

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Brown Street Park Master Plan Project

Lead Agency: City of Vacaville

Contact Name: Albert Enault, Senior Planner

Email: Albert.Enault@cityofvacaville.com

Phone Number: (707) 449-5364

Project Location: Vacaville

City

Solano

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed project would develop a new 3.44-acre infill development on an underutilized vacant lot, consisting of a new 2.63-acre Neighborhood Park, a 2,500-square foot Recreation Center building, and a 10,000-square foot Vacaville Housing and Community Services Department office building, and associated site improvements. The proposed Neighborhood Park would include recreational amenities such as a playfield, multipurpose courts, stage, tot lot, playground, picnic areas, interactive water feature, pedestrian trail, drinking fountains, and a 26-foot diagonal width mobile TV screen with an associated sound system. The project would serve community needs and provide opportunities for increased physical activity and social interaction.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The potentially significant impacts that can be reduced to a less-than-significant level with implementation of recommended mitigation measures include the topics of air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, geology and soils, and noise. For the topic of air quality, potentially significant impacts to air quality standards would be reduced to less-than-significant levels with implementation of **Mitigation Measure AIR-1**. For the topic of biological resources, implementation of **Mitigation Measure BIO-1** through **Mitigation Measure BIO-4** would ensure that impacts to special-status species are reduced to less-than-significant levels. For the topic of cultural resources, potentially significant impacts to archaeological resources and paleontological resources would be reduced to less-than-significant levels with implementation of **Mitigation Measure CULT-1** and **Mitigation Measure CULT 2**. For the topic of geology and soils, implementation of **Mitigation Measure GEO-1** would ensure that impacts associated with paleontological resources would be less than significant. For the topic of hydrology and water quality, implementation of **Mitigation Measure HYD-1** through **Mitigation Measure HYD-4** would ensure that impacts to runoff, water quality and stormwater standards, drainage, groundwater supplies, flooding, and other water and groundwater plans would be less than significant. For the topic of noise, implementation of **Mitigation Measure NOI-1** would ensure that potentially significant impacts associated with construction vibration are reduced to less-than-significant levels.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

There are no areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Air Resources Board
Fish & Game Region #3
Native American Heritage Commission
Regional WQCB #5