

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Meeks Creek Bridge Replacement

Lead Agency: California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)

Contact Name: Bibiana Rodriguez

Email: bibiana.rodriguez@dot.ca.gov

Phone Number: 530-720-9957

Project Location: Meeks Bay, El Dorado County

City

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) proposes a fish passage/terrestrial wildlife connectivity and bridge scour repair project on State Route (SR) 89 between Post Mile (PM) 24.4 and PM 25.3 in El Dorado County. The project proposes to remove Meeks Creek Bridge (Bridge No. 25-0019), construct a new bridge on SR 89 to provide fish and wildlife passage, repair scour damage, provide bicycle and pedestrian access, add Transportation Management Systems (TMS) elements with a Maintenance Vehicle Pullout (MVP), and restore Meeks Creek channel within Caltrans right of way.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The project would have No Impact on: Agriculture and Forest Resources, Cultural Resources, Geology and Soils, Land Use and Planning, Mineral Resources, Population and Housing, Public Services, Recreation, and Tribal Cultural Resources. In addition, the proposed project would have Less than Significant Impacts to: Aesthetics, Air Quality, Biological Resources, Energy, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Hazards and Hazardous Waste, Hydrology and Water Quality, Noise, Transportation, Utilities and Service Systems, Wildfire, and Mandatory Findings of Significance. No mitigation is proposed for this project.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

The proposed project would require a full closure of SR 89 from PM 24.9 to PM 25.3 to replace the bridge. During the full closure, a detour would be established for travelers using SR 28 to SR 50 as the primary alternative routes. This closure is anticipated to last three to seven days and involve continuous twenty-four-hour activities. Caltrans held a virtual Interagency meeting to discuss the project Draft Environmental Document and Draft Project Report (DPR), including the proposed full closure/detour. Input from the meeting was incorporated into the DED. Caltrans will continue to coordinate with all federal and local authorities, and stakeholders in the area throughout the lifetime of the project.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)
Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board
U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Forest Service
Tahoe Regional Planning Agency