



State of California – Natural Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE
Inland Deserts Region
3602 Inland Empire Boulevard, Suite C-220
Ontario, CA 91764
www.wildlife.ca.gov

GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor
CHARLTON H. BONHAM, Director



February 3, 2025
Sent via email

Noriko Kikuchi
Associate Planner
City of Palm Springs
3200 East Tahquitz Canyon Way
Palm Springs, CA 92262
Noriko.kikuchi@palmspringsca.gov

Sun Community Bank (PROJECT)
Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND)
SCH# 2025010345

Dear Noriko Kikuchi:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) received a Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration from the City of Palm Springs (City) for the Project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines.¹

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Project that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, we appreciate the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Project that CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under the Fish and Game Code.

CDFW ROLE

CDFW is California's **Trustee Agency** for fish and wildlife resources and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State. (Fish & G. Code, §§ 711.7, subd. (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; CEQA Guidelines § 15386, subd. (a).) CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species. (*Id.*, § 1802.) Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on Projects and related

¹CEQA is codified in the California Public Resources Code in section 21000 et seq. The "CEQA Guidelines" are found in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 15000.

activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources.

CDFW is also submitting comments as a **Responsible Agency** under CEQA. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381.) CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code. As proposed, for example, the Project may be subject to CDFW's lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority. (Fish & G. Code, § 1600 et seq.) Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" as defined by State law of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) (Fish & G. Code, § 2050 et seq.), the Project proponent may seek related take authorization as provided by the Fish and Game Code.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

Proponent: Sun Community Federal Credit Union

Objective: The Project proposes the construction of a 2,895-square-foot freestanding bank with a drive-through ATM and on-site parking. The Project proposes modifying the site's zoning designation from Professional to Service/Manufacturing to allow for the proposed drive-through ATM. The primary access point will be from the site's western boundary on Calle Santa Cruz, and the secondary access point will be from the southern boundary on Calle de Ricardo. The Project proposes a total of 19 on-site parking stalls. The Project proposes generating light and glare from buildings, landscape lighting, exterior safety and security lighting, parking lot lighting, and mobile light sources from vehicles accessing the site. The Project proposes the use of drought-tolerant planting materials and limited landscaping irrigation.

Location: The Project site is located on the southeast corner of Ramon Road and Calle Santa Cruz, Palm Springs, CA 92262. Assessor's Parcel Numbers are 680-072-013, -014, -019, -020, -021, and -022.

Timeframe: The MND does not indicate a timeframe for construction of the Project.

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDFW has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species (i.e., biological resources). CDFW offers the comments and recommendations below to assist the City in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources. The MND has not adequately identified and disclosed the Project's impacts (i.e., direct, indirect, and cumulative) on biological resources and whether those impacts are reduced to less than significant.

CDFW's comments and recommendations on the MND are explained in greater detail below and summarized here. CDFW is concerned that the MND does not adequately identify or mitigate the Project's significant, or potentially significant, impacts to biological resources. CDFW requests that additional information and analyses be added to a revised MND, along with avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures that avoid or reduce impacts to less than significant.

Existing Environmental Setting

Compliance with CEQA is predicated on a complete and accurate description of the environmental setting that may be affected by the proposed Project. CDFW is concerned that the assessment of the existing environmental setting has not been adequately analyzed in the MND. CDFW is concerned that without a complete and accurate description of the existing environmental setting, the MND may provide an incomplete analysis of Project-related environmental impacts.

The MND lacks a complete and appropriate assessment of biological resources within the Project site and surrounding area specifically as it relates to the nesting birds and burrowing owl. A complete and accurate assessment of the environmental setting and Project-related impacts to biological resources is needed to both identify appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures and demonstrate that these measures reduce Project impacts to less than significant.

Mitigation Measures

CEQA requires that an MND include mitigation measures to avoid or reduce significant impacts. CDFW is concerned that the mitigation measures proposed in the MND are not adequate to avoid or reduce impacts to biological resources to below a level of significance. To support the City in ensuring that Project impacts to biological resources are reduced to less than significant, CDFW recommends adding mitigation measures for nesting birds, burrowing owl, artificial nighttime lighting, and the Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (CVMSHCP).

1) Nesting Birds

It is the Project proponent's responsibility to comply with all applicable laws related to nesting birds and birds of prey. Fish and Game Code sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513 afford protective measures as follows: section 3503 states that it is unlawful to take, possess, or needlessly destroy the nest or eggs of any bird, except as otherwise provided by Fish and Game Code or any regulation made pursuant thereto. Fish and Game Code section 3503.5 makes it unlawful to take, possess, or destroy any birds in the orders Falconiformes or Strigiformes (birds-of-prey) or to take, possess, or destroy the nest or eggs of any such bird except as otherwise provided by Fish and Game Code or any regulation adopted pursuant thereto. Fish and Game Code section 3513 makes it unlawful to take or possess any migratory nongame bird except as provided by rules

and regulations adopted by the Secretary of the Interior under provisions of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918, as amended (16 U.S.C. § 703 et seq.).

With regard to the Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (CVMSHCP), per its associated Implementing Agreement and Permits from CDFW and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Take associated with Covered Activities will not be in violation of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and will be consistent with Fish and Game Code sections 3503 and 3503.5; therefore, all Covered Activities within and outside Conservation Areas must undertake measures to avoid the take of individuals, nests, and eggs of nesting birds. Having conferred Take Authorization of Covered Species and/or their habitats to this Project, the City of Palm Springs is obligated to take all necessary and appropriate actions, following applicable land use permit enforcement procedures and practices, to enforce the Project's compliance with the CVMSHCP, the Wildlife Agencies' Permits associated with the CVMSHCP, and the CVMSHCP Implementing Agreement, which include avoiding the take of individuals, nests, and eggs of nesting birds including birds of prey.

Page 28 of the MND states that the "site is vacant with sparse vegetation and is highly disturbed due to previous grading and the edge effects of surrounding urban development." Page 75 of the MND indicates that the "site has been previously graded and does not contain vegetation that could provide suitable habitat for nesting birds." The MND lacks any additional information on an assessment of biological resources associated with the Project site, such as the findings of a field assessment of appropriate surveys. Based on review of historical aerial and street view imagery using Google Earth, the Site contains sparse cover of shrubs, habitat suitable for ground-nesting birds and birds that nest in shrubs. Historical aerial imagery also shows that shrubs and other low-growing vegetation continue to recruit on the Project site during the growing seasons following previous grading activities. CDFW considers the site to contain suitable habitat for nesting birds. CDFW is concerned that the Project's ground-disturbing and vegetation removal activities have the potential to take nesting birds if pre-construction nesting bird surveys and other appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures are not implemented. CDFW recommends that the MND is revised to include an accurate assessment of potential impacts to nesting birds and appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures.

Conducting work outside the peak nesting season is an important avoidance and minimization measure. CDFW also recommends the completion of nesting bird surveys *regardless* of the time of year to ensure that impacts to nesting birds are avoided. The timing of the nesting season varies greatly depending on several factors, such as bird species, weather conditions in any given year, and long-term climate changes (e.g., drought, warming, etc.). In response to warming, birds have been reported to breed earlier, thereby reducing temperatures that nests are exposed to during breeding and

tracking shifts in availability of resources (Socolar et al., 2017²). CDFW staff have observed that climate change conditions may result in nesting bird season occurring earlier and later in the year than historical nesting season dates. CDFW recommends that disturbance of occupied nests of migratory birds and raptors within the Project site and surrounding area be avoided any time birds are nesting on-site. CDFW therefore recommends the completion of nesting bird surveys *regardless of the time of year* to ensure compliance with all applicable laws pertaining to nesting and migratory birds.

To support the City in reducing impacts to nesting birds to a level less than significant level, CDFW recommends that the City add Mitigation Measure BIO-[A] to a revised MND:

Mitigation Measure BIO-[A]: Nesting Birds

To the greatest extent feasible, the Project will avoid construction activities during the peak nesting season (February 1 through September 15). Regardless of the time of year, nesting bird surveys shall be performed by a qualified avian biologist no more than 3 days prior to all vegetation removal or ground-disturbing activities throughout all phases of the Project. Pre-construction surveys shall focus on both direct and indirect evidence of nesting, including nest locations and nesting behavior. The qualified avian biologist will make every effort to avoid potential nest predation as a result of survey and monitoring efforts. If active nests are found during the pre-construction nesting bird surveys, a qualified biologist shall establish an appropriate nest buffer to be marked on the ground. Nest buffers are species specific and shall be at least 300 feet for passerines and 500 feet for raptors. A smaller or larger buffer may be determined by the qualified biologist familiar with the nesting phenology of the nesting species and based on nest and buffer monitoring results. Construction activities may not occur inside the established buffers, which shall remain on-site until a qualified biologist determines the young have fledged or the nest is no longer active. Active nests and adequacy of the established buffer distance shall be monitored daily by the qualified biologist until the qualified biologist has determined the young have fledged or the Project has been completed. The qualified biologist has the authority to stop work if nesting pairs exhibit signs of disturbance.

Pursuant to the CEQA Guidelines, section 15097(f), CDFW has prepared a draft mitigation monitoring and reporting program (MMRP) in Attachment 1 for CDFW-recommended MM BIO-[A], MM BIO-[B], MM BIO-[C] and MM BIO-[D].

2) *Burrowing Owl*

² Socolar JB, Epanchin PN, Beissinger SR and Tingley MW (2017). Phenological shifts conserve thermal niches. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114(49): 12976-12981.

On October 10, 2024, the Fish and Game Commission determined that western burrowing owl warrants protection as a candidate species under the California Endangered Species Act (Fish & G. Code, § 2050 et seq.). During the candidacy period, western burrowing owl will be afforded the same protection as threatened and endangered species under CESA. If Project activities could result in take, appropriate CESA authorization (i.e., Incidental Take Permit under Fish and Game Code section 2081) should be obtained prior to commencement of Project activities.

Take of individual burrowing owls and their nests or eggs is defined by Fish and Game Code section 86, and prohibited by sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513. Take is defined in Fish and Game Code section 86 as “hunt, pursue, catch, capture or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture or kill.” Fish and Game Code sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513 afford protective measures as follows: section 3503 states that it is unlawful to take, possess, or needlessly destroy the nest or eggs of any bird, except as otherwise provided by Fish and Game Code or any regulation made pursuant thereto. Fish and Game Code section 3503.5 makes it unlawful to take, possess, or destroy any birds in the orders Falconiformes or Strigiformes (birds-of-prey) or to take, possess, or destroy the nest or eggs of any such bird except as otherwise provided by Fish and Game Code or any regulation adopted pursuant thereto. Fish and Game Code section 3513 makes it unlawful to take or possess any migratory nongame bird except as provided by rules and regulations adopted by the Secretary of the Interior under provisions of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918, as amended (16 U.S.C. § 703 et seq.).

With regard to the CVMSHCP, the CDFW Natural Community Conservation Plan (NCCP) Permit #2835-2008-001-06 does not provide Take Authorization for burrowing owl individuals, nests, or eggs. To the contrary, section 3.5.6 of the NCCP Permit states burrowing owl “pairs or individuals will not be Taken” and reiterates that the “HCP/NCCP does not authorize Take of [burrowing owl] nests [or] eggs[.]” Therefore, throughout the CVMSHCP area—both within and without Conservation Areas—Permittees must ensure that activities occurring within their jurisdictions do not result in the take, possession, or destruction of burrowing owl individuals, nests, or eggs. Any activity occurring within the CVMSHCP area that results in the take of burrowing owl individuals, nests, or eggs would be unlawful and would not be a Covered Activity under the CVMSHCP.

Page 75 of the MND indicates that the “site has been previously graded and does not contain vegetation that could provide suitable habitat for nesting birds.” The MND lacks any additional information on an assessment of biological resources associated with the Project site, such as a habitat assessment or the results of surveys for burrowing owl. CDFW notes that in California, preferred habitat for burrowing owl is generally typified

by short, sparse vegetation with few shrubs,³ and that burrowing owls may occur in ruderal grassy fields, vacant lots, and pastures if the vegetation structure is suitable and there are useable burrows and foraging habitat proximity.⁴ Based on review of historical aerial imagery and street imagery using Google Earth, the Project site and surrounding areas to the east and north (across the highway) contain habitat with sparse cover of shrubs that is suitable nesting and foraging habitat for burrowing owl. Given the MND's lack of findings from a complete and recent habitat assessment and focused surveys for burrowing owl following the guidelines in the *Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation*,⁵ the number of suitable and occupied burrows within the Project site and surrounding areas is unknown. CDFW recommends that the MND is revised to include the results of focused surveys, including survey reports, for burrowing owl within the Project site and surrounding area following the guidelines in the *Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation*, along with appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures for burrowing owl.

In addition, burrowing owls frequently move into disturbed areas prior to and during construction activities since they are adapted to highly modified habitats.^{6,7} CDFW recommends that pre-construction surveys for burrowing are conducted prior to any ground disturbing or vegetation removal activities associated with Project.

To support the City in reducing impacts to burrowing owl to a level less than significant, CDFW recommends the City add the following mitigation measure to a revised MND:

Mitigation Measure BIO-[B]: Burrowing Owl Habitat Assessment and Surveys

Suitable burrowing owl habitat has been confirmed on the site; therefore, focused burrowing owl surveys shall be conducted by a qualified biologist according to the *Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation* (CDFG, 2012 or most recent version) prior to all vegetation removal or ground-disturbing activities. If burrowing owls are detected during the focused surveys, the qualified biologist and Project proponent shall begin coordination with CDFW and USFWS

³ Haug, E. A., B. A. Millsap, and M. S. Martell. 1993. Burrowing owl (*Speotyto cunicularia*), in A. Poole and F. Gill, editors, *The Birds of North America*, The Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and The American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C., USA.

⁴ Gervais, J. A., D. K. Rosenberg, R. G. Anthony. 2003. Space use and pesticide exposure risk of male burrowing owls in an agricultural landscape. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 67: 155-164.

⁵ California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). 2012. Staff report on burrowing owl mitigation. State of California, Natural Resources Agency. Available for download at: <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=83843&inline>

⁶ Chipman, E. D., N. E. McIntyre, R. E. Strauss, M. C. Wallace, J. D. Ray, and C. W. Boal. 2008. Effects of human land use on western burrowing owl foraging and activity budgets. *Journal of Raptor Research* 42(2): 87-98.

⁷ Coulombe, H. N. 1971. Behavior and population ecology of the Burrowing Owl, *Speotyto cunicularia*, in the Imperial Valley of California. *Condor* 73:162-176.

immediately, and shall prepare a Burrowing Owl Plan that shall be submitted to CDFW for review and approval prior to commencing Project activities. The Burrowing Owl Plan shall describe proposed avoidance, minimization, mitigation, and monitoring actions. The Burrowing Owl Plan shall include the number and location of occupied burrow sites (occupied site means at least one burrowing owl or its sign has been observed within the last three years; may be indicated by owl sign including feathers, pellets, prey remains, eggshell fragments, or excrement at or near a burrow entrance or perch site), acres of burrowing owl habitat that will be impacted, details of site monitoring, and details on proposed buffers and other avoidance measures. If impacts to occupied burrowing owl habitat or burrow cannot be avoided, the Burrowing Owl Plan shall also describe minimization and compensatory mitigation actions that will be implemented. Proposed implementation of burrow exclusion and closure should only be considered as a last resort, after all other options have been evaluated as exclusion is not in itself an avoidance, minimization, or mitigation method and has the possibility to result in take. The Burrowing Owl Plan shall identify compensatory mitigation for the temporary or permanent loss of occupied burrow(s) and habitat consistent with the “Mitigating Impacts” section of the 2012 Staff Report and shall implement CDFW-approved mitigation prior to initiation of Project activities. If impacts to occupied burrows cannot be avoided, information shall be provided regarding adjacent or nearby suitable habitat available to owls and the conservation status of adjacent or nearby suitable habitat, along with proposed relocation actions. If no suitable habitat is available nearby, details regarding the creation and funding of artificial burrows (numbers, location, and type of burrows) and management activities for relocated owls shall also be included in the Burrowing Owl Plan. The Project proponent shall implement the Burrowing Owl Plan following CDFW and USFWS review and approval. If Project activities, including burrow exclusion and closure, could result in take of burrowing owl, appropriate CESA authorization should be obtained prior to commencement of Project activities.

Preconstruction burrowing owl surveys shall be conducted no less than 14 days prior to the start of Project-related activities and within 24 hours prior to ground disturbance, in accordance with the *Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation* (CDFG, 2012 or most recent version). Preconstruction surveys should be repeated when there is a pause in construction of more than 30 days. Preconstruction surveys should be performed by a qualified biologist following the recommendations and guidelines provided in the *Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation*. If the preconstruction surveys confirm occupied burrowing owl habitat, Project activities shall be immediately halted. The qualified biologist shall coordinate with CDFW and prepare a Burrowing Owl Plan that shall be submitted to CDFW and USFWS for review and approval prior to commencing Project activities.

Artificial Nighttime Lighting

Page 18 of the MND states the “Project will generate light and glare primarily from buildings, landscape lighting, exterior safety and security lighting, parking lot lighting, and mobile light sources from vehicles accessing the site. City of Palm Springs Municipal Code Chapter 93.21.00 (Outdoor Lighting Standards) regulates outdoor lighting and establishes requirements which are intended to minimize light pollution and light trespass onto adjacent properties. Landscape and lighting plans will be subject to review by the City. With adherence to City standards, Project-related impacts associated with increased light and glare will be less than significant.” The MND lacks additional information on artificial nighttime lighting or measures to avoid the impacts of lighting on biological resources in the surrounding area.

The Project is located adjacent to vacant areas to the east and north (across the highway)—areas that provide suitable nesting, roosting, foraging, and refugia habitat for birds, migratory birds that fly at night, bats, and other nocturnal and crepuscular wildlife. The Project’s proposed artificial nighttime lighting has the potential to significantly and adversely affect wildlife in the vacant vegetated areas adjacent to the Project site. Artificial lighting alters ecological processes including, but not limited to, the temporal niches of species; the repair and recovery of physiological function; the measurement of time through interference with the detection of circadian and lunar and seasonal cycles; the detection of resources and natural enemies; and navigation.⁸ Many species use photoperiod cues for communication (e.g., bird song⁹), determining when to begin foraging,¹⁰ behavioral thermoregulation,¹¹ and migration.¹² Phototaxis, a phenomenon that results in attraction and movement towards light, can disorient, entrap, and temporarily blind wildlife species that experience it.¹⁰

While plans to minimize light trespass onto adjacent parcels support the Project in limiting lighting impacts to biological resources within areas surrounding the Project site, CDFW considers these measures insufficient in scope and timing to reduce impacts to a level less than significant. To support the City in avoiding or reducing impacts of artificial nighttime lighting on biological resources to less than significant, CDFW recommends the City add the following mitigation measure to a revised MND:

⁸ Gatson, K. J., Bennie, J., Davies, T., Hopkins, J. 2013. The ecological impacts of nighttime light pollution: a mechanistic appraisal. *Biological Reviews*, 88.4: 912-927.

⁹ Miller, M. W. 2006. Apparent effects of light pollution on singing behavior of American robins. *The Condor* 108:130–139.

¹⁰ Stone, E. L., G. Jones, and S. Harris. 2009. Street lighting disturbs commuting bats. *Current Biology* 19:1123–1127.

¹¹ Beiswenger, R. E. 1977. Diet patterns of aggregative behavior in tadpoles of *Bufo americanus*, in relation to light and temperature. *Ecology* 58:98–108.

¹² Longcore, T., and C. Rich. 2004. Ecological light pollution - Review. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 2:191–198.

Mitigation Measure BIO-[C]: Artificial Nighttime Lighting

Throughout construction and the lifetime operations of the Project, the City of Palm Springs and Project proponent shall eliminate all nonessential lighting throughout the Project area and avoid or limit the use of artificial light at night during the hours of dawn and dusk when many wildlife species are most active. The City of Palm Springs and Project proponent shall ensure that all lighting for the Project is fully shielded, cast downward and directed away from surrounding vacant, open space or agricultural areas, reduced in intensity to the greatest extent possible, and does not result in lighting trespass including glare into surrounding areas or upward into the night sky (see the International Dark-Sky Association standards at <http://darksky.org/>). The City of Palm Springs and Project proponent shall ensure use of LED lighting with a correlated color temperature of 3,000 Kelvins or less, proper disposal of hazardous waste, and recycling of lighting that contains toxic compounds with a qualified recycler.

3) Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan

Page 75 of the MND indicates the “site is subject to payment of the Development Mitigation Fee to mitigate potential impacts to covered species under the CVMSHCP.”

To document in a mitigation measure the City’s obligation as a Local Permittee under the CVMSHCP to impose a local development mitigation fee for this Project, CDFW recommends the City add the following mitigation measure to a revised MND:

Mitigation Measure BIO-[D]: CVMSHCP Compliance

Prior to construction and issuance of any grading permit, the City of Palm Springs shall ensure compliance with the Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (CVMSHCP) and its associated Implementing Agreement and shall ensure the collection of payment of the CVMSHCP Local Development Mitigation Fee and transfer of revenues to the Coachella Valley Conservation Commission.

4) Landscaping

Page 43 of the MND indicates the “Project includes a preliminary landscaping plan where 22,500 SF, or 47.6% of building area, will be landscaped. Landscape plans shall adhere to Municipal Code Section 8.60 (Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance).” Page 71 of the MND states that the “project will be required to comply with the DWA’s water-efficiency requirements, including the use of drought-tolerant planting materials and limited landscaping irrigation.” The MND lacks additional information on plant species that will be used as part of the landscape plan. CDFW recommends that the MND include recommendations regarding landscaping from Section 4.0 of the CVMSHCP “Table 4-112: Coachella Valley Native Plants Recommended for Landscaping” (pp. 4-

180 to 4-182; <https://cvmshcp.org/plan-documents/>). CDFW also recommends incorporation of water-wise concepts in any Project landscape design plans. In particular, CDFW recommends xeriscaping with locally native California species and installing water-efficient and targeted irrigation systems (such as drip irrigation). Native plants support butterflies, birds, reptiles, amphibians, small mammals, bees, and other pollinators that evolved with those plants. More information on native plants suitable for the Project location and nearby nurseries is available at Calscape: <https://calscape.org/>. Local water agencies/cities and resource conservation cities in your area may be able to provide information on plant nurseries that carry locally native species, and some facilities display drought-tolerant locally native species demonstration gardens. Information on drought-tolerant landscaping and water-efficient irrigation systems is available on California's Save our Water website: <https://saveourwater.com/>.

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

CEQA requires that information developed in environmental impact reports and negative declarations be incorporated into a database which may be used to make subsequent or supplemental environmental determinations. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21003, subd. (e).) Accordingly, please report any special-status species and natural communities detected during Project surveys to the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB). The CNDDDB field survey form can be filled out and submitted online at the following link: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB/Submitting-Data>. The types of information reported to CNDDDB can be found at the following link: <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB/Plants-and-Animals>.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FILING FEES

The Project, as proposed, would have an impact on fish and/or wildlife, and assessment of environmental document filing fees is necessary. Fees are payable upon filing of the Notice of Determination by the Lead Agency and serve to help defray the cost of environmental review by CDFW. Payment of the environmental document filing fee is required in order for the underlying Project approval to be operative, vested, and final. (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 14, § 753.5; Fish & G. Code, § 711.4; Pub. Resources Code, § 21089.)

CONCLUSION

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment on the MND to assist the City in identifying and mitigating Project impacts to biological resources. CDFW concludes that the MND does not adequately identify or mitigate the Project's significant, or potentially significant, impacts to biological resources. CDFW recommends that revised and additional mitigation measures and analysis as described in this letter be added to a revised MND.

Noriko Kikuchi
City of Palm Springs
February 3, 2025
Page 12

CDFW personnel are available for consultation regarding biological resources and strategies to avoid and minimize impacts. Questions regarding this letter or further coordination should be directed to Jacob Skaggs, Senior Environmental Scientist Specialist, at jacob.skaggs@wildlife.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:


84F92FFEEFD24C8...

Kim Freeburn
Environmental Program Manager

Attachment 1: MMRP for CDFW-Proposed Mitigation Measures

ec:

Heather Brashear, Senior Environmental Scientist (Supervisor), CDFW
Heather.Brashear@Wildlife.ca.gov

Peter Satin, Coachella Valley Association of Governments
psatin@cvag.org

Mary Beth Woulfe, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
marybeth_woulfe@fws.gov

Lory Salazar-Velasquez, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
lory_salazar-velasquez@fws.gov

Office of Planning and Research, State Clearinghouse, Sacramento
state.clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov

ATTACHMENT 1: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP)

Mitigation Measures	Timing and Methods	Responsible Parties
<p>Mitigation Measure BIO-[A]: Nesting Birds</p> <p>To the greatest extent feasible, the Project will avoid construction activities during the peak nesting season (February 1 through September 15). Regardless of the time of year, nesting bird surveys shall be performed by a qualified avian biologist no</p>	<p>Timing: No more than 3 days prior to all vegetation removal or ground-disturbing activities throughout all</p>	<p>Implementation: City of Palm Springs and Project proponent</p>

<p>more than 3 days prior to all vegetation removal or ground-disturbing activities throughout all phases of the Project. Pre-construction surveys shall focus on both direct and indirect evidence of nesting, including nest locations and nesting behavior. The qualified avian biologist will make every effort to avoid potential nest predation as a result of survey and monitoring efforts. If active nests are found during the pre-construction nesting bird surveys, a qualified biologist shall establish an appropriate nest buffer to be marked on the ground. Nest buffers are species specific and shall be at least 300 feet for passerines and 500 feet for raptors. A smaller or larger buffer may be determined by the qualified biologist familiar with the nesting phenology of the nesting species and based on nest and buffer monitoring results. Construction activities may not occur inside the established buffers, which shall remain on-site until a qualified biologist determines the young have fledged or the nest is no longer active. Active nests and adequacy of the established buffer distance shall be monitored daily by the qualified biologist until the qualified biologist has determined the young have fledged or the Project has been completed. The qualified biologist has the authority to stop work if nesting pairs exhibit signs of disturbance.</p>	<p>phases of the Project.</p> <p>Methods: See Mitigation Measure</p>	<p>Monitoring and Reporting: City of Palm Springs</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure BIO-[B]: Burrowing Owl Habitat Assessment and Surveys</p> <p>Suitable burrowing owl habitat has been confirmed on the site; therefore, focused burrowing owl surveys shall be conducted by a qualified biologist according to the <i>Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation</i> (CDFG, 2012 or most recent version) prior to all vegetation removal or ground-disturbing activities. If burrowing owls are detected during the focused surveys, the qualified biologist and Project proponent shall begin coordination with CDFW and USFWS immediately, and shall prepare a Burrowing Owl Plan that shall be submitted to CDFW for review and approval prior to commencing Project activities. The Burrowing Owl Plan shall describe proposed avoidance, minimization, mitigation, and monitoring actions. The Burrowing Owl Plan shall include the number and location of occupied burrow sites (occupied site means at least one burrowing owl or its sign has been observed within the last three years; may be indicated by owl sign including feathers, pellets, prey remains, eggshell fragments, or excrement at or near a burrow entrance or perch</p>	<p>Timing: Focused surveys: Prior to vegetation removal or ground-disturbing activities for all phases of the Project. Pre-construction surveys: No less than 14 days prior to start of Project-related activities and within 24 hours prior to ground disturbance for all phases of the Project and when there is a pause in construction of more than 30 days.</p>	<p>Implementation: City of Palm Springs and Project proponent</p> <p>Monitoring and Reporting: City of Palm Springs</p>

<p>site), acres of burrowing owl habitat that will be impacted, details of site monitoring, and details on proposed buffers and other avoidance measures. If impacts to occupied burrowing owl habitat or burrow cannot be avoided, the Burrowing Owl Plan shall also describe minimization and compensatory mitigation actions that will be implemented. Proposed implementation of burrow exclusion and closure should only be considered as a last resort, after all other options have been evaluated as exclusion is not in itself an avoidance, minimization, or mitigation method and has the possibility to result in take. The Burrowing Owl Plan shall identify compensatory mitigation for the temporary or permanent loss of occupied burrow(s) and habitat consistent with the “Mitigating Impacts” section of the 2012 Staff Report and shall implement CDFW-approved mitigation prior to initiation of Project activities. If impacts to occupied burrows cannot be avoided, information shall be provided regarding adjacent or nearby suitable habitat available to owls and the conservation status of adjacent or nearby suitable habitat, along with proposed relocation actions. If no suitable habitat is available nearby, details regarding the creation and funding of artificial burrows (numbers, location, and type of burrows) and management activities for relocated owls shall also be included in the Burrowing Owl Plan. The Project proponent shall implement the Burrowing Owl Plan following CDFW and USFWS review and approval. If Project activities, including burrow exclusion and closure, could result in take of burrowing owl, appropriate CESA authorization should be obtained prior to commencement of Project activities.</p> <p>Preconstruction burrowing owl surveys shall be conducted no less than 14 days prior to the start of Project-related activities and within 24 hours prior to ground disturbance, in accordance with the <i>Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation</i> (CDFG, 2012 or most recent version). Preconstruction surveys should be repeated when there is a pause in construction of more than 30 days. Preconstruction surveys should be performed by a qualified biologist following the recommendations and guidelines provided in the <i>Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation</i>. If the preconstruction surveys confirm occupied burrowing owl habitat, Project activities shall be immediately halted. The qualified biologist shall coordinate with CDFW and prepare a Burrowing Owl Plan that shall be submitted to CDFW and USFWS for review and approval prior to commencing Project activities.</p>	<p>Methods: See Mitigation Measure</p>	
--	---	--

<p>Mitigation Measure BIO-[C]: Artificial Nighttime Lighting</p> <p>Throughout construction and the lifetime operations of the Project, the City of Palm Springs and Project proponent shall eliminate all nonessential lighting throughout the Project area and avoid or limit the use of artificial light at night during the hours of dawn and dusk when many wildlife species are most active. The City of Palm Springs and Project proponent shall ensure that all lighting for the Project is fully shielded, cast downward and directed away from surrounding vacant, open space or agricultural areas, reduced in intensity to the greatest extent possible, and does not result in lighting trespass including glare into surrounding areas or upward into the night sky (see the International Dark-Sky Association standards at http://darksky.org/). The City of Palm Springs and Project proponent shall ensure use of LED lighting with a correlated color temperature of 3,000 Kelvins or less, proper disposal of hazardous waste, and recycling of lighting that contains toxic compounds with a qualified recycler.</p>	<p>Timing: Throughout construction and the lifetime operations of the Project.</p> <p>Methods: See Mitigation Measure</p>	<p>Implementation: City of Palm Springs and Project proponent</p> <p>Monitoring and Reporting: City of Palm Springs</p>

<p>Mitigation Measure BIO-[D]: CVMSHCP Compliance</p> <p>Prior to construction and issuance of any grading permit, the City of Palm Springs shall ensure compliance with the Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (CVMSHCP) and its associated Implementing Agreement and shall ensure the collection of payment of the CVMSHCP Local Development Mitigation Fee and transfer of revenues to the Coachella Valley Conservation Commission.</p>	<p>Timing: Prior to construction and issuance of any grading permit.</p> <p>Methods: See Mitigation Measure</p>	<p>Implementation: City of Palm Springs and Project proponent</p> <p>Monitoring and Reporting: City of Palm Springs</p>
---	---	---