RECON

Air Quality Analysis for the Santa Fe Subdivision Project Encinitas, California

Prepared for The Swell Fund 914 North Coast Highway 101 Encinitas, CA 92024 Contact: Scott Travasos

Prepared by RECON Environmental, Inc. 3111 Camino del Rio North, Suite 600 San Diego, CA 92108 P 619.308.9333

RECON Number 10294 January 7, 2025

Jessien Homine

Jessica Fleming Environmental Specialist Noise, Air Quality, Greenhouse Gas

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acroi	nyms a	and Abbreviations	ii
Εχεςι	utive S	ummary	1
1.0	Intro	oduction	2
2.0	Proje	ect Description	3
3.0	Reg	ulatory Framework	
	3.1 3.2 3.3	Federal Regulations State Regulations San Diego Air Pollution Control District	
4.0	Envi	ronmental Setting	
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Geographic Setting Climate Existing Air Quality	13
5.0	Thre	sholds of Significance	
6.0	Air C	Quality Assessment	
	6.1 6.2 6.3	Construction Emissions Operation Emissions Impact Analysis	
7.0	Con	clusions	26
8.0	Refe	erences Cited	27
FIGUF	RES		
1: 2: 3:	Proje	onal Location ect Location on Aerial Photograph Plan	5
TABL	ES		
1.	Amb	iant Air Quality Standards	7

1:	Ambient Air Quality Standards	7
2:	Summary of Air Quality Measurements Recorded at the Rancho Carmel,	
	Camp Pendleton, and Kearny Villa Road Monitoring Stations	14
3:	Air Quality Impact Screening Levels	
4:	Summary of Maximum Construction Emissions (pounds per day)	21
5:	Summary of Project Operational Emissions (pounds per day)	
6:	CARB Land Use Siting Constraints	26

ATTACHMENT

1:	CalEEMod Output – Project Emissions
----	-------------------------------------

Acronyms and Abbreviations

°C °F µg/m ³	degrees Celsius degrees Fahrenheit micrograms per cubic meter
AAQS AB	Ambient Air Quality Standards Assembly Bill
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAAQS	California Ambient Air Quality Standards
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CAPCOA	California Air Pollution Control Officers Association
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
City	City of Encinitas
CO	carbon monoxide
DPM	diesel particulate matter
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NOx	nitrogen oxides
O ₃	oxygen
OEHHA	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
Pb	lead
PM ₁₀	particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less
PM _{2.5}	particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 microns or less
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
project	Santa Fe Subdivision Project
RAQS	Regional Air Quality Standards
ROG	reactive organic gases
SANDAG	San Diego Association of Governments
SDAB	San Diego Air Basin
SDAPCD	San Diego Air Pollution Control District
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
SO _X TAC	oxides of sulfur toxic air contaminants
TCM	
U.S.C.	Transportation Control Measures U.S. Code
U.S. EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
VOC	volatile organic compounds
VUC	volatile organic compounds

Executive Summary

This report evaluates potential local and regional air quality impacts associated with the proposed Santa Fe Subdivision Project (project), located at 845 Santa Fe Drive, in the City of Encinitas, California. The project would demolish an existing church with an administrative office, a preschool, and a single-family dwelling and construct 51 residential units consisting of 35 detached single-family units and 8 multi-family duplex lots that would provide 16 multi-family residential units. Amongst the proposed 51 residential units, five would consist of density bonus/inclusionary "Very Low-Income (50 percent average mean income (AMI))" affordable units.

The primary goal of the San Diego Air Pollution Control District's Regional Air Quality Strategy (RAQS) is to reduce ozone precursor emissions. The project site is currently designated and zoned as Residential (R-8). The project would be consistent with the existing land use and zoning designations for the project site. The project would include a density bonus that would allow an increase in units from the base density of 30 units to a total of 51 units; however, this increase in units does not necessarily mean that it would conflict with implementation of the RAQS. The density of density bonus waivers is to allow for the construction of additional much needed housing in the region to account for planned population growth. Current regional growth projections are accounted for in the RAQS. Therefore, even with the density bonus, the project would be consistent with the growth projections accounted for in the RAQS. Consequently, the project would not result in an increase in emissions that are not already accounted for in the RAQS. Therefore, the project would not obstruct or conflict with the implementation of the RAQS, and impacts would be less than significant.

Additionally, as calculated in this analysis, project construction emissions would not exceed the applicable screening level emissions thresholds. These thresholds are designed to provide limits below which project emissions would not significantly change regional air quality. Therefore, project construction would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase in emissions of ozone (O_3), particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM_{10}), or particulate matter with an aerodynamic or less ($PM_{2.5}$), and impacts would be less than significant. Additionally, construction emissions would be temporary, intermittent, and would cease at the end of project construction.

Long-term emissions of regional air pollutants occur from operational sources. Based on emissions estimates, operational emissions would not exceed the applicable regional emissions thresholds. Therefore, project operation would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase in emissions of ozone, PM₁₀, or PM_{2.5}, and impacts would be less than significant.

Sensitive land uses include schools and schoolyards, parks and playgrounds, daycare centers, nursing homes, hospitals, and residential communities. The project site is surrounded by residential land uses. Additionally, San Dieguito Academy High School is located north of the project site and a tennis club is located east of the project site. Existing plus project peak hour turning volumes at nearby intersections would not generate a carbon monoxide (CO) hot spot. Construction of the project and associated infrastructure would result in short-term diesel exhaust emissions from on-site, heavy-duty equipment. However, given the temporary nature of construction activities, and implementation of Best Available Control Technology for Toxics measures. Therefore, construction

and operation of the project would not result in the exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, and impacts would be less than significant.

Project construction would involve the use of diesel-powered equipment. Diesel exhaust may be noticeable temporarily at adjacent properties; however, exposure to odors associated with project construction would be temporary, which would disperse and dissipate quickly in an outdoor environment. The project does not include heavy industrial or agricultural uses that are typically associated with objectionable odors. Therefore, the project would not generate other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people, and impacts would be less than significant.

1.0 Introduction

The purpose of this report is to assess potential short-term and long-term local and regional air quality impacts resulting from development of the proposed Santa Fe Subdivision Project (project).

Air pollution affects all southern Californians. Effects can include increased respiratory infections, increased discomfort, missed days from work and school, and increased mortality. Polluted air also damages agriculture and our natural environment.

The state of California is divided geographically into 15 air basins for managing the air resources of the state on a regional basis. Areas within each air basin are considered to share the same air masses and, therefore, are expected to have similar ambient air quality. The project site is located within the San Diego Air Basin (SDAB). The SDAB is currently classified as a federal non-attainment area for ozone, and a state non-attainment area for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM₁₀), particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 microns or less (PM_{2.5}), and ozone.

Air quality impacts can result from the construction and operation of the project. Construction impacts are short term and result from fugitive dust, equipment exhaust, and indirect effects associated with construction workers and deliveries. Operational impacts can occur on two levels: regional impacts resulting from growth-inducing development, or local hot-spot effects stemming from sensitive receivers being placed close to highly congested roadways. In the case of this project, operational impacts would be primarily due to emissions to the basin from mobile sources associated with vehicular travel along the roadways within the project area.

The analysis of impacts is based on federal and state Ambient Air Quality Standards and is assessed in accordance with the guidelines, policies, and standards established by the City of Encinitas (City) and the San Diego Air Pollution Control District (SDAPCD). Project compatibility with the adopted air quality plan for the area is also assessed. Measures are recommended, as required, to reduce potentially significant impacts.

2.0 Project Description

The 5.20-gross-acre project site is located at 845 Santa Fe Drive in the City of Encinitas, California. The project site is bounded by Santa Fe Drive to the north, Munevar Road to the south, a tennis club and residential to the east, and a church and residential lots to the west. Single-family residential uses are located south of Munevar Road and San Dieguito Academy High School is located north of Santa Fe Drive. The project site is currently developed with a church and administrative office, a preschool, and a single-family dwelling. Figure 1 shows the regional location. An aerial photograph of the project site and vicinity is shown in Figure 2.


The project would construct 51 residential units consisting of 35 detached single-family units and 8 multi-family duplex lots that would provide 16 multi-family residential units. Amongst the proposed 51 residential units, 5 would consist of density bonus/inclusionary "Very Low-Income (50 percent average mean income (AMI))" affordable units. The project would include four plan types that provide a range of housing sizes from one to three bedrooms with one- to two-car garages. Vehicular and pedestrian access would be provided via Santa Fe Drive. The project would provide 74 new single-family residential parking spaces, 39 multi-family residential parking spaces, and 16 guest parking spaces for a total of 129 parking spaces. The project would also provide bicycle parking at the front entry of the project site. The project would also include three common area spaces including a dining and playground area, a dog park, and a community succulent garden. Figure 3 shows the proposed site plan.

3.0 Regulatory Framework

3.1 Federal Regulations

Ambient Air Quality Standards (AAQS) represent the maximum levels of background pollution considered safe, with an adequate margin of safety, to protect the public health and welfare. The federal Clean Air Act (CAA) was enacted in 1970 and amended in 1977 and 1990 (42 U.S. Code [USC] 7401) for the purposes of protecting and enhancing the quality of the nation's air resources to benefit public health, welfare, and productivity. In 1971, in order to achieve the purposes of Section 109 of the CAA [42 USC 7409], the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) developed primary and secondary National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).

Six criteria pollutants of primary concern have been designated: ozone, carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), lead (Pb), and respirable particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}). The primary NAAQS "... in the judgment of the Administrator, based on such criteria and allowing an adequate margin of safety, are requisite to protect the public health ..." and the secondary standards "... protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects associated with the presence of such air pollutant in the ambient air" (42 USC 7409[b][2]). The primary NAAQS were established, with a margin of safety, considering long-term exposure for the most sensitive groups in the general population (i.e., children, senior citizens, and people with breathing difficulties). The NAAQS are presented in Table 1 (California Air Resources Board [CARB] 2024).







Off-site Improvement Area

Feet

100

RECON M:\JOBS6\10294\common_gis\MXD\fig2_air.mxd 09/04/2024 bma



UNIT TYPES



KEYNOTES

DB DENSITY BONUS - VERY LOW INCOME - AFFORDABLE UNITS I.H. INCLUSSIONARY HOUSING UNIT

FIGURE 3 Site Plan



			Table 1				
		1	<mark>nbient Air Quality Stan</mark> a Standards ¹	dards	National Standa	rdc ²	
Pollutant	Averaging Time	Concentration ³	Method ⁴	Primary ^{3,5}	Secondary ^{3,6}	Method ⁷	
Ozone ⁸	1 Hour	0.09 ppm (180 μg/m³)	Ultraviolet	_	Same as Primary	Ultraviolet	
	8 Hour	0.07 ppm (137 µg/m³)	Photometry	0.070 ppm (137 μg/m ³)	Standard	Photometry	
Respirable	24 Hour	50 µg/m³		150 µg/m ³	- Same as	Inertial Separation	
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) ⁹	Annual Arithmetic Mean	20 µg/m³	Gravimetric or Beta Attenuation	-	Primary Standard	and Gravimetric Analysis	
Fine Particulate	24 Hour	_	_	35 µg/m³	Same as Primary Standard	Inertial Separation and Gravimetric	
Matter (PM _{2.5}) ⁹	Annual Arithmetic Mean	12 µg/m³	Gravimetric or Beta Attenuation	9 µg/m³	15 µg/m³	Analysis	
Carbon	1 Hour	20 ppm (23 mg/m ³)	Non-dispersive	35 ppm (40 mg/m ³)	-	Non-dispersive	
Monoxide (CO)	8 Hour	9.0 ppm (10 mg/m ³)	Infrared Photometry	9 ppm (10 mg/m ³)	-	Infrared Photometry	
(00)	8 Hour (Lake Tahoe)	6 ppm (7 mg/m ³)	lineary	_	-	Thotometry	
Nitrogen	1 Hour	0.18 ppm (339 μg/m³)	Cas Dharas Chanai	100 ppb (188 µg/m³)	-	Car Dhara Charai	
Dioxide (NO ₂) ¹⁰	Annual Arithmetic Mean	0.030 ppm (57 μg/m ³)	Gas Phase Chemi- luminescence	53 ppb (100 μg/m³)	Same as Primary Standard	Gas Phase Chemi- luminescence	
	1 Hour	0.25 ppm (655 μg/m³)		75 ppb (196 μg/m³)	-		
C 14	3 Hour	-		_	0.5 ppm (1,300 µg/m ³)	Ultraviolet Fluorescence;	
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂) ¹¹	24 Hour	0.04 ppm (105 µg/m³)	Ultraviolet Fluorescence	0.14 ppm (for certain areas) ¹¹	-	Spectro- photometry (Pararosaniline	
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	_		0.030 ppm (for certain areas) ¹¹	-	Method)	
	30 Day Average	1.5 µg/m ³		-	-	_	
Lead ^{12,13}	Calendar Quarter	-	Atomic	1.5 μg/m ³ (for certain areas) ¹²	Same as	High Volume Sampler and	
Lead	Rolling 3-Month Average	_	Absorption	0.15 µg/m ³	Primary Standard	Atomic Absorption	
Visibility Reducing Particles ¹⁴	8 Hour	See footnote 14	Beta Attenuation and Transmittance through Filter Tape				
Sulfates	24 Hour	25 µg/m³	Ion Chroma- tography	1	No National Stand	dards	
Hydrogen Sulfide	1 Hour	0.03 ppm (42 μg/m ³)	Ultraviolet				
Vinyl Chloride ¹²	24 Hour	0.01 ppm (26 µg/m ³)	Gas Chroma- tography				

Table 1 Ambient Air Quality Standards

ppm = parts per million; ppb = parts per billion; $\mu g/m^3$ = micrograms per cubic meter; – = not applicable.

- ¹ California standards for ozone, carbon monoxide (except 8-hour Lake Tahoe), sulfur dioxide (1 and 24 hour), nitrogen dioxide, particulate matter (PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and visibility reducing particles), are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be equaled or exceeded. California ambient air quality standards are listed in the Table of Standards in Section 70200 of Title 17 of the California Code of Regulations.
- ² National standards (other than ozone, particulate matter, and those based on annual arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The ozone standard is attained when the fourth highest 8-hour concentration measured at each site in a year, averaged over three years, is equal to or less than the standard. For PM₁₀, the 24-hour standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average concentration above 150 µg/m³ is equal to or less than one. For PM_{2.5}, the 24-hour standard is attained when 98 percent of the daily concentrations, averaged over three years, are equal to or less than the standard. Contact the U.S. EPA for further clarification and current national policies.
- ³ Concentration expressed first in units in which it was promulgated. Equivalent units given in parentheses are based upon a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr. Most measurements of air quality are to be corrected to a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr; ppm in this table refers to ppm by volume, or micromoles of pollutant per mole of gas.
- ⁴ Any equivalent measurement method which can be shown to the satisfaction of the Air Resources Board to give equivalent results at or near the level of the air quality standard may be used.
- ⁵ National Primary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety to protect the public health.
- ⁶ National Secondary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary to protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects of a pollutant.
- ⁷ Reference method as described by the U.S. EPA. An "equivalent method" of measurement may be used but must have a "consistent relationship to the reference method" and must be approved by the U.S. EPA.
- ⁸ On October 1, 2015, the national 8-hour ozone primary and secondary standards were lowered from 0.075 to 0.070 ppm.
- ⁹ On February 7, 2024, the national annual PM_{2.5} primary standard was lowered from 12.0 μg/m³ to 9.0 μg/m³. The existing national 24-hour PM_{2.5} standards (primary and secondary) were retained at 35 μg/m³, as was the annual secondary standards of 15 μg/m³. The existing 24-hour PM₁₀ standards (primary and secondary) of 150 μg/m³ also were retained. The form of the annual primary and secondary standards is the annual mean, averaged over 3 years.
- ¹⁰ To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 100 ppb. Note that the national standards are in units of ppb. California standards are in units of ppm. To directly compare the national standards to the California standards the units can be converted from ppb to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 100 ppb is identical to 0.100 ppm.
- ¹¹ On June 2, 2010, a new 1-hour SO₂ standard was established and the existing 24-hour and annual primary standards were revoked. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 75 ppb. The 1971 SO₂ national standards (24-hour and annual) remain in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2010 standard, except that in areas designated non-attainment for the 1971 standards, the 1971 standards remain in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2010 standards are approved.
- Note that the 1-hour national standard is in units of ppb. California standards are in units of ppm. To directly compare the 1-hour national standard to the California standard the units can be converted to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 75 ppb is identical to 0.075 ppm.
- ¹² The Air Resources Board has identified lead and vinyl chloride as 'toxic air contaminants' with no threshold level of exposure for adverse health effects determined. These actions allow for the implementation of control measures at levels below the ambient concentrations specified for these pollutants.
- ¹³ The national standard for lead was revised on October 15, 2008 to a rolling 3-month average. The 1978 lead standard (1.5 μg/m³ as a quarterly average) remains in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2008 standard, except that in areas designated non-attainment for the 1978 standard, the 1978 standard remains in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2008 standard are approved.
- ¹⁴ In 1989, the ARB converted both the general statewide 10-mile visibility standard and the Lake Tahoe 30-mile visibility standard to instrumental equivalents, which are "extinction of 0.23 per kilometer" and "extinction of 0.07 per kilometer" for the statewide and Lake Tahoe Air Basin standards, respectively.

SOURCE: CARB 2024.

An air basin is designated as either attainment or non-attainment for a particular pollutant. Once a non-attainment area has achieved the AAQS for a particular pollutant, it is re-designated as an attainment area for that pollutant. To be redesignated, the area must meet air quality standards for three consecutive years. After re-designation to attainment, the area is known as a maintenance area and must develop a 10-year plan for continuing to meet and maintain air quality standards, as well as satisfy other requirements of the federal CAA. The SDAB is a non-attainment area for the federal ozone standard.

3.2 State Regulations

3.2.1 Criteria Pollutants

The CARB has developed the California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) and generally has set more stringent limits on the criteria pollutants than the NAAQS (see Table 1). In addition to the federal criteria pollutants, the CAAQS also specify standards for visibility-reducing particles, sulfates, hydrogen sulfide, and vinyl chloride (see Table 1).

Similar to the federal CAA, the state classifies as either "attainment" or "non-attainment" areas for each pollutant based on the comparison of measured data with the CAAQS. The SDAB is a non-attainment area for the state ozone standards, the state PM_{10} standard, and the state $PM_{2.5}$ standard.

3.2.2 Toxic Air Contaminants

The public's exposure to toxic air contaminants (TACs) is a significant public health issue in California. Diesel-exhaust particulate matter emissions have been established as TACs. In 1983, the California Legislature enacted a program to identify the health effects of TACs and to reduce exposure to these contaminants to protect the public health (Assembly Bill [AB] 1807: Health and Safety Code Sections 39650–39674). The California Legislature established a two-step process to address the potential health effects from TACs. The first step is the risk assessment (or identification) phase. The second step is the risk management (or control) phase of the process.

The California Air Toxics Program establishes the process for the identification and control of TACs and includes provisions to make the public aware of significant toxic exposures and for reducing risk. Additionally, the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Information and Assessment Act (AB 2588, 1987, Connelly Bill) was enacted in 1987 and requires stationary sources to report the types and quantities of certain substances routinely released into the air.

The goals of the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Act are to collect emission data, to identify facilities having localized impacts, to ascertain health risks, to notify nearby residents of significant risks, and to reduce those significant risks to acceptable levels.

The Children's Environmental Health Protection Act, California Senate Bill 25 (Chapter 731, Escutia, Statutes of 1999), focuses on children's exposure to air pollutants. The act requires CARB to review its air quality standards from a children's health perspective, evaluate the statewide air monitoring

network, and develop any additional air toxic control measures needed to protect children's health. Locally, toxic air pollutants are regulated through the SDAPCD's Regulation XII. Of particular concern statewide are diesel-exhaust particulate matter emissions. Diesel-exhaust particulate matter was established as a TAC in 1998 and is estimated to represent a majority of the cancer risk from TACs statewide (based on the statewide average). Diesel exhaust is a complex mixture of gases, vapors, and fine particles. This complexity makes the evaluation of health effects of diesel exhaust a complex scientific issue. Some of the chemicals in diesel exhaust, such as benzene and formaldehyde, have been previously identified as TACs by the CARB and are listed as carcinogens either under the state's Proposition 65 or under the federal Hazardous Air Pollutants program.

Following the identification of diesel particulate matter (DPM) as a TAC in 1998, CARB has worked on developing strategies and regulations aimed at reducing the risk from DPM. The overall strategy for achieving these reductions is found in the *Risk Reduction Plan to Reduce Particulate Matter Emissions from Diesel-Fueled Engines and Vehicles* (CARB 2000).

In April 2005, CARB published the *Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective* (CARB 2005). The handbook makes recommendations directed at protecting sensitive land uses from air pollutant emissions while balancing a myriad of other land use issues (e.g., housing, transportation needs, economics). It notes that the handbook is not regulatory or binding on local agencies and recognizes that application takes a qualitative approach. As reflected in the CARB Handbook, there is currently no adopted standard for the significance of health effects from mobile sources. Therefore, the CARB has provided guidelines for the siting of land uses near heavily traveled roadways. Of pertinence to this study, the CARB guidelines indicate that siting new sensitive land uses within 500 feet of a freeway or urban roads with 100,000 or more vehicles per day should be avoided when possible.

However, transit-oriented, infill, and compact development characterizes many communities located near heavily traveled roadways. This type of development pattern has many benefits, including reducing traffic. To address these issues, in April 2017, CARB published the *Technical Advisory: Strategies to Reduce Air Pollution Exposure Near High Volume Roadways* (Technical Advisory; CARB 2017). The Technical Advisory acknowledges the benefits of transit-oriented infill development, which often occurs adjacent to high-volume roadways, and identifies strategies to reduce exposure, including practices and technologies that reduce traffic emissions, increase dispersion of traffic pollution, and remove pollution from the air. Strategies that reduce traffic emissions include speed reduction mechanisms (e.g., reduced speed limits, speed bumps, roundabouts) and traffic signal management. Strategies that increase the dispersion of traffic emissions include land use designs that promote airflow and pollutant dispersion along street corridors, solid barriers (such as sound walls), and vegetation. Strategies that remove pollution from the air include indoor high efficiency filtration.

As an ongoing process, CARB will continue to establish new programs and regulations for the control of diesel particulate and other air-toxics emissions as appropriate. The continued development and implementation of these programs and policies will ensure that the public's exposure to DPM will continue to decline.

3.2.3 State Implementation Plan

The State Implementation Plan (SIP) is a collection of documents that set forth the state's strategies for achieving the NAAQS. In California, the SIP is a compilation of new and previously submitted plans, programs (air quality management plans, monitoring, modeling, permitting, etc.), district rules, state regulations, and federal controls. The CARB is the lead agency for all purposes related to the SIP under state law. Local air districts and other agencies, such as the Department of Pesticide Regulation and the Bureau of Automotive Repair, prepare SIP elements and submit them to CARB for review and approval. The CARB then forwards SIP revisions to the U.S. EPA for approval and publication in the Federal Register. All the items included in the California SIP are listed in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 40 CFR 52.220.

The SDAPCD is responsible for preparing and implementing the portion of the SIP applicable to the SDAB. The SIP plans for San Diego County specifically include the Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan for the 1997 National Ozone Standard for San Diego County (SDAPCD 2012), and the 2004 Revision to the California State Implementation Plan for Carbon Monoxide – Updated Maintenance Plan for Ten Federal Planning Areas (CARB 2004).

3.2.4 The California Environmental Quality Act

Section 15125(d) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines requires discussion of any inconsistencies between the project and applicable general plans and regional plans, including the applicable air quality attainment or maintenance plan (or SIP).

3.3 San Diego Air Pollution Control District

The SDAPCD is the agency that regulates air quality in the SDAB. The SDAPCD prepared the Regional Air Quality Strategy (RAQS) in response to the requirements set forth in the California CAA AB 2595 (SDAPCD 1992) and the federal CAA. Motor vehicles are San Diego County's leading source of air pollution (SDAPCD 2021). In addition to these sources, other mobile sources include construction equipment, trains, and airplanes. Reducing mobile source emissions requires the technological improvement of existing mobile sources and the examination of future mobile sources, such as those associated with new or modification projects (e.g., retrofitting older vehicles with cleaner emission technologies). In addition to mobile sources, stationary sources also contribute to air pollution in the SDAB. Stationary sources include gasoline stations, power plants, dry cleaners, and other commercial and industrial uses. Stationary sources of air pollution are regulated by the local air pollution control or management district, in this case the SDAPCD.

The SDAPCD is responsible for preparing and implementing the RAQS. As part of the RAQS, the SDAPCD developed Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) for the air quality plan prepared by the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) in accordance with AB 2595 and adopted by SANDAG on March 27, 1992, as Resolution Number 92-49 and Addendum. The RAQS and TCM set forth the steps needed to accomplish attainment of NAAQS and CAAQS. The RAQS and TCM are periodically updated, most recently in 2022 (SDAPCD 2022).

The SDAPCD has also established a set of rules and regulations initially adopted on January 1, 1969, and periodically reviewed and updated. The following rules and regulations apply to all sources in the jurisdiction of SDAPCD and would apply to the project:

SDAPCD Regulation II: Permits; Rule 20.2: New Source Review Non-Major Stationary Sources. Requires new or modified stationary source units (that are not major stationary sources) with the potential to emit 10 pounds per day or more of volatile organic compounds (VOC), nitrogen oxides (NO_X), oxides of sulfur (SO_X), or PM₁₀ to be equipped with Best Available Control Technology. For those units with a potential to emit above Air Quality Impact Assessments Trigger Levels, the units must demonstrate that such emissions would not violate or interfere with the attainment of any national air quality standard.

SDAPCD Regulation IV: Prohibitions; Rule 50: Visible Emissions. Prohibits discharge into the atmosphere from any single source of emissions whatsoever of any air contaminant for a period or periods aggregating more than 3 minutes in any period of 60 consecutive minutes, which is darker in shade than that designated as Number 1 on the Ringelmann Chart, as published by the United States Bureau of Mines, or of such opacity as to obscure an observer's view to a degree greater than does smoke of a shade designated as Number 1 on the Ringelmann Chart.

SDAPCD Regulation IV: Prohibitions; Rule 51: Nuisance. Prohibits the discharge, from any source, of such quantities of air contaminants or other materials that cause or have a tendency to cause injury, detriment, nuisance, annoyance to people and/or the public, or damage to any business or property.

SDAPCD Regulation IV: Prohibitions; Rule 55: Fugitive Dust Control. Regulates fugitive dust emissions from any commercial construction or demolition activity capable of generating fugitive dust emissions, including active operations, open storage piles, and inactive disturbed areas, as well as track-out and carry-out onto paved roads beyond a project area.

SDAPCD Regulation IV: Prohibitions; Rule 67.0.1: Architectural Coatings. Requires manufacturers, distributors, and end users of architectural and industrial maintenance coatings to reduce VOC emissions from the use of these coatings, primarily by placing limits on the VOC content of various coating categories. Construction and operation of the project would include application of architectural coatings (e.g., paint and other finishes), which are subject to SDAPCD Rule 67.0.1.

SDAPCD Regulation XII: Toxic Air Contaminants; Rule 1200: Toxic Air Contaminants – New Source Review. Requires new or modified stationary source units with the potential to emit TACs above rule threshold levels to demonstrate that they will not increase the maximum incremental cancer risk above 1 in 1 million at every receptor location, or demonstrate that toxics Best Available Control Technology will be employed if maximum incremental cancer risk is equal to or less than 10 in 1 million, or demonstrate compliance with SDAPCD's protocol for those sources with an increase in maximum incremental cancer risk at any receptor location of greater than 10 in 1 million but less than 100 in 1 million.

SDAPCD Regulation XII: Toxic Air Contaminants; Rule 1210: Toxic Air Contaminant Public Health Risks – Public Notification and Risk Reduction. Requires each stationary source required to prepare a public risk assessment to provide written public notice of risks at or above the following levels: maximum incremental cancer risks equal to or greater than 10 in 1 million, cancer burden equal to or greater than 1.0, total acute non-cancer health hazard index equal to or greater than 1.0, or total chronic non-cancer health hazard index equal to or greater than 1.0.

4.0 Environmental Setting

4.1 Geographic Setting

The project is located in the City of Encinitas, about one mile east of the Pacific Ocean. The eastern portion of the SDAB is surrounded by mountains to the north, east, and south. These mountains tend to restrict airflow and concentrate pollutants in the valleys and low-lying areas below.

4.2 Climate

The project area, like the rest of San Diego County, has a Mediterranean climate characterized by warm, dry summers and mild winters. The mean annual temperature for the project area is 63 degrees Fahrenheit (°F). The average annual precipitation is 10 inches, falling primarily from November to April. Winter low temperatures in the project area average about 47°F, and summer high temperatures average about 75°F (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 2021).

The dominant meteorological feature affecting the region is the Pacific High Pressure Zone, which produces the prevailing westerly to northwesterly winds. These winds tend to blow pollutants away from the coast toward the inland areas. Consequently, air quality near the coast is generally better than that which occurs at the base of the coastal mountain range.

Fluctuations in the strength and pattern of winds from the Pacific High Pressure Zone interacting with the daily local cycle produce periodic temperature inversions that influence the dispersal or containment of air pollutants in the SDAB. Beneath the inversion layer pollutants become "trapped" as their ability to disperse diminishes. The mixing depth is the area under the inversion layer. Generally, the morning inversion layer is lower than the afternoon inversion layer. The greater the change between the morning and afternoon mixing depths, the greater the ability of the atmosphere to disperse pollutants.

Throughout the year, the height of the temperature inversion in the afternoon varies between approximately 1,500 and 2,500 feet above mean sea level. In winter, the morning inversion layer is about 800 feet above mean sea level. In summer, the morning inversion layer is about 1,100 feet above mean sea level. Therefore, air quality generally tends to be better in the winter than in the summer.

The prevailing westerly wind pattern is sometimes interrupted by regional "Santa Ana" conditions. A Santa Ana occurs when a strong high pressure develops over the Nevada-Utah area and overcomes the prevailing westerly coastal winds, sending strong, steady, hot, dry northeasterly winds over the mountains and out to sea.

Strong Santa Anas tend to blow pollutants out over the ocean, producing clear days. However, at the onset or during breakdown of these conditions, or if the Santa Ana is weak, local air quality may

be adversely affected. In these cases, emissions from the South Coast Air Basin to the north are blown out over the ocean, and low pressure over Baja California draws this pollutant-laden air mass southward. As the high pressure weakens, prevailing northwesterly winds reassert themselves and send this cloud of contamination ashore in the SDAB. When this event does occur, the combination of transported and locally produced contaminants produce the worst air quality measurements recorded in the basin.

4.3 Existing Air Quality

Air quality at a particular location is a function of the kinds, amounts, and dispersal rates of pollutants being emitted into the air locally and throughout the basin. The major factors affecting pollutant dispersion are wind speed and direction, the vertical dispersion of pollutants (which is affected by inversions), and the local topography.

Air quality is commonly expressed as the number of days in which air pollution levels exceed state standards set by the CARB or federal standards set by the U.S. EPA. The SDAPCD currently maintains nine air quality monitoring stations located throughout the greater San Diego metropolitan region. Air pollutant concentrations and meteorological information are continuously recorded at these stations. Measurements are then used by scientists to help forecast daily air pollution levels.

The monitoring stations closest to the project site that measure a range of pollutants are the San Diego – Rancho Carmel Drive monitoring station, located at 11403 Rancho Carmel Drive approximately 12 miles southeast of the project site; the Camp Pendleton monitoring station, located at 21441 West B Street approximately 14 miles northwest of the project site; and the San Diego – Kearny Villa Road monitoring station located at 6125A Kearny Villa Road approximately 16 miles south of the project site. Table 2 provides a summary of measurements collected at the San Diego – Rancho Carmel Drive, Camp Pendleton, and San Diego – Kearny Villa Road monitoring stations for the years 2021 through 2023.

Table 2 Summary of Air Quality Measurements Recorded at the Rancho Carmel, Camp Pendleton, and Kearny Villa Road Monitoring Stations							
Pollutant/Standard	2021	2022	2023				
SAN DIEGO – RANCHO CARMEL DRIVE							
Nitrogen Dioxide							
Max 1-hour (ppm)	0.0540	0.0560	0.0530				
Days State 1-hour Standard Exceeded (0.18 ppm)	0	0	0				
Days Federal 1-hour Standard Exceeded (0.100 ppb)	0	0	0				
Annual Average (ppm)	0.013	0.015	0.014				
PM _{2.5} *							
Federal Max. Daily (µg/m³)	23.5	14.9	23.2				
Measured Days Federal 24-hour Standard Exceeded (35 μ g/m ³)	0	0	0				
Calculated Days Federal 24-hour Standard Exceeded (35 μ g/m ³)	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Federal Annual Average (µg/m³)	8.5	7.6	6.9				
State Max. Daily (μ g/m ³)							
State Annual Average (µg/m³)							

Monitoring Stations Pollutant/Standard	2021	2022	2023
CAMP PENDLETON MONITORING STATION			
Ozone			
Federal Max 8-hr (ppm)	0.059	0.067	0.077
Days 2015 Federal 8-hour Standard Exceeded (0.07 ppm)	0	0	1
Days 2008 Federal 8-hour Standard Exceeded (0.075 ppm)	0	0	1
State Max 8-hr (ppm)	0.059	0.067	0.077
Days State 8-hour Standard Exceeded (0.07 ppm)	0	0	1
Max. 1-hour (ppm)	0.074	0.076	0.090
Days State 1-hour Standard Exceeded (0.09 ppm)	0	0	0
Nitrogen Dioxide			
Max 1-hour (ppm)	0.0590	0.0504	0.0632
Days State 1-hour Standard Exceeded (0.18 ppm)	0	0	0
Days Federal 1-hour Standard Exceeded (0.100 ppb)	0	0	0
Annual Average (ppm)		0.005	0.005
PM _{2.5} *	•	•	
Federal Max. Daily (µg/m³)		17.0	26.5
Measured Days Federal 24-hour Standard Exceeded (35 µg/m ³)		0	0
Calculated Days Federal 24-hour Standard Exceeded (35 µg/m ³)			0.0
Federal Annual Average (µg/m ³)			7.8
State Max. Daily (µg/m ³)	20.7	17.7	
State Annual Average (µg/m ³)			
SAN DIEGO – KEARNY VILLA ROAD MONITORING STATION			
Ozone			
Federal Max 8-hr (ppm)	0.071	0.083	0.079
Days 2015 Federal 8-hour Standard Exceeded (0.07 ppm)	1	2	3
Days 2008 Federal 8-hour Standard Exceeded (0.075 ppm)	0	1	1
State Max 8-hr (ppm)	0.072	0.083	0.080
Days State 8-hour Standard Exceeded (0.07 ppm)	2	2	3
Max. 1-hour (ppm)	0.095	0.095	0.091
Days State 1-hour Standard Exceeded (0.09 ppm)	1	1	0
Nitrogen Dioxide		•	
Max 1-hour (ppm)	0.0600	0.0512	0.0384
Days State 1-hour Standard Exceeded (0.18 ppm)	0	0	0
Days Federal 1-hour Standard Exceeded (0.100 ppb)	0	0	0
Annual Average (ppm)	0.007	0.008	0.006
PM _{2.5} *			
Federal Max. Daily (µg/m³)	20.9	13.9	24.5
Measured Days Federal 24-hour Standard Exceeded (35 µg/m ³)	0	0	0
Calculated Days Federal 24-hour Standard Exceeded (35 µg/m ³)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Federal Annual Average (μg/m ³)	7.6	6.8	7.0
State Max. Daily (μg/m ³)	15.0		
State Annual Average (µg/m ³)			

ppm = parts per million; μ g/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter; -- = Not available. * Calculated days value. Calculated days are the estimated number of days that a measurement would have been greater than the level of the standard had measurements been collected every day. The number of days above the standard is not necessarily the number of violations of the standard for the year.

4.3.1 Ozone

NO_x and hydrocarbons (reactive organic gases [ROG]) are known as the chief "precursors" of ozone. These compounds react in the presence of sunlight to produce ozone, which is the primary air pollution problem in the SDAB. Because sunlight plays such an important role in its formation, ozone pollution—or smog—is mainly a concern during the daytime in summer months. The SDAB is currently designated a federal and state non-attainment area for ozone. During the past 67 years, San Diego had experienced a decline in the number of days with unhealthy levels of ozone despite the region's growth in population and vehicle miles traveled (SDAPCD 2021).

About half of smog-forming emissions come from automobiles. Population growth in San Diego has resulted in a large increase in the number of automobiles expelling ozone-forming pollutants while operating on area roadways. In addition, the occasional transport of smog-filled air from the South Coast Air Basin only adds to the SDAB's ozone problem. Stricter automobile emission controls, including more efficient automobile engines, have played a large role in why ozone levels have steadily decreased.

In order to address adverse health effects due to prolonged exposure, the U.S. EPA phased out the national 1-hour ozone standard and replaced it with the more protective 8-hour ozone standard. The SDAB is currently a non-attainment area for the previous (1997) national 8-hour standard and is recommended as a non-attainment area for the revised (2008) national 8-hour standard of 0.075 parts per million (ppm).

Not all the ozone within the SDAB is derived from local sources. Under certain meteorological conditions, such as during Santa Ana wind events, ozone and other pollutants are transported from the Los Angeles Basin and combine with ozone formed from local emission sources to produce elevated ozone levels in the SDAB.

Local agencies can control neither the source nor the transportation of pollutants from outside the air basin. The SDAPCD's policy, therefore, has been to control local sources effectively enough to reduce locally produced contamination to clean air standards. Through the use of air pollution control measures outlined in the RAQS, the SDAPCD has effectively reduced ozone levels in the SDAB.

Actions that have been taken in the SDAB to reduce ozone concentrations include the following:

- TCMs if vehicle travel and emissions exceed attainment demonstration levels. TCMs are strategies that will reduce transportation-related emissions by reducing vehicle use or improving traffic flow.
- Enhanced motor vehicle inspection and maintenance program. The smog check program is overseen by the Bureau of Automotive Repair. The program requires most vehicles to pass a smog test once every two years before registering in the state of California. The smog check program monitors the amount of pollutants automobiles produce. One focus of the program is identifying "gross polluters," or vehicles that exceed two times the allowable emissions for a particular model. Regular maintenance and tune-ups, changing the oil, and checking tire

inflation can improve gas mileage and lower air pollutant emissions. It can also reduce traffic congestion due to preventable breakdowns, further lowering emissions.

• Air Quality Improvement Program. This program, established by AB 118, is a voluntary incentive program administered by the CARB to fund clean vehicle and equipment projects, research on biofuels production and the air quality impacts of alternative fuels, and workforce training.

4.3.2 Carbon Monoxide

The SDAB is classified as a state attainment area and as a federal maintenance area for CO. Until 2003, no violations of the state standard for CO had been recorded in the SDAB since 1991, and no violations of the national standard had been recorded in the SDAB since 1989. The violations that took place in 2003 were likely the result of massive wildfires that occurred throughout the county. No violations of the state or federal CO standards have occurred since 2003.

Small-scale, localized concentrations of CO above the state and national standards have the potential to occur at intersections with stagnation points such as those that occur on major highways and heavily traveled and congested roadways. Localized high concentrations of CO are referred to as "CO hot spots" and are a concern at congested intersections, where automobile engines burn fuel less efficiently and their exhaust contains more CO.

4.3.3 Particulate Matter

Particulate matter is a complex mixture of microscopic solid or liquid particles including chemicals, soot, and dust. Anthropogenic sources of direct particulate emissions include crushing or grinding operations, dust stirred up by vehicle traffic, and combustion sources such as motor vehicles, power plants, wood burning, forest fires, agricultural burning and industrial processes. Additionally, indirect emissions may be formed when aerosols react with compounds found in the atmosphere.

Health studies have shown a significant association between exposure to particulate matter and premature death in people with heart or lung diseases. Other important effects include aggravation of respiratory and cardiovascular disease, lung disease, decreased lung function, asthma attacks, and certain cardiovascular problems such as heart attacks and irregular heartbeat (U.S. EPA 2021).

As its properties vary based on the size of suspended particles, particulate matter is generally categorized as PM_{10} or $PM_{2.5}$.

4.3.3.1 PM₁₀

PM₁₀, occasionally referred to as "inhalable coarse particles," has an aerodynamic diameter of about one-seventh of the diameter of a human hair. High concentrations of PM₁₀ are often found near roadways, construction, mining, or agricultural operations.

4.3.3.2 PM_{2.5}

PM_{2.5}, occasionally referred to as "inhalable fine particles," has an aerodynamic diameter of about one-thirtieth of the diameter of a human hair. PM_{2.5} is the main cause of haze in many parts of the United States. Federal standards applicable to PM_{2.5} were first adopted in 1997.

4.3.4 Other Criteria Pollutants

The national and state standards for NO_2 , SO_x , and the previous standard for lead are being met in the SDAB, and the latest pollutant trends suggest that these standards will not be exceeded in the foreseeable future. As discussed above, new standards for these pollutants have been adopted, and new designations for the SDAB will be determined in the future. The SDAB is also in attainment of the state standards for vinyl chloride, hydrogen sulfides, sulfates, and visibility-reducing particulates.

5.0 Thresholds of Significance

Thresholds used to evaluate potential impacts to air quality are based on applicable criteria in the CEQA Guidelines Appendix G. The project would have a significant air quality impact if it would:

- 1. Obstruct or conflict with the implementation of the RAQS.
- 2. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard.
- 3. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.
- 4. Result in other emissions such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people.

The City has not adopted air quality significance thresholds. The SDAPCD also does not provide specific numeric thresholds for determining the significance of air quality impacts under CEQA. However, the SDAPCD does specify Air Quality Impact Analysis trigger levels for new or modified stationary sources (SDAPCD Rules 20.1, 20.2, and 20.3). The SDAPCD does not consider these trigger levels to represent adverse air quality impacts, rather, if these trigger levels are exceeded by a project, the SDAPCD requires an air quality analysis to determine if a significant air quality impact would occur. While, these trigger levels do not generally apply to mobile sources or general land development projects, for comparative purposes these levels are used to evaluate the increased emissions that would be discharged to the SDAB if the project were approved. The air quality impact screening levels used in this analysis are shown in Table 3.

Table 3 Air Quality Impact Screening Levels							
		Emission Rate					
Pollutant	Pounds/Hour	Pounds/Day	Tons/Year				
NO _X	25	250	40				
SO _X	25	250	40				
СО	100	550	100				
PM ₁₀		100	15				
Lead		3.2	0.6				
VOC, ROG ¹		250					
PM _{2.5} 67 10							
VOC = volatile organic compounds SOURCE: SDAPCD, Rules 20.1, 20.2, 20.3. ¹ ROG threshold based on federal General Conformity <i>de minimus</i> levels							

for	ozone	preci	irsors

6.0 Air Quality Assessment

Construction impacts are short term and result from fugitive dust, equipment exhaust, and indirect effects associated with construction workers and deliveries. Operational impacts can occur on two levels: regional or local. In the case of this project, operational impacts are primarily due to emissions from mobile sources associated with vehicular travel along the roadways within the project area.

Construction and operation air emissions were calculated using California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod) 2022 (California Air Pollution Control Officers Association [CAPCOA] 2022). The CalEEMod program is a tool used to estimate air emissions resulting from land development projects based on California-specific emission factors. The model estimates mass emissions from two basics sources: construction sources and operational sources (i.e., area, energy, and mobile sources).

Inputs to CalEEMod include such items as the air basin containing the project, land uses, trip generation rates, trip lengths, vehicle fleet mix (i.e., percentage of autos, medium truck, etc.), trip destination (i.e., percent of trips from home to work, etc.), duration of construction phases, construction equipment usage, grading areas, season, and ambient temperature, as well as other parameters. The CalEEMod output files contained in Attachment 1 indicate the specific outputs for each model run. Emissions of NO_X, CO, SO_X, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and ROG are calculated. Emission factors are not available for lead, and consequently, lead emissions are not calculated. The SDAB is currently in attainment of the federal and state lead standards. Furthermore, fuel used in construction equipment and most other vehicles is not leaded.

6.1 Construction Emissions

Construction-related activities are temporary, short-term sources of air emissions. Sources of construction-related air emissions include the following:

- Fugitive dust from grading activities;
- Construction equipment exhaust;

- Construction-related trips by workers, delivery trucks, and material-hauling trucks; and
- Construction-related power consumption.

Construction-related pollutants result from dust raised during demolition and grading, emissions from construction vehicles, and chemicals used during construction. Fugitive dust emissions vary greatly during construction and are dependent on the amount and type of activity, silt content of the soil, and the weather. Vehicles moving over paved and unpaved surfaces, demolition, excavation, earth movement, grading, and wind erosion from exposed surfaces are all sources of fugitive dust. Construction operations are subject to the requirements established in Regulation 4, Rules 52, 54, and 55, of the SDAPCD's rules and regulations.

Heavy-duty construction equipment is usually diesel powered. In general, emissions from diesel-powered equipment contain more NO_X, SO_X, and particulate matter than gasoline-powered engines. However, diesel-powered engines generally produce less CO and less ROG than do gasoline-powered engines. Standard construction equipment includes tractors/loaders/backhoes, rubber-tired dozers, excavators, graders, cranes, forklifts, rollers, paving equipment, generator sets, welders, cement and mortar mixers, and air compressors.

Primary inputs are the numbers of each piece of equipment and the length of each construction stage. Specific construction phasing and equipment parameters are not available at this time. However, CalEEMod can estimate the required construction equipment when project-specific information is unavailable. The estimates are based on surveys, performed by the South Coast Air Quality Management District and the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, of typical construction projects which provide a basis for scaling equipment needs and schedule with a project's size. Air emission estimates in CalEEMod are based on the duration of construction phases; construction equipment type, quantity, and usage; grading area; season; and ambient temperature, among other parameters. Construction emissions were modeled assuming construction would begin in January 2026 and last for approximately 15 months, which is the CalEEMod default construction duration for the entered land uses. Assuming construction would begin in January 2026 is conservative, as continued implementation of regulations for off-road equipment, the primary construction emission source, would reduce emissions from these sources over time. The project would include the demolition of the church, administrative office and single-family dwelling buildings which total 25,281 square feet. Project grading would require 22,550 cubic yards of cut and 400 cubic yards of fill, resulting in a net export of 22,150 cubic yards of soils.

Table 4 shows the total projected construction maximum daily emission levels for each criteria pollutant. The CalEEMod output files for construction emissions are contained in Attachment 1.

Table 4 Summary of Maximum Construction Emissions (pounds per day)								
			Pollu	itant				
Construction Phase	ROG	NOx	CO	SOx	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}		
Demolition	2	22	20	<1	3	1		
Site Preparation	3	29	29	<1	9	5		
Grading	2	28	23	<1	5	3		
Building Construction	1	10	14	<1	1	<1		
Paving	1	7	10	<1	<1	<1		
Architectural Coatings	36	1	1	<1	<1	<1		
Maximum Daily Emissions	36	29	29	<1	9	5		
Significance Threshold	250	250	550	250	100	67		

Standard dust control measures would be implemented as a part of project construction in accordance with SDAPCD rules and regulations. Fugitive dust emissions were calculated using CalEEMod default values and did not take into account the required dust control measures. Thus, the emissions shown in Table 4 are conservative.

For assessing the significance of the air quality emissions resulting during construction of the project, the construction emissions were compared to the screening thresholds shown in Table 4. As shown in Table 4, maximum daily construction emissions associated with the project are projected to be less than the applicable thresholds for all criteria pollutants. Construction related air quality impacts would be less than significant.

6.2 Operation Emissions

Mobile source emissions would originate from traffic generated by the project. Area source emissions would result from the use of consumer products, as well as applying architectural coatings and landscaping activities. Energy emissions would result from the use of natural gas.

Mobile source operational emissions are based on the trip rate, trip length for each land use type and size. Based on the Local Transportation Assessment prepared for the project (Mizuta Traffic Consulting 2024), single-family uses generate 10 weekday trips per unit and multi-family uses generate 8 weekday trips per unit for a total of 478 weekday trips. Weekend trip rates were calculated by adjusting the default trip rates proportionately. Default trip distances and default vehicle emission factors for the soonest operational year of 2027 were used.

Area source emissions associated with the project include consumer products, natural gas used in space and water heating, architectural coatings, and landscaping equipment. Hearths (fireplaces) and woodstoves are also a source of area emissions; however, the project would not include hearths or woodstoves. Consumer products are chemically formulated products used by household and institutional consumers, including, but not limited to, detergents, cleaning compounds, polishes, floor finishes, disinfectants, sanitizers, and aerosol paints but not including other paint products,

furniture coatings, or architectural coatings. Emissions due to consumer products are calculated using total building area and product emission factors.

For architectural coatings, emissions result from evaporation of solvents contained in surface coatings such as in paints and primers. Emissions are based on the building surface area, architectural coating emission factors, and a reapplication rate of 10 percent of area per year. Landscaping maintenance includes fuel combustion emission from equipment such as lawn mowers, rototillers, shredders/grinders, blowers, trimmers, chain saws, and hedge trimmers as well as air compressors, generators, and pumps. Emission calculations take into account building area, equipment emission factors, and the number of operational days (summer days).

Energy source emissions associated with the project include natural gas used in space and water heating. Emissions are generated from the combustion of natural gas used in space and water heating. Emissions are based on the Residential Appliance Saturation Survey which is a comprehensive energy use assessment that includes the end use for various climate zones in California.

Table 5 provides a summary of the operational emissions generated by the project. CalEEMod output files for project operation are contained in Attachment 1. As shown, project-generated emissions are projected to be less than the screening level thresholds for all criteria pollutants.

Table 5 Summary of Project Operational Emissions (pounds per day)								
			Pollut	ant				
Source	ROG	NOx	CO	SOx	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}		
Mobile	2	2	15	<1	3	1		
Area	3	<1	3	<1	<1	<1		
Energy	Energy <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1							
Total	5	2	18	<1	3	1		
Significance Threshold 250 250 250 100 67								
NOTE: Totals may vary due	e to indeper	ndent roun	ding.					

6.3 Impact Analysis

1. Would the project obstruct or conflict with the implementation of the San Diego RAQS?

The RAQS is the applicable regional air quality plan that sets forth the SDAPCD's strategies for achieving the NAAQS and CAAQS. The SDAB is designated non-attainment for the federal and state ozone standard. Accordingly, the RAQS was developed to identify feasible emission control measures and provide expeditious progress toward attaining the standards for ozone. The two pollutants addressed in the RAQS are ROG and NO_X, which are precursors to the formation of ozone. Projected increases in motor vehicle usage, population, and growth create challenges in controlling emissions and by extension to maintaining and improving air quality. The 2022 RAQS, in conjunction with the TCM, were most recently adopted in 2023 as the air quality plan for the region.

The growth projections used by the SDAPCD to develop the RAQS emissions budgets are based on the population, vehicle trends, and land use plans developed in general plans and used by SANDAG in the development of the regional transportation plans and sustainable communities strategy. As such, projects that propose development that is consistent with the growth anticipated by SANDAG's growth projections and/or the City of Encinitas General Plan would not conflict with the RAQS. In the event that a project would propose development that is less dense than anticipated by the growth projections, the project would likewise be consistent with the RAQS. In the event a project proposes development that is greater than anticipated in the growth projections, further analysis would be warranted to determine if the project would exceed the growth projections used in the RAQS for the specific subregional area.

The project site is currently designated and zoned as Residential (R-8). The project would be consistent with the existing land use and zoning designations for the project site; however, the project would include a density bonus that would allow an increase in units from the base density of 30 units to a total of 51 units. However, this increase in units does not necessarily mean that it would conflict with implementation of the RAQS. The density of density bonus waivers is to allow for the construction of additional much needed housing in the region to account for planned population growth. The RAQS "emissions inventory, projections, and trends are based on ozone precursor emissions data compiled and maintained by CARB. Supporting data were jointly developed by CARB, the [SDAPCD], and [SANDAG], which each play a role in collecting and reviewing the data necessary to generate comprehensive planning emission inventories" (SDAPCD 2022). CARB modeling utilizes the most current growth and emissions control data available to provide comprehensive projections of emissions for each year from 2022 to 2050. Current regional growth projections are accounted for in the RAQS. Therefore, even with the density bonus, the project would be consistent with the growth projections accounted for in the RAQS. Additionally, shown in Tables 4 and 5, project emissions would not exceed the screening level thresholds. These project-level thresholds are designed to help achieve attainment with cumulative basin-wide standards. Consequently, the project would not result in an increase in emissions that are not already accounted for in the RAQS. Therefore, the project would not obstruct or conflict with implementation of the RAQS, and impacts would be less than significant.

2. Would the project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?

The region is classified as an attainment area for all criterion pollutants except ozone, PM_{10} , and $PM_{2.5}$. The SDAB is a non-attainment area for the 8-hour federal and state ozone standards. Ozone is not emitted directly but is a result of atmospheric activity on precursors. NO_X and ROG are known as the chief "precursors" of ozone. These compounds react in the presence of sunlight to produce ozone. $PM_{2.5}$ includes fine particles that are found in smoke and haze and are emitted from all types of combustion activities (motor vehicles, power plants, wood burning, etc.) and certain industrial processes. PM_{10} includes both fine and coarse dust particles, and sources include crushing or grinding operations and dust from paved or unpaved roads.

As shown in Table 4, project construction would not exceed the applicable regional emissions thresholds. These thresholds are designed to provide limits below which project emissions would not significantly change regional air quality. Therefore, project construction would not result in a

cumulatively considerable net increase in emissions of ozone, PM_{10} , or $PM_{2.5}$, and impacts would be less than significant.

Long-term emissions of regional air pollutants occur from operational sources. As shown in Table 5, project operation would not exceed the applicable screening thresholds for all criteria pollutants. Therefore, operational emissions would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase in emissions of ozone, PM₁₀, or PM_{2.5}, and impacts would be less than significant.

3. Would the project expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?

Sensitive land uses include schools and schoolyards, parks and playgrounds, daycare centers, nursing homes, hospitals, and residential communities. The project site is surrounded by residential land uses. Additionally, San Dieguito Academy High School is located north of the project site and a tennis club is located east of the project site.

Carbon Monoxide Hot Spots

Localized CO concentration is a direct function of motor vehicle activity at signalized intersections (e.g., idling time and traffic flow conditions), particularly during peak commute hours and meteorological conditions. The SDAB is a CO maintenance area under the federal CAA. This means that SDAB was previously a non-attainment area and is currently implementing a 10-year plan for continuing to meet and maintain air quality standards.

Due to increased requirements for cleaner vehicles, equipment, and fuels, CO levels in the state have dropped substantially. All air basins are attainment or maintenance areas for CO. Therefore, more recent screening procedures based on more current methodologies have been developed. The Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District developed a screening threshold in 2011, which states that any project involving an intersection experiencing 31,600 vehicles per hour or more will require detailed analysis. In addition, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District developed a screening threshold in 2010 which states that any project involving an intersection experiencing an intersection experiencing 44,000 vehicles per hour would require detailed analysis. This analysis conservatively assesses potential CO hot spots using the South Coast Air Quality Management District screening threshold of 31,600 vehicles per hour.

Peak hour turning volumes at study area intersections were calculated as a part of the Local Transportation Assessment (Mizuta Traffic Consulting 2024). The study area intersections include Santa Fe Drive at Nardo Road/MacKinnon Avenue, Santa Fe Drive at Bonita Drive/Windsor Road, and Santa Fe Drive at the San Dieguito High School Driveway/Project Driveway. Existing plus project peak hour turning volumes at these intersections would be 1,665 vehicles per hour or less, which would be well less than 31,600 vehicles per hour. Therefore, the project would not generate a CO hot spot that could expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentration, and impacts would be less than significant.

Diesel Particulate Matter – Construction

Project construction would result in short-term diesel exhaust emissions from on-site heavy-duty equipment. Project construction would result in the generation of diesel-exhaust DPM emissions from the use of off-road diesel equipment required for site grading and excavation, paving, and

other construction activities and on-road diesel equipment used to bring materials to and from the project site.

Generation of DPM from construction projects typically occurs in a single area for a short period. Construction is anticipated to last for approximately 15 months. The dose to which the receptors are exposed is the primary factor used to determine health risk. Dose is a function of the concentration of a substance or substances in the environment and the extent of exposure that person has with the substance. Dose is positively correlated with time, meaning that a longer exposure period would result in a higher exposure level for the Maximally Exposed Individual. The risks estimated for a Maximally Exposed Individual are higher if a fixed exposure occurs over a longer period of time. According to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), health risk assessments, which determine the exposure of sensitive receptors to toxic emissions, should be based on a 30-year exposure period; however, such assessments should be limited to the period/duration of activities associated with the project (OEHHA 2015). Thus, if the duration of proposed construction activities near any specific sensitive receptor were 15 months, the exposure would be 4 percent of the total 30-year exposure period used for health risk calculation. Further, the project would implement construction best management practices and would be conducted in accordance with CARB regulations. Specifically, the project would implement the following Best Available Control Technology for Toxics measures during construction:

- The construction fleet shall use any combination of diesel catalytic converters, diesel oxidation catalysts, diesel particulate filters and/or utilize CARB/U.S. EPA Engine Certification Tier 3 or better, or other equivalent methods approved by the CARB.
- The engine size of construction equipment shall be the minimum size suitable for the required job.
- Construction equipment shall be properly tuned and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
- Per CARB's Airborne Toxic Control Measures 13 (California Code of Regulations Chapter 10 Section 2485), the applicant shall not allow idling time to exceed 5 minutes unless more time is required per engine manufacturers' specifications or for safety reasons.

Therefore, DPM generated by project construction is not expected to create conditions where the probability is greater than 10 in 1 million of contracting cancer for the Maximally Exposed Individual or to generate ground-level concentrations of noncarcinogenic TACs that exceed a Hazard Index greater than 1 for the Maximally Exposed Individual. Additionally, with ongoing implementation of U.S. EPA and CARB requirements for cleaner fuels; off-road diesel engine retrofits; and new, low-emission diesel engine types, the DPM emissions of individual equipment would be substantially reduced. Therefore, project construction would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentration, and impacts would be less than significant.

Stationary Sources

CARB provides guidance on siting land uses near major emitters or facilities of concern. These facilities include distribution centers, chrome platers, dry cleaners using perchloroethylene, and large gas stations. CARB siting constraints are summarized in Table 6.

The project would not include any of the land uses presented in Table 6 or place sensitive receptors within the recommended buffer distances of these uses. The project would not construct a stationary source of toxic emissions. Therefore, project operation would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, and impacts associated with stationary sources would be less than significant.

Table 6 CARB Land Use Siting Constraints						
Source Category	Recommended Buffer Distances (feet)					
Distribution centers (that accommodate more than 100 trucks per day, more than 40 trucks with operating transport refrigeration units per day, or where transport refrigeration unit operations exceed 300 hours per week)	1,000					
Chrome platers	1,000					
Dry cleaners using perchloroethylene (1 machine)	300					
Dry cleaners using perchloroethylene (2 machines)	500					
Dry cleaners using perchloroethylene (3 or more machines)	Requires consultation with APCD					
Large gas station (3.6 million gallons or more per year)	300					
Other gas stations	50					
SOURCE: CARB 2005.						

4. Would the project result in other emissions such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people?

The project does not include heavy industrial or agricultural uses that are typically associated with odor complaints. During construction, diesel equipment may generate some nuisance odors. However, exposure to odors associated with project construction would be short term and temporary in nature, and would disperse quickly as it leaves the project site. Odors from construction equipment would dissipate at this distance. Impacts associated with odors would be less than significant.

7.0 Conclusions

The primary goal of the RAQS is to reduce ozone precursor emissions. The project site is currently designated and zoned as Residential (R-8). The project would be consistent with the existing land use and zoning designations for the project site. The project would include a density bonus that would allow an increase in units from the base density of 30 units to a total of 51 units; however, this increase in units does not necessarily mean that it would conflict with implementation of the RAQS. The density of density bonus waivers is to allow for the construction of additional much needed housing in the region to account for planned population growth. Current regional growth projections are accounted for in the RAQS. Therefore, even with the density bonus, the project would be consistent with the growth projections accounted for in the RAQS. Consequently, the project would not result in an increase in emissions that are not already accounted for in the RAQS. Therefore, the project would not obstruct or conflict with the implementation of the RAQS, and impacts would be less than significant.

As shown in Table 4 above, project construction emissions would not exceed the applicable regional emissions thresholds. These thresholds are designed to provide limits below which project emissions would not significantly change regional air quality. Therefore, project construction would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase in emissions of ozone, PM₁₀, or PM_{2.5}, and impacts would be less than significant. Additionally, construction emissions would be temporary, intermittent, and would cease at the end of project construction.

Long-term emissions of regional air pollutants occur from operational sources. As shown in Table 5, project operational emissions would not exceed the applicable regional emissions thresholds. Therefore, project operation would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase in emissions of ozone, PM₁₀, or PM_{2.5}, and impacts would be less than significant.

Sensitive land uses include schools and schoolyards, parks and playgrounds, daycare centers, nursing homes, hospitals, and residential communities. The project site is surrounded by residential land uses. Additionally, San Dieguito Academy High School is located north of the project site and a tennis club is located east of the project site. However, existing plus project peak hour turning volumes at nearby intersections would not generate a CO hot spot. Construction of the project and associated infrastructure would result in short-term diesel exhaust emissions from on-site heavy-duty equipment. However, given the temporary nature of construction activities, and implementation of Best Available Control Technology for Toxics measures. Therefore, construction and operation of the project would not result in the exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, and impacts would be less than significant.

Project construction would involve the use of diesel-powered equipment. Diesel exhaust may be noticeable temporarily at adjacent properties; however, exposure to odors associated with project construction would be temporary, which would disperse and dissipate quickly in an outdoor environment. The project does not include heavy industrial or agricultural uses that are typically associated with objectionable odors. Therefore, the project would not generate other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people, and impacts would be less than significant.

8.0 References Cited

California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA)

2022 California Emissions Estimator model (CalEEMod). Version 2022. April.

California Air Resources Board (CARB)

- 2000 Risk Reduction Plan to Reduce Particulate Matter Emissions from Diesel-Fueled Engines and Vehicles. California Air Resources Board. Stationary Source Division, Mobile Source Control Division. October.
- 2004 Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan for Carbon Monoxide. Updated Maintenance Plan for Ten Federal Planning Areas. Adopted July 22, 2004.

- 2005 Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective. California Air Resources Board. April.
- 2017 Technical Advisory: Strategies to Reduce Air Pollution Exposure Near High-Volume Roadways. April.
- 2024 Ambient Air Quality Standards. California Air Resources Board. Updated July 16.
- 2025 California Air Quality Data Statistics. Available at http://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/welcome.html. Top 4 Summary and Hourly Listing. Accessed on January 3, 2025.
- Mizuta Traffic Consulting
 - 2024 Santa Fe Multi-Family Project Local Transportation Assessment. #602-121. Prepared for The Swell Fund. June.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

- 2021 Climate Database SC ACIS Version 2. Accessed at http://scacis.rcc-acis.org/. Updated May 4.
- Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA)
 - 2015 Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Guidance Manual for the Preparation of Risk Assessments (Guidance Manual), February.

San Diego Air Pollution Control District (SDAPCD)

- 1992 1991/1992 Regional Air Quality Strategies. Air Pollution Control District. June.
- 2012 Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan for the 1997 National Ozone Standard for San Diego County. Adopted December 5, 2012.
- 2021 2021 Annual Air Quality Report.
- 2022 2022 Regional Air Quality Strategy.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA)
 - 2021 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Website Criteria Air Pollutants. Last updated March 22. https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants.

ATTACHMENT 1

CalEEMod Output – Project Emissions

Santa Fe Subdivision Detailed Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
 - 2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated
 - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
 - 2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Demolition (2026) Unmitigated
 - 3.2. Demolition (2026) Mitigated
 - 3.3. Site Preparation (2026) Unmitigated

- 3.4. Site Preparation (2026) Mitigated
- 3.5. Grading (2026) Unmitigated
- 3.6. Grading (2026) Mitigated
- 3.7. Building Construction (2026) Unmitigated
- 3.8. Building Construction (2026) Mitigated
- 3.9. Building Construction (2027) Unmitigated
- 3.10. Building Construction (2027) Mitigated
- 3.11. Paving (2027) Unmitigated
- 3.12. Paving (2027) Mitigated
- 3.13. Architectural Coating (2027) Unmitigated
- 3.14. Architectural Coating (2027) Mitigated
- 4. Operations Emissions Details
 - 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.1.2. Mitigated
 - 4.2. Energy
 - 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
 - 4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Mitigated

- 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
- 4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Mitigated
- 4.3. Area Emissions by Source
 - 4.3.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.3.2. Mitigated
- 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.4.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.4.2. Mitigated
- 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.5.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.5.2. Mitigated
- 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.6.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.6.2. Mitigated
- 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.7.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.7.2. Mitigated
- 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

4.8.2. Mitigated

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

4.9.2. Mitigated

- 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
 - 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Unmitigated
 - 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Unmitigated
 - 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Unmitigated
 - 4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Mitigated
 - 4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Mitigated
 - 4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Mitigated
- 5. Activity Data
 - 5.1. Construction Schedule
 - 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.2.2. Mitigated
 - 5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

5.3.2. Mitigated

5.4. Vehicles

- 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
- 5.5. Architectural Coatings

5.6. Dust Mitigation

- 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
- 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies
- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources
 - 5.9.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.9.2. Mitigated
- 5.10. Operational Area Sources
 - 5.10.1. Hearths
 - 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.10.1.2. Mitigated
 - 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

- 5.10.4. Landscape Equipment Mitigated
- 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption
 - 5.11.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.11.2. Mitigated
- 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption
 - 5.12.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.12.2. Mitigated
- 5.13. Operational Waste Generation
 - 5.13.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.13.2. Mitigated
- 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment
 - 5.14.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.14.2. Mitigated
- 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.15.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.15.2. Mitigated
- 5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

- 5.16.2. Process Boilers
- 5.17. User Defined

5.18. Vegetation

- 5.18.1. Land Use Change
 - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.18.1.2. Mitigated
- 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type
 - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.18.1.2. Mitigated
- 5.18.2. Sequestration
 - 5.18.2.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.18.2.2. Mitigated
- 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report
 - 6.1. Climate Risk Summary
 - 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
 - 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores
 - 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

- 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
- 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
- 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores
- 7.4. Health & Equity Measures
- 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard
- 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	Santa Fe Subdivision
Construction Start Date	1/1/2026
Operational Year	2027
Lead Agency	City of Encinitas
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.20
Precipitation (days)	21.2
Location	33.03565048919825, -117.27430970829266
County	San Diego
City	Encinitas
Air District	San Diego County APCD
Air Basin	San Diego
TAZ	6396
EDFZ	12
Electric Utility	San Diego Gas & Electric
Gas Utility	San Diego Gas & Electric
App Version	2022.1.1.29

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Single Family Housing	35.0	Dwelling Unit	4.20	87,611	58,582	0.00	98.0	

Condo/Townhouse 16.0 Dwelling Unit	1.00	25,924	0.00	0.00	45.0	—
------------------------------------	------	--------	------	------	------	---

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

Sector	#	Measure Title
Transportation	T-1	Increase Residential Density
Transportation	T-14*	Provide Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure
Energy	E-15	Require All-Electric Development

* Qualitative or supporting measure. Emission reductions not included in the mitigated emissions results.

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

TOG ROG SO2 PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 CO2e NOx PM10E R Un/Mit. CO N20 Daily, Summer (Max) 1.39 0.24 Unmit. 1.16 10.1 14.1 0.02 0.38 0.62 0.35 0.06 0.41 ____ 2,755 2,755 0.11 0.05 1.11 2,773 Daily, Winter (Max) 35.7 35.7 29.2 29.5 0.09 1.24 7.81 9.06 1.14 3.97 5.12 12,833 12,833 0.61 1.60 0.54 13,324 Unmit. ____ Average ____ Daily (Max) 2.09 2.07 9.32 11.1 0.02 0.34 0.74 1.08 0.31 0.27 0.58 2,672 2,672 0.12 0.13 0.84 2,714 Unmit. ____ Annual ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ (Max) Unmit. 0.38 0.38 1.70 2.03 < 0.005 0.06 0.13 0.20 0.06 0.05 0.11 442 442 0.02 0.02 0.14 449

Exceeds (Daily Max)																	—	
Threshol d		250	250	550	250	_		100		_	67.0	_				_	_	
Unmit.	_	No	No	No	No	—		No	—	—	No	—		—	—	—	_	—
Exceeds (Average Daily)		—	—	—	_	—		—	—	—		—				—	_	_
Threshol d	_	250	250	550	250	_	_	100	_	_	67.0	-	_		_	_	_	
Unmit.	_	No	No	No	No	_	_	No	_	_	No	_	_	_	_	—	_	_

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
2026	1.39	1.16	10.1	14.1	0.02	0.38	0.24	0.62	0.35	0.06	0.41	_	2,755	2,755	0.11	0.05	1.11	2,773
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	-
2026	3.81	3.21	29.2	29.5	0.09	1.24	7.81	9.06	1.14	3.97	5.12	_	12,833	12,833	0.61	1.60	0.54	13,324
2027	35.7	35.7	9.64	13.9	0.02	0.34	0.24	0.58	0.31	0.06	0.37	—	2,736	2,736	0.11	0.05	0.03	2,753
Average Daily	-	-	-	—	—	-	—	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	—	-
2026	1.20	0.99	9.32	11.1	0.02	0.34	0.74	1.08	0.31	0.27	0.58	_	2,672	2,672	0.12	0.13	0.84	2,714
2027	2.09	2.07	1.01	1.49	< 0.005	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.04	_	266	266	0.01	< 0.005	0.04	267
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
2026	0.22	0.18	1.70	2.03	< 0.005	0.06	0.13	0.20	0.06	0.05	0.11	_	442	442	0.02	0.02	0.14	449
2027	0.38	0.38	0.19	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	_	44.0	44.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	44.2

2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants	s (lb/day for daily	/, ton/yr for annual) a	and GHGs (lb/day	for daily, MT/yr for annual)
---------------------	---------------------	-------------------------	------------------	------------------------------

	· ·		,		,		``		,	2	,						
TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
—	—	—	-		_	_	_	_	—	—	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
1.39	1.16	10.1	14.1	0.02	0.38	0.24	0.62	0.35	0.06	0.41	—	2,755	2,755	0.11	0.05	1.11	2,773
	_	—	-		_	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	_	_	—	-
3.81	3.21	29.2	29.5	0.09	1.24	7.81	9.06	1.14	3.97	5.12	—	12,833	12,833	0.61	1.60	0.54	13,324
35.7	35.7	9.64	13.9	0.02	0.34	0.24	0.58	0.31	0.06	0.37	—	2,736	2,736	0.11	0.05	0.03	2,753
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	—	_	—
1.20	0.99	9.32	11.1	0.02	0.34	0.74	1.08	0.31	0.27	0.58	_	2,672	2,672	0.12	0.13	0.84	2,714
2.09	2.07	1.01	1.49	< 0.005	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.04	_	266	266	0.01	< 0.005	0.04	267
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
0.22	0.18	1.70	2.03	< 0.005	0.06	0.13	0.20	0.06	0.05	0.11	-	442	442	0.02	0.02	0.14	449
0.38	0.38	0.19	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	_	44.0	44.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	44.2
	 1.39 3.81 35.7 1.20 2.09 0.22	1.39 1.16 3.81 3.21 35.7 35.7 1.20 0.99 2.09 2.07 0.22 0.18	1.391.1610.13.813.2129.235.735.79.641.200.999.322.092.071.010.220.181.70	TOGROGNOxCO \neg \neg \neg \neg \neg 1.391.1610.114.1 \neg \neg \neg \neg \neg \neg \neg \neg 3.813.2129.229.535.735.79.6413.9 \neg \neg \neg \neg 1.200.999.3211.12.092.071.011.49 \neg \neg \neg \neg 0.220.181.702.03	TOGROGNOxCOSO2 $ -$ 1.391.1610.114.10.02 $ 3.81$ 3.21 29.2 29.5 0.09 35.7 35.7 9.64 13.9 0.02 $ 1.20$ 0.99 9.32 11.1 0.02 2.09 2.07 1.01 1.49 $ -$	TOGROGNOxCOSO2PM10E $ -$	TOGROGNOXCOSO2PM10EPM10D	TOGROGNOxCOSO2PM10EPM10DPM10T	TOGROGNOxCOSO2PM10EPM10DPM10TPM2.5E	TOGROGNOxCOSO2PM10EPM10DPM10TPM2.5EPM2.5D	TOGROGNOxCOSO2PM10EPM10DPM10TPM2.5EPM2.5DPM2.5T	TOGROGNOXCOSO2PM10EPM10DPM10TPM2.5EPM2.5DPM2.5DPM2.5TBCO2	TOGNOXCOSO2PM10EPM10DPM2.5EPM2.5DPM2.5DPM2.5TBCO2NBCO2	TOGNOXCOSO2PM10EPM10DPM2.5EPM2.5EPM2.5CPM2.5CBCO2NBC02CO2T	TOGROGNOXCOSO2PM10EPM10DPM10TPM2.5EPM2.5EPM2.5TBCO2NBC02CO2TCH4	TOGNOXCOSO2PM10EPM10DPM10TPM2.6EPM2.5EPM2.5EPM2.0ENEC02NEC02CO2TCH4N2OTNN<	TOGNOXCOSO2PM100PM100PM2.50PM2.50PM2.50PM2.60NEO2CQ2CH4N20RTTT<

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—		—	—	—	—			—						—			—
Unmit.	5.06	4.85	1.74	17.7	0.04	0.06	3.24	3.30	0.05	0.82	0.88	22.4	4,189	4,211	2.48	0.15	12.1	4,330
Mit.	4.99	4.80	1.38	17.4	0.04	0.03	3.19	3.22	0.03	0.81	0.83	22.4	3,701	3,724	2.44	0.15	11.9	3,841
% Reduced	1%	1%	21%	2%	7%	49%	2%	2%	51%	2%	5%	_	12%	12%	2%	2%	2%	11%

Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Unmit.	4.76	4.56	1.85	13.9	0.04	0.05	3.24	3.30	0.05	0.82	0.88	22.4	4,016	4,038	2.49	0.16	1.11	4,149
Mit.	4.68	4.50	1.49	13.6	0.03	0.03	3.19	3.22	0.03	0.81	0.83	22.4	3,531	3,553	2.45	0.16	1.10	3,662
% Reduced	2%	1%	20%	3%	7%	51%	2%	2%	52%	2%	5%	-	12%	12%	2%	2%	< 0.5%	12%
Average Daily (Max)		-	_	_	_	—	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Unmit.	4.77	4.57	1.77	14.7	0.04	0.05	3.05	3.10	0.05	0.77	0.83	22.4	3,879	3,902	2.48	0.15	5.47	4,014
Mit.	4.70	4.52	1.41	14.4	0.03	0.03	3.00	3.03	0.02	0.76	0.79	22.4	3,397	3,419	2.44	0.15	5.40	3,529
% Reduced	2%	1%	20%	2%	8%	51%	2%	3%	53%	2%	5%	—	12%	12%	2%	2%	1%	12%
Annual (Max)	—	-	—	-	_	—	—	-	—	—	-	—	_	-	-	-	-	—
Unmit.	0.87	0.83	0.32	2.69	0.01	0.01	0.56	0.57	0.01	0.14	0.15	3.71	642	646	0.41	0.02	0.91	665
Mit.	0.86	0.83	0.26	2.62	0.01	< 0.005	0.55	0.55	< 0.005	0.14	0.14	3.71	562	566	0.40	0.02	0.89	584
% Reduced	2%	1%	20%	2%	8%	51%	2%	3%	53%	2%	5%	—	12%	12%	2%	2%	1%	12%
Exceeds (Daily Max)		_	—	—	—		—	_	-	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Threshol d	—	250	250	550	250	—	—	100	-	-	67.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unmit.	—	No	No	No	No	—	-	No	—	-	No	—	—	—	-	—	_	-
Mit.	—	No	No	No	No	—	—	No		-	No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Exceeds (Average Daily)		_	_	—	-	_	—	_	-	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Threshol d	—	250	250	550	250	-	—	100	-	_	67.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	—
Unmit.	—	No	No	No	No	_	-	No	_	-	No	_	_	_	-	_	_	-
Mit.	_	No	No	No	No	_	_	No	_	_	No	_	_	_		_	_	_

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

					,						, 	,						
Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	_	_	—	—	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Mobile	2.13	1.95	1.38	14.7	0.04	0.03	3.24	3.27	0.03	0.82	0.85	-	3,719	3,719	0.17	0.14	11.3	3,776
Area	2.89	2.88	0.03	2.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	0.00	7.74	7.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.76
Energy	0.04	0.02	0.34	0.14	< 0.005	0.03	_	0.03	0.03	_	0.03	_	460	460	0.06	< 0.005	_	463
Water	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	3.43	2.21	5.64	0.35	0.01	_	17.0
Waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	18.9	0.00	18.9	1.89	0.00	_	66.3
Refrig.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.81	0.81
Total	5.06	4.85	1.74	17.7	0.04	0.06	3.24	3.30	0.05	0.82	0.88	22.4	4,189	4,211	2.48	0.15	12.1	4,330
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Mobile	2.10	1.91	1.51	13.8	0.03	0.03	3.24	3.27	0.03	0.82	0.85	_	3,554	3,554	0.18	0.15	0.29	3,602
Area	2.62	2.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Energy	0.04	0.02	0.34	0.14	< 0.005	0.03	_	0.03	0.03	_	0.03	_	460	460	0.06	< 0.005	_	463
Water	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	3.43	2.21	5.64	0.35	0.01	_	17.0
Waste	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	18.9	0.00	18.9	1.89	0.00	_	66.3
Refrig.	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.81	0.81
Total	4.76	4.56	1.85	13.9	0.04	0.05	3.24	3.30	0.05	0.82	0.88	22.4	4,016	4,038	2.49	0.16	1.11	4,149
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mobile	1.97	1.80	1.42	13.2	0.03	0.03	3.05	3.08	0.02	0.77	0.80	_	3,413	3,413	0.16	0.14	4.66	3,463
Area	2.76	2.75	0.01	1.43	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	0.00	3.82	3.82	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	3.83
Energy	0.04	0.02	0.34	0.14	< 0.005	0.03	_	0.03	0.03	_	0.03	_	460	460	0.06	< 0.005	_	463
Water	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	3.43	2.21	5.64	0.35	0.01	_	17.0
Waste	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	18.9	0.00	18.9	1.89	0.00	_	66.3

Refrig.	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.81	0.81
Total	4.77	4.57	1.77	14.7	0.04	0.05	3.05	3.10	0.05	0.77	0.83	22.4	3,879	3,902	2.48	0.15	5.47	4,014
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.36	0.33	0.26	2.40	0.01	< 0.005	0.56	0.56	< 0.005	0.14	0.15	—	565	565	0.03	0.02	0.77	573
Area	0.50	0.50	< 0.005	0.26	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	0.63	0.63	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.63
Energy	0.01	< 0.005	0.06	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	76.2	76.2	0.01	< 0.005	—	76.6
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	0.57	0.37	0.93	0.06	< 0.005	—	2.82
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.14	0.00	3.14	0.31	0.00	—	11.0
Refrig.	—	—	—	-	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	—	_	0.13	0.13
Total	0.87	0.83	0.32	2.69	0.01	0.01	0.56	0.57	0.01	0.14	0.15	3.71	642	646	0.41	0.02	0.91	665

2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated

		,		,				,		, <u>, ,</u>								
Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	2.09	1.92	1.35	14.5	0.04	0.03	3.19	3.22	0.03	0.81	0.83	—	3,656	3,656	0.16	0.14	11.1	3,712
Area	2.89	2.88	0.03	2.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	7.74	7.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.76
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	35.3	35.3	0.03	< 0.005	—	36.9
Water	—	_	-	—	—	_	_	-	-	-	_	3.43	2.21	5.64	0.35	0.01	-	17.0
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	18.9	0.00	18.9	1.89	0.00	—	66.3
Refrig.	—	_	-	—	—	_	—	—	-	_	_	—	-	-	-	_	0.81	0.81
Total	4.99	4.80	1.38	17.4	0.04	0.03	3.19	3.22	0.03	0.81	0.83	22.4	3,701	3,724	2.44	0.15	11.9	3,841
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Mobile	2.06	1.88	1.49	13.6	0.03	0.03	3.19	3.22	0.03	0.81	0.83	—	3,493	3,493	0.17	0.14	0.29	3,541
Area	2.62	2.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	_	35.3	35.3	0.03	< 0.005	_	36.9
Water	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	3.43	2.21	5.64	0.35	0.01	_	17.0
Waste	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	18.9	0.00	18.9	1.89	0.00	_	66.3
Refrig.	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	0.81	0.81
Total	4.68	4.50	1.49	13.6	0.03	0.03	3.19	3.22	0.03	0.81	0.83	22.4	3,531	3,553	2.45	0.16	1.10	3,662
Average Daily	-	_		-	_	_	-	_	-	-		-	-	_	-	_	-	-
Mobile	1.94	1.77	1.40	12.9	0.03	0.03	3.00	3.03	0.02	0.76	0.78	—	3,355	3,355	0.16	0.14	4.58	3,405
Area	2.76	2.75	0.01	1.43	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	3.82	3.82	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.83
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	35.3	35.3	0.03	< 0.005	_	36.9
Water	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	3.43	2.21	5.64	0.35	0.01	_	17.0
Waste	_	-	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	18.9	0.00	18.9	1.89	0.00	_	66.3
Refrig.	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	0.81	0.81
Total	4.70	4.52	1.41	14.4	0.03	0.03	3.00	3.03	0.02	0.76	0.79	22.4	3,397	3,419	2.44	0.15	5.40	3,529
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.35	0.32	0.26	2.36	0.01	< 0.005	0.55	0.55	< 0.005	0.14	0.14	—	556	556	0.03	0.02	0.76	564
Area	0.50	0.50	< 0.005	0.26	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	0.63	0.63	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.63
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	5.85	5.85	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	6.11
Water	—	-	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.57	0.37	0.93	0.06	< 0.005	—	2.82
Waste	—	-	—	_	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.14	0.00	3.14	0.31	0.00	—	11.0
Refrig.	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	0.13	0.13
Total	0.86	0.83	0.26	2.62	0.01	< 0.005	0.55	0.55	< 0.005	0.14	0.14	3.71	562	566	0.40	0.02	0.89	584

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Demolition (2026) - Unmitigated

Location TOG ROG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O R CO		Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
---	--	----------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	-	—	-	—	—	-	-	-	—	—	-	—	—	-	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	2.72	2.29	20.7	19.0	0.03	0.84		0.84	0.78	_	0.78	_	3,427	3,427	0.14	0.03		3,438
Demoliti on	—	—	_	-	_	_	1.24	1.24	_	0.19	0.19	_	-	_	-	—	—	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.15	0.13	1.13	1.04	< 0.005	0.05	-	0.05	0.04	-	0.04	-	188	188	0.01	< 0.005		188
Demoliti on	—	_	-	_	_	-	0.07	0.07	-	0.01	0.01	_	-	-	-	_	_	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.03	0.02	0.21	0.19	< 0.005	0.01		0.01	0.01	-	0.01		31.1	31.1	< 0.005	< 0.005		31.2
Demoliti on	—			_		_	0.01	0.01	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	—	_	—	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Summer (Max)		—	—	—	-	—	—	_		_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.57	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	132	132	0.01	0.01	0.01	133
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.07	0.02	1.37	0.51	0.01	0.02	0.27	0.29	0.01	0.07	0.09	_	1,024	1,024	0.05	0.16	0.06	1,074
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.28	7.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.39
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	56.1	56.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.05	58.9
Annual	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.21	1.21	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.22
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	9.29	9.29	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	9.75

3.2. Demolition (2026) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		—	—	—		_	_	—	—			_	—	—		—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_				_		_	_	_	_			_	_	_			_

Off-Roa d Equipm ent	2.72	2.29	20.7	19.0	0.03	0.84	_	0.84	0.78	-	0.78	_	3,427	3,427	0.14	0.03	_	3,438
Demoliti on	_	-	-	-	—	-	1.24	1.24	—	0.19	0.19	—	—	-	—	-	-	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	-	-	—	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.15	0.13	1.13	1.04	< 0.005	0.05	-	0.05	0.04	-	0.04	_	188	188	0.01	< 0.005		188
Demoliti on	—	-	-	-	_	_	0.07	0.07	-	0.01	0.01	_	-	-	-	-	—	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.03	0.02	0.21	0.19	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	_	31.1	31.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	31.2
Demoliti on	_	-	-	-	_	-	0.01	0.01	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_		_	-	_	-	_	_		_
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	-	_	_	-	-	-					-		_		_
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.57	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03		132	132	0.01	0.01	0.01	133
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.07	0.02	1.37	0.51	0.01	0.02	0.27	0.29	0.01	0.07	0.09	_	1,024	1,024	0.05	0.16	0.06	1,074
Average Daily	—			—	_	_			—	_	—	—	—	_		—	_	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.28	7.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.39
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	56.1	56.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.05	58.9
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.21	1.21	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.22
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	9.29	9.29	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	9.75

3.3. Site Preparation (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T		PM2.5D		BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	3.74	3.14	29.2	28.8	0.05	1.24	_	1.24	1.14	_	1.14		5,298	5,298	0.21	0.04	-	5,316
Dust From Material Movemer	 it			_			7.67	7.67		3.94	3.94							_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Off-Roa Equipmer		0.09	0.80	0.79	< 0.005	0.03	_	0.03	0.03	-	0.03	_	145	145	0.01	< 0.005	_	146
Dust From Material Movemer	 it					_	0.21	0.21	_	0.11	0.11					_		
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.02	0.02	0.15	0.14	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	—	24.0	24.0	< 0.005	< 0.005		24.1
Dust From Material Movemer	 it	—	_		_	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.02	0.02	_	—	—	_	—	—	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_			_	—		_		—	_				—	_	—	—	
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	—	_	-	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	_
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.03	0.03	_	154	154	0.01	0.01	0.01	156
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	4.25	4.25	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.31
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—

Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.70	0.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.71
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.4. Site Preparation (2026) - Mitigated

Location		ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T		PM2.5D	PM2 5T		NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite		Ree			002	TWITCE	TIMITOD			1 102.00	1 102.01						TX	0020
	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_		_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	3.74	3.14	29.2	28.8	0.05	1.24		1.24	1.14		1.14		5,298	5,298	0.21	0.04		5,316
Dust From Material Movemer	 it	_	_	_	_	—	7.67	7.67	_	3.94	3.94	_	—	—	—	_		—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.10	0.09	0.80	0.79	< 0.005	0.03		0.03	0.03		0.03		145	145	0.01	< 0.005		146
Dust From Material Movemer	 it			_			0.21	0.21		0.11	0.11							_

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	_	-	-	—	-	_	—	—	-	—	-	—	—	—	_	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.02	0.02	0.15	0.14	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	_	24.0	24.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	24.1
Dust From Material Movemer					_		0.04	0.04		0.02	0.02	_						_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	—	_
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	154	154	0.01	0.01	0.01	156
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.25	4.25	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.31
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	—	—	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.70	0.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.71
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.5. Grading (2026) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants	s (lb/day for daily	/, ton/yr for annual) a	nd GHGs (lb/day for	daily, MT/yr for annual)
---------------------	---------------------	-------------------------	---------------------	--------------------------

				iany, ton	, ji iei a			(, ,,	, i i o i ai							
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.96	1.65	15.0	17.4	0.03	0.65		0.65	0.59		0.59		2,960	2,960	0.12	0.02		2,970
Dust From Material Movemer							2.78	2.78		1.34	1.34							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.11	0.09	0.82	0.96	< 0.005	0.04	-	0.04	0.03		0.03	_	162	162	0.01	< 0.005	_	163
Dust From Material Movemer	 nt					_	0.15	0.15	_	0.07	0.07	_	_	_	_		_	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	—	—	-	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	-	—	_	-
			-			1								1		1		

Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.02	0.02	0.15	0.17	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	_	26.8	26.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	26.9
Dust From Material Movemer	—	_	_	_		_	0.03	0.03	_	0.01	0.01	_		_			_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	_
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.57	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	132	132	0.01	0.01	0.01	133
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.70	0.20	13.0	4.87	0.06	0.18	2.57	2.75	0.12	0.70	0.82	—	9,742	9,742	0.49	1.57	0.53	10,221
Average Daily	_	—	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.28	7.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.39
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.04	0.01	0.71	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.15	0.01	0.04	0.04	—	534	534	0.03	0.09	0.48	560
Annual	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	_	—	-	—	_	—	-	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	1.21	1.21	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.22
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.13	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	88.4	88.4	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	92.8

3.6. Grading (2026) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_		_	-	_	—		-	_	_			-
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.96	1.65	15.0	17.4	0.03	0.65	_	0.65	0.59	_	0.59	_	2,960	2,960	0.12	0.02	_	2,970
Dust From Material Movemer			_	_	_	_	2.78	2.78	_	1.34	1.34	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	-	-	-	-	—	_	-	-	—	—	-	_	—	—	—	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.11	0.09	0.82	0.96	< 0.005	0.04		0.04	0.03	_	0.03		162	162	0.01	< 0.005	_	163
Dust From Material Movemer		_	_	_	_	_	0.15	0.15	_	0.07	0.07	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.02	0.02	0.15	0.17	< 0.005	0.01		0.01	0.01		0.01		26.8	26.8	< 0.005	< 0.005		26.9

Dust From Material Movemer		_			_		0.03	0.03	_	0.01	0.01	_		_	_		_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.57	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	132	132	0.01	0.01	0.01	133
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.70	0.20	13.0	4.87	0.06	0.18	2.57	2.75	0.12	0.70	0.82	—	9,742	9,742	0.49	1.57	0.53	10,221
Average Daily	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	_	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.28	7.28	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.39
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.04	0.01	0.71	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.15	0.01	0.04	0.04	_	534	534	0.03	0.09	0.48	560
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.21	1.21	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.22
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.13	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	88.4	88.4	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	92.8

3.7. Building Construction (2026) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	_

Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_		-		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.28	1.07	9.85	13.0	0.02	0.38		0.38	0.35		0.35		2,397	2,397	0.10	0.02		2,405
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	—	_	-	—	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.28	1.07	9.85	13.0	0.02	0.38		0.38	0.35		0.35		2,397	2,397	0.10	0.02		2,405
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.73	0.61	5.63	7.41	0.01	0.22	-	0.22	0.20	-	0.20	-	1,370	1,370	0.06	0.01	-	1,375
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.13	0.11	1.03	1.35	< 0.005	0.04	-	0.04	0.04	-	0.04	-	227	227	0.01	< 0.005	-	228
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Worker	0.10	0.09	0.07	1.04	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	224	224	0.01	0.01	0.78	228
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.17	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	134	134	0.01	0.02	0.33	140
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	—		_	_	—	_	—	_	—			_		_	—	-
Worker	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	212	212	0.01	0.01	0.02	215
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.18	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	134	134	0.01	0.02	0.01	140
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	—	_	_	-
Worker	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.03	0.03	-	122	122	0.01	< 0.005	0.19	124
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.10	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	76.5	76.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	80.0
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	—	-	—	_	-	-
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	20.2	20.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	20.5
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	12.7	12.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	13.2
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.8. Building Construction (2026) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)				—			_				—	_			_	—	_	
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.28	1.07	9.85	13.0	0.02	0.38		0.38	0.35		0.35		2,397	2,397	0.10	0.02		2,405

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	_	-	_	—	_	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.28	1.07	9.85	13.0	0.02	0.38		0.38	0.35	_	0.35		2,397	2,397	0.10	0.02	_	2,405
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.73	0.61	5.63	7.41	0.01	0.22		0.22	0.20	_	0.20		1,370	1,370	0.06	0.01	_	1,375
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.13	0.11	1.03	1.35	< 0.005	0.04	_	0.04	0.04	_	0.04	_	227	227	0.01	< 0.005	_	228
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Worker	0.10	0.09	0.07	1.04	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	224	224	0.01	0.01	0.78	228
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.17	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	134	134	0.01	0.02	0.33	140
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	-	_		_	-	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Worker	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	_	212	212	0.01	0.01	0.02	215
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.18	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	134	134	0.01	0.02	0.01	140
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	-
Worker	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.03	0.03	_	122	122	0.01	< 0.005	0.19	124
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.10	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	76.5	76.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	80.0
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	20.2	20.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	20.5
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	12.7	12.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	13.2
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Building Construction (2027) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—					—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—		—			—	—	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.23	1.03	9.39	12.9	0.02	0.34		0.34	0.31		0.31		2,397	2,397	0.10	0.02		2,405
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_								_

Off-Roa d	0.07	0.06	0.57	0.78	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	-	145	145	0.01	< 0.005	_	146
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	_	24.1	24.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	24.2
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	-	_	_	_	—	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	—	—	_	—	—	-	_	_	—	—	-	_	-	_	-	_
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	_	208	208	0.01	0.01	0.02	211
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.17	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	131	131	0.01	0.02	0.01	137
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		—		—	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	—	_
Worker	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	12.7	12.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	12.9
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.95	7.95	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	8.30
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	-	-	_	—	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.11	2.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.14
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.32	1.32	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.37
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.10. Building Construction (2027) - Mitigated

					"yr ior a													
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	-	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	_	—	-	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	—	—	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	1.23	1.03	9.39	12.9	0.02	0.34	_	0.34	0.31	_	0.31	_	2,397	2,397	0.10	0.02		2,405
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.07	0.06	0.57	0.78	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	-	145	145	0.01	< 0.005	_	146
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	24.1	24.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	24.2
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	_

Daily, Winter (Max)	_		_	_	_					_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	_	208	208	0.01	0.01	0.02	211
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.17	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	131	131	0.01	0.02	0.01	137
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	—	-	-	-	-	—	—	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Worker	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	12.7	12.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	12.9
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.95	7.95	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	8.30
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.11	2.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.14
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.32	1.32	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.37
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Paving (2027) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	—	_	_		_	_	_	_		_			_	_		
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	—	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.88	0.74	6.94	9.95	0.01	0.30		0.30	0.27		0.27		1,511	1,511	0.06	0.01		1,516
Paving	0.10	0.10	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.05	0.04	0.38	0.55	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	_	82.8	82.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	83.1
Paving	0.01	0.01	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	—	-	_	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	13.7	13.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	13.8
Paving	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	_	—	_	—	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	-	129	129	0.01	0.01	0.01	131
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.16	7.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.26
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	1.18	1.18	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.20
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.12. Paving (2027) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx		SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E		PM2.5T		NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	_	-	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	-	—	—	—	—	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	-	-	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	—	_			—	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.88	0.74	6.94	9.95	0.01	0.30		0.30	0.27		0.27		1,511	1,511	0.06	0.01		1,516
Paving	0.10	0.10	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	—	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	—	_	—	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.05	0.04	0.38	0.55	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02		0.02		82.8	82.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	83.1
Paving	0.01	0.01	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Off-Roa d	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	_	13.7	13.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	13.8
Paving	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	-	—	—	—	-	_	_	—	—	-	—	_	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—		—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_		_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	129	129	0.01	0.01	0.01	131
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	—	_	-	-	—	—	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	7.16	7.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.26
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_			_	_	_			_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.18	1.18	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.20
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.13. Architectural Coating (2027) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)				_		_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_				_
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	—	_		_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.14	0.11	0.83	1.13	< 0.005	0.02		0.02	0.02		0.02		134	134	0.01	< 0.005		134
Architect ural Coating s	35.5	35.5	_	-	_	_		_	_	_	_		_	-				_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	—	-	_	-	_	—	-	_	-	—	_	_	_	—	—	—	-
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	7.32	7.32	< 0.005	< 0.005		7.34
Architect ural Coating s	1.95	1.95	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_			_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	—	—
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005		1.21	1.21	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.22
Architect ural Coating s	0.36	0.36	_	_														_

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	41.6	41.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	42.2
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		—	—	—	_		—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.30	2.30	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.33
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.38	0.38	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.39
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.14. Architectural Coating (2027) - Mitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_		—	—	—		—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_			_							_				_	_	_	_

Off-Roa Equipmer		0.11	0.83	1.13	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	_	134	134	0.01	< 0.005		134
Architect ural Coating s	35.5	35.5		_	_			_		_		_	_	_				_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	7.32	7.32	< 0.005	< 0.005		7.34
Architect ural Coating s	1.95	1.95												_				_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	-	—	—	—	_	—	—	-	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	_
Off-Roa d Equipm ent	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	1.21	1.21	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.22
Architect ural Coating s	0.36	0.36		_	_			—				—	_	—				
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)				_									_					_

Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_		_			-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	_	41.6	41.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	42.2
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.30	2.30	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.33
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.38	0.38	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.39
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	—		_	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	_		_	—	_
Single Family Housing	1.52	1.39	0.98	10.5	0.03	0.02	2.31	2.33	0.02	0.59	0.60	_	2,652	2,652	0.12	0.10	8.07	2,692

Condo/T ownhou se	0.61	0.56	0.39	4.22	0.01	0.01	0.93	0.94	0.01	0.24	0.24	_	1,067	1,067	0.05	0.04	3.25	1,083
Total	2.13	1.95	1.38	14.7	0.04	0.03	3.24	3.27	0.03	0.82	0.85	_	3,719	3,719	0.17	0.14	11.3	3,776
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_
Single Family Housing	1.49	1.36	1.08	9.84	0.02	0.02	2.31	2.33	0.02	0.59	0.60	_	2,534	2,534	0.13	0.10	0.21	2,568
Condo/T ownhou se	0.60	0.55	0.43	3.96	0.01	0.01	0.93	0.94	0.01	0.24	0.24	_	1,020	1,020	0.05	0.04	0.08	1,034
Total	2.10	1.91	1.51	13.8	0.03	0.03	3.24	3.27	0.03	0.82	0.85	_	3,554	3,554	0.18	0.15	0.29	3,602
Annual	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	—
Single Family Housing	0.26	0.24	0.19	1.76	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.41	0.41	< 0.005	0.10	0.11	_	413	413	0.02	0.02	0.56	419
Condo/T ownhou se	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.65	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	0.15	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	_	152	152	0.01	0.01	0.21	154
Total	0.36	0.33	0.26	2.40	0.01	< 0.005	0.56	0.56	< 0.005	0.14	0.15	_	565	565	0.03	0.02	0.77	573

4.1.2. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)			—		_		_	_	_		_		—	_				_
Single Family Housing	1.49	1.37	0.96	10.3	0.03	0.02	2.27	2.29	0.02	0.58	0.59		2,607	2,607	0.12	0.10	7.93	2,647

Condo/T ownhou se	0.60	0.55	0.39	4.15	0.01	0.01	0.91	0.92	0.01	0.23	0.24	_	1,049	1,049	0.05	0.04	3.19	1,065
Total	2.09	1.92	1.35	14.5	0.04	0.03	3.19	3.22	0.03	0.81	0.83	—	3,656	3,656	0.16	0.14	11.1	3,712
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Single Family Housing	1.47	1.34	1.06	9.68	0.02	0.02	2.27	2.29	0.02	0.58	0.59	—	2,491	2,491	0.12	0.10	0.21	2,525
Condo/T ownhou se	0.59	0.54	0.43	3.89	0.01	0.01	0.91	0.92	0.01	0.23	0.24	_	1,002	1,002	0.05	0.04	0.08	1,016
Total	2.06	1.88	1.49	13.6	0.03	0.03	3.19	3.22	0.03	0.81	0.83	—	3,493	3,493	0.17	0.14	0.29	3,541
Annual	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_
Single Family Housing	0.26	0.24	0.19	1.73	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.40	0.40	< 0.005	0.10	0.10	_	406	406	0.02	0.02	0.55	412
Condo/T ownhou se	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.64	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15	0.15	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	_	150	150	0.01	0.01	0.20	152
Total	0.35	0.32	0.26	2.36	0.01	< 0.005	0.55	0.55	< 0.005	0.14	0.14	—	556	556	0.03	0.02	0.76	564

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_		_	—	_	_		—	_	—	—	—			—	_	
Single Family Housing		_		_	—	_	_		_	_	_	—	26.6	26.6	0.02	< 0.005		27.7

Condo/T	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.16	8.16	0.01	< 0.005	—	8.53
Total	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	34.7	34.7	0.03	< 0.005	—	36.3
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_
Single Family Housing		_	_		—	_	_	_	_	_	_		26.6	26.6	0.02	< 0.005	_	27.7
Condo/T ownhou se		_	_		—	_	_	_	_	—	_		8.16	8.16	0.01	< 0.005	_	8.53
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	34.7	34.7	0.03	< 0.005	—	36.3
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing		_			_	_	_	_	_	_			4.40	4.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	4.59
Condo/T ownhou se	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1.35	1.35	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.41
Total	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	5.75	5.75	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	6.01

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—		—	—	—	_	_
Single Family Housing	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	27.0	27.0	0.02	< 0.005	_	28.2
Condo/T ownhou se		_	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	8.39	8.39	0.01	< 0.005	_	8.77

Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	35.3	35.3	0.03	< 0.005	_	36.9
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—				—	—			—		-	—		_
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—			_	—	—			27.0	27.0	0.02	< 0.005		28.2
Condo/T ownhou se	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—			8.39	8.39	0.01	< 0.005		8.77
Total	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	35.3	35.3	0.03	< 0.005	_	36.9
Annual	_	-	-	-	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	—	_	_	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—			4.46	4.46	< 0.005	< 0.005		4.66
Condo/T ownhou se		_	_	_						—			1.39	1.39	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.45
Total	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.85	5.85	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	6.11

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	0.03	0.01	0.25	0.11	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	_	320	320	0.03	< 0.005	_	321
Condo/T ownhou se	0.01	< 0.005	0.08	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	_	0.01		105	105	0.01	< 0.005		106
Total	0.04	0.02	0.34	0.14	< 0.005	0.03	_	0.03	0.03	_	0.03	_	425	425	0.04	< 0.005	_	427

Daily, Winter (Max)		_			_	_			_	_		_		_	_	_		—
Single Family Housing	0.03	0.01	0.25	0.11	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	320	320	0.03	< 0.005		321
Condo/T ownhou se	0.01	< 0.005	0.08	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	105	105	0.01	< 0.005		106
Total	0.04	0.02	0.34	0.14	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	425	425	0.04	< 0.005	—	427
Annual	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	—
Single Family Housing	0.01	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	53.0	53.0	< 0.005	< 0.005		53.1
Condo/T ownhou se	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	17.5	17.5	< 0.005	< 0.005		17.5
Total	0.01	< 0.005	0.06	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	70.4	70.4	0.01	< 0.005	_	70.6

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)															—			
Single Family Housing	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Condo/T ownhou se	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	_	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	—		_	_	_	-							_					_
Single Family Housing	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Condo/T ownhou se	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	—	—
Single Family Housing	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Condo/T ownhou se	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.1. Unmitigated

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—		—	—	
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Consum er Product s	2.43	2.43					_			—						_		

Architect ural Coating s	0.19	0.19	_	_	_	_		_	_			_	_	_	_	_		_
Landsca pe Equipm ent	0.27	0.25	0.03	2.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	_	7.74	7.74	< 0.005	< 0.005		7.76
Total	2.89	2.88	0.03	2.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	0.00	7.74	7.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	7.76
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	_
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consum er Product s	2.43	2.43	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Architect ural Coating s	0.19	0.19	-	_		_		-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-		-
Total	2.62	2.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Consum er Product s	0.44	0.44	_	_		_		_		_	_	_	_	_	_	-		-
Architect ural Coating s	0.04	0.04	_	_	_	—	—		—			_		_				_
Landsca pe Equipm ent	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.26	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005		0.63	0.63	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.63
Total	0.50	0.50	< 0.005	0.26	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	0.00	0.63	0.63	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.63

4.3.2. Mitigated

				ioiny, ton	/ Ji loi a					,,	Ji lei ai	, ,						
Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Consum er Product s	2.43	2.43	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Architect ural Coating s	0.19	0.19			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Landsca pe Equipm ent	0.27	0.25	0.03	2.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	7.74	7.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.76
Total	2.89	2.88	0.03	2.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	0.00	7.74	7.74	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.76
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	_
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Consum er Product s	2.43	2.43	_								_			_				_
Architect ural Coating s	0.19	0.19									_			_				_
Total	2.62	2.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Annual	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	-	—	—	_	-	_	—	_	_
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

Consum Products		0.44	_				 					_			_	 _
Architect ural Coating s	0.04	0.04		—	—		 		—		—				—	
Landsca pe Equipm ent	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.26	< 0.005	< 0.005	 < 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005		0.63	0.63	< 0.005	< 0.005	 0.63
Total	0.50	0.50	< 0.005	0.26	< 0.005	< 0.005	 < 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	0.00	0.63	0.63	< 0.005	< 0.005	 0.63

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG		СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing		—	_	_		—			_		_	2.36	1.74	4.09	0.24	0.01	_	11.9
Condo/T ownhou se	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1.08	0.47	1.55	0.11	< 0.005	_	5.11
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.43	2.21	5.64	0.35	0.01	—	17.0
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—						—						—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—						2.36	1.74	4.09	0.24	0.01		11.9

Condo/T ownhou	_	_	_			_	_			_	_	1.08	0.47	1.55	0.11	< 0.005	_	5.11
Total	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.43	2.21	5.64	0.35	0.01	—	17.0
Annual	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—			—	—	—		—	—	0.39	0.29	0.68	0.04	< 0.005	—	1.97
Condo/T ownhou se	—	—	—		—	—	—	—		—	—	0.18	0.08	0.26	0.02	< 0.005	_	0.85
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.57	0.37	0.93	0.06	< 0.005	_	2.82

4.4.2. Mitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО		i i	PM10D			PM2.5D			NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	—	—		—	—	—		—			_				_	—
Single Family Housing		_	—	—		—	_	_	_	_	_	2.36	1.74	4.09	0.24	0.01	_	11.9
Condo/T ownhou se		—	—	—		—	—	—				1.08	0.47	1.55	0.11	< 0.005	_	5.11
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.43	2.21	5.64	0.35	0.01	—	17.0
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	—	—		—	—	_								—	_	_
Single Family Housing		_	—	—		—	_	_	_	_	_	2.36	1.74	4.09	0.24	0.01	_	11.9
Condo/T ownhou se		_	_	_		_	_	_	_		_	1.08	0.47	1.55	0.11	< 0.005	_	5.11

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.43	2.21	5.64	0.35	0.01	—	17.0
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	—
Single Family Housing					—	—					_	0.39	0.29	0.68	0.04	< 0.005		1.97
Condo/T ownhou se					—	—						0.18	0.08	0.26	0.02	< 0.005		0.85
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_	0.57	0.37	0.93	0.06	< 0.005	_	2.82

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO						PM2.5D			NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	_	—	—	—		—	—		12.5	0.00	12.5	1.25	0.00	—	43.8
Condo/T ownhou se		_	_	—	—	—	—		—	—		6.43	0.00	6.43	0.64	0.00	—	22.5
Total	_	-	_	-	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	18.9	0.00	18.9	1.89	0.00	-	66.3
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	_	—	—	—		—	—		—	—		—	—	—	_
Single Family Housing	—	—	_	-	—	—	—		—	—		12.5	0.00	12.5	1.25	0.00	—	43.8
Condo/T ownhou se		_	_	_	—	—	—		—	—		6.43	0.00	6.43	0.64	0.00	—	22.5

Total	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	18.9	0.00	18.9	1.89	0.00	_	66.3
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	_				—	—					_	2.07	0.00	2.07	0.21	0.00		7.25
Condo/T ownhou se					—	—						1.06	0.00	1.06	0.11	0.00		3.72
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	3.14	0.00	3.14	0.31	0.00	_	11.0

4.5.2. Mitigated

				iany, ton	<u> </u>			1								1		
Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_
Single Family Housing	—	—	—		—	—	—	—		—		12.5	0.00	12.5	1.25	0.00	—	43.8
Condo/T ownhou se	_	_	—			—	_	_		_		6.43	0.00	6.43	0.64	0.00	—	22.5
Total	—	—		—	—	—	—	—				18.9	0.00	18.9	1.89	0.00	—	66.3
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	—		—	—	_	—		—		—	—		—	—	—	
Single Family Housing	_	_	—			—	_	_		_		12.5	0.00	12.5	1.25	0.00	_	43.8
Condo/T ownhou se			_			—		—		—		6.43	0.00	6.43	0.64	0.00	_	22.5
Total		_	_	_	_	_	_	_				18.9	0.00	18.9	1.89	0.00	_	66.3

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing					—		—	—	_		—	2.07	0.00	2.07	0.21	0.00	_	7.25
Condo/T ownhou se			—		—		—	—	_		—	1.06	0.00	1.06	0.11	0.00	—	3.72
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	3.14	0.00	3.14	0.31	0.00	_	11.0

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D		BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	0.63	0.63
Condo/T ownhou se	—	_	_	-		—				—	—		_		—	—	0.19	0.19
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.81	0.81
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	—	—		—		—		—	—		—		—	—	—	_
Single Family Housing	—	_	—	—		—				—	—		_		—	—	0.63	0.63
Condo/T ownhou se				_												—	0.19	0.19
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.81	0.81

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—		—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—		0.10	0.10
Condo/T ownhou se		_		—	—	_	_				_		_				0.03	0.03
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.13	0.13

4.6.2. Mitigated

				,,		/			· ·	<i>j</i> ,,	, .	/						
Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-
Single Family Housing		-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	0.63	0.63
Condo/T ownhou se		-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.19	0.19
Total	_	—	-	-	_	_	_	—	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	0.81	0.81
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	—	—	_	-	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	_
Single Family Housing	—	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	—	_	—	_	-	-	-	0.63	0.63
Condo/T ownhou se		-	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	0.19	0.19
Total	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.81	0.81
Annual	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Single Family Housing							_			_	_	_		_			0.10	0.10
Condo/T ownhou se	—	—		—	_		—			—	—			_	_		0.03	0.03
Total	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	0.13	0.13

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipm ent Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	—	_	_	-	_	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	—
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	-	_	_									_			
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.7.2. Mitigated

Equipm	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
ent																		
Туре																		

Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—			—		_	
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipm ent Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_			—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—			—	—
Total	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—		—	_	_	_	_	_		—	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.8.2. Mitigated

Equipm ent	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Winter (Max)			—	—	—	—		—	—	—						—		_
Total	_	—	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Equipm ent Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Total	—	-	—	—	—	—	-	_	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		_		—			_	—	_	_		—		—			_	
Total	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	—	_	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_		—	_

Equipm ent Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО		PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)			—	—	—		—	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—
Total	_		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	_	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)				_	_		—		_			_					_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·				-									
Vegetati on	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—						—	—	—	—	—	—	_		—			
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—						_	—	_	_	_	—	_		—			—
Total	—		—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

					-	,			-									
Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			_	_	—	—			—	—	
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—					—					—	
Total	_	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		—	_	_	—	—		—	_	—		—	—	—	—	—	_	—
Avoided	—	—		_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		_	_	_
Subtotal	_	-	_	-	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Sequest ered	—	-	-	-	-	-	—	_	_	—	—	-	—	-	—	_	_	—
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Remove d		_	_	_	_	_		_		_		_	_	_	_	_		_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Winter (Max)			—			—	_	—		—		—	_		_	_	_	—
Avoided	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—
Sequest ered	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	-	_	_		—		_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	—	_
Remove d	_		_	_	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Avoided	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	-	_	-	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	—	_	—	_	—	_
Sequest ered	_	_	_	—	_	_	-	—	—	_	_	-	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	-	—	—	—	—		—
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

Vegetati on	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_			_	_	_	_		_	_		_	_	_	_
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	_
Annual	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	—
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

		ROG	NOx							PM2.5D			NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—		—		—		—	—	—			—	
Total	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	_		—	—	—	—	—	
Daily, Winter (Max)		—		—	—			—		—							—	
Total	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Sequest ered		_		_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Subtotal		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_
Remove				_	_			_	_			_						
d	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
Subtotal		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		
Gubtotai																		
_		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	—	-	_	—	_	_
Daily,	—	—	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	_
Winter (Max)																		
Avoided		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	—	_	_
Subtotal		_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—		—	_
Sequest	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
ered																		
Subtotal	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Remove		_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_			_		_
d																		
Subtotal		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
		_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_		_	
Annual	—	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	-	-	-	—	—	-	-	—	—	-	—	—	—	_	—	_
Subtotal		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Sequest			_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_				_		
ered																		
Subtotal		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_
Remove d		-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	—	-	—	—	-	-	—	-
Subtotal	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	—	_	—	_	—
—	_	—	-	—	—	_	_	-	-	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2026	1/29/2026	5.00	20.0	—
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/30/2026	2/13/2026	5.00	10.0	—
Grading	Grading	2/14/2026	3/14/2026	5.00	20.0	—
Building Construction	Building Construction	3/15/2026	1/31/2027	5.00	230	—
Paving	Paving	2/1/2027	3/1/2027	5.00	20.0	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	3/2/2027	3/30/2027	5.00	20.0	_

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	367	0.40
Demolition	Excavators	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45

Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Back	Diesel	Average	3.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48

5.2.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	367	0.40
Demolition	Excavators	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38

Architectural Coating Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48
---------------------------------------	--------	---------	------	------	------	------

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	—	—	_	—
Demolition	Worker	15.0	12.0	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	_	7.63	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	14.6	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Site Preparation	—	—	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	12.0	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	—	7.63	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	15.0	12.0	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	7.63	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	138	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	_	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	_	—
Building Construction	Worker	24.1	12.0	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	5.45	7.63	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	_	_	-	—
Paving	Worker	15.0	12.0	LDA,LDT1,LDT2

Paving	Vendor		7.63	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	_	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	4.82	12.0	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	_	7.63	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck			HHDT

5.3.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	—	—	—	—
Demolition	Worker	15.0	12.0	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	—	7.63	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	14.6	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Site Preparation	_	_	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	12.0	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	—	7.63	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	_	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	15.0	12.0	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	7.63	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	138	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	-	—
Building Construction	Worker	24.1	12.0	LDA,LDT1,LDT2

Building Construction	Vendor	5.45	7.63	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	15.0	12.0	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	7.63	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	4.82	12.0	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	7.63	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user. 5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)		Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	229,908	76,636	0.00	0.00	_

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)		Material Demolished (Building Square Footage)	Acres Paved (acres)
--	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--	--	---------------------

Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	25,281	
Site Preparation	0.00	0.00	15.0	0.00	
Grading	0.00	22,150	20.0	0.00	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.75

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	2	61%	61%

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Single Family Housing	0.75	100%
Condo/Townhouse		0%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2026	0.00	589	0.03	< 0.005
2027	0.00	589	0.03	< 0.005

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Single Family Housing	350	354	317	126,235	3,238	3,274	2,934	1,168,026
Condo/Townhouse	128	142	110	46,520	1,184	1,318	1,016	430,437

5.9.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Single Family Housing	344	348	312	124,099	3,184	3,219	2,884	1,148,259
Condo/Townhouse	126	140	108	45,733	1,164	1,295	998	423,152

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)
Single Family Housing	
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	0
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	0
Conventional Wood Stoves	0
Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Pellet Wood Stoves	0
Condo/Townhouse	—
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	0
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	0

Conventional Wood Stoves	0
Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Pellet Wood Stoves	0

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)
Single Family Housing	—
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	0
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	0
Conventional Wood Stoves	0
Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Pellet Wood Stoves	0
Condo/Townhouse	—
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	0
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	0
Conventional Wood Stoves	0
Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Pellet Wood Stoves	0

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
229908.375	76,636	0.00	0.00	_

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	180

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	180

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Single Family Housing	214,945	45.1	0.0330	0.0040	998,093
Condo/Townhouse	66,070	45.1	0.0330	0.0040	329,036

5.11.2. Mitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Single Family Housing	218,171	45.1	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

		0.0330	0.0040	0.00
--	--	--------	--------	------

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Single Family Housing	1,229,658	1,070,005
Condo/Townhouse	562,129	0.00

5.12.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Single Family Housing	1,229,658	1,070,005
Condo/Townhouse	562,129	0.00

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Single Family Housing	23.2	
Condo/Townhouse	11.9	_

5.13.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Single Family Housing	23.2	
Condo/Townhouse	11.9	

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Single Family Housing	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Single Family Housing	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00
Condo/Townhouse	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Condo/Townhouse	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00

5.14.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Single Family Housing	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Single Family Housing	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00
Condo/Townhouse	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Condo/Townhouse	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.15.2. Mitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type Fuel Type Number Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr) Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)	Btu/day) Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)
--	---------------------------------------

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Туре
—	_

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type Vegetation Soil Type In	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--	---------------	-------------

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

Tree Type Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	10.8	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	2.10	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	0.00	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi. Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (Radke et al., 2017, CEC-500-2017-008), and consider inundation location and depth for the San Francisco Bay, the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta and California coast resulting different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four scenarios to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four scenarios are: No rise, 0.5 meter, 1.0 meter, 1.41 meters Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	0	0	0	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2

Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	1	1	1	2
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	37.6
AQ-PM	39.4
AQ-DPM	74.7
Drinking Water	19.8
Lead Risk Housing	21.4
Pesticides	59.6
Toxic Releases	12.1
Traffic	84.7
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	0.00
Groundwater	52.2

Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	38.7
Impaired Water Bodies	96.3
Solid Waste	9.67
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	3.66
Cardio-vascular	9.11
Low Birth Weights	31.3
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	_
Education	24.1
Housing	57.4
Linguistic	0.00
Poverty	27.6
Unemployment	41.8

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	
Above Poverty	66.1619402
Employed	71.06377518
Median HI	78.9298088
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	78.22404722
High school enrollment	0.975234185
Preschool enrollment	53.71487232
Transportation	—
Auto Access	25.92069806
Active commuting	37.13589118

Social	
2-parent households	96.93314513
Voting	93.03220839
Neighborhood	
Alcohol availability	62.78711664
Park access	52.52149365
Retail density	61.17028102
Supermarket access	22.3662261
Tree canopy	68.36904915
Housing	
Homeownership	62.18401129
Housing habitability	62.62030027
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	75.11869627
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	31.00218144
Uncrowded housing	70.98678301
Health Outcomes	
Insured adults	48.22276402
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	92.5
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	41.8
Constitutely Displand	33.5
Cognitively Disabled	

Heart Attack ER Admissions	94.4
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	43.1
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	_
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	_
Wildfire Risk	1.8
SLR Inundation Area	87.2
Children	20.9
Elderly	16.0
English Speaking	63.1
Foreign-born	14.6
Outdoor Workers	75.8
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	59.9
Traffic Density	93.6
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	_
Hardship	30.5
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	97.1

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	18.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	58.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state. b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected. 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed. 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Land Use	35 detached units (87,611 sf), 16 attached units (25,924 sf) 58,582 sf total landscaping 5.20 acre site
Construction: Paving	0.75 acre paved
Operations: Hearths	No fireplaces or wood stoves
Operations: Vehicle Data	Single family - 10 trips/unit Multi-family - 8 trips/unit Weekend trip rates adjusted proportionately