

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Douglas Drive Bridge Seismic Retrofit

Lead Agency: City of Oceanside Development Services Department

Contact Name: Kymerly Corbin

Email: kcorbin@oceansideca.org Phone Number: 760-435-3534

Project Location: Oceanside, San Diego

City

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The project is the planned seismic retrofit of the Douglas Drive Bridge. Douglas Drive Bridge crosses the San Luis Rey River. The retrofit would consist of extending existing partial scour walls located at the base of the piers supporting the bridge. The existing scour walls would be extended to the bridge soffit by constructing new infill walls between the columns of the piers. The project would also install pipe seat extenders at both hinges in the superstructure.

In addition, two pre-cast concrete flumes would be installed between selected bridge piers to provide fish passage through the existing riprap underneath the bridge.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

No potentially significant impacts have been identified. Because there is a low potential for discovering significant tribal cultural resources during the proposed construction activities, potential impacts associated with tribal cultural resources would be less than significant. However, as requested through tribal consultation, mitigation measures for tribal cultural resources would be implemented during construction to ensure impacts are less than significant. These nine mitigation measures are described in the Initial Study Checklist prepared for the project.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

During tribal consultation, mitigation measures, as mentioned above, were requested by the tribes to address their concerns about potential impacts to tribal cultural resources during project construction.

The project has the potential to impact sensitive species including least Bell's vireo, southwestern willow flycatcher, light-footed Ridgway's rail, and southern California steelhead. Consultation has been completed with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) for the steelhead, and with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) for the three bird species. NMFS issued a letter of concurrence (October 19, 2023) that the project would be not likely to adversely affect steelhead. USFWS issued a Biological Opinion (BO) for the project (September 6, 2023). In the BO, USFWS provided concurrence that the project would not likely adversely affect southwestern willow flycatcher, light-footed Ridgway's rail, and critical habitat for the vireo and flycatcher. The BO required implementation of conservation measures (CMs) to avoid and minimize impacts to least Bell's vireos. With implementation of these CMs, USFWS concluded proposed project activities are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the vireo. The CMs have been incorporated into the project.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife Region 5
California Department of Transportation District 11
Regional Water Quality Control Board Region 9