

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Tapia Water Reclamation Facility 003 Outfall Rehabilitation Project

Lead Agency: Las Virgenes Municipal Water District-Triunfo Joint Powers Authority

Contact Name: Veronica Hurtado

Email: vhurtado@lvmwd.com

Phone Number: 818-251-2332

Project Location: Calabasas
City

Los Angeles
County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed project involves inspection and repair of an existing 24-inch outfall pipeline, which runs for approximately 2,250 linear feet (approximately 0.43 mile) from the Tapia Water Reclamation Facility (WRF) located at 731 Malibu Canyon Road to Malibu Creek. Starting at the Tapia WRF, the pipeline is within paved road for approximately 0.15 mile to Las Virgenes Road/Malibu Canyon Road, which is also paved, and crosses to the east side of this road (another approximate 0.03 mile). On the east side of Las Virgenes Road/Malibu Canyon Road, the pipeline is within an unpaved access road to its terminus at Malibu Creek, approximately 0.25 mile to the south. Currently, effluent from the Tapia WRF is discharged to Malibu Creek in compliance with a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit issued and administered by the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) for the Los Angeles Region (Order No. R4-2017-0125; NPDES No. CA0056014), and this would continue under post-project conditions. The project would involve installation of five new 36-inch diameter manholes at existing bends within the pipeline alignment, which would provide access for inspection of the pipeline. One manhole would be installed along the paved entry road leading up to the Tapia WRF west of Malibu Canyon Road, and the remaining four manholes would be installed in the unpaved access road east of Malibu Canyon Road. The existing unpaved access road would be expanded to a maximum width of 20 feet. Inspection of the pipeline would not expand its capacity or alter its existing uses. Construction approximately two months.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The proposed project may impact special-status plant and wildlife species if they are present on-site during construction, or through habitat modification. However, adherence to the following mitigation measures would avoid and/or minimize impacts if these species are present, therefore reducing potential direct and indirect effects to these species to a less than significant level: BIO-1 (Worker Environmental Awareness Program), BIO-2 (General Best Management Practices), BIO-3 (Blooming Season Protocol Rare Plant Survey), BIO-4 (Special-Status Plant Species and Sensitive Community Restoration Plan), BIO-5 (Pre-Activity Surveys), BIO-7 (Construction Monitor), and BIO-8 (Bat Surveys and Protection), BIO-10 (Protection Against Spread of Non-Native Seeds and Pathogens), and BIO-11 (Protection Against Impacts to Wildlife Movement).

The project site contains habitat with the potential to support special-status birds. Implementation of Measure BIO-6 (Nesting Bird Survey) would minimize potential direct and indirect effects occur to nesting special-status birds, and implementation of BIO-1, BIO-2, BIO-5, and BIO-7 would ensure that impacts are avoided or minimized through the duration of construction activities.

Potentially jurisdictional waters (Malibu Creek, an ephemeral drainage, and an ephemeral ditch) are present within the project site and may be impacted by project activities. Adherence to mitigation measures BIO-1, BIO-2, BIO-7, BIO-9 (Sensitive Community Avoidance), BIO-10, and BIO-11, and adherence to existing regulations, would reduce potential impacts to a less-than-significant level.

There is low potential for encountering subsurface archaeological deposits in the project site, but the discovery of archaeological resources is always a possibility during ground disturbing activities. Disturbance or destruction of archaeological resources would be a significant impact, and Mitigation Measure CR-1 (Unanticipated Discovery of Cultural Resources) would be required.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No areas of controversy are known at this time.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (responsible agency)

California State Department of Parks and Recreation (trustee agency)