

California High-Speed Rail Authority

Burbank to Los Angeles

Project Section

Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement

Volume 1: EIR/EIS

September 2021



The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being or have been carried out by the State of California pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated July 23, 2019, and executed by the Federal Railroad Administration and the State of California.

This page intentionally left blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume I: EIR/EIS

PREFACE

What is this Document?	i
How Do I Use This Document?	ii
What Has Changed?	iv
Summary of Changes	v
What Happens Next?	v
Burbank to Los Angeles Milestone Schedule	vi

S	SUMMARY	S-1
S.1	Introduction and Background	S-1
S.2	Summary of Changes Between Draft and Final EIR/ EIS	S-4
S.2.1	Engineering and Design Refinements	S-4
S.2.2	Summary of Environmental Analysis Changes	S-5
S.2.3	Evaluation of Need for CEQA Recirculation or NEPA Supplementation	S-7
S.3	Tiered Environmental Review—Final Statewide Program EIR/EIS and Burbank to Los Angeles Section Project Section EIR/EIS	S-8
S.4	Issues Raised during the Scoping Process	S-9
S.5	Purpose of and Need for the Statewide High-Speed Rail System and the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	S-12
S.5.1	Purpose of the Statewide High-Speed Rail System	S-12
S.5.2	Purpose of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	S-12
S.5.3	Objectives for the High-Speed Rail System Statewide and within the Burbank to Los Angeles Region	S-12
S.5.4	Need for the High-Speed Rail System Statewide and within the Burbank to Los Angeles Region	S-13
S.6	Alternatives	S-14
S.6.1	No Project Alternative	S-15
S.6.2	Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Build Alternative	S-16
S.6.3	Station Area Development	S-18
S.7	Design Considerations to Avoid and Minimize Impacts	S-23
S.8	No Project Alternative Impacts	S-23
S.9	High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Evaluation	S-24
S.9.1	High-Speed Rail Project Benefits	S-24
S.9.2	Adverse Effects of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	S-25
S.9.3	Capital and Operational Costs	S-62
S.9.4	Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Impacts	S-63
S.9.5	Environmental Justice Effects	S-64
S.10	Areas of Controversy	S-65
S.11	Identification of Preferred Alternative	S-66
S.12	Draft EIR/EIS Circulation and Review	S-66
S.12.1	Public and Agency Comment Summary	S-67
S.13	Next Steps in the Environmental Process	S-67
S.13.1	California High-Speed Rail Authority Decision-Making	S-68
S.13.2	Federal Railroad Administration Decision-Making	S-68
S.13.3	Surface Transportation Board Decision-Making	S-68
S.14	Project Implementation	S-69
1	PROJECT PURPOSE, NEED, AND OBJECTIVES	1-1
1.1	Introduction	1-1
1.1.1	The High-Speed Rail System	1-1

1.1.2	The Decision to Develop a Statewide High-Speed Rail System	1-3
1.1.3	Implementation of the Statewide High-Speed Rail System	1-4
1.1.4	Burbank to Los Angeles High-Speed Rail Project Section	1-8
1.1.5	Lead Agencies, NEPA Cooperating Agencies, CEQA Responsible Agencies	1-8
1.1.6	Compatibility with Federal Transportation Policy.....	1-10
1.2	Purpose of and Need for the High-Speed Rail System and the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	1-11
1.2.1	Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System	1-11
1.2.2	Purpose of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section.....	1-11
1.2.3	CEQA Project Objectives of the High-Speed Rail System in California and in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	1-11
1.2.4	Statewide and Regional Need for the High-Speed Rail System in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	1-12
1.3	Relationship to Other Agency Plans, Policies and Programs	1-31
1.3.1	California Transportation Plan 2040	1-32
1.3.2	Measure R (Los Angeles County).....	1-32
1.3.3	Measure M (Los Angeles County)	1-33
1.3.4	2016–2040 Southern California Association of Governments Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy.....	1-33
1.3.5	Los Angeles Union Station Master Plan	1-34
1.3.6	Metro Antelope Valley Line Capacity and Service Improvements Program	1-34
1.3.7	Metrolink 5-Year Short Range Transit Plan	1-35
1.3.8	Metrolink 10-Year Strategic Plan	1-35
1.3.9	Metrolink SCORE Program.....	1-35
1.3.10	Los Angeles-Glendale-Burbank Corridor Feasibility Study	1-36
1.4	Relationship to Other Transportation Projects in the Project Vicinity	1-36
1.4.1	Regional Intermodal Transportation Center at Hollywood Burbank Airport.....	1-36
1.4.2	Elevate Hollywood Burbank Airport	1-37
1.4.3	linkBurbank	1-37
1.4.4	Metrolink Brighton to McGinley Double Track Project.....	1-38
1.4.5	Buena Vista Street and Empire Avenue Grade Separation.....	1-38
1.4.6	Interstate 5 HOV Project.....	1-38
1.4.7	SR 2 Freeway Terminus Improvement.....	1-38
1.4.8	Link Union Station.....	1-39
1.4.9	Metro Regional Connector	1-39
1.4.10	Metro Westside Purple Line Extension.....	1-39
1.4.11	Metro East San Fernando Valley North/South Corridor	1-39
1.4.12	Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension.....	1-40
1.4.13	Metro Crenshaw/LAX Transit Extension Project	1-40
1.4.14	Metro Doran Street and Broadway/Brazil Safety and Access Project.....	1-40
1.4.15	Metro Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit Improvements Project.....	1-40
1.4.16	Patsaouras Plaza Expansion.....	1-41
2	ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1	Introduction.....	2-1
2.1.1	Independent Utility	2-3
2.2	Background of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section EIR/EIS	2-3
2.3	High-Speed Rail System Infrastructure.....	2-4
2.3.1	System Design Performance, Safety, and Security.....	2-4
2.3.2	Vehicles.....	2-6
2.3.3	Stations	2-7
2.3.4	Infrastructure Components	2-9

2.3.5	Grade Separations.....	2-13
2.3.6	Traction Power Distribution.....	2-14
2.3.7	Signaling and Train-Control Elements.....	2-19
2.3.8	Track Structure.....	2-20
2.3.9	Maintenance Facilities.....	2-20
2.4	Alternatives Considered during the Alternatives Screening Process.....	2-21
2.4.1	High-Speed Rail Project-Level Alternatives Development Process.....	2-22
2.4.2	Range of Potential Alternatives Considered and Findings.....	2-23
2.5	Alignment and Station Alternatives Evaluated in This Project EIR/EIS.....	2-33
2.5.1	No Project Alternative—Planned Improvements.....	2-34
2.5.2	High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	2-40
2.6	Travel Demand and Ridership Forecasts.....	2-72
2.6.1	Ridership and High-Speed Rail System Design.....	2-73
2.6.2	Ridership and Environmental Impact Analysis.....	2-74
2.6.3	Ridership and Station-Area Parking.....	2-74
2.7	Operations and Service Plan.....	2-75
2.7.1	High-Speed Rail Service.....	2-75
2.7.2	Maintenance Activities.....	2-76
2.8	Additional High-Speed Rail Development Considerations.....	2-77
2.8.1	High-Speed Rail, Land Use Patterns, and Development around High-Speed Rail Stations.....	2-77
2.8.2	Right-of-Way Acquisition for Construction, Operation, and Maintenance of High-Speed Rail.....	2-78
2.9	Construction Plan and Phased Implementation Plan.....	2-82
2.9.1	Design-Build Project Delivery.....	2-82
2.9.2	Phased Implementation Strategy.....	2-82
2.9.3	General Approach.....	2-83
2.9.4	Pre-Construction Activities.....	2-84
2.9.5	Major Construction Activities.....	2-92
2.10	Permits.....	2-97
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	3.1-1
3.1	Introduction.....	3.1-1
3.1.1	Chapter 3 Purpose.....	3.1-2
3.1.2	Chapter 3 Organization.....	3.1-3
3.1.3	Chapter 3 Content.....	3.1-3
3.1.4	Outreach to Local Agencies.....	3.1-12
3.1.5	Legal Authority to Implement Off-Site Mitigation.....	3.1-12
3.2	Transportation.....	3.2-1
3.2.1	Introduction.....	3.2-1
3.2.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.2-3
3.2.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws.....	3.2-11
3.2.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.2-12
3.2.5	Affected Environment.....	3.2-35
3.2.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.2-49
3.2.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.2-83
3.2.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.2-96
3.2.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.2-98
3.3	Air Quality and Global Climate Change.....	3.3-1
3.3.1	Introduction.....	3.3-1
3.3.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.3-2
3.3.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws.....	3.3-18
3.3.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.3-18
3.3.5	Affected Environment.....	3.3-32
3.3.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.3-48

	3.3.7	Mitigation Measures	3.3-82
	3.3.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.3-83
	3.3.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.3-84
3.4		Noise and Vibration	3.4-1
	3.4.1	Introduction	3.4-1
	3.4.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.4-2
	3.4.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.4-9
	3.4.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.4-10
	3.4.5	Affected Environment.....	3.4-24
	3.4.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.4-30
	3.4.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.4-49
	3.4.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.4-58
	3.4.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.4-59
3.5		Electromagnetic Interference and Electromagnetic Fields.....	3.5-1
	3.5.1	Introduction	3.5-1
	3.5.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.5-5
	3.5.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.5-9
	3.5.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.5-9
	3.5.5	Affected Environment.....	3.5-16
	3.5.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.5-20
	3.5.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.5-31
	3.5.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.5-32
	3.5.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.5-33
3.6		Public Utilities and Energy	3.6-1
	3.6.1	Introduction	3.6-1
	3.6.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.6-2
	3.6.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.6-11
	3.6.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.6-11
	3.6.5	Affected Environment.....	3.6-17
	3.6.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.6-27
	3.6.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.6-65
	3.6.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.6-66
	3.6.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.6-67
3.7		Biological and Aquatic Resources.....	3.7-1
	3.7.1	Introduction	3.7-1
	3.7.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.7-6
	3.7.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.7-14
	3.7.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.7-14
	3.7.5	Affected Environment.....	3.7-24
	3.7.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.7-39
	3.7.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.7-68
	3.7.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.7-79
	3.7.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.7-81
3.8		Hydrology and Water Resources	3.8-1
	3.8.1	Introduction	3.8-1
	3.8.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.8-2
	3.8.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.8-8
	3.8.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.8-9
	3.8.5	Affected Environment.....	3.8-18
	3.8.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.8-39
	3.8.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.8-67
	3.8.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.8-69
	3.8.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.8-70
3.9		Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources	3.9-1
	3.9.1	Introduction	3.9-1
	3.9.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.9-2

3.9.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.9-7
3.9.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.9-8
3.9.5	Affected Environment.....	3.9-16
3.9.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.9-49
3.9.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.9-68
3.9.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.9-69
3.9.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.9-70
3.10	Hazardous Materials and Wastes	3.10-1
3.10.1	Introduction	3.10-1
3.10.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.10-3
3.10.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.10-10
3.10.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.10-10
3.10.5	Affected Environment.....	3.10-15
3.10.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.10-31
3.10.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.10-46
3.10.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.10-47
3.10.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.10-51
3.11	Safety and Security	3.11-1
3.11.1	Introduction	3.11-1
3.11.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.11-4
3.11.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.11-17
3.11.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.11-19
3.11.5	Affected Environment.....	3.11-26
3.11.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.11-49
3.11.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.11-77
3.11.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.11-78
3.11.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.11-79
3.12	Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-1
3.12.1	Introduction	3.12-1
3.12.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.12-2
3.12.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.12-7
3.12.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.12-7
3.12.5	Affected Environment.....	3.12-16
3.12.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.12-47
3.12.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.12-78
3.12.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.12-85
3.12.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.12-88
3.13	Station Planning, Land Use, and Development.....	3.13-1
3.13.1	Introduction	3.13-1
3.13.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.13-2
3.13.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.13-7
3.13.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.13-8
3.13.5	Affected Environment.....	3.13-12
3.13.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.13-47
3.13.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.13-68
3.13.8	NEPA Impact Summary.....	3.13-68
3.13.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.13-69
3.14	Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land.....	3.14-1
3.15	Parks, Recreation, and Open Space.....	3.15-1
3.15.1	Introduction	3.15-2
3.15.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.15-3
3.15.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.15-9
3.15.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.15-10
3.15.5	Affected Environment.....	3.15-15
3.15.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.15-15
3.15.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.15-49

3.15.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.15-53
3.15.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.15-55
3.16	Aesthetics and Visual Quality	3.16-1
3.16.1	Introduction	3.16-1
3.16.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.16-2
3.16.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.16-5
3.16.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.16-6
3.16.5	Affected Environment.....	3.16-12
3.16.6	Environmental Consequences	3.16-41
3.16.7	Mitigation Measures	3.16-99
3.16.8	NEPA Impact Summary	3.16-102
3.16.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.16-102
3.17	Cultural Resources	3.17-1
3.17.1	Introduction	3.17-1
3.17.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.17-3
3.17.3	Consistency with Local Plans and Laws.....	3.17-10
3.17.4	Coordination of Section 106 Process with NEPA and CEQA Compliance	3.17-12
3.17.5	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.17-21
3.17.6	Affected Environment.....	3.17-40
3.17.7	Environmental Consequences	3.17-59
3.17.8	Mitigation Measures	3.17-78
3.17.9	NEPA Impact Summary	3.17-85
3.17.10	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.17-89
3.18	Regional Growth.....	3.18-1
3.18.1	Introduction	3.18-1
3.18.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.18-2
3.18.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.18-6
3.18.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.18-6
3.18.5	Affected Environment.....	3.18-9
3.18.6	Environmental Consequences	3.18-13
3.18.7	NEPA Impact Summary	3.18-22
3.18.8	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.18-23
3.19	Cumulative Impacts.....	3.19-1
3.19.1	Introduction	3.19-1
3.19.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.19-2
3.19.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.19-3
3.19.4	Cumulative Projects and Growth Forecasts	3.19-5
3.19.5	Historical Context.....	3.19-5
3.19.6	Projected Growth Trends	3.19-6
3.19.7	Organization of Cumulative Impact Analysis	3.19-18
3.19.8	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	3.19-23
3.19.9	Mitigation Measures (for Any Newly Identified Significant Cumulative Impacts)	3.19-82
3.19.10	Impact Summary	3.19-83
4	SECTION 4(F) AND SECTION 6(F) EVALUATIONS.....	4-1
4.1	Introduction.....	4-2
4.1.1	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	4-3
4.1.2	Study Area	4-5
4.1.3	Section 4(f) Applicability.....	4-5
4.1.4	Section 4(f) Use Definition	4-6
4.2	Coordination	4-8
4.2.1	Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges	4-8
4.2.2	Cultural Resources	4-9

4.3	Purpose and Need	4-9
4.4	Alternatives.....	4-9
4.4.1	No Project Alternative	4-10
4.4.2	High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	4-10
4.5	Section 4(f) Applicability Analysis	4-12
4.5.1	Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges	4-12
4.5.2	Cultural Resources	4-17
4.6	Section 4(f) Use Assessment.....	4-18
4.6.1	Parks, Recreation, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges	4-18
4.6.2	Cultural Resources	4-81
4.7	Avoidance Alternatives.....	4-96
4.7.1	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: San Fernando Railroad Bike Path (Planned).....	4-97
4.7.2	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: Arroyo Seco Parkway Historic District.....	4-98
4.7.3	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: Broadway (Buena Vista) Viaduct.....	4-99
4.7.4	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: Spring Street Viaduct	4-100
4.7.5	Feasible and Prudent Analysis of Avoidance Alternatives: Main Street Bridge	4-101
4.8	Measures to Minimize Harm	4-102
4.9	Section 4(f) Least Harm Analysis.....	4-124
4.10	Section 6(f).....	4-124
5	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	5-1
5.1	Introduction.....	5-1
5.1.1	Definition of Resources.....	5-2
5.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	5-3
5.2.1	Federal.....	5-3
5.2.2	State.....	5-4
5.2.3	Regional and Local	5-6
5.2.4	Consistency with Plans and Laws	5-7
5.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	5-7
5.3.1	Definition of Reference Community and Resource Study Area	5-7
5.3.2	Methods for NEPA Impact Analysis.....	5-10
5.3.3	Environmental Justice Engagement	5-14
5.4	Affected Environment.....	5-15
5.4.1	Reference Community Demographics.....	5-15
5.4.2	Resource Study Area Demographics	5-15
5.4.3	Station Area Demographics.....	5-33
5.5	Environmental Justice Engagement.....	5-33
5.5.1	Affected Populations and Communities.....	5-34
5.5.2	Summary of Public Outreach Issues and Concerns.....	5-37
5.6	Environmental Consequences	5-39
5.6.1	Overview	5-39
5.6.2	No Project Alternative	5-39
5.6.3	High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	5-40
5.7	Summary of Disproportionate Effects	5-83
5.8	Measures to Minimize Harm	5-83
5.8.1	Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features.....	5-83
5.8.2	Mitigation Measures.....	5-86
5.8.3	Environmental Justice Community Engagement.....	5-87
5.9	California High-Speed Rail Authority’s Environmental Justice Determination	5-88
6	PROJECT COSTS AND OPERATIONS	6-1
6.1	Introduction.....	6-1

6.2	Capital Costs	6-1
6.2.1	Standardized Capital Cost Categories.....	6-1
6.2.2	Assumptions and Exclusions	6-2
6.2.3	Burbank to Los Angeles High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	6-3
6.2.4	Maintenance Facilities	6-5
6.3	Operating and Maintenance Costs.....	6-5
6.3.1	Assumptions	6-5
6.3.2	Operating Speeds	6-6
6.3.3	Development of Operations and Maintenance Costs	6-6
7	OTHER CEQA/NEPA CONSIDERATIONS	7-1
7.1	Unavoidable Significant Impacts	7-1
7.2	Project Benefits	7-5
7.3	Relationship between Short-Term Use of the Environment and the Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity.....	7-6
7.4	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes that Would Result from the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section if Implemented.....	7-7
8	PREFERRED ALTEARNATIVE AND STATION SITES	8-1
8.1	Introduction.....	8-1
8.2	Summary of Comments During the Planning and Scoping Process	8-3
8.2.1	California Legislators	8-5
8.2.2	Project Area Local Governments.....	8-6
8.2.3	Federal Agencies	8-7
8.2.4	State Agencies.....	8-8
8.2.5	Tribal Consultation	8-8
8.2.6	Regional and Other Public Agencies	8-9
8.2.7	Businesses.....	8-10
8.2.8	Organizations.....	8-10
8.2.9	Individuals	8-10
8.3	Summary of Comments on the Draft EIR/EIS.....	8-10
8.3.1	Federal Agencies	8-11
8.3.2	State Agencies.....	8-11
8.3.3	Regional Agencies	8-11
8.3.4	Local Agencies.....	8-12
8.3.5	Elected Officials	8-13
8.3.6	Businesses/Organizations	8-13
8.3.7	Individuals	8-13
8.4	Alternatives Considered	8-13
8.5	Preferred Alternative	8-15
8.5.1	Description	8-15
8.5.2	Identification of the Preferred Alternative.....	8-15
8.5.3	Preferred Alternative Acceptance Decision	8-16
8.6	Environmentally Superior Alternative	8-17
8.7	Environmentally Preferable Alternative.....	8-18
9	PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT	9-1
9.1	Environmental Justice Outreach	9-2
9.2	Public and Agency Scoping	9-3
9.2.1	Notices of Preparation, Notices of Intent, and Public Information Materials.....	9-3
9.2.2	Scoping Meetings	9-3
9.2.3	Scoping Comments.....	9-5
9.3	Alternatives Analysis Process.....	9-6
9.3.1	Public Information Meetings and Materials during the Alternatives Analysis Process.....	9-6

9.3.2	Technical Working Group Meetings during the Alternatives Analysis Process	9-7
9.3.3	Coordination with Other Railroad Owners/Operators	9-8
9.3.4	Agency Meetings during the Alternatives Analysis Process	9-8
9.4	Development of the Draft EIR/EIS	9-8
9.4.1	Outreach, Involvement, and Communications Guidance	9-8
9.4.2	Agreements and Memoranda of Understanding	9-9
9.4.3	Public Information Materials and Meetings	9-10
9.4.4	Tribal Coordination Meetings	9-11
9.4.5	Section 106 Consultation, National Historic Preservation Act	9-12
9.4.6	Agency Meetings and Consultation	9-12
9.5	Notification and Circulation of the Draft EIR/EIS	9-13
9.6	Publication and Review of the Draft EIR/EIS	9-14
9.6.1	Public and Agency Open Houses and Hearings	9-14
9.6.2	Comments on the Draft EIR/EIS	9-15
9.6.3	Response to Common Comments	9-16
9.6.4	Engineering and Design Refinements after Publication of the Draft EIR/EIS	9-17
9.6.5	Ongoing Outreach Leading up to Publication of the Final EIR/EIS	9-17
9.7	Preferred Alternative and Authority Decision-Making Process	9-18
9.8	Log of Public and Agency Meetings	9-18
10	FINAL EIR/EIS DISTRIBUTION	10-1
10.1	Repository Locations	10-1
10.1.1	Burbank	10-1
10.1.2	Glendale	10-2
10.1.3	Los Angeles	10-2
10.1.4	Sacramento	10-2
10.2	Federal Agencies	10-2
10.3	State Agencies	10-3
10.4	Elected Officials	10-4
10.4.1	Federal Elected Officials	10-5
10.4.2	State Elected Officials	10-5
10.4.3	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors	10-5
10.4.4	Los Angeles County Officials	10-5
10.4.5	Mayors	10-5
10.4.6	City Council Members	10-6
10.5	Regional/Local Agencies	10-6
10.5.1	City of Burbank	10-6
10.5.2	City of Glendale	10-7
10.5.3	City of Los Angeles	10-7
10.5.4	County of Los Angeles	10-7
10.5.5	Regional	10-7
10.6	Organizations and Businesses	10-8
10.7	Native American Contacts	10-9
10.8	Schools and School Districts	10-10
10.8.1	City of Burbank	10-10
10.8.2	City of Glendale	10-11
10.8.3	City of Los Angeles	10-11
11	LIST OF PREPARERS	11-1
12	REFERENCES	12-1
	Preface	12-1
	Summary	12-1
	Chapter 1: Project Purpose, Need, and Objectives	12-3
	Chapter 2: Alternatives	12-8

Chapter 3: Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation

- Measures..... 12-12
- Section 3.1: Introduction 12-12
- Section 3.2: Transportation 12-13
- Section 3.3: Air Quality and Global Climate Change 12-15
- Section 3.4: Noise and Vibration..... 12-19
- Section 3.5: Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference 12-20
- Section 3.6: Public Utilities and Energy 12-22
- Section 3.7: Biological Resources and Wetlands 12-25
- Section 3.8: Hydrology and Water Resources 12-28
- Section 3.9: Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources..... 12-30
- Section 3.10: Hazardous Materials and Wastes 12-34
- Section 3.11: Safety and Security 12-36
- Section 3.12: Socioeconomics and Communities..... 12-43
- Section 3.13: Station Planning, Land Use, and Development 12-47
- Section 3.14: Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land..... 12-49
- Section 3.15: Parks, Recreation, and Open Space 12-49
- Section 3.16: Aesthetics and Visual Quality 12-51
- Section 3.17: Cultural Resources..... 12-52
- Section 3.18: Regional Growth 12-54
- Section 3.19: Cumulative Impacts..... 12-56
- Chapter 4: Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluations..... 12-58
- Chapter 5: Environmental Justice 12-59
- Chapter 6: Project Costs and Operations 12-61
- Chapter 7: Other CEQA/NEPA Considerations 12-61
- Chapter 8: Preferred Alternative and Station Site(s) 12-61
- Chapter 9: Public and Agency Involvement..... 12-62

13 GLOSSARY OF TERMS..... 13-1

14 INDEX 14-1

15 ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS..... 15-1

Figures

- Figure S-1 California High-Speed Rail System..... S-2
- Figure S-2 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Alignment..... S-3
- Figure S-3 Key Design Features of the HSR Build Alternative..... S-17
- Figure S-4 Preliminary Station Concept Layout Plan, Burbank Airport Station S-20
- Figure S-5 Preliminary Station Elements Plan, Los Angeles Union Station S-22
- Figure S-6 Transportation Resource Study Area..... S-30
- Figure S-7 Cities and Communities Adjacent to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative S-54
- Figure S-8 Historic Bridges within the Resource Study Area S-59
- Figure 1-1 Statewide High-Speed Rail System, Program Alignments and Stations..... 1-2
- Figure 1-2 Statewide High-Speed Rail System – Project Sections 1-7
- Figure 1-3 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Corridor..... 1-9
- Figure 1-4 Existing and Future California Population (in millions) 1-13
- Figure 1-5 Intercity Trips in California (in millions)..... 1-17

Figure 1-6 Major Intercity Travel Routes and Airports	1-18
Figure 1-7 Regional Freight Network	1-19
Figure 1-8 Conventional Rail Routes in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	1-23
Figure 1-9 Other Transportation Projects in Los Angeles County	1-37
Figure 2-1 Examples of Japanese <i>Shinkansen</i> High-Speed Trains	2-6
Figure 2-2 Example of an At-Grade Profile Showing Contact Wire System and Vertical Arms of the Pantograph Power Pickups.....	2-7
Figure 2-3 Examples of Existing Stations	2-7
Figure 2-4 Simulated and Plan Views of a Functional Station and Its Various Components	2-8
Figure 2-5 Typical At-Grade Cross-Section	2-10
Figure 2-6 Retained-Fill Typical Cross-Section	2-10
Figure 2-7 Retained-Cut Typical Cross-Section	2-11
Figure 2-8 Typical Tunnel Cross-Section.....	2-12
Figure 2-9 Cut-and-Cover Tunnel Typical Cross-Section	2-12
Figure 2-10 Typical Roadway Overcrossing	2-13
Figure 2-11 Typical Cross-Section of Roadway Grade-Separated Beneath the High- Speed Rail Guideway	2-14
Figure 2-12 Traction Power Substation	2-15
Figure 2-13 Traction Power Substation Overhead Contact System Gantry	2-16
Figure 2-14 Switching Station	2-17
Figure 2-15 Paralleling Station.....	2-17
Figure 2-16 Paralleling Station Overhead Contact System Gantry	2-18
Figure 2-17 Los Angeles Basin Alignment and Station Options Carried forward from 2005 Program EIR/EIS	2-25
Figure 2-18 Evolution of Alternatives throughout the Alternatives Analysis Process	2-27
Figure 2-19 Burbank Airport Station Options Evaluated in Palmdale to Burbank 2015 Supplemental Alternatives Analysis	2-32
Figure 2-20 Evaluation of Burbank Airport Station Options Since 2016	2-33
Figure 2-21 Overview of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	2-42
Figure 2-22 New Electrified and Non-Electrified Tracks within Existing Right-of-Way	2-43
Figure 2-23 Standard Track Separations within Non-Constrained Right-of-Way	2-44
Figure 2-24 Reduced Track Separations within Constrained Right-of-Way	2-44
Figure 2-25 HSR Build Alternative Overview	2-46
Figure 2-26 Typical Cross-Section between State Route 134 and Chevy Chase Drive	2-49
Figure 2-27 Diagram of Existing and Proposed Metrolink Central Maintenance Facility	2-51
Figure 2-28 Typical Cross-Section from State Route 110 to Mission Junction	2-52
Figure 2-29 Preliminary Station Concept Layout Plan—Burbank Airport Station.....	2-56
Figure 2-30 Preliminary Station Concept Layout Plan—Burbank Airport Station.....	2-57

Figure 2-31 Preliminary Station Elements Plan—Los Angeles Union Station.....	2-58
Figure 2-32 Affected State Facilities	2-61
Figure 2-33 New and Modified Railroad Structures	2-64
Figure 2-34 Downtown Burbank Metrolink Station Site Plan	2-66
Figure 2-35 Sonora Avenue Grade Separation Footprint	2-67
Figure 2-36 Grandview Avenue Grade Separation Footprint	2-68
Figure 2-37 Flower Street Grade Separation Footprint	2-69
Figure 2-38 Goodwin Avenue/Chevy Chase Drive Grade Separation	2-70
Figure 2-39 Main Street Grade Separation Footprint	2-71
Figure 2-40 Burbank to Los Angeles Transit Service Area.....	2-79
Figure 2-41 Right-of-Way Process.....	2-81
Figure 2-42 Tunnel Alignment beneath Hollywood Burbank Airport Runway.....	2-94
Figure 2-43 Northern Tunnel Portal Elevation	2-95
Figure 2-44 Portal Excavation Support	2-96
Figure 2-45 Sequential Excavation Method Construction Sequencing	2-96
Figure 3.1-1 Typical Resource Study Area	3.1-5
Figure 3.2-1 Transportation Resource Study Area	3.2-14
Figure 3.2-2 Major Freeways/Highways and Traffic Volumes	3.2-36
Figure 3.2-3 Construction Detours.....	3.2-62
Figure 3.3-1 Aggregate GHG Emissions Reductions That Would Result from the California High-Speed Rail Project.....	3.3-12
Figure 3.3-2 South Coast Air Basin	3.3-20
Figure 3.3-3 Air Quality Monitoring Stations Closest to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.3-36
Figure 3.3-4 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 Feet of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.3-42
Figure 3.4-1 Noise and Vibration Resource Study Areas	3.4-12
Figure 3.4-2 Noise Impact Criteria for Transit and High-Speed Rail Projects	3.4-16
Figure 3.4-3 Allowable Increase in Cumulative Noise Levels (Categories 1 and 2).....	3.4-17
Figure 3.4-4 Federal Railroad Administration Detailed Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Criteria	3.4-21
Figure 3.4-5 Existing Noise Measurement Locations	3.4-25
Figure 3.4-6 Existing Vibration Measurement Locations	3.4-28
Figure 3.4-7 Land Use Category 2 Noise Impacts—High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	3.4-38
Figure 3.4-8 Land Use Categories 1 and 3 Noise Impacts—High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.4-40
Figure 3.4-9 Locations of Ground-Borne Noise and Vibration Impacts Resulting from Operation, Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	3.4-45
Figure 3.4-10 Sound Barrier Locations	3.4-55

Figure 3.5-1 Resource Study Area for Electromagnetic Interference/Electromagnetic Fields	3.5-10
Figure 3.5-2 Electromagnetic Field Measurement Site Locations	3.5-13
Figure 3.6-1 Water and Electrical Service Provider Areas	3.6-19
Figure 3.6-2 California Energy Consumption by Sector, 2014	3.6-23
Figure 3.6-3 California Transportation Energy Consumption by Source, 2014	3.6-23
Figure 3.6-4 Statewide Baseline Annual Electricity Consumption	3.6-26
Figure 3.6-5 Electric Transmission Lines	3.6-32
Figure 3.6-6 Natural Gas Pipelines	3.6-35
Figure 3.6-7 Sewer Lines and Storm Drains	3.6-38
Figure 3.6-8 Water Lines	3.6-41
Figure 3.6-9 Communication Facilities and Sites	3.6-44
Figure 3.6-10 Oil Pipelines	3.6-47
Figure 3.7-1 Biological Resource Study Areas	3.7-16
Figure 3.7-2 eBird Occurrence Records of Special-Status Bird Species	3.7-30
Figure 3.7-3 Structures Examined during the Bat Habitat Assessment	3.7-33
Figure 3.7-4 National Wetlands Inventory Occurrence Records of Special-Status Natural Communities	3.7-34
Figure 3.7-5 Direct Impacts to Potentially Suitable Southern Tarplant Habitat	3.7-42
Figure 3.8-1 Direct and Indirect Resource Study Area Overview Map	3.8-10
Figure 3.8-2 Direct and Indirect Resource Study Areas	3.8-11
Figure 3.8-3 Watershed	3.8-19
Figure 3.8-4 Surface Waters	3.8-22
Figure 3.8-5 Los Angeles River Crossings	3.8-23
Figure 3.8-6 Groundwater Basins	3.8-29
Figure 3.8-7 Floodplains Index Map	3.8-33
Figure 3.8-8 Floodplains	3.8-34
Figure 3.8-9 Lockheed Channel	3.8-55
Figure 3.9-1 General Geology, Resource Hazards, and Seismicity Resource Study Areas	3.9-9
Figure 3.9-2 Paleontological Resources Resource Study Area	3.9-10
Figure 3.9-3 Geologic Units in the General Geology and Paleontological Resources Resource Study Areas	3.9-18
Figure 3.9-4 Soil Associations in the Resource Hazards Resource Study Area	3.9-22
Figure 3.9-5 Historically High Groundwater Levels Map	3.9-28
Figure 3.9-6 Hazardous and Potentially Hazardous Faults in the Resource Hazards Resource Study Area	3.9-30
Figure 3.9-7 Hazardous and Potentially Hazardous Faults in the Seismicity Resource Study Area	3.9-33
Figure 3.9-8 Peak Ground Acceleration	3.9-36

Figure 3.9-9 Secondary Seismic Hazard Zones	3.9-37
Figure 3.9-10 California Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources Wells.....	3.9-41
Figure 3.9-11 Paleontological Sensitivity Map	3.9-43
Figure 3.10-1 High-Speed Rail Project Footprint and Resource Study Areas.....	3.10-11
Figure 3.10-2 HSR Build Alternative Alignment within USEPA Superfund Areas 1, 2, and 4 and the San Fernando Basin Groundwater Contaminant Plume	3.10-16
Figure 3.10-3 Waste Disposal Sites in the Resource Study Area	3.10-21
Figure 3.10-4 Oil Wells in the Resource Study Area	3.10-22
Figure 3.10-5 Potential Environmental Concerns	3.10-24
Figure 3.10-6 Superfund Site Location	3.10-38
Figure 3.11-1 Safety and Security Existing Conditions.....	3.11-20
Figure 3.11-2 Safety and Security Existing and Modified Crossings.....	3.11-39
Figure 3.11-3 Construction Detours.....	3.11-57
Figure 3.12-1 Definition of Direct and Indirect Impacts Resource Study Areas	3.12-8
Figure 3.12-2 Indirect and Direct Impacts Population and Community Resource Study Areas	3.12-9
Figure 3.12-3 Economic Impacts Resource Study Area	3.12-10
Figure 3.12-4 Cities and Communities Adjacent to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative ...	3.12-18
Figure 3.12-5 Construction Street Closures.....	3.12-51
Figure 3.13-1 Resource Study Area for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development.....	3.13-9
Figure 3.13-2 Existing Land Use.....	3.13-13
Figure 3.13-3 Planned Land Use	3.13-29
Figure 3.13-4 Existing Land Uses around Burbank Airport Station	3.13-63
Figure 3.13-5 Existing Land Uses around LAUS	3.13-64
Figure 3.13-6 Planned Land Uses around Burbank Airport Station.....	3.13-65
Figure 3.13-7 Planned Land Uses around LAUS.....	3.13-66
Figure 3.14-1 Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land Resource Study Area.....	3.14-2
Figure 3.15-1 Resource Study Area for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space	3.15-12
Figure 3.15-2 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative and Parks, Recreation, and Open Space .	3.15-16
Figure 3.15-3 Potential Permanent Impacts	3.15-41
Figure 3.16-1 Aesthetics and Visual Quality Resource Study Area.....	3.16-7
Figure 3.16-2 Key Viewpoint Locations	3.16-13
Figure 3.16-3 Proposed Construction Staging Areas	3.16-43
Figure 3.16-4 Key Viewpoint 1	3.16-50
Figure 3.16-5 Key Viewpoint 2.....	3.16-52
Figure 3.16-6 Key Viewpoint 3.....	3.16-54
Figure 3.16-7 Key Viewpoint 4.....	3.16-56
Figure 3.16-8 Key Viewpoint 5.....	3.16-58

Figure 3.16-9 Key Viewpoint 6 3.16-60

Figure 3.16-10 Key Viewpoint 7 3.16-62

Figure 3.16-11 Key Viewpoint 8 3.16-64

Figure 3.16-12 Key Viewpoint 9 3.16-66

Figure 3.16-13 Key Viewpoint 10 3.16-68

Figure 3.16-14 Key Viewpoint 11 3.16-70

Figure 3.16-15 Key Viewpoint 12 3.16-72

Figure 3.16-16 Key Viewpoint 13 3.16-74

Figure 3.16-17 Key Viewpoint 14 3.16-76

Figure 3.16-18 Key Viewpoint 15 3.16-78

Figure 3.16-19 Key Viewpoint 16 3.16-80

Figure 3.16-20 Key Viewpoint 17 3.16-82

Figure 3.16-21 Key Viewpoint 18 3.16-84

Figure 3.16-22 Key Viewpoint 19 3.16-86

Figure 3.16-23 Key Viewpoint 20 3.16-88

Figure 3.16-24 Key Viewpoint 21 3.16-90

Figure 3.16-25 Key Viewpoint 22 3.16-92

Figure 3.16-26 Key Viewpoint 23 3.16-94

Figure 3.16-27 Key Viewpoint 24 3.16-96

Figure 3.16-28 Key Viewpoint 25 3.16-98

Figure 3.17-1 Eligible Historic Built Resources in the Area of Potential Effects 3.17-24

Figure 3.18-1 Resource Study Area for Regional Growth 3.18-7

Figure 3.19-1 Cumulative Land Development, Transportation, Bridge, and Utility Projects ... 3.19-8

Figure 3.19-2 Cumulative Sewer Projects 3.19-11

Figure 4-1 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Alignment 4-11

Figure 4-2 Section 4(f) Resources 4-13

Figure 4-3 Phases 1 through 3 of the San Fernando Bike Path 4-20

Figure 4-4 *De Minimis* Impacts on the San Fernando Bike Path (Planned Phase 3) 4-22

Figure 4-5 Temporary Occupancy of Chandler Bikeway (Planned Extension) 4-25

Figure 4-6 Project Footprint near the Golden State Connector Bike Path 4-29

Figure 4-7 Project Footprint near the Burbank Western Channel Bike Path (Phase II) 4-31

Figure 4-8 Permanent Use of the San Fernando Railroad Bike Path (Planned) 4-34

Figure 4-9 Project Footprint near the Verdugo Wash Bike Path (Planned) 4-37

Figure 4-10 Project Footprint near Griffith Manor Park 4-39

Figure 4-11 Project Footprint near Pelanconi Park 4-41

Figure 4-12 Project Footprint near Pacific Community Center and Park 4-44

Figure 4-13 Project Footprint near Chevy Chase Recreation Center 4-46

Figure 4-14 Project Footprint near Cerritos Park	4-48
Figure 4-15 Project Footprint near Cerritos Elementary School	4-50
Figure 4-16 Project Footprint near Sotomayor Learning Academies	4-51
Figure 4-17 Project Footprint near Taylor Yard G1 Parcel (Bowtie Parcel).....	4-53
Figure 4-18 Project Footprint near Taylor Yard G2 River Park.....	4-55
Figure 4-19 <i>De Minimis</i> Impacts on Rio de Los Angeles State Park	4-57
Figure 4-20 Project Footprint near the Los Angeles River Recreation Zone.....	4-61
Figure 4-21 Project Footprint near Cypress Recreation Center	4-63
Figure 4-22 Project Footprint near the Los Angeles River Center and Gardens.....	4-65
Figure 4-23 Project Footprint near Elysian Park.....	4-67
Figure 4-24 Project Footprint near Confluence Park	4-69
Figure 4-25 Project Footprint near Los Angeles State Historic Park	4-71
Figure 4-26 <i>De Minimis</i> Impacts on Albion Riverside Park.....	4-73
Figure 4-27 Project Footprint near ConnectUS Cycle Track	4-76
Figure 4-28 Project Footprint near Paseo del Río	4-78
Figure 4-29 Project Footprint near the Rim of the Valley Trail.....	4-80
Figure 4-30 Permanent Use of the Arroyo Seco Parkway Historic District.....	4-84
Figure 4-31 Permanent Use of the Broadway (Buena Vista) Viaduct	4-86
Figure 4-32 Permanent Use of the Spring Street Viaduct	4-88
Figure 4-33 Project Footprint near the Main Street Bridge (Bridge #53C1010)	4-91
Figure 4-34 <i>De Minimis</i> Impacts at the Los Angeles River Channel	4-94
Figure 5-1 Environmental Justice Resource Study Area	5-8
Figure 5-2 Reference Community and Environmental Justice Resource Study Area.....	5-9
Figure 5-3 Low-Income Populations in the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area	5-17
Figure 5-4 Low-Income Populations in the Neighborhood Council Areas	5-20
Figure 5-5 Minority Population in the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area	5-23
Figure 5-6 Race and Ethnicity of Minorities in the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area	5-27
Figure 5-7 Minority Populations in the Neighborhood Council Areas	5-30
Figure 5-8 Displacements in Areas with Low-Income Populations.....	5-49
Figure 5-9 Displacements in Areas with Minority Populations.....	5-52
Figure 5-10 Traffic Improvements in Areas with Low Income Populations.....	5-63
Figure 5-11 Traffic Improvements in Areas with Minority Populations	5-66
Figure 5-12 Noise Impacts to Low-Income Populations after Implementation of Noise Barriers	5-70
Figure 5-13 Noise Impacts to Minority Populations after Implementation of Noise Barriers	5-73
Figure 8-1 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Preferred Alternative	8-2

Tables

Table S-1 Summary of Design Features of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	S-16
Table S-2 Capital Costs of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative for the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (2020 dollars in millions ¹)	S-62
Table S-3 Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs, Apportioned to the High-Speed Rail Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (2015 dollars in millions).....	S-63
Table S-4 Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features	S-70
Table S-5 CEQA Summary of Resources with Significant Impacts and Applicable Mitigation Measures for the HSR Build Alternative	S-77
Table 1-1 Population Growth in California, Los Angeles County, and the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank, and Glendale (2015–2040)	1-14
Table 1-2 Household Growth in Los Angeles County and the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank, and Glendale (2015–2040)	1-15
Table 1-3 Employment Growth in Los Angeles County and the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank, and Glendale (2015–2040)	1-16
Table 1-4 Unemployment and Income in California, Los Angeles County, and the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank, and Glendale	1-16
Table 1-5 Current and Projected Vehicle Miles Traveled, Vehicle Hours Traveled, Delay, and Speeds in Los Angeles County	1-20
Table 1-6 Travel Growth for Intercity Highways.....	1-20
Table 1-7 Commercial Air Traffic at Airports in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section.....	1-25
Table 1-8 2009 California Intercity Air Travel	1-25
Table 1-9 Estimated Total Travel Times (Door-to-Door in Hours and Minutes) between City Pairs by Auto, Air, and Conventional Rail (Peak Conditions) ^{[1],[2]}	1-26
Table 2-1 High-Speed Rail Performance Criteria for Blended Project Sections.....	2-5
Table 2-2 Burbank to Los Angeles Alignment Alternatives and Station Options Studied throughout the Alternatives Analysis Process	2-28
Table 2-3 Regional Projected Population and Employment	2-34
Table 2-4 Planned Residential Development Projects within the Burbank to Los Angeles Area	2-35
Table 2-5 Total Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled—Los Angeles County	2-36
Table 2-6 Planned Highway Improvements within Project Vicinity	2-37
Table 2-7 Existing and Future Trains per Day in the LOSSAN Corridor between Burbank and Los Angeles	2-38
Table 2-8 Programmed Passenger Rail Improvements within the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section	2-39
Table 2-9 Summary of Design Features	2-41
Table 2-10 Roadway Crossings within the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section.....	2-53
Table 2-11 Proposed Traction Power Locations.....	2-59
Table 2-12 Impact of High-Speed Rail Build Alternative on California Department of Transportation State Highway Facilities	2-60

Table 2-13 Freight Siding Tracks and Industry Spur Relocations and Closures	2-62
Table 2-14 Industry Spur Relocations.....	2-62
Table 2-15 New and Modified Railroad Structures	2-63
Table 2-16 High-Speed Rail System Ridership Forecasts (in millions per year).....	2-72
Table 2-17 Right-of-Way Impacts	2-80
Table 2-18 Construction Schedule ¹	2-83
Table 2-21 Anticipated Environmental Reviews, Permits, and Approvals	2-98
Table 3.2-1 California Statutory Bicycle Facility Definitions.....	3.2-5
Table 3.2-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.2-6
Table 3.2-3 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.2-13
Table 3.2-4 Resource Study Area Intersection Number Designations	3.2-25
Table 3.2-5 Level-of-Service Values and Average Vehicular Delay Definitions for Signalized Intersections.....	3.2-31
Table 3.2-6 Level-of-Service and Average Vehicular Delay Definitions for Unsignalized Intersections	3.2-32
Table 3.2-7 Level-of-Service and Volume-to-Capacity Definitions for Roadway Segments ...	3.2-32
Table 3.2-8 Regionally Significant Roadway Segments with Capacities.....	3.2-37
Table 3.2-9 Existing Roadway Segment Volumes.....	3.2-39
Table 3.2-10 Existing Alignment Roadway Segment Operations	3.2-40
Table 3.2-11 Summary of Transit Service near the Proposed Burbank Airport Station	3.2-43
Table 3.2-12 Summary of Transit Service at Los Angeles Union Station.....	3.2-44
Table 3.2-13 Alignment Roadway and Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) No Project.....	3.2-51
Table 3.2-14 Burbank Airport Station Area Intersection and Roadway Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) No Project	3.2-52
Table 3.2-15 Los Angeles Union Station Area Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) No Project.....	3.2-52
Table 3.2-16 Signalized Intersections: Existing Year (2015) Plus Construction.....	3.2-55
Table 3.2-17 Mitigation Available for Signalized Intersection Construction Impacts Included in TRAN-MM#1	3.2-57
Table 3.2-18 Unsignalized Intersections: Existing Year (2015) Plus Construction.....	3.2-58
Table 3.2-19 Mitigation Available for Unsignalized Intersection Construction Impacts Included in TRAN-MM#1	3.2-59
Table 3.2-20 Roadway Segment Volumes: Existing Year (2015) Plus Construction	3.2-60
Table 3.2-21 Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled	3.2-71
Table 3.2-22 Alignment Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project.....	3.2-72
Table 3.2-23 Mitigation Available for Alignment Signalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2.....	3.2-73

Table 3.2-24 Burbank Airport Station Area Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project..... 3.2-73

Table 3.2-25 Mitigation Available for Burbank Airport Station Area Signalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2..... 3.2-74

Table 3.2-26 Los Angeles Union Station Area Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project..... 3.2-74

Table 3.2-27 Mitigation Available for Los Angeles Union Station Area Signalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2..... 3.2-75

Table 3.2-28 Alignment Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project..... 3.2-76

Table 3.2-29 Burbank Airport Station Area Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project..... 3.2-77

Table 3.2-30 Mitigation Available for Burbank Airport Station Area Unsignalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2..... 3.2-77

Table 3.2-31 Los Angeles Union Station Area Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project..... 3.2-78

Table 3.2-32 Mitigation Available for Los Angeles Union Station Area Unsignalized Intersection Impacts, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project Included in TRAN-MM#2..... 3.2-78

Table 3.2-33 Alignment Roadway Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project..... 3.2-79

Table 3.2-34 Burbank Airport Station Area Roadway Level-of-Service, Horizon Year (2040) Plus Project..... 3.2-79

Table 3.2-35 Secondary Impacts of Mitigation Measure TRAN-MM#1 3.2-85

Table 3.2-36 Secondary Impacts of Mitigation Measure TRAN-MM#2 3.2-90

Table 3.2-37 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Transportation 3.2-98

Table 3.3-1 Ambient Air Quality Standards..... 3.3-5

Table 3.3-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.3-14

Table 3.3-3 Definition of Resource Study Areas..... 3.3-19

Table 3.3-4 General Conformity *De Minimis* Levels 3.3-30

Table 3.3-5 South Coast Air Quality Management District Thresholds 3.3-31

Table 3.3-6 Ambient Criteria Pollutant Concentration Data at Air Quality Monitoring Stations Closest to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative 3.3-34

Table 3.3-7 Federal and State Attainment Status in the South Coast Air Basin 3.3-37

Table 3.3-8 Estimated 2012 Annual Average Emissions for the South Coast Air Quality Management District (tons/day)..... 3.3-39

Table 3.3-9 2017 California Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory..... 3.3-40

Table 3.3-10 Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the High-Speed Rail Tracks/Stations..... 3.3-41

Table 3.3-11 2015 Statewide No Project Emissions (Medium Ridership Scenario)..... 3.3-49

Table 3.3-12 2015 Statewide No Project Emissions (High Ridership Scenario) 3.3-49

Table 3.3-13 2040 Statewide No Project Emissions (Medium Ridership Scenario)..... 3.3-50

Table 3.3-14 2040 Statewide No Project Emissions (High Ridership Scenario) 3.3-50

Table 3.3-15 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Construction Emissions.....	3.3-51
Table 3.3-16 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Programmatic Construction Emissions	3.3-52
Table 3.3-17 High-Speed Rail Early Action Project Components Construction Emissions.....	3.3-54
Table 3.3-18 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Construction Emissions (Metric Tons per Year)	3.3-59
Table 3.3-19 Early Action Projects Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Construction Emissions	3.3-60
Table 3.3-20 Carbon Monoxide Concentrations from Construction Emissions	3.3-62
Table 3.3-21 Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations from Construction Emissions.....	3.3-63
Table 3.3-22 Diesel Particulate Matter Excess Cancer Risk Associated with Construction Emissions	3.3-65
Table 3.3-23 2015 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative vs. No Project (Medium Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-70
Table 3.3-24 2015 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative vs. No Project (High Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-70
Table 3.3-25 2040 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative vs. No Project (Medium Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-71
Table 3.3-26 2040 Estimated Statewide Emission Burden Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative vs. No Project (High Ridership)	3.3-71
Table 3.3-27 2015 Regional Emissions Changes Due to High-Speed Rail Operations (Tons per Year) (Medium Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-72
Table 3.3-28 2015 Regional Emissions Changes Due to High-Speed Rail Operations (Tons per Year) (High Ridership Scenario)	3.3-73
Table 3.3-29 2040 Regional Emissions Changes Due to High-Speed Rail Operations (Tons per Year) (Medium Ridership Scenario).....	3.3-73
Table 3.3-30 2040 Regional Emissions Changes Due to High-Speed Rail Operations (Tons per Year) (High Ridership Scenario)	3.3-74
Table 3.3-31 Estimated Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emissions for the No Project Alternative under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios.....	3.3-75
Table 3.3-32 Estimated Statewide Greenhouse Gas Emission Changes Due to the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative under the Medium and High Ridership Scenarios	3.3-75
Table 3.3-33 Maximum Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Intersections near the Burbank Airport Station	3.3-79
Table 3.3-34 Maximum Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Intersections near Los Angeles Union Station	3.3-80
Table 3.3-35 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects	3.3-83
Table 3.3-36 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality and Global Climate Change.....	3.3-85
Table 3.4-1 Federal Highway Administration Noise Abatement Criteria in A-Weighted Decibels	3.4-5
Table 3.4-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.4-6
Table 3.4-3 Regional and Local Plans and Policies Inconsistencies.....	3.4-10
Table 3.4-4 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.4-11

Table 3.4-5 Federal Railroad Administration Construction Noise Assessment Criteria 3.4-14

Table 3.4-6 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Operational and Geometric Assumptions 3.4-15

Table 3.4-7 Land Use Categories and Metrics for Transit Noise Impact Criteria 3.4-16

Table 3.4-8 Noise Impact Criteria: Effect on Cumulative Noise Exposure 3.4-18

Table 3.4-9 Federal Railroad Administration Construction Vibration Damage Criteria 3.4-19

Table 3.4-10 Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria 3.4-20

Table 3.4-11 Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings 3.4-20

Table 3.4-12 Interpretation of Vibration Criteria for Detailed Analysis..... 3.4-22

Table 3.4-13 Noise Criteria Exceedance Screening Distances for High-Speed Rail
Construction Activities in Residential Areas 3.4-31

Table 3.4-14 Typical Noise Levels from Construction Activities for Public Works Projects 3.4-32

Table 3.4-15 Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment..... 3.4-34

Table 3.4-16 Distances to Construction Vibration Annoyance Criteria..... 3.4-34

Table 3.4-17 Distances to Construction Vibration Damage Criteria 3.4-35

Table 3.4-18 Noise Impact Summary Without Mitigation..... 3.4-37

Table 3.4-19 Operations Impact on Schools 3.4-42

Table 3.4-20 Vibration and Ground-Borne Noise Impacts..... 3.4-43

Table 3.4-21 Sound Barrier Analysis—High-Speed Rail Build Alternative 3.4-53

Table 3.4-22 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative—Severe Residual Impacts: Mitigation Not
Considered 3.4-53

Table 3.4-23 Potential Vibration Mitigation Procedures and Descriptions..... 3.4-57

Table 3.4-24 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects 3.4-58

Table 3.4-25 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for
Noise and Vibration 3.4-60

Table 3.5-1 Relationship between Typical Frequencies and Their Wavelengths..... 3.5-3

Table 3.5-2 Typical Magnetic Field Strengths 3.5-3

Table 3.5-3 Typical Electromagnetic Field Levels for Transmission/Power Lines..... 3.5-4

Table 3.5-4 Radio Frequency Emissions Safety Levels Expressed as Maximum
Permissible Exposure 3.5-7

Table 3.5-5 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.5-8

Table 3.5-6 Definition of Resource Study Area 3.5-11

Table 3.5-7 Summary of CEQA Impact Thresholds 3.5-16

Table 3.5-8 Electromagnetic Field Measurement Locations..... 3.5-17

Table 3.5-9 Summary Comparison of Measured and Modeled Magnetic Fields..... 3.5-18

Table 3.5-10 List of Potentially Sensitive Receptors 3.5-18

Table 3.5-11 Summary of High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Exterior EMF Levels 3.5-23

Table 3.5-12 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for
EMI/EMF 3.5-34

Table 3.6-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.6-7

Table 3.6-2 Resource Study Area Information.....	3.6-11
Table 3.6-3 Construction Energy Consumption Assumptions for the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.6-16
Table 3.6-4 Study Area Utility and Energy Providers	3.6-18
Table 3.6-5 Water Suppliers in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Area	3.6-20
Table 3.6-6 Wastewater Treatment Plant Existing Average Flow and Capacity Summary for the Resource Study Area	3.6-21
Table 3.6-7 Landfill Facility Summary for Resource Study Area	3.6-22
Table 3.6-8 Fuel Sources for Electric Power in California in 2015	3.6-24
Table 3.6-9 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Impacts on High-Risk and Major Utilities	3.6-31
Table 3.6-10 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Impacts on Other Significant Utility Facilities.....	3.6-31
Table 3.6-11 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Impacts on Low-Risk Utility Facilities.....	3.6-31
Table 3.6-12 Construction Water Use Summary	3.6-52
Table 3.6-13 Construction Water Use by City.....	3.6-52
Table 3.6-14 Construction Energy Consumption Assumptions for the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.6-57
Table 3.6-15 2040 Estimated Change in Energy Consumption Due to the High-Speed Rail System (Medium Ridership Scenario to High Ridership Scenario).....	3.6-57
Table 3.6-16 Anticipated Project Water Demand at Proposed High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Stations.....	3.6-58
Table 3.6-17 Estimated Project Wastewater (Sewage) Generated for the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Stations.....	3.6-60
Table 3.6-19 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Public Utilities and Energy Resources	3.6-67
Table 3.7-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.7-10
Table 3.7-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.7-15
Table 3.7-3 Summary of Plant Communities and Land Cover Types within the Wildlife Resource Study Area	3.7-26
Table 3.7-4 Occurrence Records of Special-Status Plant Species within or near the Supplemental Habitat Study Area	3.7-27
Table 3.7-5 California Natural Diversity Database Occurrence Records of Special-Status Wildlife Species within or near the Supplemental Habitat Resource Study Area	3.7-28
Table 3.7-6 Summary of Delineated Waters of the U.S. Within the Aquatic Resource Study Area	3.7-36
Table 3.7-7 Summary of California Fish and Game Code §1600 Aquatic Resources Within the Aquatic Resource Study Area	3.7-37
Table 3.7-8 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Direct Impacts by Upland Vegetation and Land Cover Type	3.7-40
Table 3.7-9 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Direct Impacts to Potentially Suitable Habitat for Southern Tarplant	3.7-41
Table 3.7-10 Anticipated Temporary Direct Effects on Aquatic Resources.....	3.7-55

Table 3.7-11 Anticipated Permanent Effects on Aquatic Resources 3.7-56

Table 3.7-12 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects 3.7-79

Table 3.7-13 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Biological and Aquatic Resources 3.7-82

Table 3.8-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.8-6

Table 3.8-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas 3.8-9

Table 3.8-3 Receiving Waters Beneficial Uses 3.8-25

Table 3.8-4 Surface Water Quality Objectives for Inland Surface Waters 3.8-26

Table 3.8-5 Groundwater Basins in the Vicinity of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section of the California High-Speed Rail Project 3.8-30

Table 3.8-6 Groundwater Quality Objectives 3.8-32

Table 3.8-7 Floodplains in the Vicinity of the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section of the California High-Speed Rail Project 3.8-38

Table 3.8-8 Groundwater Basins Crossed by the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative 3.8-49

Table 3.8-9 Floodplains Crossed by the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative 3.8-54

Table 3.8-10 Floodplain Crossings and Retaining Walls – Water Surface Elevation Comparison 3.8-60

Table 3.8-11 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects 3.8-69

Table 3.8-12 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hydrology and Water Resources 3.8-71

Table 3.9-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies: Geology, Soils, and Seismicity 3.9-5

Table 3.9-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies: Paleontological Resources 3.9-6

Table 3.9-3 Definition of Resource Study Areas 3.9-8

Table 3.9-4 Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Sensitivity Categories 3.9-14

Table 3.9-5 Summary of Geologic Units within the General Geology and Paleontological Resources Resource Study Area 3.9-21

Table 3.9-6 Properties of Major Soil Types in the Resource Study Area 3.9-23

Table 3.9-7 Hazardous and Potentially Hazardous Faults Near or Crossing the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative 3.9-29

Table 3.9-8 Hazardous Faults in the Seismicity Resource Study Area 3.9-34

Table 3.9-9 Potentially Hazardous Faults in the Seismicity Resource Study Area 3.9-35

Table 3.9-10 Significant Seismic Events in Southern California 3.9-35

Table 3.9-11 Mining Facilities near the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative 3.9-40

Table 3.9-12 Paleontological Sensitivity Evaluation of Geologic Units in the Paleontological Resources Resource Study Area 3.9-42

Table 3.9-13 Geologic Units Sensitive to Paleontological Resources Potentially Affected by Development of Project Section Components 3.9-59

Table 3.9-14 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources 3.9-71

Table 3.10-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.10-9

Table 3.10-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas	3.10-12
Table 3.10-3 Ranking Applied to Potential Environmental Concern Sites in the Resource Study Area	3.10-15
Table 3.10-4 Waste Disposal Sites in the Resource Study Area.....	3.10-19
Table 3.10-5 Educational Facilities in the Resource Study Area.....	3.10-30
Table 3.10-6 Potential Environmental Concern Properties in the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Project Footprint	3.10-36
Table 3.10-7 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects	3.10-47
Table 3.10-8 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Hazardous Materials and Wastes.....	3.10-51
Table 3.11-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.11-10
Table 3.11-3 Definition of Safety and Security Resource Study Areas	3.11-19
Table 3.11-4 Fire Departments within the Resource Study Areas.....	3.11-27
Table 3.11-5 Wildfire Activity within Los Angeles County	3.11-28
Table 3.11-6 Law Enforcement Jurisdictions within the Resource Study Areas	3.11-29
Table 3.11-7 Emergency Response Plans for Jurisdictions within the Resource Study Areas	3.11-31
Table 3.11-8 Evacuation Routes within Resource Study Area Jurisdictions	3.11-33
Table 3.11-9 2016 Crime Rates in the Region.....	3.11-35
Table 3.11-10 Pedestrian and Bicyclist Victims Killed or Injured within Resource Study Area Jurisdictions, 2016	3.11-43
Table 3.11-11 Adopted Bicycle Master Plans within Resource Study Area Jurisdictions	3.11-43
Table 3.11-12 Airports and Heliports within the Resource Study Areas.....	3.11-44
Table 3.11-13 Government Buildings within the Resource Study Area.....	3.11-47
Table 3.11-14 Landfills and Waste Disposal Sites within 0.25 Mile of the Project Footprint.	3.11-49
Table 3.11-15 Road Closures	3.11-70
Table 3.11-16 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Safety and Security	3.11-79
Table 3.12-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.12-5
Table 3.12-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas.....	3.12-11
Table 3.12-3 State and Region Population Growth (2000–2040).....	3.12-20
Table 3.12-4 City Population Growth (2010–2040)	3.12-21
Table 3.12-5 Neighborhood Council Area Population (Census 2010).....	3.12-21
Table 3.12-6 State and Region Minority Group Representation.....	3.12-22
Table 3.12-7 City Minority Group Representation (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-22
Table 3.12-8 Neighborhood Council Area Minority Group Representation (2010–2014 American Community Survey).....	3.12-22
Table 3.12-9 Region Population Age Distribution (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-23

Table 3.12-10 City Population Age Distribution (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-24

Table 3.12-11 Neighborhood Council Area Population Age Distribution (2010–2014 American Community Survey)..... 3.12-24

Table 3.12-12 Regional Median Annual Household Income and Percentage of Families Below the Poverty Level (2010–2014 American Community Survey)..... 3.12-25

Table 3.12-13 City Median Annual Household Income and Percentage of Families Below the Poverty Level (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-25

Table 3.12-14 Neighborhood Council Area Median Annual Household Income and Percentage of Families Below the Poverty Level (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-26

Table 3.12-15 Regional Household Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-26

Table 3.12-16 City Household Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey) . 3.12-27

Table 3.12-17 Neighborhood Council Area Household Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey)..... 3.12-27

Table 3.12-18 Regional Limited English Proficiency Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey)..... 3.12-28

Table 3.12-19 City Limited English Proficiency Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-29

Table 3.12-20 Neighborhood Council Area Limited English Proficiency Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-29

Table 3.12-21 State and Regional Disability Status (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-30

Table 3.12-22 City Disability Status (2010–2014 American Community Survey)..... 3.12-30

Table 3.12-23 Neighborhood Council Area Disability Status (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-30

Table 3.12-24 City Community Cohesion Indicators (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-31

Table 3.12-25 Neighborhood Council Area Community Cohesion Indicators (2010–2014 American Community Survey)..... 3.12-32

Table 3.12-26 Regional Housing Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-33

Table 3.12-27 Regional Housing Unit Tenure (2010–2014 American Community Survey) .. 3.12-33

Table 3.12-28 State and Regional Foreclosure Rates..... 3.12-34

Table 3.12-29 City Housing Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-34

Table 3.12-30 City Housing Unit Tenure (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-35

Table 3.12-31 City Foreclosure Rates 3.12-35

Table 3.12-32 Neighborhood Council Area Housing Characteristics (2010–2014 American Community Survey)..... 3.12-35

Table 3.12-33 Neighborhood Council Area Housing Unit Tenure (2010–2014 American Community Survey) 3.12-36

Table 3.12-34 Neighborhood Council Area Existing Businesses 3.12-37

Table 3.12-35 Utility Providers within the Population and Community Impacts Resource Study Areas	3.12-39
Table 3.12-36 Proposed and Existing Bikeways within the Direct Resource Study Area	3.12-43
Table 3.12-37 State and Regional Unemployment (November 2017).....	3.12-44
Table 3.12-38 Employment by Industry in Los Angeles County (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-44
Table 3.12-39 City Unemployment (November 2017)	3.12-45
Table 3.12-40 City Employment by Industry (2010–2014 American Community Survey)	3.12-45
Table 3.12-41 Local Government Revenues in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (Fiscal Year 2014–2015)	3.12-47
Table 3.12-42 School Districts in the Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (Fiscal Year 2014–2015).....	3.12-47
Table 3.12-43 Residential Displacements under the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	3.12-57
Table 3.12-44 Number of Residential Units Available for Relocation.....	3.12-57
Table 3.12-45 Commercial, Industrial, and Retail Relocations under the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative.....	3.12-59
Table 3.12-46 Commercial, Industrial, and Retail Displacements by City and Category	3.12-59
Table 3.12-47 Available Commercial, Industrial, and Retail Properties for Lease and Sale	3.12-59
Table 3.12-48 Gap Analysis of Commercial, Industrial, and Retail Displacements under the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	3.12-60
Table 3.12-49 Regional Business Vacancy Rates in Percent	3.12-60
Table 3.12-50 Sensitive Populations in Areas of Residential Displacements and Los Angeles County	3.12-62
Table 3.12-51 Temporary Impacts on Community Facilities from Construction.....	3.12-63
Table 3.12-52 Permanent Impacts on Community Facilities from Construction.....	3.12-65
Table 3.12-53 Estimated Changes in Property Tax Revenue (Fiscal Year 2014–2015).....	3.12-66
Table 3.12-54 Estimated Permanent Changes in Sales Tax Revenue (Fiscal Year 2014–2015).....	3.12-67
Table 3.12-56 Residential and Student Displacements in School Districts	3.12-68
Table 3.12-57 School District Revenue Losses	3.12-69
Table 3.12-58 Types of Jobs Created by the HSR Built Alternative Operation and Maintenance	3.12-76
Table 3.12-59 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects	3.12-85
Table 3.12-60 CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-88
Table 3.13-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.13-4
Table 3.13-2 Definition of Resource Study Area.....	3.13-8
Table 3.13-3 Temporary Conversion of Existing Land Uses	3.13-50
Table 3.13-4 Temporary Conversions of Planned Land Uses.....	3.13-51
Table 3.13-5 Permanent Conversions of Existing Land Uses	3.13-55

Table 3.13-6 Permanent Conversion of Planned Land Uses 3.13-57

Table 3.13-7 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development..... 3.13-70

Table 3.15-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.15-4

Table 3.15-2 Definition of Resource Study Areas..... 3.15-11

Table 3.15-3 Parks and Recreational Resources in the Resource Study Area for the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative 3.15-22

Table 3.15-4 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects 3.15-53

Table 3.15-5 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space 3.15-55

Table 3.15-6 Summary by Resource of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space..... 3.15-56

Table 3.16-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.16-4

Table 3.16-2 Definition of Resource Study Area 3.16-6

Table 3.16-3 Existing Viewer Groups and Preferences..... 3.16-18

Table 3.16-4 Upper San Fernando Valley Landscape Unit—Existing Visual Quality..... 3.16-20

Table 3.16-5 Lower San Fernando Valley Landscape Unit—Existing Visual Quality..... 3.16-30

Table 3.16-6 Downtown Los Angeles Landscape Unit—Existing Visual Quality 3.16-37

Table 3.16-7 Summary of Visual Quality Changes and Impacts at Key Viewpoints 3.16-47

Table 3.16-8 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects 3.16-101

Table 3.16-9 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Aesthetics and Visual Quality 3.16-103

Table 3.17-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.17-8

Table 3.17-2 Inconsistency with Regional and Local Plans and Policies 3.17-10

Table 3.17-3 Section 106 Technical Reports and Concurrence Dates 3.17-12

Table 3.17-4 Summary of Outreach Efforts to Identify Agency and Other Interested Consulting Parties 3.17-13

Table 3.17-5 Summary of Outreach Efforts to Identify Native American Consulting/Concurring Parties..... 3.17-17

Table 3.17-6 Consulting Parties in the Preparation of the Memorandum of Agreement..... 3.17-21

Table 3.17-7 Definition of Resource Study Areas (Areas of Potential Effect) 3.17-22

Table 3.17-8 Previously Identified Archaeological Resources within and Immediately Adjacent to the Area of Potential Effects..... 3.17-45

Table 3.17-9 Previously Identified Built Resources Listed on or Eligible for the National Register of Historic Places within the Area of Potential Effects 3.17-49

Table 3.17-10 Newly Identified Built Resources within the Area of Potential Effects Eligible for the National Register of Historic Places 3.17-54

Table 3.17-11 Built Resources Significant under CEQA 3.17-58

Table 3.17-12 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects 3.17-85

Table 3.17-13 Summary of Effects under the National Historic Preservation Act 3.17-88

Table 3.17-14 Summary of CEQA Significance Conclusions and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources	3.17-90
Table 3.18-1 Regional and Local Plans	3.18-5
Table 3.18-2 Definition of Resource Study Area.....	3.18-6
Table 3.18-3 Regional Employment by Industry for Los Angeles County	3.18-11
Table 3.18-4 Regional Long-Range Employment Projections, 2017 and 2040.....	3.18-11
Table 3.18-5 Unemployment (Annual Average, 2010, 2015 & 2017).....	3.18-12
Table 3.18-6 Population Increase in the Resource Study Area, 2000–2010.....	3.18-12
Table 3.18-7 Population Projections in the Resource Study Area, 2010–2040.....	3.18-13
Table 3.18-8 Existing and Projected Housing Units	3.18-13
Table 3.18-9 High-Speed Rail Build Alternative Employment Growth During Construction (in Job-Years)	3.18-15
Table 3.18-10 Direct, Indirect, and Induced Jobs by 2040 (in Annual Job-Years)	3.18-17
Table 3.18-11 Regional Projected and Induced Operations and Maintenance Employment Growth	3.18-17
Table 3.18-12 Regional Projected and Induced Population Growth.....	3.18-18
Table 3.18-13 Regional Projected and Induced Housing Growth.....	3.18-20
Table 3.19-1 Population Increase in the Resource Study Area (2000–2010)	3.19-6
Table 3.19-2 Cumulative Transportation and Transit Project List.....	3.19-14
Table 3.19-3 Cumulative Development Project List.....	3.19-15
Table 3.19-4 Cumulative Utility Project List	3.19-16
Table 3.19-5 Cumulative Bridge Preventive Maintenance Project List.....	3.19-16
Table 3.19-6 Cumulative Sewer Project List.....	3.19-17
Table 3.19-7 Population Projections for Counties and Cities Traversed by the Burbank to Los Angeles Section of the California High-Speed Rail Project, 2010–2040.....	3.19-18
Table 3.19-8 Definition of Cumulative Resource Study Areas.....	3.19-19
Table 3.19-9 Summary of Cumulative Construction Impacts	3.19-84
Table 3.19-10 Summary of Cumulative Operations Impacts	3.19-87
Figure 4-28 Project Footprint near Paseo del Río	4-78
Table 4-1 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of Park and Recreation Resources	4-81
Table 4-2 Summary of Section 4(f) Uses of National Register of Historic Places Listed or Eligible Properties.....	4-96
Table 4-3 Measures to Minimize Harm	4-104
Table 5-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	5-6
Table 5-2 Definition of Reference Community and Resource Study Area	5-10
Table 5-3 Comparison of U.S. Census Poverty Threshold and Department of Health and Human Services Poverty Guidelines	5-12
Table 5-4 Population within 0.5 Mile of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	5-13
Table 5-5 Specific Outreach Efforts	5-14

Table 5-6 Environmental Justice Reference Community (American Community Survey 2010–2014).....	5-15
Table 5-7 Environmental Justice Reference Community and Resource Study Area Demographic Characteristics (American Community Survey 2010–2014).....	5-15
Table 5-8 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Environmental Justice Targeted Outreach Activity (August 2015–May 2021).....	5-35
Table 5-9 Displacements within the Environmental Justice Resource Study Area	5-48
Table 6-1 Capital Cost of the Burbank to Los Angeles Alternative (2020\$ in millions)	6-4
Table 6-2 Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs for Phase 1 (2015\$ in millions)	6-8
Table 6-3 Annual Operations and Maintenance Costs, Apportioned to the High-Speed Rail Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section (2015\$ in millions)	6-8
Table 8-1 Key Issues Considered during Development of Alternatives and Draft EIR/EIS.....	8-5
Table 8-2 Benefits of the High-Speed Rail Build Alternative	8-18
Table 9-1 Public Scoping Notice Publication Information	9-4
Table 9-2 Key Issues Considered During Development of Alternatives and Draft EIR/EIS	9-5
Table 9-3 Burbank to Los Angeles Project Section Outreach Activity.....	9-18

This page intentionally left blank