

Appendix D

Noise Modeling Data



Construction Source Noise Prediction Model

| Equipment | Reference Emission | Usage Factor ¹ |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|
| | Noise Levels (L_{max}) at 50 feet ¹ | |
| Grader | 85 | 0.4 |

| | |
|----------------------------|------|
| Ground Type | HARD |
| Source Height | 8 |
| Receiver Height | 5 |
| Ground Factor ² | 0.00 |

| Predicted Noise Level ³ | L_{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³ |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Grader | 81.0 |

| Combined Predicted Noise Level (L_{eq} dBA at 50 feet) |
|---|
| 81.0 |

Sources:

¹ Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

² Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

³ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).

$$L_{eq}(\text{equip}) = E.L. + 10 \cdot \log(U.F.) - 20 \cdot \log(D/50) - 10 \cdot G \cdot \log(D/50)$$

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

D = Distance from source to receiver.



Construction Source Noise Prediction Model

| Equipment | Reference Emission | Usage Factor ¹ |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|
| | Noise Levels (L_{max}) at 50 feet ¹ | |
| Grader | 85 | 1 |

| | |
|----------------------------|------|
| Ground Type | HARD |
| Source Height | 8 |
| Receiver Height | 5 |
| Ground Factor ² | 0.00 |

| Predicted Noise Level ³ | L_{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³ |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Grader | 85.0 |

| Combined Predicted Noise Level (L_{eq} dBA at 50 feet) |
|---|
| 85.0 |

Sources:

¹ Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

² Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

³ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).

$$L_{eq}(\text{equip}) = E.L. + 10 \cdot \log(U.F.) - 20 \cdot \log(D/50) - 10 \cdot G \cdot \log(D/50)$$

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

D = Distance from source to receiver.

| Equipment Description | Acoustical Usage Factor (%) | Spec 721.560 Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow) | Actual Measured Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow) | No. of Actual Data Samples (count) | Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc | Spec 721.560 Leq | Distance | Actual Measured LmaxCalc | Actual Measured Leq |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Auger Drill Rig | 20 | 85 | 84 | 36 | 79.0 | 72.0 | 100 | 78.0 | 71.0 |
| Backhoe | 40 | 80 | 78 | 372 | 74.0 | 70.0 | 100 | 72.0 | 68.0 |
| Bar Bender | 20 | 80 | na | 0 | 74.0 | 67.0 | 100 | | |
| Blasting | na | 94 | na | 0 | 88.0 | | 100 | | |
| Boring Jack Power Unit | 50 | 80 | 83 | 1 | 74.0 | 71.0 | 100 | 77.0 | 74.0 |
| Chain Saw | 20 | 85 | 84 | 46 | 79.0 | 72.0 | 100 | 78.0 | 71.0 |
| Clam Shovel (dropping) | 20 | 93 | 87 | 4 | 87.0 | 80.0 | 100 | 81.0 | 74.0 |
| Compactor (ground) | 20 | 80 | 83 | 57 | 74.0 | 67.0 | 100 | 77.0 | 70.0 |
| Compressor (air) | 40 | 80 | 78 | 18 | 74.0 | 70.0 | 100 | 72.0 | 68.0 |
| Concrete Batch Plant | 15 | 83 | na | 0 | 77.0 | 68.7 | 100 | | |
| Concrete Mixer Truck | 40 | 85 | 79 | 40 | 79.0 | 75.0 | 100 | 73.0 | 69.0 |
| Concrete Pump Truck | 20 | 82 | 81 | 30 | 76.0 | 69.0 | 100 | 75.0 | 68.0 |
| Concrete Saw | 20 | 90 | 90 | 55 | 84.0 | 77.0 | 100 | 84.0 | 77.0 |
| Crane | 16 | 85 | 81 | 405 | 79.0 | 71.0 | 100 | 75.0 | 67.0 |
| Dozer | 40 | 85 | 82 | 55 | 79.0 | 75.0 | 100 | 76.0 | 72.0 |
| Drill Rig Truck | 20 | 84 | 79 | 22 | 78.0 | 71.0 | 100 | 73.0 | 66.0 |
| Drum Mixer | 50 | 80 | 80 | 1 | 74.0 | 71.0 | 100 | 74.0 | 71.0 |
| Dump Truck | 40 | 84 | 76 | 31 | 78.0 | 74.0 | 100 | 70.0 | 66.0 |
| Excavator | 40 | 85 | 81 | 170 | 79.0 | 75.0 | 100 | 75.0 | 71.0 |
| Flat Bed Truck | 40 | 84 | 74 | 4 | 78.0 | 74.0 | 100 | 68.0 | 64.0 |
| Front End Loader | 40 | 80 | 79 | 96 | 74.0 | 70.0 | 100 | 73.0 | 69.0 |
| Generator | 50 | 82 | 81 | 19 | 76.0 | 73.0 | 100 | 75.0 | 72.0 |
| Generator (<25KVA, VMS s | 50 | 70 | 73 | 74 | 64.0 | 61.0 | 100 | 67.0 | 64.0 |
| Gradall | 40 | 85 | 83 | 70 | 79.0 | 75.0 | 100 | 77.0 | 73.0 |
| Grader | 40 | 85 | na | 0 | 79.0 | 75.0 | 100 | | |
| Grapple (on Backhoe) | 40 | 85 | 87 | 1 | 79.0 | 75.0 | 100 | 81.0 | 77.0 |
| Horizontal Boring Hydr. Jac | 25 | 80 | 82 | 6 | 74.0 | 68.0 | 100 | 76.0 | 70.0 |
| Hydra Break Ram | 10 | 90 | na | 0 | 84.0 | 74.0 | 100 | | |
| Impact Pile Driver | 20 | 95 | 101 | 11 | 89.0 | 82.0 | 100 | 95.0 | 88.0 |
| Jackhammer | 20 | 85 | 89 | 133 | 79.0 | 72.0 | 100 | 83.0 | 76.0 |
| Man Lift | 20 | 85 | 75 | 23 | 79.0 | 72.0 | 100 | 69.0 | 62.0 |
| Mounted Impact Hammer (| 20 | 90 | 90 | 212 | 84.0 | 77.0 | 100 | 84.0 | 77.0 |
| Pavement Scarafier | 20 | 85 | 90 | 2 | 79.0 | 72.0 | 100 | 84.0 | 77.0 |
| Paver | 50 | 85 | 77 | 9 | 79.0 | 76.0 | 100 | 71.0 | 68.0 |
| Pickup Truck | 40 | 55 | 75 | 1 | 49.0 | 45.0 | 100 | 69.0 | 65.0 |
| Pneumatic Tools | 50 | 85 | 85 | 90 | 79.0 | 76.0 | 100 | 79.0 | 76.0 |
| Pumps | 50 | 77 | 81 | 17 | 71.0 | 68.0 | 100 | 75.0 | 72.0 |
| Refrigerator Unit | 100 | 82 | 73 | 3 | 76.0 | 76.0 | 100 | 67.0 | 67.0 |
| Rivit Buster/chipping gun | 20 | 85 | 79 | 19 | 79.0 | 72.0 | 100 | 73.0 | 66.0 |
| Rock Drill | 20 | 85 | 81 | 3 | 79.0 | 72.0 | 100 | 75.0 | 68.0 |
| Roller | 20 | 85 | 80 | 16 | 79.0 | 72.0 | 100 | 74.0 | 67.0 |
| Sand Blasting (Single Nozzle | 20 | 85 | 96 | 9 | 79.0 | 72.0 | 100 | 90.0 | 83.0 |
| Scraper | 40 | 85 | 84 | 12 | 79.0 | 75.0 | 100 | 78.0 | 74.0 |
| Shears (on backhoe) | 40 | 85 | 96 | 5 | 79.0 | 75.0 | 100 | 90.0 | 86.0 |
| Slurry Plant | 100 | 78 | 78 | 1 | 72.0 | 72.0 | 100 | 72.0 | 72.0 |
| Slurry Trenching Machine | 50 | 82 | 80 | 75 | 76.0 | 73.0 | 100 | 74.0 | 71.0 |
| Soil Mix Drill Rig | 50 | 80 | na | 0 | 74.0 | 71.0 | 100 | | |
| Tractor | 40 | 84 | na | 0 | 78.0 | 74.0 | 100 | | |
| Vacuum Excavator (Vac-tru | 40 | 85 | 85 | 149 | 79.0 | 75.0 | 100 | 79.0 | 75.0 |
| Vacuum Street Sweeper | 10 | 80 | 82 | 19 | 74.0 | 64.0 | 100 | 76.0 | 66.0 |
| Ventilation Fan | 100 | 85 | 79 | 13 | 79.0 | 79.0 | 100 | 73.0 | 73.0 |
| Vibrating Hopper | 50 | 85 | 87 | 1 | 79.0 | 76.0 | 100 | 81.0 | 78.0 |
| Vibratory Concrete Mixer | 20 | 80 | 80 | 1 | 74.0 | 67.0 | 100 | 74.0 | 67.0 |
| Vibratory Pile Driver | 20 | 95 | 101 | 44 | 89.0 | 82.0 | 100 | 95.0 | 88.0 |
| Warning Horn | 5 | 85 | 83 | 12 | 79.0 | 66.0 | 100 | 77.0 | 64.0 |
| Welder / Torch | 40 | 73 | 74 | 5 | 67.0 | 63.0 | 100 | 68.0 | 64.0 |

Source:

FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 9.1

U.S. Department of Transportation

CA/T Construction Spec. 721.560

Attenuation Calculations for Stationary Noise Sources



KEY: Orange cells are for input.
 Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.
 Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

STEP 1: Identify the noise source and enter the reference noise level (dBA and distance).

STEP 2: Select the ground type (hard or soft), and enter the source and receiver heights.

STEP 3: Select the distance to the receiver.

| Noise Source/ID | Reference Noise Level | | | Attenuation Characteristics | | | | Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor | | | Interior Noise Level |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------|----------------------|
| | noise level (dBA) | @ | distance (ft) | Ground Type (soft/hard) | Source Height (ft) | Receiver Height (ft) | Ground Factor | noise level (dBA) | @ | distance (ft) | |
| loader/backhoe/grader/dozer (Leq) | 81.0 | @ | 50 | soft | 8 | 5 | 0.63 | 58.7 | @ | 350 | 43.7 |
| loader/backhoe/grader/dozer (Leq) | 81.0 | @ | 50 | soft | 8 | 5 | 0.63 | 54.7 | @ | 500 | 39.7 |
| loader/backhoe/grader/dozer (Leq) | 81.0 | @ | 50 | soft | 8 | 5 | 0.63 | 46.7 | @ | 1000 | 31.7 |
| loader/backhoe/grader/dozer (Lmax) | 85.0 | @ | 50 | soft | 8 | 5 | 0.63 | 62.7 | @ | 350 | 47.7 |
| loader/backhoe/grader/dozer (Lmax) | 85.0 | @ | 50 | soft | 8 | 5 | 0.63 | 58.7 | @ | 500 | 43.7 |
| loader/backhoe/grader/dozer (Lmax) | 85.0 | @ | 50 | soft | 8 | 5 | 0.63 | 50.7 | @ | 1000 | 35.7 |
| Trimmer | 81.0 | @ | 3 | soft | 8 | 5 | 0.63 | 26.6 | @ | 350 | |
| Trimmer | 81.0 | @ | 3 | soft | 8 | 5 | 0.63 | 22.5 | @ | 500 | |
| Trimmer | 81.0 | @ | 3 | soft | 8 | 5 | 0.63 | 14.5 | @ | 1000 | |
| | | | | | | | 0.66 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 0.66 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 0.66 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 0.66 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 0.66 | | | | |

Notes:

Estimates of attenuated noise levels do not account for reductions from intervening barriers, including walls, trees, vegetation, or structures of any type.

Computation of the attenuated noise level is based on the equation presented on pg. 12-3 and 12-4 of FTA 2006.

Computation of the ground factor is based on the equation presented in Figure 6-23 on pg. 6-23 of FTA 2006, where the distance of the reference noise level can be adjusted and the usage factor is not applied (i.e., the usage factor is equal to 1).

Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2006 (May). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. FTA-VA-90-1003-06. Washington, D.C. Available: <http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/FTA_Noise_and_Vibration_Manual.pdf>. Accessed: September 24, 2010.

Traffic Noise Spreadsheet Calculator



| Project: | | | | Input | | | | | | | | | | Output | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----|--------|-------------|---|-----|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Noise Level Descriptor: Ldn Site Conditions: Soft Traffic Input: ADT Traffic K-Factor: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number | Name | Segment Description and Location | | ADT | Speed (mph) | Distance to Directional Centerline, (feet) ₄ | | Traffic Distribution Characteristics | | | | | Ldn, (dBA) _{5,6,7} | Distance to Contour, (feet) ₃ | | | | |
| | | From | To | | | Near | Far | % Auto | % Medium | % Heavy | % Day | % Eve | | % Night | 70 dBA | 65 dBA | 60 dBA | 55 dBA |
| Existing Conditions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | State Route 3 | Junction of Route 36, north | | 620 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 50.4 | 5 | 11 | 23 | 49 |
| 2 | State Route 3 | Morgan Hill Road, south | | 1,450 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 54.1 | 9 | 19 | 40 | 87 |
| 3 | State Route 3 | Morgan Hill Road, north | | 2,400 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 56.3 | 12 | 26 | 57 | 122 |
| 4 | State Route 3 | Hayfork | | 2,400 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 56.3 | 12 | 26 | 57 | 122 |
| 5 | State Route 3 | Weaverville, North Junction | | 3,850 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 58.3 | 17 | 36 | 77 | 167 |
| 6 | State Route 3 | Rush Creek Road, south | | 1,150 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 53.1 | 7 | 16 | 35 | 75 |
| 7 | State Route 3 | Rush Creek Road, north | | 860 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 51.8 | 6 | 13 | 29 | 61 |
| 8 | State Route 3 | Trinity Center Maintenance Station | | 470 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 49.2 | 4 | 9 | 19 | 41 |
| 9 | State Route 3 | Siskiyou County Line | | 140 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 43.9 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 18 |
| 10 | State Route 36 | Lower Mad River Road, west | | 1,250 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 53.5 | 8 | 17 | 37 | 79 |
| 11 | State Route 36 | Lower Mad River Road, east | | 620 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 50.4 | 5 | 11 | 23 | 49 |
| 12 | State Route 36 | Forest Glen Maintenance Station | | 550 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 49.9 | 5 | 10 | 21 | 46 |
| 13 | State Route 36 | Jct. of Route 3, east | | 470 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 49.2 | 4 | 9 | 19 | 41 |
| 14 | State Route 299 | East Limits Salyer, west | | 2,950 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 57.2 | 14 | 30 | 65 | 140 |
| 15 | State Route 299 | East Limits Salyer, east | | 2,500 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 56.5 | 13 | 27 | 58 | 125 |
| 16 | State Route 299 | Burnt Ranch Road, west | | 2,350 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 56.2 | 12 | 26 | 56 | 120 |
| 17 | State Route 299 | Del Loma, east | | 1,850 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 55.2 | 10 | 22 | 48 | 102 |
| 18 | State Route 299 | Weaverville, West City Limits, west | | 3,400 | 35 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 53.4 | 8 | 17 | 36 | 78 |
| 19 | State Route 299 | Weaverville, Washington Street, east | | 10,700 | 35 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 58.4 | 17 | 36 | 78 | 168 |
| 20 | State Route 299 | Martin/Nugget Roads, west | | 8,800 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 61.9 | 29 | 62 | 134 | 290 |
| 21 | State Route 299 | Martin/Nugget Roads, east | | 6,600 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 60.7 | 24 | 52 | 111 | 239 |
| 22 | State Route 299 | East Junction SR 3, west | | 4,750 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 59.3 | 19 | 41 | 89 | 192 |
| 23 | State Route 299 | East Junction SR 3, east | | 4,150 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 58.7 | 18 | 38 | 81 | 175 |
| 24 | State Route 299 | Lewiston Road, east | | 3,950 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 58.4 | 17 | 37 | 79 | 170 |
| 25 | State Route 299 | Trinity Dam Road, east | | 3,900 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 58.4 | 17 | 36 | 78 | 168 |

*All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.

Traffic Noise Spreadsheet Calculator



| Project: | | | | Input | | | | | | | | | | Output | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----|--------|-------------|---|-----|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Noise Level Descriptor: Ldn Site Conditions: Soft Traffic Input: ADT Traffic K-Factor: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number | Name | Segment Description and Location | | ADT | Speed (mph) | Distance to Directional Centerline, (feet) ₄ | | Traffic Distribution Characteristics | | | | | Ldn, (dBA) _{5,6,7} | Distance to Contour, (feet) ₃ | | | | |
| | | From | To | | | Near | Far | % Auto | % Medium | % Heavy | % Day | % Eve | | % Night | 70 dBA | 65 dBA | 60 dBA | 55 dBA |
| Existing Conditions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | State Route 3 | Junction of Route 36, north | | 6,313 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 60.5 | 23 | 50 | 108 | 232 |
| 2 | State Route 3 | Morgan Hill Road, south | | 7,143 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 61.0 | 25 | 54 | 117 | 252 |
| 3 | State Route 3 | Morgan Hill Road, north | | 8,093 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 61.6 | 27 | 59 | 127 | 274 |
| 4 | State Route 3 | Hayfork | | 10,580 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 62.7 | 33 | 71 | 152 | 328 |
| 5 | State Route 3 | Weaverville, North Junction | | 7,082 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 61.0 | 25 | 54 | 116 | 251 |
| 6 | State Route 3 | Rush Creek Road, south | | 1,340 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 53.8 | 8 | 18 | 38 | 83 |
| 7 | State Route 3 | Rush Creek Road, north | | 1,050 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 52.7 | 7 | 15 | 33 | 70 |
| 8 | State Route 3 | Trinity Center Maintenance Station | | 660 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 50.7 | 5 | 11 | 24 | 52 |
| 9 | State Route 3 | Siskiyou County Line | | 330 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 47.7 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 32 |
| 10 | State Route 36 | Lower Mad River Road, west | | 2,469 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 56.4 | 12 | 27 | 58 | 124 |
| 11 | State Route 36 | Lower Mad River Road, east | | 1,839 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 55.1 | 10 | 22 | 47 | 102 |
| 12 | State Route 36 | Forest Glen Maintenance Station | | 1,769 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 55.0 | 10 | 21 | 46 | 99 |
| 13 | State Route 36 | Jct. of Route 3, east | | 3,171 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 57.5 | 15 | 32 | 68 | 147 |
| 14 | State Route 299 | East Limits Salyer, west | | 3,140 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 57.5 | 15 | 31 | 68 | 146 |
| 15 | State Route 299 | East Limits Salyer, east | | 4,180 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 58.7 | 18 | 38 | 82 | 176 |
| 16 | State Route 299 | Burnt Ranch Road, west | | 4,050 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 58.6 | 17 | 37 | 80 | 173 |
| 17 | State Route 299 | Del Loma, east | | 4,382 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 58.9 | 18 | 39 | 84 | 182 |
| 18 | State Route 299 | Weaverville, West City Limits, west | | 5,198 | 35 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 55.3 | 10 | 22 | 48 | 104 |
| 19 | State Route 299 | Weaverville, Washington Street, east | | 11,046 | 35 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 58.5 | 17 | 37 | 80 | 172 |
| 20 | State Route 299 | Martin/Nugget Roads, west | | 8,990 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 62.0 | 29 | 63 | 136 | 294 |
| 21 | State Route 299 | Martin/Nugget Roads, east | | 6,790 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 60.8 | 24 | 52 | 113 | 244 |
| 22 | State Route 299 | East Junction SR 3, west | | 6,412 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 60.6 | 23 | 51 | 109 | 235 |
| 23 | State Route 299 | East Junction SR 3, east | | 5,812 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 60.1 | 22 | 47 | 102 | 220 |
| 24 | State Route 299 | Lewiston Road, east | | 5,612 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 60.0 | 21 | 46 | 100 | 215 |
| 25 | State Route 299 | Trinity Dam Road, east | | 4,090 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 97.0% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 80.0% | 15.0% | 5.0% | 58.6 | 17 | 37 | 81 | 174 |

*All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.

Citation # Citations

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Table (5-11), Pg 5-60. | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Table (4-2), Pg 4-17. |
| 2 | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-26), Pg 5-60. | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Equation (4-5), Pg 4-17. |
| 3 | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (2-16), Pg 2-32. | FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5 |
| 4 | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-11), Pg 5-47, 48. | FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5 |
| 5 | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (2-26), Pg 2-55, 56. | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Equation (2-23), Pg 2-51, 52. |
| 6 | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (2-27), Pg 2-57. | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Equation (2-24), Pg 2-53. |
| 7 | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Pg 2-53. | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Pg 2-57. |
| 8 | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-7), Pg 5-45. | FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5 |
| 9 | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-8), Pg 5-45. | FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5 |
| 10 | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-9), Pg 5-45. | FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5 |
| 11 | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-13), Pg 5-49. | FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5 |
| 12 | Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-14), Pg 5-49. | FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5 |
| 13 | Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model Technical Manual. Report No. FHWA-PD-96-010. 1998 (January). Equation (16), Pg 67 | |
| 14 | Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model Technical Manual. Report No. FHWA-PD-96-010. 1998 (January). Equation (20), Pg 69 | |
| 15 | Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model Technical Manual. Report No. FHWA-PD-96-010. 1998 (January). Equation (18), Pg 69 | |

References

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2009 (November). Technical Noise Supplement. Available: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/pub/tens_complete.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2017.

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2013 (September). Technical Noise Supplement. Available: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/pub/TeNS_Sept_2013A.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2017.

Federal Highway Administration. 2004. Traffic Noise Model Version 2.5. Available: https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/traffic_noise_model/tnm_v25/. Accessed August 17, 2017.