FOR COUNTY CLERK USE ONLY	

## NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

September 24, 2024

**Project Name:** Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) Perris Approval of Option to Extend Lease Agreement The Alchalel Real Estate Investment Trust

## Project Number: FM042552004300

**Project Location:** 201 Redlands Avenue, north of San Jacinto Avenue, Perris, California 92571 Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 311-210-034

**Description of Project:** The County of Riverside has been a tenant under a lease at 201 Redlands Avenue, Perris, California (Premises) since April 10, 2012, for use by DPSS as office space. This leased facility continues to meet the needs of DPSS in serving the community. County intends to execute its option to extend the Lease term for an additional five years and with the approved notice properly give 90 day notice to Lessor.

The Option to Extend the Lease Agreement with The Alchalel Real Estate Investment Trust is identified as the proposed project under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). No expansion of an existing use will occur. The operation of the facility will continue to provide services to the public. The Lease will not result in an increase in capacity or the intensity of the use of the site. No additional direct or indirect physical environmental impacts are anticipated.

## Name of Public Agency Approving Project: Riverside County

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: Riverside County Facilities Management

**Exempt Status:** State CEQA Guidelines Section 15301, Class 1, Existing Facilities Exemption; Section 15061(b) (3), "Common Sense" Exemption. Codified under California Code of Regulations Title 14, Article 5, Section 15061.

**Reasons Why Project is Exempt:** The proposed project is categorically exempt from the provisions of CEQA specifically by the State CEQA Guidelines as identified below. The project will not result in any specific or general exceptions to the use of the categorical exemption as detailed under State CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2. The project will not cause an impact to an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern nor would the project involve unusual circumstances that could potentially have a significant effect on the environment. The project would not result in impacts to scenic highways, hazardous waste sites, historic resources, or other sensitive natural environments, or have a cumulative effect to the environment. No significant environmental impacts are anticipated to occur with the option to extend the Lease Agreement.

- Section 15301 Class 1 Existing Facilities Exemption: This categorical exemption includes the operation, repair, maintenance, leasing, or minor alteration of existing public or private structures or facilities, provided the exemption only involves negligible or no expansion of the previous site's use. The project, as proposed, is limited to an extension of an existing Lease Agreement. The extension of the Lease Agreement will continue to provide public service at an existing County facility and will result in the ongoing use, operation, and maintenance of the facility. The use of the facility would not result in any changes as a result of the occupancy and no expansion of public services would occur. Therefore, the project is exempt as the project meets the scope and intent of the Class 1 Exemption identified in Section 15301, Article 19, Categorical Exemptions of the CEQA Guidelines.
- Section 15061 (b) (3) "Common Sense" Exemption: In accordance with CEQA, the use of the Common Sense Exemption is based on the "general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment." State CEQA Guidelines, Section 15061(b) (3). The use of this exemption is appropriate if "it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment." Ibid. This determination is an issue of fact and if sufficient evidence exists in the record that the activity cannot have a significant effect on the environment, then the exemption applies and no further evaluation under CEQA is required. See No Oil, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles (1974) 13 Cal. 3d 68. The ruling in this case stated that if a project falls within a category exempt by administrative regulation or 'it can be seen with certainty that the activity in question will not have a significant effect on the environment', no further agency evaluation is required. With certainty, there is no possibility that the project may have a significant effect on the environment. The proposed option to extend the Lease Agreement will result in the continued use of existing building and will not result in any direct or indirect physical environmental impacts. The use and operation of the facility will be substantially similar to the existing use and will not create any new environmental impacts to the surrounding area. No impacts beyond the ongoing, existing use of the site would occur. Therefore, in no way, would the project as proposed have the potential to cause a significant environmental impact and the project is exempt from further CEQA analysis.

Therefore, the County of Riverside Facilities Management hereby concludes that no physical environmental impacts are anticipated to occur and the project as proposed is exempt under CEQA. No further environmental analysis is warranted.

Signed:

Date: 10-01-2024

Mike Sullivan, County of Riverside, Facilities Management