

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Areas of communicated controversy related to the EIR identified in during the public comment period include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Project Duration: Many public commenters over the years have expressed concerns about length of the project and length of the impacts associated with construction.
- Coordination with FAHCE: The FAHCE program covers aspects of adaptive management for Coyote Creek. While the FAHCE Final Program EIR was certified by Valley Water's Board of Directors on August 8, 2023, comments were made by stakeholders regarding the adequacy of the program.
- Air quality impacts, including dust and health risk, at nearby residential uses during construction.
- Increased noise from construction and construction traffic, as well as nighttime construction noise.
- Length of park closures.
- Increased wildfire risk during construction
- Emergency access and impairment of evacuation routes.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
National Marine Fisheries Service
United State Army Corps of Engineers
California Department of Water Resources, Division of Safety of Dams (DSOD)
California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Region 2
California Department of Transportation, District 4
State Office of Historic Preservation
State Water Quality Control Board
San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Francisco Bay Conservation and Developmnet Commission
Bay Area Air Quality Management District
City of Morgan Hill
City of San Jose
County of Santa Clara