

**Appendix G:
Public Services Responses**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Christmas, Erin <EChristmas@riversideca.gov> Tue, Oct 2, 2018 at 12:06 PM
To: Brittany Hagen bhagen@fcs-intl.com

Hello Brittany,

Please see my responses below.

1. SSgt Salvador J. Lara Casa Blanca Library: [2985 Madison Street 92504](#). Capacity for meeting room space is 180. Total Maximum occupancy unavailable. There are currently 34 public computers available.
2. There would likely be minimal 10-20% increase in Library usage including computer use, program attendance, circulation and attendance.
3. No new facilities would be necessary
4. We would be able to accommodate the demand in library services.
5. 2017/2018 Fiscal Year Usage:
 - a. Gate Count: 60,385
 - b. PC Use: 12,946 hours
 - c. Circulation: 59,905
 - d. Programs Provided: 302
 - e. Program Attendance: 4,369
6. The current facility should be able to handle any increase in service needs of up to about 25% with current staffing and facilities.

Thank you,

Erin Christmas
City of Riverside
Riverside Public Library, Library Administrative Office
Main: 951.826.5213
Office: 951.826.5385
Cell: 951.323.9766
RiversideCA.gov

Wood, Jayson <JWood@riversideca.gov> Wed, Oct 24, 2018 at 5:15 PM
To: "bhagen@fcs-intl.com" bhagen@fcs-intl.com

Here are the answers to your EIR. If you need to ask follow-up questions please send them to me.

1. Which station(s) would provide services to the project site?

All field police services are provided out of the Lincoln Police Station located at 8181 Lincoln Ave. in the City of Riverside. The School Recourse Officers (SRO) are technically stationed at the Magnolia Police Station, located at [10540 Magnolia Ave.](#), but they work out of the schools and typically do not go to the station.

2. Please describe the existing staffing levels that would serve the project site. Please include a description of number of officers, civilian personnel, active patrols at one time, etc.

The school will be in the Central Neighborhood Policing Center (NPC). There are generally 4-6 officers that patrol the Central NPC around the clock. These officers would not generally respond to a call at the school unless it was an emergency or the SRO was off duty. For routine calls for service, the SRO would respond. RPD currently has 8 SRO's, one for every high school in the City. These SRO's are also responsible for the middle and elementary schools that feed into their high school.

3. Please provide the current average response times for emergency calls for service as a whole and for the station(s) located nearest to the project site.

The Riverside Police Department does not have a Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) that is capable of giving an accurate average response time. This average has not been compiled and we do not have any numbers for average response times in the City.

4. Would the development of the proposed Project impair RPD's ability to maintain established response time standards with current staff and equipment levels?

Field personnel would only respond to the school in the event of an emergency or the SRO being off duty. All other school related crimes would be handled by the SRO assigned to that area. So, the average response time would only be effected if there was an emergency at the school or the SRO was off duty. The biggest impact would be felt by the SRO who would be required to supervise an additional school.

5. Please provide an estimate of the officers/1,000 residents and the target ratio that the department would like to achieve.

RPD currently has 368 officers to date and is scheduled to be at 410 by 2020. In the 2010 census the City of Riverside's population was at 303,000. Today many in the City believe the population is approximately 350,000 citizens. So we are currently a little more than one officer for every one thousand citizens.

6. List any existing or planned mutual aid agreements with other agencies.

We have none that would relate to your project.

7. Please provide an estimate of the annual number of calls for service the proposed project would be expected to generate.

This is difficult to estimate without knowing the estimated enrolment.

Generally, the elementary schools in the City do not generate a high number of calls.

8. What are the current rates of the residential and non-residential development fees? What is the estimated rate for the proposed project?

RPD does not collect fees and does not know the rates. This question would be better suited for the City Planning Department.

9. Do you foresee any potential challenges that the proposed project may present to the department? (Including concerns related to response times, staffing, apparatus, stations, etc.) Please describe any measures you would recommend to reduce potential concerns/challenges.

The only potential challenge I can see with the proposed project is placing another school under the responsibility of the SRO. The SRO's already have a lot of students under their span of control. This school will just add to it.

10. Would RPD need to construct new facilities or expand existing ones in order to serve the proposed Project and the fire protection needs of the City of Riverside?

I do not believe any new police facilities would be needed. The Riverside Fire Department would have to be consulted to determine fire protection needs.

11. Do you have any other comments about the potential impacts of this Project on RPD?

No.

Sergeant Jayson Wood
Riverside Police Department
Community Services Bureau
(951) 826-5644
jwood@riversideca.gov

Casa Blanca Elementary Parks/Recreation Services

McDaniel, Randy <rmcdaniel@riversideca.gov> Wed, Oct 3, 2018 at 4:37 PM

To: "bhagen@fcs-intl.com" <bhagen@fcs-intl.com>

Cc: "Lara, Mario" <MLara@riversideca.gov>, "Cruz, Adolfo" AdCruz@riversideca.gov

Ms. Brittany Hagen,

Please find the following response to your email below.

To assist with the environmental analysis required under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), we have provided a response to the following questions per your request:

1. Which park(s) and recreation center(s) would provide services to the project site?

Villegas Park and Ysmael Villegas Community Center are located adjacent to the subject project and would provide services for the subject project site.

2. Please describe the existing conditions of the park(s) and center(s).

The existing Park and Community Center is in excellent condition as a result of the recent \$4.5 million renovation and expansion completed in July of 2015. The renovation project consisted of:

- Community Center Expansion and Remodel renovation of the exterior façade; 1,300 square foot building expansion to identify the entrance from the parking lot; 11,200 square foot remodeling of the main multipurpose room, full service kitchen, offices, meeting rooms, game room, and ADA improvements for the community center and gym restrooms; and, minor cosmetic improvements to the remaining 10,150 square feet in the gym and multipurpose room.
- Community Center Related Site Work Landscape improvements around the building, including an entry courtyard with enhanced paving and memorial rose garden, parking lot (115 stalls) improvements and lighting improvements.
- Soccer Field Renovation – Grading, drainage, irrigation and landscaping.
- Bunker Street Parking Lot Improvements – Parking lot expanded to 54 spaces with landscape, irrigation and drainage improvements.
- Landscape Improvements for approximately 39,000 square feet area south and west of Soccer Field – Expanded area includes grading, landscape and irrigation improvements.
- Remove and Replace Eastern property line Chain Link Fabric – approximately 330 linear feet of existing 6 foot high fence to receive new chain link fabric, utilizing existing post.
- Remove and Replace Irrigation Controller – replace existing time based controller with the City's standard weather based Calsense controller.
- Install drinking fountain and plumbing connections.
- Install Tubular Steel Fencing and Gates at Soccer Field – including 15 foot high netting at north end of soccer field.
- Remodel Recreation Room Restrooms to comply with ADA.
- Brown Room and Pool Building Renovations.

3. Do you foresee any potential challenges that the proposed project may present to the department?

Generally, the addition of the school, science Grow Lab, Library, including the playground, quad, courtyard, hardcourts and playfields will complement the services that the City of Riverside, Parks, Recreation and Community Services Provides. The Public would benefit from the additional resources and open space and the PRCSD would recommend that we work together with the School District to find opportunities to partnership and maximize the new public resources; such as, a joint use agreement for field use.

4. Please describe any measures that you would recommend to reduce potential concerns/challenges:

The general public currently uses the site and adjacent parcels to pass to and from Villegas to Lincoln Avenue. The projected should formalize safe access to the park from Lincoln Avenue.

5. Identify the ability of the park service provider to accommodate the demand of the proposed project as part of the existing facilities:

Currently, the Community Center provides an afterschool program serving Madison. Additional staffing and material resources would be required to meet the need to expand the City's afterschool program (60 additional students would be anticipated, which would require 3 additional staff members).

6. Please provide an estimate of the park acres/1,000 residents and the target ratio that the department would like to achieve:

Currently there is 2.26 acres/ 1000 residents and the target is 3 ac/ 1000

7. What are the current rates of the residential and nonresidential development fee schedule? What is the estimated rate for the proposed project?

See attached Park Development Impact Fee Handout. Please let us know if we can be of further assistance.

Randy McDaniel, RLA #4395

City of Riverside
Parks, Rec. and Comm. Serv., Planning & Design Division
Main: 951.826.2000

Direct: 951.826.2006
RiversideCA.gov

From: McDowell, Jennifer JMcdowell@riversideca.gov

To: bhagen@fcs-intl.com bhagen@fcs-intl.com

Here you go. Can you advise that you have received this email? Thank you

1. Which station(s) would provide services to the project site?

Station 10, Station 3, Station 5, and Station 9

2. What are the existing staffing levels (department-wide and at the station(s) that would serve the project site)? What is the current number of firefighters, EMT personnel, engines, hook and ladder trucks, etc.?

There are (4) firefighters on our Engine Companies, (3) on our Trucks, and ((2) on our Squads. All are EMT's. First alarm assignment is: 3-Eng., 1-Trk., 1-SQ, and 1-BC. Total responders is 16 personnel.

3. Please provide the current average response times for emergency calls for service as a whole and for the station(s) located nearest to the project site.

7. 8 minutes

4. Can you please provide an estimate of the annual number of calls for service the proposed project would be expected to generate? If possible, please provide an estimate by type of call (e.g., EMS, fire, etc.)

Call volume is based on occupancy use, so U/K at this time.

5. What is the ability of the fire protection department to accommodate the demand of the proposed project as part of the existing facilities?

There is no existing facility, as you stated everything was demolished. You can ref. CFC Appendix B.

6. Are there any existing or planned mutual aid agreements with other agencies? **Yes**
7. Please provide information about the residential and non-residential development fee schedule. What are the current rates? What is the estimate rate for the proposed project?

There are none at this time.

8. Do you foresee any potential challenges that the proposed project may present to the department? This includes concerns related to response times, staffing, apparatus, fire stations, etc. Please describe any measures that you would recommend to reduce potential concerns/challenges.

No challenges at this time.

9. Would the development of the proposed Project impair RFD's ability to maintain established response time standards with current staff and equipment levels?

If apparatus is available in their district, they would respond accordingly.

10. Would RFD need to construct new facilities or expand existing ones in order to serve the proposed Project and the fire protection needs of the surrounding community?

Not at this time.

11. Do you have any other comments about the potential impacts of this project on RFD? **No**