

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume I: EIR/EIS

S	SUMMARY	S-1
S.1	Introduction and Background	S-1
S.2	Tiered Environmental Review—Final Statewide Program EIR/EIS and Burbank to Los Angeles Section Project Section EIR/EIS	S-4
S.3	Issues Raised during the Scoping Process	S-5
S.4	Purpose of and Need for the Statewide High-Speed Rail System and the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	S-8
S.4.1	Purpose of the Statewide High-Speed Rail System	S-8
S.4.2	Purpose of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	S-8
S.4.3	Objectives for the High-Speed Rail System Statewide and within the Burbank to Los Angeles Region	S-8
S.4.4	Need for the High-Speed Rail System Statewide and within the Burbank to Los Angeles Region	S-9
S.5	Alternatives	S-10
S.5.1	No Project Alternative	S-10
S.5.2	Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Build Alternative	S-11
S.5.3	Station Area Development	S-13
S.6	Design Considerations to Avoid and Minimize Impacts	S-18
S.7	No Project Alternative Impacts	S-18
S.8	High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Evaluation	S-19
S.8.1	High-Speed Rail Project Benefits	S-19
S.8.2	Adverse Effects of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	S-20
S.8.3	Capital and Operational Costs	S-53
S.8.4	Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Impacts	S-54
S.8.5	Environmental Justice Effects	S-55
S.9	Areas of Controversy	S-56
S.10	Environmental Process	S-57
S.10.1	Identification of Preferred Alternative	S-57
S.10.2	Next Steps in the Environmental Process	S-58
S.11	Project Implementation	S-59
1	PROJECT PURPOSE, NEED, AND OBJECTIVES	1-1
1.1	Introduction	1-1
1.1.1	The High-Speed Rail System	1-1
1.1.2	The Decision to Develop a Statewide High-Speed Rail System	1-3
1.1.3	Implementation of the Statewide High-Speed Rail System	1-4
1.1.4	Burbank to Los Angeles High-Speed Rail Project Section	1-8
1.1.5	Lead Agencies, NEPA Cooperating Agencies, CEQA Responsible Agencies	1-9
1.1.6	Compatibility with Federal Transportation Policy	1-10
1.2	Purpose of and Need for the High-Speed Rail System and the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	1-10
1.2.1	Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System	1-10
1.2.2	Purpose of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	1-11
1.2.3	CEQA Project Objectives of the High-Speed Rail System in California and in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	1-11
1.2.4	Statewide and Regional Need for the High-Speed Rail System in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	1-12
1.3	Relationship to Other Agency Plans, Policies and Programs	1-31
1.3.1	California Transportation Plan 2040	1-31
1.3.2	Measure R (Los Angeles County)	1-31

1.3.3	Measure M (Los Angeles County)	1-32
1.3.4	2016–2040 Southern California Association of Governments Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy	1-32
1.3.5	Los Angeles Union Station Master Plan	1-34
1.3.6	Metro Antelope Valley Line Infrastructure Improvement Strategy	1-34
1.3.7	Metrolink 5-Year Short Range Transit Plan	1-34
1.3.8	Metrolink 10-Year Strategic Plan	1-34
1.4	Relationship to Other Transportation Projects in the Project Vicinity	1-35
1.4.1	Regional Intermodal Transportation Center at Hollywood Burbank Airport.....	1-36
1.4.1	Elevate Hollywood Burbank Airport	1-36
1.4.2	linkBurbank	1-36
1.4.3	Buena Vista Street and Empire Avenue Grade Separation.....	1-36
1.4.4	Interstate 5 HOV Project.....	1-37
1.4.5	SR 2 Freeway Terminus Improvement	1-37
1.4.6	Link Union Station.....	1-37
1.4.7	Metro Regional Connector	1-38
1.4.8	Metro Westside Purple Line Extension.....	1-38
1.4.9	Metro East San Fernando Valley North/South Corridor	1-38
1.4.10	Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension.....	1-38
1.4.11	Metro Crenshaw/LAX Transit Extension Project	1-39
1.4.12	Metro Doran Street and Broadway/Brazil Safety and Access Project.....	1-39
1.4.13	Patsaouras Plaza Expansion.....	1-39
2	ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1	Introduction.....	2-1
2.1.1	Independent Utility	2-2
2.2	Background of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section EIR/EIS	2-3
2.3	High-Speed Rail System Infrastructure.....	2-3
2.3.1	System Design Performance, Safety, and Security.....	2-4
2.3.2	Vehicles.....	2-5
2.3.3	Stations	2-7
2.3.4	Infrastructure Components	2-9
2.3.5	Grade Separations.....	2-13
2.3.6	Traction Power Distribution.....	2-14
2.3.7	Signaling and Train-Control Elements.....	2-19
2.3.8	Track Structure	2-20
2.3.9	Maintenance Facilities	2-20
2.4	Alternatives Considered during the Alternatives Screening Process.....	2-21
2.4.1	High-Speed Rail Project-Level Alternatives Development Process	2-21
2.4.2	Range of Potential Alternatives Considered and Findings	2-23
2.5	Alignment and Station Alternatives Evaluated in This Project EIR/EIS	2-32
2.5.1	No Project Alternative—Planned Improvements	2-33
2.5.2	High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	2-40
2.6	Travel Demand and Ridership Forecasts.....	2-70
2.6.1	Ridership and High-Speed Rail System Design	2-71
2.6.2	Ridership and Environmental Impact Analysis	2-72
2.6.3	Ridership and Station-Area Parking	2-72
2.7	Operations and Service Plan	2-73
2.7.1	High-Speed Rail Service.....	2-73
2.7.2	Maintenance Activities	2-74
2.8	Additional High-Speed Rail Development Considerations.....	2-75
2.8.1	High-Speed Rail, Land Use Patterns, and Development around High-Speed Rail Stations.....	2-75

2.8.2	Right-of-Way Acquisition for Construction, Operation, and Maintenance of High-Speed Rail	2-76
2.9	Construction Plan and Phased Implementation Plan.....	2-80
2.9.1	Design-Build Project Delivery	2-80
2.9.2	Phased Implementation Strategy.....	2-80
2.9.3	General Approach.....	2-81
2.9.4	Pre-Construction Activities.....	2-83
2.9.5	Major Construction Activities	2-90
2.10	Permits	2-95
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION MEASURES	3.1-1
3.1	Introduction.....	3.1-1
3.1.1	Chapter 3 Purpose.....	3.1-2
3.1.2	Chapter 3 Organization.....	3.1-2
3.1.3	Chapter 3 Content.....	3.1-3
3.1.4	Outreach to Local Agencies.....	3.1-11
3.1.5	Legal Authority to Implement Off-Site Mitigation	3.1-11
3.2	Transportation	3.2-1
3.2.1	Introduction	3.2-1
3.2.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.2-2
3.2.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.2-11
3.2.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.2-12
3.2.5	Affected Environment.....	3.2-33
3.2.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.2-48
3.2.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.2-81
3.2.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.2-96
3.2.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.2-98
3.3	Air Quality and Global Climate Change	3.3-1
3.3.1	Introduction	3.3-1
3.3.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.3-2
3.3.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.3-17
3.3.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.3-18
3.3.5	Affected Environment.....	3.3-31
3.3.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.3-47
3.3.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.3-79
3.3.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.3-81
3.3.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.3-82
3.4	Noise and Vibration	3.4-1
3.4.1	Introduction	3.4-1
3.4.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.4-2
3.4.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.4-9
3.4.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.4-10
3.4.5	Affected Environment.....	3.4-25
3.4.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.4-31
3.4.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.4-48
3.4.8	NEPA Impacts Summary.....	3.4-57
3.4.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.4-58
3.5	Electromagnetic Interference and Electromagnetic Fields	3.5-1
3.5.1	Introduction	3.5-1
3.5.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.5-5
3.5.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.5-9
3.5.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.5-9
3.5.5	Affected Environment.....	3.5-16
3.5.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.5-20
3.5.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.5-31

	3.5.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.5-32
	3.5.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.5-33
3.6		Public Utilities and Energy	3.6-1
	3.6.1	Introduction	3.6-1
	3.6.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.6-2
	3.6.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.6-10
	3.6.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.6-11
	3.6.5	Affected Environment.....	3.6-17
	3.6.6	Environmental Consequences	3.6-27
	3.6.7	Mitigation Measures	3.6-64
	3.6.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.6-65
	3.6.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.6-66
3.7		Biological and Aquatic Resources.....	3.7-1
	3.7.1	Introduction	3.7-1
	3.7.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.7-5
	3.7.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.7-13
	3.7.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.7-14
	3.7.5	Affected Environment.....	3.7-24
	3.7.6	Environmental Consequences	3.7-38
	3.7.7	Mitigation Measures	3.7-66
	3.7.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.7-75
	3.7.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.7-77
3.8		Hydrology and Water Resources	3.8-1
	3.8.1	Introduction	3.8-1
	3.8.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.8-2
	3.8.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.8-8
	3.8.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.8-9
	3.8.5	Affected Environment.....	3.8-18
	3.8.6	Environmental Consequences	3.8-39
	3.8.7	Mitigation Measures	3.8-65
	3.8.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.8-67
	3.8.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.8-68
3.9		Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources	3.9-1
	3.9.1	Introduction	3.9-1
	3.9.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.9-2
	3.9.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.9-7
	3.9.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.9-8
	3.9.5	Affected Environment.....	3.9-16
	3.9.6	Environmental Consequences	3.9-49
	3.9.7	Mitigation Measures	3.9-68
	3.9.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.9-69
	3.9.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.9-70
3.10		Hazardous Materials and Wastes	3.10-1
	3.10.1	Introduction	3.10-1
	3.10.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.10-2
	3.10.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.10-8
	3.10.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.10-8
	3.10.5	Affected Environment.....	3.10-13
	3.10.6	Environmental Consequences	3.10-27
	3.10.7	Mitigation Measures	3.10-38
	3.10.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.10-39
	3.10.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.10-42
3.11		Safety and Security	3.11-1
	3.11.1	Introduction	3.11-1
	3.11.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.11-4
	3.11.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.11-17

3.11.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.11-18
3.11.5	Affected Environment.....	3.11-26
3.11.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.11-49
3.11.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.11-75
3.11.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.11-76
3.11.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.11-77
3.12	Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-1
3.12.1	Introduction	3.12-1
3.12.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.12-2
3.12.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.12-6
3.12.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.12-6
3.12.5	Affected Environment.....	3.12-15
3.12.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.12-48
3.12.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.12-78
3.12.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.12-84
3.12.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.12-87
3.13	Station Planning, Land Use, and Development	3.13-1
3.13.1	Introduction	3.13-1
3.13.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.13-2
3.13.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.13-6
3.13.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.13-7
3.13.5	Affected Environment.....	3.13-11
3.13.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.13-47
3.13.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.13-67
3.13.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.13-68
3.13.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.13-69
3.14	Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land.....	3.14-1
3.15	Parks, Recreation, and Open Space.....	3.15-1
3.15.1	Introduction	3.15-1
3.15.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.15-2
3.15.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.15-8
3.15.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.15-10
3.15.5	Affected Environment.....	3.15-15
3.15.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.15-15
3.15.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.15-48
3.15.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.15-52
3.15.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.15-53
3.16	Aesthetics and Visual Quality.....	3.16-1
3.16.1	Introduction	3.16-1
3.16.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.16-2
3.16.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.16-5
3.16.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.16-5
3.16.5	Affected Environment.....	3.16-12
3.16.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.16-41
3.16.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.16-100
3.16.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.16-103
3.16.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.16-104
3.17	Cultural Resources.....	3.17-1
3.17.1	Introduction	3.17-1
3.17.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.17-2
3.17.3	Consistency with Local Plans and Laws.....	3.17-9
3.17.4	Coordination of Section 106 Process with NEPA and CEQA Compliance	3.17-10
3.17.5	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.17-21
3.17.6	Affected Environment.....	3.17-40
3.17.7	Environmental Consequences.....	3.17-59

3.17.8	Mitigation Measures	3.17-79
3.17.9	NEPA Impact Summary	3.17-86
3.17.10	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.17-90
3.18	Regional Growth	3.18-1
3.18.1	Introduction	3.18-1
3.18.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.18-2
3.18.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.18-5
3.18.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.18-6
3.18.5	Affected Environment	3.18-9
3.18.6	Environmental Consequences	3.18-13
3.18.7	NEPA Impact Summary	3.18-21
3.18.8	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.18-22
3.19	Cumulative Impacts	3.19-1
3.19.1	Introduction	3.19-1
3.19.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.19-1
3.19.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.19-3
3.19.4	Cumulative Projects and Growth Forecasts	3.19-4
3.19.5	Historical Context	3.19-4
3.19.6	Projected Growth Trends	3.19-5
3.19.7	Organization of Cumulative Impact Analysis	3.19-17
3.19.8	Cumulative Impact Analysis	3.19-23
3.19.9	Mitigation Measures (for any newly identified significant cumulative impacts)	3.19-80
3.19.10	Impact Summary	3.19-81
4	SECTION 4(F) AND SECTION 6(F) EVALUATIONS	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.1.1	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	4-2
4.1.2	Study Area	4-4
4.1.3	Section 4(f) Applicability	4-4
4.1.4	Section 4(f) Use Definition	4-5
4.2	Coordination	4-6
4.2.1	Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges	4-7
4.2.2	Cultural Resources	4-7
4.3	Purpose and Need	4-7
4.4	Alternatives	4-8
4.4.1	No Project Alternative	4-8
4.4.2	High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	4-8
4.5	Section 4(f) Applicability Analysis	4-10
4.5.1	Parks and Recreation	4-10
4.5.2	Cultural Resources	4-15
4.6	Section 4(f) Use Assessment	4-16
4.6.1	Parks and Recreation	4-16
4.6.2	Cultural Resources	4-73
4.7	Avoidance Alternatives	4-88
4.7.1	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: San Fernando Railroad Bike Path (Planned)	4-88
4.7.2	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: Arroyo Seco Parkway Historic District	4-89
4.7.3	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: Broadway (Buena Vista) Viaduct	4-90
4.7.4	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: Spring Street Viaduct	4-92
4.7.5	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: Main Street Bridge	4-93
4.8	Measures to Minimize Harm	4-94

4.9	Section 4(f) Least Harm Analysis	4-108
4.10	Section 6(f)	4-108
5	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.1.1	Definition of Resources	5-2
5.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	5-2
5.2.1	Federal	5-2
5.2.2	State	5-4
5.2.3	Regional and Local	5-5
5.2.4	Consistency with Plans and Laws	5-6
5.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	5-6
5.3.1	Definition of Reference Community and Resource Study Area	5-6
5.3.2	Methods for NEPA Impact Analysis	5-10
5.3.3	Environmental Justice Engagement	5-13
5.4	Affected Environment	5-14
5.4.1	Reference Community Demographics	5-14
5.4.2	Resource Study Area Demographics	5-15
5.4.3	Station Area Demographics	5-34
5.5	Environmental Justice Engagement	5-34
5.5.1	Affected Populations and Communities	5-35
5.5.2	Summary of Public Outreach Issues and Concerns	5-37
5.6	Environmental Consequences	5-38
5.6.1	Overview	5-38
5.6.2	No Project Alternative	5-39
5.6.3	High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	5-39
5.7	Summary of Disproportionate Effects	5-76
5.8	Measures to Minimize Harm	5-78
5.8.1	Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features	5-78
5.8.2	Mitigation Measures	5-80
5.8.3	Enhancements	5-81
5.9	California High-Speed Rail Authority's Draft Environmental Justice Determination	5-82
6	PROJECT COSTS AND OPERATIONS	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Capital Costs	6-1
6.2.1	Standardized Capital Cost Categories	6-1
6.2.2	Assumptions and Exclusions	6-2
6.2.3	Burbank to Los Angeles High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	6-3
6.2.4	Maintenance Facilities	6-4
6.3	Operating and Maintenance Costs	6-5
6.3.1	Assumptions	6-5
6.3.2	Operating Speeds	6-6
6.3.3	Development of Operations and Maintenance Costs	6-6
7	OTHER CEQA/NEPA CONSIDERATIONS	7-1
7.1	Unavoidable Significant Impacts	7-1
7.2	Project Benefits	7-5
7.3	Relationship between Short-Term Use of the Environment and the Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	7-6
7.4	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes that Would Result from the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section if Implemented	7-7
8	PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE AND STATION SITES	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Summary of Comments	8-3
8.2.1	California Legislators	8-5

8.2.2	Project Area Local Governments	8-6
8.2.3	Federal Agencies	8-7
8.2.4	State Agencies	8-8
8.2.5	Tribal Consultation	8-8
8.2.6	Regional and Other Public Agencies	8-9
8.2.7	Businesses	8-10
8.2.8	Organizations	8-10
8.2.9	Individuals	8-10
8.3	Alternatives Considered	8-10
8.4	Preferred Alternative	8-11
8.4.1	Description	8-11
8.4.2	Identification of the Preferred Alternative	8-12
8.4.3	Preferred Alternative Acceptance Decision	8-12
8.5	Environmentally Superior Alternative	8-14
8.6	Environmentally Preferable Alternative	8-15
9	PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT	9-1
9.1	Environmental Justice Outreach	9-2
9.2	Public and Agency Scoping	9-2
9.2.1	Notices of Preparation, Notices of Intent, and Public Information Materials	9-3
9.2.2	Scoping Meetings	9-3
9.2.3	Scoping Comments	9-4
9.3	Alternatives Analysis Process	9-6
9.3.1	Public Information Meetings and Materials during the Alternatives Analysis Process	9-6
9.3.2	Technical Working Group Meetings during the Alternatives Analysis Process	9-7
9.3.3	Coordination with Other Railroad Owners/Operators	9-7
9.3.4	Agency Meetings during the Alternatives Analysis Process	9-8
9.4	Development of the Draft EIR/EIS	9-8
9.4.1	Outreach, Involvement, and Communications Guidance	9-8
9.4.2	Agreements and Memoranda of Understanding	9-8
9.4.3	Public Information Materials and Meetings	9-9
9.4.4	Tribal Coordination Meetings	9-10
9.4.5	Section 106 Consultation, National Historic Preservation Act	9-11
9.4.6	Agency Meetings and Consultation	9-12
9.5	Notification and Circulation of the Draft EIR/EIS	9-13
10	EIR/EIS DISTRIBUTION	10-1
10.1	Repository Locations	10-1
10.2	Federal Agencies	10-1
10.3	State Agencies	10-2
10.4	Elected Officials	10-4
10.4.1	Federal Elected Officials	10-4
10.4.2	State Elected Officials	10-4
10.4.3	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors	10-4
10.4.4	Mayors	10-4
10.4.5	City Council Members	10-5
10.5	Regional/Local Agencies	10-5
10.5.1	City of Burbank	10-5
10.5.2	City of Glendale	10-6
10.5.3	City of Los Angeles	10-6
10.5.4	County of Los Angeles	10-6
10.5.5	Regional	10-6
10.6	Organizations and Businesses	10-6
10.7	Native American Contacts	10-8

10.8	Schools and School Districts.....	10-9
10.8.1	City of Burbank	10-9
10.8.2	City of Glendale	10-10
10.8.3	City of Los Angeles	10-10
11	LIST OF PREPARERS	11-1
12	REFERENCES	12-1
	Summary.....	12-1
	Chapter 1: Project Purpose, Need, and Objectives	12-2
	Chapter 2: Alternatives	12-7
	Chapter 3: Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation Measures.....	12-11
	Chapter 4: Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluations	12-63
	Chapter 5: Environmental Justice	12-63
	Chapter 6: Project Costs and Operations	12-65
	Chapter 7: Other CEQA/NEPA Considerations	12-65
	Chapter 8: Preferred Alternative and Station Site(s)	12-65
	Chapter 9: Public and Agency Involvement.....	12-66
13	GLOSSARY OF TERMS.....	13-1
14	INDEX	14-1
15	ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	15-1

Figures

Figure S-1	California High-Speed Rail System.....	S-2
Figure S-2	Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Alignment	S-3
Figure S-3	Key Design Features of the HSR Build Alternative.....	S-12
Figure S-4	Preliminary Station Concept Layout Plan, Burbank Airport Station	S-15
Figure S-5	Preliminary Station Elements Plan, Los Angeles Union Station	S-17
Figure S-6	Transportation Resource Study Area.....	S-25
Figure S-7	Cities and Communities Adjacent to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	S-45
Figure S-8	Historic Bridges within the Resource Study Area	S-50
Figure 1-1	Statewide High-Speed Rail System, Program Alignments and Stations.....	1-2
Figure 1-2	Statewide High-Speed Rail System – Project Sections	1-7
Figure 1-3	Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Corridor.....	1-8
Figure 1-4	Existing and Future California Population (in millions).....	1-13
Figure 1-5	Intercity Trips in California (in millions).....	1-16
Figure 1-6	Major Intercity Travel Routes and Airports	1-17
Figure 1-7	Regional Freight Network	1-18
Figure 1-8	Conventional Rail Routes in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	1-22
Figure 1-9	Other Transportation Projects in Los Angeles County	1-35
Figure 2-1	Examples of Japanese <i>Shinkansen</i> High-Speed Trains	2-6

Figure 2-2 Example of an At-Grade Profile Showing Contact Wire System and Vertical Arms of the Pantograph Power Pickups	2-6
Figure 2-3 Examples of Existing Stations	2-7
Figure 2-4 Simulated and Plan Views of a Functional Station and Its Various Components	2-8
Figure 2-5 Typical At-Grade Cross-Section	2-10
Figure 2-6 Retained-Fill Typical Cross-Section	2-10
Figure 2-7 Retained-Cut Typical Cross-Section	2-11
Figure 2-8 Typical Tunnel Cross-Section.....	2-12
Figure 2-9 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Typical Cross-Section.....	2-12
Figure 2-10 Typical Roadway Overcrossing	2-13
Figure 2-11 Typical Cross-Section of Roadway Grade-Separated Beneath the High-Speed Rail Guideway	2-14
Figure 2-12 Traction Power Substation	2-15
Figure 2-13 Traction Power Substation Overhead Contact System Gantry	2-16
Figure 2-14 Switching Station	2-17
Figure 2-15 Paralleling Station.....	2-17
Figure 2-16 Paralleling Station Overhead Contact System Gantry	2-18
Figure 2-17 Los Angeles Basin Alignment and Station Options Carried forward from 2005 Program EIR/EIS	2-25
Figure 2-18 Evolution of Alternatives throughout the Alternatives Analysis Process	2-27
Figure 2-19 Burbank Airport Station Options Carried Forward in 2016 Supplemental Alternatives Analysis	2-31
Figure 2-20 Evaluation of Burbank Airport Station Options Since 2016.....	2-32
Figure 2-21 Overview of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section.....	2-41
Figure 2-22 New Electrified and Non-Electrified Tracks within Existing Right-of-Way	2-42
Figure 2-23 Standard Track Separations within Non-Constrained Right-of-Way	2-43
Figure 2-24 Reduced Track Separations within Constrained Right-of-Way	2-43
Figure 2-25 HSR Build Alternative Overview	2-45
Figure 2-26 Typical Cross-Section between State Route 134 and Chevy Chase Drive	2-48
Figure 2-27 Diagram of Existing and Proposed Metrolink Central Maintenance Facility	2-49
Figure 2-28 Typical Cross-Section from State Route 110 to Mission Junction	2-50
Figure 2-29 Preliminary Station Concept Layout Plan—Burbank Airport Station.....	2-54
Figure 2-30 Preliminary Station Concept Layout Plan—Burbank Airport Station.....	2-55
Figure 2-31 Preliminary Station Elements Plan—Los Angeles Union Station.....	2-56
Figure 2-32 Affected State Facilities	2-59
Figure 2-33 New and Modified Railroad Structures	2-62
Figure 2-34 Downtown Burbank Metrolink Station Site Plan	2-64
Figure 2-35 Sonora Avenue Grade Separation Footprint	2-65

Figure 2-36 Grandview Avenue Grade Separation Footprint	2-66
Figure 2-37 Flower Street Grade Separation Footprint	2-67
Figure 2-38 Goodwin Avenue/Chevy Chase Drive Grade Separation	2-68
Figure 2-39 Main Street Grade Separation Footprint	2-69
Figure 2-40 Burbank to Los Angeles Transit Service Area.....	2-77
Figure 2-41 Right-of-Way Process.....	2-79
Figure 2-42 Tunnel Alignment beneath Hollywood Burbank Airport Runway.....	2-92
Figure 2-43 Northern Tunnel Portal Elevation	2-93
Figure 2-44 Portal Excavation Support	2-94
Figure 2-45 Sequential Excavation Method Construction Sequencing	2-94
Figure 3.1-1 Typical Resource Study Area	3.1-5
Figure 3.2-1 Transportation Resource Study Area	3.2-14
Figure 3.2-2 Major Freeways/Highways and Traffic Volumes	3.2-35
Figure 3.2-3 Construction Detours	3.2-61
Figure 3.3-1 Aggregate GHG Emissions Reductions That Would Result from the California High-Speed Rail Project.....	3.3-11
Figure 3.3-2 South Coast Air Basin	3.3-19
Figure 3.3-3 Air Quality Monitoring Stations Closest to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.3-35
Figure 3.3-4 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 Feet of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.3-41
Figure 3.4-1 Noise and Vibration Resource Study Areas	3.4-13
Figure 3.4-2 Noise Impact Criteria for Transit and High-Speed Rail Projects	3.4-17
Figure 3.4-3 Allowable Increase in Cumulative Noise Levels (Categories 1 and 2).....	3.4-18
Figure 3.4-4 Federal Railroad Administration Detailed Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Criteria	3.4-22
Figure 3.4-5 Existing Noise Measurement Locations	3.4-26
Figure 3.4-6 Existing Vibration Measurement Locations	3.4-29
Figure 3.4-7 Land Use Category 2 Noise Impacts—High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	3.4-38
Figure 3.4-8 Land Use Categories 1 and 3 Noise Impacts—High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.4-40
Figure 3.4-9 Locations of Ground-Borne Noise and Vibration Impacts Resulting from Operation, Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	3.4-45
Figure 3.4-10 Sound Barrier Locations	3.4-53
Figure 3.5-1 Resource Study Area for Electromagnetic Interference/Electromagnetic Fields	3.5-10
Figure 3.5-2 Electromagnetic Field Measurement Site Locations	3.5-13
Figure 3.6-1 Water and Electrical Service Provider Areas	3.6-19
Figure 3.6-2 California Energy Consumption by Sector, 2014	3.6-23

Figure 3.6-3 California Transportation Energy Consumption by Source, 2014	3.6-23
Figure 3.6-4 Statewide Baseline Annual Electricity Consumption	3.6-26
Figure 3.6-5 Electric Transmission Lines	3.6-32
Figure 3.6-6 Natural Gas Pipelines	3.6-35
Figure 3.6-7 Sewer Lines and Storm Drains	3.6-38
Figure 3.6-8 Water Lines	3.6-41
Figure 3.6-9 Communication Facilities and Sites	3.6-44
Figure 3.6-10 Oil Pipelines	3.6-47
Figure 3.7-1 Biological Resource Study Areas	3.7-15
Figure 3.7-2 eBird Occurrence Records of Special-Status Bird Species	3.7-29
Figure 3.7-3 Structures Examined during the Bat Habitat Assessment	3.7-32
Figure 3.7-4 National Wetlands Inventory Occurrence Records of Special-Status Natural Communities	3.7-33
Figure 3.7-5 Direct Impacts to Potentially Suitable Southern Tarplant Habitat	3.7-41
Figure 3.8-1 Direct and Indirect Resource Study Area Overview Map	3.8-10
Figure 3.8-2 Direct and Indirect Resource Study Areas	3.8-11
Figure 3.8-3 Watershed	3.8-19
Figure 3.8-4 Surface Waters	3.8-22
Figure 3.8-5 Los Angeles River Crossings	3.8-23
Figure 3.8-6 Groundwater Basins	3.8-29
Figure 3.8-7 Floodplains Index Map	3.8-33
Figure 3.8-8 Floodplains	3.8-34
Figure 3.8-9 Lockheed Channel	3.8-54
Figure 3.9-1 General Geology, Resource Hazards, and Seismicity Resource Study Areas	3.9-9
Figure 3.9-2 Paleontological Resources Resource Study Area	3.9-10
Figure 3.9-3 Geologic Units in the General Geology and Paleontological Resources Resource Study Areas	3.9-18
Figure 3.9-4 Soil Associations in the Resource Hazards Resource Study Area	3.9-22
Figure 3.9-5 Historically High Groundwater Levels Map	3.9-28
Figure 3.9-6 Hazardous and Potentially Hazardous Faults in the Resource Hazards RSA	3.9-30
Figure 3.9-7 Hazardous and Potentially Hazardous Faults in the Seismicity RSA	3.9-33
Figure 3.9-8 Peak Ground Acceleration	3.9-37
Figure 3.9-9 Secondary Seismic Hazard Zones	3.9-38
Figure 3.9-10 California Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources Wells	3.9-42
Figure 3.9-11 Paleontological Sensitivity Map	3.9-43
Figure 3.10-1 High-Speed Rail Project Footprint and Resource Study Areas	3.10-10
Figure 3.10-2 Waste Disposal Sites in the Resource Study Area	3.10-18
Figure 3.10-3 Oil Wells in the Resource Study Area	3.10-19

Figure 3.10-4 Potential Environmental Concerns	3.10-20
Figure 3.11-1 Safety and Security Existing Conditions.....	3.11-20
Figure 3.11-2 Safety and Security Existing and Modified Crossings	3.11-38
Figure 3.11-3 Construction Detours	3.11-56
Figure 3.12-1 Definition of Direct and Indirect Impacts Resource Study Areas	3.12-7
Figure 3.12-2 Indirect and Direct Impacts Population and Community Resource Study Areas	3.12-8
Figure 3.12-3 Economic Impacts Resource Study Area	3.12-9
Figure 3.12-4 Cities and Communities Adjacent to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative ...	3.12-17
Figure 3.12-5 Construction Street Closures.....	3.12-51
Figure 3.13-1 Resource Study Area for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development	3.13-8
Figure 3.13-2 Existing Land Use	3.13-13
Figure 3.13-3 Planned Land Use	3.13-29
Figure 3.13-4 Existing Land Uses around Burbank Airport Station	3.13-63
Figure 3.13-5 Existing Land Uses around LAUS	3.13-64
Figure 3.13-6 Planned Land Uses around Burbank Airport Station	3.13-65
Figure 3.13-7 Planned Land Uses around LAUS	3.13-66
Figure 3.14-1 Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land Resource Study Area.....	3.14-2
Figure 3.15-1 Resource Study Area for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space	3.15-12
Figure 3.15-2 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative and Parks, Recreation, and Open Space .	3.15-16
Figure 3.15-3 Potential Permanent Impacts	3.15-40
Figure 3.16-1 Aesthetics and Visual Quality Resource Study Area	3.16-7
Figure 3.16-2 Key Viewpoint Locations	3.16-13
Figure 3.16-3 Proposed Construction Staging Areas	3.16-43
Figure 3.16-4 Key Viewpoint 1	3.16-51
Figure 3.16-5 Key Viewpoint 2	3.16-53
Figure 3.16-6 Key Viewpoint 3	3.16-55
Figure 3.16-7 Key Viewpoint 4	3.16-57
Figure 3.16-8 Key Viewpoint 5	3.16-59
Figure 3.16-9 Key Viewpoint 6	3.16-61
Figure 3.16-10 Key Viewpoint 7	3.16-63
Figure 3.16-11 Key Viewpoint 8	3.16-65
Figure 3.16-12 Key Viewpoint 9	3.16-67
Figure 3.16-13 Key Viewpoint 10	3.16-69
Figure 3.16-14 Key Viewpoint 11	3.16-71
Figure 3.16-15 Key Viewpoint 12	3.16-73
Figure 3.16-16 Key Viewpoint 13	3.16-75

Figure 3.16-17 Key Viewpoint 14	3.16-77
Figure 3.16-18 Key Viewpoint 15	3.16-79
Figure 3.16-19 Key Viewpoint 16	3.16-81
Figure 3.16-20 Key Viewpoint 17	3.16-83
Figure 3.16-21 Key Viewpoint 18	3.16-85
Figure 3.16-22 Key Viewpoint 19	3.16-87
Figure 3.16-23 Key Viewpoint 20	3.16-89
Figure 3.16-24 Key Viewpoint 21	3.16-91
Figure 3.16-25 Key Viewpoint 22	3.16-93
Figure 3.16-26 Key Viewpoint 23	3.16-95
Figure 3.16-27 Key Viewpoint 24	3.16-97
Figure 3.16-28 Key Viewpoint 25	3.16-99
Figure 3.17-1 Eligible Historic Built Resources in the Area of Potential Effects	3.17-24
Figure 3.18-1 Resource Study Area for Regional Growth	3.18-7
Figure 3.19-1 Cumulative Land Development, Transportation, Bridge, and Utility Projects ...	3.19-7
Figure 3.19-2 Cumulative Sewer Projects	3.19-10
Figure 4-1 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Alignment	4-9
Figure 4-2 Section 4(f) Resources	4-11
Figure 4-3 Phases 1 through 3 of the San Fernando Bike Path	4-18
Figure 4-4 <i>De Minimis</i> Impacts on the San Fernando Bike Path (Planned Phase 3).....	4-20
Figure 4-5 Temporary Occupancy of Chandler Bikeway (Planned Extension)	4-23
Figure 4-6 Project Footprint near the Golden State Connector Bike Path.....	4-27
Figure 4-7 Temporary Occupancy of the Burbank Western Channel Bike Path (Planned Phase II)	4-29
Figure 4-8 Permanent Use of the San Fernando Railroad Bike Path (Planned)	4-33
Figure 4-9 <i>De Minimis</i> Impacts on the Los Angeles River Bike Path (Planned Extension).....	4-35
Figure 4-10 Project Footprint near the Verdugo Wash Bike Path (Planned).....	4-38
Figure 4-11 Project Footprint near Griffith Manor Park.....	4-41
Figure 4-12 Project Footprint near Pelanconi Park.....	4-43
Figure 4-13 Project Footprint near Pacific Community Center and Park.....	4-45
Figure 4-14 Project Footprint near Chevy Chase Recreation Center	4-47
Figure 4-15 Project Footprint near Cerritos Park	4-49
Figure 4-16 Project Footprint near Cerritos Elementary School	4-51
Figure 4-17 Project Footprint near Sotomayor Learning Academies	4-53
Figure 4-18 Project Footprint near Taylor Yard G2 River Park.....	4-55
Figure 4-19 <i>De Minimis</i> Impacts on Rio de Los Angeles State Park	4-56
Figure 4-20 Project Footprint near Cypress Recreation Center	4-59

Figure 4-21 Project Footprint near the Los Angeles River Center and Gardens 4-61

Figure 4-22 Project Footprint near Elysian Park 4-63

Figure 4-23 Project Footprint near Confluence Park 4-65

Figure 4-24 Project Footprint near Los Angeles State Historic Park 4-67

Figure 4-25 *De Minimis* Impacts on Albion Riverside Park 4-68

Figure 4-26 Project Footprint near ConnectUS Cycle Track 4-72

Figure 4-27 Permanent Use of the Arroyo Seco Parkway Historic District 4-75

Figure 4-28 Permanent Use of the Broadway (Buena Vista) Viaduct 4-78

Figure 4-29 Permanent Use of the Spring Street Viaduct 4-80

Figure 4-30 Project Footprint near the Main Street Bridge (Bridge #53C1010) 4-82

Figure 4-31 *De Minimis* Impacts at the Los Angeles River Channel 4-85

Figure 5-1 Environmental Justice Resource Study Area 5-8

Figure 5-2 Reference Community and Environmental Justice Resource Study Area 5-9

Figure 5-3 Low-Income Populations in the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area 5-18

Figure 5-4 Low-Income Populations in the Neighborhood Council Areas 5-21

Figure 5-5 Minority Population in the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area 5-24

Figure 5-6 Race and Ethnicity in the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area 5-28

Figure 5-6 Race and Ethnicity in the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area 5-29

Figure 5-6 Race and Ethnicity in the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area 5-30

Figure 5-7 Minority Populations in the Neighborhood Council Areas 5-31

Figure 5-7 Minority Populations in the Neighborhood Council Areas 5-32

Figure 5-7 Minority Populations in the Neighborhood Council Areas 5-33

Figure 5-8 Displacements in Areas with Low-Income Populations 5-48

Figure 5-9 Displacements in Areas with Minority Populations 5-51

Figure 5-10 Traffic Improvements in Areas with Low Income Populations 5-61

Figure 5-11 Traffic Improvements in Areas with Minority Populations 5-64

Figure 5-12 Noise Impacts to Low-Income Populations after Implementation of Noise Barriers 5-68

Figure 5-13 Noise Impacts to Minority Populations after Implementation of Noise Barriers 5-71

Figure 8-1 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Preliminary Preferred Alternative 8-2

Tables

Table 1-1 Population Growth in California, Los Angeles County, and the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank, and Glendale (2015–2040)	1-14
Table 1-2 Household Growth in Los Angeles County and the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank, and Glendale (2015–2040)	1-14
Table 1-3 Employment Growth in Los Angeles County and the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank, and Glendale (2015–2040)	1-15
Table 1-4 Unemployment and Income in California, Los Angeles County, and the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank, and Glendale	1-15
Table 1-5 Current and Projected Vehicle Miles Traveled, Vehicle Hours Traveled, Delay, and Speeds in Los Angeles County	1-19
Table 1-6 Travel Growth for Intercity Highways	1-20
Table 1-7 Commercial Air Traffic at Airports in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	1-24
Table 1-8 2009 California Intercity Air Travel	1-24
Table 1-9 Estimated Total Travel Times (Door-to-Door in Hours and Minutes) between City Pairs by Auto, Air, and Conventional Rail (Peak Conditions) ^{[1],[2]}	1-26
Table 2-1 High-Speed Rail Performance Criteria for Blended Project Sections	2-5
Table 2-2 Burbank to Los Angeles Alignment Alternatives and Station Options Studied throughout the Alternatives Analysis Process	2-28
Table 2-3 Regional Projected Population and Employment	2-33
Table 2-4 Planned Residential Development Projects within the Burbank to Los Angeles Area	2-34
Table 2-5 Total Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled—Los Angeles County	2-35
Table 2-6 Planned Highway Improvements within Project Vicinity	2-36
Table 2-7 Existing and Future Trains per Day in the LOSSAN Corridor between Burbank and Los Angeles	2-37
Table 2-8 Programmed Passenger Rail Improvements within the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	2-38
Table 2-9 Summary of Design Features	2-40
Table 2-10 Roadway Crossings within the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	2-51
Table 2-11 Proposed Traction Power Locations	2-57
Table 2-12 Impact of High-Speed Rail Build Alternative on California Department of Transportation State Highway Facilities	2-58
Table 2-13 Freight Siding Tracks and Industry Spur Relocations and Closures	2-60
Table 2-14 Industry Spur Relocations	2-60
Table 2-15 New and Modified Railroad Structures	2-61
Table 2-16 High-Speed Rail System Ridership Forecasts (in millions per year)	2-70
Table 2-17 Right-of-Way Acquisitions	2-78
Table 2-18 Construction Schedule ¹	2-82

Table 2-21 Anticipated Environmental Reviews, Permits, and Approvals2-96

Table 3.2-1 California Statutory Bicycle Facility Definitions..... 3.2-4

Table 3.2-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.2-5

Table 3.2-3 Definition of Resource Study Areas..... 3.2-13

Table 3.2-4 Resource Study Area Intersection Number Designations 3.2-24

Table 3.2-5 Level-of-Service Values and Average Vehicular Delay Definitions for Signalized Intersections..... 3.2-30

Table 3.2-6 Level-of-Service and Average Vehicular Delay Definitions for Unsignalized Intersections 3.2-30

Table 3.2-7 Level-of-Service and Volume-to-Capacity Definitions for Roadway Segments ... 3.2-31

Table 3.2-8 Regionally Significant Roadway Segments with Capacities..... 3.2-36

Table 3.2-9 Existing Roadway Segment Volumes..... 3.2-38

Table 3.2-10 Existing Alignment Roadway Segment Operations 3.2-39

Table 3.2-11 Summary of Transit Service near the Proposed Burbank Airport Station 3.2-42

Table 3.2-12 Summary of Transit Service at Los Angeles Union Station..... 3.2-43

Table 3.2-13 Alignment Roadway and Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) No Project..... 3.2-50

Table 3.2-14 Burbank Airport Station Area Intersection and Roadway Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) No Project 3.2-51

Table 3.2-15 Los Angeles Union Station Area Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) No Project 3.2-51

Table 3.2-16 Signalized Intersections: Existing Year (2015) Plus Construction..... 3.2-54

Table 3.2-17 Mitigation Available for Signalized Intersection Construction Impacts Included in TRAN-MM#1 3.2-55

Table 3.2-18 Unsignalized Intersections: Existing Year (2015) Plus Construction 3.2-57

Table 3.2-19 Mitigation Available for Unsignalized Intersection Construction Impacts Included in TRAN-MM#1 3.2-58

Table 3.2-20 Roadway Segment Volumes: Existing Year (2015) Plus Construction 3.2-59

Table 3.2-21 Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled 3.2-70

Table 3.2-22 Alignment Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project..... 3.2-71

Table 3.2-23 Mitigation Available for Alignment Signalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2..... 3.2-72

Table 3.2-24 Burbank Airport Station Area Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project..... 3.2-72

Table 3.2-25 Mitigation Available for Burbank Airport Station Area Signalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2..... 3.2-73

Table 3.2-26 Los Angeles Union Station Area Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project..... 3.2-73

Table 3.2-27 Mitigation Available for Los Angeles Union Station Area Signalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2..... 3.2-74

Table 3.2-28 Alignment Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-75
Table 3.2-29 Burbank Airport Station Area Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-75
Table 3.2-30 Mitigation Available for Burbank Airport Station Area Unsignalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2.....	3.2-76
Table 3.2-31 Los Angeles Union Station Area Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-76
Table 3.2-32 Mitigation Available for Los Angeles Union Station Area Unsignalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2.....	3.2-77
Table 3.2-33 Alignment Roadway Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-77
Table 3.2-34 Burbank Airport Station Area Roadway Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-78
Table 3.2-35 Secondary Impacts of Mitigation Measure TRAN-MM#1.....	3.2-83
Table 3.2-36 Secondary Impacts of Mitigation Measure TRAN-MM#2.....	3.2-90
Table 3.2-37 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Transportation.....	3.2-99
Table 3.3-1 Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3.3-5
Table 3.3-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies.....	3.3-13
Table 3.3-3 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.3-18
Table 3.3-4 General Conformity <i>De Minimis</i> Levels.....	3.3-29
Table 3.3-5 South Coast Air Quality Management District Thresholds.....	3.3-31
Table 3.3-6 Ambient Criteria Pollutant Concentration Data at Air Quality Monitoring Stations Closest to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.3-33
Table 3.3-7 Federal and State Attainment Status in the South Coast Air Basin.....	3.3-36
Table 3.3-8 Estimated 2012 Annual Average Emissions for the South Coast Air Quality Management District (tons/day).....	3.3-38
Table 3.3-9 2015 California Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory.....	3.3-39
Table 3.3-10 Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the High-Speed Rail Tracks/Stations.....	3.3-40
Table 3.3-11 2015 Statewide No Project Emissions (Medium Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-48
Table 3.3-12 2015 Statewide No Project Emissions (High Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-48
Table 3.3-13 2040 Statewide No Project Emissions (Medium Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-48
Table 3.3-14 2040 Statewide No Project Emissions (High Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-49
Table 3.3-15 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Construction Emissions.....	3.3-50
Table 3.3-16 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Programmatic Construction Emissions.....	3.3-50
Table 3.3-17 High-Speed Rail Early Action Project Components Construction Emissions.....	3.3-53
Table 3.3-18 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Construction Emissions (Metric Tons per Year).....	3.3-57
Table 3.3-19 Early Action Projects Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Construction Emissions.....	3.3-58
Table 3.3-20 Carbon Monoxide Concentrations from Construction Emissions.....	3.3-61

Table 3.3-21 Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations from Construction Emissions 3.3-62

Table 3.3-22 Diesel Particulate Matter Excess Cancer Risk Associated with Construction Emissions 3.3-63

Table 3.3-23 2015 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative vs. No Project (Medium Ridership Scenario) 3.3-68

Table 3.3-24 2015 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative vs. No Project (High Ridership Scenario) 3.3-69

Table 3.3-25 2040 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative vs. No Project (Medium Ridership Scenario) 3.3-69

Table 3.3-26 2040 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative vs. No Project (High Ridership) 3.3-69

Table 3.3-27 2015 Regional Emissions Changes Due to High-Speed Rail Operations (Tons per Year) (Medium Ridership Scenario)..... 3.3-71

Table 3.3-28 2015 Regional Emissions Changes Due to High-Speed Rail Operations (Tons per Year) (High Ridership Scenario) 3.3-71

Table 3.3-29 2040 Regional Emissions Changes Due to High-Speed Rail Operations (Tons per Year) (Medium Ridership Scenario)..... 3.3-72

Table 3.3-30 2040 Regional Emissions Changes Due to High-Speed Rail Operations (Tons per Year) (High Ridership Scenario) 3.3-72

Table 3.3-31 Estimated Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emissions for the No Project Alternative under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios..... 3.3-73

Table 3.3-32 Estimated Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emission Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios 3.3-73

Table 3.3-33 Maximum Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Intersections near the Burbank Airport Station 3.3-76

Table 3.3-34 Maximum Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Intersections near Los Angeles Union Station 3.3-77

Table 3.3-35 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects 3.3-81

Table 3.3-36 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality and Global Climate Change..... 3.3-82

Table 3.4-1 Federal Highway Administration Noise Abatement Criteria in A-Weighted Decibels 3.4-4

Table 3.4-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.4-6

Table 3.4-3 Regional and Local Plans and Policies Inconsistencies..... 3.4-10

Table 3.4-4 Definition of Resource Study Areas..... 3.4-11

Table 3.4-5 Federal Railroad Administration Construction Noise Assessment Criteria 3.4-15

Table 3.4-6 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Operational and Geometric Assumptions 3.4-16

Table 3.4-7 Land Use Categories and Metrics for Transit Noise Impact Criteria 3.4-17

Table 3.4-8 Noise Impact Criteria: Effect on Cumulative Noise Exposure 3.4-19

Table 3.4-9 Federal Railroad Administration Construction Vibration Damage Criteria 3.4-20

Table 3.4-10 Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria 3.4-21

Table 3.4-11 Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings 3.4-21

Table 3.4-12 Interpretation of Vibration Criteria for Detailed Analysis.....	3.4-23
Table 3.4-13 Noise Criteria Exceedance Screening Distances for High-Speed Rail Construction Activities in Residential Areas	3.4-32
Table 3.4-14 Typical Noise Levels from Construction Activities for Public Works Projects	3.4-33
Table 3.4-15 Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment.....	3.4-35
Table 3.4-16 Distances to Construction Vibration Annoyance Criteria.....	3.4-35
Table 3.4-17 Distances to Construction Vibration Damage Criteria	3.4-36
Table 3.4-18 Noise Impact Summary Without Mitigation.....	3.4-37
Table 3.4-19 Operations Impact on Schools.....	3.4-42
Table 3.4-20 Vibration and Ground-Borne Noise Impacts.....	3.4-43
Table 3.4-21 Noise Barrier Analysis—High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	3.4-52
Table 3.4-22 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative—Severe Residual Impacts: Mitigation Not Considered	3.4-52
Table 3.4-23 Potential Vibration Mitigation Procedures and Descriptions.....	3.4-55
Table 3.4-24 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects	3.4-56
Table 3.4-25 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Noise and Vibration	3.4-58
Table 3.5-1 Relationship between Typical Frequencies and Their Wavelengths.....	3.5-3
Table 3.5-2 Typical Magnetic Field Strengths.....	3.5-3
Table 3.5-3 Typical Electromagnetic Field Levels for Transmission/Power Lines.....	3.5-4
Table 3.5-4 Radio Frequency Emissions Safety Levels Expressed as Maximum Permissible Exposure	3.5-6
Table 3.5-5 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.5-8
Table 3.5-6 Definition of Resource Study Area	3.5-11
Table 3.5-7 Summary of CEQA Impact Thresholds	3.5-16
Table 3.5-8 Electromagnetic Field Measurement Locations.....	3.5-17
Table 3.5-9 Summary Comparison of Measured and Modeled Magnetic Fields.....	3.5-18
Table 3.5-10 List of Potentially Sensitive Receptors	3.5-18
Table 3.5-11 Summary of High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Exterior EMF Levels	3.5-23
Table 3.5-12 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for EMI/EMF.....	3.5-33
Table 3.6-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.6-7
Table 3.6-2 Resource Study Area Information.....	3.6-11
Table 3.6-3 Construction Energy Consumption Assumptions for the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.6-15
Table 3.6-4 Study Area Utility and Energy Providers	3.6-18
Table 3.6-5 Water Suppliers in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Area	3.6-20
Table 3.6-6 Wastewater Treatment Plant Existing Average Flow and Capacity Summary for the Resource Study Area	3.6-21

Table 3.6-7 Landfill Facility Summary for Resource Study Area 3.6-22

Table 3.6-8 Fuel Sources for Electric Power in California in 2015 3.6-24

Table 3.6-9 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Impacts on High-Risk and Major Utilities 3.6-31

Table 3.6-10 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Impacts on Other Significant Utility Facilities 3.6-31

Table 3.6-11 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Impacts on Low-Risk Utility Facilities 3.6-31

Table 3.6-12 Construction Water Use Summary 3.6-51

Table 3.6-13 Construction Water Use by City 3.6-52

Table 3.6-14 Construction Energy Consumption Assumptions for the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section High-Speed Rail Build Alternative 3.6-56

Table 3.6-15 2040 Estimated Change in Energy Consumption Due to the High-Speed Rail System (Medium Ridership Scenario to High Ridership Scenario) 3.6-56

Table 3.6-16 Anticipated Project Water Demand at Proposed High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Stations 3.6-58

Table 3.6-17 Estimated Project Wastewater (Sewage) Generated for the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Stations 3.6-60

Table 3.6-19 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Public Utilities and Energy Resources 3.6-66

Table 3.7-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.7-10

Table 3.7-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas 3.7-16

Table 3.7-3 Summary of Plant Communities and Land Cover Types within the Wildlife Resource Study Area 3.7-25

Table 3.7-4 Occurrence Records of Special-Status Plant Species within or near the Supplemental Habitat Study Area 3.7-26

Table 3.7-5 Occurrence Records of Special-Status Wildlife Species within or near the Supplemental Habitat Resource Study Area 3.7-28

Table 3.7-6 Summary of Waters of the U.S. within the Aquatic Resource Study Area 3.7-35

Table 3.7-7 Summary of California Fish and Game Code §1600 Aquatic Resources Within the Aquatic Resource Study Area 3.7-36

Table 3.7-8 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Direct Impacts by Upland Vegetation and Land Cover Type 3.7-39

Table 3.7-9 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Direct Impacts to Potentially Suitable Habitat for Southern Tarplant 3.7-40

Table 3.7-10 Anticipated Temporary Direct Effects on Aquatic Resources 3.7-53

Table 3.7-11 Anticipated Permanent Effects on Aquatic Resources 3.7-54

Table 3.7-12 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects 3.7-75

Table 3.7-13 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Biological and Aquatic Resources 3.7-78

Table 3.8-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.8-5

Table 3.8-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas 3.8-9

Table 3.8-3 Receiving Waters Beneficial Uses 3.8-25

Table 3.8-4 Surface Water Quality Objectives for Inland Surface Waters	3.8-26
Table 3.8-5 Groundwater Basins in the Vicinity of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section of the California High-Speed Rail Project	3.8-30
Table 3.8-6 Groundwater Quality Objectives	3.8-31
Table 3.8-7 Floodplains in the Vicinity of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section of the California High-Speed Rail Project	3.8-38
Table 3.8-8 Groundwater Basins Crossed by the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	3.8-49
Table 3.8-9 Floodplains Crossed by the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	3.8-53
Table 3.8-10 Floodplain Crossings Water Surface Elevation Comparison	3.8-58
Table 3.8-11 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects	3.8-67
Table 3.8-12 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hydrology and Water Resources	3.8-69
Table 3.9-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies: Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	3.9-5
Table 3.9-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies: Paleontological Resources	3.9-6
Table 3.9-3 Definition of Resource Study Areas	3.9-8
Table 3.9-4 Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Sensitivity Categories	3.9-14
Table 3.9-5 Summary of Geologic Units within the General Geology and Paleontological Resource Study Areas	3.9-21
Table 3.9-6 Properties of Major Soil Types in the Resource Study Area	3.9-23
Table 3.9-7 Hazardous and Potentially Hazardous Faults Near or Crossing the HSR Build Alternative	3.9-29
Table 3.9-8 Hazardous Faults in the Seismicity Resource Study Area	3.9-34
Table 3.9-9 Potentially Hazardous Faults in the Seismicity Resource Study Area	3.9-35
Table 3.9-10 Significant Seismic Events in Southern California	3.9-35
Table 3.9-11 Mining Facilities near the HSR Build Alternative	3.9-40
Table 3.9-12 Paleontological Sensitivity Evaluation of Geologic Units in the Paleontological Resources Resource Study Area	3.9-41
Table 3.9-13 Geologic Units Sensitive to Paleontological Resources Potentially Affected by Development of Project Section Components	3.9-59
Table 3.9-14 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources	3.9-71
Table 3.10-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.10-7
Table 3.10-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas	3.10-9
Table 3.10-3 Ranking Applied to Potential Environmental Concern Sites in the Resource Study Area	3.10-13
Table 3.10-4 Waste Disposal Sites in the Resource Study Area	3.10-16
Table 3.10-5 Educational Facilities in the Resource Study Area	3.10-26
Table 3.10-6 Potential Environmental Concern Properties in the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Project Footprint	3.10-33
Table 3.10-7 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects	3.10-39

Table 3.10-8 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hazardous Materials and Wastes	3.10-42
Table 3.12-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.12-4
Table 3.12-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.12-10
Table 3.12-3 State and Region Population Growth (2000–2040).....	3.12-19
Table 3.12-4 City Population Growth (2010–2040)	3.12-20
Table 3.12-5 Neighborhood Council Area Population (Census 2010).....	3.12-20
Table 3.12-6 State and Region Minority Group Representation.....	3.12-21
Table 3.12-7 City Minority Group Representation (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-21
Table 3.12-8 Neighborhood Council Area Minority Group Representation (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-22
Table 3.12-9 Region Population Age Distribution (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-23
Table 3.12-10 City Population Age Distribution (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-23
Table 3.12-11 Neighborhood Council Area Population Age Distribution (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-24
Table 3.12-12 Regional Median Annual Household Income and Percentage of Families Below the Poverty Level (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-24
Table 3.12-13 City Median Annual Household Income and Percentage of Families Below the Poverty Level (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-25
Table 3.12-14 Neighborhood Council Area Median Annual Household Income and Percentage of Families Below the Poverty Level (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-25
Table 3.12-15 Regional Household Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-26
Table 3.12-16 City Household Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey) ..	3.12-26
Table 3.12-17 Neighborhood Council Area Household Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-27
Table 3.12-18 Regional Limited English Proficiency Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-28
Table 3.12-19 City Limited English Proficiency Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-28
Table 3.12-20 Neighborhood Council Area Limited English Proficiency Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-29
Table 3.12-21 State and Regional Disability Status (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-29
Table 3.12-22 City Disability Status (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-29
Table 3.12-23 Neighborhood Council Area Disability Status (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-30
Table 3.12-24 City Community Cohesion Indicators (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-31

Table 3.12-25 Neighborhood Council Area Community Cohesion Indicators (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-31
Table 3.12-26 Regional Housing Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-33
Table 3.12-27 Regional Housing Unit Tenure (2010–2014 American Community Survey) ..	3.12-33
Table 3.12-28 State and Regional Foreclosure Rates.....	3.12-33
Table 3.12-29 City Housing Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-34
Table 3.12-30 City Housing Unit Tenure (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-34
Table 3.12-31 City Foreclosure Rates	3.12-34
Table 3.12-32 Neighborhood Council Area Housing Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-35
Table 3.12-33 Neighborhood Council Area Housing Unit Tenure (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-36
Table 3.12-34 Neighborhood Council Area Existing Businesses	3.12-37
Table 3.12-35 Utility Providers within the Population and Community Impacts Resource Study Areas	3.12-39
Table 3.12-36 Proposed and Existing Bikeways within the Direct Resource Study Area	3.12-43
Table 3.12-37 State and Regional Unemployment (November 2017).....	3.12-44
Table 3.12-38 by Industry (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-45
Table 3.12-39 City Unemployment (November 2017)	3.12-45
Table 3.12-40 City Employment by Industry (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-46
Table 3.12-41 Local Government Revenues in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (Fiscal Year 2014–2015)	3.12-47
Table 3.12-42 School Districts in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (Fiscal Year 2014–2015).....	3.12-47
Table 3.12-43 Residential Displacements under the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	3.12-57
Table 3.12-44 Number of Residential Units Available for Relocation	3.12-57
Table 3.12-45 Commercial, Industrial, and Retail Relocations under the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.12-58
Table 3.12-46 Commercial, Industrial, and Retail Displacements by City and Category	3.12-59
Table 3.12-47 Available Commercial, Industrial, and Retail Properties for Lease and Sale ..	3.12-59
Table 3.12-48 Gap Analysis of Commercial, Industrial, and Retail Displacements under the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	3.12-60
Table 3.12-49 Regional Business Vacancy Rates in Percent	3.12-60
Table 3.12-50 Sensitive Populations in Areas of Residential Displacements and Los Angeles County	3.12-62
Table 3.12-51 Temporary Impacts on Community Facilities from Construction.....	3.12-63
Table 3.12-52 Permanent Impacts on Community Facilities from Construction.....	3.12-65
Table 3.12-53 Estimated Changes in Property Tax Revenue	3.12-66
Table 3.12-54 Estimated Permanent Changes in Sales Tax Revenue	3.12-67

Table 3.12-55 Residential and Student Displacements in School Districts 3.12-68

Table 3.12-56 School District Revenue Losses 3.12-68

Table 3.12-57 Types of Jobs Created by the HSR Built Alternative Operation and Maintenance 3.12-75

Table 3.12-58 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects 3.12-84

Table 3.12-59 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Socioeconomics and Communities 3.12-88

Table 3.13-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.13-4

Table 3.13-2 Definition of Resource Study Area 3.13-7

Table 3.13-3 Temporary Conversion of Existing Land Uses 3.13-50

Table 3.13-4 Temporary Conversions of Planned Land Uses 3.13-52

Table 3.13-5 Permanent Conversions of Existing Land Uses 3.13-56

Table 3.13-6 Permanent Conversion of Planned Land Uses 3.13-57

Table 3.13-7 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development..... 3.13-69

Table 3.16-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.16-4

Table 3.16-2 Definition of Resource Study Area 3.16-6

Table 3.16-3 Existing Viewer Groups and Preferences 3.16-18

Table 3.16-4 Upper San Fernando Valley Landscape Unit—Existing Visual Quality..... 3.16-20

Table 3.16-5 Lower San Fernando Valley Landscape Unit—Existing Visual Quality..... 3.16-30

Table 3.16-6 Downtown Los Angeles Landscape Unit—Existing Visual Quality..... 3.16-37

Table 3.16-7 Summary of Visual Quality Changes and Impacts at Key Viewpoints 3.16-47

Table 3.16-8 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects 3.16-102

Table 3.16-9 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Aesthetics and Visual Quality 3.16-104

Table 3.17-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.17-8

Table 3.17-2 Inconsistency with Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.17-11

Table 3.17-3 Section 106 Technical Reports and Concurrence Dates 3.17-12

Table 3.17-4 Summary of Outreach Efforts to Identify Agency and Other Interested Consulting Parties 3.17-13

Table 3.17-5 Summary of Outreach Efforts to Identify Native American Consulting/Concurring Parties 3.17-17

Table 3.17-6 Consulting Parties in the Preparation of the Memorandum of Agreement 3.17-21

Table 3.17-7 Definition of Resource Study Areas (Areas of Potential Effect) 3.17-22

Table 3.17-8 Previously Identified Archaeological Resources within and Immediately Adjacent to the Area of Potential Effects 3.17-45

Table 3.17-9 Previously Identified Built Resources Listed on or Eligible for the National Register of Historic Places within the Area of Potential Effects 3.17-50

Table 3.17-10 Newly Identified Built Resources within the Area of Potential Effects Eligible for the National Register of Historic Places 3.17-54

Table 3.17-11 Built Resources Significant under CEQA	3.17-59
Table 3.17-12 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects	3.17-86
Table 3.17-13 Summary of Effects under the National Historic Preservation Act	3.17-89
Table 3.17-14 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources	3.17-91
Table 3.18-1 Regional and Local Plans	3.18-4
Table 3.18-2 Definition of Resource Study Area	3.18-6
Table 3.18-3 Regional Employment by Industry for Los Angeles County	3.18-10
Table 3.18-4 Regional Long-Range Employment Projections, 2017 and 2040.....	3.18-10
Table 3.18-5 Unemployment (Annual Average, 2010, 2015 & 2017).....	3.18-11
Table 3.18-6 Population Increase in the Resource Study Area, 2000–2010.....	3.18-12
Table 3.18-7 Population Projections in the Resource Study Area, 2010–2040.....	3.18-12
Table 3.18-8 Existing and Projected Housing Units	3.18-13
Table 3.18-9 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Employment Growth During Construction (in Annual Job Years)	3.18-14
Table 3.18-10 Direct, Indirect, and Induced Jobs by 2040	3.18-16
Table 3.18-11 Regional Projected and Induced Operations and Maintenance Employment Growth	3.18-17
Table 3.18-12 Regional Projected and Induced Population Growth.....	3.18-17
Table 3.18-13 Regional Projected and Induced Housing Growth.....	3.18-20
Table 3.19-1 Population Increase in the Resource Study Area (2000–2010)	3.19-5
Table 3.19-2 Cumulative Transportation and Transit Project List.....	3.19-13
Table 3.19-3 Cumulative Development Project List.....	3.19-14
Table 3.19-4 Cumulative Utility Project List	3.19-15
Table 3.19-5 Cumulative Bridge Preventive Maintenance Project List.....	3.19-15
Table 3.19-6 Cumulative Sewer Project List.....	3.19-16
Table 3.19-7 Population Projections for Counties and Cities Traversed by the Burbank to Los Angeles Section of the California High-Speed Rail Project, 2010–2040.....	3.19-16
Table 3.19-8 Definition of Cumulative Resource Study Areas.....	3.19-18
Table 3.19-9 Summary of Cumulative Construction Impacts	3.19-82
Table 3.19-10 Summary of Cumulative Operations Impacts	3.19-85
Figure 4-11 Project Footprint near Griffith Manor Park.....	4-41
Table 4-1 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of Park and Recreation Resources	4-71
Figure 4-26 Project Footprint near ConnectUS Cycle Track	4-72
Table 4-2 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of National Register of Historic Places Listed or Eligible Properties.....	4-87
Table 4-3 Measures to Minimize Harm	4-95
Table 5-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	5-5
Table 5-2 Definition of Reference Community and Resource Study Area	5-7

Table 5-3 Comparison of U.S. Census Poverty Threshold and Department of Health and Human Services Poverty Guidelines 5-11

Table 5-4 Population within 0.5 Mile of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative 5-12

Table 5-5 Specific Outreach Efforts 5-14

Table 5-6 Environmental Justice Reference Community (American Community Survey 2010–2014)..... 5-14

Table 5-7 Environmental Justice Reference Community and Resource Study Area Demographic Characteristics (American Community Survey 2010–2014) 5-15

Table 5-8 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Environmental Justice Targeted Outreach Activity (August 2015–December 2018) 5-36

Table 5-9 Displacements within the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area 5-47

Table 5-10 Impacts under NEPA 5-77

Table 6-1 Capital Cost of the Burbank to Los Angeles Alternative (2018\$ in millions) 6-4

Table 6-2 Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs for Phase 1 (2015\$ in millions) 6-7

Table 6-3 Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs, Apportioned to the High-Speed Rail Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (2015\$ in millions) 6-8

Table 8-1 Key Issues Considered during Development of Alternatives and Draft EIR/EIS 8-5

Table 8-2 Benefits of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative 8-14

Table 9-1 Public Scoping Notice Publication Information 9-4

Table 9-2 Key Issues Considered During Development of Alternatives and Draft EIR/EIS 9-5

Table 9-3 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Outreach Activity 9-14

This page intentionally left blank