

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Alta Capital Maintenance Project

Lead Agency: California Department of Transportation

Contact Name: Jordan Schmidt

Email: jordan.schmidt@dot.ca.gov

Phone Number: (530) 682-6814

Project Location: Colfax
City

Placer
County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes the Alta Capital Maintenance Project on Interstate 80 between Post Miles 33.00 and 44.90 in Placer County. The purpose of the project is to preserve and extend the service life of the pavement by improving existing fair and poor condition pavement to good condition with Capital Preventative Maintenance (CAPM) strategies. The project proposes to restore the functionality and extend the service life of drainage systems/culverts in either fair and/or poor condition. The project proposes to extend the eastbound lane separation taper at the State Route 174/Interstate 80 Separation (Bridge No.19-0086) off-ramp and the truck climbing lane on the eastbound side of the Long Ravine Bridge (Bridge No. 19-0089). The project also proposes to rehabilitate and upgrade Transportation Management System (TMS) elements, signs, sign structures, luminaires, guardrails, and vegetation control to current standards.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The proposed project would have no effect on Agriculture and Forest Resources, Geology and Soils, Land Use and Planning, Mineral Resources, Population and Housing, Recreation, Transportation, Tribal Cultural Resources, Utilities and Service Systems, and Wildfire.

The proposed project would have less than significant impacts to Aesthetics, Air Quality, Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Energy, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, Hydrology and Water Quality, Noise, and Public Services.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No areas of controversy were identified by the lead agency, other review agencies, or the public.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Regional Water Quality Control Board, and the Office of Historic Preservation.