		_	_	_		_	_		_	_			_			
2023	1.27	1.06	5.79	15.9	0.02	0.19	1.12	1.17	0.18	0.27	0.41	—	3,066	3,066	0.13	0.17	0.17	3,102
2024	1.17	0.99	5.61	15.4	0.02	0.17	0.98	1.15	0.16	0.24	0.39	—	3,040	3,040	0.13	0.11	0.13	3,076
2025	1.11	68.3	5.41	15.1	0.02	0.15	0.98	1.13	0.14	0.24	0.37	—	3,014	3,014	0.13	0.11	0.12	3,050
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	-	—	—	—	_	—	—	-	—	—	—
2023	0.28	0.22	1.54	3.92	0.01	0.04	0.36	0.40	0.04	0.08	0.12	_	1,015	1,015	0.05	0.07	0.86	1,038
2024	0.84	0.71	4.02	11.2	0.02	0.12	0.70	0.82	0.11	0.17	0.28	—	2,186	2,186	0.09	0.08	1.52	2,214
2025	0.14	1.99	0.67	1.96	< 0.005	0.02	0.12	0.14	0.02	0.03	0.05	—	381	381	0.02	0.01	0.25	386
Annual	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_
2023	0.05	0.04	0.28	0.72	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.02	_	168	168	0.01	0.01	0.14	172
2024	0.15	0.13	0.73	2.05	< 0.005	0.02	0.13	0.15	0.02	0.03	0.05	_	362	362	0.02	0.01	0.25	366

2025	0.03	0.36	0.12	0.36	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	63.1	63.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	63.9
------	------	------	------	------	---------	---------	------	------	---------	------	------	---	------	------	---------	---------	------	------

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	—	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	—	-	—
Unmit.	2.81	4.83	3.08	30.7	0.07	0.12	2.27	2.39	0.12	0.40	0.52	30.5	8,624	8,655	3.38	0.24	24.9	8,836
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	-		-	_	-	_	-	—		_	—	-	
Unmit.	2.09	4.15	3.23	22.2	0.07	0.12	2.27	2.39	0.12	0.40	0.52	30.5	8,330	8,360	3.38	0.25	1.37	8,521
Average Daily (Max)		_	—	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	—		_	—	—	_
Unmit.	2.45	4.54	2.40	26.5	0.06	0.05	2.27	2.32	0.05	0.40	0.45	30.5	7,269	7,299	3.36	0.25	11.2	7,470
Annual (Max)		_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Unmit.	0.45	0.83	0.44	4.83	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	5.05	1,203	1,209	0.56	0.04	1.85	1,237

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)				_	—		_	—			—	—		—		_		—
Mobile	1.99	1.71	2.06	24.7	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,451	6,451	0.24	0.22	24.1	6,546
Area	0.81	3.12	1.02	6.05	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,250	1,250	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,251
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	898	898	0.06	0.01		902

Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Waste	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	0.74	0.74
Total	2.81	4.83	3.08	30.7	0.07	0.12	2.27	2.39	0.12	0.40	0.52	30.5	8,624	8,655	3.38	0.24	24.9	8,836
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	—	-	—		_	—	-		_		—	-	—	-		_	—
Mobile	1.97	1.69	2.26	21.8	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,174	6,174	0.24	0.23	0.63	6,250
Area	0.11	2.47	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Total	2.09	4.15	3.23	22.2	0.07	0.12	2.27	2.39	0.12	0.40	0.52	30.5	8,330	8,360	3.38	0.25	1.37	8,521
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	1.96	1.68	2.30	22.6	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,249	6,249	0.24	0.23	10.4	6,335
Area	0.49	2.86	0.10	3.89	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	0.00	96.6	96.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	96.8
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	0.74	0.74
Total	2.45	4.54	2.40	26.5	0.06	0.05	2.27	2.32	0.05	0.40	0.45	30.5	7,269	7,299	3.36	0.25	11.2	7,470
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_
Mobile	0.36	0.31	0.42	4.12	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	—	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.04	1.72	1,049
Area	0.09	0.52	0.02	0.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	16.0	16.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	16.0
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	149	149	0.01	< 0.005	—	149
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.77	4.23	4.99	0.08	< 0.005	_	7.54
Waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	4.28	0.00	4.28	0.43	0.00	_	15.0

Refrig.	_	_	_	_	_	—		_	_	_	_	_		—	_	_	0.12	0.12
Total	0.45	0.83	0.44	4.83	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	5.05	1,203	1,209	0.56	0.04	1.85	1,237

2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated

Sector	тод	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_
Mobile	1.99	1.71	2.06	24.7	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,451	6,451	0.24	0.22	24.1	6,546
Area	0.81	3.12	1.02	6.05	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,250	1,250	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,251
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Water	—	—	_	-	_	_	—	_	-	—	_	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Waste	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	-	90.4
Refrig.	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	0.74	0.74
Total	2.81	4.83	3.08	30.7	0.07	0.12	2.27	2.39	0.12	0.40	0.52	30.5	8,624	8,655	3.38	0.24	24.9	8,836
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-
Mobile	1.97	1.69	2.26	21.8	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,174	6,174	0.24	0.23	0.63	6,250
Area	0.11	2.47	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	_	0.08	0.08	_	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	_	1,233
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	_	898	898	0.06	0.01	-	902
Water	—	—	_	-	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Waste	—	—	_	-	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Refrig.	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	0.74	0.74
Total	2.09	4.15	3.23	22.2	0.07	0.12	2.27	2.39	0.12	0.40	0.52	30.5	8,330	8,360	3.38	0.25	1.37	8,521
Average Daily	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Mobile	1.96	1.68	2.30	22.6	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	_	6,249	6,249	0.24	0.23	10.4	6,335

Area	0.49	2.86	0.10	3.89	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	0.00	96.6	96.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	96.8
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Waste	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Total	2.45	4.54	2.40	26.5	0.06	0.05	2.27	2.32	0.05	0.40	0.45	30.5	7,269	7,299	3.36	0.25	11.2	7,470
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.36	0.31	0.42	4.12	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	—	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.04	1.72	1,049
Area	0.09	0.52	0.02	0.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	16.0	16.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	16.0
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	149	149	0.01	< 0.005	—	149
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.77	4.23	4.99	0.08	< 0.005	—	7.54
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.28	0.00	4.28	0.43	0.00	—	15.0
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.12	0.12
Total	0.45	0.83	0.44	4.83	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	5.05	1,203	1,209	0.56	0.04	1.85	1,237

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Demolition (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)				_	_	_					_	_	_	_		_		
Off-Road Equipmen	0.41 t	0.34	2.91	3.79	0.01	0.12	—	0.12	0.11	—	0.11	—	535	535	0.02	< 0.005	_	537
Demolitio n	—	—	-	-	-	-	0.96	0.96	-	0.15	0.15	-	-	-	-	-	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-		_	_		_	_	_	_	_
Average Daily	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.01	0.08	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	—	14.7	14.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.7
Demolitio n	—	—	—	—	—	_	0.03	0.03	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—			—		—	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	2.43	2.43	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	2.43
Demolitio n	_	—	-	-	-	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_		_	_	—	—	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	—	-	-	-	—	-	—		—				—	_		
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	72.2	72.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.31	73.3
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.11	0.03	1.70	0.64	0.01	0.02	0.35	0.37	0.02	0.09	0.11	_	1,318	1,318	0.08	0.21	2.99	1,385
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	-	-	-	-	—	-	—		—	—			—	_		
Average Daily	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.90	1.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.93

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	36.1	36.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	37.9
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.31	0.31	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.32
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	5.98	5.98	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	6.28

3.2. Demolition (2023) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	—	-	-	—	_	-	_	-	_	-	—	_	-	_	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			—	_	_							_						
Off-Road Equipmen	0.29 t	0.24	1.78	3.91	0.01	0.06		0.06	0.06	_	0.06	—	535	535	0.02	< 0.005		537
Demolitio n			—	—	—		0.96	0.96		0.15	0.15	—			—			_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)			_		_													
Average Daily		_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.01	0.05	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	14.7	14.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.7
Demolitio n		_	_	_	_	—	0.03	0.03	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	_	_	—	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	—	—	-	—	_	—	-	_	—	—	—	—	_	-	-	_	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 nt	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	2.43	2.43	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.43
Demolitio n	—	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—	_	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)				-	_		_	-		_	-	-	—		-	-		
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	72.2	72.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.31	73.3
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.11	0.03	1.70	0.64	0.01	0.02	0.35	0.37	0.02	0.09	0.11	—	1,318	1,318	0.08	0.21	2.99	1,385
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	-	_		_	-		-	_	-	-		-	-		
Average Daily	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	-	—	—	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.90	1.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.93
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	36.1	36.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	37.9
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.31	0.31	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.32
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	5.98	5.98	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	6.28

3.3. Site Preparation (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	-	-	-	—	_	-	—	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_
--	--------------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	------	---------	---------	------	---------	---	------	------	---------	---------	------	------
Daily, Summer (Max)			—	-	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	-		_	_		-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.15 t	0.12	1.27	1.91	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	-	0.06	—	290	290	0.01	< 0.005	—	291
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 :			—			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	—					—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)				_						_	_	_					_	
Average Daily		—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	-	3.98	3.98	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.99
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 :			—			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	—					_	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	_	0.66	0.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.66
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 :			—			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	—						
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	36.1	36.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	36.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Average Daily	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	—	—	_	—	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.48	0.48	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.48
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.4. Site Preparation (2023) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				_								_	_		_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.03 t	0.03	0.14	2.03	< 0.005	0.01		0.01	0.01	_	0.01	_	290	290	0.01	< 0.005		291

Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 !	_			_	_	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	_		_			_	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—				-	-				—	_							
Average Daily	—		_		-	—	_	_			—	—		—	_		—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	—	3.98	3.98	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.99
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 !						0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	0.66	0.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.66
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 :				_	—	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00			_	_			_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				_	_					_			_				
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	36.1	36.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	36.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)			_				_											
Average Daily	_	—	-	-	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.48	0.48	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.48
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	_	-	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.5. Grading (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—	_	_			_				_	_		—				—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.84 t	0.71	6.62	7.77	0.01	0.34	—	0.34	0.31	—	0.31	—	1,182	1,182	0.05	0.01	—	1,186
Dust From Material Movemen	 t	_	_	_			0.21	0.21		0.02	0.02	_						
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	_	_							_	_						

Average Daily		_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.05 t	0.04	0.36	0.43	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	_	64.7	64.7	< 0.005	< 0.005		65.0
Dust From Material Movemen:	 :			—	_		0.01	0.01		< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—					
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.01	0.07	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	10.7	10.7	< 0.005	< 0.005		10.8
Dust From Material Movemen [:]	 :				_		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			—	—	_		_				—	—	—	—				
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.82	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	_	144	144	0.01	< 0.005	0.61	147
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.33	0.09	5.19	1.96	0.03	0.05	1.07	1.12	0.05	0.29	0.34	_	4,030	4,030	0.24	0.64	9.15	4,234
Daily, Winter (Max)			—	—	_		_				—	—	—	—				
Average Daily		—	—	-	-	_	—	_	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	_		_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.61	7.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.71
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.02	< 0.005	0.30	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	_	221	221	0.01	0.03	0.22	232
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.26	1.26	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.28
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	36.6	36.6	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	38.4

3.6. Grading (2023) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)											—					_		
Off-Road Equipmen	0.20 t	0.18	1.18	8.27	0.01	0.05		0.05	0.04		0.04	—	1,182	1,182	0.05	0.01		1,186
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 :						0.21	0.21		0.02	0.02							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_										_		_				_
Average Daily	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	—	
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.01	0.06	0.45	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	—	64.7	64.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	65.0
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻							0.01	0.01		< 0.005	< 0.005							

0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005		10.7	10.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	10.8
- <u></u> -				_		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005							
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
		—		_	—				_								
0.06	0.05	0.05	0.82	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	144	144	0.01	< 0.005	0.61	147
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.33	0.09	5.19	1.96	0.03	0.05	1.07	1.12	0.05	0.29	0.34	_	4,030	4,030	0.24	0.64	9.15	4,234
	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
_		—	—	—	—		—		—	—		—		—	—	—	
< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.61	7.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.71
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.02	< 0.005	0.30	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	_	221	221	0.01	0.03	0.22	232
_	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	_
< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.26	1.26	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.28
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	36.6	36.6	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	38.4
	0.00 	0.00 0.00 < 0.005	0.000.000.00< 0.005	0.000.000.000.00< 0.005	0.000.000.000.000.00< 0.005	0.000.000.000.000.000.00<	0.000.000.000.000.000.000.00<0.005	0.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.00<0.005	0.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.00<0.005	0.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.00	0.000.	0.000.	0.000.	0.000.	0.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.00-0.000.000.000.00<	0.000.010.000.	0.000.

3.7. Building Construction (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite		_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			-	-	_	—	-	-	_		_	_			-	_	-	—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.19 t	0.16	1.60	2.22	< 0.005	0.09	—	0.09	0.08	—	0.08	—	332	332	0.01	< 0.005	—	333
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.19 t	0.16	1.60	2.22	< 0.005	0.09	—	0.09	0.08		0.08	—	332	332	0.01	< 0.005	—	333
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	_	—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.02 t	0.01	0.13	0.18	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	-	27.3	27.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	27.4
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	4.52	4.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	4.54
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)		—	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_			_	—		_
Worker	0.37	0.31	0.34	5.37	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	949	949	0.04	0.03	4.03	964
Vendor	0.08	0.04	1.20	0.60	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.27	0.01	0.07	0.08	—	982	982	0.04	0.13	2.62	1,025
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	-	-	_	-	_	-		_	_	_		_	-	—		_
Worker	0.37	0.31	0.40	4.56	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	_	899	899	0.04	0.03	0.10	910
Vendor	0.08	0.04	1.25	0.61	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.27	0.01	0.07	0.08	-	982	982	0.04	0.13	0.07	1,023
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	—	-	_	-	_	—	_	_	_	_	-	—	—	_
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	75.0	75.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.14	76.0
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.10	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	80.7	80.7	< 0.005	0.01	0.09	84.1
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	12.4	12.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	12.6
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	13.4	13.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	13.9
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.8. Building Construction (2023) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)				_		_					_	—	—					_

Off-Road Equipmen	0.03 t	0.03	0.16	2.32	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01		0.01	_	332	332	0.01	< 0.005	_	333
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	—	-			_	_	_		_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.03 t	0.03	0.16	2.32	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01		0.01		332	332	0.01	< 0.005		333
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	_	_	-	-	—	-	-	—	_	-	_	_	_	_	—	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.19	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	_	27.3	27.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	27.4
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	4.52	4.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	4.54
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		_	-	—	_	—	—	_		-			_	_	_		
Worker	0.37	0.31	0.34	5.37	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20		949	949	0.04	0.03	4.03	964
Vendor	0.08	0.04	1.20	0.60	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.27	0.01	0.07	0.08	_	982	982	0.04	0.13	2.62	1,025
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	—	_	_		_	—			_					—		—
Worker	0.37	0.31	0.40	4.56	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	_	899	899	0.04	0.03	0.10	910

Vendor	0.08	0.04	1.25	0.61	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.27	0.01	0.07	0.08	—	982	982	0.04	0.13	0.07	1,023
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	-	-	_	—	-	-	—	-	-	—	-	—	-	-	-	—
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	75.0	75.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.14	76.0
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.10	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	80.7	80.7	< 0.005	0.01	0.09	84.1
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	12.4	12.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	12.6
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	13.4	13.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	13.9
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Building Construction (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_								_						
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_					_			_						—
Off-Road Equipmen	1.31 t	1.09	9.00	9.65	0.02	0.38		0.38	0.35		0.35	—	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	—	1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.20 t	0.17	1.39	1.49	< 0.005	0.06	_	0.06	0.05	_	0.05	_	262	262	0.01	< 0.005	_	263

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmer	0.04 nt	0.03	0.25	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	_	43.4	43.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	43.6
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	-	_	—	—	_	—	—	-	-	—	—	_	_	-	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	_				_		_	_	-	—	_	_		—	_	_
Worker	0.37	0.31	0.40	4.56	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	899	899	0.04	0.03	0.10	910
Vendor	0.04	0.02	0.60	0.29	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	469	469	0.02	0.06	0.03	489
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	_	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	-	141	141	0.01	0.01	0.27	143
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.09	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	72.5	72.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	75.6
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	23.4	23.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	23.7
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	12.0	12.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	12.5
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.10. Building Construction (2023) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e

Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				_	—				—	—	_		—				
Daily, Winter (Max)	_					—								—				
Off-Road Equipmen	0.87 t	0.73	4.79	11.0	0.02	0.19		0.19	0.17		0.17		1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01		1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—		—		—	—		—		—		—		—		—		
Off-Road Equipmen	0.13 t	0.11	0.74	1.70	< 0.005	0.03		0.03	0.03		0.03		262	262	0.01	< 0.005		263
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.02 t	0.02	0.14	0.31	< 0.005	0.01		0.01	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	—	43.4	43.4	< 0.005	< 0.005		43.6
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_					_								_				
Daily, Winter (Max)	_					_								_				
Worker	0.37	0.31	0.40	4.56	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	_	899	899	0.04	0.03	0.10	910
Vendor	0.04	0.02	0.60	0.29	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	_	469	469	0.02	0.06	0.03	489
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_			_	_	_	_
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	141	141	0.01	0.01	0.27	143
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.09	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	72.5	72.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	75.6
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	23.4	23.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	23.7
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	12.0	12.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	12.5
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	—	—	_		_	_	_			_	_		_	—		
Off-Road Equipmen	1.24 t	1.03	8.64	9.58	0.02	0.34	—	0.34	0.31		0.31	_	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	—	1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	—	_		-	_	-		_	-	-		_	—		
Off-Road Equipmen	1.24 t	1.03	8.64	9.58	0.02	0.34	—	0.34	0.31	—	0.31	—	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	—	1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Off-Road Equipmen	0.89 t	0.74	6.19	6.86	0.01	0.24	_	0.24	0.22	_	0.22	-	1,216	1,216	0.05	0.01	_	1,220
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	—	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.16 t	0.13	1.13	1.25	< 0.005	0.04	_	0.04	0.04	_	0.04	-	201	201	0.01	< 0.005		202
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-	—	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	—	_	_
Worker	0.33	0.29	0.31	4.96	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	928	928	0.04	0.03	3.66	942
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.54	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	462	462	0.02	0.06	1.25	483
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	_	_	_	-	-		-		_			-	_		—
Worker	0.32	0.29	0.37	4.19	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	880	880	0.04	0.03	0.10	890
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.57	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	463	463	0.02	0.06	0.03	482
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—		—
Worker	0.23	0.21	0.27	3.16	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.61	0.00	0.14	0.14	_	639	639	0.03	0.02	1.13	648
Vendor	0.03	0.01	0.41	0.19	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.09	0.09	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	_	331	331	0.01	0.05	0.39	346
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.03	0.03	_	106	106	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.19	107
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	-	54.8	54.8	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	57.2
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.12. Building Construction (2024) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			—		_	_	_		_		_	—			-	_	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.81 t	0.69	4.67	11.0	0.02	0.16	—	0.16	0.15	—	0.15	—	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	—	1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)			-		_	-			-		_	-		_	-	_	—	
Off-Road Equipmen	0.81 t	0.69	4.67	11.0	0.02	0.16	_	0.16	0.15	_	0.15	_	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	_	1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily			—		—	—			—			—			—	—	—	
Off-Road Equipmen	0.58 t	0.49	3.35	7.86	0.01	0.12		0.12	0.11	—	0.11	—	1,216	1,216	0.05	0.01	—	1,220
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	-	—	—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.11 t	0.09	0.61	1.43	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	-	201	201	0.01	< 0.005	-	202
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	—			_	_	—	—	_
Worker	0.33	0.29	0.31	4.96	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	928	928	0.04	0.03	3.66	942
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.54	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	462	462	0.02	0.06	1.25	483
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	-	_	_	_	-	_		_						_	_	
Worker	0.32	0.29	0.37	4.19	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	880	880	0.04	0.03	0.10	890
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.57	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	463	463	0.02	0.06	0.03	482
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	—	—	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.23	0.21	0.27	3.16	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.61	0.00	0.14	0.14	—	639	639	0.03	0.02	1.13	648
Vendor	0.03	0.01	0.41	0.19	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.09	0.09	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	—	331	331	0.01	0.05	0.39	346
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	106	106	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.19	107
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_	54.8	54.8	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	57.2
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.13. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	_	_	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	—	_	_	_				_	_				_	_	—

1.16 t	0.97	8.15	9.51	0.02	0.30	—	0.30	0.27		0.27	—	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	—	1,703
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			_	—	_	—								—		—	
0.13 t	0.11	0.94	1.10	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03		0.03		196	196	0.01	< 0.005	—	197
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
0.02 t	0.02	0.17	0.20	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01		0.01		32.4	32.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	32.6
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
			-	-										—			
			_	_													
0.31	0.28	0.32	3.88	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	861	861	0.04	0.03	0.09	872
0.03	0.01	0.54	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	—	455	455	0.02	0.06	0.03	475
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
_	_	_	-	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	
0.04	0.03	0.04	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02		101	101	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.17	102
< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	52.5	52.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	54.8
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1.16 t 0.00 	1.16 0.97 0.00 0.00 0.13 0.11 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.00 0.31 0.28 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.04 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.00 0.00	1.160.978.150.000.000.00000.130.110.940.000.000.000.020.020.170.000.000.000.000.000.000.010.000.000.310.280.320.030.010.540.040.030.040.040.030.040.000.000.00	1.16 0.97 8.15 9.51 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.13 0.11 0.94 1.10 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.02 0.17 0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.31 0.28 0.32 3.88 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.47 <-	1.16 0.97 8.15 9.51 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 - - - - 0.13 0.11 0.94 1.10 <0.00	1.16 0.97 8.15 9.51 0.02 0.30 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1.16 0.97 8.15 9.51 0.02 0.30 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1.160.978.159.510.020.300.300.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.130.110.941.10<0.005	1.160.978.159.510.020.300.300.270.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.130.110.941.10<0.05	1.160.978.159.510.020.300.300.270.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.130.110.941.10<0.00	1.160.978.159.510.020.30-0.300.27-0.270.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.100.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.110.941.002.0000.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.110.941.002.0000.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.110.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.110.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.120.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.120.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.140.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.140.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.140.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.150.010.010.010.	1.160.978.159.510.020.30-0.300.27-0.27-0.27-0.00<	1.160.978.159.510.020.30-0.300.27-0.27-1.6970.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.101.000.00<	1.160.378.159.510.020.300.300.300.27-0.27-1.6971.6971.6970.00	1.160.778.159.510.020.30-0.300.27-0.27-0.271.6971.6970.070.00	1.16 0.77 8.15 9.51 0.22 0.30 - 0.30 0.27 - 0.27 - 1.697 1.697 0.07 0.01 0.00	1.10.378.150.510.020.30-0.300.27-0.27-0.1671.6971.6970.010.101-0.000.

Annual	—	_	_	_	—		—	_	_		_	_	_	_	—	_	—	
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	16.7	16.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	16.9
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.70	8.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	9.08
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.14. Building Construction (2025) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_		_		_	
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	-	_		_	_	_		_	_	_	
Off-Road Equipmen	0.76 t	0.65	4.56	10.9	0.02	0.14	—	0.14	0.13	-	0.13	-	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	—	1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	—	_	—	_	—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.09 t	0.07	0.53	1.26	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	-	196	196	0.01	< 0.005	—	197
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.02 t	0.01	0.10	0.23	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	32.4	32.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	32.6
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_			—				
Daily, Winter (Max)		_		_			_				_							
Worker	0.31	0.28	0.32	3.88	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	861	861	0.04	0.03	0.09	872
Vendor	0.03	0.01	0.54	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	_	455	455	0.02	0.06	0.03	475
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	-	_	_	_	_			
Worker	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	101	101	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.17	102
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	52.5	52.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	54.8
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	16.7	16.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	16.9
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	8.70	8.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	9.08
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.15. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		—			_	-		_	—		—	_						
Daily, Winter (Max)			_		_	_		_			_	_						
Off-Road Equipmen	0.32 nt	0.27	2.75	3.72	0.01	0.13	_	0.13	0.12	_	0.12	_	577	577	0.02	< 0.005	—	579

Paving	—	0.45	—	-	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	—	—	-	—	-	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.01	0.08	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	—	15.8	15.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	15.9
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	-	—	_	-	—	_	—	_	-	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	2.62	2.62	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.63
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)				-	-	—	—	-	_	_	-	—	_	-	-	-	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)				-	-	—	—	-	_	_	-	—	_	-	-	-	_	—
Worker	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	98.3	98.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	99.5
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	-	-	-	-	-	—	—	-	-	—	—	-	-	—	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.73	2.73	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.77
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.45	0.45	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.46
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.16. Paving (2025) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	-	_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_					
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	_	—	_		_	—	_		_	_		_			—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.10 t	0.09	0.58	4.00	0.01	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	577	577	0.02	< 0.005	—	579
Paving	_	0.45	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	_	_	—	—	_	-	_	—	—	-	_	_	_	_	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.02	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	-	15.8	15.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	15.9
Paving	_	0.01	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	—	_	-	_	_	—	-	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	2.62	2.62	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.63
Paving	_	< 0.005	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_		_	-	-	_	—	—	_	_		—	_		—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	_	_		—	-	_	_	_	_	—	_		_			—
Worker	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	98.3	98.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	99.5
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	-	—	—	—	-	—	—	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.73	2.73	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.77
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.45	0.45	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.46
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.17. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_		_	_	_	_	_		_		_				_		

Daily, Winter (Max)	_	—		—	—		_			_	_		_	_			—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.15 t	0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03		0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architect ural Coatings		68.0		_	-												—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	_	_	—	—	—				—	—	—			—		—	
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005		3.66	3.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.67
Architect ural Coatings		1.86		_	_												—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005		0.61	0.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.61
Architect ural Coatings		0.34	_	-	-	_					_						—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_			-	—												—	
Daily, Winter (Max)	_																—	
Worker	0.12	0.11	0.13	1.55	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.34	0.00	0.08	0.08		345	345	0.02	0.01	0.03	349

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	_	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	9.58	9.58	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	9.71
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.59	1.59	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.61
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.18. Architectural Coating (2025) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			_			_						_						—
Daily, Winter (Max)			_		_	_	_				_	_						—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.15 t	0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architect ural Coatings		68.0	—		_	—						_						—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_		_

Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 It	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	3.66	3.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.67
Architect ural Coatings		1.86	_			_		_				_	—	_	_	_	_	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 It	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	0.61	0.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.61
Architect ural Coatings		0.34	-			-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	-	-	_	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	_		-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	_		-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.12	0.11	0.13	1.55	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.34	0.00	0.08	0.08	_	345	345	0.02	0.01	0.03	349
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	9.58	9.58	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	9.71
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.59	1.59	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.61

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.19. Trenching (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	—	-	_	_	_	_	—		_	_	—	_		-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.20 t	0.17	1.04	1.14	< 0.005	0.06		0.06	0.05		0.05		156	156	0.01	< 0.005	—	156
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	_	-		_	_	_						_		—	_
Average Daily	_	—	_	_	-	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	-	
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	4.26	4.26	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	4.28
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	_	0.71	0.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.71
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_				_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_		_	_		_	_				_	_			-	

Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	36.1	36.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	36.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)				_												_		
Average Daily															—			
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.95	0.95	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.96
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.16	0.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.16
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.20. Trenching (2023) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_			_		_					_	_	_				
Off-Road Equipmen	0.20 t	0.17	1.04	1.14	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.05		0.05	—	156	156	0.01	< 0.005		156
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-		_	_		_		_	_		_	—	_				

Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	_	4.26	4.26	< 0.005	< 0.005		4.28
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	_	-	_	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	0.71	0.71	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.71
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Daily, Summer (Max)			_	_												—	—	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	36.1	36.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	36.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_		_				_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_
Average Daily			_	_						_						—		
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.95	0.95	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.96
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	-	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.16	0.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.16
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	—	-	-	-	—	-	—	-	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	1.99	1.71	2.06	24.7	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	_	6,451	6,451	0.24	0.22	24.1	6,546
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	1.99	1.71	2.06	24.7	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,451	6,451	0.24	0.22	24.1	6,546
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	1.97	1.69	2.26	21.8	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	_	6,174	6,174	0.24	0.23	0.63	6,250
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	1.97	1.69	2.26	21.8	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,174	6,174	0.24	0.23	0.63	6,250
Annual	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.36	0.31	0.42	4.12	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08		1,035	1,035	0.04	0.04	1.72	1,049
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.36	0.31	0.42	4.12	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	_	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.04	1.72	1,049

4.1.2. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	_	_	_	-	—	_	-	_	_	—	_	—	-	_	_	
Apartme nts Mid Rise	1.99	1.71	2.06	24.7	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	_	6,451	6,451	0.24	0.22	24.1	6,546
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Total	1.99	1.71	2.06	24.7	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,451	6,451	0.24	0.22	24.1	6,546
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	-	_	_	—	-	-			-			—	-	_		
Apartme nts Mid Rise	1.97	1.69	2.26	21.8	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44		6,174	6,174	0.24	0.23	0.63	6,250
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	1.97	1.69	2.26	21.8	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,174	6,174	0.24	0.23	0.63	6,250
Annual	_	—	_	-	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.36	0.31	0.42	4.12	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	_	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.04	1.72	1,049
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.36	0.31	0.42	4.12	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	_	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.04	1.72	1,049
				1							-					1		

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land TO Use	OG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
----------------	----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	—			_	_	—				_				_		_	_	
Apartme nts Mid Rise	_	—		_		_		_					656	656	0.04	< 0.005		658
Enclosed Parking with Elevator			—	_		—							242	242	0.02	< 0.005		243
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	898	898	0.06	0.01	_	902
Daily, Winter (Max)	—					—				_		_		_		_	_	
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—					—							656	656	0.04	< 0.005	_	658
Enclosed Parking with Elevator													242	242	0.02	< 0.005		243
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—			—	—							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	898	898	0.06	0.01	_	902
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—	—					_						109	109	0.01	< 0.005		109
Enclosed Parking with Elevator				—		—							40.1	40.1	< 0.005	< 0.005		40.3

Other Asphalt Surfaces	-	_	—	_		—	—			—			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	149	149	0.01	< 0.005	_	149

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	-	-	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	656	656	0.04	< 0.005	_	658
Enclosed Parking with Elevator		_	—	_	_	_	_	—				—	242	242	0.02	< 0.005	—	243
Other Asphalt Surfaces	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_				_	_	—	_	_	_	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	656	656	0.04	< 0.005	_	658
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	_		—	242	242	0.02	< 0.005	—	243

Other Asphalt Surfaces		—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—		—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Annual	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise			_	_	_	_		_					109	109	0.01	< 0.005		109
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	_	_	—	_	_	-	_	_	_			_	40.1	40.1	< 0.005	< 0.005		40.3
Other Asphalt Surfaces			_	_	_	-		_					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	149	149	0.01	< 0.005	_	149

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)				_	_				_		—	_	_		_			
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_			—		—		_		—	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	—	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Use																		
Daily, Summer (Max)		_		—	—	—				—		—				—	—	
---	------	------	------	------	------	------	---	------	------	---	------	---	------	------	------	------	---	------
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)				—	_	_												
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.2. Unmitigated

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)				—				—	—	—	—	—	—		—			—
Hearths	0.11	0.06	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Consum er Products		2.22																_
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.19																—
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.70	0.65	0.05	5.64	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	0.01		0.01		17.9	17.9	< 0.005	< 0.005		18.0
Total	0.81	3.12	1.02	6.05	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,250	1,250	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,251
Daily, Winter (Max)			_											_		_		_
Hearths	0.11	0.06	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Consum er Products		2.22																

Architect ural		0.19	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Total	0.11	2.47	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	14.0	14.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.0
Consum er Products		0.41	-	-	-	_	_	_	_		_	_		_	_	_		-
Architect ural Coatings		0.03	-	_	-			_	_			_				_		
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.09	0.08	0.01	0.70	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		2.03	2.03	< 0.005	< 0.005		2.04
Total	0.09	0.52	0.02	0.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	0.00	16.0	16.0	< 0.005	< 0.005		16.0

4.3.1. Mitigated

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	_	—	—	-		—	—	-		-	_		_	—	—	—
Hearths	0.11	0.06	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Consum er Products		2.22	_	_	_	-		_	_	-		-	_		_			
Architect ural Coatings		0.19			_	_		_		_		_						
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.70	0.65	0.05	5.64	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	0.01		0.01		17.9	17.9	< 0.005	< 0.005		18.0

Total	0.81	3.12	1.02	6.05	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,250	1,250	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,251
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—		-	—	-	-	—	—	-	_	-	_	—	_	—	_
Hearths	0.11	0.06	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Consum er Products	—	2.22			_		-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-		_	_	-
Architect ural Coatings	—	0.19	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—
Total	0.11	2.47	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Annual	—	—	-	-	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	-	—	—	-
Hearths	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	14.0	14.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.0
Consum er Products	-	0.41	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Architect ural Coatings	-	0.03		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.09	0.08	0.01	0.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	_	2.03	2.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.04
Total	0.09	0.52	0.02	0.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	0.00	16.0	16.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	16.0

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.2. Unmitigated

		•	•															
Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Use																		

Daily, Summer (Max)	_		—	_		_		—		_	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Apartme nts Mid Rise	_	—			—					_		4.64	24.0	28.7	0.48	0.01		44.0
Enclosed Parking with Elevator										_		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	—		_	_	0.00	1.49	1.49	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.49
Total		—	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	—		_	_	_		_	_	—	—	-	-	—		_
Apartme nts Mid Rise										-		4.64	24.0	28.7	0.48	0.01		44.0
Enclosed Parking with Elevator										-		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces										_		0.00	1.49	1.49	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.49
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Annual	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_	_	_	_					-	_	0.77	3.98	4.75	0.08	< 0.005		7.29
Enclosed Parking with Elevator										_		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces	_		—									0.00	0.25	0.25	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.25
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	0.77	4.23	4.99	0.08	< 0.005	_	7.54

4.4.1. Mitigated

Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)			—		—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—		—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise		—	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	4.64	24.0	28.7	0.48	0.01	_	44.0
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	—	-	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	—	_	0.00	1.49	1.49	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.49
Total	—	_	-	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	-	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Daily, Winter (Max)	—		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	—	-	_	_	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—	—	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	4.64	24.0	28.7	0.48	0.01	_	44.0
Enclosed Parking with Elevator		-	_	_	_	-	_		_			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces			—	—		—		—	—	—		0.00	1.49	1.49	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.49
Total	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—		—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01		45.5
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—											0.77	3.98	4.75	0.08	< 0.005		7.29
Enclosed Parking with Elevator												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces		_	_					_	_		_	0.00	0.25	0.25	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.25
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.77	4.23	4.99	0.08	< 0.005	_	7.54

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.2. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-		—			—		—		—	—	_	—	_	—		
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_		_								25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00		90.4
Enclosed Parking with Elevator												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces		_	—	—	_	—	—	_	_	—		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	_	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	—	-	-	-	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise												25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	_	90.4
Enclosed Parking with Elevator												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	_	90.4
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_	4.28	0.00	4.28	0.43	0.00	-	15.0
Enclosed Parking with Elevator												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces						_						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	4.28	0.00	4.28	0.43	0.00	_	15.0

4.5.1. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_		_	_	_	_	—	_	
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	_	90.4
Enclosed Parking with Elevator												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	—	_	_	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Daily, Winter (Max)			—		_										—	_	_	
Apartme nts Mid Rise												25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00		90.4
Enclosed Parking with Elevator												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces			_	_	_			_		_		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	_	90.4
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_	—	_	_	—	_			_		4.28	0.00	4.28	0.43	0.00	—	15.0

Enclosed – Parking with Elevator	_	_			 					 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other – Asphalt Surfaces	_	_	—	—	 —	—	—	—	—	 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total –	_	_	—	—	 —	—	—	_	—	 4.28	0.00	4.28	0.43	0.00	—	15.0

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	—	—	—	—	_		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-
Apartme nts Mid Rise	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.74	0.74
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_	-	_	_	_	_		_	_	-	_	_		_	_	0.74	0.74
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_	_	_	_	-	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.12	0.12

Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_			0.12	0.12
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	------	------

4.6.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	_	_		_	_			_	_		_	_			_	_	0.74	0.74
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—		_	—	—	0.74	0.74
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-		-	_	_		-		_		-			_	_	_	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—	_		_				_	—			_			_		0.74	0.74
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_		_								_					0.12	0.12
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_	_	0.12	0.12

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—		—	—	—	—	
Total		—	—	_		_	—	_		—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_													_			_	
Total		—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		_	—	—	—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	
Total	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	—	

4.7.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—		—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	_		_	—		—	
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)												_						
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_		_	_	_	_	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				—	—	—	—		—		—				—		
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	_	_	_	_		_	-		_	-		_	_	-	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.8.2. Mitigated

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—		—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	_	_	_					_	_			_		—	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	—	_	—	—					—	-	—		—	—		
Total	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	_	-	_	_		_				-	_		_	_	_	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total		_	_	_	_	_	_	_				_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.9.2. Mitigated

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	_
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Annual	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	—		 —	—	
Total	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	 —	_	_

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetatio n	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-		—	—	-	_	—		-	—	-	—	—	-	-	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)					—	—						_						—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_			

Total	_		_	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Species	тод	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	—	—	-	_	—	-	-	-	-
Avoided	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	-	—	—	—
Sequest ered	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	—	_	-
Subtotal	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—
Remove d		_	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	-	_	—	—	—	_	—	—
Subtotal	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—
—	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	-	_	_	—	_	—	_	-	_	—	-	—	_	-	-
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—
Sequest ered	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	—	-	—	-	_	-	-	-	_	—	—
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Remove d	_			_			_		_	_	_		_	_	_			_
Subtotal		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_
Annual	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	-	_	—	—	—	_	—
Avoided	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—
Subtotal	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—
Sequest ered	_	—	—	-	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_
Subtotal	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	-	_	—	_	-	_	_	_	—	_	—
Remove d	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants	s (lb/day for	daily, ton/yr fo	or annual) and	l GHGs (lb/day f	or daily, MT/yr for annua	l)
---------------------	---------------	------------------	----------------	------------------	---------------------------	----

Vegetatio n	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)									_		_	_	_				—	
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_																	
Total	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)							_		—			_					—	
Total	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)			_									_				_	—	
Total	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—
Annual		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	—	
Total		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_	

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants	(lb/day for daily	/, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/da	ay for daily, MT/yr for annual)
		,		··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_								—	—								
Avoided	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Sequest ered	—	—	—	—		—		—	—	—		—				—		—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	—		—			—			—			—				—		
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—		_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_					—			—	—	—		—	—	—	—	_	

Avoided	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequest ered	—	—	—	—		—		—	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	_
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d		—	—	—		—		—		—		—		—		—	—	
Subtotal	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—		—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—		—	—	—	—	—
Sequest ered		—	—	—		—		—		—		—		—		—	—	
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	_	_	_	_		—		—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	_	_	_	_		_		_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Demolition	Demolition	7/1/2023	7/14/2023	5.00	10.0	—
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	7/15/2023	7/21/2023	5.00	5.00	—
Grading	Grading	7/22/2023	8/18/2023	5.00	20.0	_
Building Construction (Foundation)	Building Construction	9/2/2023	10/13/2023	5.00	30.0	—

Building Construction (Vertical)	Building Construction	10/14/2023	2/28/2025	5.00	360	_
Paving	Paving	3/1/2025	3/14/2025	5.00	10.0	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	3/15/2025	3/28/2025	5.00	10.0	—
Trenching	Trenching	8/19/2023	9/1/2023	5.00	10.0	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	2.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction (Foundation)	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction (Foundation)	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	10.0	0.56
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48
Building Construction (Vertical)	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	367	0.29

Building Construction (Vertical)	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction (Vertical)	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction (Vertical)	Welders	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	36.0	0.38
Trenching	Trenchers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	40.0	0.50

5.2.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction (Foundation)	Forklifts	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction (Foundation)	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	10.0	0.56
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48
Building Construction (Vertical)	Cranes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	367	0.29

Building Construction (Vertical)	Forklifts	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction (Vertical)	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction (Vertical)	Welders	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	36.0	0.38
Trenching	Trenchers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	40.0	0.50

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	_	_	_	_
Demolition	Worker	5.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	18.4	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Site Preparation	_	_	_	_
Site Preparation	Worker	2.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Grading	_	_	_	_
Grading	Worker	10.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	56.3	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck			HHDT

Building Construction (Foundation)	_	_	_	_
Building Construction (Foundation)	Worker	65.7	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction (Foundation)	Vendor	30.0	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction (Foundation)	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction (Foundation)	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Paving	_	_	_	_
Paving	Worker	7.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Architectural Coating	_	_	_	_
Architectural Coating	Worker	26.3	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Building Construction (Vertical)	_	_	_	_
Building Construction (Vertical)	Worker	65.7	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction (Vertical)	Vendor	14.3	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction (Vertical)	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction (Vertical)	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Trenching	_	_	_	_
Trenching	Worker	2.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Trenching	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Trenching	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Trenching	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT

5.3.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	_	_	_	_
Demolition	Worker	5.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	18.4	20.0	ННДТ
Demolition	Onsite truck	_	_	ННДТ
Site Preparation	_	_	_	_
Site Preparation	Worker	2.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Grading	_	_	_	_
Grading	Worker	10.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	56.3	20.0	ННДТ
Grading	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Building Construction (Foundation)	_	_	_	_
Building Construction (Foundation)	Worker	65.7	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction (Foundation)	Vendor	30.0	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction (Foundation)	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction (Foundation)	Onsite truck	_	_	ННДТ
Paving	_	_	_	_
Paving	Worker	7.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Architectural Coating	_	_	_	_

Architectural Coating	Worker	26.3	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction (Vertical)	_	_	_	_
Building Construction (Vertical)	Worker	65.7	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction (Vertical)	Vendor	14.3	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction (Vertical)	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction (Vertical)	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Trenching		_	_	_
Trenching	Worker	2.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Trenching	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Trenching	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Trenching	Onsite truck	-	—	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	209,897	69,966	2,019	224	4,522

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

338-410 South Alhambra Detailed Report, 4/14/2023

Phase Name	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (Building Square Footage)	Acres Paved (acres)
Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	16,000	—
Site Preparation	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Grading	0.00	9,000	10.0	0.00	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.73

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	2	61%	61%
Water Demolished Area	2	36%	36%

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Apartments Mid Rise	_	0%
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	1.03	100%
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.70	100%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2023	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2024	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2025	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Apartments Mid Rise	378	378	378	137,845	8,157	8,157	8,157	2,977,442
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.9.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Apartments Mid Rise	378	378	378	137,845	8,157	8,157	8,157	2,977,442
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)
Apartments Mid Rise	—
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	59
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	7

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)
Apartments Mid Rise	
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	59
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	7

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
209897.32499999998	69,966	2,019	224	4,522

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Apartments Mid Rise	449,869	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	166,362	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.11.2. Mitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Apartments Mid Rise	449,869	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	166,362	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Apartments Mid Rise	2,422,797	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	192,137

5.12.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Apartments Mid Rise	2,422,797	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	192,137
------------------------	------	---------

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Apartments Mid Rise	47.97	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00

5.13.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Apartments Mid Rise	47.97	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Apartments Mid Rise	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Apartments Mid Rise	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00

5.14.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Apartments Mid Rise	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Apartments Mid Rise	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
--	----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.15.2. Mitigated

Equipment Type Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
--------------------------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type Fuel Type Number Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr) Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day) Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)
---	----------------	-----------	--------	--------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
—	

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
1912 Mitigated			

Vegetation Land Use Type Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
---	---------------	-------------

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type Initial Acres Final Acres	Biomass Cover Type Initia	tial Acres	Final Acres
--	---------------------------	------------	-------------

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type Number Electricity Saved (kWh/year) Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
--

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

Tree Type Number Electricity Saved (kWh/year) Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)	
--	--

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	16.2	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	5.55	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	0.00	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ³/₄ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	2	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	2	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

dicator Result for Project Census Tract

Exposure Indicators	_
AQ-Ozone	69.3
AQ-PM	78.1
AQ-DPM	30.7
Drinking Water	85.3
Lead Risk Housing	52.2
Pesticides	0.00
Toxic Releases	78.7
Traffic	12.3
Effect Indicators	
CleanUp Sites	0.00
Groundwater	22.1
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	23.7
Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	54.8
Sensitive Population	
Asthma	12.0
Cardio-vascular	4.10
Low Birth Weights	62.4
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	
Education	73.8
Housing	94.9
Linguistic	98.6
Poverty	72.4
Unemployment	17.1

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	_
Above Poverty	30.71987681
Employed	28.26895932
Median HI	23.73925318
Education	_
Bachelor's or higher	55.47286026
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	82.0094957
Transportation	_
Auto Access	53.75336841
Active commuting	42.74348775
Social	
2-parent households	37.76466059
Voting	7.429744643
Neighborhood	
Alcohol availability	69.16463493
Park access	20.86487874
Retail density	56.19145387
Supermarket access	54.75426665
Tree canopy	61.05479276
Housing	
Homeownership	33.11946619
Housing habitability	11.15103298
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	19.41485949
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	9.354548954
Uncrowded housing	23.61093289
Health Outcomes	—
---------------------------------------	------------
Insured adults	27.4990376
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	84.0
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	82.8
Cognitively Disabled	48.3
Physically Disabled	41.1
Heart Attack ER Admissions	91.9
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	19.6
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	_
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0

Children	78.7
Elderly	29.3
English Speaking	1.7
Foreign-born	99.6
Outdoor Workers	85.1
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	
Impervious Surface Cover	12.9
Traffic Density	36.1
Traffic Access	87.4
Other Indices	
Hardship	69.1
Other Decision Support	
2016 Voting	9.5

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	49.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	32.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	Yes
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Land Use	Updated parking and residential land use lot acreage and building square feet to reflect site plan received 2/20/23
Construction: Construction Phases	Updated construction schedule and phasing based on information provided by applicant on 3/14/23.
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Updated construction equipment type, number, and hours/day based on MIG Air Quality Impact Analysis and Health Risk Assessment Report 12/22/21.
Construction: Trips and VMT	Updated number of trips for vendors in the Building Construction (Foundation) to account for potential concrete deliveries.
Operations: Vehicle Data	Updated residential weekday and weekend trip rate and trip length based on Transportation Study Screening Assessment received from Ganddini Group 2/10/23
Operations: Fleet Mix	Updated Fleet Mix based on Transportation Study Screening Assessment 2/10/23
Operations: Hearths	Updated number of wood burning fireplaces and stoves to zero in order to be consistent with SCAQMD Rule 455.
Operations: Energy Use	Project is not proposing natural gas use for building systems or appliances.

This page was intentionally left blank.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

RESOULSES



South Alhambra Avenue 87-Unit Senior Housing Project



El Monte (1966) USGS 7.5 Quadrangle Section: 27 Township: 1 South Range: 12 West Scale: 1:24.000

Record Search Results

Project: S. Alhambar	County: L.A.	Search Radius: 1/2 mle
Project Manager: Cur	Date: 7-30-18	Conducted by: CWP

Quad(s): EL Monte

South	AL	HAMBRA	87-units	Housing	PRO)ect
-------	----	--------	----------	---------	-----	------

1

Other
•
•
_
R

Resource List

South Alhambra 87-Units Housing Project

Primary No.	Trinomial	Other IDs	Туре	Age	Attribute codes	Recorded by	Reports
P-19-187961		OHP Property Number - 136843; Resource Name - Monterey Park Foursquare Church; Other - Bechtel/AT&T Telecommunications Facility; Other - Full Gospel Foundation Church; Other - Praise Alive Worship Center	Building	Historic	HP16 (Religious building)	2002 (C. Hetzel)	
P-19-190254		OHP Property Number - 183183; Resource Name - T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/V052 153 Gavey	Building	Historic	HP06 (1-3 story commercial building)	2012 (K.A. Crawford, Michael Brandman Associates)	LA-12139

19-187961

State of California The Resources Agency	Primary #
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION	HRI#
PRIMARY RECORD	Trinomial

 	NRHP Status Code 6	Z
Other Listings		
Review Code	Reviewer	Date
Resource Name or #:	951011041A - Bechtel/AT&T Tele	communications Facility

P1. Other Identifier:

Page 1 of 2

2.	Location: 🗌 Not for Publication 🛛 Unrestricted	a. County Los Angeles	
	and (P2b and P2C or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necess	ary.)	
	b. USGS 7.5' Quad El Monte Date T1S; R12W;	${}^{1}I_{4}$ of ${}^{1}I_{4}$ of Sec 26; San Bernardino	B.M.
	c. Address 201 S NEW AVE	City Monterey Park	Zip 91755
	d. UTM: Zone ; mE/ mN e. Other Locational Data:		

P3a. Description:

The property contains a one-story church with an L-shaped plan and wood-frame construction. Its structure consists of an original building constructed in 1940 and a more recent 1968 addition. The 1968 addition stands at the front of the property and characterizes the property. Designed in a postwar modern style, the church has a wide cross-gable roof with asphalt shingles and shallow eaves. The exterior walls are clad with an original flagstone veneer on the primary (east) facade and stucco on the secondary elevations. The primary facade consists of a projecting front-gabled section on the south and a side-gabled wing on the north. The southern section contains the church sanctuary and is divided into three bays. The central bay features an inset ornate stained-glass window with vertical divisions. The window is flanked by two slightly angled bays clad with flagstone veneer. An original curved flower bed, defined by a low flagstone wall, stands before the window, and a tall narrow metal steeple with a simple cross marks to top of the projecting front gable. The southern section is characterized by a pair of centrally-located metal double-door entrance doors with plate-glass glazing and two horizontal metal sliding windows. A wide concrete walkway leads to the front entrance, and a short freestanding sign with original flagstone pylons stands in front of the building. Additional full-height window openings mark the building's south elevation at the sanctuary. The fenestration consists of metal sliding windows throughout the building. The building is in good condition. Its integrity is fair.

P3b. Resources Attributes: 16 Religious Building

P4. Resources Present: Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other



P5b. Description of Photo: East Elevation, Looking West

P6. Date Constructed/Age and Sources: X Historic Both Prehistoric

1940 (E) & 1968 (E) Per owner and

P7. Owner and Address: Pastor John Long 201 S New AVE, Monterey Park,

P8. Recorded by: Christopher J. Hetzel 619 E Elmwood AVE #G Burbank, CA 91501

P9. Date Recorded: 12/10/2002

P10. Survey Type: Section 106 Compliance Project Review

P11. Report Citation: None.

Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record Artifact Record Photograph Record Other: **DPR 523A**

Tax Assessment

CA

State of California -- The Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION Primary # 19-187961

HRI#

BUILDING, STRUCTURE, AND OBJECT RECORD

Page 2 of 2

NRHP Status Code 6Z

Resource Name or #: 951011041A - Bechtel/AT&T Telecommunications Facility

B4. Present Use: Church

B1. Historic Name: Monterey Park Foursquare Church/Full Gospel Foundation Church

B2. Common Name: Praise Alive Worship Center

B3. Original Use: Church

B5. Architectural Style: Postwar Modern

B6. Construction History:

B7. Moved? No Yes Unknown Date: Original Location:

B8. Related Features:

 B9a. Architect: Unknown
 b. Builder: Unknown

 B10. Significance: Theme Religious Architecture
 Area Monterey Park

 Period of Significance 1940 & 1968
 Property Type Church Applicable Criteria N/A

The existing building consists of two sections, constructed in 1940 and 1968, respectively, according to the owner and records in the Los Angeles County Tax Assessor Archives. The property was originally owned by the First Gospel Church, who purchased the property in 1938. The Tax Assessor archives list subsequent owners of the property as the Full Gospel Foundation Church and the Monterey Park Foursquare Church.

The original 1940 building has been nearly completely obscured by the 1968 addition. The 1968 structure appears to have few exterior alterations and is in good condition. There is no evidence that the property is associated with a recognized architect or craftsman, nor with an important cultural or historic event. The property is not architecturally significant and does not embody characteristics of a significant type, period, or method of construction. It is not located in a cohesive neighborhood. Based on our review, the property is not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places individually or as a contributor to a potential National Register historic district.

B1t. Additional Resource Attributes: 16 Religious Building

B12. References:

LA Dept. of Building & Safety, Historical Building Permits LA County Tax Assessor Archives LA Public Library Collections Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps

B13. Remarks:

B14. Evaluator: Christopher J. Hetzel **Date of Evaluation:** 12/10/2002

(This space reserved for official comments.)



State of California		Primary #	
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION		HRI #	
PRIMARY RECORD		Trinomial	
	NRHP Status Co	de	
	Other Listings		
	Review Code	Reviewer	Date
*Page <u>1</u> of <u>8</u> *Resource Name or #: <u>T-M</u>	lobile West LLC I	E04052A/VY052 153	Garvey
*P1. Other Identifier: None			
*P2: Location: Not for publication Unrest	ricted <u>X</u> a. Co	ounty: Los Angeles	
And (P2b and P2c or P2d. Attach a location map	as necessary.)		
*b. USGS Quad El Monte *Date: 1975	T; R; ¼ of ¼ of Se	ec B.M	
c. Address: 153 Garvey Avenue City: Monte	erey Park Zip:	<u>91755</u>	
d. UTM: (Give more than one large or linear res	sources) Zone:	Me/ mN	
e. Other Locational Data (e.g. parcel #, direction	ns to resource, e	levation, etc. as appr	opriate);

APN: 5255-007-008

***P3a.** Description (Describe resource and its major elements, include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting and boundaries.)

The subject property is a one- and two-story, asymmetrical, rectangular shaped, Modern style, commercial building located on a main commercial artery in the city of Monterey Park. The neighborhood includes both commercial and residential properties. The building has a concrete foundation, stucco exterior, and a flat roof. The roof contains large screened areas to hide roof equipment. The front façade contains several store units with single glass and metal doors and large fixed pane, metal framed, plate glass windows. The signage for each store is located on a flat vertical section above the storefront. The building is in good condition but has been altered by both interior and exterior changes.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List attributes and codes) <u>HP 6: 1-3 Story Commercial Building</u>



P4. Resources Present: <u>Building X</u> Structure
Object Site District Element of District
P5b. Description of Photo: (View, date
Accessions #) <u>View N/11/30/2012</u>
*P6. Date Constructed/Age and Source <u>Historic</u> <u>X</u> Prehistoric Both <u>c. 1947/Los Angeles</u>
<u>County Assessor's Records</u>
*P7. Address: <u>Ted Cheung, 717 De La Fuente,</u> Monterey Park, CA91754
*P8: Recorded by: (Name, Affiliation, Address)
<u>K.A. Crawford, Michael Brandman Associates,</u> 220 Commerce St., Irvine, CA
*P9. Date Recorded: <u>11/30/2012</u>

*P10. Type of Survey: (Describe) <u>Intensive</u> *P11: Report Citation (Cite Survey Report and other sources, or enter "None".) <u>None</u> *Attachments: None Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet <u>X</u> Building, Structure and Object Record <u>X</u> Archaeological Record District Record Liner Resource Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

State of California – The Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION **RESIDENCE, STRUCTURE, AND OBJECT RECORD** Primary # HRI# *NRHP Status Code

*Page <u>2</u> of <u>8</u> *Resource Name or # (Assigned by Recorder): <u>T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/VY052 153</u> Garvey

B1. Historic Name: None

B2: Common Name: None

B3. Original Use: Commercial/Store

B4: Present Use: Commercial/Store

*B5: Architectural Style: Modern

*B6: Construction History: (Construction Date, alterations and dates of alterations)

The subject building was constructed in approximately 1947. The building has been altered with door and window changes and interior alterations. ***B7. Moved? <u>X</u> No** Yes Unknown Date: <u>Original</u> Location

*B8. Related Features: None

89a. Architect: Unknown b. Builder: Unknown

***B10. Significance:** <u>Development of the City of Monterey Park and Modern Architecture</u> Area: <u>Monterey Park</u> Period of Significance: <u>1947-Present</u> Property Type: <u>Commercial</u> Applicable Criteria: A and C

(Discuss importance in terms of historical or architectural context as defined by theme, period, and geographic scope. Also address integrity.)

The City of Monterey Park was originally part of the Mission San Gabriel lands during the Spanish period; became part of Rancho San Antonio during the Mexican period; and eventually passed into American control after 1850. After the 1860s, Richard Garvey purchased much of the former rancho lands and began to develop the property, he brought in water from a nearby river, created the Garvey Dam which provided a steady water supply. His debts forced him to sell much of the land and in 1906, the first subdivision was built. The city was officially incorporated in 1916. In the 1920s, the Anglo and Hispanic residents were joined by Asian immigrants who developed flower and vegetable farms. Monterey Pass Road was improved to allow for an easier way to get their goods to market. The 1920s saw a period of building developments, but the city waned during the Great Depression of the 1930s. After World War II, the area saw a period of steady growth and development with new housing tracts under construction and commercial development. The building was constructed as part of this post-war trend and expansion of Monterey Park. No original building permits were located for the property but a variety of permits were filed for alterations and general tenant improvements with electrical, plumbing, roofing and door and window changes. The building has housed small locally based businesses over the decades. The building is a limited example of the Modern style and has played no significant role in the overall economic development of the city as it is one of hundreds of similar buildings in the city.

B11. Additional Resource Attributes: (List attributes and codes) None

*B12. References: McAlester and McAlester, A Guide to American Houses, 1991; Historicaerials.com;

County of Los Angeles Assessor's Records; City of Monterey Park

Building Department Records.	(Sketch Map with north arrow required.)
B13. Remarks: None	
*B14: Evaluators: K.A. Crawford	Waisily Map
*Date of Evaluation: <u>11/30/2012</u>	
	C 60000 AC
(This space reserved for	
official comments.)	
	CRUDURE D-2 MAP ESD N 2000 FROMUS EXCE N.T.S.
	0
	*

HRI#____ Trinomial

Primary #

 Page 3 of 8
 *Resource Name or # (Assigned by recorder)
 T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/VY052 153

 Garvey
 *Recorded by K.A. Crawford/Michael Brandman Associates
 Date
 November 30, 2012

 Continuation X
 Update
 Date
 November 30, 2012

(Continued from page 2)

Integrity Statement

In regard to the seven aspects of integrity – location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling and association – the c.1947 Modern style commercial building on this property has retained its original location. The building has not been moved. The setting, feeling, and association have not remained intact as the urban area surrounding the structure has changed. The design, materials and workmanship have been altered by door and window changes and interior alterations. The integrity level is fair and the condition of the building is good.

National Register of Historic Places Eligibility Evaluation

The property was assessed under National Register of Historic Places **Criterion A** for its potential significance as part of any historic trends or events that may have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history. The building was constructed as part of the overall continuing commercial and residential development of the Monterey Park area which began in the 1860s and continues to the present time. There is no significant trend or event associated with the property. **Therefore, the property does not appear to meet the criteria for significance under Criterion A**: Event.

The property was assessed under National Register of Historic Places **Criterion B** for its potential significance and association with a person of importance in national history. There is no evidence to suggest that any of the persons associated with the construction or development of the building were considered important in the history of the property or nation. None of the persons associated with the property appear to be historically significant at the level necessary to meet the criteria for National Register of Historic Places. Therefore, the property does not appear to meet the criteria for significance under Criterion B: Person.

The property was assessed under National Register of Historic Places **Criterion C** for its potential significance as a property which embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, method of construction or style of Modern architecture, represents the work of a master architect, builder or craftsman, possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant or distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction. The building is a standard, limited example of the Modern style with no distinctive or innovative elements. In addition, the alterations have reduced the building's integrity to below a level of significance. The building is not a good example of the work of a master architect or craftsman as the no persons associated with the design or construction were identified. Therefore, the building cannot be considered to represent the work of a master architect, builder or craftsman. Therefore, the property does not appear to meet the criteria for significance under Criterion C: Architecture as a good example of Modern style architecture.

The property was assessed under National Register of Historic Places **Criterion D** for its potential significance and its ability to convey information. The property does not yield, or may not be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history. In order for buildings, structures, or objects to be significant under Criterion D, they need to "be, or must have been, the principal source of information." This is not the case with this property. **Therefore, the property does not appear to meet the criteria for significance under Criterion D: Information Potential.**

In summary, the property does not appear to qualify for the National Register of Historic Places under any of the above criteria. Therefore, the building is not considered to be an historic resource for the purposes of the NHPA. The property was not accessed for eligibility under the California Register or local Monterey Park Register eligibility.

Primary # _____ HRI#_____

Trinomial____

 Page 4 of 8
 *Resource Name or # (Assigned by recorder)
 T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/VY052 153

 Garvey
 *Recorded by K.A. Crawford/Michael Brandman Associates
 Date
 November 30, 2012

 Continuation X
 Update
 Date
 November 30, 2012

T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/VY052 153 Garvey Commercial Building, 153 Garvey Avenue, Monterey Park, CA 91755 View North November 30, 2012



Primary # _____ HRI#_____ Trinomial

*Resource Name or # (Assigned by recorder) <u>T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/VY052 153</u>

 Page 5 of 8
 *Resource Name or # (Assigned by recorded

 Garvey
 *Recorded by K.A. Crawford/Michael Brandman Associates

Date November 30, 2012

Continuation X Update T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/VY052 153 Garvey

Commercial Building, 153 Garvey Avenue, Monterey Park, CA 91755 View Southeast November 30, 2012



Primary # ____ HRI#_____

Trinomial

 Page 6 of 8
 *Resource Name or # (Assigned by recorder)
 T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/VY052 153

 Garvey
 *Recorded by K.A. Crawford/Michael Brandman Associates
 Date
 November 30, 2012

 Continuation X
 Update
 Date
 November 30, 2012

T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/VY052 153 Garvey Commercial Building, 153 Garvey Avenue, Monterey Park, CA 91755 View South November 30, 2012



Primary # _ HRI#____

Trinomial____

 Page 7 of 8
 *Resource Name or # (Assigned by recorder)
 T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/VY052 153

 Garvey
 *Recorded by K.A. Crawford/Michael Brandman Associates
 Date
 November 30, 2012

 Continuation X
 Update
 Date
 November 30, 2012

T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/VY052 153 Garvey Commercial Building, 153 Garvey Avenue, Monterey Park, CA 91755 View West November 30, 2012



Primary # HRI#

Trinomial____

 Page 8 of 8
 *Resource Name or # (Assigned by recorder)
 T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/VY052 153

 Garvey
 *Recorded by K.A. Crawford/Michael Brandman Associates
 Date
 November 30, 2012

 Continuation X
 Update
 Date
 November 30, 2012

T-Mobile West LLC IE04052A/VY052 153 Garvey Commercial Building, 153 Garvey Avenue, Monterey Park, CA 91755 View North November 30, 2012



This Page Intentionally Left Blank



Memo

To: Alex Lai, The Commons of MPK LLC

CC: Cameron Hile, MIG

From: Phil Gleason, and William Deeman

Date: April 14, 2023

SUBJECT: Greenhouse Gas and Energy Analysis for South Alhambra Avenue Multi-Family Condominium Project in Monterey Park, CA

MIG, Inc. (MIG) has prepared this memorandum at the request of The Commons of MPK LLC. This memorandum estimates the potential greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and energy consumption levels for the proposed South Alhambra Avenue Multi-Family Condominium Project (proposed Project) and evaluates Project emissions against applicable South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)-recommended California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) significance thresholds. As explained in this memorandum, the proposed Project does not have the potential to result in emissions that exceed SCAQMD thresholds or result in wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary energy consumption.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed Project involves the construction of a 65-unit multifamily residential housing facility across three parcels in the eastern part of Monterey Park, California.

The approximately 1.73-acre Project site is located at 338-410 South Alhambra Avenue. The site currently contains 15 residential structures (14 habitable units), which would be demolished as part of the Project. This includes two (2) one-story residential units with garages totaling 1,516 square feet, 12 multi-family units totaling 9,976 square feet, and a single-family residential unit that is 1,600 square feet. The proposed Project would have a building footprint of approximately 45,067 square feet, consisting of the 44,177 square foot parking garage and an 890 square foot lobby. The next three stories would be for residential use. Levels two, three, and four would be approximately 34,551 square feet. The entire building, including the parking garage and lobby, would total approximately 148,578 square feet. There would be approximately 10,374 square foot soft ground courtyard. There would be 99 parking spaces in the parking garages.

The site is located on the east side of South Alhambra Avenue, between East Newmark Avenue and East Graves Avenue, at the eastern terminus of Peach Street in the City of Monterey Park. Interstate 10 (I-10) is approximately 0.9 miles to the north, I-710 is approximately 2.8 miles to the west, and State Route (SR) 60 is approximately 1.9 miles to the south. The nearest airport, Whittier Air Strip, is approximately 2.8 miles southeast of the Project site and the nearest school, Monterey Vista Elementary School, is approximately 0.4 miles southeast of the Project site. The site is bound on the north by single-family residential uses, on the east and south by multi-family residential uses, and on the west by South Alhambra Avenue. Single-family residential uses are located across South Alhambra Avenue. The proposed Project would involve demolition of existing buildings, site preparation, grading, including soil excavation for the underground parking garage, new building construction, paving, and architectural coating. Construction is expected to begin as soon as July 2023 and last approximately 19 months. The proposed Project's construction schedule and anticipated equipment usage is listed in Table 1, *South Alhambra Avenue Condominium Project Construction Activities.*

Table 1: South Alhambra Avenue Condominium Project Construction Activities				
Construction Phase	Construction Schedule	Typical Equipment Used		
Demolition	10	Concrete/Industrial Saw, Dozer, Backhoe		
Site Preparation	5	Grader, Dozer, Backhoe		
Grading	20	Excavator, Grader, Dozer, Backhoe		
Trenching	10	Trencher		
Building Construction (Foundation)	30	Crane, Forklift, Generator, Backhoe, Welder		
Building Construction (Vertical)	360	Crane, Forklift, Generator, Backhoe, Welder		
Paving	10	Cement and Mortar Mixer, Paver, Roller, Paving Equipment, Backhoe		
Architectural Coating	10	Air Compressor		

The Project is expected to be operational in 2025. Once operational, the proposed Project would operate as a residential land use, similar to the existing residential uses in the area.

GHG ANALYSIS

Gases that trap heat in the atmosphere and affect regulation of the Earth's temperature are known as GHGs. GHG that contribute to climate change are a different type of pollutant than criteria or hazardous air pollutants because climate change is global in scale, both in terms of causes and effects. Some GHG are emitted to the atmosphere naturally by biological and geological processes such as evaporation (water vapor), aerobic respiration (carbon dioxide), and off-gassing from low oxygen environments such as swamps or exposed permafrost (methane); however, GHG emissions from human activities such as fuel combustion (e.g., carbon dioxide) and refrigerants use (e.g., hydrofluorocarbons) significantly contribute to overall GHG concentrations in the atmosphere, climate regulation, and global climate change. The 1997 United Nations' Kyoto Protocol international treaty set targets for reductions in emissions of four specific GHGs – carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and sulfur hexafluoride – and two groups of gases – hydrofluorocarbons and perfluorocarbons. These GHG are the primary GHG emitted into the atmosphere by human activities. The six most common GHG's are carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), sulfur hexafluoride, hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), and perfluorocarbons (PFCs).

GHG emissions from human activities contribute to overall GHG concentrations in the atmosphere and the corresponding effects of global climate change (e.g., rising temperatures, increased severe weather events such as drought and flooding). GHGs can remain in the atmosphere long after they are emitted. The potential for a GHG to absorb and trap heat in the

atmosphere is considered its global warming potential (GWP). The reference gas for measuring GWP is CO_2 , which has a GWP of one. By comparison, CH_4 has a GWP of 25, which means that one molecule of CH_4 has 25 times the effect on global warming as one molecule of CO_2 . Multiplying the estimated emissions for non- CO_2 GHGs by their GWP determines their carbon dioxide equivalent (CO_2e), which enables a project's combined global warming potential to be expressed in terms of mass CO_2 emissions (referred to as CO_2 equivalents, or CO_2e).

The proposed Project is located within the South Coast Air Basin, under the jurisdiction of the SCAQMD. In order to provide guidance to local lead agencies on determining the significance of GHG emissions in their CEQA documents, the SCAQMD convened the first GHG Significance Threshold Working Group (Working Group) meeting on April 30, 2008. To date, the Working Group has convened a total of 15 times, with the last meeting taking place on September 28, 2010. Based on the last Working Group meeting, the SCAQMD identified an interim, tiered approach for evaluating GHG emissions intent on capturing 90 percent of development projects where the SCAQMD is not the lead agency. The following describes the basic structure of the SCAQMD's tiered, interim GHG significance thresholds (SCAQMD, 2010):

- Tier 1 consists of evaluating whether or not the project qualifies for applicable CEQA exemptions.
- Tier 2 consists of determining whether or not a project is consistent with a greenhouse gas reduction plan. If a project is consistent with a greenhouse gas reduction plan, it would not have a significant impact.
- Tier 3 consists of using screening values at the discretion of the Lead Agency; however, the Lead Agency should be consistent for all projects within its jurisdiction. The following thresholds were proposed for consideration:
 - \circ 3,000 MTCO₂e per year for all land use types; or
 - 3,500 MTCO₂e per year for residential; 1,400 MTCO₂e per year for commercial; 3,000 MTCO₂e per year for mixed use projects.
- Tier 4 has three options for projects that exceed the screening values identified in Tier 3:
 - Option 1: Reduce emissions from business-as-usual by a certain percentage (currently undefined); or
 - o Option 2: Early implementation of applicable AB 32 Scoping Measures; or
 - Option 3: For plan-level analyses, analyze a project's emissions against an efficiency value of 6.6 MTCO₂e/year/service population by 2020 and 4.1 MTCO₂e/year/service population by 2035. For project-level analyses, analyze a project's emissions against an efficiency value of 4.8 and 3.0 MTCO₂e/year/service population for the 2020 and 2035 calendar years, respectively.

This analysis uses the SCAQMD's interim Tier 3 GHG threshold to evaluate the proposed Project's GHG emissions levels.

GHG Emissions and Plan Consistency Analysis

The proposed project would generate GHG emission from both short-term construction and long-term operational activities. Construction activities would generate GHG emissions primarily from equipment fuel combustion as well as worker, vendor, and haul trips to and from the project site during demolition, site preparation, grading, building construction, paving, and architectural coating activities. Construction activities would cease to emit GHG upon completion, unlike operational emissions that would be continuous year after year until the

project is decommissioned. The SCAQMD recommends amortizing construction GHG emissions over a 30-year period and including with operational emissions estimates. This normalizes construction emissions so that they can be grouped with operational emissions and compared to appropriate thresholds, plans, etc. Once operational, the proposed project would generate GHG emissions from area, stationary, mobile, water/wastewater, and solid waste sources.

The proposed Project's potential GHG emissions were estimated using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod), Version (V.) 2022.1.1.6. Project emissions were generated using CalEEMod default assumptions and modified as necessary to reflect the following Project-specific context, information, and details:

- The type and length of construction phases for each site, as well as the equipment used in each phase and the number of worker trips per day, were modified per information provided by the Project applicant; and
- 9,000 cubic yards of soil was added as off-haul during the grading phase.
- The default, weekday trip generation rate and average vehicle miles travelled (VMT) distance was updated to reflect the trip generation rate provided in the Transportation Study Screening Analysis (Ganddini Group 2023).
- Natural gas use was excluded from the Project since the Project does not propose natural gas connections for building or appliance systems.

Table 2: Unmitigated Project Greenhouse Gas Emissions		
GHG Emissions Source	GHG Emissions (MTCO ₂ e Per Year)	
Operations		
Area	16	
Energy	149	
Mobile	1,049	
Refrigerants	0.1	
Waste	15	
Water	7.5	
Subtotal ^(A)	1,237	
Construction		
Total Construction Emissions	601.9	
Average Annual Emissions (30 Year Lifetime) ^(B)	20.1	
Total Project Emissions ^(A)	1,257	
SCAQMD Tier 3 Screening Threshold	3,000	
SCAQMD Tier 3 Threshold Exceeded?	No	
Project-specific 2030 GHG Emissions Goal	1,800	
Project-specific GHG Emissions Goal Exceeded?		
Source: MIG 2023 (See Attachment 1) and SCAQMD, 2010. (A) Totals may not equal due to rounding. (B) Construction emissions value has been averaged over a 30-year assumed project lifetime.		

The proposed Project's total GHG emissions are shown in Table 2, *Project Greenhouse Gas Emissions*.

As shown in Table 2, the proposed Project's potential increase in GHG emissions would be below the SCAQMD's recommended GHG emissions thresholds. Furthermore, the proposed Project's GHG emissions would also be below an adjusted Project-specific GHG emissions goal of 1,800 MTCO₂e per year, which takes into account post 2020 GHG emissions targets the state is currently working towards.¹ The proposed Project, therefore, would not generate GHG emissions that exceed SCAQMD CEQA thresholds or otherwise result in a significant impact on the environment. The proposed Project also would not conflict with or otherwise obstruct implementation of a plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purposes of reducing GHG emissions, including the California Air Resources Board (CARB) 2022 Climate Change Scoping Plan (2022 Scoping Plan), the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) 2020 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (2020 RTP/SCS), or the City of Monterey Park Climate Action Plan (CAP).

Appendix D to CARB's 2022 Scoping Plan Update identifies potential actions that could be undertaken at a local level to support the State's climate goals. In addition to providing guidance to local lead agencies on long-term climate planning (e.g., developing a qualified climate action plan), this appendix also provides a list of key GHG reducing attributes for residential and mixed-use developments; projects that exhibit these attributes represent growth that is consistent with State's GHG reduction goals. Table 3, *Project Consistency with Key GHG Reducing Attributes (2022 Scoping Plan)*, evaluates Project consistency with these attributes.

Table 3: Project Consistency with Key GHG Reducing Attributes (2022 Scoping Plan)				
Priority Area	Key Project Attribute	Project Consistency		
Transportation Electrification	Provides electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure that, at a minimum, meets the most ambitious voluntary standard in the California Green Building Standards Code (CalGreen Code) at the time of project approval.	<i>Consistent.</i> The proposed Project would install EV charging infrastructure consistent with Tier II Voluntary Standards specified in the 2022 CalGreen Code.		
VMT Reduction	Is located on infill sites that that are surrounded by existing urban uses and reuses or redevelops previously undeveloped or underutilized land that is presently served by existing utilities and essential public services (e.g., transit, streets, water, sewer).	<i>Consistent.</i> The proposed Project is located in a residential portion of the city. The Project would intensify uses at the site by replacing approximately 15 dwelling units with 65 units. The proposed development would continue to be served by existing utilities and essential public services.		
	Does not result in the loss or conversion of natural and working lands.	<i>Consistent.</i> The proposed Project would consist of developing the site; it would not result in the loss or conversion of natural or working lands.		

¹ The 1,800 MTCO₂e per year goal was developed by taking the SCAQMD's Tier 3 threshold of 3,000 MTCO₂e per year, which was the threshold to reduce emissions back to 1990 levels and reducing it by 40 percent (3,000 MTCO₂e/yr). MTCO₂e/yr). This reduction is consistent with the GHG reductions required by year 2025 to meet GHG reductions required under Senate Bill 32 (to reduce GHG emissions to levels 40% below 1990 levels by 2030). This linear reduction approach oversimplifies the threshold development process. The City of Monterey Park is not adopting nor proposing to use 1,800 MTCO₂e as a CEQA GHG threshold for general use; rather, it is only intended for to provide additional context and information on the magnitude of the proposed Project's GHG emissions.

Table 3: Project Consistency with Key GHG Reducing Attributes (2022 Scoping Plan)			
Priority Area	Key Project Attribute	Project Consistency	
	Consists of transit-supportive densities (minimum of 20 residential dwelling units per acre), <u>or</u> Is in proximity to existing transit stops (within a half mile), <u>or</u> Satisfies more detailed and stringent criteria specified in the region's SCS.	<i>Consistent</i> . The proposed Project would result in a development intensity of approximately 37.6 dwelling units per acre, which meets the criteria.	
	 Reduces parking requirements by: Eliminating parking requirements or including maximum allowable parking ratios (i.e., the ratio of parking spaces to residential units or square feet), or Providing residential parking supply at a ratio of less than one parking space per dwelling unit, or For multifamily residential development, requiring parking costs to be unbundled from costs to rent or own a residential unit. 	<i>Consistent</i> . In accordance with the Density Bonus Law AB 2334, the proposed Project would incorporate an affordable housing density bonus of 50% increase in housing density provided 15% of housing would be for very low income. The parking ratio associated with this bill would also be applied to the Project: one parking space for zero to one bedroom, and one and a half parking spaces for two to three bedrooms. The proposed Project, which includes the density bonus, would result in approximately 65% fewer parking spaces compared to those of the zoning requirements for the approximately 1.73- acre site.	
	At least 20 percent of units included are affordable to lower-income residents.	<i>Inconsistent</i> . As identified above, 15% of the dwelling units proposed by the Project would be for very low income per AB 2334, which is less than the 20% identified as a key project attribute.	
	Results in no net loss of existing affordable units.	<i>Consistent</i> . The proposed Project would not result in the net loss of existing affordable units.	
Building Decarbonization	Uses all-electric appliances without any natural gas connections and does not use propone or other fossil fuels for space heating, water heating, or indoor cooking.	<i>Consistent</i> . The proposed Project would be an all-electric design. The project would not include natural gas plumbing nor use fossil fuels for space heating, water heating, or indoor cooking.	

As shown in Table 3, the proposed Project would be consistent with all of the Key GHG Reducing Attributes identified in the *2022 Scoping Plan*, except for providing 20% of dwelling units to low-income individuals. This inconsistency does not imply that the Project would result in a potentially significant impact, because consistency with the project attributes is simply a qualitative means by which to assess whether or not a project would *clearly* be consistent with the State's climate goals (CARB 2022, pg. 23). In fact, Appendix D to the *2022 Scoping Plan* provides that, "Lead agencies may determine, with adequate additional supporting evidence, that projects that incorporate some, but not all, of the key project attributes are consistent with the State's climate goals" (CARB 2022, pg. 23 and 24). The proposed Project would provide EV charging infrastructure based on the most stringent standards in the CalGreen Code, transit-supportive densities (i.e., greater than 20 dwelling units per acre), have approximately 65%

fewer parking spaces than those allowed for by the City zoning code, result in a net increase in affordable housing at the site, and would not install, nor use, natural gas or fossil fuels for space heating, water heating, or indoor cooking. Therefore, based on these qualitative criteria, the growth proposed by the Project would be consistent with the State's long-term GHG emission reduction goals.

The proposed Project would also be consistent with the SCAG 2020 RTP/SCS. The proposed Project would add 65 new residential units and demolish 14 existing habitable dwelling units, which is consistent with the regional forecasts in the 2020 RTP/SCS, in which Monterey Park is projected to add 4,100 residents, 2,200 households, and 2,500 jobs between 2016 and 2045 (SCAG 2020). The proposed Project would incorporate an affordable housing density bonus of 50% increase in housing density provided 15% of housing would be for very low income (consistent with the requirements of AB 2334), and result in approximately 65% fewer parking spaces compared to those of the zoning requirements for the approximately 1.73-acre site. In addition, the Project does not conflict with the 2020 RTP/SCS's goal of reducing vehicle miles travelled (VMT), as it met the City's VMT screening criteria and is presumed to have a less than significant VMT impact (Ganddini Group 2023). The Project also aligns with the 2020 RTP/SCS's land use and transportation strategy of locating housing near transit by proposing a bus stop along South Alhambra Avenue bordering the proposed housing facility.

The City of Monterey Park has implemented a CAP to address GHG emissions related to land use patterns, transportations, building design, energy use, water demand, and waste generation. It outlines a roadmap to reduce GHG emissions and promote economic growth based on clean technology and sustainable practices. The CAP evaluates current GHG emissions; forecasts "business-as-usual" emissions; establishes a policy to reduce the City's GHG emissions to 15% below baseline 2009 levels by 2020; sets an aspirational goal of achieving GHG emissions 49% below baseline 2009 levels by 2035; and develops reduction strategies for building energy, transportation, land use, consumption, and solid waste emissions sources. These GHG reduction targets are consistent with the State's 2022 Climate Change Scoping Plan, which aims to reduce GHG emissions 40% below 1990 levels by 2030. The proposed Project would be consistent with CAP growth projections, be subject to the latest State energy efficiency standards (consistent with CAP Policy E2), include higher density development near transit (consistent with CAP Policy LU1), provide water efficient landscaping (consistent with CAP Policy W1), and provide solid waste reduction services that divert waste from landfills (consistent with CAP Policy W2).

As described above, the proposed Project would not result in significant GHG emissions, proposes growth in a manner that would be consistent with the State's long-term GHG emission reduction goals, and would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purposes of reducing GHG emissions.

ENERGY ANALYSIS

The proposed Project consists of the demolition of 15 existing residential units (14 habitable units) and the construction of a 65-unit multi-family condominium. Construction activities associated with the proposed project would require the use of heavy-duty, off-road equipment and construction-related vehicle trips that would combust fuel, primarily diesel and gasoline. Heavy-duty construction equipment would be required to comply with CARB's airborne toxic control measures, which restrict heavy-duty diesel vehicle idling to five minutes. It is estimated that construction activities would consume approximately 29,879 gallons of diesel fuel to power on-site, off-road heavy-duty construction equipment. Worker, vendor, and haul truck trips during construction activities are anticipated to consume 19,512 gallons of gasoline, 11,103 gallons of diesel, and 4,881 kWh of electricity.

Once operational, the proposed Project would consume energy for vehicle trips, electricity and natural gas usage, and water and wastewater conveyance. As estimated using CalEEMod, the proposed Project building would consume approximately 616 megawatt-hours (mWh) of electricity per year. Operational vehicle trips are anticipated to consume approximately 124,194 gallons of gasoline, 20,374 gallons of diesel, and 63,457 kilowatt-hours (kWh) of electricity on an annual basis, upon its first year of operation.

Electricity, natural gas, and gasoline fuel consumption are energy sources necessary to operate and maintain the proposed Project in a safe manner. Lighting is essential for safety and security and natural gas consumption is needed for heating and other temperature-controlled activities. Due to energy efficiency standards being improved over time, the new structures would be more efficient in its energy consumption than the existing structures. In addition, the proposed Project includes elements that support modes of transportation that would result in less gasoline consumption than transportation by single-occupancy gasoline-powered cars. The proposed project has five parking spaces dedicated for electric vehicles.

The design features of the proposed Project are consistent with the City of Monterey CAP, as discussed above in the GHG Emissions and Plan Consistency Analysis section. The proposed Project would not conflict with or obstruct any other state or local plan adopted for the purposes of increasing the amount of renewable energy or energy efficiency because no other plans are in place in the Project area.

As discussed above, the proposed Project would be built to the latest CALGreen Code and would be more energy efficient than the existing structures at the site and would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy. For these reasons, the proposed project would not result in the wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary use of energy resources.

CONCLUSION

As described in this memo, the proposed Project would not exceed any applicable SCAQMDrecommended CEQA thresholds of significance and is consistent with all applicable plans, policies and regulations adopted for the purposes of reducing GHG emissions and/or energy consumption impacts. The proposed project, therefore, would not result in substantial adverse GHG or energy-related effects on the environment.

REFERENCES

The following references were used to prepare this memorandum:

- California Air Resources Board (CARB) 2022. 2022 Scoping Plan Update. November 16, 2022. Web. Accessed April 3, 2023. https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/2022-sp.pdf>
- Ganddini Group. 2023. "338-410 Alhambra Avenue Residential Project Transportation Study Screening Analysis." February 10, 2023.
- Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) 2020. Connect SoCal Current Context Demographic and Growth Forecast. September 3, 2020. https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/0903fconnectsocal_demographicsand-growth-forecast.pdf?1606001579>

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) 1993. Air Quality Analysis Handbook. Diamond Bar, CA. 1993. Available online at: http://www.aqmd.gov/home/regulations/ceqa/air-quality-analysis-handbook 2010. Minutes for the GHG CEQA Significance Threshold Stakeholder Working Group #15. Diamond Bar, CA. September 28, 2010. Available online at: <http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-(ghg)ceqa-significance-thresholds/year-2008-2009/ghg-meeting-15/ghg-meeting-15minutes.pdf>

2019. South Coast AQMD Air Quality Significance Thresholds. Diamond Bar, CA. April 2019. Available online at: <<u>http://www.aqmd.gov/home/rules-compliance/ceqa/air-quality-analysis-handbook</u>>

TAG Design Works (TAG). 2023. Personal Communication. Email. RE: South Alhambra Project. Cameron Hile, MIG, and Steven Chen and Alex Lai, TAG Design Works. March 30, 2023.

PG / WD

This page was intentionally left blank.

Attachment 1 CalEEMod Project File Outputs This page was intentionally left blank.

338-410 South Alhambra Detailed Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
 - 2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated
 - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
 - 2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Demolition (2023) Unmitigated
 - 3.2. Demolition (2023) Mitigated

- 3.3. Site Preparation (2023) Unmitigated
- 3.4. Site Preparation (2023) Mitigated
- 3.5. Grading (2023) Unmitigated
- 3.6. Grading (2023) Mitigated
- 3.7. Building Construction (2023) Unmitigated
- 3.8. Building Construction (2023) Mitigated
- 3.9. Building Construction (2023) Unmitigated
- 3.10. Building Construction (2023) Mitigated
- 3.11. Building Construction (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.12. Building Construction (2024) Mitigated
- 3.13. Building Construction (2025) Unmitigated
- 3.14. Building Construction (2025) Mitigated
- 3.15. Paving (2025) Unmitigated
- 3.16. Paving (2025) Mitigated
- 3.17. Architectural Coating (2025) Unmitigated
- 3.18. Architectural Coating (2025) Mitigated
- 3.19. Trenching (2023) Unmitigated

3.20. Trenching (2023) - Mitigated

- 4. Operations Emissions Details
 - 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.1.2. Mitigated
 - 4.2. Energy
 - 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
 - 4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Mitigated
 - 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
 - 4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Mitigated
 - 4.3. Area Emissions by Source
 - 4.3.2. Unmitigated
 - 4.3.1. Mitigated
 - 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.4.2. Unmitigated
 - 4.4.1. Mitigated
 - 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use
- 4.5.2. Unmitigated
- 4.5.1. Mitigated
- 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.6.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.6.2. Mitigated
- 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.7.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.7.2. Mitigated
- 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.8.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.8.2. Mitigated
- 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.9.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.9.2. Mitigated
- 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
 - 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Unmitigated
 - 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Unmitigated

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

- 4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Mitigated
- 4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Mitigated
- 4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Mitigated
- 5. Activity Data
 - 5.1. Construction Schedule
 - 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.2.2. Mitigated
 - 5.3. Construction Vehicles
 - 5.3.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.3.2. Mitigated
 - 5.4. Vehicles
 - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
 - 5.5. Architectural Coatings
 - 5.6. Dust Mitigation
 - 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources
 - 5.9.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.9.2. Mitigated
- 5.10. Operational Area Sources
 - 5.10.1. Hearths
 - 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.10.1.2. Mitigated
 - 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings
 - 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment
 - 5.10.4. Landscape Equipment Mitigated
- 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption
 - 5.11.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.11.2. Mitigated
- 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

- 5.12.1. Unmitigated
- 5.12.2. Mitigated
- 5.13. Operational Waste Generation
 - 5.13.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.13.2. Mitigated
- 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment
 - 5.14.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.14.2. Mitigated
- 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.15.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.15.2. Mitigated
- 5.16. Stationary Sources
 - 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps
 - 5.16.2. Process Boilers
- 5.17. User Defined
- 5.18. Vegetation
 - 5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

- 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
- 5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

- 6.1. Climate Risk Summary
- 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
- 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores
- 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

- 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
- 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
- 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

- 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard
- 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	338-410 South Alhambra
Construction Start Date	7/1/2023
Operational Year	2025
Lead Agency	
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	0.50
Precipitation (days)	18.2
Location	34.05812935706017, -118.11639112960901
County	Los Angeles-South Coast
City	Monterey Park
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	4191
EDFZ	7
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas
App Version	2022.1.1.8

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
------------------	------	------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	-------------

Apartments Mid Rise	65.0	Dwelling Unit	0.00	103,653	0.00	—	192	_
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	45.0	1000sqft	1.03	45,067	0.00	_	_	_
Other Asphalt Surfaces	30.0	1000sqft	0.70	0.00	13,700			—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

Sector	#	Measure Title
Construction	C-5	Use Advanced Engine Tiers

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—	-			—					—	-						—
Unmit.	1.60	1.34	11.9	14.8	0.04	0.39	1.41	1.79	0.36	0.34	0.70	—	5,356	5,356	0.29	0.65	9.76	5,567
Mit.	1.17	1.00	6.42	16.2	0.04	0.17	1.41	1.50	0.16	0.34	0.43	—	5,356	5,356	0.29	0.65	9.76	5,567
% Reduced	27%	26%	46%	-9%	—	56%	—	16%	56%	—	38%	-	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-		_	_	_		_	_	_	-	_	_		_		_
Unmit.	1.71	68.3	10.0	14.5	0.02	0.38	1.12	1.36	0.35	0.27	0.59	—	3,066	3,066	0.13	0.17	0.17	3,102
Mit.	1.27	68.3	5.79	15.9	0.02	0.19	1.12	1.17	0.18	0.27	0.41	-	3,066	3,066	0.13	0.17	0.17	3,102
% Reduced	26%	_	42%	-9%	_	50%	_	14%	49%	_	30%	-	_	_	_	_	_	_

Average Daily (Max)																		
Unmit.	1.15	2.04	6.86	10.2	0.02	0.25	0.70	0.94	0.23	0.17	0.39	—	2,186	2,186	0.09	0.08	1.52	2,214
Mit.	0.84	1.99	4.02	11.2	0.02	0.12	0.70	0.82	0.11	0.17	0.28	—	2,186	2,186	0.09	0.08	1.52	2,214
% Reduced	27%	2%	41%	-10%	_	51%	_	13%	50%	_	29%	_	_	_	_	_	—	_
Annual (Max)	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Unmit.	0.21	0.37	1.25	1.86	< 0.005	0.05	0.13	0.17	0.04	0.03	0.07	_	362	362	0.02	0.01	0.25	366
Mit.	0.15	0.36	0.73	2.05	< 0.005	0.02	0.13	0.15	0.02	0.03	0.05	_	362	362	0.02	0.01	0.25	366
% Reduced	27%	2%	41%	-10%		51%		13%	50%		29%							

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	—		_				_		—
2023	1.23	0.84	11.9	10.5	0.04	0.39	1.41	1.79	0.36	0.34	0.70	—	5,356	5,356	0.29	0.65	9.76	5,567
2024	1.60	1.34	9.50	14.8	0.02	0.34	0.98	1.33	0.32	0.24	0.55	—	3,088	3,088	0.13	0.11	4.91	3,128
Daily - Winter (Max)		-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_			_	_		—
2023	1.71	1.41	10.0	14.5	0.02	0.38	1.12	1.36	0.35	0.27	0.59	—	3,066	3,066	0.13	0.17	0.17	3,102
2024	1.60	1.34	9.58	14.0	0.02	0.34	0.98	1.33	0.32	0.24	0.55	—	3,040	3,040	0.13	0.11	0.13	3,076
2025	1.51	68.3	9.01	13.6	0.02	0.30	0.98	1.28	0.28	0.24	0.51	—	3,014	3,014	0.13	0.11	0.12	3,050
Average Daily	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		_
2023	0.41	0.32	2.66	3.67	0.01	0.09	0.36	0.45	0.09	0.08	0.17	_	1,015	1,015	0.05	0.07	0.86	1,038

2024	1.15	0.96	6.86	10.2	0.02	0.25	0.70	0.94	0.23	0.17	0.39	—	2,186	2,186	0.09	0.08	1.52	2,214
2025	0.19	2.04	1.15	1.79	< 0.005	0.04	0.12	0.16	0.04	0.03	0.07	—	381	381	0.02	0.01	0.25	386
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2023	0.07	0.06	0.48	0.67	< 0.005	0.02	0.07	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.03	—	168	168	0.01	0.01	0.14	172
2024	0.21	0.17	1.25	1.86	< 0.005	0.05	0.13	0.17	0.04	0.03	0.07	—	362	362	0.02	0.01	0.25	366
2025	0.03	0.37	0.21	0.33	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	—	63.1	63.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	63.9

2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	_		—	—	—	_	_	_		_	—	_	
2023	0.58	0.38	6.42	11.1	0.04	0.10	1.41	1.50	0.09	0.34	0.43	—	5,356	5,356	0.29	0.65	9.76	5,567
2024	1.17	1.00	5.53	16.2	0.02	0.17	0.98	1.15	0.16	0.24	0.39	—	3,088	3,088	0.13	0.11	4.91	3,128
Daily - Winter (Max)		_		_	_	_		_	_		_	_			_			
2023	1.27	1.06	5.79	15.9	0.02	0.19	1.12	1.17	0.18	0.27	0.41	—	3,066	3,066	0.13	0.17	0.17	3,102
2024	1.17	0.99	5.61	15.4	0.02	0.17	0.98	1.15	0.16	0.24	0.39	—	3,040	3,040	0.13	0.11	0.13	3,076
2025	1.11	68.3	5.41	15.1	0.02	0.15	0.98	1.13	0.14	0.24	0.37	—	3,014	3,014	0.13	0.11	0.12	3,050
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—
2023	0.28	0.22	1.54	3.92	0.01	0.04	0.36	0.40	0.04	0.08	0.12	_	1,015	1,015	0.05	0.07	0.86	1,038
2024	0.84	0.71	4.02	11.2	0.02	0.12	0.70	0.82	0.11	0.17	0.28	—	2,186	2,186	0.09	0.08	1.52	2,214
2025	0.14	1.99	0.67	1.96	< 0.005	0.02	0.12	0.14	0.02	0.03	0.05	—	381	381	0.02	0.01	0.25	386
Annual	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_
2023	0.05	0.04	0.28	0.72	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.02	_	168	168	0.01	0.01	0.14	172
2024	0.15	0.13	0.73	2.05	< 0.005	0.02	0.13	0.15	0.02	0.03	0.05	_	362	362	0.02	0.01	0.25	366

2025	0.03	0.36	0.12	0.36	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	63.1	63.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	63.9
------	------	------	------	------	---------	---------	------	------	---------	------	------	---	------	------	---------	---------	------	------

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	—	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	—	_	—	-	—
Unmit.	2.81	4.83	3.08	30.7	0.07	0.12	2.27	2.39	0.12	0.40	0.52	30.5	8,624	8,655	3.38	0.24	24.9	8,836
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	-		-	_	-	_	-	—		_	—	-	
Unmit.	2.09	4.15	3.23	22.2	0.07	0.12	2.27	2.39	0.12	0.40	0.52	30.5	8,330	8,360	3.38	0.25	1.37	8,521
Average Daily (Max)		_	—	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	—		_	—	—	_
Unmit.	2.45	4.54	2.40	26.5	0.06	0.05	2.27	2.32	0.05	0.40	0.45	30.5	7,269	7,299	3.36	0.25	11.2	7,470
Annual (Max)		_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Unmit.	0.45	0.83	0.44	4.83	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	5.05	1,203	1,209	0.56	0.04	1.85	1,237

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)				_	—		_	—			—	_		—		_		—
Mobile	1.99	1.71	2.06	24.7	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,451	6,451	0.24	0.22	24.1	6,546
Area	0.81	3.12	1.02	6.05	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,250	1,250	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,251
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	898	898	0.06	0.01		902

Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Waste	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	0.74	0.74
Total	2.81	4.83	3.08	30.7	0.07	0.12	2.27	2.39	0.12	0.40	0.52	30.5	8,624	8,655	3.38	0.24	24.9	8,836
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	—	-	—		_	—	-		_		—	-	—	-		_	—
Mobile	1.97	1.69	2.26	21.8	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,174	6,174	0.24	0.23	0.63	6,250
Area	0.11	2.47	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Total	2.09	4.15	3.23	22.2	0.07	0.12	2.27	2.39	0.12	0.40	0.52	30.5	8,330	8,360	3.38	0.25	1.37	8,521
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	1.96	1.68	2.30	22.6	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,249	6,249	0.24	0.23	10.4	6,335
Area	0.49	2.86	0.10	3.89	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	0.00	96.6	96.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	96.8
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	0.74	0.74
Total	2.45	4.54	2.40	26.5	0.06	0.05	2.27	2.32	0.05	0.40	0.45	30.5	7,269	7,299	3.36	0.25	11.2	7,470
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_
Mobile	0.36	0.31	0.42	4.12	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	—	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.04	1.72	1,049
Area	0.09	0.52	0.02	0.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	16.0	16.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	16.0
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	149	149	0.01	< 0.005	—	149
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.77	4.23	4.99	0.08	< 0.005	_	7.54
Waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	4.28	0.00	4.28	0.43	0.00	_	15.0

Refrig.	_	_	_	_	_	—		_	_	_	_	_		—	_	_	0.12	0.12
Total	0.45	0.83	0.44	4.83	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	5.05	1,203	1,209	0.56	0.04	1.85	1,237

2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated

Sector	тод	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_
Mobile	1.99	1.71	2.06	24.7	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,451	6,451	0.24	0.22	24.1	6,546
Area	0.81	3.12	1.02	6.05	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,250	1,250	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,251
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	_	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Water	—	—	_	-	_	_	—	_	-	—	_	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Waste	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	-	90.4
Refrig.	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	0.74	0.74
Total	2.81	4.83	3.08	30.7	0.07	0.12	2.27	2.39	0.12	0.40	0.52	30.5	8,624	8,655	3.38	0.24	24.9	8,836
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-
Mobile	1.97	1.69	2.26	21.8	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,174	6,174	0.24	0.23	0.63	6,250
Area	0.11	2.47	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	_	0.08	0.08	_	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	-	1,233
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	898	898	0.06	0.01	-	902
Water	—	—	_	-	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Waste	—	—	_	-	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Refrig.	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	0.74	0.74
Total	2.09	4.15	3.23	22.2	0.07	0.12	2.27	2.39	0.12	0.40	0.52	30.5	8,330	8,360	3.38	0.25	1.37	8,521
Average Daily	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Mobile	1.96	1.68	2.30	22.6	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	_	6,249	6,249	0.24	0.23	10.4	6,335

Area	0.49	2.86	0.10	3.89	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	0.00	96.6	96.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	96.8
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Waste	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Total	2.45	4.54	2.40	26.5	0.06	0.05	2.27	2.32	0.05	0.40	0.45	30.5	7,269	7,299	3.36	0.25	11.2	7,470
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.36	0.31	0.42	4.12	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	—	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.04	1.72	1,049
Area	0.09	0.52	0.02	0.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	16.0	16.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	16.0
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	149	149	0.01	< 0.005	—	149
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.77	4.23	4.99	0.08	< 0.005	—	7.54
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.28	0.00	4.28	0.43	0.00	—	15.0
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.12	0.12
Total	0.45	0.83	0.44	4.83	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	5.05	1,203	1,209	0.56	0.04	1.85	1,237

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Demolition (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)				_	_	_					_	_	_	_		_		
Off-Road Equipmen	0.41 t	0.34	2.91	3.79	0.01	0.12	—	0.12	0.11	—	0.11	—	535	535	0.02	< 0.005	_	537
Demolitio n	—	—	-	-	-	-	0.96	0.96	-	0.15	0.15	-	-	-	-	-	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-		_	_			-	_	_	_
Average Daily	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.01	0.08	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	—	14.7	14.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.7
Demolitio n	—	—	—	—	—	_	0.03	0.03	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—			—		—	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	_	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	2.43	2.43	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	2.43
Demolitio n	_	—	-	-	-	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_		_	_	—	—	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	—	-	-	-	—	-	—		—				—	_		
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	72.2	72.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.31	73.3
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.11	0.03	1.70	0.64	0.01	0.02	0.35	0.37	0.02	0.09	0.11	_	1,318	1,318	0.08	0.21	2.99	1,385
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	-	-	-	-	—	-	—		—	—			—	—		
Average Daily	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.90	1.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.93

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	36.1	36.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	37.9
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.31	0.31	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.32
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	5.98	5.98	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	6.28

3.2. Demolition (2023) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)			_									_						
Off-Road Equipmen	0.29 t	0.24	1.78	3.91	0.01	0.06		0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	535	535	0.02	< 0.005	—	537
Demolitio n			—		—		0.96	0.96		0.15	0.15	—						_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_		_	_	-
Average Daily		—	—	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.01	0.05	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	_	14.7	14.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.7
Demolitio n		_	—	_	—	_	0.03	0.03	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	-	_	—	-	—	—	—	-	-	—	-
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	2.43	2.43	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.43
Demolitio n	_		—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—	_	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			_	-	_	—	_	-		_	-	-	—	—	-	-	-	_
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	72.2	72.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.31	73.3
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.11	0.03	1.70	0.64	0.01	0.02	0.35	0.37	0.02	0.09	0.11	—	1,318	1,318	0.08	0.21	2.99	1,385
Daily, Winter (Max)				-	_	-	_	-		-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	-	—	-	—	-	—	—	-	-	-	-	—	—	—	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.90	1.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.93
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	36.1	36.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	37.9
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.31	0.31	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.32
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	5.98	5.98	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	6.28

3.3. Site Preparation (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e

Onsite	—	—	—	-	-	-	-	_	-	—	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			—	-	_	_	_		—	—	—	-		_	_		-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.15 t	0.12	1.27	1.91	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	-	0.06	—	290	290	0.01	< 0.005	—	291
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 :			—			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	—					—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)				_						_	_	_					_	
Average Daily		—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	-	3.98	3.98	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.99
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 :			—			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	—					_	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	_	0.66	0.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.66
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 :			—			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	—						
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)																		
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	36.1	36.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	36.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Average Daily	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.48	0.48	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.48
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.4. Site Preparation (2023) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				_								_	_		_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.03 t	0.03	0.14	2.03	< 0.005	0.01		0.01	0.01	_	0.01	_	290	290	0.01	< 0.005		291

Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 !	_			_	_	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	_		_			_	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—				-	-				—	_							
Average Daily	—		_		-	—	_	_			—	—		—	_		—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	—	3.98	3.98	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.99
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 !						0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	_	—	—	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	0.66	0.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.66
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 :				_	—	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00			_	_			_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				_	_					_			_				
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	36.1	36.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	36.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)			_				_											
Average Daily	_	—	-	-	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.48	0.48	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.48
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	_	-	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.5. Grading (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—	_	_			_				_	_		—				—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.84 t	0.71	6.62	7.77	0.01	0.34	—	0.34	0.31	—	0.31	—	1,182	1,182	0.05	0.01	—	1,186
Dust From Material Movemen	 t	_	_	_			0.21	0.21		0.02	0.02	_						
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	_	_			_				_	_						

Average Daily		_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.05 t	0.04	0.36	0.43	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	_	64.7	64.7	< 0.005	< 0.005		65.0
Dust From Material Movemen:	 :			—	_		0.01	0.01		< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—					
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.01	0.07	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	10.7	10.7	< 0.005	< 0.005		10.8
Dust From Material Movemen [:]	 :				_		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			—	—	_		_				—	—	—	—				
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.82	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	_	144	144	0.01	< 0.005	0.61	147
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.33	0.09	5.19	1.96	0.03	0.05	1.07	1.12	0.05	0.29	0.34	_	4,030	4,030	0.24	0.64	9.15	4,234
Daily, Winter (Max)			—	—	_		_				—	—	—	—				
Average Daily		—	—	-	-	_	—	_	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	_		_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.61	7.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.71
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.02	< 0.005	0.30	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	_	221	221	0.01	0.03	0.22	232
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.26	1.26	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.28
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	36.6	36.6	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	38.4

3.6. Grading (2023) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)											—					_		
Off-Road Equipmen	0.20 t	0.18	1.18	8.27	0.01	0.05		0.05	0.04		0.04	—	1,182	1,182	0.05	0.01		1,186
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻	 :						0.21	0.21		0.02	0.02							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_										_		_				_
Average Daily	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	—	
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.01	0.06	0.45	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	—	64.7	64.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	65.0
Dust From Material Movemen ⁻							0.01	0.01		< 0.005	< 0.005							

0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005		10.7	10.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	10.8
- <u></u> -				_		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005							
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
		—		_	—				_								
0.06	0.05	0.05	0.82	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	144	144	0.01	< 0.005	0.61	147
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.33	0.09	5.19	1.96	0.03	0.05	1.07	1.12	0.05	0.29	0.34	_	4,030	4,030	0.24	0.64	9.15	4,234
	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
_		—	—	—	—		—		—	—		—		—	—	—	
< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.61	7.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.71
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.02	< 0.005	0.30	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	_	221	221	0.01	0.03	0.22	232
_	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	_
< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.26	1.26	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.28
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	36.6	36.6	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	38.4
	0.00 	0.00 0.00 < 0.005	0.000.000.00< 0.005	0.000.000.000.00< 0.005	0.000.000.000.000.00< 0.005	0.000.000.000.000.000.00<	0.000.000.000.000.000.000.00<0.005	0.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.00<0.005	0.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.00<0.005	0.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.00	0.000.	0.000.	0.000.	0.000.	0.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.00-0.000.000.000.00<	0.000.010.000.	0.000.

3.7. Building Construction (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite		_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			-	-	_	—	-	-	_		_	_			-	_	-	—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.19 t	0.16	1.60	2.22	< 0.005	0.09	—	0.09	0.08	—	0.08	—	332	332	0.01	< 0.005	—	333
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.19 t	0.16	1.60	2.22	< 0.005	0.09	—	0.09	0.08		0.08	—	332	332	0.01	< 0.005	—	333
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	_	—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.02 t	0.01	0.13	0.18	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	-	27.3	27.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	27.4
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	4.52	4.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	4.54
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)		—	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_			_	—		_
Worker	0.37	0.31	0.34	5.37	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	949	949	0.04	0.03	4.03	964
Vendor	0.08	0.04	1.20	0.60	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.27	0.01	0.07	0.08	—	982	982	0.04	0.13	2.62	1,025
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	-	-	_	-	-	-		_	_	_		_	-	—		_
Worker	0.37	0.31	0.40	4.56	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	_	899	899	0.04	0.03	0.10	910
Vendor	0.08	0.04	1.25	0.61	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.27	0.01	0.07	0.08	-	982	982	0.04	0.13	0.07	1,023
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	—	-	_	-	_	—	_	_	_	_	-	—	—	_
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	75.0	75.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.14	76.0
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.10	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	80.7	80.7	< 0.005	0.01	0.09	84.1
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	12.4	12.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	12.6
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	13.4	13.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	13.9
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.8. Building Construction (2023) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)				_		_					_	—	—					_

Off-Road Equipmen	0.03 t	0.03	0.16	2.32	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01		0.01	_	332	332	0.01	< 0.005		333
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-			_	_	_		_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.03 t	0.03	0.16	2.32	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01		0.01		332	332	0.01	< 0.005		333
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	_	_	-	-	—	-	-	—	_	-	_	_	_	_	—	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.19	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	_	27.3	27.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	27.4
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	4.52	4.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	4.54
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		_	-	—	_	—	_	_		-			_	_	_		
Worker	0.37	0.31	0.34	5.37	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20		949	949	0.04	0.03	4.03	964
Vendor	0.08	0.04	1.20	0.60	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.27	0.01	0.07	0.08	_	982	982	0.04	0.13	2.62	1,025
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	—	_	_		_	_			_					—		—
Worker	0.37	0.31	0.40	4.56	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	_	899	899	0.04	0.03	0.10	910

Vendor	0.08	0.04	1.25	0.61	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.27	0.01	0.07	0.08	—	982	982	0.04	0.13	0.07	1,023
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	-	-	_	—	-	-	—	-	-	—	-	—	-	-	-	—
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	75.0	75.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.14	76.0
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.10	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	80.7	80.7	< 0.005	0.01	0.09	84.1
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	12.4	12.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	12.6
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	13.4	13.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	13.9
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Building Construction (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_								_						
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_					_			-						—
Off-Road Equipmen	1.31 t	1.09	9.00	9.65	0.02	0.38		0.38	0.35		0.35	—	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	—	1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.20 t	0.17	1.39	1.49	< 0.005	0.06	_	0.06	0.05	_	0.05	_	262	262	0.01	< 0.005	_	263

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmer	0.04 nt	0.03	0.25	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	_	43.4	43.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	43.6
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	-	_	—	—	_	—	—	-	_	—	—	_	_	-	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	_				_		_	_	-	—	_	_		—	_	_
Worker	0.37	0.31	0.40	4.56	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	899	899	0.04	0.03	0.10	910
Vendor	0.04	0.02	0.60	0.29	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	469	469	0.02	0.06	0.03	489
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	_	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	_	—
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	-	141	141	0.01	0.01	0.27	143
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.09	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	72.5	72.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	75.6
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	_	23.4	23.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	23.7
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	12.0	12.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	12.5
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.10. Building Construction (2023) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e

Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				_	—				—	—	_		—				
Daily, Winter (Max)	_					—								—				
Off-Road Equipmen	0.87 t	0.73	4.79	11.0	0.02	0.19		0.19	0.17		0.17		1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01		1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—		—		—	—		—		—		—		—		—		
Off-Road Equipmen	0.13 t	0.11	0.74	1.70	< 0.005	0.03		0.03	0.03		0.03		262	262	0.01	< 0.005		263
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.02 t	0.02	0.14	0.31	< 0.005	0.01		0.01	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	—	43.4	43.4	< 0.005	< 0.005		43.6
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_					_								_				
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_				_								_				
Worker	0.37	0.31	0.40	4.56	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	_	899	899	0.04	0.03	0.10	910
Vendor	0.04	0.02	0.60	0.29	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	_	469	469	0.02	0.06	0.03	489
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_			_	_	_	_
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	141	141	0.01	0.01	0.27	143
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.09	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	72.5	72.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	75.6
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	23.4	23.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	23.7
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	12.0	12.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	12.5
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	—	—	_		_	_	_			_	_		_	—		
Off-Road Equipmen	1.24 t	1.03	8.64	9.58	0.02	0.34	—	0.34	0.31		0.31	_	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	—	1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	—	_		-	_	-		_	-	-		_	—		
Off-Road Equipmen	1.24 t	1.03	8.64	9.58	0.02	0.34	—	0.34	0.31	—	0.31	—	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	—	1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Off-Road Equipmen	0.89 t	0.74	6.19	6.86	0.01	0.24	_	0.24	0.22	_	0.22	-	1,216	1,216	0.05	0.01	_	1,220
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	—	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.16 t	0.13	1.13	1.25	< 0.005	0.04	_	0.04	0.04	_	0.04	-	201	201	0.01	< 0.005		202
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-	—	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	—	_	_
Worker	0.33	0.29	0.31	4.96	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	928	928	0.04	0.03	3.66	942
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.54	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	462	462	0.02	0.06	1.25	483
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	_	_	_	-	-		-		_			-	_		—
Worker	0.32	0.29	0.37	4.19	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	880	880	0.04	0.03	0.10	890
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.57	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	463	463	0.02	0.06	0.03	482
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—		—
Worker	0.23	0.21	0.27	3.16	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.61	0.00	0.14	0.14	_	639	639	0.03	0.02	1.13	648
Vendor	0.03	0.01	0.41	0.19	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.09	0.09	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	_	331	331	0.01	0.05	0.39	346
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.03	0.03	_	106	106	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.19	107
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_	54.8	54.8	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	57.2
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.12. Building Construction (2024) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			—		_	_	_		_		_	—			-	_	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.81 t	0.69	4.67	11.0	0.02	0.16	—	0.16	0.15	—	0.15	—	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	—	1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)			-		_	-			-		_	-		_	-	_	—	
Off-Road Equipmen	0.81 t	0.69	4.67	11.0	0.02	0.16	_	0.16	0.15	_	0.15	_	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	_	1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily			—		—	—			—			—			—	—	—	
Off-Road Equipmen	0.58 t	0.49	3.35	7.86	0.01	0.12	_	0.12	0.11	—	0.11	—	1,216	1,216	0.05	0.01	—	1,220
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	-	—	—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.11 t	0.09	0.61	1.43	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	-	201	201	0.01	< 0.005	-	202
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_			_	_	—	_	_
Worker	0.33	0.29	0.31	4.96	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	928	928	0.04	0.03	3.66	942
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.54	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	462	462	0.02	0.06	1.25	483
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	-	_	_	_	-	_		_						_	_	
Worker	0.32	0.29	0.37	4.19	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	880	880	0.04	0.03	0.10	890
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.57	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	463	463	0.02	0.06	0.03	482
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	—	—	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.23	0.21	0.27	3.16	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.61	0.00	0.14	0.14	—	639	639	0.03	0.02	1.13	648
Vendor	0.03	0.01	0.41	0.19	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.09	0.09	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	—	331	331	0.01	0.05	0.39	346
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	106	106	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.19	107
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_	54.8	54.8	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	57.2
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.13. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	_	_	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	—	_	_	_				_	_				_	_	—

1.16 t	0.97	8.15	9.51	0.02	0.30	—	0.30	0.27		0.27	—	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	—	1,703
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			_	—	_	—								—		—	
0.13 t	0.11	0.94	1.10	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03		0.03		196	196	0.01	< 0.005	—	197
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
0.02 t	0.02	0.17	0.20	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01		0.01		32.4	32.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	32.6
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
			-	-										—			
			_	_													
0.31	0.28	0.32	3.88	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	861	861	0.04	0.03	0.09	872
0.03	0.01	0.54	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	—	455	455	0.02	0.06	0.03	475
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
_	_	_	-	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	
0.04	0.03	0.04	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02		101	101	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.17	102
< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	52.5	52.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	54.8
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1.16 t 0.00 	1.16 0.97 0.00 0.00 0.13 0.11 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.00 0.31 0.28 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.04 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.00 0.00	1.160.978.150.000.000.00000.130.110.940.000.000.000.020.020.170.000.000.000.000.000.000.010.000.000.310.280.320.030.010.540.040.030.040.000.040.030.040.000.000.00	1.16 0.97 8.15 9.51 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.13 0.11 0.94 1.10 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.02 0.17 0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.31 0.28 0.32 3.88 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.47 <-	1.16 0.97 8.15 9.51 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 - - - - 0.13 0.11 0.94 1.10 <0.00	1.16 0.97 8.15 9.51 0.02 0.30 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1.16 0.97 8.15 9.51 0.02 0.30 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1.160.978.159.510.020.300.300.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.130.110.941.10<0.005	1.160.978.159.510.020.300.300.270.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.130.110.941.10<0.05	1.160.978.159.510.020.300.300.270.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.130.110.941.10<0.00	1.160.978.159.510.020.30-0.300.27-0.270.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.100.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.110.941.002.0000.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.110.941.002.0000.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.110.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.110.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.120.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.120.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.140.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.140.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.140.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.150.010.010.010.	1.160.978.159.510.020.30-0.300.27-0.27-0.27-0.00<	1.160.978.159.510.020.30-0.300.27-0.27-1.6970.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.101.000.00<	1.160.378.159.510.020.300.300.300.27-0.27-1.6971.6971.6970.00	1.160.778.159.510.020.30-0.300.27-0.27-0.271.6971.6970.070.00	1.16 0.77 8.15 9.51 0.22 0.30 - 0.30 0.27 - 0.27 - 1.697 1.697 0.07 0.01 0.00	1.10.378.150.510.020.30-0.300.27-0.27-0.1671.6971.6970.010.101-0.000.

Annual	—	_	_	_	—		—	_	_		_	_		_	—	_	—	
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	16.7	16.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	16.9
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.70	8.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	9.08
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.14. Building Construction (2025) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—		_	_	—	—	_	_		_		_		_	—	_	
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	-	_	—	_	-	_		-	_	_		_	_	_	
Off-Road Equipmen	0.76 t	0.65	4.56	10.9	0.02	0.14	—	0.14	0.13	-	0.13	_	1,697	1,697	0.07	0.01	—	1,703
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	—	_	—	_	—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.09 t	0.07	0.53	1.26	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	_	196	196	0.01	< 0.005	—	197
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.02 t	0.01	0.10	0.23	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	—	32.4	32.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	32.6
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_			—				
---------------------------	---------	---------	------	------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---	------	------	---------	---------	------	------
Daily, Winter (Max)		_		_			_				_							
Worker	0.31	0.28	0.32	3.88	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	861	861	0.04	0.03	0.09	872
Vendor	0.03	0.01	0.54	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	_	455	455	0.02	0.06	0.03	475
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	-	_	_	_	_			
Worker	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	101	101	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.17	102
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	52.5	52.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	54.8
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	16.7	16.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	16.9
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	8.70	8.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	9.08
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.15. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		—			_	-		_	—		—	_						
Daily, Winter (Max)			_		_	_		_			_	_						
Off-Road Equipmen	0.32 nt	0.27	2.75	3.72	0.01	0.13	_	0.13	0.12	_	0.12	_	577	577	0.02	< 0.005	—	579

Paving	—	0.45	—	-	-	—	—	-	-	—	—	—	—	-	—	-	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.01	0.08	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	—	15.8	15.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	15.9
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	-	—	_	-	—	_	—	_	-	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	2.62	2.62	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.63
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)				-	-	—	—	-	_	_	-	—	_	-	-	-	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)				-	_	—	—	-	_	_	-	—	_	-	-	-	_	—
Worker	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	98.3	98.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	99.5
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	-	-	-	-	-	—	—	-	-	—	—	-	-	—	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.73	2.73	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.77
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.45	0.45	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.46
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.16. Paving (2025) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	-	_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_					
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	_	—	_		_	—	_		_	_		_			—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.10 t	0.09	0.58	4.00	0.01	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	577	577	0.02	< 0.005	—	579
Paving	_	0.45	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	_	_	—	—	_	-	_	—	—	-	_	_	_	_	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.02	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	-	15.8	15.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	15.9
Paving	_	0.01	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	—	_	-	_	—	—	-	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	2.62	2.62	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.63
Paving	_	< 0.005	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_		_	-	-	_	—	—	_	_		—			—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	_	_		—	-	_	_	_	_	—	_		_			—
Worker	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	98.3	98.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	99.5
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	-	—	—	—	-	—	—	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.73	2.73	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.77
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.45	0.45	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.46
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.17. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_		_	_	_	_	_		_						_		

Daily, Winter (Max)	_	—		—	—		_			_	_		_	_			—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.15 t	0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03		0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architect ural Coatings		68.0		_	-												—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	_	_	—	—	—				—	—	—			—		—	
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005		3.66	3.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.67
Architect ural Coatings		1.86		_	_												—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005		0.61	0.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.61
Architect ural Coatings		0.34	_	-	-	_					_						—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_			-	—												—	
Daily, Winter (Max)	_																—	
Worker	0.12	0.11	0.13	1.55	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.34	0.00	0.08	0.08		345	345	0.02	0.01	0.03	349

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	_	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	9.58	9.58	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	9.71
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.59	1.59	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.61
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.18. Architectural Coating (2025) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			_			_						_						—
Daily, Winter (Max)			_		_	_	_				_	_						—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.15 t	0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architect ural Coatings		68.0	—		_	—						_						—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_		_

Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 It	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	-	3.66	3.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.67
Architect ural Coatings		1.86	_			_		_				_	—	_	_	_	_	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 It	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	0.61	0.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.61
Architect ural Coatings		0.34	-			-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	-	-	_	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	_		-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	_		-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.12	0.11	0.13	1.55	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.34	0.00	0.08	0.08	_	345	345	0.02	0.01	0.03	349
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	9.58	9.58	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	9.71
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.59	1.59	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.61

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.19. Trenching (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	—	-	_	_	_	_	—		_	_	—	_		-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.20 t	0.17	1.04	1.14	< 0.005	0.06		0.06	0.05		0.05		156	156	0.01	< 0.005	—	156
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	_	-		_	_	_						_		—	_
Average Daily	_	—	_	_	-	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	-	
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	4.26	4.26	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	4.28
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	_	0.71	0.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.71
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_				_	_	_		_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_		_	_		_	_				_	_			-	

Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	36.1	36.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	36.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)				_												_		
Average Daily															—			
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.95	0.95	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.96
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.16	0.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.16
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.20. Trenching (2023) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_		_	_		_					_	_	_				
Off-Road Equipmen	0.20 t	0.17	1.04	1.14	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.05		0.05	-	156	156	0.01	< 0.005		156
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-		_	_		_		_	_		_	—	_				

Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	_	4.26	4.26	< 0.005	< 0.005		4.28
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	_	-	_	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	0.71	0.71	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.71
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Daily, Summer (Max)			_	_												—	—	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	36.1	36.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	36.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_		_				_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_
Average Daily			_	_						_						—		
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.95	0.95	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.96
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	-	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.16	0.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.16
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	—	-	-	-	—	-	—	-	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	1.99	1.71	2.06	24.7	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	_	6,451	6,451	0.24	0.22	24.1	6,546
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	1.99	1.71	2.06	24.7	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,451	6,451	0.24	0.22	24.1	6,546
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	1.97	1.69	2.26	21.8	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	_	6,174	6,174	0.24	0.23	0.63	6,250
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	1.97	1.69	2.26	21.8	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,174	6,174	0.24	0.23	0.63	6,250
Annual	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.36	0.31	0.42	4.12	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08		1,035	1,035	0.04	0.04	1.72	1,049
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.36	0.31	0.42	4.12	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	_	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.04	1.72	1,049

4.1.2. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	_	_	_	-	—	_	-	_	_	—	_	—	-	_	_	
Apartme nts Mid Rise	1.99	1.71	2.06	24.7	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	_	6,451	6,451	0.24	0.22	24.1	6,546
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Total	1.99	1.71	2.06	24.7	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,451	6,451	0.24	0.22	24.1	6,546
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	-	_	_	—	-	-			-			—	-	_		
Apartme nts Mid Rise	1.97	1.69	2.26	21.8	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44		6,174	6,174	0.24	0.23	0.63	6,250
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	1.97	1.69	2.26	21.8	0.06	0.04	2.27	2.31	0.04	0.40	0.44	—	6,174	6,174	0.24	0.23	0.63	6,250
Annual	_	_	_	-	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.36	0.31	0.42	4.12	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	_	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.04	1.72	1,049
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.36	0.31	0.42	4.12	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.42	0.01	0.07	0.08	_	1,035	1,035	0.04	0.04	1.72	1,049
				1							-					1		

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land TO Use	OG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
----------------	----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	_			_	_	—			_	_						_	—	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise	_			_		_							656	656	0.04	< 0.005	_	658
Enclosed Parking with Elevator			—	_		—							242	242	0.02	< 0.005	_	243
Other Asphalt Surfaces	_	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	898	898	0.06	0.01	_	902
Daily, Winter (Max)						_				_						_	-	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise						—							656	656	0.04	< 0.005	-	658
Enclosed Parking with Elevator													242	242	0.02	< 0.005		243
Other Asphalt Surfaces		—			—	—							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—												109	109	0.01	< 0.005	_	109
Enclosed Parking with Elevator						—							40.1	40.1	< 0.005	< 0.005		40.3

Other Asphalt Surfaces	-	_	—	_		—	—			—			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	149	149	0.01	< 0.005	_	149

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	-	-	-	-	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	656	656	0.04	< 0.005	_	658
Enclosed Parking with Elevator		_	—	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	_	—	242	242	0.02	< 0.005	—	243
Other Asphalt Surfaces	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	656	656	0.04	< 0.005	_	658
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	242	242	0.02	< 0.005	—	243

Other Asphalt Surfaces		—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	 —	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	 —	898	898	0.06	0.01	—	902
Annual	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	 —	—	—	—	—	—	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise			_	_	_	_		_			 	109	109	0.01	< 0.005		109
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	_	_	—	_	_	-	_	_	_		 	40.1	40.1	< 0.005	< 0.005		40.3
Other Asphalt Surfaces			_	_	_	-		_			 	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	 _	149	149	0.01	< 0.005	_	149

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)				_	_	-			_		—	_	_		_		_	
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	—	_	_	_	_		_			—		—		_		—	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	—	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00		0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00		0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Use																		

Daily, Summer (Max)		_		—	—	—				—		—				—	—	
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)				—	_	_												
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.2. Unmitigated

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)				—				—	—	—	—	—	—		—			—
Hearths	0.11	0.06	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Consum er Products		2.22																_
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.19																—
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.70	0.65	0.05	5.64	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	0.01		0.01		17.9	17.9	< 0.005	< 0.005		18.0
Total	0.81	3.12	1.02	6.05	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,250	1,250	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,251
Daily, Winter (Max)			_											_		_		_
Hearths	0.11	0.06	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Consum er Products		2.22																

Architect ural		0.19	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Total	0.11	2.47	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	14.0	14.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.0
Consum er Products		0.41	-	-	-	_	_	_	_		_	_		_	_	_		-
Architect ural Coatings		0.03	-	-	-			_	_			_				_		
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.09	0.08	0.01	0.70	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		2.03	2.03	< 0.005	< 0.005		2.04
Total	0.09	0.52	0.02	0.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	0.00	16.0	16.0	< 0.005	< 0.005		16.0

4.3.1. Mitigated

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	_	—	—	-		—	—	-		_	_		_	—	—	
Hearths	0.11	0.06	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Consum er Products		2.22	_	_	_	-		-	_	-		_	_		_			
Architect ural Coatings		0.19			_	_		_		_		_						
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.70	0.65	0.05	5.64	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	0.01		0.01		17.9	17.9	< 0.005	< 0.005		18.0

Total	0.81	3.12	1.02	6.05	0.01	0.08	_	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,250	1,250	0.02	< 0.005	_	1,251
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—		-	—	-	-	—	—	-	_	-	_	—	_	—	_
Hearths	0.11	0.06	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	—	1,233
Consum er Products	—	2.22			_		-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_		_	_	-
Architect ural Coatings	—	0.19	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—
Total	0.11	2.47	0.97	0.41	0.01	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	0.00	1,232	1,232	0.02	< 0.005	_	1,233
Annual	—	—	-	-	-	-	—	_	—	—	—	_	-	—	-	—	—	-
Hearths	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	14.0	14.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.0
Consum er Products	-	0.41	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Architect ural Coatings	-	0.03		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.09	0.08	0.01	0.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	_	2.03	2.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.04
Total	0.09	0.52	0.02	0.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	0.00	16.0	16.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	16.0

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.2. Unmitigated

		•	•															
Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Use																		

Daily, Summer (Max)	_		—	_		_		—		_	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Apartme nts Mid Rise	_	—			—					_		4.64	24.0	28.7	0.48	0.01		44.0
Enclosed Parking with Elevator										_		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	—		_	_	0.00	1.49	1.49	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.49
Total		—	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	—		_	_	_		_	_	—	—	-	-	—		_
Apartme nts Mid Rise										-		4.64	24.0	28.7	0.48	0.01		44.0
Enclosed Parking with Elevator										-		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces										_		0.00	1.49	1.49	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.49
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Annual	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise	_	_		_	_					-	_	0.77	3.98	4.75	0.08	< 0.005		7.29
Enclosed Parking with Elevator										_		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces	_		—									0.00	0.25	0.25	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.25
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	0.77	4.23	4.99	0.08	< 0.005	_	7.54

4.4.1. Mitigated

Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)			—		—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—		—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise		—	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	4.64	24.0	28.7	0.48	0.01	_	44.0
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	—	-	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	0.00	1.49	1.49	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.49
Total	—	_	-	—	—	—	-	-	—	—	-	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01	—	45.5
Daily, Winter (Max)	—		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	—	-	_	_	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—	—	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	4.64	24.0	28.7	0.48	0.01	_	44.0
Enclosed Parking with Elevator		-	_	_	_	-	_		_			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces			—	—		—		—	—	—		0.00	1.49	1.49	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.49
Total	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—		—	4.64	25.5	30.2	0.48	0.01		45.5
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—											0.77	3.98	4.75	0.08	< 0.005		7.29
Enclosed Parking with Elevator												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces		_	_					_	_		_	0.00	0.25	0.25	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.25
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.77	4.23	4.99	0.08	< 0.005	_	7.54

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.2. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-		—			—		—		—	—	_	—	_	—		
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_		_								25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00		90.4
Enclosed Parking with Elevator												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces		_	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	_	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	—	-	-	-	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise												25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	_	90.4
Enclosed Parking with Elevator												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	_	90.4
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_	4.28	0.00	4.28	0.43	0.00	-	15.0
Enclosed Parking with Elevator												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces						_						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	4.28	0.00	4.28	0.43	0.00	_	15.0

4.5.1. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_		_	_	_	_	—	_	
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	_	90.4
Enclosed Parking with Elevator												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	—	_	_	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	—	90.4
Daily, Winter (Max)			—		_										—	_	_	
Apartme nts Mid Rise												25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00		90.4
Enclosed Parking with Elevator												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces			_	_	_			_		_		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	25.9	0.00	25.9	2.58	0.00	_	90.4
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_	—	_	_	—	_					4.28	0.00	4.28	0.43	0.00	—	15.0

Enclosed – Parking with Elevator	_	_			 					 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other – Asphalt Surfaces	_	_	—	—	 —	—	—	—	—	 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total –	_	_	—	—	 —	—	—	_	—	 4.28	0.00	4.28	0.43	0.00	—	15.0

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	—	—	—	—	_		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-
Apartme nts Mid Rise	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.74	0.74
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_	-	_	_	_	_		_	_	-	_	_		_	_	0.74	0.74
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_	_	_	_	-	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.12	0.12

Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_			0.12	0.12
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	------	------

4.6.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	_	_		_	_			_	_	_	_	_			_	_	0.74	0.74
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—		_	—	—	0.74	0.74
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-		-	_	_		-		_		-			_	_	_	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise	—	_		_				_	—			_			_		0.74	0.74
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—
Apartme nts Mid Rise		_		_								_					0.12	0.12
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_	_	0.12	0.12

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—		—	—	—	—	
Total		—	—	_		_	—	_		—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_													_			_	
Total		—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		_	—	—	—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	
Total	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	—	

4.7.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	_		_	—		—	
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)												_						
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_		_	_	_	_	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				—	—	—	—		—		—				—		
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	_	_	_	_		_	-		_	-		_	_	-	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.8.2. Mitigated

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—		—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	_	_	_					_	_			_		—	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	—	_	—	—					—	-	—		—	—	—	
Total	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	_	-	_	_		_				-	_		_	_	_	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total		_	_	_	_	_	_	_				_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.9.2. Mitigated

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	_
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Winter (Max)																		
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Annual	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	—	—	 —	—	
Total	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	 —	_	_

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetatio n	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-		—	—	-	_	—		—	—	-	—	—	-	-	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)					—	—						_						—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_			

Total	_		_	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Species	тод	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	—	—	-	_	—	-	-	-	-
Avoided	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	-	—	—	—
Sequest ered	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	—	_	-
Subtotal	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—
Remove d		_	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	-	_	—	—	—	_	_	—
Subtotal	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—
—	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	-	_	_	—	_	—	_	-	_	—	-	—	_	-	-
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—
Sequest ered	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	—	-	—	-	_	-	-	-	_	—	—
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Remove d	_			_			_		_	_	_		_	_	_			_
Subtotal		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_
Annual	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	-	_	—	—	—	_	—
Avoided	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—
Sequest ered	_	—	—	-	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	—	—	_	_
Subtotal	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	-	_	—	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	—
Remove d	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants	s (lb/day for	daily, ton/yr fo	or annual) and	l GHGs (lb/day f	or daily, MT/yr for annua	l)
---------------------	---------------	------------------	----------------	------------------	---------------------------	----

Vegetatio n	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)									_		_	_	_				—	
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_																	
Total	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)							_		—			_					—	
Total	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)			_									_				_	—	
Total	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—
Annual		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	—	
Total		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_	

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants	(lb/day for daily	/, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/da	ay for daily, MT/yr for annual)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, .		·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_					—			—	—								—
Avoided	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	_	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequest ered	—					—		—	—	—						—		—
Subtotal	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	—		—			_		—	—			—				—		_
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)																		

Avoided	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequest ered	—	—	—	—		—		—	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	_
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d		—	—	—		—		—		—		—		—		_	—	
Subtotal	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—		—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—		—	—	—	—	—
Sequest ered		—	—	—		—		—		—		—		—		—	—	
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	_	_	_	_		—		—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	_	_	_	_		_		_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Demolition	Demolition	7/1/2023	7/14/2023	5.00	10.0	—
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	7/15/2023	7/21/2023	5.00	5.00	—
Grading	Grading	7/22/2023	8/18/2023	5.00	20.0	_
Building Construction (Foundation)	Building Construction	9/2/2023	10/13/2023	5.00	30.0	—
Building Construction (Vertical)	Building Construction	10/14/2023	2/28/2025	5.00	360	_
----------------------------------	-----------------------	------------	-----------	------	------	---
Paving	Paving	3/1/2025	3/14/2025	5.00	10.0	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	3/15/2025	3/28/2025	5.00	10.0	—
Trenching	Trenching	8/19/2023	9/1/2023	5.00	10.0	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	2.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction (Foundation)	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction (Foundation)	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	10.0	0.56
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48
Building Construction (Vertical)	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	367	0.29

Building Construction (Vertical)	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction (Vertical)	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction (Vertical)	Welders	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	36.0	0.38
Trenching	Trenchers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	40.0	0.50

5.2.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	2.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction (Foundation)	Forklifts	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction (Foundation)	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	10.0	0.56
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48
Building Construction (Vertical)	Cranes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	367	0.29

Building Construction (Vertical)	Forklifts	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction (Vertical)	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Tier 4 Final	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction (Vertical)	Welders	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	36.0	0.38
Trenching	Trenchers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	40.0	0.50

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	_	_	_	_
Demolition	Worker	5.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	18.4	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Site Preparation	_	_	_	_
Site Preparation	Worker	2.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Grading	_	_	_	_
Grading	Worker	10.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	56.3	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck			HHDT

Building Construction (Foundation)	_	_	_	_
Building Construction (Foundation)	Worker	65.7	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction (Foundation)	Vendor	30.0	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction (Foundation)	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction (Foundation)	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Paving	_	_	_	_
Paving	Worker	7.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Architectural Coating	_	_	_	_
Architectural Coating	Worker	26.3	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Building Construction (Vertical)	_	_	_	_
Building Construction (Vertical)	Worker	65.7	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction (Vertical)	Vendor	14.3	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction (Vertical)	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction (Vertical)	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Trenching	_	_	_	_
Trenching	Worker	2.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Trenching	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Trenching	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Trenching	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT

5.3.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	_	_	_	_
Demolition	Worker	5.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	18.4	20.0	ННДТ
Demolition	Onsite truck	_	_	ННДТ
Site Preparation	_	_	_	_
Site Preparation	Worker	2.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Grading	_	_	_	_
Grading	Worker	10.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	56.3	20.0	ННДТ
Grading	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Building Construction (Foundation)	_	_	_	_
Building Construction (Foundation)	Worker	65.7	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction (Foundation)	Vendor	30.0	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction (Foundation)	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction (Foundation)	Onsite truck	_	_	ННДТ
Paving	_	_	_	_
Paving	Worker	7.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Architectural Coating	_	_	_	_

Architectural Coating	Worker	26.3	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	_	—	HHDT
Building Construction (Vertical)	_	_	_	_
Building Construction (Vertical)	Worker	65.7	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction (Vertical)	Vendor	14.3	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction (Vertical)	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction (Vertical)	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Trenching		_	_	_
Trenching	Worker	2.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Trenching	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Trenching	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Trenching	Onsite truck	-	—	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	209,897	69,966	2,019	224	4,522

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

338-410 South Alhambra Detailed Report, 4/14/2023

Phase Name	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (Building Square Footage)	Acres Paved (acres)
Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	16,000	—
Site Preparation	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Grading	0.00	9,000	10.0	0.00	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.73

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	2	61%	61%
Water Demolished Area	2	36%	36%

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Apartments Mid Rise	_	0%
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	1.03	100%
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.70	100%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2023	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2024	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2025	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Apartments Mid Rise	378	378	378	137,845	8,157	8,157	8,157	2,977,442
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.9.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Apartments Mid Rise	378	378	378	137,845	8,157	8,157	8,157	2,977,442
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)
Apartments Mid Rise	—
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	59
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	7

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)
Apartments Mid Rise	
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	59
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	7

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
209897.32499999998	69,966	2,019	224	4,522

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Apartments Mid Rise	449,869	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	166,362	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.11.2. Mitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Apartments Mid Rise	449,869	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	166,362	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Apartments Mid Rise	2,422,797	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	192,137

5.12.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Apartments Mid Rise	2,422,797	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	192,137
------------------------	------	---------

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Apartments Mid Rise	47.97	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00

5.13.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Apartments Mid Rise	47.97	0.00
Enclosed Parking with Elevator	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Apartments Mid Rise	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Apartments Mid Rise	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00

5.14.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Apartments Mid Rise	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Apartments Mid Rise	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
--	----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.15.2. Mitigated

Equipment Type Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
--------------------------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type Fuel Type Number Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr) Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day) Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)
---	----------------	-----------	--------	--------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
—	

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
1912 Mitigated			

Vegetation Land Use Type Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
---	---------------	-------------

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type Initial Acres Final Acres	Biomass Cover Type Initia	tial Acres	Final Acres
--	---------------------------	------------	-------------

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type Number Electricity Saved (kWh/year) Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
--

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

Tree Type Number Electricity Saved (kWh/year) Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)	
--	--

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	16.2	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	5.55	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	0.00	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ³/₄ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	2	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	2	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

dicator Result for Project Census Tract

Exposure Indicators	_
AQ-Ozone	69.3
AQ-PM	78.1
AQ-DPM	30.7
Drinking Water	85.3
Lead Risk Housing	52.2
Pesticides	0.00
Toxic Releases	78.7
Traffic	12.3
Effect Indicators	
CleanUp Sites	0.00
Groundwater	22.1
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	23.7
Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	54.8
Sensitive Population	
Asthma	12.0
Cardio-vascular	4.10
Low Birth Weights	62.4
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	
Education	73.8
Housing	94.9
Linguistic	98.6
Poverty	72.4
Unemployment	17.1

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	_
Above Poverty	30.71987681
Employed	28.26895932
Median HI	23.73925318
Education	_
Bachelor's or higher	55.47286026
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	82.0094957
Transportation	_
Auto Access	53.75336841
Active commuting	42.74348775
Social	
2-parent households	37.76466059
Voting	7.429744643
Neighborhood	
Alcohol availability	69.16463493
Park access	20.86487874
Retail density	56.19145387
Supermarket access	54.75426665
Tree canopy	61.05479276
Housing	
Homeownership	33.11946619
Housing habitability	11.15103298
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	19.41485949
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	9.354548954
Uncrowded housing	23.61093289

Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	27.4990376
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	84.0
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	82.8
Cognitively Disabled	48.3
Physically Disabled	41.1
Heart Attack ER Admissions	91.9
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	19.6
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	_
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0

Children	78.7
Elderly	29.3
English Speaking	1.7
Foreign-born	99.6
Outdoor Workers	85.1
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	
Impervious Surface Cover	12.9
Traffic Density	36.1
Traffic Access	87.4
Other Indices	
Hardship	69.1
Other Decision Support	
2016 Voting	9.5

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	49.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	32.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	Yes
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Land Use	Updated parking and residential land use lot acreage and building square feet to reflect site plan received 2/20/23
Construction: Construction Phases	Updated construction schedule and phasing based on information provided by applicant on 3/14/23.
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Updated construction equipment type, number, and hours/day based on MIG Air Quality Impact Analysis and Health Risk Assessment Report 12/22/21.
Construction: Trips and VMT	Updated number of trips for vendors in the Building Construction (Foundation) to account for potential concrete deliveries.
Operations: Vehicle Data	Updated residential weekday and weekend trip rate and trip length based on Transportation Study Screening Assessment received from Ganddini Group 2/10/23
Operations: Fleet Mix	Updated Fleet Mix based on Transportation Study Screening Assessment 2/10/23
Operations: Hearths	Updated number of wood burning fireplaces and stoves to zero in order to be consistent with SCAQMD Rule 455.
Operations: Energy Use	Project is not proposing natural gas use for building systems or appliances.

This page was intentionally left blank.

Attachment 2 Energy Calculations This page was intentionally left blank.

ATTACHMENT 2

338-410 South Alhambra (Monterey Park)

Energy Calculations Prepared by: MIG, Inc. April 2023

Contents:

Sheet 1: Summary of Energy Consumption

Sheet 2: Construction On-site Fuel Consumption Estimates

Sheet 3: Construction Off-site Fuel Consumption Estimates

Sheet 4: Operational Fuel Efficiency

Sheet 5: Raw EMFAC2021 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory for Los Angeles County (2025)

Sheet 1: Summary of Energy Consumption

	Diesel Fuel	Gasoline Fuel	Electricity		
Year	Consumed (Gal)	Consumed (Gal)	Consumed (kWh)		
Off-Road Equipment	29,879				
On-Road Equipment	11,103	19,512	4,881		
Total	40,981	19,512	4,881		

Table 1-1: Off-Road Equipment Fuel Consumption

Table 1-2: Operational Vehicle Fuel Consumption

	Diesel Fuel	Gasoline Fuel	Electricity					
Operational Estimates	Consumed (Gal)	Consumed (Gal)	Consumed (kW)					
Mobile Sources	20,374	124,194	63,457					
Based on Annual VMT of:	3,453,192							
Project Trips per Day:	438	438 Average trip VMT:						
Table 1-3: Operational Energy Consumption (Building)								
	Electricity	Natural Gas						
Land Use	(kWh/yr)	(kBTU/yr)						
Apartments Mid Rise	449,869	-						
Enclosed Parking with								
Elevator	166,362	-						
Other Asphalt	-	-						

616,231

* CalEEMod default natural gas use of 721,945 kBTU was converted to kWh assuming 3.412 KBTU/kWh

-

Table 1-4: Total Operational Energy Consumption

Total

	Diesel Fuel	Gasoline Fuel	Electricity	Natural Gas
Source	Consumed (Gal)	Consumed (Gal)	Consumed (kW)	(kBTU/yr)
Mobile Source	20,374	124,194	63,457	0
Building	0	0	616,231	-
Total	20,374	124,194	679,688	0

Sheet 2: Construction On-site Fuel Consumption Estimations

			# of				Runtime	Consumption	Gallons of
Phase	Days	Equipment	Pieces	Hr/Day	Horsepower	Load Factor	(bhp-hr)	(bhp-hr/gal) ¹	Diesel
		Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8	33	0.73	1,927		104
Demolition	10	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8	84	0.37	2,486		134
		Excavators	0	8	36	0.38	0		0
Site	_	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8	84	0.37	1,243		67
Preparation	Э	Bore/Drill Rigs	0	8	236	0.50	0		0
		Grader	1	8	148	0.41	9,709		525
		Excavators	1	6	36	0.38	1,642		89
Grading	20	Sweepers/Scrubers	0	8	210	0.46	0		0
Grading	20	Rollers	0	8	248	0.38	0		0
		Cranes	0	8	173	0.29	0		0
		Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	7	84	0.37	8,702		470
		Trencher	1	6	40	0.50	1,200		65
		Forklifts	0	6	82	0.20	0		0
Trenching	10	Aerial Lifts	0	8	46	0.31	0		0
		Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0	8	84	0.37	0	-	0
		Welders	0	8	46	0.45	0		0
		Forklifts	1	6	82	0.20	2,952	18.5	160
Puilding		Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	6	84	0.37	5,594		302
Building	30	Excavators	0	8	80	0.38	0		0
Foundation		Pumps	0	8	11	0.74	0		0
		Aerial Lifts	0	8	80	0.31	0	Í	0
		Cranes	1	6	367	0.29	229,889		12,426
Puilding		Forklifts	1	6	82	0.20	35,424		1,915
Dunung	360	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	6	84	0.37	67,133		3,629
vertical		Welders	3	8	46	0.45	178,848		9,667
		Excavators	0	8	80	0.38	0		0
		Cement and Mortar Mixers	1	6	10	0.56	336		18
		Pavers	1	6	81	0.42	2,041		110
Paving	10	Paving Equipment	1	8	89	0.36	2,563		139
-		Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0	8	84	0.37	0		0
		Rollers	0	7	36	0.38	0		0
Architectural	10	Air Compressors	1	6	37	0.48	1,066		58
Coating			1			1		Total	29.879

¹ The Carl Moyer Program Guidelines 2017 Revisions. Table D-21. Approved by the Board April 27, 2017.

Sheet 3: Const	tructio	n Off-sit	e Fuel	Consun	nption I	Estimates						T							1		Fu	el Consump	tion Appendix
Phase	Days	Number of Trips	Dist (mi)	Total VMT	Vehicle Class	Percent of Workers by Vehcile Class	Gasoline Average Fuel Economy (MPG)	Gasoline Fuel Split	Gasoline Fuel Consumption by Class (gal)	Diesel Average Fuel Economy (MPG)	Diesel Fuel Split	Diesel Fuel Consumption by Class (gal)	Electricity Average Economy (mi/kWh)	Electric Split	Electricity Consumption by Class (kWh)	Hybrid Average Economy (mi/kWh)	Hybrid Average Economy (mi/gal)	Hybrid Split	Hybrid Consumption by Class (kWh)	Hybrid Consumption by Class (gal)	Gasoline Fuel Consumption by Phase (gal)	Diesel Fuel Consumption by Phase (gal)	Page : Electricity Consumption by Phase (kWh)
					•						•	Work	er Trips	•	•				•	•			
					LDA	0.25	29.6	91.63%	7	40.8	0.23%	0.0	2.59	5.38%	4.8	6.4	57.1	2.76%	1.0	0.1			
Demolition	10	5	18.5	925	LDT1	0.50	24.7	99.41%	19	23.1	0.04%	0.0	2.59	0.34%	0.6	5.8	63.1	0.22%	0.2	0.0	35.1	0.0	7.7
					LDT2	0.25	24.4	97.83%	9	32.2	0.32%	0.0	2.59	0.86%	0.8	6.1	60.1	1.00%	0.4	0.0			
					LDA	0.25	29.6	91.63%	2	40.8	0.23%	0.0	2.59	5.38%	1.2	6.4	57.1	2.76%	0.3	0.0			
Site Preparation	5	2.5	18.5	231.25	LDT1	0.50	24.7	99.41%	5	23.1	0.04%	0.0	2.59	0.34%	0.1	5.8	63.1	0.22%	0.0	0.0	8.8	0.0	1.9
					LDT2	0.25	24.4	97.83%	2	32.2	0.32%	0.0	2.59	0.86%	0.2	6.1	60.1	1.00%	0.1	0.0			
					LDA	0.25	29.6	91.63%	29	40.8	0.2%	0.1	2.59	5.38%	19.2	6.4	57.1	2.76%	4.0	0.4			
Grading	20	10	18.5	3700	LDT1	0.50	24.7	99.41%	75	23.1	0.04%	0.0	2.59	0.34%	2.4	5.8	63.1	0.22%	0.7	0.1	140.2	0.2	30.9
					LDT2	0.25	24.4	97.83%	37	32.2	0.3%	0.1	2.59	0.86%	3.1	6.1	60.1	1.00%	1.5	0.2			
					LDA	0.25	29.6	91.6%	4	40.8	0.2%	0.0	2.59	5.38%	2.4	6.4	57.1	2.76%	0.5	0.1			
Trenching	10	2.5	18.5	462.5	LDT1	0.50	24.7	99.4%	9	23.1	0.04%	0.0	2.59	0.34%	0.3	5.8	63.1	0.22%	0.1	0.0	17.5	0.0	3.9
					LDT2	0.25	24.4	97.8%	5	32.2	0.3%	0.0	2.59	0.86%	0.4	6.1	60.1	1.00%	0.2	0.0			
Building					LDA	0.25	29.6	91.6%	282	40.8	0.2%	0.5	2.59	5.38%	189.5	6.4	57.1	2.76%	39.5	4.4			
Foundation	30	65.7	18.5	36464	LDT1	0.50	24.7	99.4%	734	23.1	0.04%	0.3	2.59	0.34%	23.6	5.8	63.1	0.22%	6.8	0.6	1,382.1	1.7	304.5
					LDT2	0.25	24.4	97.8%	366	32.2	0.3%	0.9	2.59	0.86%	30.1	6.1	60.1	1.00%	15.0	1.5			
					LDA	0.25	29.6	91.6%	3,384	40.8	0.2%	6.2	2.59	5.38%	2,273.8	6.4	57.1	2.76%	473.5	52.8			
Building Vertical	360	65.7	18.5	437562	LDT1	0.50	24.7	99.4%	8,811	23.1	0.04%	3.3	2.59	0.34%	283.0	5.8	63.1	0.22%	81.5	7.5	16,585.2	20.3	3,653.4
					LDT2	0.25	24.4	97.8%	4,390	32.2	0.3%	10.8	2.59	0.86%	361.3	6.1	60.1	1.00%	180.3	18.2			
					LDA	0.25	29.6	91.6%	11	40.8	0.2%	0.0	2.59	5.38%	7.2	6.4	57.1	2.76%	1.5	0.2			
Paving	10	7.5	18.5	1387.5	LDT1	0.50	24.7	99.4%	28	23.1	0.04%	0.0	2.59	0.34%	0.9	5.8	63.1	0.22%	0.3	0.0	52.6	0.1	11.6
					LDT2	0.25	24.4	97.8%	14	32.2	0.3%	0.0	2.59	0.86%	1.1	6.1	60.1	1.00%	0.6	0.1			
Architectural					LDA	0.25	29.6	91.6%	38	40.8	0.2%	0.1	2.59	5.38%	25.3	6.4	57.1	2.76%	5.3	0.6		0.2	40.6
Coating	10	26.3	18.5	4865.5	LDT1	0.50	24.7	99.4%	98	23.1	0.04%	0.0	2.59	0.34%	3.1	5.8	63.1	0.22%	0.9	0.1	184.4		
					LDT2	0.25	24.4	97.8%	49	32.2	0.3%	0.1	2.59	0.86%	4.0	6.1	60.1	1.00%	2.0	0.2			
		Sub-To	tal Wor	ker Trips	Energy Co	onsumption	Gasolir	ne (gal)	18,405.9	Diesel	(gal)	22.5	Electricity	(kWh)	3,238.5	Hybrid (k	Wh; gal of gas	oline)	816.0	87.2	18,405.9	22.5	4,054.5
				r	1	1	1	1			1	Vend	or Trips	1	1			1	1	1			
				0	MHDT	0.5	5.2	18.6%	0	9.0	79.51%	0	0.96	0.73%	0.00						0.0	0.0	0.0
Demolition	0	0	6.94		HHDT	0.5	4.1	0.1%	0.00	6.1	89.68%	0	0.56	0.58%	0.00								
				0	MHDT	0.5	5.2	18.6%	0	9.0	79.51%	0	0.96	0.73%	0.00						0.0	0.0	0.0
Grading	0	5	6.94		HHDT	0.5	4.1	0.1%	0.00	6.1	89.68%	0	0.56	0.58%	0.00								
Building				9180	MHDT	0.5	5.2	18.6%	163	9.0	79.51%	408	0.96	0.73%	35.00						164.0	1,078.7	82.6
Foundation	30	30	10.2		HHDT	0.5	4.1	0.1%	1	6.1	89.68%	671	0.56	0.58%	47.58								
				52510	MHDT	0.5	5.2	18.6%	934	9.0	79.51%	2,331	0.96	0.73%	200.21						938.0	6,170.3	472.4
Building Vertical	360	14.3	10.2		HHDT	0.5	4.1	0.1%	4	6.1	89.68%	3,839	0.56	0.58%	272.16								
Finishing	0	107	6.94	0	MHDT	0.5	5.2	18.6%	0	9.0	79.51%	0	0.96	0.73%	0.00						0.0	0	0.0
					HHDT	0.5	4.1	0.1%	0	6.1	89.68%	0	0.56	0.58%	0.00								
		Sub-To	otal Vend	dor Trips	Energy Co	onsumption	Gasolir	ne (gal)	1,102.0	Diesel	(gal)	7,249.0	Electricity	(kWh)	555.0						1,102	7,249	555
Daviditi	40	40.4	20	2000	11110-7	4.0		0.000	0.5	<i>с</i> л	00.000	Hauli	ng irips	0.500/	20.45			1			A F	500	20.45
Demolition	10	18.4	20	3680	HHDI	1.0	4.1	0.06%	0.5	6.1	89.68%	538	0.6	0.58%	38.15						0.5	538	38.15
Building	20	56.3	20	22520	нниі	1.0	4.1	0.06%	3.3	6.1	89.68%	3,293	0.6	0.58%	233.44						5.5	3,293	233.44
Foundation	0	0	20	0	HHDT	1.0	4.1	0.06%	0.00	6.1	89.68%	0	0.6	0.58%	0.00						0.0	0	0.00
Building Vertical	0	0	20	0	HHDT	1.0	4.1	0.06%	0.00	6.1	89.68%	0	0.6	0.58%	0.00						0.0	0	0.00
		Sub	-Total H	aul Trips	Energy Co	onsumption	Gasolir	ne (gal)	3.891	Diesel	(gal)	3,831.1	Electricity	(kWh)	272						3.9	3,831	272
Total	On-Road	d Construc	tion Tri	ps Gener	gy Usage				Gasoline (gal)	19,512	D	viesel (gal)	11,1(03	E	electricity (kWh)	4,881					

Sheet 4: Average Fuel Efficiency - Los Angeles County

EMFAC2021 Los Angeles County Fuel Efficiency Estimates for 202	5
--	---

Table 1: 2025 LA County Average Vehicle Fuel Efficiency (Gasoline)								
Vehicle Class	Population	Vehicle Miles Travelled Per Day	Gallons Per Day	Miles Per Gallon				
HHDT	38.05	2,751.39	663.40	4.15				
LDA	3,437,910.93	134,236,067.87	4,538,396.08	29.58				
LDT1	314,154.81	11,460,501.61	464,196.61	24.69				
LDT2	1,639,958.46	67,471,877.16	2,766,495.91	24.39				
LHDT1	126,376.08	5,050,030.71	365,057.86	13.83				
LHDT2	19,233.99	720,176.21	59,756.95	12.05				
MCY	154,401.15	1,011,349.12	24,450.26	41.36				
MDV	982,270.06	37,451,385.03	1,885,979.21	19.86				
MH	15,450.11	154,665.78	31,923.55	4.84				
MHDT	14,499.00	795,156.39	152,437.67	5.22				
OBUS	3,624.48	141,502.82	27,834.50	5.08				
SBUS	1,458.98	67,167.50	7,438.11	9.03				
UBUS	434.39	30,651.98	6,643.78	4.61				
TOTAL	6,709,810.49	258,593,283.58	10,331,273.88	25.03				

Table 2: 2025 LA County Average Vehicle Fuel Efficiency (Diesel)										
Vehicle Class	Population	Vehicle Miles Travelled Per Day	Gallons Per Day	Miles Per Gallon						
HHDT	55,407.54	6,966,404.12	1,135,884.12	6.13						
LDA	8,388.61	246,778.38	6,052.72	40.77						
LDT1	110.67	2,175.90	94.18	23.10						
LDT2	5,270.98	227,598.88	7,061.30	32.23						
LHDT1	60,972.67	2,684,490.85	130,343.56	20.60						
LHDT2	27,705.40	1,195,933.13	68,740.96	17.40						
MCY	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
MDV	11,358.20	442,895.33	18,411.21	24.06						
MH	5,805.21	61,011.78	6,117.79	9.97						
MHDT	62,117.65	2,609,688.38	291,433.63	8.95						
OBUS	2,199.54	170,393.83	24,485.41	6.96						
SBUS	1,908.77	38,926.17	5,265.44	7.39						
UBUS	35.63	5,946.56	926.19	6.42						
TOTAL	241280.87	14652243.31	1694816.50	8.65						

Table 3: 2025 LA County Average Vehicle Fuel Efficiency (Electricity)										
Vehicle Class	Population	Vehicle Miles Travelled Per Day	Energy Consumption (kWh/day)	Miles Per kWh						
HHDT	359.75	39,425.69	70,188.26	0.56						
LDA	296,571.00	11,983,494.85	4,423,785.90	2.71						
LDT1	1,742.36	65,803.51	23,748.04	2.77						
LDT2	30,758.00	953,423.20	331,085.75	2.88						
LHDT1	1,424.21	99,672.68	55,690.45	1.79						
LHDT2	367.73	24,445.62	13,668.26	1.79						
MCY	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
MDV	25,291.32	795,782.36	287,037.16	2.77						
MH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
MHDT	569.80	32,320.07	33,794.02	0.96						
OBUS	19.47	1,454.26	1,531.21	0.95						
SBUS	27.91	885.12	1,023.46	0.86						
UBUS	184.54	17,691.24	37,250.41	0.47						
TOTAL	357,316.09	14,014,398.59	5,278,802.91	2.65						

Source: EMFAC2021 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory Region Type: County Region: Los Angeles Calendar Year: 2025 Season: Annual Vehicle Classification: EMFAC2007 Categories Units: miles/day for CVMT and EVMT, trips/day for Trips, kWh/day for Energy Consumption, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption

Region	Calendar Y Vehicle Ca	Model Yea Spe	eed Fuel	Population	Total VMT	CVMT	EVMT	Trips	Energy Cor	NOx_RUN	NOx_IDLE	NOx_STRE	NOx_TOTE	PM2.5_RU	PM2.5_IDL	PM2.5_STF	PM2.5_TO	PM2.5_PM
Los Angele	2025 HHDT	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	38.05001	2751.395	2751.395	0	761.3047	0	0.019111	0	0.000532	0.019643	4.31E-06	0	9.4E-07	5.25E-06	1.52E-05
Los Angele	2025 HHDT	Aggregate Agg	gregate Diesel	55407.54	6966404	6966404	0	865912	0	13.36348	3.966735	2.687777	20.01799	0.176865	0.00202	0	0.178884	0.068006
Los Angele	2025 HHDT	Aggregate Agg	gregate Electricity	359.7498	39425.69	0	39425.69	4945.954	70188.26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000377
Los Angele	2025 HHDT	Aggregate Agg	gregate Natural G	a 5978.066	376575.2	376575.2	0	38188.68	0	0.317491	0.054337	0	0.371828	0.000888	0.000109	0	0.000997	0.003736
Los Angele	2025 LDA	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	3337440	1.32E+08	1.32E+08	0	15494312	0	5.779038	0	4.010852	9.78989	0.198287	0	0.032569	0.230856	0.29102
Los Angele	2025 LDA	Aggregate Agg	gregate Diesel	8388.613	246778.4	246778.4	0	34377.62	0	0.058769	0	0	0.058769	0.00662	0	0	0.00662	0.000544
Los Angele	2025 LDA	Aggregate Agg	gregate Electricity	196100.5	9570341	0	9570341	976769.6	3694942	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.021099
Los Angele	2025 LDA	Aggregate Agg	gregate Plug-in Hy	ł 100470.5	4644988	2231834	2413154	415445.5	728844.4	0.01702	0	0.051084	0.068105	0.003368	0	0.000886	0.004254	0.01024
Los Angele	2025 LDT1	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	313469	11445443	11445443	0	1380698	0	2.064835	0	0.594694	2.659529	0.028351	0	0.004292	0.032643	0.025233
Los Angele	2025 LDT1	Aggregate Agg	gregate Diesel	110.6655	2175.902	2175.902	0	310.4912	0	0.003625	0	0	0.003625	0.000607	0	0	0.000607	4.8E-06
Los Angele	2025 LDT1	Aggregate Agg	gregate Electricity	1056.524	46083.16	0	46083.16	5037.303	17791.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000102
Los Angele	2025 LDT1	Aggregate Agg	gregate Plug-in Hy	ł 685.832	34778.58	15058.23	19720.35	2835.915	5956.135	0.000115	0	0.000349	0.000464	1.59E-05	0	4.25E-06	2.01E-05	7.67E-05
Los Angele	2025 LDT2	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	1623397	67107095	67107095	0	7642462	0	5.125064	0	2.510353	7.635417	0.103721	0	0.01605	0.119771	0.147946
Los Angele	2025 LDT2	Aggregate Agg	gregate Diesel	5270.983	227598.9	227598.9	0	25409.94	0	0.010965	0	0	0.010965	0.001245	0	0	0.001245	0.000502
Los Angele	2025 LDT2	Aggregate Agg	gregate Electricity	14196.35	513053.2	0	513053.2	72319.82	198080.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.001131
Los Angele	2025 LDT2	Aggregate Agg	gregate Plug-in Hy	ł 16561.64	805152.6	364782.6	440370	68482.39	133004.9	0.002784	0	0.008419	0.011203	0.000446	0	0.000119	0.000564	0.001775
Los Angele	2025 LHDT1	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	126376.1	5050031	5050031	0	1882815	0	0.807319	0.004825	1.228363	2.040507	0.006026	0	0.000607	0.006633	0.011133
Los Angele	2025 LHDT1	Aggregate Agg	gregate Diesel	60972.67	2684491	2684491	0	766959.4	0	3.016251	0.112651	0	3.128902	0.054516	0.001785	0	0.056301	0.008877
Los Angele	2025 LHDT1	Aggregate Agg	gregate Electricity	1424.207	99672.68	0	99672.68	19910.76	55690.45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00022
Los Angele	2025 LHDT2	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	19233.99	720176.2	720176.2	0	286557.8	0	0.115826	0.000736	0.191884	0.308447	0.00077	0	7.48E-05	0.000845	0.001588
Los Angele	2025 LHDT2	Aggregate Agg	gregate Diesel	27705.4	1195933	1195933	0	348499.1	0	1.193403	0.050596	0	1.243999	0.024538	0.000821	0	0.025358	0.003955
Los Angele	2025 LHDT2	Aggregate Agg	gregate Electricity	367.7308	24445.62	0	24445.62	4876.486	13668.26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.39E-05
Los Angele	2025 MCY	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	154401.2	1011349	1011349	0	308802.3	0	0.593366	0	0.041014	0.63438	0.002393	0	0.001135	0.003528	0.001115
Los Angele	2025 MDV	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	972359	37248308	37248308	0	4511661	0	4.490618	0	1.95211	6.442728	0.058309	0	0.009755	0.068064	0.082118
Los Angele	2025 MDV	Aggregate Agg	gregate Diesel	11358.2	442895.3	442895.3	0	53411.24	0	0.04384	0	0	0.04384	0.003649	0	0	0.003649	0.000976
Los Angele	2025 MDV	Aggregate Agg	gregate Electricity	15380.31	555450.9	0	555450.9	78334.59	214449.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.001225
Los Angele	2025 MDV	Aggregate Agg	gregate Plug-in Hy	ł 9911.016	443408.4	203076.9	240331.4	40982.05	72587.25	0.00155	0	0.005038	0.006588	0.00028	0	7.98E-05	0.00036	0.000978
Los Angele	2025 MH	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	15450.11	154665.8	154665.8	0	1545.629	0	0.058711	0	0.000695	0.059406	0.000211	0	6.6E-07	0.000212	0.000511
Los Angele	2025 MH	Aggregate Agg	gregate Diesel	5805.21	61011.78	61011.78	0	580.521	0	0.224124	0	0	0.224124	0.005358	0	0	0.005358	0.000269
Los Angele	2025 MHDT	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	14499	795156.4	795156.4	0	290095.9	0	0.326459	0.001401	0.132516	0.460376	0.000827	0	0.000146	0.000973	0.00263
Los Angele	2025 MHDT	Aggregate Agg	gregate Diesel	62117.65	2609688	2609688	0	763133.1	0	2.755193	0.848401	1.362225	4.965818	0.030332	0.001462	0	0.031793	0.00863
Los Angele	2025 MHDT	Aggregate Agg	gregate Electricity	569.7995	32320.07	0	32320.07	7696.567	33794.02	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000107
Los Angele	2025 MHDT	Aggregate Agg	gregate Natural G	a 941.4552	44260.07	44260.07	0	8065.36	0	0.00516	0.007022	0	0.012182	4.26E-05	1.93E-05	0	6.19E-05	0.000146
Los Angele	2025 OBUS	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	3624.478	141502.8	141502.8	0	72518.56	0	0.069749	0.000256	0.03184	0.101844	0.00013	0	2.25E-05	0.000152	0.000468
Los Angele	2025 OBUS	Aggregate Agg	gregate Diesel	2199.544	170393.8	170393.8	0	28674.77	0	0.332273	0.038947	0.052965	0.424185	0.006093	4.39E-05	0	0.006136	0.000563
Los Angele	2025 OBUS	Aggregate Agg	gregate Electricity	19.47291	1454.261	0	1454.261	389.6139	1531.212	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.81E-06
Los Angele	2025 OBUS	Aggregate Agg	gregate Natural G	a 344.247	20322.43	20322.43	0	3063.798	0	0.00314	0.000589	0	0.003729	1.77E-05	1.34E-06	0	1.91E-05	6.72E-05
Los Angele	2025 SBUS	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	1458.984	67167.5	67167.5	0	5835.934	0	0.033936	0.001458	0.004764	0.040158	7.84E-05	0	3.17E-06	8.15E-05	0.000148
Los Angele	2025 SBUS	Aggregate Agg	gregate Diesel	1908.77	38926.17	38926.17	0	27638.99	0	0.334427	0.065969	0.007807	0.408203	0.001881	7.86E-05	0	0.001959	0.000129
Los Angele	2025 SBUS	Aggregate Agg	gregate Electricity	27.90728	885.1183	0	885.1183	304.7267	1023.463	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.46E-06
Los Angele	2025 SBUS	Aggregate Agg	gregate Natural G	a 1590.363	38890.52	38890.52	0	23028.46	0	0.03549	0.009172	0	0.044662	0.000177	2.15E-05	0	0.000198	0.000129
Los Angele	2025 UBUS	Aggregate Agg	gregate Gasoline	434.3888	30651.98	30651.98	0	1737.555	0	0.005567	0	0.001421	0.006988	6.04E-05	0	1.43E-06	6.18E-05	9.24E-05
Los Angele	2025 UBUS	Aggregate Agg	gregate Diesel	35.62762	5946.561	5946.561	0	142.5105	0	0.005384	0	0	0.005384	3.86E-05	0	0	3.86E-05	5.89E-05
Los Angele	2025 UBUS	Aggregate Agg	gregate Electricity	184.5436	17691.24	0	17691.24	738.1745	37250.41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000156
Los Angele	2025 UBUS	Aggregate Agg	gregate Natural G	a 3841.049	412283.2	412283.2	0	15364.2	0	0.269263	0	0	0.269263	0.000186	0	0	0.000186	0.003818

PM2.5_PM	PM2.5_TO	PM10_RUM	PM10_IDLI	PM10_STR	PM10_T01	PM10_PM	PM10_PM	РМ10_ТОТ	CO2_RUNE	CO2_IDLE>	CO2_STREX	CO2_TOTE	CH4_RUNE	CH4_IDLEX	CH4_STREX	CH4_TOTE	N2O_RUNI	N2O_IDLE>	N2O_STRE	N2O_TOTE
9.99E-05	0.00012	4.68E-06	0	1.02E-06	5.71E-06	6.07E-05	0.000285	0.000352	6.244911	0	0.046308	6.291219	0.000402	0	8.71E-08	0.000402	0.000565	0	1.55E-05	0.00058
0.216553	0.463443	0.184862	0.002111	0	0.186973	0.272022	0.618723	1.077718	11951.42	764.2365	0	12715.65	0.004646	0.015338	0	0.019984	1.88295	0.120406	0	2.003356
0.000636	0.001013	0	0	0	0	0.001507	0.001818	0.003324	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.022513	0.027246	0.000965	0.000119	0	0.001084	0.014944	0.064323	0.080351	497.4447	53.33398	0	550.7787	0.548473	0.136448	0	0.68492	0.101407	0.010872	0	0.11228
0.445002	0.966877	0.215655	0	0.035421	0.251077	1.164078	1.271435	2.686589	41105.73	0	1161.806	42267.54	0.380594	0	1.107755	1.488349	0.664471	0	0.53586	1.200331
0.000846	0.008011	0.00692	0	0	0.00692	0.002176	0.002418	0.011514	67.75718	0	0	67.75718	0.000511	0	0	0.000511	0.010675	0	0	0.010675
0.016135	0.037234	0	0	0	0	0.084396	0.046101	0.130497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.007421	0.021915	0.003663	0	0.000963	0.004626	0.040962	0.021202	0.06679	742.2894	0	29.05298	771.3424	0.002639	0	0.018408	0.021048	0.003086	0	0.009255	0.012341
0.047615	0.105491	0.030834	0	0.004668	0.035503	0.100932	0.136043	0.272477	4266.989	0	129.889	4396.878	0.114578	0	0.161509	0.276087	0.144156	0	0.058661	0.202818
1.03E-05	0.000622	0.000635	0	0	0.000635	1.92E-05	2.96E-05	0.000684	1.05425	0	0	1.05425	3.62E-05	0	0	3.62E-05	0.000166	0	0	0.000166
7.78E-05	0.000179	0	0	0	0	0.000406	0.000222	0.000629	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.57E-05	0.000153	1.73E-05	0	4.62E-06	2.19E-05	0.000307	0.000159	0.000488	5.017103	0	0.210795	5.227898	1.78E-05	0	0.000125	0.000143	2.08E-05	0	6.3E-05	8.38E-05
0.266875	0.534592	0.112806	0	0.017456	0.130262	0.591783	0.7625	1.484546	25405.54	0	702.8745	26108.41	0.252843	0	0.62418	0.877023	0.444501	0	0.293769	0.73827
0.000891	0.002638	0.001301	0	0	0.001301	0.002007	0.002547	0.005856	79.04767	0	0	79.04767	0.00021	0	0	0.00021	0.012454	0	0	0.012454
0.000863	0.001994	0	0	0	0	0.004524	0.002466	0.00699	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.001289	0.003628	0.000485	0	0.000129	0.000613	0.0071	0.003683	0.011396	121.4972	0	5.547672	127.0449	0.000432	0	0.003031	0.003462	0.000504	0	0.001523	0.002026
0.151971	0.169738	0.006554	0	0.00066	0.007214	0.044534	0.434203	0.485951	3392.17	16.37543	53.40038	3461.946	0.02743	0.015493	0.064569	0.107491	0.04563	0.000415	0.102877	0.148922
0.080785	0.145963	0.056981	0.001865	0	0.058847	0.03551	0.230813	0.32517	1450.575	8.555988	0	1459.131	0.011617	0.000343	0	0.01196	0.228539	0.001348	0	0.229887
0.0015	0.001719	0	0	0	0	0.000879	0.004285	0.005164	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.025284	0.027717	0.000838	0	8.13E-05	0.000919	0.006351	0.072241	0.079511	555.8053	2.902085	7.984434	566.6919	0.002816	0.002347	0.009918	0.015081	0.007108	6.11E-05	0.015407	0.022577
0.041988	0.071301	0.025647	0.000858	0	0.026505	0.015819	0.119964	0.162289	763.2884	6.232071	0	769.5205	0.005186	0.000156	0	0.005342	0.120256	0.000982	0	0.121238
0.000429	0.000483	0	0	0	0	0.000216	0.001226	0.001442	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.004682	0.009325	0.002561	0	0.001209	0.00377	0.004459	0.013378	0.021607	216.5138	0	15.35491	231.8687	0.191751	0	0.054692	0.246443	0.042301	0	0.002489	0.044791
0.151607	0.301789	0.063415	0	0.010609	0.074025	0.328474	0.433162	0.835661	17302.8	0	510.7617	17813.57	0.202572	0	0.460906	0.663478	0.336737	0	0.192837	0.529575
0.001797	0.006423	0.003814	0	0	0.003814	0.003906	0.005135	0.012855	206.1042	0	0	206.1042	0.000391	0	0	0.000391	0.032472	0	0	0.032472
0.000934	0.002159	0	0	0	0	0.004898	0.00267	0.007568	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.00071	0.002047	0.000305	0	8.68E-05	0.000391	0.00391	0.002028	0.006329	67.60796	0	4.093913	71.70187	0.000238	0	0.001798	0.002036	0.000276	0	0.000896	0.001172
0.002595	0.003319	0.00023	0	7.18E-07	0.00023	0.002046	0.007415	0.009691	302.6868	0	0.053213	302.74	0.00197	0	6.22E-05	0.002032	0.003783	0	7.64E-05	0.003859
0.001018	0.006645	0.005601	0	0	0.005601	0.001076	0.002907	0.009584	68.48556	0	0	68.48556	0.000198	0	0	0.000198	0.01079	0	0	0.01079
0.013353	0.016956	0.000899	0	0.000159	0.001058	0.010518	0.038153	0.049729	1422.518	8.613037	14.47832	1445.609	0.008793	0.004211	0.014621	0.027624	0.017016	0.00012	0.010455	0.027591
0.043889	0.084313	0.031703	0.001528	0	0.033231	0.03452	0.125399	0.19315	3111.839	150.6142	0	3262.453	0.00246	0.00071	0	0.003169	0.490271	0.023729	0	0.514001
0.000272	0.000378	0	0	0	0	0.000428	0.000776	0.001203	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.000742	0.00095	4.63E-05	2.1E-05	0	6.73E-05	0.000585	0.00212	0.002773	41.30163	5.714391	0	47.01603	0.026124	0.018793	0	0.044917	0.00842	0.001165	0	0.009585
0.002406	0.003026	0.000141	0	2.45E-05	0.000166	0.001872	0.006874	0.008912	259.9607	1.509467	2.492155	263.9623	0.001707	0.000773	0.002779	0.00526	0.003349	2.07E-05	0.002375	0.005745
0.004389	0.011089	0.006368	4.58E-05	0	0.006414	0.002254	0.012539	0.021207	265.7228	8.378956	0	274.1018	0.000484	0.00014	0	0.000624	0.041865	0.00132	0	0.043185
1.24E-05	1.72E-05	0	0	0	0	1.92E-05	3.53E-05	5.46E-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.000346	0.000432	1.93E-05	1.46E-06	0	2.07E-05	0.000269	0.000988	0.001277	19.67778	0.456024	0	20.1338	0.012387	0.001678	0	0.014066	0.004011	9.3E-05	0	0.004104
0.001214	0.001444	8.52E-05	0	3.44E-06	8.87E-05	0.000592	0.003468	0.004149	66.0107	4.167245	0.359722	70.53766	0.000892	0.003915	0.000444	0.005251	0.001898	0.000133	0.000426	0.002457
0.000704	0.002791	0.001966	8.22E-05	0	0.002048	0.000515	0.00201	0.004573	54.11352	4.83043	0	58.94395	0.000286	1.62E-05	0	0.000302	0.008526	0.000761	0	0.009287
8E-06	1.05E-05	0	0	0	0	9.85E-06	2.29E-05	3.27E-05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.000703	0.00103	0.000192	2.34E-05	0	0.000216	0.000514	0.002008	0.002738	72.62277	7.267871	0	79.89064	0.197359	0.025903	0	0.223261	0.014805	0.001482	0	0.016286
0.001241	0.001395	6.56E-05	0	1.55E-06	6.72E-05	0.00037	0.003546	0.003983	62.82422	0	0.180566	63.00479	0.000172	0	0.000184	0.000356	0.000544	0	0.000139	0.000683
0.000252	0.00035	4.03E-05	0	0	4.03E-05	0.000235	0.000721	0.000997	10.36824	0	0	10.36824	3.18E-05	0	0	3.18E-05	0.001634	0	0	0.001634
0.000375	0.000531	0	0	0	0	0.000623	0.001071	0.001694	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.017477	0.021481	0.000195	0	0	0.000195	0.01527	0.049933	0.065398	1157.734	0	0	1157.734	1.342036	0	0	1.342036	0.236012	0	0	0.236012

ROG_RUNI	ROG_IDLE)	ROG_STRE	ROG_TOTE	ROG_DIUR	ROG_HOTS	ROG_RUNI	ROG_TOTA	TOG_RUNE	TOG_IDLE>	TOG_STRE	TOG_TOTE	TOG_DIUR	TOG_HOTS	TOG_RUNI	TOG_TOTA	CO_RUNE>	CO_IDLEX	CO_STREX	CO_TOTEX	SOx_RUNE
0.002164	0	4.5E-07	0.002165	0.000288	7.81E-05	0.000659	0.00319	0.003158	0	4.93E-07	0.003159	0.000288	7.81E-05	0.000659	0.004184	0.118084	0	0.0042	0.122284	6.17E-05
0.100026	0.330224	0	0.43025	0	0	0	0.43025	0.113872	0.375935	0	0.489807	0	0	0	0.489807	0.580501	4.81698	0	5.397481	0.113173
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.014318	0.00226	0	0.016578	0	0	0	0.016578	0.567141	0.139608	0	0.706749	0	0	0	0.706749	3.318507	0.403631	0	3.722138	0
1.435287	0	4.968492	6.40378	5.434478	1.555017	3.932021	17.3253	2.094368	0	5.439874	7.534242	5.434478	1.555017	3.932021	18.45576	113.4391	0	48.7868	162.2259	0.406372
0.011007	0	0	0.011007	0	0	0	0.011007	0.01253	0	0	0.01253	0	0	0	0.01253	0.120742	0	0	0.120742	0.000642
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.00833	0	0.074668	0.082998	0.05166	0.019131	0.016951	0.17074	0.012155	0	0.081752	0.093907	0.05166	0.019131	0.016951	0.181649	1.211741	0	0.56809	1.77983	0.007338
0.511303	0	0.832215	1.343517	1.082442	0.288068	0.812862	3.526889	0.746092	0	0.91117	1.657262	1.082442	0.288068	0.812862	3.840634	23.10933	0	8.018267	31.12759	0.042184
0.000779	0	0	0.000779	0	0	0	0.000779	0.000887	0	0	0.000887	0	0	0	0.000887	0.004254	0	0	0.004254	9.99E-06
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.63E-05	0	0.000509	0.000566	0.00022	7.61E-05	6.36E-05	0.000925	8.22E-05	0	0.000558	0.00064	0.00022	7.61E-05	6.36E-05	0.001	0.008207	0	0.003876	0.012083	4.96E-05
0.990602	0	2.830958	3.82156	2.47152	0.662437	1.781084	8.736601	1.445484	0	3.099543	4.545027	2.47152	0.662437	1.781084	9.460068	66.90711	0	27.08808	93.99519	0.25116
0.004511	0	0	0.004511	0	0	0	0.004511	0.005136	0	0	0.005136	0	0	0	0.005136	0.04314	0	0	0.04314	0.000749
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.001364	0	0.012303	0.013667	0.005815	0.001977	0.001732	0.023191	0.001991	0	0.01347	0.015461	0.005815	0.001977	0.001732	0.024985	0.198591	0	0.093591	0.292183	0.001201
0.136403	0.055276	0.31193	0.503609	0.381271	0.094966	0.527062	1.506908	0.199039	0.080658	0.341524	0.621221	0.381271	0.094966	0.527062	1.62452	5.514747	0.523197	6.484761	12.52271	0.033535
0.250111	0.007377	0	0.257488	0	0	0	0.257488	0.284735	0.008398	0	0.293133	0	0	0	0.293133	0.653186	0.061145	0	0.714331	0.013745
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.013055	0.008443	0.047945	0.069443	0.060311	0.014613	0.07983	0.224198	0.01905	0.01232	0.052494	0.083864	0.060311	0.014613	0.07983	0.238619	0.608964	0.079774	0.955352	1.64409	0.005495
0.111657	0.003352	0	0.115009	0	0	0	0.115009	0.127114	0.003816	0	0.13093	0	0	0	0.13093	0.267096	0.027784	0	0.29488	0.007233
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.231673	0	0.402642	1.634315	0.669343	1.227521	1.253307	4.784486	1.494892	0	0.437836	1.932728	0.669343	1.227521	1.253307	5.082899	13.68766	0	2.500537	16.1882	0.00214
0.849195	0	2.259206	3.1084	1.912038	0.482895	1.409587	6.91292	1.238822	0	2.473543	3.712366	1.912038	0.482895	1.409587	7.516885	45.43667	0	17.63462	63.07129	0.171056
0.008417	0	0	0.008417	0	0	0	0.008417	0.009582	0	0	0.009582	0	0	0	0.009582	0.14057	0	0	0.14057	0.001953
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.000759	0	0.007363	0.008122	0.003719	0.00132	0.001113	0.014274	0.001108	0	0.008062	0.009169	0.003719	0.00132	0.001113	0.015321	0.11047	0	0.056016	0.166486	0.000668
0.008542	0	0.00025	0.008792	0.073156	0.018854	0.000434	0.101235	0.012464	0	0.000274	0.012738	0.073156	0.018854	0.000434	0.105182	0.245612	0	0.005555	0.251167	0.002992
0.004256	0	0	0.004256	0	0	0	0.004256	0.004846	0	0	0.004846	0	0	0	0.004846	0.017559	0	0	0.017559	0.000649
0.042396	0.016066	0.078294	0.136756	0.044693	0.010539	0.082606	0.274593	0.061864	0.023444	0.085722	0.17103	0.044693	0.010539	0.082606	0.308867	1.089625	0.229907	1.644538	2.964071	0.014063
0.052954	0.015277	0	0.068231	0	0	0	0.068231	0.060285	0.017391	0	0.077676	0	0	0	0.077676	0.231314	0.504739	0	0.736053	0.029467
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.000373	0.000269	0	0.000642	0	0	0	0.000642	0.026662	0.01918	0	0.045842	0	0	0	0.045842	0.112314	0.03699	0	0.149304	0
0.00832	0.002973	0.014857	0.02615	0.012643	0.002993	0.013915	0.055701	0.01214	0.004338	0.016267	0.032745	0.012643	0.002993	0.013915	0.062296	0.210426	0.023019	0.30397	0.537416	0.00257
0.010428	0.003016	0	0.013443	0	0	0	0.013443	0.011871	0.003433	0	0.015304	0	0	0	0.015304	0.040516	0.045627	0	0.086143	0.002516
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.000177	2.4E-05	0	0.000201	0	0	0	0.000201	0.012642	0.001713	0	0.014355	0	0	0	0.014355	0.057604	0.002482	0	0.060087	0
0.004418	0.017076	0.002551	0.024045	0.003615	0.000872	0.002326	0.030858	0.006446	0.024917	0.002793	0.034156	0.003615	0.000872	0.002326	0.040969	0.087446	0.132029	0.057944	0.277419	0.000653
0.006156	0.000349	0	0.006506	0	0	0	0.006506	0.007009	0.000397	0	0.007406	0	0	0	0.007406	0.01486	0.006683	0	0.021543	0.000512
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.00282	0.00037	0	0.00319	0	0	0	0.00319	0.201419	0.026436	0	0.227855	0	0	0	0.227855	0.677082	0.040045	0	0.717127	0
0.000562	0	0.000778	0.00134	0.000207	6.17E-05	0.00018	0.001788	0.000819	0	0.000852	0.001672	0.000207	6.17E-05	0.00018	0.00212	0.011944	0	0.016123	0.028067	0.000621
0.000684	0	0	0.000684	0	0	0	0.000684	0.000778	0	0	0.000778	0	0	0	0.000778	0.000897	0	0	0.000897	9.82E-05
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.019352	0	0	0.019352	0	0	0	0.019352	1.36984	0	0	1.36984	0	0	0	1.36984	18.77605	0	0	18.77605	0

SOx_IDLEX	SOx_STRE>	SOx_TOTE)	NH3_RUNI	Fuel Consumption
0	4.58E-07	6.22E-05	0.000135	0.663401
0.007237	0	0.12041	1.657952	1135.884
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0.326013	63.66162
0	0.011486	0.417858	5.176939	4457.059
0	0	0 000642	0 000843	6 052722
0	0	0	0	0
0	0 000287	0 007626	0 097261	81 33709
0	0.000207	0.007020	0.037201	463 6453
0	0.001204	0.045400	7 445-06	0.004176
0	0	9.99L-00	7.44L-00	0.034170
0	2 005 00		0	0 551275
0	2.08E-06	5.1/E-U5	0.000697	0.551275
0	0.006949	0.258108	2.80425	2/53.099
0	0	0.000749	0.000778	7.061297
0	0	0	0	0
0	5.48E-05	0.001256	0.016872	13.39673
0.000162	0.000528	0.034225	0.250016	365.0579
8.11E-05	0	0.013826	0.55676	130.3436
0	0	0	0	0
2.87E-05	7.89E-05	0.005602	0.035703	59.75695
5.91E-05	0	0.007292	0.252847	68.74096
0	0	0	0	0
0	0.000152	0.002292	0.009923	24.45026
0	0.005049	0.176105	1.53653	1878.418
0	0	0.001953	0.001513	18.41121
0	0	0	0	0
0	4.05E-05	0.000709	0.009402	7.560872
0	5.26E-07	0.002993	0.007643	31.92355
0	0	0.000649	0.010863	6.117788
8.51E-05	0.000143	0.014291	0.039434	152.4377
0.001426	0	0.030893	0.609523	291.4336
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0.051716	5.434335
1 49F-05	2 46F-05	0.00261	0.007015	27 8345
7 93E-05	2.402 05	0.002596	0.039621	24 48541
7.55E 05 0	0	0.002550	0.000021	0
0	0	0	0 023746	2 227161
4 12E-05	3 565-06		0.023740	7 /28100
4.122-05	3.30E-00	0.000097	0.003332	7.430109 F 26F44
4.57E-05	0	0.000558	0.004215	5.20544
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0.045442	9.234139
0	1.79E-06	0.000623	0.00152	6.643776
0	0	9.82E-05	0.001442	0.926191
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0.44083	133.8164

This page was intentionally left blank.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



Environmental Geotechnology Laboratory, Inc.

May 19, 2023

Longo Investment Inc. 812-A S. Atlantic Boulevard, Monterey Park, California 91754

Subject: Change of Consultant and Report of Geotechnical Engineering Investigation, Proposed 65-Unit Condominium and Associated Structures, 338 – 410 South Alhambra Avenue, Monterey Park, California, 91755; APN: 5259-004-036, 037 and 038, EGL Project No.: 23-227-002GE

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Herewith is a formal notification that Environmental Geotechnology Laboratory, Inc. (EGL) is now to be considered the geotechnical consultant of record for the above subject project. EGL has reviewed the referenced soil report (Cal Land Engineering. Inc., 2016; Reference #3) and concurs in general with the findings, conclusions and recommendations, and is satisfied with the work performed from a geotechnical engineering standpoint. Based on the information provided to EGL and the current tentative map by EGL Associates, Inc.; Reference #9) the proposed development has been changed. The following should be considered in the design phase of the proposed structures and except for changes made herein, all other conclusions and recommendations in the referenced report remain valid and applicable. Purpose of this report was to evaluate the subsurface conditions and provide recommendations for foundation designs and other relevant parameters for the proposed construction.

Based on the findings and observations during our investigation, it is concluded that the subject site is suitable for its intended use from the geotechnical engineering viewpoint, provided that recommendations set forth herein are followed.

This opportunity to be of service is sincerely appreciated. If you have any questions pertaining to this report, please call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Environmental Geotechnology Laboratory, Inc.

OFESSION RYAN REG(S) No. 2852 Ryan Jones, GE 2852 Senior Engineer Dist: (4) Addressee RJ/ky

11819 Goldring Road, Suite A, Arcadia, CA 91006 • Tel.: (626) 263-3588, Fax: (626) 263-3599 E-MAIL: MAIL@EGLAB.COM
REPORT OF GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING INVESTIGATION

Proposed 65-Unit Condominium and Associated Structures

At

APN: 5259-004-036, 037 and 038

338 – 410 S. Alhambra Avenue Monterey Park, California, 91755

Prepared by ENVIRONMENTAL GEOTECHNOLOGY LABORATORY, INC. Project No.: 23-227-002GE May 19, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENT

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1 1 PURPOSE	1
1 2 SCOPE OF SERVICES	1
1 3 STE CONDITIONS	1
1.4 Proposed Construction	2
TA FIELD FYDI ODATION AND I ADODATODY TESTING	2
2.0 FIELD EAFLORATION AND LABORATORY TESTING	
2.1 FIELD EXPLORATION	2
2.2 LABORATORY IESTING.	2
2.3 PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION	3
3.0 SUMMARY OF GEOTECHNICAL CONDITIONS	3
3.1 SOIL CONDITIONS	3
3.2 GROUNDWATER	3
4.0 CONCLUSIONS	4
4.1 Seismicity	4
4.2 SEISMIC INDUCED HAZARDS	4
4.3 Excavatability	4
4.4 SURFICIAL SOIL REMOVAL AND RECOMPACTION	4
4.5 GROUNDWATER	4
	5
5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1 GRADING	5
5.1.1 Site Preparation	5
5.1.2 Surficial Soil Removals.	5
5.1.3 Treatment of Removal Bottoms	6
5.1.4 Structural Backfill	6
5.2 SHALLOW FOUNDATION DESIGN	6
5.2.1 Bearing Value	
5.2.2 Settlement	0
5.2.5 Lateral Pressures.	0
5.5 FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION	
5.5 DETADIDIC WALL	······ / 7
5.5 KETAINING WALL	/ر و
J. O TEMPORART EXCAVATION AND DACKTLE OF OTHER TREACH	0
6.0 SEISMIC DESIGN	8
7.0 CORROSION POTENTIAL	9
8.0 INSPECTION	9
9.0 DRAINAGE	
10.0 111 STATEMENT	11
11.0 REMARKS	11
REFERENCES	
APPENDIX A FIFLD INVESTIGATION	
ALLENDIA A LIEUDIN ESTIMATION	
APPENDIX C PERCOLATION TEST RESULTS	
APPENDIX D PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION	

1.1 Purpose

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents a summary of our preliminary geotechnical engineering investigation for the proposed residential development at 338 – 410 South Alhambra Avenue, Monterey Park, California (APN: 5259-004-036, 037 and 038). Approximate regional location is shown on the attached Site (Location) Map, Figure 1. The purposes of this investigation were to evaluate the subsurface conditions at the area of proposed construction and to provide recommendations pertinent to grading, foundation design and other relevant parameters of the proposed development.

1.2 Scope of Services

Our scope of services included the followings:

- Review available soil data of vicinity area and previous geotechnical investigation reports, including the "Report of Geotechnical Engineering Investigation" by Cal Land Engineering (2016).
- Subsurface exploration consisting of logging and sampling of three (3) 8-inch diameter hand auger borings. The borings were drilled to a maximum depth of 15 feet below the existing ground surface. The boring logs are presented in Appendix A.
- Perform laboratory testing on representative onsite samples to establish soil-engineering characteristics. Field moisture and density are presented on boring logs in Appendix A. Laboratory test results are presented in Appendix B.
- Engineering analyses of the geotechnical data obtained from our background studies, field investigation, and laboratory testing.
- Perform one (1) percolation test on boring HB-1 at a depth of approximately 8 feet to determine the design infiltration rate of the soil at the site. Percolation test results are presented in Appendix C.
- Preparation of this report presenting our findings, conclusions, and recommendations for the proposed construction.

1.3 Site Conditions

The subject site is bounded on the westerly by South Alhambra Avenue, and located easterly of the intersection of the South Alhambra Avenue and Peach Street in the City of Monterey Park, County of Los Angeles, California. The project site consists of three lots (APN: 5259-004-036, 037 and 038) and currently occupied by multi-unit residential buildings and associated



structures. Topographically, the subject site is relatively flat and gently descends to the northeast. The elevation difference between the southwesterly and northeasterly property corners of the subject site is approximately 12 feet. Detailed configuration of the site is shown on the Site Plan, Figure 2.

1.4 Proposed Construction

Based on the *Tentative Map* provided by EGL Associated Inc. (2023), it is our understanding that the existing multi-unit residential buildings and associated structures are to be completely demolished and removed. It is our understanding that the proposed development at the site consists of 65-unit condominiums and associated structures. The proposed buildings are anticipated to be three and/or four-story wood frame structures with concrete slab-on-grade. Column loads are unknown at this time, but are expected to be light to medium. Cut/fill grading operation is anticipated to achieve the desired grades.

2.0 FIELD EXPLORATION AND LABORATORY TESTING

2.1 Field Exploration

EGL preformed field subsurface exploration on May 3, 2023 with the aid of hand laborers equipped with hand tools. A total of three (3) 8-inch diameter hand auger borings were drilled to a maximum depth of 15 feet below the existing ground surface. Approximate locations of these borings are shown on the Site Plan, Figure 2. Upon completion of drilling and percolation testing, all borings were backfilled with onsite soil cuttings removed from excavations and tamped. The purpose of the excavation was to investigate the engineering characteristics of the onsite soils with respect to the proposed residential development.

EGL's field engineer, who continuously logged all borings, visually classified the soils in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System and supervised the drilling operations. Relatively undisturbed ring samples and bulk samples were collected during drilling for laboratory testing. Ring samples were taken at frequent intervals. The samples, taken by hand tools, were obtained by driving a split-tube ring sampler with successive blows of a 32-pound hammer dropping from a height of 48". Exploratory boring logs are presented in Appendix A.

2.2 Laboratory Testing

Representative samples were tested for the following parameters: in-situ moisture content and density, direct shear strength, consolidation, corrosion potential and expansion index. The



results of our laboratory testing along with a summary of the testing procedures are presented in Appendix B. In-situ moisture and density test results are provided on the boring logs (Appendix A).

2.3 Previous Investigation

Cal Land Engineering (2016) was the previous geotechnical engineering for the subject property. Cal Land Engineering performed the initial subsurficial exploration with two (2) 8"-diameter hollow stem auger borings to a maximum depth of 50.5 feet below existing grade. Copies of the site plan, exploratory logs and laboratory testing are attached in Appendix D, Previous Investigations. Approximate locations of the borings are presented on the Site Plan, Figure 2.

3.0 SUMMARY OF GEOTECHNICAL CONDITIONS

3.1 Soil Conditions

Our subsurface exploration and testing program revealed the existence of natural soil to the maximum explored depth of 15.0 feet. The onsite soils consist predominantly of dark yellowish brown to yellowish brown sandy clay (CL). In general, our borings encountered dark yellowish brown, slightly moist to very moist, and firm to stiff sandy clay (CL) to a depth of approximately 3.0 feet. Below this, our deep boring HB-2 encountered layers of dark yellowish brown to yellowish brown, slightly moist to very most, and very stiff to hard sandy clay (CL) to the maximum explored depth of 15.0 feet below the existing ground surface. Below 15' the borings by CalLand encountered silty sandy clay (CL) material to the depths explored, 50.5'. Based on Dibblee (2001), the site is underlain by uplifted remnants of alluvial sand and gravel, north of hill areas (Qoa; see Figure 3).

3.2 Groundwater

Static ground water levels were not encountered during our subsurface investigation to the maximum explored depth of 15 feet below the existing ground surface. However, perched water was encountered within our borings HB-2 and HB-3 at depths of approximately 8 feet and 10 feet, respectively during our subsurface investigation. Based on the historically high groundwater depth map prepared by CDMG Seismic Hazard Zone Report 024 the historic groundwater is approximately greater than 50 feet below ground surface at the subject site (High Ground Water Map El Monte Quadrangle). Groundwater is not expected to be a significant constraint during the near surface construction. However, groundwater may be a significant constraint during raining season when high perched water may occur.





Plate 1.2 Historically Highest Ground Water Contours and Borehole Log Data Locations, El Monte Quadrangle.

Borehole Site

- 30 - Depth to ground water in feet

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of our subsurface investigation, it is our opinion that the proposed construction is feasible from a geotechnical standpoint, provided the recommendations contained herein are incorporated in the design and construction. The following is a summary of the geotechnical design and construction factors that may affect the development of the site:

4.1 Seismicity

Our studies of regional and local seismicity indicate that there are no known active faults crossing the property. However, the site is located in a seismically active region and is subject to seismically induced ground shaking from nearby and distant faults, which is a characteristic of all Southern California communities.

4.2 Seismic Induced Hazards

Based on our review of the "Seismic Hazard Zones, El Monte Quadrangle" by California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, it is concluded that the site is located outside the mapped potential liquefaction areas. It is our understanding that a liquefaction study is not required by the city for the subject site.

4.3 Excavatability

Based on our subsurface investigation, excavation of the subsurface materials should be accomplished with conventional earthwork equipment.

4.4 Surficial Soil Removal and Recompaction

Based on our investigation, it is concluded that the existing surficial soils may not be suitable for structure support as they presently exist and will require remedial grading as discussed herein.

4.5 Groundwater

Static ground water levels were not encountered during our subsurface investigation to the maximum explored depth of 15 feet below the existing ground surface. However, perched water was encountered within our borings HB-2 and HB-3 at depths of approximately 8 feet and 10 feet, respectively during our subsurface investigation. Based on the historically high groundwater depth map prepared by CDMG Seismic Hazard Zone Report 024 the historic groundwater is approximately greater than 50 feet below ground surface at the subject site (High Ground Water

Map El Monte Quadrangle). Groundwater is not expected to be a significant constraint during the near surface construction. However, groundwater may be a significant constraint during raining season when high perched water may occur.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the subsurface conditions exposed during field investigation and laboratory testing program, it is recommended that the following recommendations be incorporated in the design and construction phases of the project.

5.1 Grading

5.1.1 Site Preparation

Prior to initiating grading operations, any existing vegetation, trash, debris, over-sized materials (greater than 6 inches), and other deleterious materials within construction areas should be removed from the subject site.

5.1.2 Surficial Soil Removals

No detailed grading plan was available at the time of preparing this report however, based on our field exploration and laboratory data obtained to date, it is recommended that the surficial soils be removed to a depth of at least 4 feet below existing grade or 2 feet below the bottom of the footing, whichever is deeper. The recommended removal should be extended at least 4 feet beyond proposed building lines. Existing near surface soils should also be removed at least one foot within proposed concrete slab and driveway areas. The construction areas should be excavated and then observed by a representative of this office to verify the soil conditions for any potential needs of removal of loose soils and replacement with compacted fill. This may also be necessary due to difference in expansion characteristics of foundation materials beneath a structure.

During the grading of the proposed building pads sandy import material (El < 20) should be used within the top 12 inches. EGL should provide inspections to verify import soils are non-expansive.

Locally deeper removals may be necessary to expose competent natural ground. The actual removal depths should be determined in the field as conditions are exposed. Visual inspection and/or testing may be used to define removal requirements.

5.1.3 Treatment of Removal Bottoms

Soils exposed within areas approved for fill placement should be scarified to a depth of 12 inches, conditioned to near optimum moisture content, then compacted in-place to minimum project standards.

5.1.4 Structural Backfill

The onsite soils may be used as compacted fill, provided they are free of organic materials and debris. During the grading sandy import material (EI < 20) should be used within the top 12 inches of the pads. Soils imported from off-site sources should be sandier than the onsite soils and should be approved by the Soil Engineer prior to transporting to the site. Fills should be placed in relatively thin lifts, brought to near optimum moisture content, then compacted to obtain at least 90 percent relative compaction based on laboratory standard ASTM D-1557-12.

5.2 Shallow Foundation Design

5.2.1 Bearing Value

An allowable bearing value of 1,800 pounds per square foot (psf) may be used for design of the footings placed at a depth of at least 18 inches below the lowest adjacent ground and founded on the new certified compacted fill. Single spread footings should be at least 24 inches square and continuous footings should be at least 12 inches wide. This bearing value may be increased by 200 psf for each additional foot of depth or width to a maximum value of 3500 psf. The above recommended value may be increased by one third (1/3) when considering short duration seismic or wind loads.

5.2.2 Settlement

Settlement of the footings placed as recommended and subject to no more than allowable loads is not expected to exceed 3/4 inch. Differential settlement between adjacent columns is not anticipated to exceed 1/2 inch for a span of 30 feet or less.

5.2.3 Lateral Pressures

Passive earth pressure may be computed as an equivalent fluid pressure of 300 pcf, with a maximum earth pressure of 2500 psf. An allowable coefficient of friction between soil and concrete of 0.35 may be used with the dead load forces. When combining passive pressure and frictional resistance, the passive pressure component should be reduced by one third (1/3).

Active earth pressure from horizontal backfill may be computed as an equivalent fluid weighting of 35 pounds per cubic foot for the design of cantilevered walls. Active earth pressure from 2:1 (H:V) backfill may be computed as an equivalent fluid weighting of 55 pcf for the design of cantilevered walls. For cantilever (unrestrained) walls greater than 6' with level backfill, an additional seismic lateral force of $13H^2$ plf should be applied at 0.37H from the base of the wall (H = retained height). Where the slope of the backfill is 2:1 or flatter, an additional seismic lateral force of $22H^2$ plf should be applied at 0.37H from the base of the wall (H = retained height). The above values assume free-draining conditions.

5.3 Foundation Construction

It is anticipated that the entire structure will be underlain by onsite soils of medium expansion potential. The following presented our recommendations for the foundation construction.

All footings should be founded at a minimum depth of 18 inches below the lowest adjacent ground surface and founded into new certified compacted fill. All continuous footings should have at least two No. 4 reinforcing bar placed both at the top and two No. 4 reinforcing bar placed at the bottom of the footings. A grade beam of at least 12 inches square, reinforced as recommended above for footings, should be utilized across the garage entrance. Base of the reinforced beam should be at the same elevation as the bottom of the adjoining footings.

5.4 Concrete Slab

Concrete slabs should be a minimum of 4 inches thick, underlain with 2 inches of sand and reinforced with a minimum of #3 rebar spaced at 18" on center each way, or its equivalent. All slab reinforcement should be supported to ensure proper positioning during placement of concrete. Garage slabs should be poured separately from footings. A positive separation should be maintained with expansive joint material to permit relative movement. Concrete slabs in moisture sensitive areas should be underlain with a vapor barrier consisting of a minimum of six-mil polyethylene membrane with all laps sealed. A minimum of two inches of sand should be placed over the membrane to aid in uniform curing of concrete.

5.5 Retaining Wall

Walls should be provided with subdrains to reduce the potential for the buildup of hydrostatic pressure. Backdrains could consist of free drainage materials (SE of 30 or greater) or CalTran Class 2 permeable materials immediately behind the wall and extending to within 18 inches of

the ground surface. A 4-inch diameter perforated pipe wrapped in gravel and geofabric should be installed at the base of the backdrain and sloped to discharge to a suitable collection facility or through weep holes. Alternatively, commercially available drainage fabric could be used. The fabric manufacturer's recommendations should be followed in the installation of the drainage fabric backdrain.

5.6 Temporary Excavation and Backfill of Utility Trench

All trench excavations should conform to CAL-OSHA and local safety codes. All utilities trench backfill should be brought to near optimum moisture content and then compacted to obtain a minimum relative compaction of 90 percent of ASTM D-1557-12. All temporary excavations should be observed by a field engineer of this office so as to evaluate the suitability of the excavation to the exposed soil conditions.

6.0 SEISMIC DESIGN

Based on our studies on seismicity, there are no known active faults crossing the property. However, the subject site is located in Southern California, which is a tectonically active area. The following CBC 2022 (Chapter 16) & ASCE 7-16 seismic related values may be used:

Site Classification: (ASCE, Table 20.3-1)	D
Spectral Response Accelerations (g):	
(CBC, Figure 1613.2.1 (1) 0.2-Second, S_{S}	1.958
(CBC, Figure 1613.2.1 (3)) 1-Second, S_1	0.705
Site Coefficient:	
(CBC, Table 1613.2.3 (1)) F _a	1.0
(CBC, Table 1613.2.3 (2)) F _v	1.7

Based on the U.S. Seismic Design Maps (SEAOC & OSHPD, 2022), the proposed structures may be designed to accommodate up to a site modified horizontal acceleration of 0.931g with 2% probability of being exceeded in 50 years. However, Project Structural Engineer should be aware of the information provided to determine if any additional structural strengthening is warranted.

7.0 CORROSION POTENTIAL

Chemical laboratory tests were conducted on the existing onsite near surface materials sampled during EGL's field investigation to aid in evaluation of soil corrosion potential and the attack on concrete by sulfate in the soils. The test results are presented in the Appendix B.

According to ACI 318-14 Table 19.3.1.1, a sulfate content of 0.002 percent by weight in soils is assigned to Class "S0" and the severity of exposure to sulfate for concrete placed in contact with the onsite soil is considered "Not Applicable". Based on the testing results and ACI 318-14 Table 19.3.2.1, it is concluded that there is no restriction on the type of cement ("No Type Restriction") to be used at the site; however EGL recommends that Type II cement be used.

Based on the minimum resistivity test results, the subsurface soils are corrosive to buried metal pipe. Any underground steel utilities should be blasted and given protective coating. Should additional protective measures be warranted, a corrosion specialist should be consulted.

8.0 INSPECTION

As a necessary requisite to the use of this report, the following inspection is recommended:

- Temporary excavations.
- Removal of surficial and unsuitable soils.
- Backfill placement and compaction.
- Utility trench backfill.
- Foundation excavation.

The geotechnical engineer should be notified at least 1 day in advance of the start of construction. A joint meeting between the City's Officials, client, the contractor and the geotechnical engineer is recommended prior to the start of construction to discuss specific procedures and scheduling.

9.0 DRAINAGE

Building pads should be properly drained toward the street away from the slope and structure via swales or area drains. Positive pad drainage shall be incorporated into the final plans. In no cases should water be allowed to pond within the site, impound against structures or flow in a concentrated and/or uncontrolled manner down the descending slope areas.

In order to evaluate the feasibility of an infiltration system, EGL has performed percolations test at the subject site based on the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works of *"Guidelines for Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting; Low Impact Development Stormwater Infiltration"* (GS200.2, 2021). The tests were performed within test boring HB-1 at a depth of 8 feet below existing ground surface. Approximate location of the test boring is shown on the Site Plan, Figure 2. The test procedures are described as following:

- 3"-diameter perforated pipe surrounded with gravel was placed in the test boring HB-1 so that caving would not occur during the percolation testing. The bottom of test boring was also covered with 2 inches of gravel.
- The test boring was filled with water to a depth of 44 inches for a one-hour presoak prior to conducting the percolation test on May 3, 2023.
- Actual percolation tests were performed on the same day, May 3, 2023. For the percolation test, a depth of minimum 40 inches of water was placed within the boring HB-1. The drops in the water level were recorded. For the first two tests water still remained within the boring after 30 minutes so the test time interval between readings used was 30 minutes.
- Once the time interval for the test was determined, the boring was filled with depths of 31.5 49.0 inches of water multiple times, and the drops in the water level were measured. This was repeated additional six (6) times until a stabilized rate was obtained. The last three measured drops were used to calculate the average design infiltration rate of the soil. Design infiltration rate calculations are presented in Appendix C.

Based on the results of our preliminary percolation test of the material, the minimum design infiltration rate is 0.08 in/hr, which falls below the minimum required rate of 0.3 in/hr. Reduction factors have been applied to our infiltration rate. Due to the high percentage of clay material encountered within test boring and percolation test results, it is EGL's opinion that an infiltration/detention basin within the natural soil is not feasible due to the very stiff/hard clayey material. Infiltration of rainwater into the ground is considered infeasible. An infiltration system using planter boxes or approved equivalent may be used. The planter boxes should be waterproofed and designed with an overflow to the street. It is our opinion that dispersal of on-site storm water runoff by planter box infiltration system is considered feasible from a geotechnical engineering standpoint.

10.0 111 STATEMENT

Based on our field investigation and the laboratory testing results, it is our opinion that the grading and proposed structures will be safe against hazard from landslide, settlement, or slippage and the proposed construction will have no adverse affect on the geologic stability of the adjacent properties provided our recommendations are followed.

11.0 REMARKS

The conclusions and recommendations contained herein are based on the findings and observations at the exploratory locations. However, soil materials may vary in characteristics between locations of the exploratory locations. If conditions are encountered during construction which appear to be different from those disclosed by the exploratory work, this office shall be notified so as to recommend the need for modifications. This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional engineering principles and practice. No warranty is expressed or implied. This report is subject to review by controlling public agencies having jurisdiction.

REFERENCES

- American Concrete Institute, (2014), "Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-14) and Commentary", Chapter 19: Durability Requirements, Sections 19.3.1: Exposure Categories and Classes & 19.3.2: Requirements for Concrete Mixtures; pages 317 to 323, Tables 19.3.1.1 and 19.3.2.1".
- ASCE, (2017), "ASCE/SEI 7-16, Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures; dated 05-31-2017, 889 pages; prepared and published by American Society of Civil Engineers.
- Cal Land Engineering Inc., (2016), "Report of Geotechnical Engineering Investigation, Proposed Residential Development, 338 – 408 South Alhambra Avenue, APN: 5259-004-036 & 037, Monterey Park, California", QCI Project No.: 16-023-095aGE, dated November 8, 2016.
- 4. CBC, (2022), "California Building Code: California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 2, Volume 2 of 2, California Building Standards Commission"; Section 1613 Earthquake Loads.
- CDMG, (1998), "Seismic Hazard Evaluation of the El Monte 7.5-minute Quadrangle, Los Angeles County, California"; prepared by California Division of Mines and Geology; update 2005, Seismic Hazard Zone Report 024 (SHZR 024); 59 pgs, 6 figs, 4 tables, 3 plates
- CDMG, (1998), "Seismic Hazard Evaluation of the Los Angeles 7.5-minute Quadrangle, Los Angeles County, California"; updated 2006; prepared by California Division of Mines and Geology; Seismic Hazard Zone Report 029; 59 pgs, 6 figs, 4 tables and 3 plates.
- 7. CDMG, (1999), "Seismic Hazard Zones of El Monte 7.5-minute Quadrangle, Los Angeles County, California"; prepared by California Division of Mines and Geology; Official Map; scale 1" = 2000'.
- CDMG, (1999), "Seismic Hazard Zones of Los Angeles 7.5-minute Quadrangle, Los Angeles County, California"; prepared by California Division of Mines and Geology; Official Map; scale 1" = 2000'
- EGL Associates, (2023), "Tentative Tract No.84188, 65-unit condo, 338 410 South Alhambra Avenue, Monterey Park, California;" Job No. 23-227-002; scale 1"=20'; Drawing 1of 3, T-1; dated 5/3/2023.
- Los Angeles County, (2021), "Guidelines For Design, Investigation, And Reporting Low Impact Development Stormwater Infiltration"; dated 06-30-2021; Administrative Manual GS200.1, prepared by County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works, Geotechnical and Materials Engineering Division, 33 pages; <u>http://dpw.lacounty.gov/gmed/permits/docs/policies/GS200.1.pdf</u>
- SEAOC & OSHPD, (2022), "Seismic Design Maps", prepared by Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC) and Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD), <u>http://www.seismicmaps.org</u>
- 12. US Department of Commerce, DM-7.2, (1982), "Foundation and Earth Structures Design Manual 7.2", Department of the Navy, Alexandria, VA, dated May 1982.
- 13. Yeats, Robert S., (2004) "Tectonics of the San Gabriel Basin and Surroundings, Southern California"; GSA Bulletin; September/October 2004; v.116; no. 9/10; p. 1158-1182

APPENDIX A FIELD INVESTIGATION

EGL preformed field subsurface exploration on May 3, 2023 with the aid of hand laborers equipped with hand tools. A total of three (3) 8-inch diameter hand auger borings were drilled to a maximum depth of 15 feet below the existing ground surface. Approximate locations of these borings are shown on the Site Plan, Figure 2. Upon completion of drilling and percolation testing, all borings were backfilled with onsite soil cuttings removed from excavations and tamped. The purpose of the excavation was to investigate the engineering characteristics of the onsite soils with respect to the proposed residential development.

EGL's field engineer, who continuously logged all borings, visually classified the soils in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System and supervised the drilling operations. Relatively undisturbed ring samples and bulk samples were collected during drilling for laboratory testing. Ring samples were taken at frequent intervals. The samples, taken by hand tools, were obtained by driving a split-tube ring sampler with successive blows of a 32-pound hammer dropping from a height of 48 inches.

Representative undisturbed samples of the subsurface soils were retained in a series of brass rings, each having an inside diameter of 2.42 inches and a height of 1.00 inch. All ring samples were transported to our laboratory. Bulk surface soil samples were also collected for additional classification and testing.

	EG	L					BORING LOG: HB-1	EXCAVATION SERVICE:	Hand Laborers
		~~~~	1011					DATE EXCAVATED:	05-03-2023
PROJE	ECIL	OCAI	ION:	338 - 4'	10 S. All	nambra	Avenue, Monterey Park		U5-U3-2U23
FGI				23-227	-002GE	00		SAMPLE METHOD	Split Tube
		JECI	NO.	23-221	-00266	2		FI EVATION	N/A
S: Standa	rd Pene	tration	Test		B: Bulk S	ample	R: Ring Sample	LOGGED BY:	KC
1	S	ample							
Depth (ft)	Bulk	Undisturbed	Blows Counts; 6"	USCS Symbol	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	Moisture (%)	Earth Ma	aterial Descriptions	
0 - - 2 - - 4 -	в	R	15	CL	105.8	13.4	@ 2.0' Sandy clay, dark brow stiff	n to dark yellowish brown, slig	htly moist, firm to
- 6 -		R	80	CL	115.6	16.1	@ 5.0' Sandy clay, dark yello	wish brown, slightly moist to n	noist, hard
- 8 -		R	80	CL	108.9	18.7	@ 7.0' Sandy clay, dark yelio	wish brown, moist, hard	
10 - 12 - 14 - 16 - 18 -							Total Depth = 8.0 feet No Caving; No Groundwater Boring Backfilled and Tampe Hammer Driving Weight = 30 Hammer Driving Height = 48	d After Percolation Test ) lbs. inches	
				1			BORING LOG: HB-2		Hand Tools Split Tube N/A KC
0 -		R	20	CL	102.1	20.8	@ 2.0' Sandy clay, dark yello	wish brown, very moist, stiff	
- 6 - 8 -	-	R	45	CL	101.5	20.9	@ 5.0' Sandy clay, dark yello	owish brown, very moist, very s	stiff
10 - 12 -	-	R	70	CL	108.7	19.3	@ 10.0' Sandy clay, brownis	h yellow to dark yellowish brow	wn, very moist, hard
14		В	-	CL	-	20.4	@ 10.0' Sandy clay, brownis	h yellow to dark yellowish brow	wn, very moist, hard
16 18 20	-						Total Depth = 15.0 feet No Caving; Perched Water ( Boring Backfilled and Tampe Hammer Driving Weight = 3	@ 8.0 feet ed 0 lbs.	
22	-						Hammer Driving Height = 48	3 inches	
11819	Goldr	ing Ro	oad, U	nit A, A	rcadia, (	Californ	ia 91006; Phone (626) 263-3588; E	E-mail: ryan@eglab.com	Plate: A - 1

0	EG	L					<b>BORING LOG: HB-3</b>	EXCAVATION SERVICE:	Hand Laborers
								DATE EXCAVATED:	05-03-2023
PROJE	ECT L	OCAT	ION:	<u> 338 - 4</u>	10 S. All	hambra	Avenue, Monterey Park,	DATE LOGGED:	05-03-2023
				Califorr	nia, 9175	55		EXCAVATION METHOD:	Hand Tools
EGI	L PRC	JECT	NO:	23-227	-002GE			SAMPLE METHOD:	Split Tube
								ELEVATION:	N/A
S: Standar	rd Pene	tration	Test		B: Bulk S	ample	R: Ring Sample	LOGGED BY:	KC
	S	ample	9						
Depth (ft)	Bulk	Undisturbed	Blows Counts; 6"	USCS Symbol	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	Moisture (%)	Earth Ma	aterial Descriptions	
0 -									
2 -		R	25	CL	105.6	18.5	@ 2.0' Sandy clay, dark brow	n, moist, stiff	
4 -		R	30	CL	110.2	18.3	@ 5.0' Sandy clay, yellowish very stiff	brown to brownish yellow, moi	st to very moist,
- 8 - - 10 - -		R	80	CL	107.2	20.3	@ 10.0' Sandy clay, brownish	n yellow, very moist, hard	
14 -							Total Depth = 10.0 feet No Caving; Perched Water @ Boring Backfilled and Tampe	2 10.0 feet d	
16 - - 18 -							Hammer Driving Weight = 30 Hammer Driving Height = 48	) lbs. inches	

# **APPENDIX B**

# LABORATORY TESTING

During the subsurface exploration, EGL personnel collected relatively undisturbed ring samples and bulk samples. The following tests were performed on selected soil samples:

#### **Moisture-Density**

The moisture content and dry unit weight were determined for each relatively undisturbed soil sample obtained in the test borings in accordance with ASTM D2937 standard. The results of these tests are shown on the boring logs in Appendix A.

#### **Shear Tests**

Shear tests were performed in a direct shear machine of strain-control type in accordance with ASTM D3080 standard. The rates of deformation were 0.025 inch per minute. Selected samples were sheared under varying confining loads in order to determine the Coulomb shear strength parameters: internal friction angle and cohesion. The shear test results are presented in the attached Figures.

#### **Consolidation Tests**

Consolidation tests were performed on selected undisturbed soil samples in accordance with ASTM D2435 standard. The consolidation apparatus is designed for a one-inch high soil filled brass ring. Loads are applied in several increments in a geometric progression and the resulting deformations are recorded at selected time intervals. Porous stones are placed in contact with the top and bottom of each specimen to permit addition and release of pore fluid. The samples were inundated with water at a load of one kilo-pounds per square foot (kips), and the test results are shown on the attached Figures.

#### **Corrosion Test**

Corrosion series of bulk sample was tested in accordance with Caltrans test methods. The series consist of Chloride Content, Sulfate Content, pH, and Minimum Resistivity tests. The methods used and test results are as follows:

Sample Location	рН	CT-412 Chloride (ppm)	CT-417 Sulfate (% by weight)	CT-643 Min. Resistivity (ohm-cm)
B-1 @ 0'-5'	7.32	125	0.002	1,500

#### **Expansion Index**

The Expansion Index was determined for the typical site material encountered in the borings. The laboratory standard used was ASTM D4829-95 and the test results are as follows:

Sample Location	Expansion Index	UBC Classification
Bulk B-1 @ 0-5'	63	Medium





11819 Goldring Road, Unit A, Arcadia, California 91006; Phone (626) 263-3588; Email ryan@eglab.com







# APPENDIX C INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS

#### PRESOAK AND PERCOLATION TEST: Boring HB-1: May 3, 2023 Test Boring Diameter and Depth: Test Boring HB-1: 8"-diameter & 8.0'-deep

PRESOAK: HB-1. May 3, 2023

Test Location	Boring Diameter (in)	Total Boring Depth (ft)	Initial Water Depth, d _i (in)	Drop, ∆d (in)	Time (hr:min) Start End	∆ Time (min)	Notes:
HB-1	8.0	8.0	44.0	4.0	9:55 10:25	30	
HB-1	8.0	8.0	40.0	3.0	10:25 10:55	30	

#### PERCOLATION TEST: HB-1. May 3, 2023

Test Location	Boring Diameter (in)	Total Boring Depth (ft)	Initial Water Depth, d _i (in)	Drop, ∆d (in)	Time (hr:min) Start End	∆ Time, ∆t ⁺ (min)	Measured Percolation Rate (in/hr)	Total Reduction Factor*	Design Infiltration Rate (in/hr)
HB-1	8.0	8.0	43.5	4.5	11:15 11:45	30	0.40	4.00	0.10
HB-1	8.0	8.0	39.0	4.5	11:45 12:15	30	0.44	4.00	0.11
HB-1	8.0	8.0	34.5	4.5	12:15 12:45	30	0.49	4.00	0.12
HB-1	8.0	8.0	46.0	4.0	12:45 1:15	30	0.33	4.00	0.08
HB-1	8.0	8.0	47.0	4.0	1:15 1:45	30	0.33	4.00	0.08
HB-1	8.0	8.0	49.0	4.0	1:45 2:15	30	0.31	4.00	0.08
HB-1	8.0	8.0	48.0	4.0	2:15 2:45	30	0.32	4.00	0.08
HB-1	8.0	8.0	48.0	4.0	2:45 3:15	30	0.32	4.00	0.08

### Average Design Infiltration Rate (in/hr): 0.08

Measured Percolation Rate =  $(60/\Delta t * Vol. of Hole Tested) / (Area of Boring Tested)$ Reduction Factor, RF, = 2

$$RF_v = 1$$

$$RF_s = 1$$

Site: 338 - 410 S. Alhambra Ave, Monterey Park Project No: 23-227-002

*Total Reduction Factor,  $RF = RF_t + RF_v + RF_s$ 

Design Infiltration Rate = Measured Percolation Rate/RF

# **APPENDIX D**

# **PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION**

Cal Land Engineering, Inc. 2016 (Site Plan, Boring logs and laboratory testing)



# Cal Land Engineering, Inc. dba Quartech Consultants

#### **BORING LOG B-1**

PROJECT LOCATION: 338-408 S. Alhambra Avenue, Monterey Park, California

DATE DRILLIED: <u>10-14-16</u> SAMPLE METHOD: <u>Hollow Stem</u> ELEVATION: <u>N/A</u> LOGGED BY: JL

PROJECT NO:	16-023-095
-------------	------------

	S	ample					B: Bulk Bag
ith (ft)	~	listurbed	ws/6"	CS Symbo	Unit Wt.	sture 6)	S: Standard Penetration Test R: Ring Sample
Dep	Bult	Dnd	Blov	USC	δ	Mois (%)	Description of Material
	В			CL		14.1	Sandy clay, medium to dark brown, moist, stiff. Percent of fine = 72.6%. LL=32, PL=20, PI=12.
-		R	5 7 7	CL	86.5	15.1	Sandy clay, medium brown, moist, stiff.
5 -		R	4 5 5	CL	103.1	16.6	Sandy clay, medium to dark brown, moist, medium firm. Percent of fine = 68.5% LL= 31, PL=18, PI=13.
10 -	В	R	10 15 22	CL CL	104.3	18.0 18.3	Sandy clay, tannish brown, moist to very moist, very stiff. Sandy clay, tannish brown, moist to very moist, very stiff. Percent of fine = 70.1%. LL=33, PL=20, PI=13.
	4	R	21 31 38	CL	105.6	16.2	Silty clay to sandy clay, tannish brown, moist to very moist, hard. Percet of fine= 83.8%. LL= 47, PL=23, PI=24.
20-		R	15 30 50/5	_ CL	106.9	17.6	Silty clay, reddish brown, moist, hard. Percet of fine= 88.2%. LL= 50, PL=24, PI=26.
 25		R	17 25 29	CL	105.5	22.0	Silty clay, reddish brown, very moist, hard. Percet of fine= 86.7%. LL= 49, PL=24, PI=25.
30-		R	15 28 33	CL	107.0	22.5	Silty clay, reddish brown, very moist, hard. Percet of fine= 90.2%. LL= 50, PL=26, PI=24.
35-	-	R	19 30 30	CL	104.8	22.1	Silty clay, reddish brown, very moist, hard. Percet of fine= 89.4%. LL= 49, PL=26, PI=23.
	<u>i</u>						PLATE A-1

Ca dba	l La a Qi	nd l uart	Engi ech	inee Con	ring, Ind sultant	C. S	BORING LOG B-1	
	PRC	DJEC.	T LOO	CATIO - <u>16-</u> (	N: <u>338-4</u> )23-095	08 S. Alha	ambra Avenue, Monterey Park, California	DATE DRILLIED: <u>10-14-16</u> SAMPLE METHOD: <u>Hollow Stem</u> ELEVATION: <u>N/A</u>
	Sa	ample	9				B: Bulk Bag	LOGGED BY:
		eq		Iodm	Şt.		S: Standard Penetration Test	
th (ft)		isturb	vs/6"	S Sy	cf) LUrit	sture ()	R: Ring Sample	
Dep	Bulk	Dnd	Blow	USC	Δ Δ Δ	Mois %)	Description	on of Material
40_		R	20 26 34	CL	105.0	21.7	Silty clay, reddish brown, very moist, hard. Percent of fine = 75.8%. LL=47, PL=27, PL	I=20
45-		R	19 38 50	ML	103.9	20.9	Silty clay, medium brown, very moist, hard Percent of fine = 80.8%. LL=46, PL=27, F	J. P!≃19
		R	50/5	ML	104.1	21.9	Silty clay, medium brown, very moist, hard Percent of fine = 78.9%. LL=44, PL=27, P	d. Y=17
							Total Depth: 50.5' Ha No groundwater Hole backfilled	ammer Driving Weight: 140 lbs ammer Driving Height: 30 inches
		·	1					PLATE A-2

Ca dba	l La a Qi	ind I uart	Eng ech	ineei Con	ring, In sultant	C. S	BORING LOG B-2		
	PRC	)JEC.	T LOO	CATIO : <u>16-(</u>	N: 338-4 )23-095	08 S. Alha	ambra Avenue, Monterey Park, California	DATE DRILLIED: <u>10-14-16</u> SAMPLE METHOD: <u>Hollow Stem</u> ELEVATION: <u>N/A</u>	
Depth (ft)	Bulk	Undisturbed	Blows/6"	USCS Symbol	Dry Unit Wt. (pcf)	Moisture (%)	B: Bulk Bag S: Standard Penetration Test R: Ring Sample Description	LOGGED BY: <u>JL</u>	
		R	6 6 8	CL	90.6	14.7	Sandy clay, medium brown, moist, stiff.		
5 -		S	5 5 6	CL		16.3	Sandy clay, medium to dark brown, moist,	stiff.	
		R	12 14 18	ML	100.2	17.8	Clayey silt, tannish brown, moist, very stiff.		
		S	17 19 24	CL		18.3	Sandy clay, medium to reddish brown, mo	pist to very moist, hard.	
20-		S	18 28 30	CL		19.1	Sandy to silty clay, medium brown, moist	to very very moist, hard.	
							Total Depth: 21.5' Ham No groundwater Ham Hole backfilled	imer Driving Weight: 140 lbs imer Driving Height: 30 inches	
-								PLATE A-3	



2000

15.1

33.4

11/16

(ASTM D3080)

FIGURE 3



			Cal Land Engineering, Inc.	Project Address:	
Vertical Loads (PSF)	Moisture Content Before Test(%)	Moisture Content After test (%)	GDA QUARTECH CONSULTANTS Geotechnical, Environmental & Civil Engineering Services	APN: 5259-004-036 & 037 338-408 South Alhambra Avenu Monterey Park, California	
500	18.3	22.3			
1000	18.3	22.0	DIRECT SHEAR (ASTM D3080)		
2000	18.3	21.5			
			11/16	FIGLIRE 3	



11/16

FIGURE 4




³³⁸⁻⁴⁰⁸ S. Alhambra Avenue Monterey Park, California

FIGURE 6

## **CONSOLIDATION** (ASTM D2435)

**Engineering Services** 

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



# Memo

To: Alex Lai, The Commons of MPK LLC

CC: Cameron Hile, MIG

From: Chris Dugan and William Deeman

Date: April 17, 2023

### SUBJECT: Noise and Vibration Analysis for South Alhambra Avenue Multi-Family Condominium Project, Monterey Park, CA

MIG, Inc. (MIG) has prepared this memorandum at the request of The Commons of MPK LLC. This memorandum estimates the potential noise and vibration levels for the proposed South Alhambra Avenue Multi-Family Condominium Project (proposed Project) and evaluates those noise and vibration levels against applicable standards established by the City. As explained in this memorandum, the proposed Project, with mitigation, would not generate temporary or permanent noise levels that would exceed the City's standards or otherwise result in a substantial increase in ambient noise levels, would not generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels, and would not expose people residing or working in the Project area to excessive aircraft noise levels.

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The proposed Project involves the construction of a 65-unit multifamily residential housing facility across three parcels in the eastern part of Monterey Park, California.

The approximately 1.73-acre Project site is located at 338-410 South Alhambra Avenue. The site currently contains 15 residential structures (14 habitable units), which would be demolished as part of the Project. This includes two (2) one-story residential units with garages totaling 1,516 square feet, 12 multi-family units totaling 9,976 square feet, and a single-family residential unit that is 1,600 square feet. The proposed Project would have a building footprint of approximately 45,067 square feet across both the central block and the western block of townhouses, consisting of the 44,177 square foot parking garage and an 890 square foot lobby. The next three stories would be for residential use. Levels two, three, and four would be approximately 34,551 square feet. The entire building, including the parking garage and lobby, would total approximately 148,578 square feet. There would be approximately 13,700 square foot soft ground courtyard. There would be 99 parking spaces in the parking garages. Refer to Attachment 1 for the Project's site plan (TAG Design Works, 2023)

The site is located on the east side of South Alhambra Avenue, between East Newmark Avenue and East Graves Avenue, at the eastern terminus of Peach Street in the City of Monterey Park. Interstate 10 (I-10) is approximately 0.9 miles to the north, I-710 is approximately 2.8 miles to the west, and State Route (SR) 60 is approximately 1.9 miles to the south. The nearest airport, Whittier Air Strip, is approximately 2.8 miles southeast of the Project site and the nearest school, Monterey Vista Elementary School, is approximately 0.4 miles southeast of the Project site. The site is bound on the north by single-family residential uses, on the east and south by multi-family residential uses, and on the west by South Alhambra Avenue. Single-family residential uses are located across South Alhambra Avenue.

The proposed Project would involve demolition of existing buildings, site preparation, grading, including soil excavation for the underground parking garage, new building construction, paving, and architectural coating. Construction is expected to begin as soon as July 2023 and last approximately 19 months. The proposed Project's construction schedule and anticipated equipment usage is listed in Table 1, *Project Construction Activities*.

Table 1: Project Construction Activities										
Phase	Duration (Working Days)	Typical Equipment Used								
Demolition	10	Concrete/Industrial Saw, Dozer, Backhoe								
Site Preparation	5	Grader, Dozer, Backhoe								
Grading	20	Excavator, Grader, Dozer, Backhoe								
Trenching	10	Trencher								
Building Construction (Foundation)	30	Crane, Forklift, Generator, Backhoe, Welder								
Building Construction (Vertical)	360	Crane, Forklift, Generator, Backhoe, Welder								
Paving	10	Cement and Mortar Mixer, Paver, Roller, Paving Equipment, Backhoe								
Architectural Coating	10	Air Compressor								

The Project is expected to be operational in 2025. Once operational, the proposed Project would operate as a residential land use, similar to the existing residential uses in the area.

The following sections describe the ambient noise environment near the proposed Project and evaluate the proposed Project's potential to impact the existing noise environment near the Project. Please refer to Attachment 2 for background information on environmental noise and vibration, including commonly used terminology.

## EXISTING NOISE ENVIRONMENT

The proposed Project is located in eastern Monterey Park, in an area classified and designated as High Density Residential by the City's Zoning Code and by the Land Use and Urban Design Element of the City's General Plan. The City's General Plan identifies street and freeway traffic and aircraft overflights as the dominant noise sources in the City, with lawnmowers, children at play, and dogs barking specifically contributing to residential noise (City of Monterey Park, 2022).

Existing ambient noise levels in the Project area were measured in August 2018 (MIG, 2018; see Attachment 3). Noise levels were measured with one Larson Davis Model LxT, Type 1, sound level meter. The meter's receiving microphone was set at a high of roughly five feet above ground level to approximate a human receptor. Noise monitoring was conducted in tenminute intervals. Conditions during the monitoring were mostly sunny with temperatures ranging from high 90s to 100s, with calm winds (0-5 mph).One short-term measurement was conducted to provide typical ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the Project area, provide direct observations of existing noise sources at and in the vicinity of the Project area, and evaluate Project noise levels at nearby sensitive receptors. The ambient noise monitoring location was within the Project site on a private driveway in the western portion of the Project site, approximately 50 feet from the centerline of South Alhambra Avenue.

Based on observations made during the ambient noise monitoring, the existing noise environment in the Project vicinity consists primarily of vehicles on South Alhambra Avenue, overhead air traffic, and residential noises such as leaf blowers and pedestrians. Table 2, *Existing Ambient Noise Levels (dBA)*, summarizes the results of the ambient noise monitoring.

Table 2: Existing Ambient Noise Levels (dBA)										
Monitoring Start Time	Leq	Lmin	Lmax	L (50)						
2:00 PM	59.2	36.9	81.4	51.2						
3:00 PM	57.2	38.9	75.1	52.4						
4:00 PM	58.0	39.3	78.7	51.4						
5:00 PM	56.8	38.9	75.0	51.4						
6:00 PM	55.6	40.0	70.0	50.3						
2:00 pm – 7:00 pm; Monitoring Average	57.5	36.9	75.0	51.4						
Source: MIG, 2018 (See Attachment 3)										

Although ambient noise data was measured in 2018, the data is still considered representative of conditions in Spring 2023 because the proposed Project is situated in a residential area, away from major transportation corridors, and has not experienced substantial changes in land uses. Thus, it is unlikely that substantial changes to ambient noise levels near the Project site have occurred since 2018.

The Project site is not located within any airport planning boundaries. The closest public or private airport facility, Whitter Air Strip, is located approximately 2.8 miles southeast of the Project site.

## NOISE AND VIBRATION ANALYSIS

The proposed Project would generate noise during construction and operation of the proposed facilities. The following analysis evaluates if the Project would:

- Generate a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the Project in excess of the standards established in:
  - City of Monterey Park Municipal Code (MPMC) Section 4.50.080 (Sound Level Limits – Established) or Section 4.50.100 (Sound Level Limits – Exceptions); or
  - The City of Monterey Park General Plan; or
- Generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels; or
- Expose people residing or working in the Project area to excessive airport-related noise levels.

With regard to item a), the City's Municipal Code and General Plan Safety Element establish the following standards applicable to construction noise, operational noise, and noise/land use compatibility.

- *Construction Noise*: Municipal Code Section 4.50.100 exempts construction activity from noise regulations between the hours of 7:00 AM and 7:00 PM on Monday through Friday, and the hours of 9:00 AM and 6:00 PM on Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.
- *Operational Noise:* Municipal Code Section 4.50.080 establishes non-transportation noise source standards for noise-receiving land uses. These standards provide restrictions on the amount and duration of noise generated at a property, as measured

at the property line of the noise receiver. The Municipal Code prohibits noise generation exceeding the measured ambient noise level or the Code's presumed ambient noise levels for different receiving land use types, whichever is greater. The allowable ambient noise level for a residential land use, as set by the City's Municipal Code, are as follows:

- Daytime (7:00 AM 10:00 PM): 55 dBA L_{eq}
- Nighttime (10:00 PM 7:00 AM): 50 dBA L_{eq}

Municipal Code Section 4.50.090 adjusts these standards for noise disturbances containing a steady, audible tone, such as a whine, screech, beating, pulsating, throbbing, or hum by reducing the noise level limit by five decibels. This requirement would not apply to the proposed Project because it does not involve impulsive or steady-tone noise sources.

• *Noise/Land Use Compatibility*: The City's General Plan Safety Element establishes a noise land use compatibility goal for residential uses of 65 CNEL.

#### Increases in Ambient Noise Levels in Excess of Applicable Standards

#### **Project Construction**

The proposed Project involves construction activities including demolition, site preparation, grading, building construction, paving and architectural coating in an existing residential area of the City. Construction activities are anticipated to begin July 2023 and may last approximately 19 months in total. In general, construction activities would involve the use of worker vehicles, delivery trucks, dump trucks, and heavy-duty construction equipment such as (but not limited to) backhoes, tractors, loaders, graders, excavators, rollers, cranes, material lifts, generators, and air compressors. These types of construction activities would generate noise and vibration from the following sources:

- Heavy equipment operations at different work areas. Some heavy equipment would consist of mobile equipment such as a loader and excavator that would move around work areas; other equipment would consist of stationary equipment (e.g., cranes or material hoists/lifts) that would generally operate in a fixed location until work activities are complete. Heavy equipment generates noise from engine operation, mechanical systems, and components (e.g., fans, gears, propulsion of wheels or tracks), and other sources such as back-up alarms. Mobile equipment generally operates at different loads, or power outputs, and produces higher or lower noise levels depending on the operating load. Stationary equipment generally operates at a steady power output that produces a constant noise level.
- Vehicle trips, including worker, vendor, and haul truck trips. These trips would occur on South Alhambra Avenue and other local roads used to access the site.

Typical construction equipment noise levels at different distances are shown in Table 3, *Potential Project Construction Equipment Noise* Levels.

Table 3: Potential Project Construction Equipment Noise Levels											
	Noise	<b>D</b>	Predicted Equipment Noise Levels (L _{eq} ) ^(C)								
Typical Equipment	Level at 50 feet (L _{max} ) ^(A)	Percent Usage Factor ^(B)	25 Feet	50 Feet	75 Feet	100 Feet	150 Feet	200 Feet	250 Feet		
Bulldozer	85	40	87	81	77	75	71	69	67		
Backhoe	80	40	82	76	72	70	66	64	62		
Compact Roller	80	20	79	73	69	67	63	61	59		
Concrete mixer	85	40	87	81	77	75	71	69	67		
Crane	85	16	83	77	74	71	67	65	63		
Excavator	85	40	87	81	77	75	71	69	67		
Generator	82	50	85	79	75	73	69	67	65		
Pneumatic tools	85	50	88	82	78	76	72	70	68		
Scraper	85	40	87	81	77	75	71	69	67		
Delivery Truck	85	40	86	81	77	75	71	69	67		

Sources: Caltrans, 2013 and FHWA, 2010.

(A)  $L_{\text{max}}$  noise levels based on manufacturer's specifications.

(B) Usage factor refers to the amount (percent) of time the equipment produces noise over the time period

(C) Estimate does not account for any atmospheric or ground attenuation factors. Calculated noise levels based on Caltrans, 2013: Leq (hourly) = Lmax at 50 feet – 20log (D/50) + 10log (UF), where: Lmax = reference Lmax from manufacturer or other source; D = distance of interest; UF = usage fraction or fraction of time period of interest equipment is in use.

With regard to construction noise, demolition, site preparation, and grading phases typically result in the highest temporary noise levels due to the use of heavy-duty equipment such as dozers, excavators, graders, loaders, scrapers, and trucks. Construction noise impacts generally occur when construction activities occur in areas immediately adjoining noise sensitive land uses, during noise sensitive times of the day, or when construction durations last over extended periods of time.

Construction activities associated with the proposed Project would last approximately 19 months. Construction activities would occur in in close proximity to adjacent residential properties. As shown in , worst case hourly  $L_{eq}$  and  $L_{max}$  construction equipment noise levels are predicted to be approximately 82 dBA  $L_{eq}$  and 85 dBA dBA  $L_{max}$ , respectively, at 50 feet; however, the magnitude of the Project's temporary and periodic increase in ambient noise levels would depend on the nature of the construction activity (i.e., demolition, building construction, grading) and the distance between the construction activity and sensitive receptors/outdoor use areas. Sensitive residential receptors could be within 25 feet of work areas for short periods of time (e.g., site grading along the property boundary), at which distance construction equipment may reach 88 dBA  $L_{eq}$ . Project construction in the middle of the site would be at least 100 feet from sensitive receptors to the north, east, and south. At this distance (100 feet), equipment could reach 76 dBA  $L_{eq}$ . The concurrent operation of a dozer, backhoe, and delivery truck at the same time and in the same general area could produce a combined noise level of approximately 80 dBA  $L_{eq}$  on a short-term basis (less than an hour) at 100 feet.

Although Project construction may temporarily increase noise levels near the site, it is not anticipated to result in physical harm (e.g., temporary or permanent hearing loss or damage) to any sensitive noise receptor because receptors would not be continuously exposed to elevated

construction noise levels (i.e., noise levels would return to ambient conditions when construction ceases for the day) and the construction noise levels presented above are exterior noise levels, whereas receptors would be likely to be inside buildings. Typical residential and commercial construction in California typically provides at least 12 dBA of exterior to interior noise attenuation with windows open and 20 dBA of exterior to interior noise attenuation with windows closed¹. Physiological effects occur when the human ear is subjected to prolonged exposure to high noise environments. For example, to protect workers from noise-induced hearing loss, the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) limits worker noise exposure to 90 dBA as averaged over an 8-hour time period (29 CFR 1910.95). Similarly, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) recommends workers limit noise exposure to no more than 85 dBA over an 8-hour period to protect against noise-induced hearing loss (NIOSH, 1998). As shown in Table 3, potential worst-case hourly noise level estimates for any single piece of equipment would be approximately 88 dBA Leg at 25 feet and 76 dBA Leg at 100 feet. Although hourly construction noise levels may approach 88 dBA Leg for one or two hours, such noise levels would not be sustained over an 8-hour period (due to movement of equipment and changes in operations that occur during daily construction activities). Therefore, at worst-case, noise from construction activities may pose a temporary interference or annoyance effect on nearby sensitive receptors but would not result in adverse physiological effects on human receptors in the surrounding area.

The City's Municipal Code (Section 4.50.100) limits construction activities to the hours of 7 AM to 6 PM, Monday to Friday, and 9 AM to 6 PM on Saturday, Sunday, and holidays; however, the neither the City's General Plan or Municipal Code establish a specific numeric noise standard (e.g., 90 dBA  $L_{eq}$ ) for construction noise levels. As discussed above, the Project's potential construction noise levels would range from approximately 76 dBA  $L_{eq}$  to 88 dBA  $L_{eq}$  depending on the specific equipment in use and the distance between the equipment and adjacent residential properties. These noise levels would be approximately 16 dB to 30 dB above the existing ambient noise levels measured at the Project site (see Table 2). Although the City does not maintain a specific construction noise level standard, a temporary increase in noise levels of 16 dB to 30 dB would represent more than a quadrupling in loudness during peak noise generating activities. To reduce the potential for construction activities to result in a substantial temporary increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the Project site, and to reduce effects on adjacent residential receptors, MIG recommends Mitigation Measure NOI-1 be incorporated into the Project:

#### Mitigation Measure NOI-1: Reduce Potential Project Construction Noise Levels

To reduce potential noise levels from Project construction activities, the Applicant shall:

 Notify Residential Land Uses of Planned Construction Activities. This notice shall be provided at least two (2) weeks prior to the start of any construction activities, describe the noise control measures to be implemented by the Project, and include the name and phone number of the designated contact for the Applicant/Project representative and the City of Monterey Park responsible for handling constructionrelated noise complaints (per #5 below). This notice shall be provided to the

¹ The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Noise Guidebook and supplement (2009a, 2009b) includes information on noise attenuation provided by building materials and different construction techniques. As a reference, a standard exterior wall consisting of 5/8-inch siding, wall sheathing, fiberglass insulation, two by four wall studs on 16-inch centers, and 1/2-inch gypsum wall board with single strength windows provides approximately 35 dBs of attenuation between exterior and interior noise levels, provided windows do not occupy more than 30% of the exterior wall space.

owner/occupants of residential dwelling units within 500 feet of construction work areas.

- 2) Restrict Work Hours: All construction-related work activities, including material deliveries, shall be subject to the requirements of City Municipal Code Section 4.50.100. Construction activities, including deliveries, shall occur only during the hours of 7 AM to 7 PM Monday to Friday and 9 AM to 6 PM on Saturday, Sunday, and holidays. The Applicant/Project representative and/or its contractor shall post a sign at all entrances to the construction site information contractors, subcontractors, other workers, etc. of this requirement.
- 3) *Construction Equipment Selection, Use, and Noise Control Measures*: The following measures shall apply to construction equipment used at the Project site:
  - a. Contractors shall use the smallest size equipment capable of safely completing work activities.
  - b. Construction staging shall occur as far away from residential land uses as possible given site and active work constraints.
  - c. Electric hook-ups shall be provided for stationary equipment (e.g., pumps, compressors, welding sets). If it is not feasible to provide an electric hook-up, the Applicant shall ensure mitigation measures 3a and 3d are implemented.
  - d. All stationary noise generating equipment shall be shielded and located as far as possible from residential land uses given site and active work constraints. Shielding may consist of existing vacant structures or a three-or four-sided enclosure provided the structure/enclosure breaks the line of sight between the equipment and the receptor and provides for proper ventilation and equipment operation.
  - e. Heavy equipment engines shall be equipped with standard noise suppression devices such as mufflers, engine covers, and engine/mechanical isolators, mounts, and be maintained in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations during active construction activities.
  - f. Pneumatic tools shall include a suppression device on the compressed air exhaust.
  - g. No radios or other amplified sound devices shall be audible beyond the property line of the construction site.
- 4) *Implement Construction Activity Noise Control Measures:* The following measures shall apply to Project construction activities:
  - a. Demolition: Activities shall be sequenced to take advantage of existing shielding/noise reduction provided by existing buildings or parts of buildings and methods that minimize noise and vibration, such as sawing concrete blocks, prohibiting on-site hydraulic breakers, crushing or other pulverization activities, shall be employed during Project construction.
  - b. Demolition, Site Preparation, Grading, and Foundation Work: During all demolition, site preparation, grading, and structure foundation work activities, a physical noise barrier shall be installed and maintained around the site perimeter to the maximum extent feasible given site constraints and access requirements. The noise barrier shall extend to a height of eight (8) feet above grade. Potential barrier options capable of reducing construction noise levels could include, but are not limited to:
    - i. A concrete, wood, or other barrier installed at-grade (or mounted to structures located at-grade, such as a K-Rail), and consisting of a solid material (i.e., free of openings or gaps other than weep holes) that has a minimum rated transmission loss value of 20 dB.

- ii. Commercially available acoustic panels or other products such as acoustic barrier blankets that have a minimum sound transmission class (STC) or transmission loss value of 20 dB.
- iii. Any combination of noise barriers and commercial products capable of achieving required construction noise reductions during demolition, site preparation, grading, and structure foundation work activities.
- iv. The noise barrier may be removed following the completion of building foundation work (i.e., it is not necessary once framing and typical vertical building construction begins provided no other grading, foundation, etc. work is still occurring on-site).
- 5) *Prepare a Construction Noise Complaint Plan:* The Applicant shall prepare a Construction Noise Complaint Plan that shall:
  - a. Identify the name and/or title and contact information (including phone number and email) for a designated Project and City representative responsible for addressing construction-related noise issues.
  - b. Includes procedures describing how the designated Project representative will receive, respond, and resolve construction noise complaints.
  - c. At a minimum, upon receipt of a noise complaint, the Project representative shall notify the City contact, identify the noise source generating the complaint, determine the cause of the complaint, and take steps to resolve the complaint.

Mitigation Measure NOI-1 would provide advanced notice of construction activities to surrounding residential properties, limit construction hours per City Municipal Code requirements, limit noise from stationary and other construction equipment, and reduce temporary construction noise impacts by a minimum of 5 to 10 dBs. The proposed Project would comply with the City's applicable construction noise control provisions and implement other mitigation measures to reduce the potential for Project construction activities to result in a substantial temporary increase in ambient noise levels. With Mitigation Measure NOI-1, temporary construction noise levels would be rendered a less-than-significant impact.

#### Project Operation (On-Site Noise Sources)

The Project site and surrounding properties to the north, east, and south are all designated High Density Residential (R-3) by the City's zoning code; properties to the west, across South Alhambra Avenue, are all designated as Medium Density Residential (R-2) by the City's zoning code. Municipal Code Section 4.50.080 establishes the maximum permissible noise level that may intrude into adjacent property lines. The code establishes maximum permissible noise levels for residential land uses of 55 dBA L_{eq} for daytime hours (7:00 AM to 10:00 PM) and 50 dBA L_{eq} for nighttime hours (10:00 PM – 7:00 AM). The existing daytime ambient noise levels at the Project site ranged from 55.6 to 59.2 dBA L_{eq}, which is above the City's permissible daytime noise levels (55 dBA L_{eq}). Nighttime (10 PM to 7 AM) noise levels are typically 5 to 10 dBA less due to reduced traffic volumes on adjacent roadways and less exterior neighborhood activity (e.g., less lawn maintenance, outdoor recreation) and thus are assumed to be below the City's permissible nighttime noise level (50 dBA L_{eq}).

The existing residential land uses at and near the site generate noise from vehicle parking activities, waste collection activities, landscaping activities, stationary heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) equipment, and other residential activities (e.g., building maintenance). The proposed Project would involve similar noise generating sources and activities as the existing land uses; however, the amount of mechanical equipment and the intensity of parking would be greater than existing land uses at the site. Although the proposed Project could increase the amount of noise sources and noise-generating activities compared to existing

conditions, the Project would have a limited potential to generate significant on-site noise levels. In general, residential land uses (including the proposed multi-family condominium land uses) are not a substantial noise-generating land use because they do not involve substantial noisegenerating activities during the nighttime, mechanical equipment associated with elevators, residential amenities, and other building systems are typically enclosed within closets, sheds, and/or equipment rooms, and HVAC equipment is typically screened from public view by landscaping, fences, or walls and, therefore, shielded from adjacent property lines.

Once constructed, the proposed Project's primary on-site noise generating activities will be parking, human activity, and HVAC equipment. The site design generally places most parking activities underground, with the housing units situated around the eastern, western, and southern perimeter of the site. This design shields parking and other interior site noise (e.g., use of the site's courtyard) from adjacent residential properties. Individual condominium balconies would face the perimeter of the site. Use of the balconies would result in human speech, laughter, and other sounds near property lines; however, in a quiet setting the average normal voice level is approximately 55 dBA and balcony use would not generate sustained noise levels above 50 dBA  $L_{eq}$  at any adjacent property line.

The Project's small rooftop HVAC units would be rated to condition individual condominium spaces that would be approximately 650 to 2,100 square feet in size. Small, individual residential HVAC units can produce a noise level up to 75 dBA at a distance of 3 feet. Based on distance attenuation, uncontrolled HVAC noise levels would reach 50 dBA at a distance of 54 feet. The roof plans for the proposed Project indicate HVAC equipment would be located closer than 54 feet from adjacent property lines (see attachment 01); individual units would be set back at least 30 feet from the southern property line (55 dBA uncontrolled HVAC noise level), 40 feet from the western property line (52.5 dBA uncontrolled HVAC noise level), 50 feet from the eastern property line (50.6 dBA uncontrolled HVAC noise level), and 55 feet from the northern property line (49.7 dBA uncontrolled HVAC noise level). Although some HVAC units could be closer than 54 feet from adjacent property lines, the units would be located approximately 41 feet above grade and fully screened and concealed behind a four-foot-tall parapet that would direct the sound upwards, increasing the distance the soundwave must travel to receptor locations and attenuating HVAC noise levels by at least 5 dBA. In addition, HVAC equipment does not operate continuously and would not affect ambient noise levels when the equipment is not in use. For these reasons, potential HVAC equipment would not generate noise levels in excess of the City's 50 dBA Leg nighttime noise standard at any shared residential property line, or otherwise result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the Project.

For the reasons outlined above, the proposed Project would not generate on-site noise levels that exceed City standards or otherwise result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the Project. This impact would be less than significant.

#### Project Operation (Off-Site Vehicle Trip Noise)

The Transportation Study Screening Analysis prepared for the proposed Project identifies that the proposed Project is estimated to result in a net increase of 331 daily vehicle trips (Ganddini Group, 2023). In general, it takes a doubling of traffic to increase traffic noise volumes by 3 dBA (Caltrans, 2013). Although the current average daily traffic volume on South Alhambra Avenue is not known, the area surrounding the Project site is developed with residential land uses and traffic volumes on South Alhambra Avenue and other roadways used to access the Project site are assumed to be at least 1,000 vehicle trips per day. The addition of 308 passenger cars to the roadway system would not result in a doubling of traffic on any roadway segment at or in the vicinity of the Project site and, therefore, would result in a less than 3 dBA increase in noise

levels on local roads used to access the Project site. The proposed Project, therefore, would not result in a substantial, permanent increase in noise levels along the roadways used to access the proposed Project as compared to existing or future conditions. This impact would be less than significant.

### Project Operation (Consistency with General Plan Policies)

The City's General Plan Safety Element includes goals and policies that minimize the impact of construction and point noise sources throughout the City. For example, General Plan Safety and Community Services Element Policy 12.1 requires the City to continue to enforce its noise ordinance to control point source noise and Policy 12.2 requires the City to incorporate noise impact considerations into the development review process, ensuring City standards are addressed during Project design and development. In addition, Policy 12.3 specifically requires new multi-family residential developments to incorporate design features to minimize intrusion of ambient noise into private and common outdoor spaces. Finally, Policy 12.4 requires the City to enforce and city ordinances regulating hours of construction activity. The proposed Project would be consistent with these General Plan policies because it would not result in on- or off-site noise levels that exceed Municipal Code requirements for residential land uses and would comply with the Municipal Code's permissible construction work periods.

#### Other Planning Considerations (Noise / Land Use Compatibility)

The California Supreme Court in *California Building Industry Association v. Bay Area Air Quality Management District*, 62 Cal.4th 369 (2015) ruled that CEQA review is focused on a project's impact on the environment "and not the environment's impact on the project." Per this ruling, a Lead Agency is not required to analyze how existing conditions might impact a project's existing or future population except where specifically required by CEQA; however, a Lead Agency may elect to disclose information relevant to a project even if it not is considered an impact under CEQA. Furthermore, the City's General Plan sets noise standards for receiving land uses which require evaluation for consistency and compliance even if such evaluation is not required by CEQA to be identified as a physical impact of a project.

The City's General Plan Safety Element establishes a noise and land use compatibility goal for residential uses of 65 CNEL. Noise monitoring conducted at the Project site in 2018 (see Table 2) indicates daytime hourly ambient noise levels at the site ranged from approximately 55 to 59 dBA L_{eq}. These daytime noise levels are less than 60 dBA. Daily noise exposure at the Project is, therefore, considered to be within the City's noise and land use compatibility goal of 65 CNEL. In addition, interior noise exposure would be less than 45 CNEL with windows closed and use of the Project's HVAC system. Therefore, the proposed Project is considered compatible with the exterior ambient noise environment in the Project area and no exterior or interior noise design features are required for the Project.

#### **Groundborne Vibration**

Vibration is the movement of particles within a medium or object such as the ground or a building. Vibration sources are usually characterized as continuous, such as factory machinery, or transient, such as explosions. As is the case with airborne sound, groundborne vibrations may be described by amplitude and frequency; however, unlike airborne sound, there is no standard way of measuring and reporting amplitude. Vibration amplitudes can be expressed in terms of velocity (inches per second) or discussed in dB units in order to compress the range of numbers required to describe vibration. Vibration impacts to buildings are usually discussed in terms of peak particle velocity (PPV) in inches per second (in/sec). PPV represents the maximum instantaneous positive or negative peak of a vibration signal and is most appropriate for evaluating the potential for building damage. Vibration can impact people, structures, and

sensitive equipment. The primary concern related to vibration and people is the potential to annoy those working and residing in the area. Vibration with high enough amplitudes can damage structures (such as crack plaster or destroy windows). Groundborne vibration can also disrupt the use of sensitive medical and scientific instruments, such as electron microscopes. Groundborne noise is noise generated by vibrating building surfaces such as floors, walls, and ceilings that radiate noise inside buildings subjected to an external source of vibration. The vibration level, the acoustic radiation of the vibrating element, and the acoustical absorption of the room are all factors that affect potential groundborne noise generation.

Caltrans' Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual provides a summary of vibration human responses and structural damage criteria that have been reported by researchers, organizations, and governmental agencies (Caltrans, 2020). These thresholds are summarized in Table 4, *Caltrans' Vibration Threshold Criteria for Building Damage*, and Table 5, *Caltrans' Vibration Threshold Criteria for Human Response*.

Table 4: Caltrans' Vibration Threshold Criteria for Building Damage							
Structural Integrity	Maximum PPV (in/sec)						
Structural integrity	Transient	Continuous					
Historic and some older buildings	0.50	0.12 to 0.2					
Older residential structures	0.50	0.30					
New residential structures	1.00	0.50					
Modern industrial and commercial structures	2.00	0.50					
Source: Caltrans, 2020							

Table 5: Caltrans' Vibration Threshold Criteria for Human Response								
Human Baananaa	Maximum PPV (in/sec)							
numan Response	Transient	Continuous						
Slightly perceptible	0.035	0.012						
Distinctly perceptible	0.24	0.035						
Strongly perceptible	0.90	0.10						
Severe/Disturbing	2.0	0.7 (at 2 Hz) to 0.17 (at 20 Hz)						
Very disturbing		3.6 (at 2 Hz) to 0.4 (at 20 Hz)						
Source: Caltrans, 2020								

Construction activities have the potential to result in varying degrees of ground vibration, depending on the specific construction equipment used and activities involved. Vibration generated by construction equipment spreads through the ground and diminishes with increases in distance. The effects of ground vibration may be imperceptible at low levels, result in low rumbling sounds and detectable vibrations at moderate levels, and can disturb human activities such as sleep and vibration sensitive equipment at high levels. Ground vibration can also potentially damage the foundations and exteriors of existing structures even if it does not result in a negative human response. Pile drivers and other pieces of high impact construction equipment are generally the primary cause of construction-related vibration impacts. The use of such equipment is generally limited to sites where there are extensive layers of very hard materials (e.g., compacted soils, bedrock) that must be loosened and/or penetrated to achieve grading and foundation design requirements. The need for such methods is usually determined

through site-specific geotechnical investigations that identify the subsurface materials within the grading envelope, along with foundation design recommendations and the construction methods needed to safely permit development of a site. Pile driving equipment is not anticipated to be required at the proposed Project site.

Construction vibration impacts generally occur when construction activities occur in close proximity to buildings and vibration-sensitive areas, during evening or nighttime hours, or when construction activities last extended periods of time. Although potential heavy equipment operations at the site for all demolition, site preparation, grading, and paving activities would not last more than approximately 45 days, construction activities would occur in close proximity to adjacent residential properties. The ground-borne vibration levels generated by the type of equipment that would be used to construct the proposed Project are shown in Table 6, *Potential Project Construction Vibration Levels*.

Table 6: Potential Project Construction Vibration Levels										
Fauliament	Peak Particle Velocity (in/sec) ^(A)									
Equipment	25 feet	50 feet	100 feet	200 feet						
Small bulldozer	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.000						
Jackhammer	0.035	0.016	0.008	0.004						
Loaded truck	0.076	0.035	0.017	0.008						
Large bulldozer	0.089	0.042	0.019	0.009						
Vibratory Roller	0.21	0.098	0.046	0.021						

Sources: Caltrans, 2020 and FTA, 2018

(A) Estimated PPV calculated as: PPV(D)=PPV(ref)*(25/D)^1.1 where PPV(D)= Estimated PPV at distance; PPVref= Reference PPV at 25 ft; D= Distance from equipment to receiver; and n= ground attenuation rate (1.1 for dense compacted hard soils).

As shown in Table 6, the vibration levels associated with typical construction equipment are dependent on the type of equipment used. For structural damage, the use of typical equipment during construction activities (e.g., bulldozer, jack hammer, trucks etc.) would produce PPV levels up to 0.098 in/sec at 50 feet. These PPV values are well below Caltrans' guidelines standards for potential structural damage for the types of buildings in and adjacent to the Plan Area, which consist of modern residential structures (0.5 PPV for continuous vibration sources; see Table 4). For human annoyance and interference responses, the use of typical equipment (e.g., bulldozer, jack hammer, trucks, etc.) during construction could produce vibration levels near the Project site (within 50 feet) that exceed Caltrans' perceptible vibration detection threshold (0.012 PPV, see Table 5). Specific vibration-generating equipment, such as vibratory rollers which may be used during paving activities, could produce vibration levels at 50 feet that would be more pronounced and perceptible but still far below Caltrans' guidelines for structural damage to modern residential structures (0.50 PPV for continuous vibration sources).

The above vibration estimates represent potential vibration levels based on typical equipment operations and assume there is no change in elevation between work areas and receptor locations and no change in subsurface conditions that may affect vibration transmission through soil media and structures. As discussed above, the proposed Project does not have the potential to result in structural damage to buildings near work areas; however, construction-related groundborne vibrations have the potential to be perceptible at buildings within

approximately 200 feet of typical construction work areas and 400 feet of construction work areas involving a vibratory roller. Although some vibration associated with construction activities may be felt by nearby residential properties that surround the site, this potential vibration effect would not be excessive because it would occur during daytime hours only (when residential properties would be less sensitive to perceived vibrations, be infrequent (occurring only when equipment is in full operation, not idling or in low power modes), be intermittent (equipment would not operate in the same location every day and would move around the site so that properties are not exposed to continuous peak vibration levels), and would not damage buildings or structures at any point. For these reasons, Project construction activities would not generate excessive groundborne vibration or noise levels. This impact would be less than significant.

Once operational, the proposed Project would not have any large equipment that would generate vibration. This impact would be less than significant.

#### Airport-Related Noise

The proposed Project is not located within two miles of any public or private airport or within an airport land use plan. The closest airport facility, San Gabriel Valley Airport is located approximately 5.1 miles east of the Project site. Noise from overhead flights was observed during the ambient noise monitoring conducted for the Project, and the City's General Plan indicates outbound flights from Los Angeles International Airport (LAX) are known to fly over the middle of the city. LAX is located approximately 17.1miles southwest of the Project site. This intermittent aircraft related noise is not considered excessive. The Project would increase the number of residential units below flight paths; however, these units would not be exposed to excessive airport-related noise levels as evidenced by hourly ambient noise levels below 60 dBA L_{eq} (see Table 2). The City's General Plan Safety Element establishes the City's overall goal and intent to reduce aircraft noise impacts on Monterey Park residents and businesses by working with surrounding jurisdictions to improve aircraft noise standards and restricting helipad locations. The implementation of these General Plan policies (Policy 14.1 and 14.2) would also help ensure potential airport and heliport noise would not be excessive at the Project site. This impact would be less than significant.

#### CONCLUSION

As described in this memo, the proposed Project would not generate temporary or permanent noise levels that would exceed the City's standards or otherwise result in a substantial increase in ambient noise levels with the incorporation of mitigation measures, would not generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels, and would not expose people residing or working in the Project area to excessive aircraft noise levels. The proposed Project, therefore, would not result in a substantial, adverse noise-related effect on the environment.

#### REFERENCES

The following references were used to prepare this memorandum:

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) 2013. Technical Noise Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol. Sacramento, California. September 2013.

__2020. Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual. Sacramento, California. April 2020.

City of Monterey Park. 2022. General Plan Safety Element. Adopted January 19, 2022.

- Ganddini Group. 2023. "338-410 Alhambra Avenue Residential Project Transportation Study Screening Analysis." February 10, 2023
- TAG Design Works. 2023. Multi-Family Condominium Project, Monterey Park, CA Site Plan A1.0 and Roof Plan A1.5. 2023.
- U.S. Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) 2010. "Construction Noise Handbook, Chapter 9 Construction Equipment Noise Levels and Ranges: <<u>https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/construction_noise/handbook/handbook00</u> .cfm>
- U.S. Federal Transit Administration (FTA) 2018. *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual*. FTA Report No. 0123. Prepared by John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center. Washington, DC. September 2018.
- U.S. HUD. 2009a.HUD Noise Guidebook. Prepared by the Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. March 2009.

2009b. HUD Noise Guidebook, Chapter 4 Supplement: Sound Transmission Class Guidance. Prepared by the Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. March 2009.

## CD/WD

Attachment 1 Project Site Plan and Roof Plan This page was intentionally left blank.





SITE PLAN

A1.0







FLOOR PLAN: ROOF

A1.5

MULTI-FAMILY CONDOMINIUM

Attachment 2 Noise Background This page was intentionally left blank.

## ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE BACKGROUND

Noise may be defined as loud, unpleasant, or unwanted sound. The frequency (pitch), amplitude (intensity or loudness), and duration of noise all contribute to the effect on a listener, or receptor, and whether the receptor perceives the noise as objectionable, disturbing, or annoying.

## The Decibel Scale (dB)

The decibel scale (dB) is a unit of measurement that indicates the relative amplitude of a sound. Sound levels in dB are calculated on a logarithmic basis. An increase of 10 dB represents a tenfold increase in acoustic energy, while 20 dBs is 100 times more intense, 30 dBs is 1,000 more intense, and so on. In general, there is a relationship between the subjective noisiness, or loudness of a sound, and its amplitude, or intensity, with each 10 dB increase in sound level perceived as approximately a doubling of loudness. Due to the logarithmic basis, decibels cannot be directly added or subtracted together using common arithmetic operations:

$$50 \ decibels + 50 \ decibels \neq 100 \ decibels$$

Instead, the combined sound level from two or more sources must be combined logarithmically. For example, if one noise source produces a sound power level of 50 dBA, two of the same sources would combine to produce 53 dB as shown below.

$$10 * 10 \log \left( 10^{\left(\frac{50}{10}\right)} + 10^{\left(\frac{50}{10}\right)} \right) = 53 \ decibels$$

In general, when one source is 10 dB higher than another source, the quieter source does not add to the sound levels produced by the louder source because the louder source contains ten times more sound energy than the quieter source.

#### Sound Characterization

There are several methods of characterizing sound. The most common method is the "A-weighted sound level," or dBA. This scale gives greater weight to the frequencies of sound to which the human ear is typically most sensitive. Thus, most environmental measurements are reported in dBA, meaning decibels on the A-scale.

Human hearing matches the logarithmic A-weighted scale, so that a sound of 60 dBA is perceived as twice as loud as a sound of 50 dBA. In a quiet environment, an increase of 3 dB is usually perceptible, however, in a complex noise environment such as along a busy street, a noise increase of less than 3 dB is usually not perceptible, and an increase of 5 dB is usually perceptible. Normal human speech is in the range from 50 to 65 dBA. Generally, as environmental noise exceeds 50 dBA, it becomes intrusive and above 65 dBA noise becomes excessive. Nighttime activities, including sleep, are more sensitive to noise and are considered affected over a range of 40 to 55 dBA.

Sound levels are typically not steady and can vary over a short time period. The equivalent noise level ( $L_{eq}$ ) is used to represent the average character of the sound over a period of time. The  $L_{eq}$  represents the level of steady noise that would have the same acoustical energy as the sum of the time-varying noise measured over a given time period.  $L_{eq}$  is useful for evaluating shorter time periods over the course of a day. The most common  $L_{eq}$  averaging period is hourly, but  $L_{eq}$  can describe any series of noise events over a given time period.

Variable noise levels are values that are exceeded for a portion of the measured time period. Thus,  $L_{01}$  is the level exceeded one percent of the time and L90 is the level exceeded 90 percent of the time. The  $L_{90}$  value usually corresponds to the background sound level at the measurement location.

Noise exposure over the course of an entire day is described by the day/night average sound level, or DNL (also referred to as  $L_{dn}$ ), and the community noise equivalent level, or CNEL. Both descriptors represent the 24-hour noise impact on a community. For DNL, the 24-hour day is divided into a 15-hour daytime period (7 AM to 10 PM) and a nine-hour nighttime period (10 PM to 7 AM) and a 10 dB "penalty" is added to measure nighttime noise levels when calculating the 24-hour average noise level. For example, a 45-dBA nighttime sound level would contribute as much to the overall day-night average as a 55-dBA daytime sound level. The CNEL descriptor is similar to DNL, except that it includes an additional 5 dBA penalty beyond the 10 dBA for sound events that occur during the evening time period (7 PM to 10 PM). The artificial penalties imposed during DNL and CNEL calculations are intended to account for a receptor's increased sensitivity to sound levels during quieter nighttime periods.

### Sound Propagation

environment as the sound wave spreads out and travels away from the noise generating source. Theoretically, the sound level of a point source attenuates, or decreases, by 6 dB with each doubling of distance from a point source. Sound levels are also affected by certain environmental factors, such as ground cover (asphalt vs. grass or trees), atmospheric absorption, and attenuation by barriers. Outdoor noise is also attenuated by the building envelope so that sound levels inside a residence are from 10 to 20 dB less than outside, depending mainly on whether windows are open for ventilation or not.

For an ideal "point" source of sound, the energy contained in a sound pressure wave dissipates and is absorbed by the surrounding environment as the sound wave spreads out in a spherical pattern and travels away from the point source. Theoretically, the sound level attenuates, or decreases, by 6 dB with each doubling of distance from the point source. The change in noise levels between two distances can be calculated according to Equation 1 (California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), 2013a) as follows:

Where:

dBA1 = Known noise level, such as a reference noise level

D1 = Distance associated with dBA1

D2 = Distance associated with dBA2

For an ideal line source of sound, the energy contained in a sound pressure wave dissipates and is absorbed by the surrounding environment as the sound wave spreads out in a cylindrical pattern from the source. Theoretically, the sound level attenuates, or decreases, by 3 dB with each doubling of distance from the line source. The change in noise levels between two distances can be calculated according to Equation 2 as follows:

Where:

dBA1 = Known noise level, such as a reference noise level

- D1 = Distance associated with dBA1
- dBA2 = Noise level at distance 2
- D2 = Distance associated with dBA2

For noise sources that do not operate continuously (e.g., vehicles and trucks that travel on-site, park, and then cease to generate noise),the average, hourly noise level associated with variable (i.e., non-steady) noise source can be calculated using Equation 3 as follows:

Equation 3  
Hourly 
$$L_{eq} = 10 * Log (P_h) * 10^{(Lp/10)}$$

Where:

P_h = Percentage or fraction of hour the noise is generated

 $L_p$  = The noise level generated during the partial hour ( $P_h$ )

Finally, the total combined sound pressure level from multiple, identical sources of noise at a receiver location can be calculated using Equation 4 as follows:

Equation 4  
$$SPL_{Total} = SPL_1 + 10 * Log (N)$$

Where:

SPL₁ = Sound pressure level of one source

N = Number of identical sources to be added

## Noise Effects on Humans

Noise effects on human beings are generally categorized as:

- Subjective effects of annoyance, nuisance, and/or dissatisfaction
- Interference with activities such as speech, sleep, learning, or relaxing
- Physiological effects such as startling and hearing loss

Most environmental noise levels produce subjective or interference effects; physiological effects are usually limited to high noise environments such as industrial manufacturing facilities or airports.

Predicting the subjective and interference effects of noise is difficult due to the wide variation in individual thresholds of annoyance and past experiences with noise; however, an accepted method to determine a person's subjective reaction to a new noise source is to compare it the existing environment without the noise source, or the "ambient" noise environment. In general, the more a new noise source exceeds the ambient noise level, the more likely it is to be considered annoying and to disturb normal activities.

Under controlled conditions in an acoustical laboratory, the trained, healthy human ear is able to discern 1-dB changes in sound levels when exposed to steady, single-frequency ("pure-tone") signals in the mid-frequency (1,000–8,000 Hz) range. In typical noisy environments, changes in noise of 1 to 2 dB are generally not perceptible. However, it is widely accepted that people are able to begin to detect sound level increases of 3 dB in typical noisy environments. Further, a 5-dB increase is generally perceived as a distinctly noticeable increase, and a 10-dB increase is generally perceived as a doubling of loudness that would almost certainly cause an adverse response from community noise receptors.

When exposed to high noise levels, humans may suffer hearing damage. Sustained exposure to high noise levels (e.g., 90 dBs for hours at a time) can cause gradual hearing loss, which is usually temporary, whereas sudden exposure to a very high noise level (e.g., 130 to 140 dBs) can cause sudden and permanent hearing loss. In addition to hearing loss, noise can cause stress in humans and may contribute to stress-related diseases, such as hypertension, anxiety, and heart disease (Caltrans, 2013).

#### Vibration

Vibration is the movement of particles within a medium or object such as the ground or a building. As is the case with airborne sound, groundborne vibrations may be described by amplitude and frequency. Vibration amplitudes are usually expressed in peak particle velocity (PPV) or root mean squared, in inches per second (in/sec). PPV represents the maximum instantaneous positive or negative peak of a vibration signal and is most appropriate for evaluating the potential for building damage. Human response to groundborne vibration is subjective and varies from person to person.

Attachment 3 Ambient Noise Monitoring Data This page was intentionally left blank.

## South Alhambra Avenue Multi-Family Condominium Project Monterey Park, CA Appendix: Ambient Noise Monitoring Data Prepared by MIG, August 2018

Table 1: Su	immary of S	Site ST-1 No	oise Monito					
<u>Time</u>	Duration	<u>Leq</u>	<u>Lmin</u>	<u>Lmax</u>	<u>L(1)</u>	<u>L(10)</u>	<u>L(50)</u>	<u>L(90)</u>
2:00	1-hour	59.2	36.9	81.4	71.8	60.6	51.2	42.0
3:00	1-hour	57.2	38.9	75.1	67.2	61.1	52.4	46.1
4:00	1-hour	58.0	39.3	78.7	69.7	61.2	51.4	43.6
5:00	1-hour	56.8	38.9	75.0	66.8	60.7	51.4	43.5
6:00	1-hour	55.6	40.0	70.0	64.2	60.4	50.3	43.7
Average		57.5	36.9	81.4	68.7	60.8	51.4	44.0

Serial Number	03790
Model	SoundExpert™ LxT
Firmware Version	2.206
Filename	LxT Data.154
User	jkanlund
Job Description	Noise Monitoring Short Term
Location	400 South Alhambra Short Term 1
Measurement Description	Riverside Meter - ST -South Alhambra Senior Housing Project
Start Time	Tuesday, 21 August 2018 14:00:00
Stop Time	Tuesday, 21 August 2018 19:00:04
Duration	05:00:04.7
Run Time	05:00:04.7
Pause	00:00:00.0
Pre Calibration	Monday, 20 August 2018 16:09:00
Post Calibration	None
Calibration Deviation	

#### Note South Alhambra Senior Housing Project

LASeq       57.5       dB         LASmax       21 Aug 2018 14:08:39       81.4       dB         LApeak (max)       21 Aug 2018 15:32:24       105.2       dB         LASeq       21 Aug 2018 15:32:24       105.2       dB         LCSeq       67.7       dB         LASeq       67.7       dB         LASeq       10.2       dB         LASeq       10.2       dB         LATeq       2.5       dB         LAteq       57.5       dB         LANG 07:00-19:00       57.5       dB         LAS       100-12       dB       dB         LAS       100.0       66.2       dB         LAS       0       0       0       0         Overloads       0       0       0       0         DAR Overloads       0       0       0	Overall Data			
LASmax       21 Aug 2018 14:08:39       81.4       dB         LApeak (max)       21 Aug 2018 14:07:20       36.9       dB         LASmin       21 Aug 2018 14:07:20       36.9       dB         LASeq       57.5       dB       dB         LASeq       57.5       dB       dB         LASeq       57.5       dB       dB         LASeq       60.0       dB       dB         LAreq       2.5       dB       dB         LAreq       77.5       dB       dB         LAav       77.5       dB       dB         LAav       77.5       dB       dB         Day 07:00-22:00       77.5       dB       dB         LAse       100.1       dB       dB         LAS2       100.1       dB       dB         Overload Duration       0.0       s          LAS0.00        dB       dB         LAS9.0.00 </td <td>LASeq</td> <td></td> <td>57.5</td> <td>dB</td>	LASeq		57.5	dB
LApeak (max)       21 Aug 2018 15:32:24       105.2       dB         LASani       21 Aug 2018 14:07:20       67.7       dB         LCSeq       67.7       dB       dB         LCSeq       10.2       dB       dB         LCSeq       10.2       dB       dB         LAseq       57.5       dB       dB         LAreq       57.5       dB       dB         LAeq       57.5       dB       dB         Laden       61.9       dB       dB         Laden       61.9       dB       dB         Laden       61.9       dB       dB         Laden       0        dB         Laden       0.0       5       dB       dB         Laden       0.0       5       dB       dB         Laden       0.0        dB       dB         L	LASmax	21 Aug 2018 14:08:39	81.4	dB
LASmin       21 Aug 2018 14:07:20       36.9       dB         LCSeq       67.7       dB         LASeq       57.5       dB         LASeq       10.2       dB         LAseq       60.0       dB         LAseq       60.0       dB         LAreq       60.0       dB         LAreq       57.5       dB         Lareq       61.9       dB         Lareq       62.4       dB         Day 07:00-19:00       57.5       dB         Lareq       62.4       dB         Night 22:00-07:00       62.4       dB         LASE       100.1       dB         Overload Duration       0       0         Statistics       1004       os         LASO.00        LASO.00         LASI.00       66.6       dBA         LASI.00       61.9       dBA         LASO.00        LASO.02	LApeak (max)	21 Aug 2018 15:32:24	105.2	dB
LCSeq     67,7     dB       LASeq     57,5     dB       LCSeq - LASeq     10,2     dB       LATeq     10,2     dB       LAReq     57,5     dB       LAReq     57,5     dB       LATeq     57,5     dB       LATeq     57,5     dB       Lade     57,5     dB       Lade     61,9     dB       Laden     61,9     dB       LEvening 19:00-21:00     57,5     dB       LEvening 19:00-21:00     57,5     dB       LEvening 19:00-21:00     62,4     dB       LEvening 19:00-21:00     62,4     dB       LASS     0     0     a       4 Overloads     100,1     dB     dB       Coreloads     0     0     a       Overload Duration     0.0     a     a       LASI.00       LASI.00        LASI.00     66,6     dBA     dBA       LASS0.00     51,1     dBA     dBA       LASS0.00     51,1     dBA       LAS > 85,0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)     0 / 0.0     s       LAS > 85,0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)     0 / 0.0     s       LAS > 85,0 dB (Excee	LASmin	21 Aug 2018 14:07:20	36.9	dB
LASeq       57.5       dB         LASeq       60.0       dB         LAIq       60.0       dB         LAiq       57.5       dB         LAIq       57.5       dB         LAIq       57.5       dB         Lang       61.9       dB         Lang       57.5       dB         Lang       57.5       dB         Lang       57.5       dB         Lang       50.0110       61.9         Unight 22:00-07:00       62.4       dB         LASS       100.1       dB         VereIoad       0.0       0.0         Statistics       104       04         LASS<00	LCSeq	2	67.7	dB
LCSag - LASeq       10.2       dB         LATeq       60.0       dB         LAteq       57.5       dB         LATeq       2.5       dB         Lang       2.5       dB         Lang       2.5       dB         Lang       2.5       dB         Lang       57.5       dB         Lang       57.5       dB         Lang       57.5       dB         Lang       57.5       dB         Lang       61.9       dB         Lang       57.5       dB         Lang       57.5       dB         Lang       57.5       dB         Lang       61.9       dB         Lang       57.5       dB         Lang 0.00-07:00        dB         Lass       100.1       dB         Verloads       0       0         Overloads       0.0       s         Verloads       0.0       s         ObsOverloads       0.0       s         LASS       100.1       dB         LASS       100.1       dB         LASS       100 </td <td>LASeq</td> <td></td> <td>57.5</td> <td>dB</td>	LASeq		57.5	dB
LATeq       60.0       dB         LAreq       57.5       dB         LAreq       2.5       dB         Land       57.5       dB         Land       61.9       dB         Land       57.5       dB         Land       60.0          LAS       0          Varioads       0.0          Coreload Duration       0.0       s         Verloads       0.0          LAS0.00        LaS0.00          LAS1.00       66.6       dBA         LAS2.01       102 / 404.5       s         LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedenc	LCSeq - LASeq		10.2	dB
LAsq'       57.5       dB         LAig - Laq       2.5       dB         Lad       7.5       dB         Lad       57.5       dB         Lad       57.5       dB         Lady 07:00-22:00       57.5       dB         Laden       61.9       dB         Laden       61.9       dB         Lav 07:00-19:00       62.4       dB         Laver 19:00-22:00       62.4       dB         Laver 19:00-70:00        dB         Laver 19:00-70:00        dB         Laver 19:00-70:00        dB         Laver 19:00-70:00        dB         LASS       100-11       dB         Verloads       0       0         Overloads       0       0         Overload Duration       0.0       s         Verload Duration       299.2       s         Statistics           LASI 0.00           LASI 0.00           LAS 0.00	LAIeq		60.0	dB
LAI-a       2.5       dB         Lan       57.5       dB         Lbay 07:00-22:00       57.5       dB         Lhay 07:00-22:00       57.5       dB         Lbay 07:00-19:00       57.5       dB         Lbay 07:00-22:00       57.5       dB         Lbay 07:00-19:00       57.5       dB         Lbay 07:00-19:00       57.5       dB         Lbay 07:00-22:00       62.4       dB         LMight 22:00-07:00       62.4       dB         LASS       100.1       dB         Vorloads       0          Overloads       0       0         Overloads       0       0         OA Overloads       0       0         Statistics       104       04         LAS0.00        LAS0.00          LAS1.00       66.6       dBA         LAS10.00       60.8       dBA         LAS10.00       42.6       dBA         LAS2.0.0       102 / 404.5       s         LAS2.0.0       102 / 404.5       s         LAS2.0.0       0.0       51.1       dBA         LAS2.0.0       0.0       0.0	LAeg		57.5	dB
Ldn       57.5       dB         LDay 07:00-22:00       57.5       dB         Lden       61.9       dB         Lden       61.9       dB         LBy 07:00-19:00       57.5       dB         LEVening 19:00-22:00       62.4       dB         LAy 07:00-19:00        dB         LEVening 19:00-22:00       62.4       dB         LASE       100.1       dB         Verload Duration       0       0         Verload Duration       0.0       s         Verload Duration       0.0       s         Verload Duration       299.2       s         Statistics        LASI.00          LASI.00        LASI.00          LASI.00       66.6       dBA       dBA         LAS90.00       42.6       dBA       dBA         LAS90.00       42.6       dBA       s         LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LAPeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LAPeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LAPeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Coun	LAIeg - LAeg		2.5	dB
LDay 07:00-22:00       57.5       dB         LNight 22:00-07:00        dB         LDay 07:00-19:00       57.5       dB         LDay 07:00-19:00       62.4       dB         LNight 22:00-07:00       62.4       dB         LASE       100.1       dB         # Overloads       0       0         Overloads       0.0       s         Verloads       0.0       s         Statistics       104       299.2       s         LAS0.00        LAS0.00          LAS10.00       66.6       dBA       dBA         LAS10.00       66.6       dBA       dBA         LAS90.00        LAS10.00       66.6       dBA         LAS10.00       61.6       dBA       dBA         LAS90.00       51.1       dBA       dBA         LAS90.00       42.6       dBA       dBA         LAS90.00       102 / 404.5       s       s         LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s       LAPeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LAPeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       <	Ldn		57.5	dB
LMight 22:00-07:00        dB         Lden       61.9       dB         LDay 07:00-19:00       57.5       dB         LEvening 19:00-22:00       62.4       dB         LAight 22:00-07:00        dB         LASE       100.1       dB         # Overloads       0       0         Overload Duration       0.0       s         # OBA Overload Duration       0.0       s         MAS 0verload Duration       299.2       s         Statistics        LAS0.00          LAS1.00       66.6       dBA         LAS90.00       61.9       dBA         LAS90.00       61.8       dBA         LAS90.00       51.1       dBA         LAS90.00       42.6       dBA         LAS90.00       42.6       dBA         LAS90.00       0 / 0.0       s         LAS9.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LAS9.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LAS90.00       51.1       dBA         LAS90.00       0 / 0.0       s         LAS90.48 (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 </td <td>LDav 07:00-22:00</td> <td></td> <td>57.5</td> <td>dB</td>	LDav 07:00-22:00		57.5	dB
Lden       61.9       dB         LDay 07:00-19:00       57.5       dB         LDay 07:00-19:00       62.4       dB         LASE       100.1       dB         # Overloads       100.1       dB         Overloads       0       0         Verloads       0.0       s         # OBA Overloads       104       0         DBA Overloads       104       0         Statistics       104       104         LASI 0.0        LASI 0.0         LASI 0.0        LASI 0.0         LASI 0.0        LASI 0.0         LASI 0.0       66.6       dBA         LASI 0.0       60.8       dBA         LASI 0.0       60.8       dBA         LASI 0.0       60.4       dBA         LASI 0.0       60.4       dBA         LASI 0.00       60.4       dBA         LASI 0.00       60.5       dBA         LASI 0.00       60.4       dBA         LASI 0.00       0       60.5       dBA         LASI 0.00       0       0.5       dBA         LASI 0.00       0       0.5       dBA <td>LNight 22:00-07:00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>dB</td>	LNight 22:00-07:00			dB
LDay 07:00-19:00       57.5       dB         LEvening 19:00-22:00       62.4       dB         LASE       100.1       dB         ASE       100.1       dB         # Overloads       0       0         Overload Duration       0.0       s         # OBA Overloads       104       0         Statistics       104       299.2       s         LAS0.00        LAS1.00       66.6       dBA         LAS10.00       60.8       dBA       1AS1.00       104         LAS50.00       51.1       dBA       42.6       dBA         LAS5.0.00       51.1       dBA       42.6       dBA         LAS5.0.00       102 / 404.5       s       LASA       LASA       S       S         LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s       s       LASA       A       LASA       A       LASA       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       S       <	Iden		61.9	dB
LEvening 19:00-22:00       62.4       dB         LMight 22:00-07:00        dB         LASE       100.1       dB         # Overloads       0       0         Overload Duration       0.0       s         # OBA Overload       104       0         OBA Overload Duration       299.2       s         Statistics           LASD.00           LASD.00           LASD.00       66.6       dBA         LASD.00           LASD.00           LASD.00           LASD.00       66.6       dBA         LASD.00       60.8       dBA         LASD.00       51.1       dBA         LASD.00       51.1       dBA         LASD.00       0 / 0.0       s         LASD.00           LASD.00           LASD.00       0 / 0.0       51.1       dBA         LASD.00       0 / 0.0       s       s         LAS > 65.0       dB (Exceedence Counts / Duratio	LDav 07:00-19:00		57.5	dB
LNight 2:00-07:00        dB         LASE       100.1       dB         # Overloads       0         Overload Duration       0.0       s         # OBA Overloads       104         OBA Overloads       104         OBA Overloads       104         OBA Overload Duration       299.2       s         Statistics          LAS10.00          LAS10.00       66.6       dBA         LAS10.00       66.6       dBA         LAS9.00       51.1       dBA         LAS10.00       60.8       dBA         LAS20.00       42.6       dBA         LAS90.00       42.6       dBA         LAS90.00       0 / 0.0       s         LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LAPeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         Settings       A Weight       A Weighting       A Weighting <td>LEvening 19:00-22:00</td> <td></td> <td>62.4</td> <td>dB</td>	LEvening 19:00-22:00		62.4	dB
LASE       100.1       dB         # Overloads       0         Overload Duration       0.0       s         # OBA Overloads       104         OBA Overload Duration       299.2       s         Statistics          LAS0.00          LAS0.00          LAS0.00          LAS0.00       66.6         LAS0.00       66.6         LAS0.00       66.6         LAS0.00       61.8         LAS0.00       42.6         LAS0.00       42.6         LAS0.00       0 / 0.0         LAS0.00       42.6         LAS0.00       0 / 0.0         LAS0.00       42.6         LAS0.00       0 / 0.0         Settings       A Weighting         Peak Weight <td< td=""><td>LNight 22:00-07:00</td><td></td><td></td><td>dB</td></td<>	LNight 22:00-07:00			dB
<pre># Overloads 0 Overloads 0 Overload Duration # OVerloads 0 Overload Duration 0 Overload Duration 0 OVerload Duration 299.2 s Statistics LAS0.00 LAS0.00 LAS1.00 66.6 dBA LAS10.00 60.8 dBA LAS50.00 61.1 dBA LAS50.00 42.6 dBA LAS90.00 42.6 dBA LAS90.00 102 / 404.5 s LAS &gt; 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak &gt; 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak &gt; 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s Settings RMS Weight 0 Pak Weight Pak Weight</pre>	LASE		100.1	dB
Overload Duration       0.0       s         # OBA Overloads       104         OBA Overload Duration       299.2       s         Statistics          LASO.00          LASO.00          LASO.00          LASO.00          LASO.00       66.6         LASO.00       60.8         LASIO.00       51.1         LASO.00       42.6         LASO.00       42.6         LASO.00       0 / 0.0         LAPeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0         LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0         Settings       A Weighting         Peak Weight </td <td># Overloads</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	# Overloads			
# OBA Overloads       104         OBA Overload Duration       299.2 s         Statistics          LAS0.00          LAS1.00       66.6 dBA         LAS10.00       60.8 dBA         LAS50.00       51.1 dBA         LAS90.00       42.6 dBA         LAS90.00       0 / 0.0 s         LAS50.00       0 / 0.0 s         LAS50.00       0 / 0.0 s         LAS90.00       0 / 0.0 s         LAS90.00       0 / 0.0 s         LAS90.00       0 / 0.0 s         LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s         LAPeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s         LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s         LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s         Settings       A Weighting         Peak Weight       A Weighting         Peak Weight       A Weighting         Peak Weight       A Weighting         Peatcor       Slow	Overload Duration		0.0	s
OBA Overload Duration       299.2 s         Statistics          LAS0.00          LAS1.00       66.6 dBA         LAS10.00       60.8 dBA         LAS10.00       51.1 dBA         LAS90.00       42.6 dBA         LAS90.00       0 / 0.0 s         LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s         LAPeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s         LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s         LAPeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s         Settings       0 / 0.0 s         RMS Weight       A Weighting         Peak Weight       A Weighting         Detector       Slow	# OBA Overloads		104	
Statistics          LAS0.00          LAS1.00       66.6         LAS1.00       60.8         LAS50.00       51.1         LAS0.00       51.1         LAS0.00       42.6         LAS0.00       0 / 0.0         LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0         LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0         LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0         Settings       A Weight         Peak Weight       A Weighting         Peak Weight       A Weighting         Detector       Slow	OBA Overload Duration		299.2	s
Statistics          LAS0.00          LAS1.00          LAS10.00       66.6       dBA         LAS10.00       60.8       dBA         LAS50.00       51.1       dBA         LAS90.00       51.1       dBA         LAS90.00       42.6       dBA         LAS > 65.0       dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LAS > 85.0       dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LAPeak > 135.0       dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LApeak > 137.0       dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LApeak > 137.0       dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         LApeak > 140.0       dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0       s         Settings       A Weighting       A       S         Peak Weight       A Weighting       A       Weighting         Peak Weight       A Weighting       A       S				
LAS0.00          LAS0.00          LAS1.00       66.6         LAS10.00       60.8         LAS50.00       51.1         LAS90.00       51.1         LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0         LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0         LAPeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0         LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0         LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0         Settings       A Weighting         Peak Weight       A Weighting         Detector       Slow	Statistics			
LAS0.00          LAS1.00       66.6       dBA         LAS10.00       60.8       dBA         LAS50.00       51.1       dBA         LAS90.00       42.6       dBA         LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s       s         LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s       s         LApeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s       s         LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s       s         LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)       0 / 0.0 s       s         Settings       A Weighting       S         Peak Weight       A Weighting       A Weighting         Detector       Slow       Slow	LAS0.00			
LAS1.00 66.6 dBA LAS10.00 60.8 dBA LAS50.00 51.1 dBA LAS90.00 42.6 dBA LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 102 / 404.5 s LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s EXPERIMENTIAL OF THE SECOND SE	LAS0.00			
LAS10.00 LAS50.00 LAS90.00 LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) LApeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) Settings RMS Weight Peak Weight Peak Weight Detector Slow	LAS1.00		66.6	dBA
LAS50.00 51.1 dBA LAS90.00 42.6 dBA LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s Extings RMS Weight A Weighting Peak Weight A Weighting Detector Slow	LAS10.00		60.8	dBA
LAS90.00 42.6 dBA LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 102 / 404.5 s LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s Settings RMS Weight A Weighting Peak Weight A Weighting Detector Slow	LAS50.00		51.1	dBA
LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) LApeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) Settings RMS Weight Peak Weight Detector Slow	LAS90.00		42.6	dBA
LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) LApeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) Comparison Settings RMS Weight Peak Weight Detector Slow				
LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)0 / 0.0 sLApeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)0 / 0.0 sLApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)0 / 0.0 sLApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)0 / 0.0 sSettings0 / 0.0 sRMS WeightA WeightingPeak WeightA WeightingDetectorSlow	LAS > 65.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)		102 / 404.5	S
LApeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s Settings RMS Weight A Weighting Peak Weight A Weighting Detector Slow	LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)		0 / 0.0	S
LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s Settings RMS Weight A Weighting Peak Weight A Weighting Detector Slow	LApeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)		0 / 0.0	S
LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration) 0 / 0.0 s           Settings           RMS Weight         A Weighting           Peak Weight         A Weighting           Detector         Slow	LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)		0 / 0.0	S
Settings     A Weighting       RMS Weight     A Weighting       Detector     Slow	LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedence Counts / Duration)		0 / 0.0	S
RMS Weight A Weighting Peak Weight A Weighting Detector Slow	Settings			
Peak Weight Detector Slow	RMS Weight		A Weighting	
Detector Slow	Peak Weight		A Weighting	
	Detector		Slow	

Preamp										P	RMLxT1L	
Microphone (	Correction	n									Off	
Integration	Method									Expo	nential	
OBA Range											Low	
OBA Bandwidt	ch									1/1	and 1/3	
OBA Freq. We	eighting									A We	ighting	
OBA Max Spe	ctrum										Bin Max	
											0.5.1	
Under Range	Limit										25.4	dB
Under Range	Peak										78.9	dB
Noise Floor											15.1	dB
Overload											122.6	dB
1/1 Spectra												
Freq. (Hz):	8.0	16.0	31.5	63.0	125	250	500	1 k	2k	4 k	8 k	16k
LASeq	7.1	6.3	24.5	40.4	43.4	47.9	50.7	53.1	49.7	44.5	37.7	29.0
LASmax	7.1	26.6	48.2	67.7	69.7	72.7	76.5	73.7	72.1	69.4	68.7	65.5
LASmin	7.1	5.1	9.3	22.6	27.2	28.5	29.1	30.5	26.0	20.8	14.6	7.5

1/3 Spectra												
Freq. (Hz):	6.3	8.0	10.0	12.5	16.0	20.0	25.0	31.5	40.0	50.0	63.0	80.0
LASeq	2.9	2.4	1.6	1.2	0.9	3.2	12.4	17.0	23.3	28.6	34.1	38.9
LASmax	3.0	2.4	3.6	11.4	20.8	26.9	39.1	44.8	48.5	54.8	63.8	67.7
LASmin	3.0	2.4	1.6	1.1	0.2	-0.5	-1.7	0.6	6.6	12.0	15.2	19.1
Freq. (Hz):	100	125	160	200	250	315	400	500	630	800	1k	1.25k
LASeq	36.7	39.3	39.3	40.9	42.8	44.9	45.1	45.6	46.9	47.6	48.6	48.7
LASmax	64.7	69.6	62.5	64.6	67.8	72.4	73.6	74.5	71.3	67.8	69.2	71.2
LASmin	19.4	21.9	22.3	22.3	24.3	22.3	22.9	24.0	25.1	25.9	26.1	24.2
Freq. (Hz):	1.6k	2k	2.5k	3.15k	4 k	5k	6.3k	8 k	10k	12.5k	16k	20k
LASeq	46.9	44.2	42.9	41.4	39.9	36.9	33.9	33.4	31.0	25.8	19.4	25.4
LASmax	68.7	67.3	67.2	66.9	65.3	63.6	59.8	68.7	64.7	58.7	49.5	65.7
LASmin	22.7	20.7	19.3	17.7	15.7	12.8	10.8	9.8	7.5	4.8	1.9	-1.5

Calibration History		
Preamp	Date	dB re. 1V/Pa
PRMLxT1L	20 Aug 2018 16:09:00	-28.9
PRMLxT1L	29 Jun 2018 10:05:36	-28.9
PRMLxT1L	27 Jun 2018 10:56:53	-28.8
PRMLxT1L	03 Oct 2017 12:52:52	-28.8
PRMLxT1L	21 Dec 2016 07:07:41	-28.0
PRMLxT1L	27 Jan 2016 10:21:19	-28.9
PRMLxT1L	26 Jan 2016 14:23:09	-28.9
PRMLxT1L	26 Jan 2016 14:20:57	-28.1
PRMLxT1L	17 Nov 2015 09:56:46	-28.9
PRMLxT1L	14 Jul 2015 08:29:53	-28.8
PRMLxT1L	30 Jan 2014 00:00:58	-28.0

This page was intentionally left blank.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank
Geotechnical, Environmental, and Civil Engineering

August 17, 2016

#### The Commons MPK, LLC

602 Fairview Avenue, Apt. 15 Arcadia, California 91007

Attention: Mr. Gary Lai

Subject: Limited Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, 338-408 S. Alhambra Avenue, APN: 5259-004-036, and 037, Monterey Park, California, CLE Project No.: 16-023-095ESA

Gentlemen:

In accordance with your request, CLE has completed a Limited Phase I Environmental Site Assessment for the subject site. The objective of this assessment is to assess the likelihood of hazardous materials that may present at the site due to historical and/or present operations at the site, as well as the potential impacts due to the activities at the site vicinity.

Based on researched information, it is concluded that there is low potential of non-agricultural hazardous materials present in the shallow subsurface soil.

Additional assessment is not recommended at this time. Any future development, such as change use of the facility, or use of the groundwater, should be reviewed by an experienced environmental consultant.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of services. Should you have any questions pertaining to this report, please call the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Cal Land Engineering, Inc. (CLE) dba Quartech Consultants (QCI)

Jack C. Lee, PE, GE, REA Principal Engineer Abe Kazemzadeh Project Engineer

Dist: (3) Addressee

#### LIMITED PHASE I

#### ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

AT

338-408 S. Alhambra Avenue APN: 5259-004-036, & 037 Monterey Park, California 91755

#### FOR

#### THE COMMONS MPK, LLC 602 FAIRVIEW AVENUE, APT. 15 ARCADIA, CALIFORNIA 91007

#### CONDUCTED BY

#### CAL LAND ENGINEERING, INC. CLE Project No.: 16-023-095ESA

August 17, 2016

### TABLE OF CONTENT

1.0 INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 SITE LOCATION	222
2.0 SITE CONDITION	5
3.0 HISTORICAL DOCUMENT REVIEW	5
3.1 SANBORN MAP REVIEW       3         3.2 CITY OF MONTEREY PARK, DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY       3         3.3 CITY DIRECTORY       4	;
4.0 AREA GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY	;
4.1 SOIL/GEOLOGY	;
5.0 OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS	i
5.1 ASBESTOS55.2 RADON OCCURRENCE55.3 PETROLEUM ACTIVITIES6	
6.0 GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCH	)
6.1 NPL       6         6.2 HIST CAL-SITES       6         6.3 CHMIRS       7         6.4 CORTESE       7         6.5 LUST       7         6.6 UST       7         6.7 HAZNET       7         6.8 RCRA       8	
7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
7.1 CONCLUSIONS	
8.0 LIMITATIONS	
9.0 REFERENCES	

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Site Location

This report presents a summary of our Limited Phase I Environmental Site Assessment for the subject property. The property consists of 14 residential units. The Property addresses are 338, 400, and 408 S. Alhambra Avenue, APN: 5259-004-036, and 037, Monterey Park, California.

#### 1.2 Purpose

The purpose of this assessment is to review existing environmental conditions, and to evaluate potential environmental hazards that may exist at the subject site due to present and historical onsite.

#### 1.3 Scope of Work

The following limited scopes of work were conducted for this environmental assessment:

- 1. Site Reconnaissance
- 2. Drive-by survey of the subject property and its surrounding area
- 3. Review of Historical City Directories
- 4. Review of Sanborn Maps
- 5. Review of available historical information of the subject property and its surrounding area to assess past uses that may contribute to potential environmental impacts.
- 6. Review available information of regional geology and hydrogeology literatures regarding underlying geologic conditions and groundwater regime in the vicinity of the subject property.
- 7. Review of available California State and US Federal databases to determine if leaking underground storage tanks, hazardous waste generators, Superfund sites, landfills, and other documented hazardous releases may have existed within approximately 1 mile of the subject property. The review data includes US Environmental Protection Agency, US Geology Survey, California Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Department of Conservation, Division of Oil and Gas, City of Monterey Park, Department of Building and Safety, Environmental Data Resources (City Directories, Governmental Listing, Sanborn Maps).
- Preparation of this report to include a finding summary of this assessment and its conclusions, and recommendations for further investigations.

It should be noted that the sampling and analysis of soils, groundwater, and/or building materials was not in this scope of work of this report.

#### 2.0 SITE CONDITION

A CLE field investigator performed a site reconnaissance on August 15, 2016. The project site is located at the east side of Alhambra Avenue, a relatively short distance south of Newmark Avenue, in the City of Monterey Park, California. The total property size is 56,562 square feet (1.3 acres). The site is relatively flat and it is occupied by existing 14 residential units.

The site addresses are 338A and 338B S. Alhambra Avenue, APN: 5259-004-036, 400 S. Alhambra Avenue and 408 S. Alhambra Avenue, APN: 5259-004-037. Building coordinates for 338 S, Alhambra Avenue are 34.058302 degrees Latitude and -118.116168 degrees Longitude and Building coordinates for 400 and 408 S, Alhambra Avenue are 34.05804 degrees Latitude and - 118.116167 degrees Longitude.

There are two 1-story residential buildings with garages located at 338A and 338B S. Alhambra Avenue, which is located in front of Peach Street. These two buildings were built in 1950. The total area of the building is 1,516 square feet and the total area of the lot (APN: 5259-004-036) is 18, 894 square feet (0.434 acres).

There are 10 apartment buildings with garages located at 400 S. Alhambra Avenue and a 2 other buildings with garages located at 408 S. Alhambra Avenue. The buildings for these 2 addresses were built in 1949. The total area of the buildings is 9,976 square feet and the total area of the lot (APN: 5259-004-036) is 37, 668 square feet (0.865 acres). There are concrete driveways between and along side of the buildings. No aboveground or underground storage tanks were observed during our initial site reconnaissance.

#### 3.0 HISTORICAL DOCUMENT REVIEW

#### 3.1 Sanborn Map Review

No Sanborn fire insurance map was identified for the subject site.

#### 3.2 City of Monterey Park, Department of Building and Safety

CLE representative visited the City of Monterey Park Building and Safety Department on August 15, 2016. There were no significant information related to the site except few electrical repair and roofing repair documents and a building permit application. Building Permit Letter is attached. No aboveground or underground storage tanks were reported in any of the City Documents.

#### 3.3 City Directory

Business directories were reviewed at approximately five years intervals for the year spanning

1920 through 2013. The following table presents a summary of the reviewed directory.

#### ADDRESS

338 S. Alhambra Avenue Monterey Park, CA 91755 S ALHAMBRA AVE 338 S ALHAMBRA AVE 2006 SADOFSKI Paul Haines Company 1975 STAYTON C L Pacific Telephone 1957 BROWN ROBT Pacific Telephone

#### 400 S ALHAMBRA AVE

2006 VARGAS Luis Haines Company, DELOSSANTOS Haines Company, E NGUY Hoa Vinh Haines Company, Anthony, JULIUS Edna Haines Company, VARGAS Luis Haines Company, DELOSSANTOS Haines Company, Anthony JULIUS Edna Haines Company, E NGUY Hoa Vinh Haines Company

1999 GOLDBERG M Haines Company, GOLDBERG M Haines Company

1995 GOLDBERG M Pacific Bell, CERDA CHRISTINA L Pacific Bell, CERDA CHRISTINA L Pacific Bell, GOLDBERG M Pacific Bell

1985 WEI KE LIAO Pacific Bell, TORRES ROSA E Pacific Bell, TORRES ROSA E Pacific Bell, BROWN IONE Pacific Bell HIRASUNA ALAN Pacific Bell, LEONE ROSE M Pacific Bell

1985 WEI KE LIAO Pacific Bell, TORRES ROSA E Pacific Bell. TORRES ROSA E Pacific Bell, HIRASUNA ALAN Pacific Bell BROWN IONE Pacific Bell, LEONE ROSE M Pacific Bell

1975 LEONE ROSE M Pacific Telephone, WAGNER JACOB JACK Pacific Telephone, BROWN IONE Pacific Telephone WAGNER JACOB JACK Pacific Telephone, LEONE ROSE M Pacific Telephone, BROWN IONE Pacific Telephone 1966 WILKINS G J Pacific Telephone, WESTMORELAND ROBT C Pacific Telephone, SEMAIN DENISE M Pacific Telephone JARRETT FANT A Pacific Telephone, LEONE ROSE M Pacific Telephone, NEWTON GEO W Pacific Telephone, ACOSTA GILBERT Pacific Telephone, GONZALEZ RICHARD R Pacific Telephone' GRIFFITH MARY Pacific Telephone, WILKINS G J Pacific Telephone, ACOSTA GILBERT Pacific Telephone, GONZALEZ RICHARD R Pacific Telephone JARRETT FANT A Pacific Telephone, GRIFFITH MARY Pacific Telephone SEMAIN DENISE M Pacific Telephone, NEWTON GEO W Pacific Telephone, LEONE ROSE M Pacific Telephone 1957 BUCCARELLI EUGENE H Pacific Telephone, BUCCARELLI EUGENE H Pacific Telephone

#### 408 S ALHAMBRA AVE

2008 CHISHOLM FAMILY LIMITED PARTNER Cole Information Services, CHISHOLM FAMILY LIMITED PARTNER Cole Information Services, CHISHOLM FAMILY LIMITED PARTNER Cole Information Services, CHISHOLM FAMILY LIMITED PARTNER Cole Information Services

2006 CHISHOLM Roger F Haines Company, CHISHOLM Roger F Haines Company

1999 CHISHOLM Roger F Haines Company, CHISHOLM Roger F Haines Company

1985 CHISHOLM ROGER F Pacific Bell, CHISHOLM ROGER F Pacific Bell

1975 CHISHOLM ROGER F Pacific Telephone, CHISHOLM ROGER F Pacific Telephone

1960 CHISHOLM ROGER F Pacific Telephone, CHISHOLM ROGER F Pacific Telephone

1957 CHISHOLM ROGER F R Pacific Telephone, CHISHOLM ROGER F R Pacific Telephone

1950 CHISHOLM ROGER F R Pacific Telephone, CHISHOLM ROGER F R Pacific Telephone, CHISHOLM ROGER F R Pacific Telephone, CHISHOLM ROGER F R Pacific Telephone

#### ADJOINING PROPERTY DETAIL

#### 410 S ALHAMBRA AVE

2006 CONTRERAS Haines Company 2006 Bemabe Haines Company, CONTRERAS Haines Company, Bemabe Haines Company 1975 CONTRERAS BERNABE M Pacific Telephone, CONTRERAS BERNABE M Pacific Telephone 1966 LUTZ WM D Pacific Telephone, LUTZ WM D Pacific Telephone 1960 LUTZ WM D Pacific Telephone, LUTZ WM D Pacific Telephone 1957 LUTZ WM D Pacific Telephone, LUTZ WM D Pacific Telephone 1950 LUTZ WM D R Pacific Telephone, LUTZ WM D R Pacific Telephone 1950 LUTZ WM D R Pacific Telephone, LUTZ WM D R Pacific Telephone

#### 4.0 AREA GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

#### 4.1 Soil/Geology

Typical deposits of alluvial fans, plains, and terraces in the Los Angeles County characterize the underlying soils.

#### 4.2 Groundwater

In accordance with CSG (previously CDMG), Historically Highest Ground Water Contours and Borehole Log Data Locations, El Monte Quadrangle Open File, Report 98-15, the historically highest groundwater of the area is approximately 75 feet below the existing ground surface.

#### 5.0 OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS

#### 5.1 Asbestos

Asbestos-containing materials were used in many commercial products since early this century. Its use had peaked in the period between World War II and the 1970s. However, based on information obtained from manufacturers represented by the US Consumer Product Safety Commission, it is unlikely that asbestos-containing materials were commercially used since late 1970s. Based on the reviewed documents, it is our understanding that the existing buildings were constructed in 1949 and 1950. It is recommended that the potential of the presence of ACM should be evaluated prior to any building construction and remodeling.

#### 5.2 Radon Occurrence

Radon is a naturally occurring radioactive gas. It cannot be seen, smelled, or tasted and is the product of the natural radioactive decay of uranium. Radon is found most frequently in high concentrations in soils and rocks containing uranium, granite, shale, phosphate, and pitchblende. Radon may also be found in soils that are contaminated by certain types of industrial waste, such as by-products of uranium or phosphate mining waste. The site is underlain by soil deposits of alluvial fans, plains, and terraces of the Los Angeles Basin. It is CLE's opinion that the potential of high concentration radon occurring at the site is remote.

#### **5.3 Petroleum Activities**

The California Department of Conservation, Division of Oil and Gas and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR) regulates the drilling, operation, and abandonment of gas and oil wells throughout the California. DOGGR will require the site plan prior to the city issuing the building permit if the active, idle, or abandoned wells are located on or adjacent to the property. All abandoned oil wells must comply with the current regulatory standards. Based on our review of the Munger Map Book of the California Oil and Gas Field, no oil wells are located on the subject property or any adjacent properties.

#### 6.0 GOVERNMENT RECORDS SEARCH

A government records search conducted for the subject site. The records search was conducted by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR) to identify potentially contaminated properties located within one-mile radius of the referenced site. Based on EDR Radius Map Records, the one-mile radius was selected as the maximum distance that existing contamination might migrate or transport to the project site. Results of the government records search are provided in Appendix A, which lists the entire recorded contaminated site. A brief discussion of the contaminated sites is also presented below, as based on information provided by EDR.

#### 6.1 NPL

Under the US Federal EPA's CERCLA program (also known as the Superfund Program), EPA will identify and compile a list of all potential hazardous substances release sites (CERCLIS). Once on CERCLIS, the site will be assessed by the EPA, or appropriate state agencies, to determine necessary actions to be taken, if any. The inclusion of a site in the CERLCIS list does not necessarily confirm that the site poses a significant health or environmental threat. Once a site has been included in the CERCLIS, the EPA will use the Hazard Ranking System (HRS) to determine its potential risk to human health and/or environment. Only CERCLIS sites that present significant risk are included in the National Priority List (NPL). The record search indicates that there is no NPL site located within 1-mile radius of the subject site.

#### 6.2 HIST CAL-SITES

This database contains both known and potentially hazardous substance sites. The database is maintained by the DTSC (TSCP – Toxic Substance Control Program) via interviews with officials from county health agencies, local fire departments, county agricultural commissioners, and other

agencies. Most contamination information is preliminary. Once the information (contamination) is confirmed, the site will be switched to AWP (Annual Workplan). A review of the Cal-Sites list revealed that there is no HIST Cal-Site located within 1 mile of the subject property.

#### 6.3 CHMIRS

The California Hazardous Material Incident Report System contains information of reported hazardous material incidents, such as accidental spills or releases. The source is the California Office of Emergency Services. The record search indicates that there is no CHMIRS site located within 1 mile of the subject property.

#### **6.4 CORTESE**

This database includes sites of the following characteristics: public drinking water wells with detectable levels of contamination, hazardous substance sites selected for remedial action, sites with known toxic materials identified through the abandoned site assessment program, sites with USTs having a reportable releases, and all solid waste disposal facilities from which there is known migration. The record search indicates that there is no CORTESE site located within 0.5-mile radius of the subject site.

#### **6.5 LUST**

This database contains an inventory of leaking underground storage tank. The data come from the State Water Resources Control Board Leaking Underground Storage Tank Information System. Based on the information provided by EDR, 1 LUST facility is located within 1/2 miles of the subject site.

#### 6.6 UST

The Underground Storage Tank databases contain registered USTs. USTs are regulated under Subtitle I of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The data come from the State Water Resources Control Board's Hazardous Substance Storage Container Database. A review of the UST list, as provided by EDR, has revealed that there are no UST sites within approximately 1/4 miles of the subject property.

#### 6.7 HAZNET

This database is extracted from the copies of hazardous waste manifests received each year by DTSC. The annual volume of manifests is typically 700,000-1,000,000 annually, representing

approximately 350,000-500,000 shipments. Data are from the manifests submitted without correction, and therefore many contain some invalid values for data elements such as generator ID, TSD ID, waste category, & disposal method. A review of this database revealed that there is no HAZNET site located within 1/8 miles of the subject property.

#### 6.8 RCRA

RCRA is a national information system that supports the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) program through the tracking of events and activities related to facilities that generate, transport, treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste. RCRA database allows RCRA program staff to track the notification, permit, compliance, and corrective action activities required under RCRA.

The review of RCRA-SQG small quantity generators (SOG) database has revealed that there is no RCRA-SQG sites within approximately 0.25 miles of the target property

#### 7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 7.1 Conclusions

The following conclusions are based on information collected during this assessment and are subject to the limitations stated in Section 8 of this report.

- 1. The project site is located at the east side of Alhambra Avenue a relatively short distance south of Newmark Avenue, in the City of Monterey Park, California. The total property size is 56,562 square feet (1.3 acres). The site is relatively flat and it is occupied by 14 residential units. These buildings were built in 1949 and 1950. There are concrete driveways between and along side of the buildings. The Property addresses are 338, 400, and 408 S. Alhambra Avenue, APN: 5259-004-036, and 5259-004-037, Monterey Park, California. No aboveground or underground storage tanks were observed during our initial site reconnaissance.
- The existence of high concentration Radon is negligible at the site that is underlain by sedimentary deposits of alluvial soils.

- Review of government record search indicates that the City Directory Abstract and City of Monterey Park records indicate that the existing buildings were constructed in 1949 and 1950.
- Other listed sites are not likely to pose significant environmental concerns on the subject site by surface migration.
- It is unlikely that the current tenants generates, stores or handles hazardous wastes.
   Additional assessment is not recommended at this time.

#### 7.2 Recommendations

Additional assessment is not recommended at this time. Any future development, such as change use of the facility, or use of the groundwater, should be reviewed by an experienced environmental consultant.

#### **8.0 LIMITATIONS**

This Limited Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted standards of technical practice for a determination of potential contaminant releases at or under the site.

It should be noted that this assessment is completed without any on-site or off-site explorations; therefore, no statement of scientific certainly can be made pertaining to the subsurface conditions, which may be the result of on-site or off-site sources. Findings, conclusions and recommendations of this report with respect to hazardous waste potential are limited as being based on the scope of work performed and professional judgment concerning the significance of the data gather during CLE's investigation. This assessment is not, and should not be construed as, a warranty or guarantee about the presence or absence of hazardous contaminants, which may affect the subject site.

#### 9.0 REFERENCES

ASTM Standards on Environmental Site Assessments for Commercial Real Estate.

"Records, City of Monterey Park, Department of Building and Safety"

California Division of Mines and Geology, 1998, Seismic Hazard Zone Report for the El Monte 7.5minutes Quadrangle, Los Angeles County, California Seismic Hazard Zone report 98-15.

EDR Radius Map, Report With GeoCheck, August 4, 2016 EDR City Directory Abstract, August 4, 2016 EDR Certified Sanborn Map Report, August 5, 2016



"Looking Toward East" 338, 400 and 408 Alhambra Avenue Monterey Park, California



"Looking Toward East" 400 Alhambra Avenue Monterey Park, California

#### APPENDIX A

### GOVERNMENT RECORD SEARCH

CITY ( BUILDING DEPA	OF MONTE	Phone: ATlantic 9-388	3 APF	LICATION FOR PE	RMIT
Permit No. 7/5	Plan No.	P. C. No.	Group	Туре 2	Use Zone R-2
Date Issued 9-25-6	0	Ready For Inspection	Fire Zone	Set Back For Street Widening	Set Back For Use Zone
Job Address 400	Sthree K"	Se. allambr	llin of		RK
Lot Bla	ock 1	ract	Building 5-	dupled	45
Size of Lot 1.27	X 29	Chisbolog	2. Ca.	2. garag	
Address 4	08 S. Al	humbra Ave	r		
	W DE ST	Phone AT 15413			
Address	Address			e Policy No e Law.	
State & City License No, Phone		Foundation & Matil.			
Name	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Masonry Walls		
City State			Tilt-Up Walls Retaining Walls		
New Log	No. of Families	Phone	Swimming Pool		
Alteration Addition	No. of Rooms Size of Bldg.		Steel Bond Beems		
Repair Stories & Jr C Mana Wall Covering Styles C		Rough Frame			
Demolish Roof Covering Co. 19100		Final			
Park Ordinances and California State laws applicable thereto: That I have carefully examined the above application and know the same to be true and correct.					
or Authorized Agen	1 1	CC PERMITING TH			
VALUATION \$ 57	VALUATION S, J 49400 FEES 1919 ZM 8-SB ARNOLDE FUES 1919 A DECRE				

Phase I Environmental 338 S. Alhambra Avenue Monterey Park, CA 91755

Inquiry Number: 4692816.3 August 05, 2016

# Certified Sanborn® Map Report



6 Armstrong Road, 4th floor Shelton, CT 06484 Toll Free: 800,352,0050 www.edrnet.com

## Certified Sanborn® Map Report

#### Site Name:

#### **Client Name:**

Phase I Environmental 338 S. Alhambra Avenue Monterey Park, CA 91755 EDR Inquiry # 4692816.3

Cal Land Engineering 576 E. Lambert Rd Brea, CA 92821 Contact: Abe Kazemzadeh

08/05/16

The Sanborn Library has been searched by EDR and maps covering the target property location as provided by Cal Land Engineering were identified for the years listed below. The Sanborn Library is the largest, most complete collection of fire insurance maps. The collection includes maps from Sanborn, Bromley, Perris & Browne, Hopkins, Barlow, and others. Only Environmental Data Resources Inc. (EDR) is authorized to grant rights for commercial reproduction of maps by the Sanborn Library LLC, the copyright holder for the collection. Results can be authenticated by visiting www.edrnet.com/sanborn.

The Sanborn Library is continually enhanced with newly identified map archives. This report accesses all maps in the collection as of the day this report was generated.

#### Certified Sanborn Results:

Certification #	93A0-46E7-A479
PO #	16-023-095
Project	16-023-095

#### UNMAPPED PROPERTY

This report certifies that the complete holdings of the Sanborn Library, LLC collection have been searched based on client supplied target property information, and fire insurance maps covering the target property were not found.



Certification #: 93A0-46E7-A479

The Sanborn Library includes more than 1.2 million fire insurance maps from Sanborn, Bromley, Perris & Browne, Hopkins, Barlow and others which track historical property usage in approximately 12,000 American cities and towns. Collections searched:

Library of Congress

University Publications of America

EDR Private Collection

The Sanborn Library LLC Since 1866™

#### Limited Permission To Make Copies

Cal Land Engineering (the client) is permitted to make up to FIVE photocopies of this Sanborn Map transmittal and each fire insurance map accompanying this report solely for the limited use of its customer. No one other than the client is authorized to make copies. Upon request made directly to an EDR Account Executive, the client may be permitted to make a limited number of additional photocopies. This permission is conditioned upon compliance by the client, its customer and their agents with EDR's copyright policy; a copy of which is available upon request.

Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice This Report contains certain information obtained from a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Environmental Data Resources, Inc. It cannot be concluded from this Report that coverage information for the target and surrounding properties does not exist from other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OF DAMAGE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. IS STRICTLY LIMITED TO A REFUND OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THIS REPORT. Purchaser accepts this Report "AS IS". Any analyses, estimates, ratings, environmental risk levels or risk codes provided in this Report are provided for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to provide, nor should they be interpreted as providing any facts regarding, or prediction or forecast of, any environmental risk for any property. Only a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment performed by an environmental professional can provide information regarding the environmental risk for any property. Additionally, the information provided in this Report is not to be construed as legal advice.

Copyright 2016 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.

### Phase I Environmental

338 S. Alhambra Avenue Monterey Park, CA 91755

Inquiry Number: 4692816.2s August 04, 2016

## The EDR Radius Map[™] Report with GeoCheck®



6 Armstrong Road, 4th floor Shelton, CT 06484 Toll Free: 800.352.0050 www.edrnet.com

FORM-LBD-RG

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## PRELIMINARY LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT PLAN (PRELIMINARY LID PLAN)

### **65-Unit Condominium**

### 338-410 s. Alhambra Ave., Monterey Park, CA 91755

### Prepared for:

The Commons of MPK LLC 812 S. Atlantic Blvd., Ste A, Monterey Park, CA 91054

Prepared by:

EGL Associates, Inc 11819 Goldring Rd, Unit A Arcadia, CA 91006 (626) 263-3588



### Date Prepared: 05/17/2023

## **Project Owner's Certification**

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my jurisdiction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathered the information, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information submitted is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Owner's Name:	The Commons of MPK LLC
Owner's Title:	Owner
Company:	
Address:	812 S. Atlantic Blvd., Ste A, Monterey Park, CA 91054
Email:	alai002@gmail.com
Telephone No:	626-628-4463
Signature:	Date:

## **Preparer (Engineer) Certification**

Engineer's Name:	Hank Jong	
Engineer's Title:	Principle	
Company:	EGL Associates, Inc	
Address:	11819 Goldring Rd, Unit A, Arcadia, CA 91006	
Email:	mail@egl88.com	
Telephone No:	(626)263-3588	
I hereby certify that this Low Impact Development Plan is in compliance with, and meets the requirements set forth in, Order No. R4-2012-0175 of the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board.		
Engineer's Signature:	Date:	05/23/2023
Place Stamp Here:	+ CIVIL + STATE OF CALLFORNIA	

## **Table of Contents**

1.	1. Project Description		1
	1.1.	Project Category	1
	1.2.	Project Description	2
	1.3.	Hydromodification Analysis	4
	1.4.	Property Ownership/Management	5
2.	Best Ma	anagement Practices (BMPs)	6
	2.1.	Site Design	6
	2.2.	BMP Selection	7
	2.2.1	1. Infiltration BMPs	7
	2.2.2	2. Rainwater Harvest and Use BMPs	7
	2.2.3	3. Alternative Compliance BMPs	8
	2.2.4	4. Treatment Control BMPs	9
	2.2.5	5. Hydromodification Control BMPs	
	2.2.6	5. Non-structural Source Control BMPs	10
	2.2.7	7. Structural Source Control BMPs	11

### Attachments

Attachment A	Calculations
Attachment B	Geotechnical Investigation
Attachment C	Master Covenant and Agreement (MCA)
Attachment D	Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan
Attachment E	Construction Plans

65-Unit Condo-338-410 Alhambra Ave.

## **1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

## **1.1. PROJECT CATEGORY**

Check which box best represents the proposed project category. Only check "Yes" for one box.

Cat	tegory	YES	NO
1.	Development ^a of a new project equal to 1 acre or greater of disturbed area and adding more than 10,000 square feet of impervious area ^b	$\boxtimes$	
2.	Development a of a new industrial park with 10,000 square feet or more of surface area c		$\square$
3.	Development ^a of a new commercial mall with 10,000 square feet or more of surface area ^c		$\boxtimes$
4.	Development ^a of a new retail gasoline outlet with 5,000 square feet or more of surface area ^c		$\boxtimes$
5.	Development ^a of a new restaurant (SIC 5812) with 5,000 square feet or more of surface area ^c		$\boxtimes$
6.	Development ^a of a new parking lot with either 5,000 ft ² or more of impervious area ^b or with 25 or more parking spaces		$\boxtimes$
7.	Development ^a of a new automotive service facility (SIC 5013, 5014, 5511, 5541, 7532-7534 and 7536-7539) with 5,000 square feet or more of surface area ^c		$\boxtimes$
8.	<ul> <li>Projects located in or directly adjacent to, or discharging directly to a Significant Ecological Area (SEA),^d where the development will:</li> <li>a. Discharge stormwater runoff that is likely to impact a sensitive biological species or habitat; and</li> </ul>		
	b. Create 2,500 square feet or more of impervious area ^b		
9.	Redevelopment ^e of 5,000 square feet or more in one of the categories listed above If yes, list redevelopment category here:		
10.	. Redevelopment ^e of 10,000 square feet or more to a Single Family Home, without a change in landuse.	$\square$	

a Development includes any construction or demolition activity, clearing, grading, grubbing, or excavation or any other activity that results in land disturbance.

b Surfaces that do not allow stormwater runoff to percolate into the ground. Typical impervious surfaces include: concrete, asphalt, roofing materials, etc.

c The surface area is the total footprint of an area. Not to include the cumulative area above or below the ground surface.

d An area in which plant or animal life or their habitats are either rare or especially valuable because of their special nature or role in an ecosystem and would be disturbed or degraded by human activities and developments. Also, an area designated by the City as approved by the Regional Water Quality Control Board.

e Land-disturbing activities that result in the creation, addition, or replacement of a certain amount of impervious surface area on an already developed site. Redevelopment does not include routine maintenance activities that are conducted to maintain the original line and grade, hydraulic capacity, or original purpose of facility, nor does it include modifications to existing single family structures, or emergency construction activities required to immediately protect public health and safety.

## **1.2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Total Project Area (ft²): 75,440 sf

Total Project Area (Ac): 1.73 ac

**EXISTING CONDITIONS** 

Condition	Area (ft ² )	Percentage (%)
Pervious Area:	44,576	59
Impervious Area:	30,864	41

#### **PROPOSED CONDITIONS**

Condition	Area (ft ² )	Percentage (%)
Pervious Area:	12,702	17
Impervious Area:	62,738	83

#### SITE CHARACTERISTICS

DRAINAGE PATTERNS/CONNECTIONS [Include a detailed description of existing and proposed drainage	Existing: Existing drainage pattern drains from Southwest of site to Northeast of project site .
patterns. Describe the areas and sub-areas (to include square footage), treatment locations, direction of flow through each area, discharge point(s), ultimate termination point, etc.]	Proposed: Existing drainage pattern will be maintained. Runoff from units will be captured by catch basin and using sump pump to pump to the proposed biofiltration planter box. Additional runoff from peak season will be discharged to Orange Ave from the biofiltration thru parkway drain.
NARRATIVE PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Proposed site will consist of 65-unit detached condominium.
[Include a detailed description of project areas, type of facilities, activities conducted onsite, materials and products received and stored on site, SIC Code (if applicable), land uses, land cover, design elements, drainage management areas (DMAs), etc.]	
OFFSITE RUNON	N/A
[Describe any offsite runon	

anticipated and how the runon will be either accounted for in LID BMP sizing or directed around the site.]	
UTILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION	Existing and proposed utility line will not be affected by underground biofiltration planter box.
[Include a description of the existing and proposed onsite utility and infrastructure. Evaluate the potential impacts of stormwater infiltration on subsurface utilities, establish necessary setbacks, and if the utilities need to be relocated. Retention-based stormwater quality control measures should not be located near utility lines where an increased volume of water could damage utilities.]	
Significant Ecological Areas (SEAs)	N/A
[Identify any known Significant Ecological Area (SEA) which the project is located in or directly adjacent to, or discharging directly to.]	

65-Unit Condo-338-410 Alhambra Ave.

## **1.3.** HYDROMODIFICATION ANALYSIS

1. Project is a redevelopment that decreases the effective impervious area compared to the pre-project conditions.       □       □         Describe:       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □       □ <th colspan="3">DOES THE PROPOSED PROJECT FALL INTO ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES? CHECK YES/NO.</th> <th>No</th>	DOES THE PROPOSED PROJECT FALL INTO ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES? CHECK YES/NO.			No
Describe:         2. Project is a redevelopment that increases the infiltration capacity of pervious areas compared to the pre-project conditions.         Describe:         3. Project discharges directly or via a storm drain to a sump, lake, area under tidal influence, into a waterway that has a 100-year peak flow (Q ₁₀₀ ) of 25,000 cfs or more.         Describe:         4. Project discharges directly or via a storm drain into concrete or otherwise engineered (not natural) channels (e.g., channelized or armored with rip rap, shotcrete, etc.), which, in turn, discharge into receiving water that is not susceptible to hydromodification impacts.         Describe:         Both parcels will collect runoff thru catch basin and stored in biofiltration planter box. Additionar overflow from planter box will be discharged to engineered channels on Puente street. Therefore	1.	1. Project is a redevelopment that decreases the effective impervious area compared to the pre-project conditions.		$\boxtimes$
<ul> <li>2. Project is a redevelopment that increases the infiltration capacity of pervious areas compared to the pre-project conditions.</li> <li>Describe:</li> <li>3. Project discharges directly or via a storm drain to a sump, lake, area under tidal influence, into a waterway that has a 100-year peak flow (Q₁₀₀) of 25,000 cfs or more.</li> <li>Describe:</li> <li>4. Project discharges directly or via a storm drain into concrete or otherwise engineered (not natural) channels (e.g., channelized or armored with rip rap, shotcrete, etc.), which, in turn, discharge into receiving water that is not susceptible to hydromodification impacts.</li> <li>Describe:</li> <li>Descr</li></ul>		Describe:		
Describe:         3. Project discharges directly or via a storm drain to a sump, lake, area under tidal influence, into a waterway that has a 100-year peak flow (Q100) of 25,000 cfs or more.       Image: Comparison of the store store of t	2.	Project is a redevelopment that increases the infiltration capacity of pervious areas compared to the pre-project conditions.		$\boxtimes$
<ul> <li>3. Project discharges directly or via a storm drain to a sump, lake, area under tidal influence, into a waterway that has a 100-year peak flow (Q₁₀₀) of 25,000 cfs or more.</li> <li>Describe:</li> <li>4. Project discharges directly or via a storm drain into concrete or otherwise engineered (not natural) channels (e.g., channelized or armored with rip rap, shotcrete, etc.), which, in turn, discharge into receiving water that is not susceptible to hydromodification impacts.</li> <li>Describe:</li> <li>Both parcels will collect runoff thru catch basin and stored in biofiltration planter box. Additionar overflow from planter box will be discharged to engineered channels on Puente street. Therefore a store is in the discharge into interview in the street.</li> </ul>		Describe:		
Describe:         4. Project discharges directly or via a storm drain into concrete or otherwise engineered (not natural) channels (e.g., channelized or armored with rip rap, shotcrete, etc.), which, in turn, discharge into receiving water that is not susceptible to hydromodification impacts.         Describe:         Both parcels will collect runoff thru catch basin and stored in biofiltration planter box. Additional overflow from planter box will be discharged to engineered channels on Puente street. Therefore	3.	Project discharges directly or via a storm drain to a sump, lake, area under tidal influence, into a waterway that has a 100-year peak flow ( $Q_{100}$ ) of 25,000 cfs or more.		$\boxtimes$
<ul> <li>4. Project discharges directly or via a storm drain into concrete or otherwise engineered (not natural) channels (e.g., channelized or armored with rip rap, shotcrete, etc.), which, in turn, discharge into receiving water that is not susceptible to hydromodification impacts.</li> <li>Describe:</li> <li>Both parcels will collect runoff thru catch basin and stored in biofiltration planter box. Additional overflow from planter box will be discharged to engineered channels on Puente street. Therefore with discussion impacts.</li> </ul>		Describe:		
Describe: Both parcels will collect runoff thru catch basin and stored in biofiltration planter box. Additiona overflow from planter box will be discharged to engineered channels on Puente street. Therefore	4.	Project discharges directly or via a storm drain into concrete or otherwise engineered (not natural) channels (e.g., channelized or armored with rip rap, shotcrete, etc.), which, in turn, discharge into receiving water that is not susceptible to hydromodification impacts.		
Both parcels will collect runoff thru catch basin and stored in biofiltration planter box. Additional overflow from planter box will be discharged to engineered channels on Puente street. Therefore		Describe:		
no hydromodification analysis is required.		Both parcels will collect runoff thru catch basin and stored in biofiltration planter box. overflow from planter box will be discharged to engineered channels on Puente street. no hydromodification analysis is required.	Addit There	ional fore,

[Check "Yes" or "No," as applicable.

If one or more of the above criteria are checked "Yes," the project is exempt from Hydromodification Control Measures. State as such below.

If none of the above criteria are checked "Yes," the project will require Hydromodification control measures. Include detailed description of control measures to be implemented and a reference to calculations following the criteria outlined in MS4 Permit (Order R4-2012-0175) §VI.D.7.c.iv]

## **1.4. PROPERTY OWNERSHIP/MANAGEMENT**

65-Unit Condo-338-410 Alhambra Ave.

## 2. BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPs)

## **2.1.** SITE DESIGN

85 th Percentile, 24- Hour Storm Depth	0.90
[Determined from the Los Angeles County 85th percentile precipitation isohyetal map. If less than 0.75 inch, state as such and use 0.75 inch throughout.]	
Site Design	Biofiltration Planter box
[Describe site design and drainage plan including: site design practices utilized and how BMPs are incorporated using the appropriate hierarchy.]	

#### **BMP** LIST

#### [Fill out the table below with information on the BMPs incorporated in each Drainage Management Area (DMA)]

DMA Designation	Square Footage (sf)	Acr eage (Ac)	STORM WATER QUALITY DESIGN VOLUME (SWQDV, CF)	BMP Type [Include make & model if proprietary]	MINIMUM BMP SIZE [Include units]	BMP SIZE PROVIDED [Include units]	GPS Coordinates
Parcel 1	75,440	1.73	4282.41	biofiltration	4282.41 SF	500	34.0583853 856326, - 118.116483 71238091

## 2.2. BMP SELECTION

## 2.2.1. INFILTRATION BMPs

ΝΑΜΕ	INCLUDED
Bioretention without underdrains	
Infiltration Trench	
Infiltration Basin	
Drywell	
Proprietary Subsurface Infiltration Gallery	
Permeable Pavement (concrete, asphalt, pavers)	
Other:	
Other:	

DESCRIPTION	N/A
CALCULATIONS	N/A

## **2.2.2.** RAINWATER HARVEST AND USE BMPS

Name	INCLUDED	
	[Check all that apply.]	
Above-ground cisterns and basins		
Underground detention		
Other:		
Other:		
Other:		

DESCRIPTION	N/A
CALCULATIONS	N/A

## **Low Impact Development Plan (LID Plan)** 65-Unit Condo-338-410 Alhambra Ave.

#### 2.2.3. **ALTERNATIVE COMPLIANCE BMPs**

#### **BIOFILTRATION BMPs**

(Allowed only if Infiltration BMPs and Rainwater Harvest and Use BMPs are Infeasible.)

Ναμε	INCLUDED	
	[Check all that apply.]	
Bioretention with underdrains (i.e. planter box, rain garden, etc.)	$\boxtimes$	
Constructed Wetland		
Vegetated Swale		
Vegetated Filter Strip		
Tree-Well Filter		
Other:		
Other:		

Calculations	$\frac{SWQDv1 = 4,282.41 \text{ cf}}{V_b = 1.5(SWQDv - Vr) = 1.5(4,282.41) = 6,423.62 \text{ cf}}$ (where, V _b : Biofiltration Volume, SWQDv: Storm Water Quality Design Volume, Vr: Volume of stormwater runoff reliably retained on-site) Required detention time, tp = d / (fdesign/12) (where, d: ponding depth,=1.5' max., fdesign:Design Infiltration Rate, = 2.5 in/hr) tp = 1.5/(2.5/12) = 7.2 < 96 hours Required Area of Planter Box, Ap = V _b / d (where, V= 970.92 cf, d=1.5') = 6423.62 / 1.5 = 4282.41 sf Proposed planter box size = <b>4300 SF</b>

## **Low Impact Development Plan (LID Plan)** 65-Unit Condo-338-410 Alhambra Ave.

#### **OFFSITE BMPs**

(If Infiltration BMPs, Rainwater Harvest and Use BMPs, and Biofiltration BMPs are Infeasible)

Name	INCLUDED
	[Check all that apply.]
Offsite Infiltration	
Ground Water Replenishment Projects	
Offsite Project - Retrofit Existing Development	
Regional Storm Water Mitigation Program	
Other:	
Other:	

DESCRIPTION	
Calculations	

## 2.2.4. TREATMENT CONTROL BMPs

Treatment control BMPs can only be used as pre-treatment to LID BMPs.

Name	INCLUDED	
	[Check all that apply.]	
Media Filter		
Filter Insert		
CDS Unit		
Other:		
Other:		

DESCRIPTION
[Include descriptions on selection, suitability, sizing, and infeasibility, as applicable.]

### **2.2.5.** Hydromodification Control BMPs

ΝΑΜΕ	INCLUDED	
	[Check all that apply.]	
Infiltration System		
Above-ground Cistern		
Above-ground Basin		
Underground Detention		
Other:		
Other:		

DESCRIPTION	
Calculations	

## **2.2.6.** NON-STRUCTURAL SOURCE CONTROL BMPS

Ναμε	CHECK ONE	
	Included	Not Applicable
Education for Property Owners, Tenants and Occupants	$\boxtimes$	
Activity Restrictions	$\boxtimes$	
Common Area Landscape Management	$\square$	
Common Area Litter Control	$\square$	
Housekeeping of Loading Docks		$\boxtimes$
Common Area Catch Basin Inspection	$\square$	$\square$
Street Sweeping of Private Streets and Parking Lots		$\boxtimes$
## **2.2.7.** STRUCTURAL SOURCE CONTROL BMPs

Name	CHECK ONE			
	Included	Not Applicable		
Provide storm drain system stenciling and signage	$\boxtimes$	$\square$		
Design and construct outdoor material storage areas to reduce pollution introduction		$\boxtimes$		
Design and construct trash and waste storage areas to reduce pollution introduction		$\boxtimes$		
Use efficient irrigation systems & landscape design, water conservation, smart controllers, and source control	$\boxtimes$			
Protect slopes and channels and provide energy dissipation		$\boxtimes$		
Loading docks		$\square$		
Maintenance bays		$\boxtimes$		
Vehicle wash areas		$\boxtimes$		
Outdoor processing areas		$\square$		
Equipment wash areas/racks		$\square$		
Fueling areas		$\square$		
Hillside landscaping		$\square$		

# **Attachment A**

## **Calculations**

[Include calculations for each BMP following an approved published design standard (i.e. City Manuals, County Manuals, Caltrans, CASQA, etc.). Calculations must be followed step-by-step with no alterations. Also, include an excerpt from the design standard used.]

$$\begin{split} & \text{SWQDv1} = \underline{4,282.41} \text{ cf} \\ & \text{Vb} = 1.5(\text{ SWQDv} - \text{Vr}) = 1.5(\underline{4,282.41} - 0) = 6,423.62 \text{ cf} \\ & (\text{where, V-b: Biofiltration Volume, SWQDv: Storm Water Quality Design Volume, Vr: Volume of stormwater runoff reliably retained on-site) \\ & \text{Required detention time, tp} = d / (fdesign/12) \\ & (\text{where, d: ponding depth,=1.5' max.,} \\ & fdesign: \text{Design Infiltration Rate, = 2.5 in/hr}) \\ & \text{tp} = 1.5/(2.5/12) = 7.2 < 96 \text{ hours} \\ & \text{Required Area of Planter Box, Ap} = \text{Vb} / d (\text{where, V= 970.92 cf, d=1.5'}) \\ & = 6423.62 / 1.5 = 4282.41 \text{ sf} \\ & \text{Proposed planter box size} = 4,300 \text{ SF} \end{split}$$



# **Attachment B**

## **Geotechnical Investigation**

[Include all geotechnical documents relevant to infiltration feasibility (i.e. Geotechnical Report, Soils Report, Percolation Report, Soils Letter, etc.). The document(s) must detail the results of the soil investigation, the infiltration rate, groundwater depths, soil characterization, etc. Note that soil borings must be conducted in the area of the proposed BMPs. In addition to the complete soils report, a letter signed and stamped with wet ink application by a geotechnical engineer must be provided. The letter must state that the soil will or will not exhibit instability as a result of implementing the proposed BMPs, that the seasonal high groundwater depth is or is not at the required depth (5-10 feet depending on BMP type) below the base of the infiltration BMP, and the infiltration rate is or is not at least 0.3 in/hr.]

# **Attachment C**

## **Master Covenant Agreement (MCA)**

[Include a Master Covenant Agreement (MCA). Obtain a template from the City of Covina. The MCA must list the type and dimensions of each BMP. The MCA must contain the following exhibits: Legal Description, Site Plan, Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan, and Owner's Certification. Once the MCA is approved by the City, it will need to be notarized and recorded (along with attachments) with the County Recorder's Office.]

# **Attachment D**

# **Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan**

[Include an Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan. This should include the components of the BMPs, the frequency of inspections and maintenance, the responsible entity, etc.]

## **Operations and Maintenance Plan**

65-Unit Condominium - 338-410 S. Alhambra Ave

## 338-410 S. Alhambra Ave., Monterey Park, CA 91755

### **REQUIRED PERMITS**

List any permits required for the implementation, operation, and maintenance of the BMPs. Possible examples are: permits for connection to sanitary sewer, permits from California Department of Fish and Game, encroachment permits, etc. If no permits are required, a statement to that effect should be made.

**RESPONSIBLE PARTY** 

The owner is aware of the maintenance responsibilities of the proposed BMPs. A funding mechanism is in place to maintain the BMPs at the frequency stated in the LID Plan. All records must be made available for review upon request. The contact information for the entity responsible is provided below:

Name:	The Commons of MPK LLC
Company:	
Title:	Owner
	812 S. Atlantic Blvd., Ste A,
Address 1:	Monterey Park, CA 91054
Address 2:	
Phone Number:	626-628-4463
Email:	alai002@gmail.com

Operations and Maintenance Plan

BMP Name	BMP Implementation, Maintenance, and Inspection Procedures	Implementation.	Person or Entity with
		Maintenance, and Inspection Frequency and Schedule	Operation & Maintenance Responsibility
	Non-Structural Source Control BMPs		
Education for Property Owners, Tenants and Occupants	The property owner will be provided educational material.	When applicable	Owner
Activity Restriction	The owner will prescribe activity Restrictions to protect surface water quality, through lease terms or other equally effective measure, for the property.	On going	Owner
Common Area Landscape Management	N/A		
Common Area Litter Control	N/A		
Housekeeping of Loading Docks	N/A		
Common Area Catch Basin Inspection	N/A		
Street Sweeping Private Streets and Parking Lots	N/A		
	Structural Source Control BMPs		
Provide Storm Drain Stenciling and Signage	N/A		
Design and Construct Outdoor Material Storage Areas to Reduce Pollutant Introduction	N/A		
Design and Construct Trash and Waste Storage Areas to Reduce Pollutant Introduction	N/A		

BMP Name	BMP Implementation, Maintenance, and Inspection Procedures	Implementation, Maintenance, and Inspection Frequency and Schedule	Person or Entity with Operation & Maintenance Responsibility
Use Efficient Irrigation Systems & Landscape Design	In conjunction with routine maintenance activities, verify that landscape design continues to function properly by adjusting properly to eliminate overspray to hardscape areas, and to verify that irrigation timing and cycle lengths are adjusted in accordance with water demands, given time of year, weather, day or night-time temperatures based on system specifications and local climate patterns.	Monthly	Owner
Protect Slopes and Channels and Provide Energy Dissipation	N/A		
Loading Docks	N/A		
Maintenance Bays	N/A		
Vehicle Wash Areas/Racks	N/A		
Outdoor Processing Areas	N/A		
Equipment Wash Areas	N/A		
Fueling Areas	N/A		
Hillside Landscaping	N/A		
	LID BMPs	1	
BIO-1 Biofiltration Planter Box		Annually	Owner

# **Attachment E**

## **Construction Plans**

[Include full sized copies (24" x 36" or larger) of all relevant plans (i.e. grading plans, plumbing plans, drainage plans, etc.) signed, stamped, and dated with wet ink application by a California licensed civil engineer with all water quality notes and details. This is to properly evaluate the site design and ensure all BMPs are located on plans which will be used by the contractor during construction. The plans must indicate the locations of all BMPs, cross-sectional details of all BMPs, conveyance systems, drainage connections, overflow processes, elevations, inverts, etc. All conveyance systems (i.e. ribbon gutters, area drains, storm drains, swales, etc.) must be indicated with inverts and elevations. The cross-sectional details of the BMPs must show the type and depth of all layers (i.e. amended soil layer, gravel layer, etc.) and must follow the criteria from the design standard used.]

MONTEREY PARK, CA 91754 PH: 626-628-4463

ARCADIA, CA 91006 PH: 626–263–3588 E–MAIL: MAIL@EGL88.COM

ZONE: R-3 (PROPOSED) NO. OF EX. LOT: 3 NO. OF PROP. LOT: 1 NO. OF EX. UNITS: 10 NO. OF PROP. UNIT: 65 NO. OF PROP. PARKING: 99 AREA OF LOT: 75,440 SQ. FT. (1.73 ACRES) SEWERAGE DISPOSAL: BY GRAVITY SEWER PIPES TO SEWER MAIN.





	← → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → →
EXEXISTING	PROPOSED BLOCK
F.GFINISH GRADE	
FSFINISH SURFACE	
TCTOP OF CURB	
F.FFINISH FLOOR	
TWTOP OF WALL	_{&gt;←} FENCE LINE
(186.70)EXISTING ELEVATION	SSEWER MANHOLE
(185) EXISTING CONTOUR	

This Page Intentionally Left Blank





February 10, 2023

Mr. Bob Prasse, Director of Environmental Services MIG, INC. 1650 Spruce Street, Suite 106 Riverside, California 92507

### RE: 338 - 410 Alhambra Avenue Residential Project Transportation Study Screening Assessment Project No.: 19608

Dear Mr. Prasse:

Ganddini Group, Inc. is pleased to provide this Transportation Study Screening Assessment for the proposed 338 – 410 Alhambra Avenue Residential Project. We trust the findings of this analysis will aid you and the City of Monterey Park in assessing the project.

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The 1.73-acre project site is located east of Alhambra Avenue between Newmark Avenue and Graves Avenue addressed at 338 – 410 Alhambra Avenue (APNs: 5259-004-036, -037, and -038) in the City of Monterey Park, California. The project site is currently occupied by two single-family detached residential dwelling units and thirteen multi-family housing (low-rise) dwelling units. Figure 1 shows the project location map.

The proposed project involves construction of a three-story residential building with 65 multi-family housing (low-rise) dwelling units. Vehicular access is proposed at Alhambra Avenue via two project driveways. The proposed project is anticipated to be constructed and fully operational by year 2025. Figure 2 illustrates the project site plan.

## **PROJECT TRIP GENERATION**

Table 1 and Table 2 show the existing land uses and project trip generation for potential residential use based upon trip generation rates obtained from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) *Trip Generation Manual* (11th Edition, 2021). Based on review of the ITE land use descriptions, trip generation rates for Single-Family Detached Residential (ITE Land Use Code 210) and Multi-Family Housing (Low-Rise) (ITE Land Use Code 220) were determined to adequately represent the existing land uses and proposed project and were used for calculating the project trip generation forecasts. The existing land uses and project trip generation forecasts were determined by multiplying the trip generation rates by the land use quantities.

As shown in Table 1, the existing land uses currently generate approximately 107 daily vehicle trips, including 6 vehicle trips during the AM peak hour and 8 vehicle trips during the PM peak hour.

As shown in Table 2, the proposed project is forecast to generate approximately 438 daily vehicle trips, including 26 vehicle trips during the AM peak hour and 33 vehicle trips during the PM peak hour.

As shown in Table 3, the proposed project is forecast to generate approximately 331 additional daily vehicle trips compared to existing project site uses, including 20 additional vehicle trips during the AM peak hour and 25 additional vehicle trips during the PM peak hour.

## CRITERIA FOR THE PREPARATION OF TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSES

According to the City of Monterey Park *Transportation Impact Guidelines for Vehicle Miles Traveled and Level of Service Assessment* (September 2020) "[the City TIA Guidelines"], certain types of projects, because of their size, nature, or location, are exempt from the requirement of preparing a traffic impact analysis.

## Level of Service (LOS) Analysis

The City of Monterey Park has established guidelines for Level of Service (LOS) impact for General Plan operational compliance. As specified in the City TIA Guidelines, a traffic impact analysis shall be required based on the following five factors:

- 1. A traffic study is required for new developments or for the expansion of existing developments which are forecast to generate a minimum of 50 vehicles per hour (total two-way) during the greater of the AM or PM peak hours.
- 2. A traffic study will be required for all developments, regardless of size, located within 300 feet of the intersection of two arterial streets, as defined in the General Plan or for any developments fronting on two different streets, regardless of classification.
- 3. The presence of an existing or future traffic safety problem will require a traffic study.
- 4. The location of the developments in an environmentally or otherwise sensitive area, or in an area that generates controversy will require a traffic study.
- 5. The presence of a nearby substandard intersection or street will require a traffic study. The substandard condition is normally considered to be level of service "D" or worse.

The proposed project is projected to generate less than 50 new AM or PM peak hour trips. The project site is not located within 300 feet of the intersection of two arterial streets and does not front two different streets. Therefore, criteria number 1 and 2 are not met.

Criteria 3 and 4 are qualitative in nature and not anticipated to be met by the proposed project. Criterion 5 is unknown without an existing analysis of the nearby roadway network but is not anticipated to be met by the addition of project traffic. Based on the minimal net trip increase, the project would not appreciably worsen any of the considerations in criteria 3 through 5.

Therefore, the project reasonably meets the criteria for exemption from a traffic impact analysis based on City of Monterey Park TIA Guidelines.

## Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Analysis

The project VMT impact has also been assessed in accordance with the City TIA Guidelines. The City TIA Guidelines establish screening thresholds for certain types of projects that may be presumed to cause a less



than significant VMT impact based on substantial evidence provided in the Office of Planning and Research (OPR) *Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA* (December 2018).

The City TIA Guidelines specify the following three screening steps: 1) Transit Priority Area (TPA) Screening; 2) Low VMT Area Screening; and 3) Project Type Screening; and.

## Transit Priority Area (TPA) Screening

Projects located within a TPA (half mile area around an existing major transit stop or an existing stop along a high-quality transit corridor) may be presumed to have a less than significant impact absent substantial evidence to the contrary. This presumption may not be appropriate if the project:

- 1. Has a Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of less than 0.75;
- 2. Includes more parking for use by residents, customers, or employees of the project than required by the City;
- 3. Is inconsistent with the applicable Sustainable Communities Strategy (as determined by the lead agency with input from the Southern California Association of Governments [SCAG]): or
- 4. Replaces affordable residential units with a smaller number of moderate or high-income residential units.

The San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG) VMT Screening Tool was used to determine if the project is located within a TPA. The project site is not located within a TPA based on the SGVCOG VMT Screening Tool assessment. Therefore, the proposed project does not satisfy the City-established screening criteria for projects located within a TPA.

## Low VMT Area Screening

Residential and office projects located within a low VMT generating area may be presumed to have a less than significant impact absent substantial evidence to the contrary. In addition, other employment-related and mixed-use land use projects may qualify for the use of screening if the project can reasonably be expected to generate VMT per resident, per worker, or per service population that is similar to the existing land uses in the low VMT area.

For this screening in the SGVCOG VMT Screening Tool, the Southern California Association of Governments Regional Travel Demand Model was used to measure VMT performance for individual jurisdictions and for individual traffic analysis zones (TAZs). TAZs are geographic polygons similar to census block groups used to represent areas of homogenous travel behavior. Total daily VMT per service population (population plus employment) was estimated for each TAZ. This presumption may not be appropriate if the project land uses would alter the existing built environment in such a way as to increase the rate or length of vehicle trips.

The proposed project is consistent with existing residential land uses in the TAZ and there does not appear to be anything unique about the project that would otherwise be misrepresented utilizing the data from the SGVCOG VMT Screening Tool. In accordance with the City TIA Guidelines, a low VMT area for residential projects is defined as a TAZ where VMT per service population does not exceed 15 percent below the current SGVCOG jurisdictional baseline VMT per service population. Exhibit A shows the SGVCOG VMT Screening Tool results for the project site.







Exhibit A - SGVCOG VMT Screening Tool Results for the Project



**SGVCOG** 

0

0%

0 %

0%

Based on the SGVCOG VMT Screening Tool assessment, the proposed project is located within TAZ 22148100. The project TAZ 2023 Total VMT per service population is equal to 21.6. The jurisdictional 2023 Total VMT per service population is equal to 34.78. Therefore, the project VMT does not exceed 15% below the SGVCOG jurisdictional baseline VMT per service population. The proposed project satisfies the City-established screening criteria for projects located in low VMT areas and may be presumed to result in a less than significant VMT impact.

## Project Type Screening

Some project types have been identified as having the presumption of a less than significant impact. The following uses can be presumed to have a less than significant impact absent substantial evidence to the contrary as their uses are local serving in nature:

- Local-serving K-12 schools
- Local parks
- Day care centers
- Local-serving retail uses less than 50,000 square feet, including:
  - o Gas stations
  - o Banks
  - o Restaurants
  - o Shopping center
- Local-serving hotels (e.g. non-destination hotels)
- Local-serving assembly uses (places of worship, community organizations)
- Community institutions (Public libraries, fire stations, local government)
- Affordable, supportive, or transitional housing
- Assisted living facilities
- Senior housing (as defined by HUD)
- Local serving community colleges that are consistent with the assumptions noted in the RTP/SCS
- Student housing projects on or adjacent to college campuses
- Other local-serving uses as approved by the City Traffic Engineer
- Projects generating less than 110 daily vehicle trips
  - This generally corresponds to the following "typical" development potentials:
    - 11 single family housing units
    - 16 multi-family, condominiums, or townhouse housing units
    - 10,000 square feet of office
    - 15,000 square feet of light industrial
    - 63,000 square feet of warehousing
    - 79,000 square feet of high cube transload and short-term storage warehouse

The project site is not local-serving retail and is also not a land use that meets the thresholds listed as being presumed to have a less than significant impact. Therefore, the proposed project does not satisfy the City-established screening criteria for project type screening.



## CONCLUSION

The proposed project is forecast to generate approximately 331 additional daily vehicle trips compared to existing project site uses, including 20 additional vehicle trips during the AM peak hour and 25 additional vehicle trips during the PM peak hour.

The project reasonably meets the criteria for exemption from a traffic impact analysis based on City of Monterey Park TIA Guidelines.

The proposed project satisfies the City-established screening criteria for projects located in low VMT areas and may be presumed to result in a less than significant VMT impact.

We appreciate the opportunity to assist you on this project. Should you have any questions or if we can be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to call at (714) 795-3100 x 103.

Sincerely,

GANDDINI GROUP, INC. Bryan Crawford | Senior Associate Giancarlo Ganddini, PE, PTP | Principal





## Table 1 Existing Trip Generation

Trip Generation Rates											
			А	M Peak Ho	ur	PI					
Land Use	Source ¹	Unit ²	% In	% Out	Rate	% In	% Out	Rate	Daily		
Single-Family Detached Residential	ITE 210	DU	26%	74%	0.70	63%	37%	0.94	9.43		
Multi-Family Housing (Low-Rise)	ITE 220	DU	24%	76%	0.40	63%	37%	0.51	6.74		

Trips Generated										
			AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour				
Land Use	Quantity	Unit ²	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Daily	
Single-Family Detached Residential	2	DU	0	1	1	1	1	2	19	
Multi-Family Housing (Low-Rise)	13	DU	1	4	5	4	2	6	88	
Total			1	5	6	5	3	8	107	

Notes:

(1) ITE = Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, 2021; ### = Land Use Code

(2) DU = Dwelling Units

## Table 2 Project Trip Generation

Trip Generation Rates										
			А	AM Peak Hour PM Peak Hour						
Land Use	Source ¹	Unit ²	% In	% Out	Rate	% In	% Out	Rate	Daily	
Multi-Family Housing (Low-Rise)	ITE 220	DU	24%	76%	0.40	63%	37%	0.51	6.74	

Trips Generated										
			AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
Land Use	Quantity	Unit ²	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Daily	
Multi-Family Housing (Low-Rise)	65	DU	6	20	26	21	12	33	438	

# Table 3Project Trip Generation Comparison

Trips Generated										
	А	M Peak Ho	ur	Р						
Land Use	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Daily			
Existing Land Use ¹	1	5	6	5	3	8	107			
Proposed Project ²	6	20	26	21	12	33	438			
Net New Trips	+5	+15	+20	+16	+9	+25	+331			

Notes:

(1) See Table 1

(2) See Table 2





## Figure 1 Project Location Map





## Figure 2 Site Plan



338-410 Alhambra Avenue Residential Project Transportation Study Screening Assessment 19608 This Page Intentionally Left Blank