September 7, 2023



Sevana Medzoyan Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard North Hollywood, CA 91606 Work: (818) 438-8852 E-mail: mailianassociates@gmail.com

Subject: Air Quality, Greenhouse Gas, and Noise Study for a School/Church Expansion in North Hollywood, CA

Dear Ms. Medzoyan:

Yorke Engineering, LLC (Yorke) is pleased to provide this Air Quality (AQ), Greenhouse Gas (GHG), and Noise Impacts Letter Report. This report includes CalEEMod emissions estimates, criteria pollutant, GHG, and Noise analyses for the proposed expansion of their school and church facility in the North Hollywood in the City of Los Angeles, California (City). These evaluations will support a CEQA Categorical Exemption, Initial Study (IS), Negative Declaration (ND), or a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND), as applicable.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Holy Trinity Armenian Church (HTAC) is proposing to expansion of the day care/school for the children by adding new classrooms, adding new offices for staff and building a new accessory use gym/banquet hall and a new lobby for the entrance, to be located at 11960 Victory Boulevard in North Hollywood in the City of Los Angeles, CA (the City). The proposed development is located on 48,436.0 square feet of land within the jurisdiction of South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). The proposal is for the expansion of the daycare/school for the children by adding new classrooms, adding new offices for staff, and building a new accessory use gym/banquet hall and a new lobby for the entrance. The church will remain as is and all the new buildings proposed and existing will be all accessory to the church uses. The 0.64-acre project size is located on developed land and construction will involve the demolition of two existing buildings (Church and Classroom), the construction of a day care center (5,688 square feet), the construction of a general office building (13,991 square feet), paving of an entryway (4,811 square feet), and additional landscaping (3,377 square feet). The nearest sensitive receptors are homes and a school approximately 82 feet (25 meters) south of the center of the project site.

ASSUMPTIONS

The following lists sources of information used in developing the emission estimates for the proposed Project using the California Emissions Estimator Model[®] (CalEEMod). Not all CalEEMod defaults are listed, but some defaults which have a particularly important impact on the project are listed.

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 2 of 24

- The Applicant defined:
 - Basic project design features including size of building features, concrete and asphalt areas, and landscaping, etc.;
 - Low-flow faucets, toilets, showers, and irrigation will be installed consistent with modern building codes;
 - > Low VOC paints will be used in compliance with SCAQMD rules;
 - Paved roads will be swept daily during the demolition, site preparation, and grading phases; and
 - During construction and demolition, any exposed soil and unpaved roads will be watered a minimum of three times a day, as required by the SCAQMD, to reduce particulate emissions.
- CalEEMod defaults were used for:
 - > Construction equipment count, load factor, and fleet average age;
 - > Architectural coating areas;
 - > Operational vehicle fleet mixes;
 - > Weekend daily trip rates for the operational phase; and
 - > Average vehicle trip distances.

LIST OF TABLES

The project analyses and results are summarized in the following tables:

- Table 1: Land Use Data for CalEEMod Input
- Table 2: SCAQMD CEQA Thresholds of Significance
- Table 3: Daily Construction Emissions Summary and Significance Evaluation
- Table 4: Daily Operational Emissions Summary and Significance Evaluation
- Table 5: Construction Localized Significance Threshold Evaluation
- Table 6: Operational Localized Significance Threshold Evaluation
- Table 7: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Summary and Significance Evaluation
- Table 8: Typical Sound Level Characteristics
- Table 9: City of Los Angeles Guidelines for Noise Compatible Land Use
- Table 10: FHWA Noise Reference Levels and Usage Factors
- Table 11: Estimated Peak Activity Daytime Noise Impacts Sensitive Receptors

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 3 of 24

AIR QUALITY AND GREENHOUSE GAS IMPACTS ANALYSES

In order to evaluate the potential for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas impacts of a proposed project, quantitative significance criteria established by the local air quality agency, such as the SCAQMD, may be relied upon to make significance determinations based on mass emissions of criteria pollutants and GHGs, as presented in this report. As shown below, approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to air quality or greenhouse gases.

Project Emissions Estimation

The construction and operation analysis were performed using CalEEMod version 2022.1.1.18, the official statewide land use computer model designed to provide a uniform platform for estimating potential criteria pollutant and GHG emissions associated with both construction and operations of land use projects under CEQA. The model quantifies direct emissions from construction and operations (including vehicle use), as well as indirect emissions, such as GHG emissions from energy use, solid waste disposal, vegetation planting and/or removal, and water use. The mobile source emission factors used in the model - published by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) - include the Pavley standards and Low Carbon Fuel standards. The model also identifies project design features, regulatory measures, and control measures to reduce criteria pollutant and GHG emissions along with calculating the benefits achieved from the selected measures. CalEEMod was developed by the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) in collaboration with the SCAQMD, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD), and other California air districts. Default land use data (e.g., emission factors, trip lengths, meteorology, source inventory, etc.) were provided by the various California air districts to account for local requirements and conditions. As the official assessment methodology for land use projects in California, CalEEMod is relied upon herein for construction and operational emissions quantification, which forms the basis for the impact analysis.

Based on information received from the Applicant, land use data used for CalEEMod input is presented in Table 1. The SCAQMD quantitative significance thresholds shown in Table 2 were used to evaluate project emissions impacts (SCAQMD 2023).

Table 1: Land Use Data for CalEEMod Input							
Land Use Type	Land Use Subtype	Unit Amount	Size Metric	Acreage	Square Feet	Description	
Educational	Day Care Center	5.69	1,000 sq. ft.	0.13	5,688	Classrooms	
Commercial	General Office Building	13.99	1,000 sq. ft.	0.32	13,991	Office Area, Lobby, Gym, Kitchen	
Parking	Parking Lot	4.81	1,000 sq. ft.	0.11	4,811	Other Concrete and Asphalt Paving	
	0.08	3,377	Landscaping Areas				
Project Size				0.64	27,867		

Sources: Applicant 2023, CalEEMod version 2022.1.1.18
<u>Notes:</u>

Electric utility: Los Angeles Department of Water & Power

Gas utility: Southern California Gas

Table 2: SCAQMD CEQA Thresholds of Significance						
Pollutant	Project Construction (lbs/day)	Project Operation (lbs/day)				
ROG (VOC)	75	55				
NO _X	100	55				
СО	550	550				
SO _X	150	150				
PM ₁₀	150	150				
PM _{2.5}	55	55				
24-hour PM _{2.5} Increment	$10.4 \ \mu g/m^3$	$2.5 \ \mu g/m^3$				
24-hour PM ₁₀ Increment	$10.4 \ \mu g/m^3$	2.5 µg/m ³				
Annual PM ₁₀ Increment	1.0 μg/m ³ annual average					
1-hour NO ₂ Increment	0.18 ppm (state)					
Annual NO ₂ Increment	0.03 ppm (state) & 0.0534 ppm (federal)					
1-hour SO ₂ Increment	0.25 ppm (state) & 0.075 ppm	n (federal – 99th percentile)				
24-hour SO ₂ Increment	0.04 ppm	(state)				
24-hour Sulfate Increment	25 ug/m ³	(state)				
1-hour CO Increment	20 ppm (state) & 3	35 ppm (federal)				
8-hour CO Increment	9.0 ppm (sta	te/federal)				
	Maximum Incremental Cancer Risk ≥10 in 1 million					
I oxic Air Contaminants (including carcinogens and non-carcinogens)	Cancer Burden >0.5 excess cancer	r cases (in areas ≥ 1 in 1 million)				
	Chronic & Acute Hazard Index ≥1.0 (project increment)					
Odor	Project creates an odor nuis	ance pursuant to Rule 402				
Greenhouse Geses	10,000 MT/yr CO ₂ e for industrial facilities					
Greenhouse Gases	3,000 MT/yr CO ₂ e for land use projects (draft proposal)					

Source: SCAQMD 2023, 2008b

Criteria Pollutants from Project Construction

A project's construction phase produces many types of emissions, generally PM_{10} (including $PM_{2.5}$) in fugitive dust and diesel engine exhaust are the pollutants of greatest concern. Construction-related emissions can cause substantial increases in localized concentrations of PM_{10} , as well as affecting PM_{10} compliance with ambient air quality standards on a regional basis. The use of diesel-powered construction equipment emits ozone precursors oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) and reactive organic gases (ROG), and diesel particulate matter (DPM); however, the use of diesel-powered equipment would be minimal. Use of architectural coatings and other materials associated with finishing buildings may also emit ROG and TACs. CEQA significance thresholds address the impacts of construction activity emissions on local and regional air quality. Thresholds are also provided for other potential impacts related to project construction, such as odors and TACs.

The SCAQMD's approach to CEQA analyses of fugitive dust impacts is to require implementation of effective and comprehensive dust control measures rather than to require detailed quantification of emissions. PM₁₀ emitted during construction can vary greatly depending on the level of activity,

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 6 of 24

the specific operations taking place, the equipment being operated, local soils, weather conditions, and other factors, making quantification difficult. Despite this variability in emissions, experience has shown that there are several feasible control measures that can be reasonably implemented to significantly reduce fugitive dust emissions from construction. For larger projects, the SCAQMD has determined that compliance with an approved fugitive dust control plan comprising Best Management Practices (BMPs), primarily through frequent water application, constitutes sufficient control to reduce PM₁₀ impacts to a level considered less than significant.

Criteria Pollutants from Project Operation

The term "project operations" refers to the full range of activities that can or may generate criteria pollutant, GHG, and TAC emissions when the project is functioning in its intended use. For projects, such as office parks, shopping centers, apartment buildings, residential subdivisions, and other indirect sources, motor vehicles traveling to and from the project represents the primary source of air pollutant emissions. For industrial projects and some commercial projects, equipment operation and manufacturing processes, i.e., permitted stationary sources, can be of greatest concern from an emissions standpoint. CEQA significance thresholds address the impacts of operational emission sources on local and regional air quality. Thresholds are also provided for other potential impacts related to project operations, such as odors.

Results of Criteria Emissions Analyses

Table 3 shows unmitigated and mitigated criteria construction emissions and evaluates mitigated emissions against SCAQMD significance thresholds.

Table 4 shows unmitigated and mitigated criteria operational emissions and evaluates mitigated emissions against SCAQMD significance thresholds.

As shown in Tables 3 and 4, mass emissions of criteria pollutants from construction and operation are below applicable SCAQMD significance thresholds.

PROJECTED IMPACT: Less Than Significant (LTS)

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 7 of 24

Table 3: Daily Construction Emissions Summary and Significance Evaluation							
Criteria Pollutants	Unmitigated (lbs/day)	Mitigated (lbs/day)	Threshold	Significance			
ROG (VOC)	18.5	1.5	75	LTS			
NO _X	14.4	9.4	100	LTS			
СО	14.2	14.4	550	LTS			
SO _X	0.06	14.20	150	LTS			
Total PM ₁₀	5.9	2.2	150	LTS			
Total PM _{2.5}	3.1	0.7	55	LTS			

Sources: SCAQMD 2023, CalEEMod version 2022.1.1.18

Notes:

lbs/day are winter or summer maxima for planned land use

Total PM_{10} / $PM_{2.5}$ comprises fugitive dust plus engine exhaust

LTS - Less Than Significant

Table 4: Daily Operational Emissions Summary and Significance Evaluation							
Criteria Pollutants	Unmitigated (lbs/day)	Mitigated (lbs/day)	Threshold	Significance			
ROG (VOC)	2.0	2.0	55	LTS			
NO _X	1.1	1.1	55	LTS			
СО	10.4	10.4	550	LTS			
SO _X	0.02	0.02	150	LTS			
Total PM ₁₀	1.6	1.6	150	LTS			
Total PM _{2.5}	0.41	0.41	55	LTS			

Sources: SCAQMD 2023, CalEEMod version 2022.1.1.18

Notes:

lbs/day are winter or summer maxima for planned land use

Total PM_{10} / $PM_{2.5}$ comprises fugitive dust plus engine exhaust

LTS - Less Than Significant

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 8 of 24

Localized Significance Threshold Analysis

The SCAQMD's Localized Significance Threshold (LST) methodology (2008a) was used to analyze the neighborhood scale impacts of NO_X , CO, PM_{10} , and $PM_{2.5}$ associated with project-specific mass emissions. Introduced in 2003, the LST methodology was revised in 2008 to include the $PM_{2.5}$ significance threshold methodology and update the LST mass rate lookup tables for the new 1-hour NO_2 standard.

For determining localized air quality impacts from small projects in a defined geographic sourcereceptor area (SRA), the LST methodology provides mass emission rate lookup tables for 1-acre, 2-acre, and 5-acre parcels by SRA. The tabulated LSTs represent the maximum mass emissions from a project that will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of state or national ambient air quality standards (CAAQS or NAAQS) for the above pollutants and were developed based on ambient concentrations of these pollutants for each SRA in the South Coast Air Basin. (SCAQMD 2008a)

For most land use projects, the highest daily emission rates occur during the site preparation and grading phases of construction; where applicable, these maximum daily emissions are used in the LST analysis.

Since land use operational emissions – mainly from associated traffic – are dispersed over a wide area, localized impacts from project operation are substantially lower than during project construction. However, an Operational LST analysis was also performed. Localized mobile source emissions for project operation were calculated for a one mile radius of the project site.

The proposed Project size is 0.64 acres in source-receptor area Zone 2 – Northwest Coastal LA County. The 1-acre screening lookup tables were used to evaluate NO_x , CO, PM_{10} , and $PM_{2.5}$ impacts on nearby receptors. The nearest receptor is approximately 25 meters (82 feet) away from the site. Therefore, the impact evaluation was performed using the closest distance within SCAQMD LST tables of 25 meters for construction and operations. (SCAQMD 2008a)

Results of Localized Significance Threshold Analysis

The LST results provided in Tables 5 and 6 show that on-site emissions from construction and operations would meet the LST passing criteria at the nearest receptors (25 meters). Thus, impacts would be less than significant.

PROJECTED IMPACT: Less Than Significant (LTS)

Table 5: Construction Localized Significance Threshold Evaluation							
Critaria Dollutanta	Mitigated	Mitigated Threshold		Domit			
Criteria Fonutants	lbs/day	lbs/day	Threshold	Result			
NO _X	9.4	103	9%	Pass			
СО	14.4	562	3%	Pass			
PM ₁₀	2.2	4	55%	Pass			
PM _{2.5}	0.7	3	23%	Pass			

Sources: SCAQMD 2023, CalEEMod version 2022.1.1.18

Notes:

Source-receptor area Zone 2 - Northwest Coastal LA County

1-acre area, 25 meters to receptor

Table 6: Operations Localized Significance Threshold Evaluation							
Critoria Dollutanta	Mitigated	Threshold	Percent of	Damit			
Criteria Fonutants	lbs/day	lbs/day	Threshold	Kesuit			
NO _X	1.1	103	1%	Pass			
СО	10.4	562	2%	Pass			
PM_{10}	0.14	1	14%	Pass			
PM _{2.5}	0.04	1	4%	Pass			

Sources: SCAQMD 2023, CalEEMod version 2022.1.1.18

Notes:

Source-receptor area Zone 2 - Northwest Coastal LA County

1-acre area, 25 meters to receptor

 $Operational \ PM_{10}/PM_{2.5} \ includes \ 1 \ mile \ around \ project \ site \ for \ mobile \ source \ fugitive \ dust \ plus \ engine \ exhaust$

Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Construction and Operation

Greenhouse gases – primarily carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous (N₂O) oxide, collectively reported as carbon dioxide equivalents (CO₂e) – are directly emitted from stationary source combustion of natural gas in equipment such as water heaters, boilers, process heaters, and furnaces. GHGs are also emitted from mobile sources such as on-road vehicles and off-road construction equipment burning fuels such as gasoline, diesel, biodiesel, propane, or natural gas (compressed or liquefied). Indirect GHG emissions result from electric power generated elsewhere (i.e., power plants) used to operate process equipment, lighting, and utilities at a facility. Also, included in GHG quantification is electric power used to pump the water supply (e.g., aqueducts, wells, pipelines) and disposal and decomposition of municipal waste in landfills. (CARB 2017)

California's Building Energy Efficiency Standards are updated on an approximately three-year cycle. The 2022 standards improved upon the 2019 standards for new construction of, and additions and alterations to, residential, commercial, and industrial buildings. The 2022 standards went into effect on January 1, 2022 (CEC 2022).

Since the Title 24 standards require energy conservation features in new construction (e.g., highefficiency lighting, high-efficiency heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning (HVAC) systems, Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 10 of 24

thermal insulation, double-glazed windows, water conserving plumbing fixtures, etc.), they indirectly regulate and reduce GHG emissions.

Using CalEEMod, direct onsite and offsite GHG emissions were estimated for construction and operation, and indirect offsite GHG emissions were estimated to account for electric power used by the proposed Project, water conveyance, and solid waste disposal.

Results of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Analyses

The SCAQMD officially adopted an industrial facility mass emissions threshold of 10,000 metric tons (MT) CO₂e per year (SCAQMD 2023) and has proposed a residential/commercial mass emissions threshold of 3,000 metric tons (MT) CO₂e per year. (SCAQMD 2008b)

Table 7 shows unmitigated and mitigated GHG emissions and evaluates mitigated emissions against SCAQMD significance thresholds. Operational efficiency measures incorporate typical code-required energy and water conservation features. Off-site traffic impacts are included in these emissions estimates, along with construction emissions amortized over 30 years.

Table 7: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Summary and Significance Evaluation								
Greenhouse Gases	Unmitigated (MT/yr)	Mitigated (MT/yr)	Threshold (MT/yr)	Significance				
CO_2	344.3	343.7						
CH_4	0.29	4.59	_	—				
N ₂ O	0.01	0.01	_	—				
CO ₂ e	356.5	351.2	3,000	LTS				

PROJECTED IMPACT: Less Than Significant (LTS)

Sources: SCAQMD 2023, 2008b, CalEEMod version 2022.1.1.18

Notes:

Comprises annual operational emissions plus construction emissions amortized over 30 years

NOISE IMPACTS ANALYSES

Noise Analysis Methodology

The screening-level noise analysis for project construction and operation was completed based on methodology developed by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration (DOT FHWA) at the John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center and other technical references consistent with the California Emissions Estimation Model® (CalEEMod) outputs (equipment utilization).

CalEEMod is the official statewide land use computer model designed to provide a uniform platform for estimating potential criteria pollutant and GHG emissions associated with both construction and operations of land use projects under CEQA. CalEEMod was developed by the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) in collaboration with the SCAQMD, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD), and other California air districts. Default land use data (e.g., emission factors, trip lengths, meteorology, source inventory, etc.) were provided by the

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 11 of 24

various California air districts to account for local requirements and conditions. As the official assessment methodology for land use projects in California, CalEEMod is relied upon herein for the generation of the construction equipment list, which forms the basis for the construction noise impact analysis.

The DOT FHWA methodology uses actual noise measurement data collected during the Boston "Big Dig" project (1991-2006) as reference levels for a wide variety of construction equipment in common use, such as on the proposed project. This noise analysis did not include field measurements of ambient noise in the vicinity of the project site.

The FHWA noise model provides relatively conservative predictions because it does not account for site-specific geometry, dimensions of nearby structures, and local environmental conditions that can affect sound transmission, reflection, and attenuation. As a result, actual measured sound levels at receptors may vary somewhat from predictions, typically lower. Additionally, the impacts of noise upon receptors (persons) are subjective because of differences in individual sensitivities and perceptions.

Noise impacts are evaluated against community noise standards contained in the City or County General Plan or other state or federal agency as applicable to the vicinity of the project site. For this project, the City of Los Angeles General Plan, Noise Element, and Municipal Code (LAMC), Chapter XI, Noise Regulation, Sections 112.02, 112.03, 112.05, and 41.40 contain the applicable evaluation criteria. Screening-level project-generated noise is evaluated in relation to established thresholds of significance. Additionally, the same methods are used to determine noise impacts on the nearest receptor. Neighborhood-level noise evaluation criteria are contained in the Noise Element of the Los Angeles City General Plan (City 1999).

During construction activities, the project would generate noise due to operation of minimal offroad equipment, portable equipment, and vehicles at or near the project site. No significant increase in traffic is expected due to this relatively small project. No strong sources of vibrations are planned to be used during construction activities.

Since the project is near urban streets, the incremental effect of project operations (possible slightly increased traffic) would not be quantifiable against existing traffic noise (background) in the project vicinity (i.e., less than significant impact). Also, since no airport is closer than two miles from the project site, evaluation of aircraft noise upon the project is not required.

Environmental Setting

Noise Descriptors

Noise is typically described as any dissonant, unwanted, or objectionable sound. Sound is technically described in terms of the loudness (amplitude) and frequency (pitch) of the sound. The standard unit of measurement of the loudness of sound is the decibel (dB). Because the human ear is not equally sensitive to sound at all frequencies, a special frequency-dependent rating scale has been devised to relate noise to human sensitivity, the A-weighted decibel scale (dBA). Table 8 lists common sources of sound and their intensities in dBA.

Table 8: Typical Sound Level Characteristics					
Pressure (N/m ²)	Level (dB)	Sound Level Characteristic			
2000	160	Rocket Launch			
600	150	Military Jet Plane Takeoff			
200	140	Threshold of Pain			
60	130	Commercial Jet Plane Takeoff			
20	120	Industrial Chipper or Punch Press			
6	110	Loud Automobile Horn			
2	100	Passing Diesel Truck – Curb Line			
0.6	90	Factory - Heavy Manufacturing			
0.2	80	Factory - Light Manufacturing			
0.06	70	Open Floor Office - Cubicles			
0.02	60	Conversational Speech			
0.006	50	Private Office - Walled			
0.002	40	Residence in Daytime			
0.0006	30	Bedroom at Night			
0.0002	20	Recording or Broadcasting Studio			
0.00006	10	Threshold of Good Hearing - Adult			
0.00002	0	Threshold of Excellent Hearing - Child			

Sources: Broch 1971, Plog 1988 Notes:

Reference Level $P_0 = 0.00002 \text{ N/m}^2 = 0.0002 \mu \text{bar}$

 N/m^2 = Newtons per square meter (the Newton is the unit of force derived in the metric system); it is equal to the amount of net force required to accelerate one kilogram of mass at a rate of one meter per second squared (1 kg • 1 m/s²) in the direction of the applied force.

In most situations, a 3-dBA change in sound pressure is considered a "just-detectable" difference. A 5-dBA change (either louder or quieter) is readily noticeable, and 10-dBA change is a doubling (if louder) or halving (if quieter) of the subjective loudness. Sound from a small, localized source (a "point" source) radiates uniformly outward as it travels away from the source in a spherical pattern. The sound level attenuates (drops off) at a rate of 6 dBA for each doubling of the distance.

The duration of noise and the time period at which it occurs are important factors in determining the impact of noise on receptors. A single number called the equivalent continuous noise level (L_{eq}) may be used to describe sound that is changing in level. It is also used to describe the acoustic range of the noise source being measured, which is accomplished through the maximum L_{eq} (L_{max}) and minimum L_{eq} (L_{min}) indicators.

In determining the daily measure of community noise, it is important to account for the difference in human response to daytime and nighttime noise. Noise is more disturbing at night than during the day, and noise indices have been developed to account for the varying duration of noise events over time, as well as community response to them. The Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) adds a 5-dB penalty to the "nighttime" hourly noise levels (HNLs) (i.e., 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 13 of 24

p.m.) and the Day-Night Average Level (L_{dn}) adds a 10-dB penalty to the evening HNLs (Caltrans 2020, FTA 2006).

Vibration Descriptors

Vibration is a unique form of noise because its energy is carried through structures and the earth, whereas noise is carried through the air. Thus, vibration is generally felt rather than heard. Typically, ground borne vibration generated by construction activities attenuates rapidly as distance from the source of the vibration increases. Actual human and structural response to different vibration levels is influenced by a combination of factors, including soil type, distance between the source and receptor, duration, and the number of perceived events.

While not a direct health hazard, the energy transmitted through the ground as vibration may result in structural damage, which may be costly to repair and dangerous in the event of structural failure. To assess the potential for structural damage associated with vibration, the vibratory ground motion in the vicinity of the affected structure is measured in terms of point peak velocity/peak particle velocity (PPV) in the vertical and horizontal directions (vector sum). A freight train passing at 100 feet may cause PPVs of 0.1 inch per second, while a strong earthquake may produce PPVs in the range of 10 inches per second. Minor cosmetic damage to buildings may begin in the range of 0.5 inch per second (Caltrans 2020, FTA 2006).

Existing Noise Environment - Cumulative

The project site is in the City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, in a characteristically urban and densely populated area subject to noise from local traffic on public streets, buses, trains, construction, and small power equipment (e.g., lawn mowers, edger, etc.). The estimated daytime ambient noise from known sources is about 50 dBA at the nearest receptors to the proposed project. This is based on the cumulative traffic noise from Victory Boulevard and Railroad Avenue as well as a general 40 dBA urban background noise.

Sensitive Receptors

Some land uses are generally regarded as being more sensitive to noise than others due to the types of population groups or activities involved. Sensitive population groups include children and the elderly. The City of Los Angeles General Plan Noise Element (City 1999) also includes residential areas as noise-sensitive land uses. Other sensitive land uses generally include hospitals, schools, childcare facilities, senior facilities, libraries, churches, and parks.

The nearest resident to the project site is the, immediately to the south of the project site, approximately 25 meters from the central construction zones. The nearest school to the project site is Victory Boulevard Elementary approximately 82 feet (25 meters), southeast of the center of the project site. The long attenuation distances and interceding shielding used during construction (insertion losses) would substantially shield all these schools from construction noise.

All construction activities would be short-term and temporary. All construction work is planned to be conducted during daytime within the permissible construction hours set by the City; no nighttime work is planned to be performed, and construction is prohibited on Sundays. Upon completion of construction, construction generated noise would permanently cease. Since the proposed project is located in a dense urban area and not within 500 feet (150 meters) of a major

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 14 of 24

freeway, no significant additional long-term traffic is expected, and therefore no additional projectrelated noise is expected over the long term.

Regulatory Setting

California

The State of California does not promulgate statewide standards for environmental noise but requires each city and county to include a noise element in its general plan [California Government Code Section 65302(f)]. In addition, Title 4 of the CCR has guidelines for evaluating the compatibility of various land uses as a function of community noise exposure. In general, the guidelines require that community noise standards:

- Protect residents from the harmful and annoying effects of exposure to excessive noise;
- Prevent incompatible land uses from encroaching upon existing or programmed land uses likely to create significant noise impacts; and
- Encourage the application of state-of-the-art land use planning methodologies in the area of managing and minimizing potential noise conflicts.

Construction vibration is regulated at the state level in accordance with standards established by the *Transportation and Construction-Induced Vibration Guidance Manual* issued by Caltrans in 2004. Continuous sources include the use of vibratory compaction equipment and other construction equipment that creates vibration other than in single events. Transient sources create a single isolated vibration event, such as blasting. Thresholds for continuous sources are 0.5 and 0.1 inch per second PPV for structural damage and annoyance, respectively. Thresholds for transient sources are 1.0 and 0.9 PPV for structural damage and annoyance, respectively (Caltrans 2020).

City of Los Angeles General Plan –Noise Element

The Noise Element of the Los Angeles City General Plan contains *Exhibit I: Guidelines for Noise Compatible Land Use*, to help guide determination of appropriate land use and mitigation measures visa-vis existing or anticipated ambient noise levels. As shown in Table 9, for the land use category of "School, Library, Church, Hospital, Nursing Home" a CNEL of up to 55 dBA is "Normally acceptable" and a CNEL of 55-65 dBA is "Conditionally Acceptable" (City 1999).

Table 9: City of Los Angeles Guideli	nes	for	· Noi	se C	ompa	tible	Land	Use
Land Use Category	5	50 50	55	60 60	65	sound Le 70	75	80 (L ab
Residential Single Family, Duplex, Mobile Home	/	4	C	С	С	Ν	U	U
Residential Multi-Family	1	4	Α	С	С	Ν	U	U
Transient Lodging, Motel, Hotel	,	4	Α	С	С	Ν	U	U
School, Library, Church, Hospital, Nursing Home	e /	4	Α	С	С	Ν	Ν	U
Auditorium, Concert Hall, Ampitheater	(2	C	С	C/N	U	U	U
Sports Arena, Outdoor Spectator Sports	(2	C	С	С	C/U	U	U
Playground, Neighborhood Park	,	4	Α	Α	A/N	Ν	N/U	U
Golf Course, Riding Stable, Water Recreation, Cemetery	,	4	Α	А	А	Ν	A/N	U
Office Building, Business, Commercial, Professional	,	4	Α	Α	A/C	C	C/N	Ν
Agriculture, Industrial, Manufacturing, Utilities	,	4	A	Α	А	A/C	C/N	Ν
 A = Normally acceptable. Specified land use is satisfactory, based upon assumption buildings involved are conventional construction, without any special noise insulation. C = Conditionally acceptable. New construction or development only after a detailed analysis of noise mitigation is made and needed noise insulation features are included in project design. Conventional construction, but with closed windows and fresh air supply systems or air conditioning normally will suffice. 	N = U =	Norm opmer analy: made design Clearl ment	ally unac nt genera sis of no and nois n of a pro ly unacce generally	cceptable ally shoul bise redu se insula oject. eptable. I y should i	e. New cor Id be disco ction requ tion featu New const not be und	nstruction buraged. <i>A</i> uirements res includ ruction or lertaken.	or devel- A detailed must be led in the develop-	

City of Los Angeles Municipal Code – Chapter XI, Noise Regulation

For this project, the City of Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC), Chapter XI, Noise Regulation, Sections 112.02, 112.03, 112.05, and 41.40 contain the applicable evaluation criteria.

Operational on-site stationary sources of mechanical noise are required to comply with the LAMC Section 112.02, which prohibits noise from air conditioning, refrigeration, heating, pumping, and filtering equipment from exceeding the ambient noise level on the premises of other occupied properties, e.g., nearby residential buildings, by more than 5 dBA. Modern roof-mounted mechanical equipment is designed to meet this standard.

LAMC Section 112.03 references Section 41.40 which regulates noise from construction activities. Outdoor construction activities that generate noise are prohibited between the nighttime hours of 9:00 pm and 7:00 am Monday through Friday, and between 6:00 pm and 8:00 am on Saturdays and national holidays. Construction activities are prohibited on Sundays. The construction activities associated with the proposed project would comply with these LAMC requirements.

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 16 of 24

Per Section 112.05, construction noise impacts would be significant if noise from powered equipment or powered hand tools used for construction within 500 feet (150 meters) of a residential zone exceeds 75 dBA at a distance of 50 feet (15 meters) from the noise source between the hours of 7:00 am and 10:00 pm. However, this noise limitation does not apply where compliance is technically infeasible. Technically infeasible means that the 75 dBA limitation cannot be complied with despite the use of mufflers, shields, sound barriers and/or any other noise reduction device or techniques during the operation of the equipment. However, the burden of proof of technical infeasibility is placed upon the person or persons generating the noise, i.e., the contractor and owner or owner's agent.

Cumulative Screening Noise Analysis

To assess cumulative noise impacts, nearby street traffic noise and urban background noise was logarithmically added to construction and operational noise.

Construction Noise – LAMC Sections 112.03 and 112.05

The proposed project can be characterized as in-fill development of the development of an educational day care center and commercial general office building with a parking lot. Most noise would occur during the demolition, grading, site preparation, and building construction phases when heavy equipment would typically be operating outside.

During each of the six construction phases there would be a different mix of equipment operating and cumulative noise levels would vary based on the amount of equipment in operation and the location of each activity at the project site. In general, use of off-road equipment and portable equipment would generate noise due to engine mechanicals, engine exhaust, driveline mechanicals, shaft-driven devices and accessories, hydraulics operation, ground friction and displacement, and gravity drops (dumping, unloading).

Since no intense percussive actions (e.g., hard rock-breaking, large pile-driving) are planned to occur during the site work, no strong groundborne vibrations are expected to be generated that could affect nearby structures or be noticeable to their occupants.

Types of equipment (FHWA 2006) to be used during the project and noise-emitting characteristics (i.e., usage factors, reference dBA, and percussive source) are shown in Table 10 consistent with CalEEMod outputs (Attachment 1).

The project is expected to require approximately seven months of planned work activities (i.e., from mobilization to substantial completion) comprising six construction phases (CalEEMod defaults):

- 1) Demolition;
- 2) Site Preparation;
- 3) Grading;
- 4) Building construction;
- 5) Paving; and
- 6) Architectural coating.

Deviations from this schedule would not affect the noise analysis because noise does not persist or accumulate in the environment over time.

	Table 10: FHWA Noise Reference Levels and Usage Factors						
CalEEMod Construction Detail			FHWA Equipment	Ref.	Usage Factor	Ref. Level	Percussive Source
Phase Name	Equipment Description	Qty.	Гуре		percent	dBA	Yes/No
	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	Backhoe (with loader)	1	40%	80	No
Demolition (1)	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	Dozer (crawler tractor)	1	40%	85	No
	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	Concrete Saw	1	20%	90	No
Site	Graders	1	Grader	1	40%	85	No
(2)	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	Backhoe (with loader)	1	40%	80	No
	Graders	1	Grader	1	40%	85	No
Grading (3)	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	Backhoe (with loader)	1	40%	80	No
	Rubber Tired Dozers		Dozer (crawler tractor)	1	40%	85	No
Building	Cranes	1	Crane	1	16%	85	No
Construction	Forklifts	2	Forklift	1	40%	80	No
(4)	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	Backhoe (with loader)	1	40%	80	No
	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	Backhoe (with loader)	1	40%	80	No
D (7)	Pavers	1	Paver (asphalt)	1	50%	85	No
Paving (5)	Rollers	1	Roller	1	20%	85	No
	Cement and Mortar Mixers 4		Drum Mixer	1	50%	80	No
Architectural Coating (6)	Air Compressors	1	Compressor (air)	1	40%	80	No

Source: CalEEMod version 2022.1.1.18, FHWA 2006

Table 11 shows a comparison of: screening-level estimated daytime exterior noise impacts for peak construction activities at designated receptors, and the thresholds outlined in LAMC Chapter XI and Noise Element, using FHWA attenuation algorithms. If the threshold is not exceeded, then the project should be considered acceptable.

Table 11: Estimated Peak Activity Daytime Noise Impacts - Sensitive Receptors (mitigated) ^{c, d}									
	Normal Acceptance Criteria – LAMC 112.05 & Land Use Guideline								
Construction Phases	Modeled Noise Level (Leq dBA) ^a	CalEEMod Duration (days)	Significance Threshold (CNEL dBA) ^b	Exceeds Threshold (Yes/No)?					
Background	50.0	-	-	No					
Demolition	73.7	20	75	No					
Site Preparation	69.8	2	75	No					
Grading	72.3	4	75	No					
Building Construction	70.8	150	75	No					
Paving	74.2	10	75	No					
Architectural Coating	71.6	10	75	No					
Long Term Impact	50.0	-	55	No					

Sources: CalEEMod version 2022.1.1.18, FHWA 2006, FTA 2006, Broch 1971, Plog 1988, LAMC 112.05, City 1999

Notes:

^a Includes existing street traffic and urban ambient noise sources (cumulative impacts)

^b Refer to applicable City or County General Plan Noise Element and Municipal Code Noise Ordinance for thresholds

^c Modeled sensitive receptors are 25 meters from the center of the construction zone

^d Control comprises noise barriers on site perimeter (see Discussion)

Construction noise impacts would be significant if, as defined by Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) Section 112.05, noise from powered equipment or powered hand tools used for construction within 500 feet (150 meters) of a residential zone exceeds 75 dBA at a distance of 50 feet (15 meters) from the noise source between the hours of 7:00 am and 10:00 pm. However, this noise limitation does not apply where compliance is technically infeasible. Technically infeasible means that the 75 dBA limitation cannot be complied with despite the use of mufflers, shields, sound barriers and/or any other noise reduction device or techniques during the operation of the equipment. However, the burden of proof of technical infeasibility is placed upon the person or persons generating the noise, i.e., the contractor and owner or owner's agent.

LAMC Section 112.03 references Section 41.40 which regulates noise from construction activities. Outdoor construction activities that generate noise are prohibited between the nighttime hours of 9:00 pm and 7:00 am Monday through Friday, and between 6:00 pm and 8:00 am on Saturdays and national holidays. Construction activities are prohibited on Sundays. The construction activities associated with the proposed project would comply with these LAMC requirements.

Although the estimated construction-related exterior noise levels associated with the proposed project are modeled to normally be below the 75 dBA threshold, there may be times when the construction activities could intermittently and marginally exceed the 75 dBA threshold at 50 feet from the noise source. To minimize impacts, the project will implement technically feasible control measures in compliance with the standards set forth in LAMC Section 112.05. Specifically, the use of deflectors/barriers such as plywood construction fencing (½-inch thickness), flexible sound-absorbing curtains, or existing intervening buildings, can reduce line-of-sight exterior noise levels by approximately 5 to 15 dBA, depending on the applied physical configuration (FHWA 2006). The estimated noise impacts shown in Table 11 incorporate these control measures.

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 19 of 24

With the application of construction noise control measures exterior noise levels would be reduced by approximately 10 to 15 dBA. Therefore, based on the provisions set forth in LAMC 112.05, implementation of the LAMC-required noise control measures described below would enable the proposed project to comply with the LAMC, and construction noise impacts would be less than significant.

The construction noise control measures required by LAMC 112.05 would include the following:

- 1) The project shall comply with the City of Los Angeles Noise Ordinance No. 161,574 (see LAMC Section 112.05), and any subsequent ordinances (et seq), which prohibit the emission or creation of noise beyond certain levels.
- 2) Construction shall be restricted to the hours of 7:00 am to 9:00 pm Monday through Friday, and 8:00 am to 6:00 pm on Saturdays or national holidays. No construction work shall be performed at any time on Sundays.
- 3) Construction activities shall be scheduled to avoid operating several pieces of large equipment simultaneously, which can cumulatively cause higher noise levels.
- 4) Noise-generating equipment operated at the project site shall be equipped with the most effective and technologically feasible noise control devices, such as mufflers, lagging (enclosures for exhaust pipes), and/or motor enclosures. All equipment shall be properly maintained to ensure that no additional noise, due to worn or improperly maintained parts, would be generated.
- 5) Noise-generating equipment, where its location on the site may be flexible (e.g., air compressors, generators, cement and mortar mixers, and materials deliveries), shall be placed as far as practical from the nearest noise sensitive land uses. Natural and/or fabricated barriers (e.g., trees, fencing, curtains) shall be used to screen propagation of noise from such activities toward these land uses to the maximum extent possible.
- 6) For outside work BMPs, the project shall implement noise barriers comprising plywood construction fencing and/or flexible sound-absorbing curtains as practicable. The noise barriers shall be erected around the perimeter of the construction site to minimize the transmission of construction noise toward nearby noise-sensitive land uses. The noise barriers shall be at least 8 feet in height and constructed of materials achieving an Insertion Loss (IL) coefficient of at least 5 dBA for flexible curtains, 8 dBA for rigid plywood fencing, or 10 dBA in combination (FHWA 2006).
- 7) The project shall comply with the City of Los Angeles Building Regulations Ordinance No. 178,048 (see LAMC Section 91.106.4.8), which requires a construction site notice to be provided that includes the following information: job site address, permit number, name and phone number of the contractor and owner or owner's agent, hours of construction allowed by code or any discretionary approval for the site, and City telephone numbers where violations can be reported. The notice shall be posted and maintained at the construction site prior to the start of construction and displayed in a location that is readily visible to the public, i.e., in plain sight.

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 20 of 24

Operational Noise – LAMC Section 112.02 and General Plan Noise Element

Upon completion of construction and occupancy of the proposed project, on-site operational noise would be generated mainly by HVAC equipment installed on the roof of the new building. However, the overall noise levels generated by the new HVAC equipment are not expected to be substantially greater than generated by older HVAC equipment installed on existing buildings at or near the project site. As such, the new HVAC equipment associated with the proposed project would not represent a substantially new type or source of noise in the general vicinity. In addition, the operation of this and any other on-site stationary sources of mechanical noise would be required to comply with the LAMC Section 112.02, which prohibits noise from air conditioning, refrigeration, heating, pumping, and filtering equipment from exceeding the ambient noise level on the premises of other occupied properties, e.g., nearby residential buildings, by more than 5 dBA. Such equipment is designed to meet this standard.

As defined in the Noise Element of the Los Angeles City General Plan for "School, Library, Church, Hospital, Nursing Home" a CNEL of up to 55 dBA is "Normally acceptable". Thus, the proposed project will be in compliance with the noise limits set by the City.

No adverse impacts are expected from, and no noise control measures would be required for, the operation of the proposed project. Therefore, the operational noise impacts of the proposed project would be less than significant.

Interior areas of the completed project would not be adversely impacted by ambient (outdoor) urban noise because the project would be constructed to meet applicable California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24 Parts 6 and 11 building energy efficiency standards (CEC 2022). Thermal insulation, e.g., fiberglass batting in exterior walls and double-pane windows, also attenuates sound transmission and thus would provide an acceptable interior noise environment, which is particularly important for sensitive land uses. Specifically, the proposed project would be designed and constructed to maintain interior noise levels at or below a CNEL of 45 dBA in any normally occupied space of the project with no other sources of interior noise operating, such as HVAC, appliances, power tools, or office equipment. As such, interior noise impacts of the proposed project would be less than significant.

Overall Project

This study predicts a less than significant impact in accordance with the LAMC and the Land Use Guidelines. As described above, temporary noise barriers would need to be installed as a control measure during the initial stages of construction.

<u>PROJECTED IMPACT</u>: Less Than Significant (LTS)

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 21 of 24

Cumulative Effects

As shown in Table 11, noise impacts of the proposed in-fill development project are below LAMC and Land Use Guidelines significance thresholds. These impacts characterize the incremental impacts of other comparable past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future in-fill development actions in the vicinity of the proposed project site per state CEQA Guidelines Section 15355(b).

The FHWA noise model puts the expected daytime ambient noise from known sources at about 50 dBA at the nearest receptors to the proposed project. This cumulative model is based on traffic from Ben Avenue and Victory Boulevard as well as a general cumulative 40 dBA urban background noise. Although noise does not persist or accumulate in the environment over time, this accounts for any cumulative effects of comparable in-fill development projects.

CEQA Guidelines

Because the cumulative noise impacts shown in Table 11 would not be expected to exceed any of the LAMC or Land Use Guidelines significance thresholds, cumulative noise impacts from comparable in-fill development projects would also be expected to be less than significant. Therefore, potential adverse impacts from implementing the proposed project would not be "cumulatively considerable" as defined by state CEQA Guidelines Section 15064(h)(1) for noise impacts. Per state CEQA Guidelines Section 15064(h)(4), the mere existence of significant cumulative impacts caused by other projects alone shall not constitute substantial evidence that the proposed project's incremental effects are cumulatively considerable.

PROJECTED IMPACT: Less Than Significant (LTS)

CEQA Appendix G Section XIII Noise - Analysis of Noise Significance Criteria

This study predicts a less than significant impact in accordance with applicable noise ordinances and General Plans, including the City of Los Angeles Municipal Code. Would the project result in:

a) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?

No. As shown in the above analysis, temporary construction noise would be limited to daylight hours and would permanently cease upon completion of construction. Aggregated average construction noise with installation of temporary noise barriers as a control measure is not expected to exceed 75 dBA at nearby receptors, which is below the significant threshold set by the City. Therefore, temporary impacts on ambient noise levels during construction would be less than significant.

Operational noise sources for the Project, such as new HVAC equipment, are of quiet design per commercial standards. The interior noise levels will be maintained at current noise levels at nearby receptors. Therefore, long-term operational impacts on ambient noise levels would also be less than significant and no mitigation is required.

<u>PROJECTED IMPACT</u>: Less Than Significant (LTS)

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 22 of 24

b) Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?

No. Construction plans do not include intense percussive actions (e.g., hard rock-breaking, large pile-driving). Therefore, no strong ground-borne vibrations are expected to be generated that could affect nearby structures or be noticeable to their occupants and impacts would be less than significant.

<u>PROJECTED IMPACT</u>: Less Than Significant (LTS)

c) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

There is no public or private use airport within two miles of the project site; therefore, no impact would be expected.

PROJECTED IMPACT: No impact

CLOSING

Thank you very much for the opportunity to be of assistance. Should you have any questions, please contact me at (415) 248-8490 (mobile) or Tina Darjazanie at (949) 324-9041 (mobile).

Sincerely,

Thom Maslowski

Thom Maslowski | Fresno Office Senior Air Quality Engineer Yorke Engineering, LLC <u>TMaslowski@YorkeEngr.com</u>

cc: Tina Darjazanie, Yorke Engineering, LLC

Enclosures/Attachments:

1. CalEEMod Outputs

Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 23 of 24

AIR QUALITY AND GHG REFERENCES

California Air Resources Board (CARB). 2017. California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan. Website (<u>https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/scopingplan.htm</u>) accessed August 28, 2023.

California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle). 2016. Solid Waste Cleanup Program Weights and Volumes for Project Estimates. Website (<u>https://www.calrecycle.ca.gov</u>) accessed August 28, 2023.

California Emissions Estimation Model® (CalEEMod). Version 20221.1.18. Website (<u>http://www.caleemod.com/</u>) accessed August 28, 2023.

California Energy Commission (CEC). 2022. Building Energy Efficiency Program. Website (https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiency-standards/2022-building-energy-efficiency) accessed August 28, 2023.

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 2023. Air Quality Significance Thresholds. Website (<u>https://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/south-coast-aqmd-air-quality-significance-thresholds.pdf</u>) accessed August 28, 2023.

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 2008a. Localized Significance Threshold Methodology. Website (<u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/localized-significance-thresholds/final-lst-methodology-document.pdf?sfvrsn=2</u>) accessed August 28, 2023.

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 2008b. Interim CEQA GHG Significance Threshold for Stationary Sources, Rules and Plans. Website (http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-(ghg)-ceqasignificance-thresholds/ghgboardsynopsis.pdf?sfvrsn=2) accessed August 28, 2023. Holy Trinity Armenian Church 11960 Victory Boulevard, North Hollywood, CA 91606 September 7, 2023 Page 24 of 24

NOISE REFERENCES

Broch, Jens. 1971. Acoustic Noise Measurements. Bruel & Kjaer.

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2020. Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual. Website (<u>https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/environmental-analysis/documents/env/tcvgm-apr2020-a11y.pdf</u>) accessed August 28, 2023.

City of Los Angeles Planning Commission (City). 1999. Noise Element of the Los Angeles City General Plan. Website (<u>https://planning.lacity.org/odocument/b49a8631-19b2-4477-8c7f-08b48093cddd/Noise_Element.pdf</u>) accessed August 28, 2023.

City of Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC), Chapter XI, Noise Regulation. Website (<u>https://codelibrary.amlegal.com/codes/los_angeles/latest/lamc/0-0-0-193741</u>) accessed August 28, 2023.

Plog, Barbara, Ed. 1988. Fundamentals of Industrial Hygiene - 3rd Edition. National Safety Council.

U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). 2006. Roadway Construction Noise Model User's Guide. Website (<u>https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/Environment/noise/construction_noise/rcnm/</u>) accessed August 28, 2023.

U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Transit Authority (FTA). 2006. Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. Website

(https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/FTA_Noise_and_Vibration_Manual.pdf) accessed August 28, 2023.

ATTACHMENT 1 – CALEEMOD OUTPUTS

Holy Trinity Armenian Church Detailed Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
 - 2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated
 - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
 - 2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Demolition (2024) Unmitigated
 - 3.2. Demolition (2024) Mitigated

- 3.3. Site Preparation (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.4. Site Preparation (2024) Mitigated
- 3.5. Grading (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.6. Grading (2024) Mitigated
- 3.7. Building Construction (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.8. Building Construction (2024) Mitigated
- 3.9. Paving (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.10. Paving (2024) Mitigated
- 3.11. Architectural Coating (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.12. Architectural Coating (2024) Mitigated
- 4. Operations Emissions Details
 - 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.1.2. Mitigated
 - 4.2. Energy
 - 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
 - 4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Mitigated

- 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
- 4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Mitigated
- 4.3. Area Emissions by Source
 - 4.3.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.3.2. Mitigated
- 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.4.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.4.2. Mitigated
- 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.5.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.5.2. Mitigated
- 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.6.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.6.2. Mitigated
- 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.7.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.7.2. Mitigated

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

- 4.8.1. Unmitigated
- 4.8.2. Mitigated
- 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.9.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.9.2. Mitigated
- 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
 - 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Unmitigated
 - 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Unmitigated
 - 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Unmitigated
 - 4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Mitigated
 - 4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Mitigated
 - 4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Mitigated
- 5. Activity Data
 - 5.1. Construction Schedule
 - 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.2.1. Unmitigated

5.2.2. Mitigated

- 5.3. Construction Vehicles
 - 5.3.1. Unmitigated

5.3.2. Mitigated

- 5.4. Vehicles
 - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
- 5.5. Architectural Coatings
- 5.6. Dust Mitigation
 - 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
 - 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies
- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources
 - 5.9.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.9.2. Mitigated
- 5.10. Operational Area Sources
 - 5.10.1. Hearths

- 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated
- 5.10.1.2. Mitigated
- 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings
- 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment
- 5.10.4. Landscape Equipment Mitigated
- 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption
 - 5.11.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.11.2. Mitigated
- 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption
 - 5.12.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.12.2. Mitigated
- 5.13. Operational Waste Generation
 - 5.13.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.13.2. Mitigated
- 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment
 - 5.14.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.14.2. Mitigated

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

- 5.15.1. Unmitigated
- 5.15.2. Mitigated

5.16. Stationary Sources

- 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps
- 5.16.2. Process Boilers

5.17. User Defined

- 5.18. Vegetation
 - 5.18.1. Land Use Change
 - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.18.1.2. Mitigated
 - 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type
 - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

- 5.18.2.1. Unmitigated
- 5.18.2.2. Mitigated

- 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report
 - 6.1. Climate Risk Summary
 - 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
 - 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores
 - 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures
- 7. Health and Equity Details
 - 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
 - 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
 - 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores
 - 7.4. Health & Equity Measures
 - 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard
 - 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	Holy Trinity Armenian Church
Construction Start Date	1/2/2024
Operational Year	2023
Lead Agency	
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.50
Precipitation (days)	20.6
Location	11960 Victory Blvd, North Hollywood, CA 91606, USA
County	Los Angeles-South Coast
City	Los Angeles
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	3883
EDFZ	17
Electric Utility	Los Angeles Department of Water & Power
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas
App Version	2022.1.1.18

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
------------------	------	------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	-------------

Day-Care Center	5.69	1000sqft	0.13	5,690	3,377			—
General Office Building	14.0	1000sqft	0.32	13,990	0.00	_	_	—
Parking Lot	4.81	1000sqft	0.11	0.00	0.00	—	_	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

Sector	#	Measure Title
Construction	C-10-A	Water Exposed Surfaces
Construction	С-10-В	Water Active Demolition Sites
Construction	C-10-C	Water Unpaved Construction Roads
Construction	C-11	Limit Vehicle Speeds on Unpaved Roads
Construction	C-12	Sweep Paved Roads
Construction	C-13	Use Low-VOC Paints for Construction
Water	W-4	Require Low-Flow Water Fixtures
Area Sources	AS-1	Use Low-VOC Cleaning Supplies
Area Sources	AS-2	Use Low-VOC Paints

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—			—		—					—	—	—	—			
Unmit.	18.5	10.4	14.2	0.02	0.47	0.35	0.81	0.43	0.08	0.51	—	2,576	2,576	0.10	0.04	1.64	2,593
Mit.	9.40	10.4	14.2	0.02	0.47	0.35	0.81	0.43	0.08	0.51	_	2,576	2,576	0.10	0.04	1.64	2,593

% Reduced	49%	-	-	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	—														
Unmit.	1.22	14.4	11.2	0.06	0.53	5.41	5.94	0.49	2.59	3.08		8,436	8,436	0.44	1.21	0.46	8,810
Mit.	1.22	14.4	11.2	0.06	0.53	2.26	2.70	0.49	1.02	1.52		8,436	8,436	0.44	1.21	0.46	8,810
% Reduced	—	—	—	—	—	58%	55%	—	60%	51%		—	—	—	—		—
Average Daily (Max)		-	—							_				_			
Unmit.	0.82	3.00	3.83	0.01	0.13	0.15	0.28	0.12	0.05	0.17	—	779	779	0.03	0.02	0.20	787
Mit.	0.57	3.00	3.83	0.01	0.13	0.11	0.24	0.12	0.03	0.15		779	779	0.03	0.02	0.20	787
% Reduced	31%	—	—			28%	15%	—	37%	11%			—	—			—
Annual (Max)	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—			—
Unmit.	0.15	0.55	0.70	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.03	_	129	129	0.01	< 0.005	0.03	130
Mit.	0.10	0.55	0.70	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.03	—	129	129	0.01	< 0.005	0.03	130
% Reduced	31%	_	—		_	28%	15%	_	37%	11%		_	—	—	_		_

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	_	—	-		—	-	_	—	-		—				
2024	18.5	10.4	14.2	0.02	0.47	0.35	0.81	0.43	0.08	0.51	_	2,576	2,576	0.10	0.04	1.64	2,593
Daily - Winter (Max)			_			—	—			—	—	—		—		—	
----------------------------	------	------	------	---------	------	------	------	------	------	------	---	-------	-------	------	---------	------	-------
2024	1.22	14.4	11.2	0.06	0.53	5.41	5.94	0.49	2.59	3.08	—	8,436	8,436	0.44	1.21	0.46	8,810
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—		—	—	—	
2024	0.82	3.00	3.83	0.01	0.13	0.15	0.28	0.12	0.05	0.17	_	779	779	0.03	0.02	0.20	787
Annual	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	
2024	0.15	0.55	0.70	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.03	_	129	129	0.01	< 0.005	0.03	130

2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	—
2024	9.40	10.4	14.2	0.02	0.47	0.35	0.81	0.43	0.08	0.51	—	2,576	2,576	0.10	0.04	1.64	2,593
Daily - Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	—	-
2024	1.22	14.4	11.2	0.06	0.53	2.26	2.70	0.49	1.02	1.52	—	8,436	8,436	0.44	1.21	0.46	8,810
Average Daily	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.57	3.00	3.83	0.01	0.13	0.11	0.24	0.12	0.03	0.15	—	779	779	0.03	0.02	0.20	787
Annual	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_
2024	0.10	0.55	0.70	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.03	_	129	129	0.01	< 0.005	0.03	130

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

1.1. /8.4%				DIALOF	DIALOD	DIALOT			DIA ST	0000		COOT			-	000
Un/Mit.	ROG	NOX	1502	PM10E	PM10D	PM101	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.51	BCO2	INBCO2	021	CH4	N20	R	CO2e

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	_	-	_		-						—				—
Unmit.	2.04	1.01	10.4	0.02	0.02	1.54	1.56	0.02	0.39	0.41	16.2	2,514	2,530	1.80	0.10	7.72	2,614
Mit.	1.98	1.01	10.4	0.02	0.02	1.54	1.56	0.02	0.39	0.41	15.7	2,510	2,526	1.75	0.10	7.72	2,608
% Reduced	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	3%	< 0.5%	< 0.5%	3%	1%	_	< 0.5%
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	-	-	-	_	—		_						_	_	_
Unmit.	1.87	1.08	9.10	0.02	0.02	1.54	1.56	0.02	0.39	0.41	16.2	2,433	2,449	1.81	0.11	0.25	2,527
Mit.	1.82	1.08	9.10	0.02	0.02	1.54	1.56	0.02	0.39	0.41	15.7	2,430	2,445	1.76	0.11	0.25	2,522
% Reduced	3%	-	-	-	—	—	-	—	—	—	3%	< 0.5%	< 0.5%	3%	1%	—	< 0.5%
Average Daily (Max)		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	—	_		_		_	—	
Unmit.	1.62	0.86	7.67	0.01	0.02	1.16	1.18	0.02	0.30	0.31	16.2	2,038	2,054	1.78	0.09	2.59	2,127
Mit.	1.56	0.86	7.67	0.01	0.02	1.16	1.18	0.02	0.30	0.31	15.7	2,034	2,050	1.73	0.09	2.59	2,121
% Reduced	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		3%	< 0.5%	< 0.5%	3%	1%	_	< 0.5%
Annual (Max)	_	—	-	-	—	—	-	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	_
Unmit.	0.30	0.16	1.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.22	< 0.005	0.05	0.06	2.69	337	340	0.29	0.01	0.43	352
Mit.	0.29	0.16	1.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.22	< 0.005	0.05	0.06	2.60	337	339	0.29	0.01	0.43	351
% Reduced	3%	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		3%	< 0.5%	< 0.5%	3%	1%	_	< 0.5%

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Sector ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
------------	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	—	_
Mobile	1.42	0.89	9.48	0.02	0.01	1.54	1.55	0.01	0.39	0.40	—	1,848	1,848	0.12	0.09	7.67	1,884
Area	0.61	0.01	0.86	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.52	3.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.53
Energy	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	627	627	0.05	0.01	—	630
Water	—	_	-	_	-	—	—	-	—	-	5.23	35.6	40.9	0.54	0.01	-	58.2
Waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	11.0	0.00	11.0	1.10	0.00	_	38.5
Refrig.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.06	0.06
Total	2.04	1.01	10.4	0.02	0.02	1.54	1.56	0.02	0.39	0.41	16.2	2,514	2,530	1.80	0.10	7.72	2,614
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-
Mobile	1.40	0.98	9.01	0.02	0.01	1.54	1.55	0.01	0.39	0.40	—	1,770	1,770	0.13	0.09	0.20	1,801
Area	0.47	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—
Energy	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	627	627	0.05	0.01	—	630
Water	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	5.23	35.6	40.9	0.54	0.01	_	58.2
Waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	11.0	0.00	11.0	1.10	0.00	_	38.5
Refrig.	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	0.06	0.06
Total	1.87	1.08	9.10	0.02	0.02	1.54	1.56	0.02	0.39	0.41	16.2	2,433	2,449	1.81	0.11	0.25	2,527
Average Daily	—	-	-	-	—	_	-	—	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	_
Mobile	1.05	0.75	6.99	0.01	0.01	1.16	1.17	0.01	0.30	0.30	—	1,373	1,373	0.10	0.07	2.54	1,398
Area	0.57	< 0.005	0.59	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	2.41	2.41	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.42
Energy	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	627	627	0.05	0.01	—	630
Water	—	-	-	_	-	-	—	-	_	-	5.23	35.6	40.9	0.54	0.01	-	58.2
Waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	11.0	0.00	11.0	1.10	0.00	_	38.5
Refrig.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	0.06	0.06
Total	1.62	0.86	7.67	0.01	0.02	1.16	1.18	0.02	0.30	0.31	16.2	2,038	2,054	1.78	0.09	2.59	2,127

Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_	_	_	_		_
Mobile	0.19	0.14	1.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.21	< 0.005	0.05	0.06		227	227	0.02	0.01	0.42	231
Area	0.10	< 0.005	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005		0.40	0.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.40
Energy	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005		104	104	0.01	< 0.005	—	104
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	0.87	5.90	6.77	0.09	< 0.005	—	9.64
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.82	0.00	1.82	0.18	0.00	—	6.37
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	0.30	0.16	1.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.22	< 0.005	0.05	0.06	2.69	337	340	0.29	0.01	0.43	352

2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated

Sector	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	-
Mobile	1.42	0.89	9.48	0.02	0.01	1.54	1.55	0.01	0.39	0.40	—	1,848	1,848	0.12	0.09	7.67	1,884
Area	0.56	0.01	0.86	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	_	3.52	3.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.53
Energy	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	627	627	0.05	0.01	—	630
Water	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	4.74	32.3	37.0	0.49	0.01	_	52.8
Waste	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11.0	0.00	11.0	1.10	0.00	—	38.5
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.06	0.06
Total	1.98	1.01	10.4	0.02	0.02	1.54	1.56	0.02	0.39	0.41	15.7	2,510	2,526	1.75	0.10	7.72	2,608
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	-	—	—	_	_	—	_	-	—		_	—		-		_
Mobile	1.40	0.98	9.01	0.02	0.01	1.54	1.55	0.01	0.39	0.40	—	1,770	1,770	0.13	0.09	0.20	1,801
Area	0.42	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_
Energy	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	627	627	0.05	0.01	_	630
Water	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	4.74	32.3	37.0	0.49	0.01	_	52.8

Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11.0	0.00	11.0	1.10	0.00	—	38.5
Refrig.	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	-	_	-	0.06	0.06
Total	1.82	1.08	9.10	0.02	0.02	1.54	1.56	0.02	0.39	0.41	15.7	2,430	2,445	1.76	0.11	0.25	2,522
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	—	_	-	-
Mobile	1.05	0.75	6.99	0.01	0.01	1.16	1.17	0.01	0.30	0.30	_	1,373	1,373	0.10	0.07	2.54	1,398
Area	0.51	< 0.005	0.59	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	2.41	2.41	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.42
Energy	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	_	627	627	0.05	0.01	—	630
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.74	32.3	37.0	0.49	0.01	—	52.8
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11.0	0.00	11.0	1.10	0.00	—	38.5
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.06	0.06
Total	1.56	0.86	7.67	0.01	0.02	1.16	1.18	0.02	0.30	0.31	15.7	2,034	2,050	1.73	0.09	2.59	2,121
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.19	0.14	1.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.21	< 0.005	0.05	0.06	—	227	227	0.02	0.01	0.42	231
Area	0.09	< 0.005	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.40	0.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.40
Energy	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	104	104	0.01	< 0.005	—	104
Water	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	0.78	5.35	6.13	0.08	< 0.005	—	8.74
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	1.82	0.00	1.82	0.18	0.00	—	6.37
Refrig.	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	0.29	0.16	1.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.22	< 0.005	0.05	0.06	2.60	337	339	0.29	0.01	0.43	351

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Demolition (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—		_	—	_	_	_			—	_	_		_	_	—
Daily, Winter (Max)					—			_			_	—	_		_	_	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.51	4.69	5.79	0.01	0.19	—	0.19	0.17	—	0.17	—	852	852	0.03	0.01	—	855
Demolitio n	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	0.19	—	0.03	0.03	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.26	0.32	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	46.7	46.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	46.9
Demolitio n	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	_	_	—	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.05	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	7.73	7.73	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.76
Demolitio n	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_		—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)												—			_	_	—
Daily, Winter (Max)												_				_	_

Worker	0.04	0.06	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	_	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	< 0.005	0.20	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	155	155	0.01	0.02	0.01	—
Average Daily	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	-	_	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.44	7.44	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.50	8.50	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—
Annual	—	—	-	_	—	—	_	—	-	—	_	-	—	_	_	_	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	1.23	1.23	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.41	1.41	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_

3.2. Demolition (2024) - Mitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)				-													
Daily, Winter (Max)				—													
Off-Road Equipment	0.51	4.69	5.79	0.01	0.19	—	0.19	0.17	—	0.17	—	852	852	0.03	0.01		855
Demolitio n		—	—	—	—	0.12	0.12	—	0.02	0.02	—	—	—	—	—		—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—

Average Daily		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.26	0.32	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	46.7	46.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	46.9
Demolitio n	—	—	—	_	_	0.01	0.01	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—	_	_	-	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.05	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	7.73	7.73	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	7.76
Demolitio n	_	_	—	-	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	—	_	_	-	-	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Offsite	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	—	_	-	-	-	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Worker	0.04	0.06	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	_	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	< 0.005	0.20	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	155	155	0.01	0.02	0.01	_
Average Daily		-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.44	7.44	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	8.50	8.50	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_
Annual	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.23	1.23	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.41	1.41	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_

3.3. Site Preparation (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	—	-		-	-	_	_	—	_		_		_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)				_		_	_		_	_	_						_
Off-Road Equipment	0.50	4.60	5.56	0.01	0.24	—	0.24	0.22	—	0.22	—	858	858	0.03	0.01	—	861
Dust From Material Movement				_		0.58	0.58		0.06	0.06							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Average Daily			_	-		-	-	_		_	_				_		_
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	4.70	4.70	< 0.005	< 0.005		4.72
Dust From Material Movement						< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	_	0.78	0.78	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.78
Dust From Material Movement					—	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—				
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Offsite		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-			_	_
Worker	0.02	0.03	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	66.9	66.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	0.15	9.75	3.61	0.05	0.09	1.97	2.07	0.09	0.54	0.63	—	7,511	7,511	0.40	1.20	0.45	—
Average Daily		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	41.1	41.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	_
Annual		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	6.81	6.81	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_

3.4. Site Preparation (2024) - Mitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10F	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2 5D	PM2 5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Location			100	1002			1 1VIZ.UL	1 1012.00	1 1012.01	10002	110002	0021		1120	11	0020

Onsite	_	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)																	
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		_								_						
Off-Road Equipment	0.50	4.60	5.56	0.01	0.24	—	0.24	0.22	—	0.22	—	858	858	0.03	0.01	—	861
Dust From Material Movement	_					0.22	0.22		0.02	0.02					-		_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Average Daily		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	4.70	4.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.72
Dust From Material Movement	_					< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	—	0.78	0.78	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.78
Dust From Material Movement	_					< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005							_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Offsite		_	_			_	_		_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—			—	_									
Daily, Winter (Max)		—							—								
Worker	0.02	0.03	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	66.9	66.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	0.15	9.75	3.61	0.05	0.09	1.97	2.07	0.09	0.54	0.63	—	7,511	7,511	0.40	1.20	0.45	_
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—		—	—	—		_	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	41.1	41.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	_
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	6.81	6.81	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_

3.5. Grading (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	_	-	—	_	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			-	_						—	-						
Daily, Winter (Max)			_			—				—	—			_			
Off-Road Equipment	1.19	11.4	10.7	0.02	0.53	—	0.53	0.49	—	0.49	—	1,713	1,713	0.07	0.01	—	1,719

Dust From Material Movement	_	_	_	_	_	5.31	5.31		2.57	2.57	_	_	_				_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Average Daily	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.12	0.12	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	18.8	18.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	18.8
Dust From Material Movement						0.06	0.06		0.03	0.03		_					
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	—	—	-	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	3.11	3.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	3.12
Dust From Material Movement						0.01	0.01		0.01	0.01		_					
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Offsite	—	—	-	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)			—	—		—											—
Daily, Winter (Max)			_		—												
Worker	0.03	0.04	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	100	100	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

Average Daily				—		—									—		
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.12	1.12	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—		—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.18	0.18	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—

3.6. Grading (2024) - Mitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)				_													
Daily, Winter (Max)				-													
Off-Road Equipment	1.19	11.4	10.7	0.02	0.53	—	0.53	0.49	—	0.49	—	1,713	1,713	0.07	0.01	—	1,719
Dust From Material Movement				_		2.07	2.07		1.00	1.00							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Average Daily	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	_		_	—		_		_	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.12	0.12	< 0.005	0.01		0.01	0.01		0.01		18.8	18.8	< 0.005	< 0.005		18.8

Dust From Material Movement	_					0.02	0.02		0.01	0.01		_		_	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.11	3.11	< 0.005	< 0.005		3.12
Dust From Material Movement	_					< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_		_
Daily, Summer (Max)			_	_		_											
Daily, Winter (Max)	—		_	_		_				_		_		_	_	_	_
Worker	0.03	0.04	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	100	100	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Average Daily						—	—		—				—		—		
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.12	1.12	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_		
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.18	0.18	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	
---------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	---	------	------	------	------	------	---	--

3.7. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	_	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	
Off-Road Equipment	0.56 I	5.60	6.98	0.01	0.26	—	0.26	0.23	—	0.23	—	1,305	1,305	0.05	0.01	—	1,309
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	-	—	—	-	—	-	—		_	_		_	_	_	
Off-Road Equipment	0.56 I	5.60	6.98	0.01	0.26	_	0.26	0.23	—	0.23	—	1,305	1,305	0.05	0.01	—	1,309
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Average Daily	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.23	2.30	2.87	< 0.005	0.10	-	0.10	0.10	-	0.10	_	536	536	0.02	< 0.005	-	538
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment	0.04	0.42	0.52	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	_	88.8	88.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	89.1
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—						—	—		—			—	—
Worker	0.03	0.03	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	97.0	97.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.38	—
Vendor	< 0.005	0.12	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	104	104	< 0.005	0.01	0.28	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	-	-	_	_	_		—		_	_		_	_			
Worker	0.03	0.04	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	91.9	91.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—
Vendor	< 0.005	0.13	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	104	104	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Average Daily	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.02	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	_	38.3	38.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	_
Vendor	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	42.8	42.8	< 0.005	0.01	0.05	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	6.34	6.34	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_
Vendor	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.08	7.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

3.8. Building Construction (2024) - Mitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)			—	_	—			_			—						

Off-Road Equipment	0.56	5.60	6.98	0.01	0.26	-	0.26	0.23	-	0.23	-	1,305	1,305	0.05	0.01	-	1,309
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	_		_
Off-Road Equipment	0.56	5.60	6.98	0.01	0.26	-	0.26	0.23	_	0.23	—	1,305	1,305	0.05	0.01	—	1,309
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Average Daily	_		—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.23	2.30	2.87	< 0.005	0.10	—	0.10	0.10	_	0.10	—	536	536	0.02	< 0.005	—	538
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	—	_	—	—	—	-	—	—	-	_	_	-	—	-	-	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.04	0.42	0.52	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	-	88.8	88.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	89.1
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Offsite	—	-	-	-	-	-	_	—	-	—	—	-	—	-	-	-	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	_			_
Worker	0.03	0.03	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	97.0	97.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.38	—
Vendor	< 0.005	0.12	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	104	104	< 0.005	0.01	0.28	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.03	0.04	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	91.9	91.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_

Vendor	< 0.005	0.13	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	104	104	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.02	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	38.3	38.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	—
Vendor	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	42.8	42.8	< 0.005	0.01	0.05	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	—	—	-	—	—	-	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	6.34	6.34	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_
Vendor	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.08	7.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

3.9. Paving (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)																	
Off-Road Equipment	0.53	4.52	5.32	0.01	0.21	—	0.21	0.19	—	0.19	—	823	823	0.03	0.01	—	826
Paving	0.03	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	_	_	_		_		_						_	
Average Daily	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—		_	—	_	_	_	_

Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.12	0.15	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	22.6	22.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	22.6
Paving	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	_	3.73	3.73	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.75
Paving	< 0.005	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)													_			_	
Worker	0.08	0.08	1.32	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.05		247	247	0.01	0.01	0.97	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Daily, Winter (Max)																	
Average Daily			_	_	_	_	_		_	_			_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005		6.51	6.51	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		_	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.08	1.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

3.10. Paving (2024) - Mitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)			—	-	-	—									—		_
Off-Road Equipment	0.53	4.52	5.32	0.01	0.21	—	0.21	0.19	—	0.19	—	823	823	0.03	0.01	—	826
Paving	0.03	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—		—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Daily, Winter (Max)			—	-	-	_	—	—	_	—	_	_		_	-		
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.12	0.15	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	22.6	22.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	22.6
Paving	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.73	3.73	< 0.005	< 0.005		3.75
Paving	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—		—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Offsite	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)			_	_	_	_	_		_	_					_		

Worker	0.08	0.08	1.32	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	247	247	0.01	0.01	0.97	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Daily, Winter (Max)																	—
Average Daily	—	—		—	—						_		—		—		—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	6.51	6.51	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.08	1.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

3.11. Architectural Coating (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—		_
Daily, Summer (Max)																	_
Off-Road Equipment	0.14	0.91	1.15	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005		134
Architectu ral Coatings	18.4																—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—

Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—		_
Average Daily		_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	—	3.66	3.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.67
Architectu ral Coatings	0.50	_	_	_	_	_		_	_		_						
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	0.61	0.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.61
Architectu ral Coatings	0.09	_	-	-	_	-		_	_		-						
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_			_		—						
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	19.4	19.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	—	-	_	_		_	_	_
Average Daily		-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	—	_	_	_	_	
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.51	0.51	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—

3.12. Architectural Coating (2024) - Mitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_			
Off-Road Equipment	0.14 I	0.91	1.15	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architectu ral Coatings	9.26	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	-			
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	—		—
Average Daily	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	3.66	3.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.67
Architectu ral Coatings	0.25	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	-	_		

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.61	0.61	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.61
Architectu ral Coatings	0.05		_	_							_						—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	—				_			_			—			_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	19.4	19.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	-
Average Daily		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—			—	—		—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.51	0.51	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	80.0	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-		—	-	-	—	—
Day-Care Center	0.92	0.52	5.47	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.84	0.01	0.21	0.22	—	1,009	1,009	0.07	0.05	4.15	1,030
General Office Building	0.50	0.37	4.02	0.01	0.01	0.71	0.71	0.01	0.18	0.18	_	838	838	0.05	0.04	3.52	853
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	1.42	0.89	9.48	0.02	0.01	1.54	1.55	0.01	0.39	0.40	—	1,848	1,848	0.12	0.09	7.67	1,884
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	—	_	_	_	_	_					_	-		—
Day-Care Center	0.90	0.57	5.28	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.84	0.01	0.21	0.22	—	968	968	0.08	0.05	0.11	985
General Office Building	0.49	0.40	3.73	0.01	0.01	0.71	0.71	0.01	0.18	0.18	—	803	803	0.05	0.04	0.09	815
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	1.40	0.98	9.01	0.02	0.01	1.54	1.55	0.01	0.39	0.40	—	1,770	1,770	0.13	0.09	0.20	1,801
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Day-Care Center	0.12	0.08	0.75	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.12	0.12	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	_	125	125	0.01	0.01	0.23	128

General Office Building	0.07	0.06	0.53	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	_	102	102	0.01	< 0.005	0.19	104
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.19	0.14	1.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.21	< 0.005	0.05	0.06	—	227	227	0.02	0.01	0.42	231

4.1.2. Mitigated

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Day-Care Center	0.92	0.52	5.47	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.84	0.01	0.21	0.22	—	1,009	1,009	0.07	0.05	4.15	1,030
General Office Building	0.50	0.37	4.02	0.01	0.01	0.71	0.71	0.01	0.18	0.18	_	838	838	0.05	0.04	3.52	853
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	1.42	0.89	9.48	0.02	0.01	1.54	1.55	0.01	0.39	0.40	—	1,848	1,848	0.12	0.09	7.67	1,884
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	—	
Day-Care Center	0.90	0.57	5.28	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.84	0.01	0.21	0.22	-	968	968	0.08	0.05	0.11	985
General Office Building	0.49	0.40	3.73	0.01	0.01	0.71	0.71	0.01	0.18	0.18	—	803	803	0.05	0.04	0.09	815
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	1.40	0.98	9.01	0.02	0.01	1.54	1.55	0.01	0.39	0.40	_	1,770	1,770	0.13	0.09	0.20	1,801
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_

Day-Care Center	0.12	0.08	0.75	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.12	0.12	< 0.005	0.03	0.03		125	125	0.01	0.01	0.23	128
General Office Building	0.07	0.06	0.53	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	_	102	102	0.01	< 0.005	0.19	104
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.19	0.14	1.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.21	0.21	< 0.005	0.05	0.06	_	227	227	0.02	0.01	0.42	231

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	-	—	-	_	_	—	_
Day-Care Center	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	76.1	76.1	0.01	< 0.005	—	76.5
General Office Building	—		_	—	-			-		_	_	415	415	0.03	< 0.005	—	417
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	7.97	7.97	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.01
Total	—	—	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	499	499	0.04	< 0.005	—	501
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	-	-	—		-	—	-	-	—	-	_		-	
Day-Care Center	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	76.1	76.1	0.01	< 0.005	—	76.5
General Office Building										_	_	415	415	0.03	< 0.005	_	417

Parking Lot	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.97	7.97	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.01
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	499	499	0.04	< 0.005	—	501
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Day-Care Center			—			—		—	—		—	12.6	12.6	< 0.005	< 0.005		12.7
General Office Building			_					_			_	68.7	68.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	69.0
Parking Lot			—	—				—		—		1.32	1.32	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.33
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	82.6	82.6	0.01	< 0.005	_	83.0

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	-		—		
Day-Care Center	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	76.1	76.1	0.01	< 0.005	—	76.5
General Office Building			_	_	_	_	-		_	_		415	415	0.03	< 0.005		417
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.97	7.97	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.01
Total	—	—	-	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	—	499	499	0.04	< 0.005	—	501
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Day-Care Center	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	_	—	76.1	76.1	0.01	< 0.005	—	76.5

General Office Building					—							415	415	0.03	< 0.005		417
Parking Lot		—	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	7.97	7.97	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.01
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	499	499	0.04	< 0.005	—	501
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—
Day-Care Center			—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	12.6	12.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	12.7
General Office Building												68.7	68.7	< 0.005	< 0.005		69.0
Parking Lot		—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	1.32	1.32	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.33
Total		_	_	_	—	_			_	_	_	82.6	82.6	0.01	< 0.005	_	83.0

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	—	—	-
Day-Care Center	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	37.1	37.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	37.2
General Office Building	< 0.005	0.08	0.06	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	_	90.9	90.9	0.01	< 0.005	—	91.1
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	_	128	128	0.01	< 0.005	—	128
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	_

Day-Care Center	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	—	37.1	37.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	37.2
General Office Building	< 0.005	0.08	0.06	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	_	0.01		90.9	90.9	0.01	< 0.005		91.1
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	—	128	128	0.01	< 0.005	—	128
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Day-Care Center	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	—	6.14	6.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	6.15
General Office Building	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	_	15.0	15.0	< 0.005	< 0.005		15.1
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	21.2	21.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	21.2

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)							—										—
Day-Care Center	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	37.1	37.1	< 0.005	< 0.005		37.2
General Office Building	< 0.005	0.08	0.06	< 0.005	0.01		0.01	0.01		0.01		90.9	90.9	0.01	< 0.005		91.1
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	_	128	128	0.01	< 0.005	_	128

Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	
Day-Care Center	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	37.1	37.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	37.2
General Office Building	< 0.005	0.08	0.06	< 0.005	0.01		0.01	0.01		0.01		90.9	90.9	0.01	< 0.005		91.1
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	_	0.01		128	128	0.01	< 0.005	_	128
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_			_	_	_
Day-Care Center	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005		6.14	6.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	6.15
General Office Building	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		15.0	15.0	< 0.005	< 0.005		15.1
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	21.2	21.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	21.2

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.1. Unmitigated

Source	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_				_			_	_		_	_		_			_
Consume r Products	0.42										_						_

Architectu ral	0.05	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscap e Equipme nt	0.14	0.01	0.86	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		3.52	3.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	3.53
Total	0.61	0.01	0.86	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	—	3.52	3.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.53
Daily, Winter (Max)		—		—					—							_	
Consume r Products	0.42	_	—	—	—		—	—	_			—	—			-	
Architectu ral Coatings	0.05	_		—	-				_				-			-	
Total	0.47	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Consume r Products	0.08	_	—	—	—				—				—			_	
Architectu ral Coatings	0.01	_	—	—	—		—	—	_			—	—			-	
Landscap e Equipme nt	0.02	< 0.005	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	_	0.40	0.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.40
Total	0.10	< 0.005	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	0.40	0.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.40

4.3.2. Mitigated

Source	ROG	NOv	0	SO2			PM10T	PM2 5E	PM2 5D	PM2 5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	СНИ	N2O	R	CO2e
Source	RUG	NUX	0	302	FINITUE	FINITUD	FIVITUT	FIVIZ.DE	PIVIZ.5D	P1VIZ.51	6002		0021		IN20	ĸ	0026

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—			—	—		—		—	—
Consume r Products	0.39	_	_	_	_			_	—		_						_
Architectu ral Coatings	0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	_		_		_	—
Landscap e Equipme nt	0.14	0.01	0.86	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		3.52	3.52	< 0.005	< 0.005		3.53
Total	0.56	0.01	0.86	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	3.52	3.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	3.53
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	—	—						-						—
Consume r Products	0.39	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_		_	_		_	_		—
Architectu ral Coatings	0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	_		_		_	—
Total	0.42	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Consume r Products	0.07	_	-	—	—	—	—	—			—	—		_		—	_
Architectu ral Coatings	< 0.005	_	_	-	—	—	—	—			_						—
Landscap e Equipme nt	0.02	< 0.005	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		0.40	0.40	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.40
Total	0.09	< 0.005	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	0.40	0.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.40

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—					—
Day-Care Center	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.47	3.62	4.09	0.05	< 0.005	—	5.64
General Office Building			-	_	-	_		_			4.76	32.0	36.8	0.49	0.01		52.6
Parking Lot	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	-	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	5.23	35.6	40.9	0.54	0.01	—	58.2
Daily, Winter (Max)			-	_	-	-		_			_	_	_		_	_	_
Day-Care Center	_		-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	0.47	3.62	4.09	0.05	< 0.005	_	5.64
General Office Building			—		—						4.76	32.0	36.8	0.49	0.01		52.6
Parking Lot	—	—	-	-	-	-	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	5.23	35.6	40.9	0.54	0.01	_	58.2
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Day-Care Center	—	_	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	_	0.08	0.60	0.68	0.01	< 0.005	_	0.93
General Office Building			_		_						0.79	5.30	6.09	0.08	< 0.005		8.71

Parking Lot			 		 				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	_	 _	_	 _	_	_	_	0.87	5.90	6.77	0.09	< 0.005	_	9.64

4.4.2. Mitigated

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	-	-	—	-	—	-	—	-	-	—	-	-	—	-	—
Day-Care Center	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	0.42	3.30	3.73	0.04	< 0.005	_	5.13
General Office Building	—	_	_	-	_	_	—	_	_	-	4.31	29.0	33.3	0.44	0.01	_	47.6
Parking Lot	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	—	-	_	—	-	_	—	—	-	—	4.74	32.3	37.0	0.49	0.01	-	52.8
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day-Care Center	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	0.42	3.30	3.73	0.04	< 0.005	-	5.13
General Office Building	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	4.31	29.0	33.3	0.44	0.01	-	47.6
Parking Lot	—	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	_	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	4.74	32.3	37.0	0.49	0.01	—	52.8
Annual	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Day-Care Center	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	0.07	0.55	0.62	0.01	< 0.005	_	0.85
General Office Building											0.71	4.80	5.51	0.07	< 0.005		7.89
-------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	------	------	------	------	---------	---	------
Parking Lot	—	—	—		—		—	—		—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.78	5.35	6.13	0.08	< 0.005	_	8.74

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	_	-	-	_	_	-	—	_	_	—	_	_
Day-Care Center	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	3.99	0.00	3.99	0.40	0.00	—	13.9
General Office Building		—		—	—		-	_	_	_	7.01	0.00	7.01	0.70	0.00		24.5
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	_	-	_	11.0	0.00	11.0	1.10	0.00	—	38.5
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	—	—	—		-	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	-		
Day-Care Center	—	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	3.99	0.00	3.99	0.40	0.00	—	13.9
General Office Building		_	_	_	_		_		_	_	7.01	0.00	7.01	0.70	0.00		24.5
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11.0	0.00	11.0	1.10	0.00		38.5
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Day-Care Center	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.66	0.00	0.66	0.07	0.00		2.31
General Office Building											1.16	0.00	1.16	0.12	0.00		4.06
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	1.82	0.00	1.82	0.18	0.00		6.37

4.5.2. Mitigated

		· · · ·	,		/			J	<i>J</i> , <i>J</i>		/						
Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_		—	_			_		_	_		_	_	_	_	_
Day-Care Center	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.99	0.00	3.99	0.40	0.00	—	13.9
General Office Building		_	_	_	_	_		_		_	7.01	0.00	7.01	0.70	0.00	_	24.5
Parking Lot		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11.0	0.00	11.0	1.10	0.00	—	38.5
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	—	-	_	_	_	_
Day-Care Center	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	3.99	0.00	3.99	0.40	0.00	_	13.9
General Office Building	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	7.01	0.00	7.01	0.70	0.00	_	24.5

Parking Lot	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total			_	_				_	_		11.0	0.00	11.0	1.10	0.00		38.5
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Day-Care Center		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.66	0.00	0.66	0.07	0.00	—	2.31
General Office Building				_							1.16	0.00	1.16	0.12	0.00	_	4.06
Parking Lot		_	_	—	_	_	_	_		_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1.82	0.00	1.82	0.18	0.00	_	6.37

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-		-							-	—					
Day-Care Center	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.02	0.02
General Office Building		_	—	_	—		—	—		—	_		—			0.03	0.03
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.06	0.06
Daily, Winter (Max)		_		_							_		_				
Day-Care Center	—	_	_	-	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	—	-	_	_	0.02	0.02

General Office Building	—	—	—	—		—	—		—	—				—	—	0.03	0.03
Total	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.06	0.06
Annual		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—		—
Day-Care Center	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005
General Office Building																0.01	0.01
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	0.01	0.01

4.6.2. Mitigated

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—				—	—	—
Day-Care Center	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.02	0.02
General Office Building		_	_	_	_		_	_		_	_					0.03	0.03
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.06	0.06
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	_	_	—	-	_	—	-	-				—	-	
Day-Care Center	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.02	0.02
General Office Building			_	_			_			_	-				_	0.03	0.03
Total	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	0.06	0.06

Annual	_	_			_			_		—	—			—		_	_
Day-Care Center	—	—	—		—			—		—	—			—		< 0.005	< 0.005
General Office Building					_						_			—		0.01	0.01
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	0.01	0.01

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	—	—	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-		_	_	-	-
Total	-	_	-	-	—	-	-	—	-	—	_	-	—	_	—	-	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.7.2. Mitigated

Equipme	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
nt																	
Туре																	

Daily, Summer (Max)								—	—			—	_	_	_		_
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	—
Daily, Winter (Max)				_	—	—		—	_	_	—	_	_	_	_		_
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	—		—		—	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	_	_	_		-	_			_				_	—
Total	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_		—	_	—	—

4.8.2. Mitigated

Equipme Type	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_		_	_		_	_		_	_		_		_	
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	_			_		—	_		—	—				_	
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_	_	_		_	_	_	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_		_			_	_		_	_	_	

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Equipme nt Type	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	-	_	_				—	_		_			_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.9.2. Mitigated

ROG co SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5T Equipme NOx PM2.5E PM2.5D BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O CO2e R Туре Daily, ____ ____ Summer (Max) Total ___ ____ _ ____ ____ ____ ___ — ____ ____ ____ Daily, _ ____ ____ Winter (Max) Total ____ _ — ____ ____ — ____ ____ _ ____ ____ ____ _ ____ — — Annual ___ ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ Total ____ _ ____ _ ____ _ ____ ____ _ _ _ — ____ ____

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Vegetatio n	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_		_				_		_	_					_
Total	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Tatal																	
Iotal	_	—	-	-	—	—	_	—	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	-	-		-	—		—		-	—	—	—	—	—	
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)				_							_		—				
Total	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	-	_	-	_	—	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	—	—
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Species	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	—	_	-	—	_	-	_	_
Sequeste red	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	—	—	-	—	-	-	—	—
Removed	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Winter (Max)		_				—			_		_	_		_	_		_
Avoided	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—		—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequeste red		—	—			—		—	—		—	—		—	—		—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—		—
Removed	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—		—
Subtotal	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—		—
—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—		—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—		—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequeste red		—	—		—	—		—			—	_		—	—		—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal			_	_	_	_		_	_		_	_		_	_		_
_		_	_					_				_		_	_		_

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

Vegetatio n	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_							—									
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	

Daily, Winter (Max)					_		_	_		_		_	_	_	_	_	_
Total		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	_	—	_	—
Annual		—	—	—	—		—	—		—		—	—	_	—	_	_
Total	_	—	_		_		_	_		_	_	—	—	_	_	_	_

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		—						—							—		—
Total	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)															_		_
Total	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_		_
Total	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_		_

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

Species	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	-	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_		—	_	—	—
Subtotal	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—

Sequeste red	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	-	_	_		—			—	_	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—		—	—	_	—
_	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	—		—	—	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	_	_	—	_		_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—		—	—	_	—
Subtotal	—	_	—		—	—	—	—		_	—	—			—	_	—
Sequeste red	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	_	_	—	_		—	—	—	_
Subtotal		_		_	_			_	_		_	_		_	_	_	_
Removed		_	_	_	—	_		—	—	_	—	—		_	—	_	_
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	_	—
Annual	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—		—	—	_	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	—		_	—	_	_
Sequeste red	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—		—	—	—	—
Subtotal	_	—		_	—			—			—	_		—	—	_	_
_	_	—		_	_		_	—	_		_	_		_	—	_	_

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Demolition	Demolition	1/2/2024	1/29/2024	5.00	20.0	—
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/30/2024	1/31/2024	5.00	2.00	—
Grading	Grading	2/1/2024	2/6/2024	5.00	4.00	—
Building Construction	Building Construction	2/7/2024	9/3/2024	5.00	150	—
Paving	Paving	9/3/2024	9/16/2024	5.00	10.0	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	9/17/2024	9/30/2024	5.00	10.0	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	1.00	367	0.40
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Site Preparation	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	148	0.41
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	367	0.40
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	82.0	0.20

Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	36.0	0.38
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	4.00	6.00	10.0	0.56
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48

5.2.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	1.00	367	0.40
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Site Preparation	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	148	0.41
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	367	0.40
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	84.0	0.37

Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	36.0	0.38
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	4.00	6.00	10.0	0.56
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	_	—	—	_
Demolition	Worker	10.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	2.20	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	—	_	HHDT
Site Preparation	_	—	_	_
Site Preparation	Worker	5.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	107	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	_	HHDT
Grading	_	—	_	_
Grading	Worker	7.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	_	—	_	_
Building Construction	Worker	6.87	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	3.23	10.2	HHDT,MHDT

Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Paving	_	_	_	_
Paving	Worker	17.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	_	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	1.37	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.3.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	—	—	—	—
Demolition	Worker	10.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	2.20	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	_	—	HHDT
Site Preparation	_	_	_	_
Site Preparation	Worker	5.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	107	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Grading	_	_	—	—
Grading	Worker	7.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2

Grading	Vendor		10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Building Construction	_	_	_	_
Building Construction	Worker	6.87	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	3.23	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Paving	_	_	_	_
Paving	Worker	17.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT
Architectural Coating	_	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	1.37	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck			HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	0.00	0.00	29,520	9,840	289

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (Building Square Footage)	Acres Paved (acres)
Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,821	_
Site Preparation	0.00	1,700	1.00	0.00	_
Grading	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	_
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Day-Care Center	0.00	0%
General Office Building	0.00	0%
Parking Lot	0.11	100%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2024	0.00	690	0.05	0.01

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Day-Care Center	271	35.4	33.2	74,221	1,174	258	243	332,172
General Office Building	136	30.9	9.79	37,648	995	226	71.5	274,864
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.9.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Day-Care Center	271	35.4	33.2	74,221	1,174	258	243	332,172
General Office Building	136	30.9	9.79	37,648	995	226	71.5	274,864
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	29,520	9,840	289

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00

Summer Days day/yr 250	ner Days
------------------------	----------

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Day-Care Center	40,229	690	0.0489	0.0069	115,665
General Office Building	219,413	690	0.0489	0.0069	283,548
Parking Lot	4,214	690	0.0489	0.0069	0.00

5.11.2. Mitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Day-Care Center	40,229	690	0.0489	0.0069	115,665
General Office Building	219,413	690	0.0489	0.0069	283,548
Parking Lot	4,214	690	0.0489	0.0069	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Day-Care Center	244,042	47,361
General Office Building	2,486,495	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00

5.12.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Day-Care Center	219,735	47,361
General Office Building	2,251,521	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Day-Care Center	7.40	
General Office Building	13.0	_
Parking Lot	0.00	_

5.13.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Day-Care Center	7.40	_
General Office Building	13.0	_
Parking Lot	0.00	_

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Day-Care Center	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.02	0.60	0.00	1.00
Day-Care Center	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0
General Office Building	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.02	0.60	0.00	1.00
General Office Building	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0
Day-Care Center	Stand-alone retail refrigerators and freezers	R-134a	1,430	< 0.005	1.00	0.00	1.00
Day-Care Center	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	R-404A	3,922	< 0.005	7.50	7.50	20.0

5.14.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Day-Care Center	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.02	0.60	0.00	1.00
Day-Care Center	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0
General Office Building	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.02	0.60	0.00	1.00
General Office Building	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0
Day-Care Center	Stand-alone retail refrigerators and freezers	R-134a	1,430	< 0.005	1.00	0.00	1.00
Day-Care Center	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	R-404A	3,922	< 0.005	7.50	7.50	20.0

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
5.15.2. Mitigated						

Equipment Type Fuel Type Engine Tier Number per Day Hours Per Day Horsepower Load Factor
--

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	----------------	---------------	----------------	------------	-------------

5.16.2. Process Boilers

|--|

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type		Fuel Type	
5.18. Vegetation			
5.18.1. Land Use Change			
5.18.1.1. Unmitigated			
Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type			
5.18.1.1. Unmitigated			
Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres	
5.18.1.2. Mitigated			
Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres	
5.18.2. Sequestration			
5.18.2.1. Unmitigated			
Тгее Туре	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
5.18.2.2. Mitigated			
Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	12.0	annual days of extreme heat

Extreme Precipitation	6.95	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	0.68	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ³/₄ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	1	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	1	1	1	2
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	
AQ-Ozone	84.6
AQ-PM	60.7
AQ-DPM	59.6
Drinking Water	83.1
Lead Risk Housing	70.2
Pesticides	0.00

Toxic Releases	64.3
Traffic	87.3
Effect Indicators	_
CleanUp Sites	92.6
Groundwater	30.9
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	41.1
Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	37.6
Sensitive Population	
Asthma	76.9
Cardio-vascular	84.9
Low Birth Weights	31.5
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	
Education	70.4
Housing	89.8
Linguistic	70.3
Poverty	58.6
	0.72

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	
Above Poverty	33.56858719
Employed	80.73912486
Median HI	20.00513281
Education	

Bachelor's or higher	46.04131913
High school enrollment	7.442576671
Preschool enrollment	95.7141024
Transportation	
Auto Access	23.27730014
Active commuting	78.64750417
Social	
2-parent households	63.96766329
Voting	2.489413576
Neighborhood	
Alcohol availability	23.50827666
Park access	25.16360837
Retail density	95.76543051
Supermarket access	81.31656615
Tree canopy	57.97510586
Housing	
Homeownership	17.51571924
Housing habitability	18.50378545
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	85.96176055
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	15.7577313
Uncrowded housing	18.95290645
Health Outcomes	
Insured adults	14.0639035
Arthritis	45.8
Asthma ER Admissions	25.5
High Blood Pressure	56.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	55.0

Asthma	34.7
Coronary Heart Disease	37.1
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	23.6
Diagnosed Diabetes	34.4
Life Expectancy at Birth	52.2
Cognitively Disabled	64.4
Physically Disabled	42.3
Heart Attack ER Admissions	11.1
Mental Health Not Good	25.4
Chronic Kidney Disease	45.1
Obesity	25.8
Pedestrian Injuries	85.3
Physical Health Not Good	25.2
Stroke	34.3
Health Risk Behaviors	_
Binge Drinking	47.1
Current Smoker	22.4
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	33.6
Climate Change Exposures	
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	37.8
Elderly	61.9
English Speaking	24.5
Foreign-born	80.0
Outdoor Workers	31.9
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	

Impervious Surface Cover	25.9
Traffic Density	85.5
Traffic Access	74.1
Other Indices	
Hardship	64.2
Other Decision Support	
2016 Voting	15.4

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	81.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	35.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	Yes
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	Yes
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed. 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

C	or	0	\sim	\mathbf{n}	
0		н	e		
-	<u> </u>	~	<u> </u>		

Justification

Holy Trinity Armenian Church Detailed Report, 9/6/2023