

County of Fresno

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND PLANNING STEVEN E. WHITE, DIRECTOR

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

1. Project title:

Initial Study No. 8410 and Director Review and Approval Application No. 4743

2. Lead agency name and address:

Fresno County Department of Public Works and Planning Development Services and Capital Projects Division 2220 Tulare Street, 6th Floor Fresno, CA 93721

3. Contact person and phone number:

Jeremy Shaw, Planner, (559) 600-4207

4. Project location:

The subject parcel is located on the southeast corner of S. Peach Avenue and E. Nebraska Avenue, approximately four and one-half miles west of the city limits of the City of Selma (APN: 385-052-31) (4133 E. Nebraska) (Sup. Dist.: 4).

5. Project sponsor's name and address:

Sikandar Singh 7073 E. Redlands Ave Fresno, CA 93725

6. General Plan designation:

Agriculture

7. Zoning:

AE-20 (Exclusive Agricultural, 20-acre minimum parcel size) Zone District

8. Description of project: (Describe the whole action involved, including, but not limited to, later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

Allow the maintenance and storage of trucks and trailers to be devoted exclusively to the transportation of agricultural products, supplies and equipment, on an approximately 23.94-acre parcel in the AE-20 (Exclusive Agricultural, 20-acre minimum parcel size) Zone District.

9. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

The project site is in an area consisting primarily of agricultural operations and agricultural support operations with low density residential development.

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.

None

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist	
Aesthetics	Agriculture and Forestry Resources
Air Quality	Biological Resources
Cultural Resources	Energy
Geology/Soils	Greenhouse Gas Emissions
Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology/Water Quality
Land Use/Planning	Mineral Resources
Noise	Population/Housing
Public Services	Recreation
Transportation	Tribal Cultural Resources
Utilities/Service Systems	Wildfire
Mandatory Findings of Significance	
DETERMINATION OF REQUIRED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT:	
On the basis of this initial evaluation:	
I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.	ficant effect on the environment. A NEGATIVE
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the Mitigation Measures described on the attached sheet have been added to the project. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.	
I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect of IMPACT REPORT is required	on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL
I find that as a result of the proposed project, no new effects could occur, or new Mitigation Measures would be required that have not been addressed within the scope of a previous Environmental Impact Report.	
PERFORMED BY:	REVIEWED BY:
Chan Alm	
Jeremy Shaw, Planner	David Randall, Senior Planner
Date: $(2-7-24)$	Date: 0 7 - 24

G:\4360Devs&Pln\PROJSEC\PROJDOCS\DRA\4700-4799\4743\CEQA\DRA 4743 IS 8410 Checklist.docx

11. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.?

Staff provided notices to the Picayune Rancheria of the Chukchansi Indians, Table Mountain Rancheria, Dumna Wo Wah, and Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi Yokut tribes. None of the Native American Tribes expressed any concerns with or requested consultation on this project.

.

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

(Initial Study No. 8410 and Director Review and Approval Application No. 4743)

The following checklist is used to determine if the proposed project could potentially have a significant effect on the environment. Explanations and information regarding each question follow the checklist.

- 1 = No Impact
- 2 = Less Than Significant Impact
- 3 = Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated
- 4 = Potentially Significant Impact

AESTHETICS

Except as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the project:

- 1 a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?
- b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?
- c) In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from a publicly accessible vantage point.) If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?
- _3_ d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

II. AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTRY RESOURCES

In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

- a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance, as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?
- b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act Contract?
- _____ c) Conflict with existing zoning for forest land, timberland or timberland zoned Timberland Production?
- d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?
- e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

III. AIR QUALITY

Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management district or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

- _2_ a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable Air Quality Plan?
- _2 b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?
- <u>2</u> c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?
- d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

- a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
- b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
- _____ c) Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?
- _1 d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?
- e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?
- f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state Habitat Conservation Plan?

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

- 1 a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?
- <u>1</u> b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?
- ____ c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

VI. ENERGY

Would the project:

- a) Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources during project construction or operation?
- b) Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?

VII. **GEOLOGY AND SOILS** HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project: Would the project: a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or groundwater quality? Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on 1 1 b) Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project on other substantial evidence of a known fault? may impede sustainable groundwater management of the ii) Strong seismic ground shaking? 1 c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? area, including through the alteration of the course of a iv) Landslides? stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would result in substantial 1 b) Result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil? erosion or siltation on or off site? c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that _1_ Result in substantial erosion or siltation on or off site; would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, 1 Substantially increase the rate or amount of surface subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse? runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on or off site: d) Be located on expansive soil as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct iii) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed 1 or indirect risks to life or property? the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of 1 e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of polluted runoff; or septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of iv) Impede or redirect flood flows? 1 wastewater? d) In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of 1 Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological f) pollutants due to project inundation? resource or site or unique geologic feature? e) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan? VIII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS LAND USE AND PLANNING XI. Would the project: a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or Would the project: indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the 1 a) Physically divide an established community? b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? MINERAL RESOURCES HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the project: Would the project: a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment that would be of value to the region and the residents of the through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials? Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral b) b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment resource recovery site delineated on a local General Plan, through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident Specific Plan or other land use plan? conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment? XIII. NOISE c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely Would the project result in: hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-2 a) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous in excess of standards established in the local general plan materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other Section 65962.5 and, as a result, create a significant hazard agencies? to the public or the environment? 2 b) Generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-1 e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, borne noise levels? where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of _1_ c) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or a public airport or public use airport, result in a safety hazard an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area? adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, exposing people residing or working in the project Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an area to excessive noise levels? adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a

significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland

fires?

Would the project:

a) Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area,

either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and

businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section roads or other infrastructure)? 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.) 1 b) Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? XIX. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project: **PUBLIC SERVICES** 1 a) Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water Would the project: drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications 1 a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause with the provision of new or physically-altered governmental significant environmental effects? facilities, or the need for new or physically-altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could 1 b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain and reasonably foreseeable future development during acceptable service ratios, response times or other normal, dry and multiple dry years? performance objectives for any of the public services: 1 c) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment Fire protection? provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand ii) Police protection? in addition to the provider's existing commitments? 1 iii) Schools? 1 d) Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, iv) Parks? or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction v) Other public facilities? goals? Comply with federal, state, and local management and XVI. RECREATION reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Would the project: 1 a) Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional WILDFIRE XX. parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be very high fire hazard severity zones, would the project: accelerated? a) Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or b) Include recreational facilities or require the construction or emergency evacuation plan? expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? 1 b) Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled XVII. TRANSPORTATION spread of a wildfire? 1 c) Require the installation or maintenance of associated Would the project: infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water 1 a) Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to and pedestrian facilities? the environment? 2 b) Would the project conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA 1 d) Expose people or structures to significant risks, including Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)? downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes? c) Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? XXI. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE _1 d) Result in inadequate emergency access? Would the project: 1 a) Have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the XVIII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop Would the project: below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or 1 a) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the animal community, substantially reduce the number or significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or Resources Code Section 21074 as either a site, feature, eliminate important examples of the major periods of place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in California history or prehistory? terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, 2 b) Have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively or object with cultural value to a California Native American considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the tribe, and that is: incremental effects of a project are considerable when Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the

effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable

1 c) Have environmental effects which will cause substantial

adverse effects on human beings, either directly or

future projects.)

indirectly?

Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical

5020.1(k), or

resources as defined in Public Resources Code Section

ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion

pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1? In applying the criteria set

and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant

Documents Referenced:

This Initial Study is referenced by the documents listed below. These documents are available for public review at the County of Fresno, Department of Public Works and Planning, Development Services and Capital Projects Division, 2220 Tulare Street, Suite A, Fresno, California (corner of M & Tulare Streets).

Fresno County General Plan, Policy Document and Final EIR, and Background Report Fresno County Zoning Ordinance Important Farmland 2010 Map, State Department of Conservation San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD): Guidance for Valley Land Use Agencies in Addressing GHG Emission Impacts for New Projects under CEQA, December 17, 2009 SJVAPCD: Guidance for Assessing and Mitigating Air Quality Impacts, March 19, 2015 Greenhouse Assessment, prepared for the project by JK Consulting Group, dated October 31, 2023

JS
G:\4360Devs&PIn\PROJSEC\PROJDOCS\DRA\4700-4799\4743\CEQA\DRA 4743 IS 8410 Checklist.docx