Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2004081151

Project Title: Lower Reach River Supply Conduit Project

Lead Agency: City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power

Contact Name: Nadia Parker

Email: Nadia.Parker@ladwp.com Phone Number: 213-367-1745

Project Location: Los Angeles (*City*) Los Angeles (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Headworks flow control station (also referred to as a pressure regulator station) was originally addressed in accordance with CEQA in the EIR for the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) Lower Reach River Supply Conduit (RSC) Project (SCH #2004081151). The Final EIR for the Lower Reach RSC Project was certified (Certified EIR) by the Board of Water and Power Commissioners on February 21, 2006, and the CEQA Notice of Determination (NOD) for the project was filed on February 22, 2006. In the Certified EIR, the western segment of Unit 1A was located conceptually along the south perimeter of the Headworks Spreading Grounds property. This general alignment of the pipeline has since been further refined to place it within a proposed 20-foot wide paved internal access road, approximately 100 feet north of the Headworks property southern boundary fence. This segment of trunk line was described in the Certified EIR as 96 inches in diameter. The currently proposed trunk line will be 78 inches in diameter, reducing the amount of excavation and backfill required to install the line in comparison to what was analyzed in the Certified

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Air Quality: The updated air quality analysis concluded that construction-related emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NOX) would still exceed the applicable threshold and would remain significant and unavoidable. This is consistent with the conclusions in the Certified EIR. Biological Resources: The updated biological assessment concluded that with the application of the mitigation measures specified in the Certified EIR, impacts to biological resources would be reduced to less than significant. Cultural Resources: The updated cultural resources investigation concluded that with the application of the mitigation measures specified in the Certified EIR, impacts to cultural resources would be reduced to less than significant. Geology & Soils: Potentially significant impacts were identified in the Certified EIR related to the rupture of a known earthquake fault and seismic related ground failure; these impacts would be reduced to less than significant with the application of specified mitigation measures. Hazards and Hazardous Materials: Potentially significant impacts were identified related to upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials and the potential for conflict with existing known hazardous materials sites; these impacts would be reduced to less than significant with the application of specified mitigation measures. Hydrology: Potentially significant impacts were identified related to water quality, wastewater discharge requirements, and polluted runoff associated with wastewater during construction; these impacts would be reduced to less than significant with the application of the specified mitigation measure. Noise:Potentially significant impacts were identified related to construction; these impacts would be less than significant with the application of the specified mitigation measures.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

N/A

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

N/A