

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

**Form F**

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Title: Bridgeway Commons Residential Condominiums Project

Lead Agency: City of Sausalito

Contact Name: Kristin Teiche

Email: kteiche@sausalito.gov

Phone Number: (415)289-4134

Project Location: City of Sausalito, Marin County

*City*

*County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The approximately 0.58-acre (25,264 square feet) project site is located at 1751-1757 Bridgeway Boulevard and 160 Filbert Avenue in the City of Sausalito, California. The project would include removal of all trees on-site, demolition of the existing residential structures, and construction of two separate four-level buildings with a total of 19 units - three units more than the maximum number of units (16 units) allowed under existing General Plan land use designation. The project would set aside 21 percent of the units for moderate-income households, which under California Density Bonus Law (Government Code Section 65915) allows for 3 bonus units in addition to the 16 base units allowed by current General Plan land use designation. Vehicular access to the property would be provided via a 24-foot-wide driveway on Bridgeway Boulevard. Two pedestrian stairways would be provided from Filbert Avenue. The project would require relocation of a sewer line and connection to electricity infrastructure. The project would feature all electric design.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Potential project impacts: aesthetics impacts, air quality impacts, biological resources impacts, cultural resources impacts, geology and soils impacts, and tribal cultural resources impacts.

The mitigation measures recommended to reduce these impacts to a less-than-significant level consist of Exterior Lighting Control (Mitigation Measures Aes-1), Implement Bay Area Air Quality Management District Best Management Practices (Mitigation Measure AQ-1), Conduct Special-Status Bat Surveys (Mitigation Measure BIO-1), Comply with Tree Permit Conditions (Mitigation Measure BIO-2), Worker Cultural Resources Training (Mitigation Measure CR-1), Tribal Monitoring (Mitigation Measure CR-2), Unanticipated Discovery of Archaeological Resources (Mitigation Measure CR-3), Tribal Monitoring Implement Geotechnical Recommendations (Mitigation Measure GEO-1), Unanticipated Discovery of Paleontological Resources (Mitigation Measure GEO-2).

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

During the Assembly Bill 52 tribal consultation process, the Federated Indians of the Graton Rancheria (FIGR) Tribe requested a scoping consultation. The FIGR requested the project applicant to provide pre-construction training, tribal monitoring, and details on the protocols to assess unexpected discovery of resources. These requests have been met and incorporated into the Initial Study in Section 3.6, Cultural Resources, and Section 3.19, Tribal Cultural Resources.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Marin Municipal Water District and Southern Marin Fire Protection District