

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

**Form F**

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2013082052

Project Title: Anderson Dam Seismic Retrofit Project

Lead Agency: Santa Clara Valley Water District (Valley Water)

Contact Name: Tiffany Chao

Email: tchao@valleywater.org Phone Number: (408) 630-3107

Project Location: Morgan Hill Santa Clara County  
*City* *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Anderson Dam Seismic Retrofit Project (Project) involves retrofitting and upgrading Anderson Dam and its associated facilities to meet public safety requirements by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and California Department of Water Resources Division of Safety of Dams. Specifically, the Project will correct dam safety deficiencies that were identified between 2008 and 2016. The Project would allow Valley Water to maximize water supply and related benefits, while avoiding and minimizing environmental impacts. The Project also includes decommissioning the hydroelectric facility at the dam, implementing Conservation Measures to avoid and minimize adverse impacts, and conducting habitat and species monitoring during construction. Upon completion of the dam seismic retrofit, Anderson Reservoir would return to its original storage capacity. For post-construction operations, the Project includes: implementing of Fish and Aquatic Habitat Collaborative Effort Phase 1 reservoir releases (flow measures) at the retrofitted Anderson Dam facility, continuing maintenance of the dam once the retrofit has been completed, operations and maintenance of Conservation Measures, and implementing an adaptive management program to monitor and adaptively manage reservoir releases and Conservation Measures to assure that they achieve their objectives. The Project changes that are addressed in the Partially Recirculated Draft EIR only pertain to the Seismic Retrofit component, specifically relating to the construction hours/schedule, worker estimates, and a minor variance to BMP AQ-1.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The Partially Recirculated Draft EIR identifies certain significant environmental impacts for the following resource topics: aesthetics, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions, and noise and vibration. Proposed mitigation measures for these impacts are: the shielding of construction lighting (Mitigation Measure [MM] AES-3), implementation of construction criteria air pollutants reduction measures (MM AQ-1), implementation of construction blasting fugitive dust emissions reduction (MM AQ-2), implementation of BAAQMD's Enhanced Construction BMPs (MM AQ-3), utilization of renewable fuels during construction (MM GHG-1), the purchase of carbon offsets prior to construction (MM GHG-2), implementation of construction noise reduction measures (MM NOI-1), implementation of Seismic Retrofit construction noise reduction measures (MM NOI-2), implementation of Ogier Ponds CM construction noise reduction measures (MM NOI-3), implementation of Seismic Retrofit and Sediment Augmentation Program construction vibration reduction measures (MM NOI-4), and implementation of a Seismic Retrofit construction blasting plan (MM NOI-5). These mitigation measures were also included in the Draft EIR, and only NOI-4 was minimally revised in the Partially Recirculated Draft EIR to specify where the measure applies. Implementation of the mitigation measures would reduce impacts related to aesthetics and greenhouse gas emissions to less-than-significant levels. However, significant impacts would remain significant and unavoidable for air quality and noise and vibration. While impacts discussed in the Partially Recirculated Draft EIR differ from those in the Draft EIR, the significance conclusions for these impacts in the Partially Recirculated Draft EIR are the same as those identified in the Draft EIR.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Areas of known controversy related to the topics discussed in the Partially Recirculated Draft EIR (aesthetics, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions, and noise and vibration) include the following issues raised in comments received on Draft EIR:

The visual impact draining of Anderson Dam Reservoir.

Nighttime construction and light and glare impacts on nearby residents.

Visibility of Reservoir Disposal Area during Seismic Retrofit construction.

Asbestos impacts and dust control mitigation measures during construction of the Project.

Noise impacts on nearby residents, especially during nighttime construction.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Water Resources, Division of Safety of Dams (DSOD)  
California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Region 2  
California Department of Transportation, District 4  
State Office of Historic Preservation  
State Water Quality Control Board  
San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board  
San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission  
Santa Clara Valley Habitat Agency  
City of Morgan Hill  
City of San Jose  
County of Santa Clara