



October 4, 2023

BIRDSEYE PLANNING GROUP

Attention: *Ryan Birdseye*
P.O. Box 1956
Vista, California 92085

SUBJECT: Habitat Assessment and Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Consistency Analysis for the Proposed Retail Site Located within Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 300-260-001 in the City of Perris, Riverside County, California

Introduction

This report contains the findings of ELMT Consulting’s (ELMT) habitat assessment and Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) consistency analysis for the proposed project (project site or site) located in the City of Perris, Riverside County, California. The field investigation was conducted by biologists Jacob H. Lloyd Davies on June 19, 2023, to document baseline conditions and assess the potential for special-status¹ plant and wildlife species to occur within the proposed project site that could pose a constraint to implementation of the proposed project. Special attention was given to the suitability of the on-site habitat to support special-status species identified by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife’s (CDFW) California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB) and other electronic databases as potentially occurring on or within the general vicinity of the project site.

The Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (RCA) MSHCP Information Map was queried to determine if the MSHCP identifies any potential survey requirements for the project. Further, the project site was reviewed against the MSHCP to determine if the site is located within any MSHCP areas including Criteria Cells (core habitat and wildlife movement corridors) or areas proposed for conservation. Based on the RCA MSHCP Information Map query and review of the MSHCP, it was determined that the project site is located within the Mead Valley Area Plan of the MSHCP but is not located within any Criteria Cells or MSHCP Conservation Areas. Further, it was determined that the project site is not located within any MSHCP designated species survey areas.

Project Location

The project site is generally located east of Interstate 215, south of State Route 60, southwest of Lake Perris, and north of State Route 74 in the City of Perris, Riverside County, California. The site is depicted on the Perris quadrangle of the United States Geological Survey’s (USGS) 7.5-minute topographic map within Section 17 of Township 4 South, Range 3 West. Specifically, the proposed project site is located at the

¹ As used in this report, “special-status” refers to plant and wildlife species that are federally, State, and MSHCP listed, proposed, or candidates; plant species that have been designated with a California Native Plant Society Rare Plant Rank; wildlife species that are designated by the CDFW as fully protected, species of special concern, or watch list species; and specially protected natural vegetation communities as designated by the CDFW.

southeast corner of the intersection of North Perris Boulevard and Placentia Avenue within Assessor Parcel Number 300-260-001. Refer to Exhibits 1-3 in Attachment A.

Project Description

The project proposes the development of a commercial retail center with associated parking and infrastructure on approximately 10.45 acres and improvements to adjacent portions of Placentia Avenue. Refer to Attachment B, *Site Plan*.

Methodology

Literature Review

The first step in determining if a project is consistent with the above listed sections of the MSHCP is to conduct a literature review and records search for special-status biological resources potentially occurring on or within the vicinity of the project site. Previously recorded occurrences of special-status plant and wildlife species and their proximity to the project were determined through a query of the CDFW's CNDDDB Rarefind 5, the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Electronic Inventory of Rare and Endangered Vascular Plants of California, Calflora Database, compendia of special-status species published by CDFW, United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) species listings, and species covered within the MSHCP and associated technical documents.

All available reports, survey results, and literature detailing the biological resources previously observed on or within the vicinity of the project site were reviewed to understand existing site conditions and note the extent of any disturbances that have occurred on the project site that would otherwise limit the distribution of special-status biological resources. Standard field guides and texts were reviewed for specific habitat requirements of special-status and non-special-status biological resources, as well as the following resources:

- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Water Program “My Waters” data layers
- Google Earth Pro historic aerial imagery (1994-2023);
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS), Soil Survey²;
- USFWS Critical Habitat designations for Threatened and Endangered Species;
- USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI);
- Stephen’s Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan;
- Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (RCA) MSHCP Information Map; and
- 2006 Burrowing Owl Survey Instructions for the Western Riverside Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Area.

The literature review provided a baseline from which to inventory the biological resources potentially occurring on the project site. The CNDDDB database was used, in conjunction with ArcGIS software, to

2 A soil series is defined as a group of soils with similar profiles developed from similar parent materials under comparable climatic and vegetation conditions. These profiles include major horizons with similar thickness, arrangement, and other important characteristics, which may promote favorable conditions for certain biological resources.

locate the nearest recorded occurrences of special-status species and determine the distance from the project.

Field Investigation

Following the literature review, biologists Jacob H. Lloyd Davies inventoried and evaluated the condition of the habitat within the project site on June 19, 2023. Plant communities identified on aerial photographs during the literature review were verified by walking meandering transects through the plant communities and along boundaries between plant communities. In addition, aerial photography was reviewed prior to the site investigation to locate potential natural corridors and linkages that may support the movement of wildlife through the area. These areas identified on aerial photography were then walked during the field survey.

All plant and wildlife species observed, as well as dominant plant species within each plant community, were recorded. Plant species observed during the field survey were identified by visual characteristics and morphology in the field. Unusual and less familiar plant species were photographed during the field survey and identified in the laboratory using taxonomical guides. Wildlife detections were made through observation of scat, trails, tracks, burrows, nests, and/or visual and aural observation. In addition, site characteristics such as soil condition, topography, hydrology, anthropogenic disturbances, indicator species, condition of on-site plant communities, and presence of potential jurisdictional drainage and/or wetland features were noted.

Soil Series Assessment

On-site and adjoining soils were researched prior to the field survey using the USDA NRCS Soil Survey for Western Riverside Area, California. In addition, a review of the local geological conditions and historical aerial photographs was conducted to assess the ecological changes that the project site has undergone.

Plant Communities

Plant communities were mapped using 7.5-minute USGS topographic base maps and aerial photography. The plant communities were delineated on an aerial photograph, classified in accordance with those described in the MSHCP, and then digitized into GIS Arcview. The Arcview application was used to compute the area of each plant community in acres.

Plants

Common plant species observed during the field survey were identified by visual characteristics and morphology in the field and recorded in a field notebook. Unusual and less-familiar plants were photographed in the field and identified in the laboratory using taxonomic guides. Taxonomic nomenclature used in this study follows the 2012 Jepson Manual (Hickman 2012). In this report, scientific names are provided immediately following common names of plant species (first reference only).

Wildlife

Wildlife species detected during field surveys by sight, calls, tracks, scat, or other sign were recorded during surveys in a field notebook. Field guides were used to assist with identification of wildlife species during

the survey included The Sibley Field Guide to the Birds of Western North America (Sibley 2003), A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians (Stebbins 2003), and A Field Guide to Mammals of North America (Reid 2006). Although common names of wildlife species are fairly well standardized, scientific names are provided immediately following common names in this report (first reference only).

Jurisdictional Drainages and Wetlands

Aerial photography was reviewed prior to conducting a field investigation in order to locate and inspect any potential natural drainage features, ponded areas, or water bodies that may fall under the jurisdiction of the United States Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Board), or CDFW. In general, surface drainage features indicated as blue-line streams on USGS maps that are observed or expected to exhibit evidence of flow are considered potential riparian/riverine habitat and are also subject to state and federal regulatory jurisdiction. In addition, ELMT reviewed jurisdictional waters information through examining historical aerial photographs to gain an understanding of the impact of land-use on natural drainage patterns in the area. The USFWS National Wetland Inventory (NWI) and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Water Program “My Waters” data layers were also reviewed to determine whether any hydrologic features and wetland areas have been documented on or within the vicinity of the project site.

Existing Site Condition

The project site is located in a primarily developed portion of the City of Perris. Historically, land uses within and surrounding the project site supported large-scale agricultural operations, some of which persist in a limited capacity. The site is bounded to the north by Placentia Avenue with residential developments beyond; to the east and south by residential development; and to the west by North Perris Boulevard with commercial development and undeveloped, vacant land beyond. The site itself supports undeveloped, vacant land and portions of North Perris Boulevard and Placentia Avenue. According to historic aerials, the site supported some development related to adjacent agricultural operations until at least 1985, with the site remaining in its current state since at least 1997.

Topography and Soils

The project site is located at an approximate elevation of 1,443 to 1,450 feet above mean sea level. On-site topography is generally flat limited topographic relief where fill dirt and spoils were left following the completion of construction projects in the area. Based on the NRCS USDA Web Soil Survey, the project site is underlain by Exeter sandy loam (0 to 2 percent slopes) and Ramona sandy loam (0 to 2 percent slopes). Refer to Exhibit 4, *Soils*, in Attachment A. Soils on-site have been mechanically disturbed and heavily compacted from historic land uses (i.e., agricultural activities, grading activities and on-site surrounding development).

Vegetation

Due to historic and existing land uses, no native plant communities or natural communities of special concern were observed on or adjacent to the project site. The site supports one (1) plant community: non-native grassland; in addition, the site supports two (2) land cover types that would be classified as disturbed and developed. Refer to Attachment C, *Site Photographs*, for representative site photographs. No native plant communities will be impacted from implementation of the proposed project.

A non-native grassland plant community is supported throughout the site, consolidated mainly to site boundaries that are impacted by routine weed abatement activities. This plant community is dominated by non-native grasses such as slim oat (*Avena barbata*) and soft chess (*Bromus hordaceus*) and supports primarily non-native weedy/early successional species. Common plant species observed in the non-native grassland supported on-site include Spanish lotus (*Acmispon americanus*), nettleleaf goosefoot (*Chenopodium murale*), dove weed (*Croton setiger*), cryptantha species (*Cryptantha* sp.), flax-leaved horseweed (*Erigeron bonariensis*), mustard (*Hirschfeldia incana*), prickly lettuce (*Lactuca serriola*), cheeseweed (*Malva parviflora*), stinknet (*Oncosiphon pilulifer*), prostrate knotweed (*Polygonum aviculare*), Russian thistle (*Salsola tragus*), Mediterranean grass (*Schismus barbatus*), and puncture vine (*Tribulus terrestris*).

Disturbed land is present throughout the site and supports the same species observed in the non-native grassland plant community but lacks regular dominance of any single group of species. Developed land is present along the northern and western boundaries of the site where site boundaries overlap with existing portions of Placentia Avenue and North Perris Boulevard. Developed areas support non-native ornamental landscaping and are maintained to be free of incidental species.

Wildlife

Plant communities provide foraging habitat, nesting/denning sites, and shelter from adverse weather or predation. This section provides a discussion of those wildlife species that were observed or are expected to occur within the project site. The discussion is to be used as a general reference and is limited by the season, time of day, and weather conditions in which the field survey was conducted. Wildlife detections were based on calls, songs, scat, tracks, burrows, and direct observation.

Fish

The MSHCP does not identify any covered or special-status fish species as potentially occurring within the project site. Further, no fish or hydrogeomorphic features (e.g., perennial creeks, ponds, lakes, reservoirs) that would provide suitable habitat for fish were observed on or within the vicinity of the site. Therefore, no fish are expected to occur and are presumed absent.

Amphibians

The MSHCP does not identify any covered or special-status amphibian species as potentially occurring within the project site. Further, no amphibians or hydrogeomorphic features (e.g., perennial creeks, ponds, lakes, reservoirs) that would provide suitable habitat for amphibian species were observed on or within the vicinity of the site. Therefore, no amphibians are expected to occur.

Reptiles

The MSHCP does not identify any covered or special-status reptilian species as potentially occurring within the project site. The site provides a limited amount of habitat for reptile species adapted to a high degree of human disturbance associated with the on-site weed abatement activities and development. The only reptilian species observed on site was Great Basin fence lizard (*Sceloporus occidentalis longipes*). Additional common reptilian species that could be expected to occur on-site include common side-blotched lizard (*Uta stansburiana elegans*). Due to the high level of anthropogenic disturbances and surrounding development, no special-status reptilian species are expected to occur within project site.

Birds

The project site provides moderate foraging habitat for bird species adapted to a high degree of human disturbance. Bird species detected during the field survey include Anna's hummingbird (*Calypte anna*), common raven (*Corvus corax*), house finch (*Haemorhous mexicanus*), northern mockingbird (*Mimus polyglottos*), house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*), black phoebe (*Sayornis nigricans*), Say's phoebe (*Sayornis saya*), Eurasian collared dove (*Streptopelia decaocto*), and mourning dove (*Zenaida macroura*).

Mammals

The MSHCP does not identify any covered or special-status mammalian species as potentially occurring within the project site. Mammalian species detected include coyote (*Canis latrans*), pocket gopher (*Thomomys bottae*), and domestic cat (*Felis catus*). Other common mammalian species that could be expected to occur include possum (*Didelphis virginiana*) and raccoon (*Procyon lotor*). No bat species are expected to occur due to a lack of suitable roosting habitat (i.e., trees, crevices).

Nesting Birds and Raptors

No active nests or birds displaying nesting behavior were observed during the field survey, which was conducted during breeding season. Although subjected to routine disturbance, the plant communities and land cover types supported on-site, including ornamental vegetation along North Perris Boulevard, have the potential to provide suitable nesting habitat for year-round and seasonal avian residents, as well as migrating songbirds that could occur in the area that area adapted to urban environments.

Nesting birds are protected pursuant to the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and California Fish and Game Code (Sections 3503, 3503.5, 3511, and 3513 prohibit the take, possession, or destruction of birds, their nests or eggs). If construction occurs between February 1st and August 31st, a pre-construction clearance survey for nesting birds should be conducted prior to the start of any vegetation removal or ground disturbing activities to ensure that no nesting birds will be disturbed during construction.

Migratory Corridors and Linkages

Habitat linkages provide connections between larger habitat areas that are separated by development. Wildlife corridors are similar to linkages but provide specific opportunities for animals to disperse or migrate between areas. A corridor can be defined as a linear landscape feature of sufficient width to allow animal movement between two comparatively undisturbed habitat fragments. Adequate cover is essential for a corridor to function as a wildlife movement area. It is possible for a habitat corridor to be adequate for one species yet still inadequate for others. Wildlife corridors are features that allow for the dispersal, seasonal migration, breeding, and foraging of a variety of wildlife species. Additionally, open space can provide a buffer against both human disturbance and natural fluctuations in resources.

The project site has not been identified as occurring in a wildlife corridor or linkage. The proposed project will be confined to existing areas that have been heavily disturbed and are isolated from regional wildlife corridors and linkages. In addition, there are no riparian corridors, creeks, or useful patches of steppingstone habitat (natural areas) within or connecting the site to a recognized wildlife corridor or linkage. As such, implementation of the proposed project is not expected to impact wildlife movement opportunities. Therefore, impacts to wildlife corridors or linkages are not expected to occur.

Jurisdictional Areas

There are three key agencies that regulate activities within inland streams, wetlands, and riparian areas in California. The Corps Regulatory Branch regulates discharge of dredge or fill materials into “waters of the United States” pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. Of the State agencies, the CDFW regulates alterations to streambed and bank under Fish and Wildlife Code Sections 1600 et seq., and the Regional Board regulates discharges into surface waters pursuant to Section 401 of the CWA and the California Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act.

No jurisdictional drainage and/or wetland features were observed on the project site or within the during the field investigation. Further, no blueline streams have been recorded on the project site. Therefore, development of the project will not result in impacts to Corps, Regional Board, or CDFW jurisdiction and regulatory approvals will not be required.

Special-Status Biological Resources

The CNDDDB was queried for reported locations of special-status plant and wildlife species as well as natural communities of special concern in the Perris USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle. A search of published records within this quadrangle was conducted using the CNDDDB Rarefind 5 online software and the CDFW BIOS database and the CNPS Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California that supplied information regarding the distribution and habitats of vascular plants in the vicinity of the project site. The habitat assessment evaluated the conditions of the habitat(s) within the boundaries of the project site to determine if the existing plant communities, at the time of the survey, have the potential to provide suitable habitat(s) for special-status plant and wildlife species.

The literature search identified fifteen (15) special-status plant species and seventy-five (75) special-status wildlife species Perris quadrangle. No special-status habitats were identified as having potential to occur. Special-status plant and wildlife species were evaluated for their potential to occur within the project site based on habitat requirements, availability and quality of suitable habitat, and known distributions. Species determined to have the potential to occur within the general vicinity are presented in *Table D-1: Potentially Occurring Special-Status Biological Resources*, provided in Attachment D. Refer to Table D-1 for a determination regarding the potential occurrence of special-status plant and wildlife species within the project site.

Special-Status Plants

According to the CNDDDB and CNPS, fifteen (15) special-status plant species have been recorded in the Perris quadrangle (refer to Attachment D). No special-status plants were observed on the project site during the field investigation. The project site is heavily disturbed and no longer support native plant communities that have the potential to provide suitable habitat for special-status plant species. Based on habitat requirements for specific species and the availability and quality of on-site habitats, it was determined that the project site does not have potential to support any of the special-status plant species known to occur in the vicinity and all are presumed absent.

Special-Status Wildlife

According to the CNDDDB, seventy-five (75) special-status wildlife species have been reported in the Perris quadrangle (refer to Attachment D). No special-status wildlife species were observed on-site during the

field investigation. Based on habitat requirements for specific species and the availability and quality of on-site habitats, it was determined that the project site has a moderate potential to support Costa's hummingbird (*Calypte costae*); and a low potential to support Cooper's hawk (*Accipiter cooperii*), sharp-shinned hawk (*Accipiter striatus*), and California horned lark (*Eremophila alpestris actia*).

None of the aforementioned species are federally or state listed as endangered or threatened. Of the aforementioned species, only Costa's hummingbird and California horned lark might be expected to nest on-site. Cooper's hawk is not expected to nest on-site due to the lack of suitable nesting opportunities and sharp-shinned hawk is not expected to nest on-site due to the site occurring outside of the geographic breeding range of this species.

In order to ensure impacts to special-status avian species do not occur from implementation of the proposed project, a pre-construction nesting bird clearance survey shall be conducted prior to ground disturbance. With implementation of the pre-construction nesting bird clearance survey, impacts to special-status avian species will be less than significant and no mitigation will be required.

Critical Habitat

Under the federal Endangered Species Act, "Critical Habitat" is designated at the time of listing of a species or within one year of listing. Critical Habitat refers to specific areas within the geographical range of a species at the time it is listed that include the physical or biological features that are essential to the survival and eventual recovery of that species. Maintenance of these physical and biological features requires special management considerations or protection, regardless of whether individuals or the species are present or not. All federal agencies are required to consult with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) regarding activities they authorize, fund, or permit which may affect a federally listed species or its designated Critical Habitat. The purpose of the consultation is to ensure that projects will not jeopardize the continued existence of the listed species or adversely modify or destroy its designated Critical Habitat. The designation of Critical Habitat does not affect private landowners, unless a project they are proposing is on federal lands, uses federal funds, or requires federal authorization or permits (e.g., funding from the Federal Highways Administration or a CWA Permit from the Corps). If there is a federal nexus, then the federal agency that is responsible for providing the funding or permit would consult with the USFWS.

The project site is not located with federally designated Critical Habitat (refer to Exhibit 6, *Critical Habitat*, in Attachment A). The closest designated Critical Habitat is located approximately 2.9 miles to the southeast of the site for spreading navarretia (*Navarretia fossalis*) along the San Jacinto River. Therefore, the loss or adverse modification of Critical Habitat will not occur as a result of the proposed project and consultation with the USFWS will not be required for implementation of the proposed project.

Western Riverside County MSHCP

The project site is located within the Mead Valley Area Plan of the MSHCP but is not located within any Criteria Cells or MSHCP Conservation Areas (refer to Exhibit 7, *MSHCP Criteria Area*, in Attachment A). Further, the project site is not located within any designated species survey areas as depicted in Figures 6-4 within Section 6.3.2 of the MSHCP.

Since the City is a permittee under the MSHCP and, while the project is not specifically identified as a Covered Activity under Section 7.1 of the MSHCP, public and private development that are outside of

Criteria Areas and Public/Quasi-Public (PQP) Lands are permitted under the MSHCP, subject to consistency with MSHCP policies that apply to area outside of Criteria Areas. As such, to achieve coverage, the project must be consistent with the following policies of the MSHCP:

- The policies for the protection of species associated with Riparian/Riverine areas and vernal pools as set forth in Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP;
- The policies for the protection of Narrow Endemic Plant Species as set forth in Section 6.1.3 of the MSHCP;
- The requirements for conducting additional surveys as set forth in Section 6.3.2 of the MSHCP;
- Guidelines pertaining to the Urban/Wildlands Interface intended to address indirect effects associated with locating Development in proximity to the MSHCP Conservation Area as detailed in Section 6.1.4 of the MSHCP.

Riparian/Riverine Areas

As identified in Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP, *Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools*, riparian/riverine areas are defined as areas dominated by trees, shrubs, persistent emergent plants, or emergent mosses and lichens which occur close to or are dependent upon nearby freshwater, or areas with freshwater flowing during all or a portion of the year. Conservation of these areas is intended to protect habitat that is essential to a number of listed or special-status water-dependent fish, amphibian, avian, and plant species. If impacts to riparian/riverine habitat cannot be avoided, a Determination of Biologically Equivalent or Superior Preservation (DBESP) must be developed to address the replacement of lost functions of habitats in regard to the listed species. This assessment is independent from considerations given to “waters of the U.S.” and “waters of the State” under the CWA and the California Fish and Game Code.

No jurisdictional drainages, riparian/riverine and/or wetland features were observed within the project site during the field investigation. Development of the proposed project will not result in impacts to riparian/riverine habitats and a DBESP will not be required for the loss of riparian/riverine habitat from development of the proposed project. Therefore, the project is consistent with Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP.

Vernal Pools and Fairy Shrimp Habitat

One of the factors for determining the suitability of the habitat for fairy shrimp would be demonstrable evidence of seasonal ponding in an area of topographic depression that is not subject to flowing waters. These astatic pools are typically characterized as vernal pools. More specifically, vernal pools are seasonal wetlands that occur in depression areas without a continual source of water. They have wetland indicators of all 3 parameters (soils, vegetation, and hydrology) during the wetter portion of the growing season but normally lack wetland indicators of hydrology and/or vegetation during the drier portion of the growing season. Obligate hydrophytes and facultative wetlands plant species are normally dominant during the wetter portion of the growing season. The determination that an area exhibits vernal pool characteristics and the definition of the watershed supporting vernal pool hydrology is made on a case-by-case basis. Such determinations should be considered the length of time the areas exhibit upland and wetland characteristics and the manner in which the area fits into the overall ecological system as a wetland. The seasonal hydrology of vernal pools provides for a unique environment, which supports plants and invertebrates specifically adapted to a regime of winter inundation, followed by an extended period when the pool soils

are dry.

Vernal pools are seasonally inundated, ponded areas that only form in regions where specialized soil and climatic conditions exist. During fall and winter rains typical of Mediterranean climates, water collects in shallow depressions where downward percolation of water is prevented by the presence of a hard pan or clay pan layer (duripan) below the soil surface. Later in the spring when rains decrease and the weather warms, the water evaporates and the pools generally disappear by May. The shallow depressions remain relatively dry until late fall and early winter with the advent of greater precipitation and cooler temperatures. Vernal pools provide unusual "flood and drought" habitat conditions to which certain plant and wildlife species have specifically adapted as well as invertebrate species such as fairy shrimp.

The MSHCP lists two general classes of soils known to be associated with listed and special-status plant species; clay soils and Traver-Domino Willow association soils. The specific clay soils known to be associated with listed and special-status species within the MSHCP plan area include Bosanko, Auld, Altamont, and Porterville series soils, whereas Traver-Domino Willows association includes saline-alkali soils largely located along floodplain areas of the San Jacinto River and Salt Creek. Without the appropriate soils to create the impermeable restrictive layer, none of the special-status plant or wildlife species associated with vernal pools can occur on the project site. None of these soils have been documented within the project site.

A review of recent and historic aerial photographs (1994-2023) of the project site did not provide visual evidence of an astatic or vernal pool conditions within the project site. No ponding was observed, further supporting the fact that the drainage patterns currently occurring on the project site do not follow hydrologic regimes needed for vernal pools. From this review of historic aerial photographs and observations during the field investigations, it can be concluded that there is no indication of vernal pools or suitable fairy shrimp habitat occurring within the proposed project site. Therefore, the project is consistent with Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP.

Narrow Endemic Plant Species

Section 6.1.3 of the MSHCP, *Protection of Narrow Endemic Plant Species*, states that the MSHCP database does not provide sufficient detail to determine the extent of the presence/distribution of Narrow Endemic Plant Species within the MSHCP Plan Area. Additional surveys may be needed to gather information to determine the presence/absence of these species to ensure that appropriate conservation of these species occurs. Based on the RCA MSHCP Information Map query and review of the MSHCP, it was determined that the project site is not located within the designated survey area for Narrow Endemic Plant Species. Through the field investigation, it was determined that the project site does not provide suitable habitat for any of the Narrow Endemic Plant Species listed under Section 6.1.3 of the MSHCP, and, therefore, the project is consistent with Section 6.1.3 of the MSHCP. No additional surveys or analysis is required.

Additional Survey Needs and Procedures

In accordance with Section 6.3.2 of the MSHCP, *Additional Survey Needs and Procedures*, additional surveys may be needed for certain species in order to achieve coverage for these species. The query of the RCA MSHCP Information Map and review of the MSHCP determined that the project site is not located within any designated survey areas and no further surveys related for Section 6.3.2 species are required.

Urban/Wildlands Interface Guidelines

Section 6.1.4 of the MSHCP, *Guidelines Pertaining to Urban/Wildlands Interface*, is intended to address indirect effects associated with development in proximity to MSHCP Conservation Areas. The Urban/Wildlife Interface Guidelines are intended to ensure that indirect project-related impacts to the MSHCP Conservation Area, including drainage, toxics, lighting, noise, invasive plant species, barriers, and grading/land development, are avoided or minimized. The project site is not located within or immediately adjacent to any Criteria Cells, corridors, or linkages. The urban/Wildlands Interface Guidelines do not apply to this project, and, therefore, the project is consistent with Section 6.1.4 of the MSHCP.

Stephen's Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan

Separate from the consistency review against the policies of the MSHCP, Riverside County established a boundary in 1996 for protecting the Stephens' kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys stephensi*), a federally endangered and state threatened species. The Stephens' kangaroo rat is protected under the Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan (County Ordinance No. 663.10; SKR HCP). As described in the MSHCP Implementation Agreement, a Section 10(a) Permit, and California Fish and Game Code Section 2081 Management Authorization were issued to the Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency (RCHCA) for the Long-Term SKR HCP and was approved by the USFWS and CDFW in August 1990 (RCHCA 1996). Relevant terms of the SKR HCP have been incorporated into the MSHCP and its Implementation Agreement. The SKR HCP will continue to be implemented as a separate HCP; however, to provide the greatest conservation for the largest number of Covered Species, the Core Reserves established by the SKR HCP are managed as part of the MSHCP Conservation Area consistent with the SKR HCP. Actions shall not be taken as part of the implementation of the SKR HCP that will significantly affect other Covered Species. Take of Stephens' kangaroo rat outside of the boundaries but within the MSHCP area is authorized under the MSHCP and the associated permits.

The project site is located within the Mitigation Fee Area of the SKR HCP. Therefore, the applicant will be required to pay the SKR HCP Mitigation Fee prior to development of the project site.

Conclusion

Based on the literature review and field survey, implementation of the project will have no significant impacts on federally, State, or MSHCP listed species known to occur in the general vicinity of the project site. Additionally, the project will have no effect on designated Critical Habitat because none exists within the area. No jurisdictional drainage and/or wetland features were observed on the project site during the field investigation. Additionally, the project site is not located within or adjacent to any criteria cell, and no riparian/riverine resources or vernal pools were found onsite. Therefore, the proposed project is consistent with Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP. With completion of recommendations, and payment of the MSHCP Local Development Mitigation Fee, and Stephen's kangaroo rat mitigation fee, development of the project site is fully consistent with the MSHCP.

Please do not hesitate to contact Tom McGill at (951) 285-6014 or tmcgill@elmtconsulting.com or Travis McGill at (909) 816-1646 or travismcgill@elmtconsulting.com should you have any questions regarding this proposal.

Sincerely,



Thomas J. McGill, Ph.D.
Managing Director



Travis J. McGill
Director

Attachments:

- A. *Project Exhibits*
- B. *Conceptual Site Plan*
- C. *Site Photographs*
- D. *Potentially Occurring Special-Status Biological Resources*
- E. *Regulations*